

Informazioni su questo libro

Si tratta della copia digitale di un libro che per generazioni è stato conservata negli scaffali di una biblioteca prima di essere digitalizzato da Google nell'ambito del progetto volto a rendere disponibili online i libri di tutto il mondo.

Ha sopravvissuto abbastanza per non essere più protetto dai diritti di copyright e diventare di pubblico dominio. Un libro di pubblico dominio è un libro che non è mai stato protetto dal copyright o i cui termini legali di copyright sono scaduti. La classificazione di un libro come di pubblico dominio può variare da paese a paese. I libri di pubblico dominio sono l'anello di congiunzione con il passato, rappresentano un patrimonio storico, culturale e di conoscenza spesso difficile da scoprire.

Commenti, note e altre annotazioni a margine presenti nel volume originale compariranno in questo file, come testimonianza del lungo viaggio percorso dal libro, dall'editore originale alla biblioteca, per giungere fino a te.

Linee guide per l'utilizzo

Google è orgoglioso di essere il partner delle biblioteche per digitalizzare i materiali di pubblico dominio e renderli universalmente disponibili. I libri di pubblico dominio appartengono al pubblico e noi ne siamo solamente i custodi. Tuttavia questo lavoro è oneroso, pertanto, per poter continuare ad offrire questo servizio abbiamo preso alcune iniziative per impedire l'utilizzo illecito da parte di soggetti commerciali, compresa l'imposizione di restrizioni sull'invio di query automatizzate.

Inoltre ti chiediamo di:

- + *Non fare un uso commerciale di questi file* Abbiamo concepito Google Ricerca Libri per l'uso da parte dei singoli utenti privati e ti chiediamo di utilizzare questi file per uso personale e non a fini commerciali.
- + *Non inviare query automatizzate* Non inviare a Google query automatizzate di alcun tipo. Se stai effettuando delle ricerche nel campo della traduzione automatica, del riconoscimento ottico dei caratteri (OCR) o in altri campi dove necessiti di utilizzare grandi quantità di testo, ti invitiamo a contattarci. Incoraggiamo l'uso dei materiali di pubblico dominio per questi scopi e potremmo esserti di aiuto.
- + *Conserva la filigrana* La "filigrana" (watermark) di Google che compare in ciascun file è essenziale per informare gli utenti su questo progetto e aiutarli a trovare materiali aggiuntivi tramite Google Ricerca Libri. Non rimuoverla.
- + Fanne un uso legale Indipendentemente dall'utilizzo che ne farai, ricordati che è tua responsabilità accertati di farne un uso legale. Non dare per scontato che, poiché un libro è di pubblico dominio per gli utenti degli Stati Uniti, sia di pubblico dominio anche per gli utenti di altri paesi. I criteri che stabiliscono se un libro è protetto da copyright variano da Paese a Paese e non possiamo offrire indicazioni se un determinato uso del libro è consentito. Non dare per scontato che poiché un libro compare in Google Ricerca Libri ciò significhi che può essere utilizzato in qualsiasi modo e in qualsiasi Paese del mondo. Le sanzioni per le violazioni del copyright possono essere molto severe.

Informazioni su Google Ricerca Libri

La missione di Google è organizzare le informazioni a livello mondiale e renderle universalmente accessibili e fruibili. Google Ricerca Libri aiuta i lettori a scoprire i libri di tutto il mondo e consente ad autori ed editori di raggiungere un pubblico più ampio. Puoi effettuare una ricerca sul Web nell'intero testo di questo libro da http://books.google.com

This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.



https://books.google.com









H. C. Carneron, Massau Hall, March 14th, 1837.

GREEK GRAMMAR

FOR THE USE OF

HIGH SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES.

BY PHILIP BUTTMANN.

REVISED AND ENLARGED BY HIS SON.

TRANSLATED FROM THE EIGHTEENTH GERMAN EDITION,

BY EDWARD ROBINSON.

NEW YORK:

HARPER & BROTHERS, PUBLISHERS.

1856.

Entered, according to Act of Congress, in the year one thousand eight hundred and fifty-one, by

Edward Robinson,
In the Office of the Clerk of the District Court for the Southern District of New York.

PREFACE.

The following biographical hints respecting the author of this work, will not perhaps be unacceptable to those who may make use of it; while they may help to form a juster estimate of the nature and relative character of the present Grammar, as compared with the other writings of the same author, and with the works of contemporary Grammarians. The life of a scholar, and especially of a German scholar, is usually barren of incidents; and it is chiefly the character and progress of his intellectual development, as exhibited in the various productions of his pen, that furnish the subjects and mark the epochs of his biography.

Philip Charles Buttmann was born at Frankfort on the Maine, Oct. 5, 1764. After the usual preparation, he pursued his studies at the University of Göttingen; not without distinction, it would seem, for we soon afterwards find him as an instructor and governor in the family of the Prince of Anhalt Dessau. But he appears early to have preferred a life of private study; avoiding in this way the responsibilities and absorbing duties or a public teacher; and devoting himself, without the abstractions of public obligation, to philological pursuits and investigations. With this view he fixed his residence at Berlin, where he lived for many years as a private citizen; and where, in the free use of the treasures of the Royal Library, and in social intercourse and interchange of views with Heindorf and Spalding, at that time distinguished professors in the Gymnasia of Berlin, he arrived at those results and adopted those principles, which he has spread before the world in his various grammatical and philological treatises. The first edition of his Grammar appeared at Berlin in 1792. In 1800 he was appointed a Secretary of the Royal Library, and became at a later period one of the Librarians in chief. At the same time he accepted the appointment of Professor in one of the principal Gymnasia of Berlin, that of Joachimsthal. He became also an active member of the philological class in the Royal Academy of Sciences; and to this source

Joach logica

23 2100

· Digitized by Google

we owe many of his smaller essays and treatises. On the establishment of the University of Berlin in 1809, he seems by choice not to have taken part in it as a regular professor; but the excitement inspired by the establishment of so noble an institution, and daily intercourse with the corps of distinguished scholars thus collected,—as Wolf, Niebuhr, Savigny, Schleiermacher, and at a later period Bekker, Böckh, and others,-imparted new vigour to his exertions; and led him, if not to a wider range of study, yet to the exhibition of greater productive power, and to a more extensive communication of the results of his researches. member of the Academy of Sciences, he enjoyed the privilege of delivering lectures or of otherwise imparting instruction in the University; and of this he availed himself so far as to have private philological classes. With Wolf he engaged in the publication of the Museum Antiquitatis; and several of the most solid articles of that work are from his pen. In 1816 he completed the edition of Quinctilian commenced by his friend Spalding, and left imperfect at his decease. In 1821 he gave to the public a new and enlarged edition of the Scholia on the Odyssey, discovered by Angelo Maio. Several of his smaller treatises were afterwards collected and revised by himself, and published in two volumes, entitled 'Mythologus,' Berlin, 1827-29.

But the great labour of his life lies before the world in his grammatical works; which, from a narrow beginning, grew up in a course of years into a wide and comprehensive system. His first work appeared, as mentioned above, in 1792, and was little more than an outline of the Greek accidence. In the subsequent editions he continued to interweave the results of his investigations, until the fourth edition assumed the character of a more complete and scientific treatise of Greek grammar. In this form it remained without any essential change of plan, but not without important additions and improvements, until the publication of the twelfth edition in 1826.

In the mean time he had published at an early period an abstract of this work, made from the sixth edition, for the use of lower schools and younger pupils, under the title of Schul-Grammatik. This smaller Grammar reached its eighth edition in 1826, during the author's life-time; and the ninth edition of it was issued in 1831, after his decease.

The larger Grammar, in the course of its successive editions

and enlargements, had become, to use the language of the author himself, 'an intermediate thing between a school-book and a work of a higher scientific character.' In support of the views and principles propounded in it, the author had often felt it necessary to introduce critical discussions, which were foreign to the nature of such a work; and which contributed to swell its size, without adding to its value for those for whom it was more particularly designed. Hence, so early as the year 1816, he had entered upon the compilation of a more extensive and scientific grammatical work, a complete grammatical index or Thesaurus of the Greek language, which should embody the results of the labours of his life in a form adapted to the use of more advanced This is the Ausführliche Sprachlehre, or 'Copious Greek Grammar,' so often referred to in the following pages. The first volume appeared in 1819; the second, in two parts, in 1825 and 1827. The second volume contained also a supplement of large additions and corrections to the first. A new edition of the first volume, including these corrections, was commenced. during the author's life, and finished in 1830, after his decease. The second volume also appeared anew in 1839, with additions from the scholarly pen of Lobeck. This work, extensive as it is, embraces only the part of grammar relating to the Forms of Words; the Syntax Buttmann did not live to complete.

This Thesaurus every where exhibits, of course, critical discussions and investigations, which could not have place in the earlier work. Other similar discussions, which did not properly fall within the plan even of the Thesaurus, particularly those relating to the signification of words, the author collected and published in a separate treatise, entitled: 'Lexilogus, or Illustrations of Greek words, chiefly in Homer and Hesiod.' The first volume was published in 1818; and again, together with a second, in 1825. This is often referred to in the following pages.

The publication of these works afforded an appropriate occasion for some change in the plan of the earliest, now become the intermediate Grammar. Accordingly, in the twelfth edition, 1826, most of the merely critical discussions were omitted, while many additional results were introduced. In this way, too, room was gained for an extension of the Syntax. In the thirteenth edition, 1829, the last which the author lived to prepare, these objects were further pursued and completed; and the work thus

became in form, what it was intended to be in fact, viz. a body of results respecting the grammar of the Greek language, arranged with strict attention to philosophical system, as well as to accuracy, neatness, and perspicuity. Whoever consults this work, cannot fail to perceive, that its statements rest on the profound investigations of a penetrating, practical, and philosophic mind; while the reasonings and documents by which these statements are supported, must in general be sought in the more copious works referred to above. In the Syntax, however, this last remark applied at that time with less force. This part of grammar had not elsewhere been treated of by Buttmann; and, as he himself remarks, would require a separate volume to do it justice. The Syntax, as revised for the last time by its author, was a collection of general principles, perspicuously and philosophically arranged, and accompanied in some parts with a sufficient copiousness of details; while in other portions much was left to the judgment and discretion of the learner.

Buttmann was not a mere recluse,—a scholar acquainted only with books, and deriving his views and principles merely by way of inference from untried theories. Himself a teacher, and living in the midst of a great capital, in daily and social intercourse with eminent scholars and practical instructors, every thing he has written bears the impress of practical application and practical utility. His works everywhere exhibit comprehensive learning, united with perspicuity and terseness, and with that practical sagacity and tact which are essential to the success of every teacher. In this respect he differed widely from Matthiæ; whose Grammar is a vast mass of excellent materials, which the author knew not how to reduce to order and philosophic method.

The latter years of Buttmann's life were embittered by severe physical suffering. His body was racked by rheumatic affections, which deprived him in a great measure of the use of his limbs, and finally terminated his days, Jan. 21, 1829. For several preceding winters he had been confined to his house. The writer of these lines had the pleasure of an interview with him about a year before his death. He was seated before a table in a large arm-chair, bolstered up with cushions, and with his feet on pillows; before him was a book, the leaves of which his swollen and torpid hands were just able to turn over; while a member of his family acted as amanuensis. That book was his earliest

work, the intermediate Grammar. He was in this way preparing the thirteenth edition, which he lived just long enough to complete.

It was this work, with these his last corrections, which eighteen years ago the Translator presented to the American public. It was favourably received; and a second edition, published in 1839, has been for several years out of print.

Meanwhile the study of the Greek language and literature has made rapid and extensive progress, especially in Germany; and the Grammar of Buttmann has not remained stationary. No less than five large editions have been published since his decease; the last of which, the eighteenth, was issued near the close of 1849. The recent editions have been prepared for the press by the author's son, Alexander Buttmann, who has occupied for several years the post of Headmaster (Oberlehrer) in the Royal Gymnasium at Potsdam; a station similar to that which his father held in Berlin. The changes and improvements in the Grammar have been gradually introduced, as occasion arose and experience dictated. The seventeenth edition (1845) exhibited an almost entire reconstruction of the Syntax; and the like character of revision and improvement marks the subsequent and last edition, from which the present translation has been made.

It is no slight praise of the son, to say that he has ably and successfully carried forward the plan of his distinguished parent, with a like spirit, and with like results. We find every where the same careful revision and elaboration; the same judicious expansion and adaptation of the work to the progress of knowledge; the same uncommon clearness and practical tact, which mark the successful teacher; and, not least, the same general impress of practical application and utility. The son, like the father, has avoided extremes and all mere innovations; and has rested satisfied, in respect to things already known, with calling them by their familiar names, without striving to attract notice by a new nomenclature or unusual phraseology.

The Syntax, in particular, has been expanded and rewritten, with the aid of all the various theories and extensive investigations of the last twenty years. In its present form, it is perhaps surpassed in symmetry and fullness by no other one of a like character; while few, if any, can compare with it in clearness, precision, and practical utility. The Indexes also have been greatly enlarged, and rendered more complete.

Hence it is, that the same qualities of progress and improvement, which secured to the Grammar of Buttmann an almost exclusive currency in his own country during his life-time, have enabled it to maintain unimpaired its high standing in the German schools and universities since the author's decease. The frequent and large editions which have been published, testify conclusively, that it is still, as it has now been for more than half a century, the Classical and National Greek Grammar of Germany.

In formerly making this work accessible to his countrymen generally, the Translator hoped and believed that he was doing service to the cause of Greek literature among us. If he may accept the testimony of many of our most distinguished Greek scholars, his expectation has not been disappointed. In the like confidence, he has again not shunned the labour of this revised translation of the original work as improved; and he cannot but hope, that it will be received with increased favour by American scholars. Whoever will take pains to compare, will speedily perceive, that in the most important portions, and especially in the Syntax, this is the translation of almost a new work.

The Translator can lay claim to no higher merit than that of having endeavoured to give a faithful transcript of the original. A few additions have been silently made from the author's other works; and occasionally a note or explanation, which seemed necessary, has been subjoined, to which the signature of the Translator is affixed. It must not, however, be imagined, that the translation of such a work from the German is without its peculiar difficulties. A Greek phrase or particle may often be happily illustrated by a German idiom, to which there is no corresponding one in English; while not unfrequently that may be exemplified by a single word or phrase in English, which requires a circumlocution in German. In all such cases, the Translator has endeavoured to exercise his best judgment; and it is hoped. that the learner will not have occasion for complaint in this respect. It has also been his constant effort to retain in the translation, so far as possible, the definiteness and perspicuity of the EDWARD ROBINSON. original.

Union Theological Seminary, New York, Feb. 1851.

CONTENTS.

	INTRO	DD	UCTION.
i.	t General View of the Greek La	NGI	Page and its Dialects
	PA	AR	т І.
	ORTHOGRAPH	Υ.	AND ORTHOEPY.
3. 4. 5.	LETTERS AND PRONUNCIATION. Alphabet Pronunciation Division of the Letters.—Vowels, Consonants Diphthongs Breathings. Spiritus asper et	8	Sect. 15. Marks of Interpunction, etc
7. 8. 9.	lenis	12 18 19	 22. The Double Letters ψ and ξ. 23. Consonants changed before μ. 24. Changes of the Linguals 25. Changes of the Consonant μ. 26. Movable Final Letters 33.
12. 13.	General Rules of the Accents	20 22	27. CHANGES OF THE VOWELS
			T II.
Q 1			ND FLEXION OF WORDS. 49. Contraction of Words in 75, 65,
	NOUNS. GENDER		os, w, ws
	DECLENSION	44	51. Words in 15, 15, 1, 1. Attic Gen- itive
3 6.	SECOND DECLEMSION Contracted Form of Dec. II	50	52. Contraction of Words in e65 . 6 53. Varying and Double Contraction 6 54. Contraction of Neuters in as . 6
38. 39. 4 0.	THIRD DECLERSION.—Gender Stem. Case-endings Formation of the Nom Nom. with Consonant before the	51 52	55. Contracted Form of Comparatives in ew, or
13 .	Case-ending	55	able
L5. 6.	Flexion	58 60	60. Adjectives in es, of three and two Endings
,	Contracted Third Declension.		61. Adjectives in ws 8 62. Other Adjectives of three Endings

		n	1 Sect. Pas	_
ect.	Adjectives of two Endings	unte	Soct. Paradigms of Barytone	0
UJ.	and of one Ending	81		6
64.	Anomalous and Defective Ad-	٠.	Paradigm of τύπτω 14	
	jectives	83	Synoptical Table 14	
85	DEGREES OF COMPARISON. Adj.		Active 14	8
ω.	in os	84	Passive	
66.	Comparison of other Adj	85	Middle 15	2
	Other forms of Comparison .	86	Paradigms of other Barytone	
	Anomalous Comparison	87	Verbs 15	
	Defective Comparison	88	Paradigm of Verbs λμυρ 15	
TO.	NUMERALS Cardinal Num-		Notes on all the Paradigms . 16	
	bers	89		
71.	Ordinals and other Numerals	92		_
	PRONOUNS.		Paradigm 16	
71 a	. Division of the Pronouns .	93	Notes 17	*
	SUBSTANTIVE AND POSSESSIVE	98	106. IRREGULAR CONJUGATION	
	The Pronoun teins	96	YERBSIN μι	
		96	Notes 19	9
	ADJECTIVE PRONOUNS	97		ñ
	THE ARTICLES	99	I mu cast	Ñ
	Interrogative and Indef. Pro-	•	II sīga set. Bugu sit	2
•••	nouns	100	III. Evrous clothe	2
TR	Correlative Pronounc and Ad-		IV. elui I am	8
•••	jectives	101	V. elm I go 19	14
79 .	Special Correlatives	102	109. Other Irregular Verbs 19	17
80.		103		
	VERBS.		II. milion I recline 19	
R 1	Moods and Tenses Divi-		IIL often I know 19	8
01 .	sion of the Tenses		Anomaly of the Verb.	
90			1110 Superposed Metathania Of	
D4.	AUGMENT.—Syllabic Syllabic Augment, continued .	107	111. New Thomes from the Tenses 26	X 6
RA	Temporal Augment	108	112. Changes of the Thomas 26) 3
85	Attic Reduplication	111	113. ANOMALY OF SIGNIFICATION.	
86.	Augment of Compound Verbs		Causative and Immediate 21	
	CONJUGATION BY ENDINGS. In-		Deponent Verbs 21	7
٠	flection by Number and Per-		114. CATALOGUE OF IRREGULAR	
	son. Union Vowel		VERBS	
88.	Conjugation by Moods and	1	Preliminary Notes 22	
	Participles	115	Catalogue 25	638
89.	Participles Conjugation by Active, Pass-		PARTICLES.	
	ive, and Middle	117	115 Propositions and Adverbs 96	15
	Conjugation by Tenses	118	116 Consolativa Partialas 96	
AI.		119	117 Mutations of same other Par-	
		190	tieles 27	73
93.		123	Anastrophe	74
94.	Tenses derived from other	194	TODA MICH OF WORDS	
0 5		124 125		76
DO.	First and Second Agrical Active			
	First and Second Perfect Act-		119. Derivation by Endings 27	
æ	ive	133		
98.	Perfect and Pluperfect Passive		III. Adjectives	
99.	Third Future	138	IV. Adverbs	
	First and Second Aorist Pass-		FORMATION BY COMPOSITION.	
	ive	139	120. First Part of Compound Words 2	37
L00 a	a. Changes in the Characteris-		121. Second Part of Compound	
		140	Werds 29	20
		143	Two kinds of Composition 2:	90
LΛO	Vennue or well and als	145	5 Accept	92

PART III.

SYNTAX.

Sect. 122.	Definition	Page 295	Sect.	G. Transitive Clauses with	,sge
123.	THE NOUN. Substantives and Adjectives .	295		871, &s	
125. 126.		300 304	141.	THE INFINITIVE. — General Construction 3 Infinitive with its Subject . 3 Infinitive with Adjuncts. At-	183
127. 128.		306 312	143.	Construction of Relative Clauses	91
		314	144.	CONSTRUCTION WITH THE PAR-	-
131.		321 324 330	145.	TICIPLE	
		343	146	THE PARTICLES. Adverss 4	410
104	VERBS.	040		Prepositions	12
	Verbals in teos and tes		148.	Particles of Negation, ob,	22
135. 1 3 6.	Distinction between the Pass-		149.	Various Particles	26
137.	THE TENSES	355 356		PARTICULAR WORDS AND PHEASES 4	
	The Moode. — Optative and	362	151.	IDIOMATIC FORMS OF CON- STRUCTION	4.6
	Subjunctive A. Conditional Clauses B. Relative Clauses C. Clauses with Particles of Time D. Causal Clauses	363 369 371		I. Attraction	46 48 49 50
	E. Telic or Final Clauses .	375		VII. Epexegesis 4	53
	F. Echatic or Consequential Clauses	377		VIII. Zeugma 4 XI. Asyndeton 4	.53 .53
APP	ENDIX A. VERSIFICATION				55
	B. HISTORY OF THE	GREE	K ALP		
	C. CHARACTERS AND D. TECHNICAL GRAM	ABBR	BVIAT		166
	I. Greek II. English		 	CPRESSIONS	168
	E. TABLES OF WORDS	B FOR	DECL	ENSION AND CONJUGATION 4	
	F. CATALOGUE OF RE	GULA	R VE	ra	74
	I. Barytone Ver II. Contracted V	erbs	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · 4	
	III. Deponent Ve	rbs		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ENG	LISH INDEX				88
GRE	EK INDEX				

FOR THE STUDENT.

In all references to sections and their subdivisions, no. marks the main subdivisions; n. stands for Note; and m. refers to the figures in the margin of some of the sections.

INTRODUCTION.

1. GENERAL VIEW OF THE GREEK LANGUAGE AND ITS DIALECTS.

1. The Greek language (φων) Έλληνική), like all other languages, had its various dialects (διάλεκτοι); all of which, however, may be referred back to two principal ones, viz. the Doric (ή Δωρική, Δωρίς) and the Ionic (ή Ἰωνική, Ἰάς), which belonged to the two great Grecian tribes of the like names.

2. The Doric dialect prevailed in almost the whole interior of Greece, in Italy, and in Sicily. It was harsher, and made upon the ear, in consequence of the predominant long a (§ 27. n. 5), an impression which the Greeks call $\pi\lambda\alpha\tau\epsilon\iota\alpha\sigma\mu\delta$ s, broad pronunciation. It was on the whole a less cultivated dialect. A branch

of it was the Æolic (ή Λίολική, Λίολίς).

3. The Ionic tribe in the earlier ages chiefly inhabited Attica, and sent out thence colonies to the coasts of Asia Minor. These colonies took the lead both of the mother tribe and of all the other Greeks in general improvement; and hence the names Ionians and Ionic came to be applied chiefly, and at last exclusively, to them and their dialect. The Ionic dialect is the softest of all, in consequence of its many vowels. But the Attic (η ᾿Αττική, ᾿Ατ-θίκ), that is, the language of the primitive Ionians in Attica (the Attics, Athenians), soon overshadowed all the other dialects; avoiding with Attic elegance and address both the harshness of the Doric and the softness of the Ionic.

NOTE 1. Other minor branches of these dialects, such as the *Baotic*, *Laconic*, *Thessalian*, etc. are known only from single words and forms, and through scattered notices, inscriptions, etc.

- 4. As the mother of all the dialects, we must assume an original ancient Greek language, out of which each dialect naturally retained more or less. Hence it is to be explained how the Grammarians can talk of Doricisms, Æolicisms, and even Atticisms, in the old Ionic Greek of Homer. Generally, however, it was customary to call that which was usual or frequent in any one dialect, by the name of that dialect; even when it happened to occur singly in the others. In this way must be explained, e. g. the Doricisms so called in Attic writers, and Attic forms in writers who otherwise did not employ the Attic dialect at all.*
- 5. To the same ancient language belong also, for the most part, the poetic forms and licenses so called. It is indeed true, that

^{*} E. g. The Doric future in σοῦμαι, ξοῦμαι; the Attic form of declension in es, the 'Attic' ξόν for σύν, and the like. See note 6, below.

the poet contributes to the formation of a language; yet the poet does not derive the innovations, which he finds necessary, simply from himself; for this would be the surest way to displease. The earliest Greek bards merely *selected*, according to their wants, from the variety of *actual* forms which they found already existing; or, at least, they constructed new ones, according to existing analogies. Many of these forms became obsolete in common usage; but the later poet, who had these old bards before his eyes, was not disposed to yield his right to these treasures. In this way, that which was originally a real idiom of the language, came to be poetic license.

6. In all cultivated nations, some one of their dialects usually becomes the foundation of the common written language, and of the language of good society. Among the Greeks this was not at first the case. Until about the time of Alexander, each writer employed the dialect in which he had been educated, or that which he preferred; and thus were formed Ionic, Æolic, Doric, and Attic poets and prose writers, of whose productions more or less are still extant. Comp. text 10, 11, below.

Note 2. To the Ionic dialect belong the earliest poets, *Homer*, *Hesiod*, *Theognis*, etc. whose language nevertheless has more of that apparently mixed character, which approaches nearest to the ancient language, and which afterwards continued to mark the language of poetry in most of its species. The proper though later Ionic is found in the prose writers, of whom *Herodotus* and *Hippocrates* are the principal; though both were of Doric origin. The Ionic dialect had already in their time acquired, in consequence of its peculiar softness and early culture, a certain degree of universality, especially in Asia Minor, even beyond the limits of poetry.

Note 3. Among the poets of that period, the lyric writers were at home in all the dialects. The earliest and most celebrated were the Æolic lyric poets; and of these the chief were Sappho and Alcaus; from whom, however, only a few fragments have come down to us. Anacreon sung in Ionic; the other lyric writers were mostly Doric. Of these last, Pindar is the only one from whom any thing entire has come down to us.

NOTE 4. Of Doric prose there is very little still extant, and that chiefly relating to mathematics and philosophy.

7. In the mean time, Athens had raised herself to such a pitch of political importance, that for a while she exercised a sort of sovereignty ($\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu\nu\nu ia$) in Greece; and at the same time became the centre of all literary and scientific culture. The democratic constitution, which was no where else so pure, secured to the popular eloquence of Athens, and to the Attic stage, entire freedom; and this it was, in connection with other advantages, which raised to the highest point of perfection not only these two branches of literature, but also the sister ones of history and philosophy; and at the same time gave to the Attic language a completeness and a comprehensiveness, to which no other dialect attained.

NOTE 5. The principal prose writers of this golden period of Attic literature are Thucydides, Xenophon, Plato, Lysias, Isocrates, Demosthenes, and the other Orators.

- 8. Greeks from all the tribes repaired now to Athens to obtain an education; and even in those parts of literature which were most widely extended, the Athenian masterpieces were considered as models. The consequence was, that the Attic dialect became by degrees the language of all educated persons, and the general language of books; and was henceforth almost exclusively employed by the prose writers of all the Grecian tribes and countries. This language was now also taught in the schools; and the Grammarians decided, according to those Attic models, what was pure Attic, and what was not. The central point of this later Greek literature, however, formed itself under the Ptolemies at Alexandria in Egypt.
- 9. Along with this universality of the Attic dialect, began also the period of its gradual decay. On the one hand, writers mingled with the Attic much that was derived from the dialect of their own country; on the other, they introduced various changes. This the Grammarians (this class of whom are called Atticists*) sought to hinder; and proposed in their books, over against those expressions which they censured or accounted less elegant, others selected from the older Attic writers. And thus arose the usage, that the term Attic was understood to include only that which was sanctioned by the authority of those early classic writers; while, on the other hand, the ordinary language of cultivated society, derived as it was from the Attic, was now called κοινή, common, or Ἑλληνική, Greek, i. e. common Greek; and even the writers of this later period were now called οἱ κοινοί or οἱ "Ελληνες, in opposition to the genuine Attics.

Note 6. It is easy to conceive, that under these circumstances the appellation $\kappao\iota\nu\acute{o}s$, $\kappao\iota\nu\acute{o}\nu$, became a term of censure; and, in the mouth of the Grammarians, designated that which was not pure Attic. On the other hand, however, that which was called Attic, was not all for that reason exclusively of the pure Attic form, not even among the genuine Attics themselves. Many an Attic idiom was not entirely usual even in Athens, but alternated with other forms in general use, e. g. $\phi\iota\lambdao\acute{i}\eta$ with $\phi\iota\lambdao\acute{i}$, $\xi\acute{\nu}\nu$ with $\sigma\acute{\nu}\nu$. Many Ionic forms were also not unusual among the Attics; e. g. uncontracted forms instead of contracted ones. This approach to the Ionic furnishes the chief criterion of the earlier Attic in the strictest sense; in which e. g. Thucydides wrote; while Demosthenes belongs to the later Attic, which forms the transition to the still later $\kappao\imath\nu\acute{\eta}$.

Note 7. To draw an exact and convenient line of division, we must make the later period, or the κοινοί, begin with the earliest of those authors who wrote Attic without being themselves Athenians. Here belong Aristotle, Theophrastus, Polybius, Diodorus, Plutarch, and the other later writers; among whom nevertheless were many who strove with success to make the earlier Attic language their own; as was the case particularly with Lucian and Arrian.

NOTE 8. Among the dialects of the provinces, which mingled themselves to a considerable degree with the later Greek, the *Macedonian* is particularly conspicuous. It was also called the *Alexandrine* dialect; because Alexan-

^{*} E. g. Phrynichus, Moris, Thomas Magister.

dria was the chief seat of this later Greek-Macedonian culture.—Moreover the other inhabitants of such conquered countries, who were not Greeks by birth, began now also to speak Greek (Ἑλληνίζεν); and hence an Asiatic, a Syrian, etc. who thus spoke Greek, was called 'Ελληνιστής. From this circumstance has arisen the modern usage, according to which the language of such writers, mixed as it is with many forms that are not Greek and with many Oriental idioms, is called the Hellenistic language. Here belongs especially the language of the version of the Old Testament by the Seventy, and that of the New Testament; whence it passed more or less into the works of the Fathers.—New barbarisms of every kind were introduced in the middle ages, when Constantinople, the ancient Byzantium, became the capital of the Greek empire and the centre of the contemporary literature; and hence arose the language of the Byzantine writers, and finally the present modern Greek.

10. In this general prevalence of the Attic dialect, however, poetry formed an important exception. Here the Attics were models only in one department, viz. the dramatic; and hence the Attic dialect was afterwards retained by all the other Greek theatres. The dramatic poets, moreover, in those parts of the drama which consisted of dialogue, and especially in those composed of trimeters or senarii, allowed themselves, with the exception of a freer use of apostrophe and contraction, only a very few of the poetic licenses so called; see 5, above.

Note 9. The comic poets did this least of all, as one would readily suppose. On the other hand, the tragic senarius readily adopted many Homeric forms.—In the department of the drama, however, only the works of genuine and early Attic writers have come down to us; viz. the tragedians Eschylus, Sophocles, Euripides; and the comic writer Aristophanes.

11. For the remaining species of poetry, especially those which were composed in hexameters, as the epic, didactic, and elegiac, Homer and the other old Ionic poets, who were read in the schools, continued to be the models; and along with them, the old Ionic or Homeric language remained also in vogue, with most of its peculiarities and antique forms. All that belongs under this head may be best included under the name of *epic* language; since it took its rise wholly from epic poetry.

Note 10. The most noted poets of this class are, in the Alexandrine period, Apollonius, Callimachus, Aratus; and later, Nicander, Oppian, Quintus, etc.

12. The *Doric* dialect also was not entirely excluded from poetry, even in the later periods. On the contrary, it maintained itself in some of the minor species, especially in rural and sportive poems.

NOTE 11. Hence the works of the idyllic writers, Theocritus, Bion, and Moschus, are Dorie; but their later Dorie differs much from that of Pindar. The ancient epigrams were partly Ionic, partly Dorie; but the Dorie was here far more simple and dignified, and confined itself to a small number of characteristic Doric forms, which were familiar to the educated poets of every tribe.

NOTE 12. It remains to observe, that the language employed in the *lyric* parts of the drama, as the choruses and passages of deep emotion, is also generally called Doric; but this Doric consists of little more than the prevalence of the long a, especially for η , which belonged generally to the old language.

PART I.

ORTHOGRAPHY AND ORTHOEPY.

LETTERS AND PRONUNCIATION.

§ 2. Alphabet.

THE Greeks received their alphabet mostly from the Phœnicians, as is evident from the oriental names of the letters; see Appendix B. The following is the Greek alphabet:

		Pronounced.	Name.		fumeral Va	lue.
A	a	a in far	$^{*}A\lambda\phi a$	alpha	1	
\boldsymbol{B}	β , 6	b	$B\hat{\eta} au a$	beta	2	
$oldsymbol{\Gamma}$	γ, <i>Γ</i>	g hard	Γάμμα	gamma	3	
4	δ	$oldsymbol{d}$	Δέλτα	delta	4	
$oldsymbol{E}$	€	e in met	*Ε ψιλόν	epsilon#	5	s 6
\boldsymbol{z}	ζ	ds	$Z\hat{\eta} au a$	zeta	7	
\boldsymbol{H}	η	e in theyt	'Нта	eta	8	
Θ	Θ , θ	th sharp	Θητα	theta	9	
I	L	i in machine	'Ιῶτα	iota	10	
K	κ	\boldsymbol{k}	$K \acute{a} \pi \pi a$	kappa	20	
Λ	λ	l	Λάμβδα	lambda	30	
M	μ	m	$m{M} \hat{m{v}}$	mu	40	
N	ν	n	$N\hat{v}$	nu	50	
呂	ξ	\boldsymbol{x}	Z î	xi	60	
0	o	o short	*Ο μικρόν	omicron, short o	70	_
П	π, το	\boldsymbol{p}	Пî	pi	80	ዓ 90
P	و , ρ	\hat{r} rolling	$m{P}\hat{m{\omega}}$	rho	100	·
Σ	σ, ς	s sharp	Σίγμα	sigma	200	
Σ T	τ, 7	t	$Ta\hat{v}$	tau	300	
\boldsymbol{r}	υ	u French	°Υ ψιλόν	ypsilon*	400	
Φ	φ	ph, f	Φî	phi	500	
X		ch guttural	$X\hat{\imath}$	chi	600	
$oldsymbol{\varPsi}$	χ Ψ	ps	$\Psi \widehat{\imath}$	psi	700	
Ω	œ	o long	°Ω μέγα	oměga, long o	800	₯ 900

^{* &}quot;E $\psi \iota \lambda \delta \nu$ and "T $\psi \iota \lambda \delta \nu$ have the epithet $\psi \iota \lambda \delta \nu$, i. e. lene, not aspirated, because in the earlier forms of the Greek alphabet, the figure of the ϵ was at the same time one of the marks to denote the rough breathing (h); and the ν was at the same time one of the modes of writing the digamma (or Lat. v, see § 6. n. 3). In order to distinguish them as vowels from these two aspirates, this epithet was always subjoined. Comp. Appendix B.

† The sound of η is strictly that of the long continental e, like the English a in hate.—Tr.

Note 1. The twofold forms of some of the letters given above, are used without distinction, excepting σ and s of the small alphabet: σ is used only at the beginning and in the middle of words, and s only at the end of words. The latter is not to be confounded with s; see the next note. In modern editions this s is often used in the middle of words; but only in compounds, like overwas, $\pi \rho os \phi \acute{e} \rho \omega$, elsipheyre, when the first part is an indeclinable word and current by itself. On the other hand, it is better to write dusdle-wis, $\phi e \rho \acute{e} \sigma \beta \iota os$. In words not compounded σ is always written; e. g. $\kappa \acute{o} \sigma \mu os$, never $\kappa \acute{o} s \mu os$.

Note 2. From these letters have been formed a multitude of abbreviations and combinations; some of which occupy more space than the original letters themselves. In modern times, the use of these has been much diminished; and in recent editions few are used beyond the following, viz.

In several the letters are scarcely altered; e. g. ow for av, m for m, etc. For a full exhibition of other abbreviations, see Appendix C.

Note 3. The Greeks employed the letters of their alphabet also as numeral figures; see the right hand column of the alphabet. In order to have enough, they added still three other figures or Episema ($i\pi i\sigma\eta\mu a$), viz. after ϵ the ϵ , here called $Ba\hat{\nu}$, Vau, and not $\sigma\tau$; after π the Kómwa, Q or Q, or Q; and after ω the $\Sigma a\mu\pi\hat{\iota}$, D; D \uparrow The first eight letters with $Ba\hat{\nu}$ denoted the units; the next eight with Kómwa, the tens; and the last eight with $\Sigma a\mu\pi\hat{\iota}$, the hundreds. When used as figures, the letters are marked by a stroke above, thus: α' 1, β' 2, ϵ' 6; ϵ' 10, α' 11, α' 19, κ' 20, $\kappa\epsilon'$ 26; ρ' 100, σ' 200, $\sigma\lambda\beta'$ 232, etc. The thousands commence again with a, but with a stroke beneath the letter, as a 1000, β 2000, $\beta\sigma\lambda\beta'$, 2232. See \S 70.

Note 4. There was still another ancient mode of writing numerals, corresponding to the Latin method. In this I was assumed as unity, and then the first letters of the numerals Πέντε five, Δέκα ten, Ηεκατόν (the old form of έκατόν) hundred, Χίλιοι thousand, Μύριοι ten thousand, were put for these numbers respectively; thus II denoted 5, Δ 10, Η 100, Χ 1000, Μ 10,000. These letters were then combined to express different numbers, just like the Roman numerals; except that whenever Δ, Η, Χ, or M, was to be repeated five times, instead of this it was put only once, but enclosed in a large II. Thus 🖂 50, 🖾 61, 🖼 500, 🖾 5000, etc. This was the old Attic system, and is often found in inscriptions.—Tr.

§ 3. Pronunciation.

1. The ancient pronunciation can no longer be determined with certainty. Among the various ways in which Greek is pronounced in modern times, two are most distinguished, called the *Reuch*-

^{*} This mark is commonly called Sti, and also Stigma. Its coincidence in form with the $Ba\hat{v}$ (see note 3) is only accidental.

[†] These three *Episema* were originally letters of the alphabet, which afterwards became obsolete. The resemblance of the ε to the later abbreviation for σr is only accidental; as a numeral it is called $Ba \hat{v}_i$ and is merely another form of the digamma, ε or ε , as its place in the numeral system shews, where it corresponds to the oriental Vav. The v_i , originally v_i , is called $K\delta \pi \pi a$, and was derived from the oriental Koph, (Lat. Q_i) which occupies the same place in the alphabet. The $\Sigma_{\alpha\mu\pi^i}$ is strictly an abbreviation for $\sigma\pi$; originally, however, it was simply the old letter $\Sigma_{\alpha\nu}$, derived from the oriental alphabet; see Appendix B.—Ta

linian and the Erasmian, after their respective advocates in the 16th century. We follow the latter, because it is most conformed to our own pronunciation, and to that which we give to the Latin. The Reuchlinian method follows chiefly that of the modern Greeks, which they warmly defend as the ancient and true pronunciation.

- 2. In respect to particular letters, the following is to be remarked:
- β was probably softer than our b; hence the Lat. v is given by β , e. g. $\Sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta} \rho o c$, $B \hat{a} \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \omega \nu$. The modern Greeks uniformly give to β the sound of our v.
- γ before another γ and the other palatal letters (κ, χ, ξ) is sounded like ng. E. g. ἐγγύς eng-gus, or like Lat. angustus; σύγκρισις syncrisis, ᾿Αγχίσης Anchises (Ang-chises), Σφίγξ Sphinx.
- ζ must be pronounced like ds, i. e. with the soft s, like dz. Later it was made still softer, like the French z. In some dialects it was sounded and written $\sigma\delta$, sd.
- η is usually every where pronounced like a prolonged ϵ (e in they); by some also like e in there.
- Is usually not distinguished from τ on the continent of Europe; anciently, however, it belonged to the aspirates, i. e. those letters which were pronounced with a breathing, or aspiration (§ 4.3); and it is also still pronounced by the modern Greeks like the English th sharp, as in think.
- is simply the vowel i, (i. e. the continental i as in machine,)

† The bleating of a sheep is also imitated by $\beta \hat{\eta}$ in the comic poet Cratinus; see Steph. Thes.

† The sound of v in Latin names is also represented in Greek by ou, sometimes alternating with β; as 'Οκταούιος, Σεονῆρος, etc.

I This sound is still the common one among the modern Greeks.

^{*} This mode of pronunciation is sometimes called *Iotacism* or *Itacism* (i as in machine), because it gives to so many vowels the sound of Iota; the Erasmian is also called *Etacism* (e like a in hate).

and not the consonant j;* hence $ia\mu\beta os$, $I\omega\nu la$, must be pronounced i-ambos, I-onia. Nevertheless the Greeks employed this letter in foreign names instead of j; e. g. Ἰούλιος Julius, Πομπήϊος Pompejus.

 κ is always expressed in Latin by c, even before e and i; and the Latin c is also expressed in Greek by κ ; e.g. $Kl\mu\omega\nu$ Cimon, Cicero Κικέρων. This shows that the Romans pronounced their c like k before all the vowels.

 σ is to be pronounced like s sharp, or ss.

- τ before ι followed by another vowel, is not to be pronounced sh, as in English, but retains its simple sound; thus Γαλατία Galati-a, not Gala-sha, Κριτίας Kriti-as, Βυζάντιον Buzanti-on, Παναίτιος Panaiti-os, Lat. Panætius; so also in Τερέντιος Terentius.
- v is often employed in Latin names to express the short u, which was wanting in Greek; e. g. 'Pωμύλος Romulus. Comp. § 5. The modern Greeks pronounce it like i.
- ϕ is somewhat indefinite. The Greeks always expressed the Latin f by their ϕ , as Fabius $\Phi \acute{a}\beta \iota \circ \varsigma$; the Latins, however, never reversed this, but always wrote ph for ϕ , e.g. Phædrus.

§ 4. Division of the Letters.

1. The letters are divided into vowels and consonants. vowels are subdivided only according to their quantity; see § 7.

2. From the consonants must first be separated the three double letters

 ψ , ξ , ζ ,

each of these being strictly two letters, for which however only a single figure is employed. For these letters see § 22; on ζ see also the preceding section.

3. The simple consonants are divided in a twofold way:

a) According to the organ with which they are pronounced, viz.

Labials.								β , π , ϕ , μ ,
Linguals	•	•	•	•	•		•	δ , τ , ϑ , ν , λ , ρ , σ ,
Palatals.		_	_	_	_	_		Y. K. Y.

b) According to their power, t

* The j in Latin, as also on the continent of Europe, has the consonant power

of y.—Tr.

† The ancients found in the humming and hissing sounds of the letters l, m, n, r, s, a sort of transition to the full sounding vowels, and called them therefore half vowels. The first four, on account of their mobility and the ease with which they could be joined to other letters, were also called *liquids*. All the other consonants, by way of contrast to the vowels, were called *mutes*. Of these again, those three which were accompanied by a breathing or aspiration, were supposed thereby to become thick or rough (8006a); this was entirely wanting in three others, which

1. Semivowe	els,	vi	z.						
Liquids .	•								λ, μ, ν, ρ,
The simpl	e si	ibil	lant	´ •	•	•	•		σ.
2. Mutes, vi	Z.								
Aspirates				.•					. φ, χ, ϶,
Middle .			٠.					٠,	β , γ , δ ,
${f Smooth}$.									. π, κ, τ.

Hence it appears that three mutes belong to each organ; and that these nine letters, arranged as above, are related to each other when taken in either direction; the first perpendicular column being labials; the second, palatals; and the third, linguals.

Note 1. It is well to remark, that in parsing and other oral exercises, it is usual to designate the *labial* mutes as the *P-sounds*; the *linguals*, as *T-sounds*; the *palatals*, as *K-sounds*.

- 4. We might here reckon a fourth organ, viz. the *nasal* organ; to which belong the three letters μ , ν , and γ pronounced like ng (§ 3.2). But as these three letters are at the same time pronounced with one of the other organs, the nasal organ appears only as secondary; and no special place is due to it in the division of the letters.
- Note 2. The affinity between these three letters (μ, ν, γ) appears, e. g. from the fact, that the nasal ν in the Prep. $\epsilon \nu$ before labials passes over into the nasal labial μ ; and before palatals, into the nasal palatal γ ; while before the first four linguals (to which organ it belongs) it remains unchanged: $\epsilon \mu \pi a \epsilon \nu \pi a$. For the changes of ν , see § 25.
- 5. No genuine Greek word can end in any other consonant than one of these three semivowels,

for those which terminate in ξ and ψ end really in κ ; and π ;. Only $\epsilon \kappa$ and $o \delta \kappa$ form here an exception; and this never at the end of a phrase, but only before other words with which they are so closely connected in pronunciation as to lose their tone; see § 13. 4. § 26. 5, 6.

§ 5. Diphthongs.

1. The ancient pronunciation of the diphthongs is least certain of all; and it is therefore better to pronounce them in our manner, so as to make but one syllable. The manner in which the Romans expressed them will appear from the examples.

au pron. ai (as in aisle), Φαΐδρος Phaidros, Lat. Phædrus.
ει εi (as in height), Νεΐλος Neilos, Lat. Nilus. Λυκείου Lukeion, Lyceum.

were therefore called thin or smooth $(\psi \iota \lambda d)$; while between these two classes the mediæ $(\mu \iota \delta \sigma a)$ hold the middle place. See Appendix D. 1.

- Note 1. The Latin usage is not however fixed, especially in the diphthong ει. This is shown by the different modes of writing the words 'Ιφιγένεια Iphigenia, Μήδεια Medea, 'Ηράκλειτος Heraclitus, Πολύκλειτος Polycletus, etc.—Some few words in αια, οια, remained in Latin unchanged; except that the ι probably passed over into the sound of j (or y); as Maîa Maia, Τροία Troja.
- 2. From these are to be distinguished the *improper* diphthongs so called, which are formed by the *Iota subscriptum*, or Iota written under the following three vowels:

At present the Iota subscript does not change the sound of these vowels, and serves merely to mark the derivation; originally however it was heard in the pronunciation. The ancients wrote this Iota also in a line with the other letters; and with capital letters this is still the case; e.g. THI $\Sigma O\Phi IAI$, $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma o\phi k q$; $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ "Ald η or $\mathring{\eta} \delta \eta$.

Note 2. The ancient native Greek grammarians reckon also $\eta \nu$, $\omega \nu$, among the *improper* diphthongs; of which their definition is, that they are composed of a long and a short vowel, while all the others contain merely two short vowels. According to this we may conveniently divide all the diphthongs into

Six proper: aι, ει, οι; aυ, ευ, ου; and Six improper: υι, ηυ, ωυ; q, η, φ.

It follows also in regard to pronunciation, that in order to distinguish $\eta\nu$ from $\epsilon\nu$, the sound of η must be made to predominate; so also in the case of $\omega\nu$ and $\nu\iota$. It is moreover apparent, that the case was the same with a, η , φ , so long as the ι continued to be heard, i. e. probably during the whole strictly classic period; as is proved by the Latin mode of writing tragadus, comadus, for $\tau\rho\alpha\gamma\psi\delta\delta\sigma$, $\kappa\mu\mu\phi\delta\sigma$. But it is also no less evident from the later words prosodia, ode or oda, for $\pi\rho\alpha\sigma\phi\delta(a$, $\psi\delta\eta$, that then the difference between φ and ω was no longer regarded; and this is throughout the case at the present day.

Note 3. The ou is every where sounded only as a single vowel, and is therefore strictly no real diphthong. Still, regarded as a diphthong, it has strictly most connection with the proper diphthongs; since the sound of neither of its vowels is wholly lost, as is the case in the improper a, y, ϕ .—
The short u existed also in the more ancient language, and was retained in the Æolic dialect and in the Latin, which is nearest related to that dialect.

^{*} That as and es before a vowel are still written and pronounced in Latin with v, e. g. 'Ayaún Agave, Eòds Evan, is an error which has resulted from the Reuchinian pronunciation; only Agase, Euan, are correct.

To mark it they employed the letters o and v, which are nearly related. The Homeric $\beta \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ belongs here; see § 114, $\beta \sigma \hat{\nu} \lambda \sigma \mu a \iota$.

§ 6. Breathings.

- 1. With the letters are connected the two following signs, which are set over every vowel or diphthong at the beginning of a word, viz.
 - ' Spiritus lenis, πνεύμα ψιλόν, the smooth breathing.
 - Spiritus asper, πνεθμα δασύ, the rough breathing.

The Spiritus asper is our h; e. g. "Ounpos Homerus. The lenis stands where in other languages a word begins simply with a vowel; e. g. $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ego. Both these classes of words, however, are considered in prosody and grammar simply as beginning with a vowel. So in the case of the apostrophe († 30) and the movable final ν († 26).

2. In the proper diphthongs, the Spiritus, as also the accents, are always placed over the second vowel; e.g. $E \dot{v} \rho \iota \pi l \delta \eta s$, olos. In the improper diphthongs q, η , φ , this is not the case, even where the lota is not subscribed; e.g. "Ald ηs ($\tilde{q} \delta \eta s$) Hades.

3. The Spiritus asper stands also over every ρ at the beginning of a word. When ρ is doubled in the middle of a word, the first one takes the *lenis*, the second the asper, thus: $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$. This is founded on a peculiarity of the ancient pronunciation, which the Latins also did not neglect in Greek words, e. g.

ρήτωρ, Πύρρος, rhetor, Pyrrhus.

4. In the common language, all words beginning with v have also the asper.

Note 1. Both these breathings exist in other languages as distinct letters. The asper is the h of both ancient and modern languages; the lenis is the Alef or Elif of the orientals. Nor is this latter a mere empty sign. Every vowel which is distinctly uttered without the aid of a preceding consonant, and consequently every one which is so uttered as to be heard entirely separate from the preceding letter,* is actually introduced by an audible breathing or gentle impulse; and the ancients had more occasion to mark this impulse, inasmuch as they did not separate the words in writing.

Note 2. The Æolians very frequently exchanged the rough breathing for the smooth, as did also sometimes the Ionians. Hence in the epic language occur such forms as ὅμμιν for ὑμῦν, ἀλτο from ἀλλομαι, ἦελιος for ἢλιος, etc.

Note 3. Along with these two breathings the earliest language had still another aspirate, which was longest retained by the E-olians. This is commonly called E-olians, from its shape F, i. e. a double F; see § 2. n. 3 marg. Also App. B. It was strictly a real consonant with the sound of V, and was prefixed to many words which in the more known dialects have partly the asper and partly the lenis.—In regard to the Homeric digamma, which has been so much discussed in modern times, the whole subject rests on the following remarkable fact. A certain number of words beginning with a

^{*} E. g. if one would clearly distinguish ab-ortion from a-bortion; or would perfectly articulate the second vowel in co-operate, pre-eminent, etc.

vowel, especially the pronoun οὖ, οἶ, ἔ, and also ἄγνυμι, ἄλις, ἀλῶναι, ἄναξ, ἀνδάνω, ἀραιός, ἄρνα, ἄστυ, ἔαρ (ver), ἔδνον, ἔθειρα, ἔθνος, εἴδω (video), εἴκοσι, εἴλω (ἀλῆναι), εἰπεῖν, εἴρω (sero), έκάς, ἔκαστος, ἔκηλος, ἔκητι, ἔκυρος, ἐκών, ἔλδομαι, ελίσσω, έλπω, εννυμι, έοικα, έργον, έργω, έρρω, έρω, έσθής (vestis), εσπερος (vestis), έσπερος (vesper), έτης, έτως, έτωσιος, ήδύς, ήθος, ήκα, ήρα, ήχή, ἰαχή, Ἰλιος, ἴον (viola), Ίρις, ίς (vis), ίφι, ίσος, ίτυς, οίκος, οίνος (vinum), ούλαμός, ούλος, ώλξ, with all their derivatives, have in Homer so often the hiatus (§ 29) before them, that, leaving these words out of the account, the hiatus, which is now so frequent in Homer, becomes extremely rare, and in most of the remaining cases can be easily and naturally accounted for. These same words have also, in comparison with others, extremely seldom an apostrophe before them; and moreover, the immediately preceding long vowels and diphthongs are far less frequently made short, than before other words (§ 7.16). Hence we must conclude, that there was something at the beginning of these words, which produced both these effects, and prevented the hiatus. And since short syllables, terminating in a consonant (e. g. os, ov), are also often made long before these words, just as if they were in position, and that too in cases where they are not affected by the caesura (§ 7. n. 15. 3), it follows that all these words in Homer's mouth had this breathing (v) with the power of a consonant before them; but had lost it in the far later period when Homer's songs were reduced to writing. Moreover, since during this time, and even later, these poems underwent many changes and received many additions, as is now generally acknowledged, we can hence very naturally account for the circumstance, that the traces of the digamma in Homer should have been thus obliterated.

7. Prosody.

- 1. The term *Prosody*, according to present usage, includes only the doctrine of *quantity*, i. e. the length (*productio*) or shortness (*correptio*) of syllables.*
- 2. Every word and every grammatical form had, for every syllable, with few exceptions, a *constant* quantity, which the pronunciation of common life followed; and which must therefore be known in order to pronounce correctly.

Note 1. For the poetic quantity, so called, see below in no. 12 sq.

3. The quantity is denoted by the two following marks over a vowel, (') long, (') short; e. g.

ă short a, ā long a, ă variable or doubtful.

- 4. Every syllable, which cannot be certainly proved to be long, must be assumed as short.
 - 5. A syllable is long, either I. by Nature, or II. by Position.
- 6. A syllable is long (I.) by Nature, when its vowel is long; as in Latin the middle syllable of amare, docere. In Greek this is in part determined by the vowels themselves; for of the simple vowels

^{*} The ancient Greek grammarians included also under the name προσφδία every thing by which the sound of a syllable was affected; consequently also the accents and breathings.

 η and ω are always long, ϵ and σ are always short.

These therefore require no further rules. The three others, on the contrary,

a, i, v,

can all be, as in Latin, either long or short; and are therefore called variable or doubtful, Lat. ancipites.

- Note 2. The epithet doubtful must not be misunderstood. All simple vowels are in certain words always long; in others, always short. For the vowel-sounds e and o, the Greek language had distinct marks or letters for long and short; for the three others, not. When therefore one of these three vowels, which are only apparently thus doubtful, is found to be really doubtful or variable in some particular words, e. g. the a in $\kappa a\lambda \delta s$, the ϵ in $d\nu (a)$; this is only the same that occurs also in the sounds of e and owith their double characters, e. g. in $\tau \rho o \chi a d \omega$ and $\tau \rho \omega \chi a d \omega$, $\sigma \delta o s$ and $\sigma \delta o s$ a
- 7. In regard to syllables which are long by nature, there is the following general rule: Two vowels flowing together into one sound form a long syllable. Consequently the following are long:
 - All diphthongs without exception; e. g. the penult in βασίλεως, ἐπάδω.
 - 2) All contracted syllables; and in this case the doubtful vowels are consequently always long; e. g. the a in ἄκων for ἀέκων, the ι in ἱρός for ἱερός, the ν in Accus. βότρυς for βότρυας; see § 28.
- Note 3. From these contractions, however, we must carefully distinguish the cases of simple elision, e. g. ἀπάγω for ἀπο-άγω; see §§ 28–30.
- 8. A syllable, even with a short vowel, is long (II.) by Position, i. e. when it is followed by two or more consonants, or by a double consonant; e. g. the penult in λέγεσθαι, καθέλκω, βέλεμνον, ἄψορρος, καθέξω, νομίζω.
- Note 4. Very often, also, a vowel already long occurs in position, and must then be still more prolonged in pronunciation, e. g. $\Lambda \tilde{\eta} \mu \nu os$ (pron. Lemnos), $\tilde{\delta} \rho \pi \eta \xi$, Χαρώνδας, $\mu \tilde{\Omega} \lambda o \nu$; also in $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \tau \omega$, $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \xi \omega$, where the a is proved to be long by the derived forms $(\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \xi \iota s, \pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma \mu a)$ which take the circumflex. On the other hand, $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$, $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \xi \omega$, have the a short, like $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \xi \iota s$. So too we must distinguish between the last syllable of $\Im \omega \rho a \xi$ where the a is long (Gen. $\Im \omega \rho \tilde{\alpha} \kappa o s$), and that of $a \tilde{\nu} \lambda a \xi$ where it is short (Gen. $a \tilde{\nu} \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \kappa o s$); just as between the final syllables of $K \nu \kappa \lambda \omega \psi$ and $K \epsilon \kappa \rho o \psi$.
- 9. A mute before a liquid (§ 4) forms regularly no position: hence the penult is short in $\Pi\epsilon\rho\iota\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}$ s, $\check{a}\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma$ s, $\delta\iota\check{\delta}\rho a\chi\mu\sigma$ s, $\gamma\epsilon\nu\acute{\epsilon}\theta\lambda\eta$, $\delta\iota\check{\sigma}\pi\sigma\tau\mu\sigma$ s, etc. By the poets, however, these syllables are sometimes used as if long, positio debilis.
- Note 5. If the vowel in such a syllable is long by nature, then of course it remains long; e. g. in $\pi \acute{\nu} r a \theta \lambda o s$, from $\delta \theta \lambda o s$, and in $\psi \nu \chi \rho \acute{o} s$, with the ν long, as coming from $\psi \ddot{\nu} \chi \omega$ (see note 8). Nothing is more common, than for learners to suppose, that a mute before a liquid renders even a long vowel doubtful.

- 10. To the preceding rule, however, the *middle* mutes (β, γ, δ) , when they stand before the three liquids λ , μ , ν , form an exception, and make a real position. Consequently, in the following words the penult is *long*, though they are not to be pronounced as with a long vowel: $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota$, $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \delta \beta \iota \beta \lambda o s$, $\epsilon \delta \delta \mu o s$; but in the following with ρ the penult is *short*: $\chi a \rho \delta \delta \rho a s$, $M \epsilon \lambda \epsilon a \gamma \rho o s$, $\mu o \lambda o \beta \rho o s$.
- 11. All syllables with a, i, v, the quantity of which is not fixed by the preceding rules, can be determined only by usage. This is best learned from the poets, especially the Attic poets. This mode of determining the quantity is said to be "ex auctoritate," by authority. So far now as it regards the root or stem-syllable of words, the quantity must be learned by observation or from the lexicon. The quantity of such syllables, however, as belong to the formation and flexion of words, and the cases where the root itself in the course of flexion or formation changes its quantity, will be every where pointed out in the grammar in the proper place.

Note 6. In regard to the quantity of syllables which serve for formation and flexion, it will only be necessary to specify the instances where the doubtful vowels are long; and every syllable on which no remark is made, and where the contrary does not follow from the general rules, is to be regarded as short; see no. 4 above. E. g. the penult in $\pi \rho \acute{a}\gamma \mu a ros$, $\acute{e}\tau \nu \psi \acute{a}-\mu \eta \nu$; and so too in the formative endings, as in £ûλωος, δικαιοσύνη.

NOTE 7. In our modern mode of pronunciation, it is for the most part only the quantity of the penult in words of three or more syllables, that we can render distinctly perceptible. And since it is important to become early accustomed to the correct pronunciation of such words, before one is already familiar with the poets, we give here a table of those which are most essential; but only such as have the penult long.

ό φλύαροs idle talk arpós physician aὐθάδηs haughty ruápa turban κόβαλος rogue δπαδός follower

ἄκρατος unmixed σίναπι mustard ἡ σιαγών jaw-bone,

§ 7.

with all words in -ayos derived from ayo and ayrum; as logayos captain, ravayos one shipwrecked;

àfim axe

ιφθιμος strong

ή κάμινος fire-place ό χαλινός bridle σέλινον parsley κύμινον cumin συκάμινον mulberry κυκλάμινον (a plant) δωτίνη gift

ρητίνη resin
δ δμιλος multitude
δ στρόβιλος cone of a pine
πέδιλον sandal

πάσυρος παρυτικ

ή χελιδών swallow ἔριθοs labourer ἀκριβής exact ἀκόνιτον αconite ἐνεπή threat τὸ τάριχος stockfish.

δ κίνδυνος danger δ βόθυνος ditch εὐθύνη account ἀισχύνη shame πρεσβύτης old man δ ψίμυθος white lead τὸ κέλυφος shell, pod ή πάπυρος papyrus λάφυρον booly πίτυρον bran ἄγκυρα anchor γέφυρα bridge ὄλυρα spelt κολλύρα sort of loaf λέπυρον rind ἄσυλον asylum ἀῦτή cry ἄμυνα defence ἀμύμων blameless.

Also loχυρόs strong, from loχύω. On the contrary, έχυρόs and δχυρόs secure, from έχω, as also other adjectives in -υρόs, have the υ short.—The following words are also best pronounced long, though they also occur as short:

μυρίκη tamarisk πλημμυρίς flood-tide

κορύνη είνιδ τορύνη stirring-stick.

The following proper names are also long:

Στύμφαλος, Φάρσαλος, Πρίαπος, "Αρατος, Δημάρατος, 'Αχάτης, Λευκάτης, Εὐφράτης, Νυφάτης, Θεανώ, 'Ιάσων, "Αμασις, Σάραπις Sorapis.*

Βύρμτος, 'Ενιπεύε, Σέριφος, Γράνικος, Κάϊκος, Φοινίκη, "Οσιρις, Βούσιριε, 'Αγχίσης, Αίγινα, Καμάρενα, 'Αφροδίτη, 'Αμφιτρίτη. Διόνυσος, 'Αμφρυσός, Καμβύσης, 'Αρχύτας, Κωκυτός, Βηρυτός, "Αβυδος, Βιθυ-

νός, Πάχυνον, Κέρκυρα οτ Κόρκυρα.

For a list of the words of the third declension, which have the penult long in the Gen. and other oblique cases, see Appendix E, Third Declension.

Note 8. Not unfrequently, however, the first syllable of words, by some change or by composition, comes to stand in the distinctive or audible place (note 7). As such, the following deserve particular notice, having the first syllable long:

ψιλόs bald ὁ χιλόs fodder ὁ λιμόs hunger ἡ ῥινόs hide	λιτόs little μικρόs small τιμή honour	νίκη victory κλίνη bed δίνη whirlpool.
δ θυμός mind δ ρυμός shaft δ χυμός fluid, sap δ χυλός juice δ τυρός cheese δ πυρός wheat	ό χρυσός gold λύμη outrage ξυνός common κυφός crooked ψυχή soul	φυλή tribe ὑλη forest, stuff λύπη grief πυγή posteriors μύνη pretext.
ấτη destruction δ δαλός firebrand	φράτωρ class-fellow η σφραγίς seal	τραχύς τοugh.

In the barytone verbs, ending in a simple ω appended to the root, ι and υ are always long (except in γλύφω carve); e. g. τρίβω, σύρω, ψύχω, etc. But a on the other hand is short, e. g. ἄγω, γράφω.—For verbs in άνω, ίνω, ύνω, see § 112. n. 6.—Of the contracted verbs, the following deserve particular notice, as having the first syllable long:

κινέω πουε	διφάω dive	φυσάω blow
ριγέω shudder	συλάω plunder	ριγόω freeze.
CHANGE DE SSIETI		

The knowledge of all these words is useful, not only in respect to ordinary derivatives, as άτιμος, άψυχος, έτριβον, διατρίβω, έμβριθής, etc. but also for many proper names, as Hermotimus, Demonicus, Eriphyle, etc.

Note 9. All words nearly and clearly related to another word, or derived from it, have regularly the same quantity as the root. In verbal nouns, however, there are some forms which adopt, not the long vowel of the Present, but the short one of the Aor. 2. This takes place:

- a) In some nouns in η , as $\tau \rho i \beta \dot{\eta}$, $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho i \beta \dot{\eta}$, $\delta \nu \alpha \psi \dot{\nu} \chi \dot{\eta}$, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \psi \dot{\nu} \chi \dot{\eta}$. On the other hand, ψυχή soul.
- b) In some adjectives in ήs, G. έος, as εὐκρινής, ἀτριβής, παλιντριβής, and subst. παιδοτρίβης.

Note 10. The rule that one vowel before another is short, which in Latin is uncertain, is even less applicable in Greek. Still, a long vowel before another vowel is far more rare, than before a simple consonant; and espe-

^{*} The Ionic forms often furnish here a help to the memory in respect to a, having η instead of a ; e. g. Στυμφηλος, Πρίηπος.

cially the forms of nouns in 10s, 10v, and 1a, are always short, with the exception of

lós arrow*
καλιά nest

alkía insult ávía grief κονία dust 'Ακαδημία,

and even of these ἀνία and κονία occur in the epic poets as short. Generally speaking, one vowel before another was probably in many cases doubtful, even in common usage; and such instances were treated by the poets, and particularly the epic poets, with still greater freedom.† Especially difficult to determine is the ending of the Present of verbs in ὑω and ἰω, which we must leave for the most part to the learner's own observation. We remark only that in the senarius, many of those which have a long vowel in the future, are always employed in the present also as long, viz. δακρύω, μηνύω, ἰσχύω, ἀλύω, δύω, θύω, ψύω, λύω, ΰω, πρίω, χρίω. In the other kinds of verse, many of these and also of the others are doubtful.—The following words deserve notice as having the a long:

ό λαός people κάω (for καίω) buth ό ναός temple κλάω (for κλαίω) weep;

'Αμφιάραος long, Οlνόμαος short.

12. Thus far we have treated of the prosodical laws of the language and the quantity of syllables in a grammatical sense. We subjoin what is necessary to be said upon that part of prosody called *Poetic Usage*, which in part belongs to the subject of metre. As the general rule, we may remark, the poets were bound by the natural quantity of syllables, as it existed in the common language. Still the different species of poetry and of verse had a great influence on the prosody. There was especially a great difference in this respect, between the *hexameter* of the Ionic epic poetry, and the iambic *trimeter* or *senarius* which was the principal verse of the Attic drama, and according to which also the iambic and trochaic measures of this species of poetry were generally regulated.

NOTE 11. This Attic poetry had fewer poetic licenses; and regulated itself essentially according to the actual pronunciation of the Athenian people. The hexameter on the contrary, which followed originally the old Ionic pronunciation, allowed the poet in particular cases great freedom. The other kinds of poetry occupied the middle ground between these two; and hence, even in the drama, those parts which in the expression of passion departed most from the language of ordinary conversation, especially the lyric passages and choruses, employed more or less not only the forms but also the licenses of the epic language. Even the tragic senarius differed in such passages from that of comedy, which every where followed closely the language of ordinary life.

^{*} On the other hand, To You (i) the violet.

[†] For the sake of the metre the epic poets could lengthen the ε even in 'Ασκληπιοῦ, 'Ιλίου, ἀτιμίη, etc. See note 14.

NOTE 12. To the peculiarities of the hexameter in respect to the quantity by nature, (see no. 6, 7, above,) belong especially the two following words, which in the Attic language are every where employed as short:

καλός beautiful, ἴσος like;

but in the epic language they are long, and the latter is therefore written $i\sigma os$. It is also to be noted, that $d\rho d$, epic $d\rho d$, curse, is in the Attic poets short, and in the epic, long; while $d\rho d$ misfortune is every where short. Others again have in the epic poets a quantity entirely doubtful, especially

ἀνήρ man, "Apηs Mars,

of which the first syllable is elsewhere always short. Hence, even in the exclamation $^{2}A\rho\epsilon s$, $^{2}A\rho\epsilon s$, which occurs several times in Homer, the first a is long, the second short.

- Note 13. This difference between the two kinds of poetry is particularly conspicuous in respect to position. In the softer Ionic dialect the junction of a mute before a liquid is of itself sufficiently harsh; and hence in the epic poets, especially the older ones, this case forms almost every where a position. Among the Attics, on the contrary, the rules above given (no. 9, 10) for short syllables, hold every where good in the comic senarius, while the tragic poets follow more the epic usage.
- 13. In many cases the *Rhythm* alone occasioned in the poets a deviation from the usual laws of quantity. These are in the strict sense *poetic licenses*; because they arise solely out of the necessity of the versification, and have no root in the language itself; and because the nature of a syllable thus arbitrarily employed is not thereby really changed.
- Note 14. Still we are not to suppose that this license was without restraints, any more than the others; for this would have destroyed the charm of the versification. Those old bards were limited by their feelings and taste in such a manner, as to admit of these rhythmic licenses only in certain words and forms, and in particular cases. So especially:
 - In proper names: ᾿Απόλλωνος with a prolonged, Ἐλευσινίδαο with the first ι shortened, Hymn. Cer. 105, comp. 97.
 - 2) In words which have too many short vowels, as in ἀπονέεσθαι, ἀθάνατος, in both which the a of the first syllable was prolonged; and hence this rhythmus of ἀθάνατος became afterwards usual among all poets.
 - At the beginning of a hexameter, where even Homer writes Επει-| δη
 —, and Φίλε κα- | σίγνη- | τε
 —.
- 14. Further, the following general rules hold good for poetry, especially the Homeric. When of two successive words the first ends, and the second begins, with a consonant, there is always a valid position. When however the two consonants begin the second word, the position is indeed regular, (e. g. Homer: $E\nu\theta a$ $|\sigma\phi\nu\nu\kappa\alpha\tau\lambda$, $X\hat{a}\hat{\nu}\rho e|\xi\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\rangle$, Il. ζ . 73 $a\hat{\nu}\tau e|T\hat{\nu}\hat{\omega}\rho$, but not frequent, except when the ictus comes to its aid; see note 15. 1. The Attics observed this position more accurately; except that in this case also a mute before a liquid commonly makes no position; e. g. Eurip. Iph. Taur. 1317, $H\hat{\omega}\rho$ ϕ ; $|\tau i\rangle$ $\pi\nu\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$ - $|\mu a\rangle$;
- 15. In the dactylic hexameter, when the arsis falls upon the last syllable of a word (i. e. the masculine cæsura, App. A. 24. b), not unfrequently a short syllable is thus by the force of the ictus

made long. This is called a lengthening by the casura. E. g. II. e. 359 File ka- $|\sigma l\gamma \nu \eta$ - $|\tau e$ kó μ - $|\sigma au$. So a. 51 $\beta \acute{e}$ - $|\lambda \ddot{o}s$ $\acute{e}\chi e$ - $|\pi e \nu \kappa \acute{e}s$ \acute{e} - $|\phi \iota e$ /s. See App. A. 20, 23, 24.

Note 15. This mode of lengthening a syllable, however, is not often so simple as in these examples. More frequent is it:

When the following word begins with two consonants; which species
of position (see 14 above) without this ictus does not regularly make a

long syllable; e. g. ὅτι ῥā θνήσκοντας ὁρᾶτο.

2) When the following word begins with a liquid; because such a letter can be easily doubled in pronunciation; e. g. Il. ε. 748 "Hρη | δε μά | στιγῶ ... δ. 274 ἄμα | δε νέφος | εἶπετο ..., pron. demmastigi, dennephos. The ρ especially can be so easily doubled in such cases, that even in the Attic poetry, in the thesis as well as in the arsis, a short vowel before ρ is very commonly made long; e. g. in the arsis of the senarius, --τοῦ | προσώ | που τὰ | ράκη, Aristoph. Plut. 1065; and the thesis of the spondee among anapæsts, --αῦται | δε ρῖ- | νας ἔχου- | σω, id. Nub. 343. Indeed, where a short syllable was necessary, the ρ was even avoided.

3) When the following word had the digamma; the aspiration of which could in like manner easily be increased. Hence the verses of Homer so often close with the possessive of (from i) in this manner: Suyari-

| ρα ήν, — πόσε- | τ φ. See § 6. n. 3.

16. In the dactylic hexameter, further, there is also this rule, viz. that a long vowel or diphthong at the end of a word becomes short when followed by another vowel; e.g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon\nu$ $\tilde{a}-|\rho\iota\sigma\tau os$, — $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\tau a\iota|\tilde{a}\lambda\gamma os$, — $\sigma o-|\phi\omega\tau\epsilon\rho\eta|\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\omega\nu$. When however this case coincides with the arsis, the syllable remains long; in other instances, rarely; except before the digamma, as has been already remarked, § 6. n. 3. On the other hand, in the Attic senarius this mode of shortening a long syllable was unknown; the case being always avoided as hiatus.

Note 16. In the middle of a word also the shortening of a long vowel or diphthong before a vowel sometimes occurs; but only in certain words and forms, which must have had some such tendency in their pronunciation; as in $\pi o \iota e \hat{\iota} \nu$ (often written $\pi o e \hat{\iota} \nu$), $\pi o \hat{\iota} o s$ and its correlatives, o $\hat{\iota} o s$, $\tau o \iota o \hat{\iota} \tau o s$ etc. But every such long sound is always shortened before $\hat{\iota}$ demonstrativum (§ 80), e. g. $\tau o \iota \tau o \tau o \hat{\iota}$, $\hat{\iota} \iota \tau \circ \tau o \hat{\iota}$, etc. also in the epic $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \hat{\iota}$ for $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\iota}$.

§ 8. Accents.

1. Along with the quantity of syllables, the Greek language paid regard also to the *Tone*, or what we call the *Accent*.* The

^{*} There is no reason for believing that the accents were a mere invention of the Grammarians. It is true that the earlier writers had no marks for the tone-syllable; nor did they need them. It was only later, when the pronunciation of words with a false accent was creeping more and more into the language of common life, that observant Grammarians introduced the still current marks or accents; and this not as in other languages only here and there in special cases, but according to a well-considered system throughout the whole language; just as the Masorites afterwards did the same with the Hebrew text of the Old Testament. For these endeavours we owe them no small thanks, in consequence of the variable nature of the Greek tone.

Greek accent, however, falls just as often on a short, as on a long syllable; and hence, if we utter this accent in our modern way, it must often injure the quantity; e. g. τίθημι, Σωκράτης. For

the manner of avoiding this, see 9, notes.

2. A knowledge of the accents, both in general and in their details, is indispensable for every one who would learn the language thoroughly; nor are they without practical benefit even for common use. Very often the quantity of syllables is indicated by the position of the accents; many words and forms, which otherwise would have the same sound, are distinguished only by them; and even when they teach us nothing directly, they yet serve to point out to us the general laws of the tone.

9. The Acute and Circumflex.

1. Every Greek word, considered by itself, regularly has the tone upon one of its vowels; and this is of two kinds, the acute and the circumflex. The acute, ὀξεῖα (sc. προσφδία accent), is the sharp or clear tone, denoted by the mark (_').

2. The circumflex, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$, i. e. the winding or prolonged tone, is denoted by the mark (2). It can stand only on a sylla-

ble long by nature.

Note 1. According to the theory of the ancients, there rests upon every syllable, which in our mode of speaking has not the tone, another called the grave or falling tone, $\beta a \rho \epsilon i a$, Lat. gravis. But its mark ($^{\perp}$) is not in practice written over such syllables; and is to be well distinguished from the grave treated of in § 13.—According to the same theory, a long vowel marked with the circumflex is to be regarded as composed of two short ones which are drawn together in pronunciation, of which the first has the acute accent and the other the grave; e. g. $\hat{\omega}$ from $\hat{\omega}$. On the contrary, when two short vowels accented thus, $\hat{\omega}$, pass over into a long one, this latter takes only the acute, $\hat{\omega}$.

Note 2. The audible expression of this difference in pronunciation is for us difficult. It will be sufficient here, to warn the learner against two principal errors. On the one hand, let him accustom himself to distinguish every accented long vowel ($\hat{\omega}$ or $\hat{\omega}$) from an unaccented one (ω), e. g. in $\tilde{a}_{r}\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma s$, in such a manner, however, as not to read the latter as short o.* On the other hand, let him avoid also the opposite error, and not prolong the accented short vowels; e. g. not pronounce $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\epsilon\rho$ like $\tilde{\delta}\pi\epsilon\rho$.†

^{*} Thus one can accent the first syllable in \$\textit{urpowors}\$, and yet prolong the second. Something like this occurs also in English, in the words \$gr\u00e4ndf\u00e4ther, \u00e4lms-b\u00e3sket\$, etc. † So soon as we endeavour to accent a short syllable, there arises the tone which we are accustomed to mark in English by doubling the following consonant. Hence comes a difficulty; since we must presume that the ancients distinguished between \$\textit{tr}\$1 and \$\textit{brt}\$1, \$\textit{bd}\$\textit{the}\$ and \$\textit{bd}\$\textit{the}\$3, without prolonging the vowel. To make this clear, we may compare the word \$\textit{loss}\$\textit{the}\$ and the three similar English monosyllables \$\textit{sh}\$ \$\textit{hd}\$ the \$\textit{the}\$ \$\textit{the}\$, of which the middle one is short and yet can have the tone. This is manifestly very different from \$\textit{sh}\$ \$\textit{d\textit{ha}\$ can have the tone. This is manifestly very different from \$\textit{sh}\$ \$\textit{d\textit{ha}\$ can have the tone of \$\textit{sh}\$ \$\textit{the}\$ \$\textit{the}\$ \$\textit{the}\$ \$\textit{the}\$ \$\textit{the}\$ in order to pronounce this accented short vowel immediately before another vowel.

10. Words named according to the Accents.

1. The proper tone or accent, acute or circumflex, can stand only on one of the last three syllables; the acute upon either of them, the circumflex only on one of the last two.

Note 1. That from and the like are only apparent exceptions, is evident from § 14. n. 2.

2. In its relation to the tone, the last syllable gives to the whole word its grammatical name. According as the last syllable has 1) the acute, 2) the circumflex, or 3) no tone at all, the word is called:

Oxytonon (oxytone), as δργή, Βεός, ὅς, τετυφώς Perispomenon (circumflexed), as φιλώ, νοῦς Barytonon (barytone), as τύπτω, πράγμα, πράγματα.

3. All barytones of two or more syllables are again subdivided -according as they have 1) the acute on the penult, or 2) on the antepenult, or 3) the circumflex on the penult—into

Paroxytona; τύπτω, τετυμμένος Proparoxytona; τυπτόμενος, ἄνθρωπος Properispomena; πράγμα, φιλοῦσα.

Note 2. For apparent barytones, e. g. like δργή, τετυφώς, etc. and for the atona, see § 13.

§ 11. General Rules of the Accents.

The place of the tone in every word is learned by observation and practice, better than by all rules and exceptions. Still, the following fundamental rules may be laid down, in regard to the distinction between the two species of tone.

1. The circumflex requires a syllable which is long by nature, i. e. from the nature of the vowel itself, and not solely from posi-

E. g. tion.

> κήδος, φως, τείχος, ούτος, σμήγμα. τιμάτε, ήμ \hat{u} ν, π \hat{v} ρ.

In these last three words the doubtful vowels a, i, v, are long. A short vowel consequently, when it has the tone, can take only the acute; e. g. έτερος, μένος, ἵνα, πρός, πολύ, πλέγμα.

Note 1. Hence $\sigma \mu \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$ has the circumflex only because of the η , and not because of the position $\gamma\mu$. Since now e. g. $\pi\rho\hat{a}\gamma\mu a$ and $\mu\hat{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$ have also the circumflex, we hence know that the a is here long in itself, and not on account of the $\gamma\mu$ and $\lambda\lambda$, § 7. n. 4.

2. The acute can stand also upon a long vowel; e. g. σοφώ-

τερος, δεύτερος, φεύγω, τιμή, βασιλεύς, φώρ.

3. When a final syllable, which is long by nature, has the tone, it can have the circumflex; and in contracted final syllables this is almost always the case († 28. 6); e. g. άληθέος άληθοῦς, ποιέω

- ποιῶ. In other instances it is, generally speaking, more rare. Many monosyllables have the circumflex; e. g. πῦρ, βοῦς, πᾶς, οὖν, νῦν. But among words of more than one syllable, which have the tone on the last, with the exception of the contracts, only the following take the circumflex:
 - a. The adverbial ending ws, § 115. 3.
 - b. The Gen. and Dative endings, § 33. 7.
 - c. The Vocative endings ev and oi, § 45.
- 4. When however a *penult* syllable, which is long by nature, has the tone, it *must* have the circumflex, whenever the *final* syllable is short, or long only by position; e. g.

ρημα, οίνος, ψύχος, βώλαξ (G. άκος).

- Note 2. This rule does not apply to words to which enclitics are appended; hence «τε, οῦτε, ωσπερ, ἢτιε, τούσδε, etc. § 14. n. 2.—The only real exceptions are the particles είθε and ναίχι, prolonged from εὶ and ναί. The accentuation ναιχί is false.
- 5. On the contrary, when the *final* syllable is long by nature, the circumflex cannot stand upon the penult, but only the acute; hence

ρήτωρ, οίνη, ψύχω, Δώραξ (G. aκος).

- 6. The antepenult syllable can have only the acute (§ 10. 1). When however the final syllable is long, either by nature or from position, the antepenult cannot have the tone; hence Σωκράτης, συλλέγω, ἐριβῶλαξ.
- 7. The endings $a\iota$ and $o\iota$, although in themselves long, have nevertheless in respect to the two last preceding rules only the

power of a short vowel; hence τρίαιναι, προφήται, πῶλοι, ἄνθρωποι, Plurals of τρίαινα, προφήτης, πῶλοι,

ανθρωπος. τύπτομαι, τύπτεται, τύπτεσθαι, τέτυψαι, Passive forms. ποιήσαι, στήσαι, Sείναι, Infinitives. ποίησαι, στήσαι, Imperatives Mid.

Note 3. The following are exceptions:

1) The third person of the Optative in or and ar, e. g. φεύγοι, ποιήσαι.

2) The adverb olkou at home. Plur. olkou houses is short.

- 3) Words to which enclities (§ 14) in οι are appended; e. g. μοί, τοί, as οίμοι, νοοε is me! ήτοι, whether from ή truly, or from ή οτ.
- 8. The ω in the endings of the Attic declension so called likewise permits the tone to be on the antepenult; e. g. πόλεως, πόλεων († 51), and N. and A. Sing. and G. Plur. ἀνώγεων, † 37.

Note 4. So also the ω in the Ionic Gen. in εω of the first Declension; e. g. δεσπότεω for δεσπότου, § 34. IV. 5.

NOTE 5. It is now easy to see, how the learner can readily ascertain the quantity of many words by means of the accents. It is at once known:

- From the circumflex, that the syllable on which it stands, is long.
 From the acute in such words as καρκίνος, βάθρον, etc. that the penult is short (Text 4).
- From the accent of such words as πείρα, ἄρουρα, that the last syllable is short (Text 4, 6).

4) From the acute in χώρα, Λήδα, that the last syllable is long (Text 4, 5). Further, we pronounce the ι in ἄσιτος long, and in Θεόφιλος short, because σῖτος has the circumflex, and φίλος the acute. So also in δίκη, ἄδικος, we recognise the short ι, because the Plur. δίκαι occurs sufficiently often to recollect, that we have never seen it with the circumflex (Text 7).

Note 6. Only the circumflex on monosyllables decides nothing for their lengthened forms; since the monosyllable nominatives of the third Decl. are always long (§ 41. n. 3. § 42. n. 2); e. g. πῦρ, μῦς, Gen. πῦρός, μὕός.

12. Changes of the Accents.

When a word is changed by declination, conjugation, or in any other way, this change has in very many cases an influence on the accent; viz.

1. A necessary influence, when the change is such, that the accent of the primary form, according to the preceding rules, can no longer be retained; in such cases

The circumflex is exchanged for an acute, e.g. olvos Gen. olvov

† 11. 5; ἡῆμα Gen. ἡήματος † 10. 1.

The acute for a circumflex, e.g. τιμή G. τιμῆς § 11. 3. b; φεύγω Imperat. φεῦγε § 11. 4.

Or the accent is removed from the antepenult to the penult; e. g. ἄνθρωπος G. ἀνθρώπου, ἄρουρὰ G. ἀρούρὰς § 11. 6.

- 2. But even in cases where according to the preceding rules it would not be necessary, the accent is often, not indeed changed for another, but removed from its former place; viz.
 - a) The accent is drawn back; chiefly: 1) When the word receives in any way an addition at the beginning; e. g. τύπτω τύπτε έτυπτε; δδός σύνοδος; παιδευτός ἀπαίδευτος. 2) When the cause, which held the accent to the penult in the primary form (§ 11.6), falls away; e. g. παιδεύω, Imperat. παίδευε. More exact details and the exceptions are given in the notes under no. I, at the end of § 103, and in the rules for the composition of words § 121.
 - b) The accent is moved forwards, but for the most part only when the word receives one of those endings, which always have the tone; e.g. the Part. Perf. in ώς, as τέτυφα Part. τετυφώς; the Genitives of monosyllables in the third Decl. as 3ήρ, 3ηρός, § 43. n. 4; also many endings in the formation of words, § 119.

Note. For the shifting of the tone in Anastrophe, see § 117. 3; in Apostrophe, see § 30. n. 1; when the Augment is dropped, see § 103. m. 2.

13. The Grave Accent. Atona.

1. Hitherto we have considered the tone, only as it belongs to every word and every form by itself. But the *connection* of words has also an influence on the tone. This takes place in two

principal cases; viz. the tone of a word is modified by its dependence either upon the following or the preceding parts of a sentence. This we call: I. Tendency of the tone towards the following word or words, as shewn (1) by a depression of the acute, or (2) by casting off the tone; II. Tendency of the tone towards the preceding word, or Inclination.

I. Tendency of the Tone towards the following Word or Words.

2. When an oxytone (§ 10.2) stands in connection before other words, the acute tone or accent is depressed, i. e. it passes over more or less into the grave, and the final syllable thus loses its sharp or clear tone. This depressed acute is thus marked, \(\triangle \). At the end of a period, however, and consequently before a point or colon,* the acute remains unchanged. E. g.

'Οργή δὲ πολλὰ δρậν ἀναγκάζει κακά.

Note 1. According to the theory in § 9. n. 1, all syllables without the tone (Lat. graves) ought to receive this mark. But as this was not usual, the same mark was chosen for all those accounted final syllables, in which the tone was weakened in order to connect them the more readily with other words in a sentence. Hence in grammar such words are never called barytones, but remain oxytones.

Note 2. The interrogative pronoun τ is, τ i, (§ 77,) is the only exception from this rule. For the acute on final syllables before enclitics, see § 14.

3. The following monosyllables, all beginning with a vowel,

οὐ (οὐκ, οὐχ) not, ὡς as, εἰ if, ἐν in, εἰς (ἐς) into, ἐξ (ἐκ) out of,

and these nominatives of the prepositive article (§ 75)

δ, ή, οί, ai,

appear commonly wholly unaccented, because of their close connection with the following word; hence they are called atona, words without tone. E. g. δ voûs $\dot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ Asias $\dot{\omega}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ mapó $\delta\omega$ où $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$ map $\dot{\eta}\nu$.

4. So soon however as such words are no longer in connection with the following words, whether they stand alone, or at the end of a sentence, or after the words on which they depend, they immediately take their tone. E. g. οῦ no; πῶς γὰρ οῦ; why not? Seòs ὡς ἐτίετο as a god; οὐδὲ κακῶν ἔξ, for ἐκ κακῶν.

NOTE 3. These words stand, in respect to the tone, nearly in the same relation to the following word, as the enclities do to the preceding one; hence they are now often called, after Hermann's suggestion, proclitics. See Ausf. Sprachl. § 13. 5, note.

14. Enclitics.

II. Tendency of the Tone towards the preceding Word.

1. This is the *Inclination* of the tone so called, εγκλισκ.

^{*} Through a misunderstanding of the ancient principle, the acute is now placed by most editors also before a comma.

There is in Greek quite a number of words, of one and two syllables, which can connect themselves both in sense and pronunciation so closely with the preceding word, as to throw back their tone upon it. Since now these words, in respect to their tone, as it were lean or support themselves (ἐγκλίνεσθαι) upon the foregoing word, they are therefore called Encliticæ, Enclitics. On the other hand, every accented word, and these enclities themselves when they retain their tone, are called orthotone, ὀρθοτονούμενα, i. e. with upright tone, not inclined.

2. Such enclitics are:

1) The indefinite pronoun τls , τl , through all the cases, as also the forms $\tau o\hat{v}$, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$, which belong to it. The interrog. τls , τl , who? has on the contrary always the acute, § 77.

2) The following oblique cases of personal pronouns: μοῦ, μοἱ, μέ· σοῦ, σοἱ, σέ· οὖ, οἶ, ἔ· μίν, νίν, and most of those beginning with σφ. § 72. n. 2. 3.

3) The Pres. Indic. of eimi and $\phi \eta \mu l$, except the monosyllabic

2 pers. Sing. § 108. IV. § 109. I.

4) The indefinite adverbs πώς, πή, ποί, πού, ποθί, ποθέν, ποτέ, which are distinguished solely by their enclitic tone from the interrogative particles πῶς; πότε; etc. § 116.

5) The particles πώ, τέ, τοί, βήν, γέ, κέν or κέ, νύν or νύ,* πέρ,

 $\dot{\rho}\dot{a}$, and the inseparable particle $\delta\epsilon$, see notes 2. 3.

3. Whenever the inclination takes place (comp. 7 below), if the word which immediately precedes the enclitic be a proparoxytonon ($\tilde{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$) or a properispomenon ($\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu a$), the enclitic throws back its accent upon it; but always as an acute upon the final syllable; e. g.

ἄὺθρωπός ἐστι, σῶμά μου.

When an atonon or unaccented word (as ϵi) precedes, this word receives the accent; e.g. $\epsilon i \tau \iota \varsigma$.

4. When however the preceding word has already an accent of its own upon the final syllable, or has simply an acute upon the penult syllable, this accent of its own serves also for the enclitic; but in such cases the acute on the final syllable is not, as elsewhere, depressed into the grave († 13. 2); e.g.

άνήρ τις καί ποτε φιλώ σε γυναικών τινων.

5. When a monosyllable enclitic follows a paroxytone, the enclitic loses its accent, and no further change takes place; since here too the accent of the paroxytone serves also for the enclitic; e.g.

άνδρα τε · λέγεις τι ·

6. When one enclitic follows another, the first, after having thrown back its tone upon the preceding word, receives itself the

^{*} This particle (now, well, indeed) is distinguished by its enclitic form from the adverb of time viv now.

tone of the second enclitic, but always as an acute; and so on, when several follow, quite to the last, which alone remains unaccented; e. g. $\epsilon \ddot{i}$ $\tau i \hat{s}$ $\tau i \nu \acute{a}$ $\phi \eta \sigma \acute{i}$ $\mu o i$ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \nu a \iota$.

7. The enclitics retain their tone, that is, become *orthotone* (see 1, above), when the inclination is *hindered*. This takes place:

When an enclitic of two syllables follows a paroxytone;
 e. g. λόγος ποτὲ ἐχώρει· ἐναντίος σφίσιν (note 1).

2) When the syllable upon which the tone of the enclitic would regularly have been thrown back, has been cut off by apostrophe; e. g. πολλοὶ δ' εἰσίν.

Note 1. The more readily to understand the rules for Inclination, the learner may refer them back to those for the accent of single words in connection with the number of syllables; yet without taking into account the quantity of the final syllable as affecting the accent. If we conceive of two words combined into one through the inclination, (which is really the case except in the writing,) then ἄνθρωπός ἐστι must receive a second accent, because the accent on the fifth syllable from the end is not enough for the word; and so in σωμά μου, with the circumflex upon the antepenult. On the other hand, in ἀνήρ τις, φιλῶ σε, ἄνδρα τε, the usual accent is sufficient; and only the manner in which dissyllables are inclined after perispomena, varies from the rule. Hence examples like γυναικῶν τινων and ὧυτινων are not marked as enclitic by recent grammarians. In cases where an enclitic dissyllable follows a paroxytone, the inclination is said to be hindered; i. e. the accent of the paroxytone can not serve at the same time for the enclitic, To write here λόγός ποτε would violate the rhythmic law e. g. λόγος ποτε. of arsis and thesis, by placing two syllables with equally sharp tone in immediate succession. Hence it remains, λόγος ποτέ. App. A. 20.

8. Besides these cases, an enclitic can regularly remain orthotone, only at the beginning of a clause or sentence, or when some emphasis in the thought falls upon it, especially in an antithesis. Many of these words, however, (especially those in no. 2. 5,) are in their nature such, that they can never come into these circumstances, and are therefore always enclitic.

Note 2. Many words, which are usually connected with an enclitic in some particular sense, are also written with it in one word; e. g. $\varpi\sigma\tau\epsilon$, over, ρ particular sense, are also written with it in one word; e. g. $\varpi\sigma\tau\epsilon$, over, ρ particular sense, are also written with it in one word; e. g. $\varpi\sigma\tau\epsilon$, over, ρ particular sense (§ 76, 79. § 116. 2, 7). The enclitic $\delta\epsilon$ (different from $\delta\epsilon$ but) occurs only in this shape (as inseparable) in $\delta\delta\epsilon$, $\tau\sigma\sigma'\sigma\delta\epsilon$, $\delta\delta\epsilon$, $\delta\delta\rho$,

Note 3. The demonstratives, whenever they are strengthened by $\delta\epsilon$ (§ 79. § 116. 7), move forward in all cases their own tone upon their final syllable; e. g. $\tau \delta \sigma \sigma s$, $\tau \delta i \sigma s - \tau \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon$, $\tau \delta i \delta \epsilon c \tau \eta \lambda i \kappa \sigma \delta c \tau \eta \lambda i \kappa \sigma \delta \epsilon c \tau \delta \delta \epsilon c \tau \delta \delta \epsilon c$ Since this now becomes the regular accent of the principal word, the Gen. and Dat. of these compounds take also the circumflex upon their long vowels, according to § 33. 7. E. g. $\tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon$, $\tau \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon$; on the other hand, Nom. and Acc. $\tau \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon$, $\tau \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon$.

§ 15. Marks of Interpunction and other Signs.

1. The Greek written language has the point (period) and comma, like our own. The colon is marked by a point above the line, e.g. οὐκ ἡλθεν· ἀλλά—. The note of interrogation (;) is like our semicolon.

Note. The note of exclamation (!) has been only very recently introduced by a few editors.

2. From the comma the Diastole or Hypodiastole (,) must be distinguished. This serves more clearly to separate some short words connected with enclitics, in order that they may not be confounded with other similar words; e.g. $\ddot{o}, \tau \iota$ (epic $\ddot{o}, \tau \tau \iota$) neut. of $\delta\sigma\tau\iota$ s, and $\tau\delta,\tau\epsilon$ (and that), in order to distinguish them from the particles $\delta \tau \iota$ (epic $\delta \tau \tau \iota$) that, and $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ then.

3. The following marks have reference only to letters and syl-

lables:

_ the Apostrophe, see § 30.

the Coronis or mark of crasis, see § 29.

in the mark of Diæresis (French tréma), placed over the last of two vowels, to show that they are to be pronounced separately, and not as a diphthong; e. g. $\delta i s$ o-is, $\pi \rho a \dot{v} s$ pra-us.

§ 16. MUTATIONS OF THE CONSONANTS.

1. In the formation of words and derivation of forms, there occur in the Greek language many changes of the letters, chiefly for the sake of euphony and easier pronunciation. These often make the root very difficult to be recognised; while they yet almost always proceed from acknowledged principles.

2. In regard to the Consonants it is in general to be observed, that letters of the same organ, or those which in different organs have the same power (§ 4.3), are also most inclined to pass over into each other, or be exchanged for one another, whenever a

change takes place in a word.

3. This circumstance is also the foundation of the difference of dialects; as the sketch in the following notes will show.*

Note 1. The dialects exchange most frequently for one another:

a. The aspirates; e. g. 9λαν crush, Att. φλαν. So the name φήρ for a centaur (man and beast) is only an earlier form for 9ήρ beast; όρνις, G. δρνιθος, Dor. δρνιχος.

b. The middle; e. g. γλήχων penny-royal, Att. βλήχων γη, old Dor δα' δβελός spit, Dor. δδελός.

c. The smooth; thus the interrogative particles and their kindred forms. instead of the usual π , $(\pi \circ \hat{v}, \pi \hat{\omega}_s, \pi \circ \hat{i}_{\sigma s}, \delta \pi \circ \hat{i}_{\sigma s}, \pi \hat{\omega}, \text{ etc.})$ have among the Ionics always κ, (κου, κώς, κοίος, όκοίος, κώ, etc.)—So πότε when, Dor. πόκα. Also πέντε five, Æol. πέμπε.

^{*} The learner must take care not to regard the following dialectic changes as general or frequent. In many of them the examples adduced are the only ones that exist.

d. The liquids; thus the Dorics say, for λλθον, βέλτιστος, φίλτατος,—λνθον, βέντιστος, φίντατος; the Ionics and Attics for πνεύμων lungs, πλεύμων; for κλίβανος oven, there exists an Attic form κρίβανος.—For μίν and νίν

see § 72. n. 6. 12.

e. The letters of the same organ; e. g. the Attics say γναφεύς fuller rather than κναφεύς; and τάπις carpet was equally good with δάπις. The Ionics sometimes also exchange the aspirates for the corresponding smooth mutes; e. g. δέκομαι for δέχομαι take; αὐτις for αὐθις again; Att. ἀσφάpayos, Ion. ἀσπάραγος, asparagus.

The σ, especially with the other linguals, viz.

With τ; as for σύ, πλησίον near, Ποσειδῶν,—Dor. τύ, πλατίον, Ποτειδάν. With 3 in the Laconic dialect always; for Seos God, Seios divine, Lac.

With ν, as in the ending μεν, Dor. μες, e. g. τύπτομεν, τύπτομες.

With ρ ; thus many of the Doric tribes, instead of the endings as, η s,

os, ωs, employed in all cases aρ, ηρ, ορ, ωρ.

g. The double letters with the kindred simple ones, especially (with 8; e. g. ζόρξ a form of δόρξ roe; μάζα dough, Dor. μάδδα.—In many words, the old language and the Æolic dialect, instead of ξ and ψ , transposed the two corresponding simple letters, e. g. σκένος for ξένος strange, σπαhis for wakis shears. And especially the Dorians, instead of in the middle of a word, employed commonly σδ; e. g. συρίσδω for συρίζω, μέσδων for μέζων or μείζων, etc. Comp. § 3. 2.

Note 2. Instances of the commutation of letters which are not in the above manner related to each other, are exceedingly rare; e. g. μόγις and μόλις hardly, κοείν an Ionic form for νοείν to think; κελαινός, κελαινή, poetic for μέλας, μέλαινα, black.*

Note 3. Two exchanges of letters, founded on what is above adduced, are so frequent, that they deserve to be particularly marked, viz.

> ττ and σσ $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$ and $\rho\sigma$.

The first of these takes place in most words, where these letters occur; and the latter in very many. The forms $\tau\tau$ and $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$ belong chiefly to the Attics, $\sigma\sigma$ and $\rho\sigma$ mostly to the Ionics; e. g.

Att. Ion. τάττειν — τάσσειν, arrange αρρην - ἄρσην, male γλώττα — γλώσσα, tongue κόρρη — κόρση, cheek.

Still, the Ionic forms are also found in the best Attic writers, and in the earlier ones even by preference; see § 1. n. 6.

§ 17. The Aspirates.

1. Every aspirate is to be considered as having arisen from the corresponding smooth mute (tenuis) in connection with the Spiritus asper. Hence the Latin mode of writing the aspirates, ph, th, ch.

2. When therefore in composition a smooth mute and the rough breathing meet together, there arises from this junction an aspirate. Ε. g. the words ἐπί, δέκα, αὐτός, compounded with ἡμέρα day, after dropping their respective final vowels, give

έφήμερος, δεχήμερος, αὐθήμερος.

3. The same takes place also in separate words; e.g. (oùx) ούχ δσίως. Also with an apostrophe (§ 30); e. g. $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}, \dot{a}\pi' - \dot{a}\phi' \dot{o}\dot{v}. \quad \dot{a}\nu\tau l, \dot{a}\nu\tau' - \dot{a}\nu\theta' \dot{v}v.$

^{*} For this and similar instances, see the author's Lexilogus, II. 109.

Note 1. The Ionics retain in both cases the smooth mutes; e. g. ἐπ' ὅσον, οὐκ ὡς, ἰστάναι—μετιστάναι, κατάπερ for καθάπερ (καθ ἄπερ). Comp. § 16. note 1. e.

Note 2. A singular case of this change of a smooth mute, is, when another letter stands between it and the rough breathing, as in τέθριππον a four-horse chariot, from τετρα- and Ιππος; and in some Attic contractions, as θοιμάτιον for τὸ Ιμάτιον (§ 29. n. 4, 5), φροῦδος from πρό and ὁδός.**

18. Laws of the Aspirates.

1. It is a law of the Greek language, that when two successive syllables would regularly begin each with a *rough* mute, one of these, and usually the first, passes over into the corresponding *smooth* of the same organ. This rule is without exception in all *reduplications*; e. g.

πεφίληκα, κεχώρηκα, τίθημι, — instead of φεφ. χεχ. Sίθ. Elsewhere, however, in flexion and derivation, this law is observed only in some few cases.

2. Some few words have already in their roots strictly two aspirates, of which consequently the first has been exchanged for a smooth. So soon, however, as in the course of formation or flexion the second aspirate is in any way changed, the first immediately reappears. E. g.

Root ΘΡΕΦ: Pres. τρέφω nourish, Fut. Δρέψω, Derivatives τροφή, Δρεπτήριον, Δρέμμα.

Similar causes may also already have operated upon the primary form, which stands in the lexicon (the Nominative or Present), and not upon the forms derived from it. Hence arises the case apparently opposite to the former one $(\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega, \Im \rho \epsilon \psi \omega, - \Im \rho l \xi, \tau \rho \iota \chi \acute{o}_{S})$ which however is at bottom the same:

Root ΘΡΙΧ: Nom. Δρίξ hair, Gen. τριχός, Dat. Pl. Δριξίν, Derivative τριχόω.

Here belong still some other verbs (see in § 114 Θάπτω, ΘΑΦ-, Θρύπτω, τρέχω, τύφω); also the adjective ταχύς, Compar. Θάσσων, § 67.

3. Very seldom, when two aspirates thus come together, is the second one changed. Yet this is the rule in respect to Imperatives in $\Im \iota$; e. g. Imper. Aor. 1 $\tau \iota \phi \theta \eta \tau \iota$ for $\tau \iota \phi \theta \eta \theta \iota$. See n. 2.

Note 1. In some words the Ionics change the first aspirate, the Attics the second, and vice versa; e. g. χιτών tunic, Ion. κιθών; ἐντεῦθεν, ἐνταῦθα, Ion. ἐνθεῦτεν, ἐνθαῦτα, § 116. 7.

Note 2. The Passive ending $9\eta\nu$, and the forms derived from it, act only upon the preceding 9 in the two verbs

Sύειν burn incense, Seîvai place, as ἐτύθην, ἐτέθην, τεθείς. In all other verbs no such change occurs, e. g. ἐχύθην, ἀρθώθην from ὀρθόω, Βαφθείς, ἐθρέφθην, ἐθέλχθην. Of the Imperative

^{*} Meanwhile the form $\phi \rho \circ i \mu \iota \circ \nu$ (for **reoi\u00eduo\u00b*) from **\u00edpo and oi\u00edu\u00edu, compared with $\partial \rho d \sigma \sigma \omega$ abridged from *\u00edapd\u00eduo\u00b*, shows that even in the absence of the rough breathing the tenues readily became aspirated before \u03b3.

ending St, τt, the Imp. Aor. 1. Pass. is the only certain case; see in the verb τίθημε § 107. m. 5. The Imperative φαθί from φημί, and the Homeric τίθναθι (see 3νήσκω), deviate from this law.—No other ending affords examples for the general rule of this section; for we find 3έσθε, Κορινθόθι, πανταχόθεν, etc.

Note 3. Among compound words, the rule is followed only in $\hat{\epsilon}_{\kappa\epsilon\chi}\epsilon\iota\rho ia$ truce, from $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi\epsilon\nu}$ and $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho$; $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu\pi\epsilon\chi\omega}$ (see the anom. verb $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi\omega}$), $\hat{\epsilon}_{\pi}a\phi\hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{\pi}a\phi\hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{\pi}a\phi\hat{\eta}$, where the regular aspiration of the π before the rough breathings $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\delta}_{\nu}$, († 17. 2,) is omitted. In all other compound words no change occurs; as $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}\phi\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}\phi\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}$, etc.

NOTE 4. This law, strictly speaking, extended itself also over the Spiritus asper, which it changed into the lenis. The clearest example of this is in the following verb:

Root 'EX: Pres. Exw have, Fut. Exw, Deriv. extends.

Generally however the breathing remains unchanged, e. g. ἀφή, ὑφαίνω, ἦχι, ἔθεν.

19. Accumulation of Consonants.

- 1. From the immediate juxtaposition of consonants, there often arises a harshness, which the Greek language endeavours to avoid.
- 2. In general three consonants, or one consonant and a double letter, cannot stand together, unless either the first or the last of them is a liquid, or γ before a palatal; e. g. πεμφθείς, σκληρός, τέγξω, ἄτεγκτος. In composition, however, κ and σ at the end of the first word can remain before two other consonants; as δύσφθαρτος, ἔκπτωσις, ἐκψύχω. In all other cases, such a concurrence is either avoided, or one letter is dropped; as ἐσφάλθαι for ἐσφάλσθαι in the Perf. Pass. § 98. 2.
- 3. But the concurrence of even *two* consonants can occasion harshness; and to avoid this there are certain definite rules, which are given in the following sections.
- Note 1. In some rare cases the insertion of a third consonant serves to ease the pronunciation. When e. g. the liquid μ or ν , after dropping a vowel, comes to stand immediately before the liquid λ or ρ , the middle mute (β, δ) corresponding to the first, is inserted; e. g. from $\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\rho a$ comes $\mu\epsilon\eta\eta\beta\rho\hat{\epsilon}a$ mid-day; from $\mu\epsilon\mu\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\tau a$ came the epic $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\mu\beta\lambda\epsilon\tau a$; and $\hat{d}\nu\hat{\eta}\rho$ has Gen. $\hat{d}\nu\hat{d}\rho\hat{\delta}\rho$ s.
- Note 2. Sometimes, but equally seldom, a consonant is transposed by metathesis, to a more convenient place. So arose the Nom. $\pi\nu\nu\dot{\xi}$, from the root HYKN, which re-appears in the oblique cases $\pi\nu\kappa\nu\dot{\epsilon}$ s, $\pi\nu\kappa\nu\dot{\epsilon}$, etc. (See the Table of anom. Nouns § 58.) But even without any strong motive of euphony, such transpositions have occasionally been introduced; e. g. in the formation of the Aor. 2, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\partial\omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\rho\alpha\partial\nu$; or on account of the metre, $\kappa\rho\alpha\dot{\epsilon}$ for $\kappa\alpha\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ and also the reverse, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\rho\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ s for $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\rho\alpha\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ s, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\rho\partial\omega$ ros for $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\partial\omega$ ros, etc.
- Note 3. In the ancient language two consonants stood together more frequently; one of which was afterwards dropped in the ordinary language, but was often retained by the poets (Epenthesis), for the sake of the metre or of the more energetic sound; e. g. $\pi\tau\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s, $\pi\tau\delta\lambda\epsilon$ s, and their compounds, for $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s, $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ s. Hence we also see how $\chi\alpha\mu\alpha$ i on the ground and $\chi\theta\alpha\mu$ a $\lambda\delta$ s low are connected.



Note 4. On the other hand the σ has a great propensity to introduce itself before other consonants (Prosthesis); e. g. the Ionic-Attic σμικρός for μικρός; and thus arose the forms σμίλαξ, σκεδάω, μίσγω, ὅπισθεν, and others, from the more ancient μίλαξ, κεδάω, ΜΙΓΩ (whence μιγείς, etc.) ὅπιθεν, etc.

20. Assimilation of Mutes.

1. Two mutes of different organs can stand together in Greek, only when the latter is a lingual $(\tau, \delta, \text{ or } S)$. The following general rule is almost without exception:

A smooth mute admits before it only a smooth; a middle only a middle; and a rough only a rough.

Ε. g. έπτά, νυκτός · βδελυρός, ερίγδουπος · ἄχθος, φθίνω.

2. Hence, when in the course of formation or flexion two mutes of different organs come together, the first generally assumes the character of the second. E. g. by appending the terminations τos , $\delta \eta \nu$, $\Im \epsilon us$, are formed

from γράφω write — γραπτός, γράβδην from πλέκω braid — πλεχθείς.

3. When two mutes of the same kind stand together, if one of them be changed, the other must also be changed. Thus from ἐπτά, ὀκτά, come ἔβδομος, ὄγδοος; and when of two smooth mutes the second passes over into the rough in consequence of the accession of the Spiritus asper († 17. 2, 3), the first also follows it; e. g.

έπτά, ημέρα — έφθημερος, of seven days, νύκτα — νύχθ όλην, all night.

4. The κ of the preposition ἐκ alone can stand before all the other mutes, and remains unchanged before them all; e. g. ἐκ-θεῖναι, ἐκδοῦναι, ἐκβάλλειν, ἐκγενἔσθαι, ἐκφεύγειν. See § 26. 6.

1 21. Doubling of Consonants.

1. Consonants doubled are not so frequent in the Greek as in English. The semivowels, λ , μ , ν , ρ , σ , are oftenest doubled; and after them τ .

2. The ρ at the beginning of a word, is always doubled in the common language, whenever in formation or composition a simple vowel comes to stand before it; e. g.

έρρεπου, ἀρρεπής, from ρέπω with e and à,

περίρρος, from περί and ρέω, see § 83. 2. § 120. 6. With diphthongs this does not take place, e. g. εῦρωστος, from εὖ and ρώννυμι.

3. The rough mutes can never be doubled; but take before them the corresponding smooth, e. g.

Σαπφώ, Βάκχος, Πιτθεύς.

NOTE 1. The poets, with the exception of the Attics, often double a consonant for the sake of the metre; e. g. δσσον, δττι, όππότε, ἔννεπε, for δσον, etc. So also ὅκχος, σκύπφος, for ὅχος, σκύφος. This however does not take place arbitrarily, but in certain words often, in others never (e. g. ἔτι, ἔτερος, ἀμα, ἀνεμος); most frequently with the semi-vowels. See more on this subject § 27. n. 14 sq.

Note 2. On the other hand, the same poets avail themselves, though far more rarely, of a simple consonant, when the common usage employs a double one; e. g. 'Αχιλεύς, 'Οδυσεύς, for 'Αχιλλεύς, 'Οδυσσεύς. In like manner they omit to double the ρ; e. g. ἔρεξε from ρέζω.

§ 22. The Double Letters \(\psi\\\ and\\\ \xi\$.

1. When the letters β , π , ϕ , and γ , κ , γ , come to stand before σ , they pass over with it into the kindred double letters ψ or ξ . E. g. by appending the future ending $\sigma\omega$ are formed from

τρίβω τρίψω, λείπω λείψω, γράφω γράψω λέγω λέξω, πλέκω πλέξω, στείχω στείξώ,

and with the ending of the Dat. Plur. σι, σιν, are formed from Αραβες "Αραψι, κόρακες κόραξιν, δυυχες δυυξι.

2. Here also the preposition ἐκ constitutes an exception; e.g. ἐκσώζω.

Note 1. We must by no means suppose, that the ψ when it thus stands for $\beta\sigma$ and $\phi\sigma$, and the ξ when it stands for $\gamma\sigma$ and $\chi\sigma$, are always to be pronounced the first like bs or fs, and the latter like gs or chs. If this were so, the double letters were but a poor invention. The true state of the case is, that before σ , the letters γ and χ were changed into κ , and β and ϕ into π ; and then were written together with the σ in ξ and ψ . An evident proof of this is a comparison of the Lat. scribo, scripsi.

Note 2. The ζ is also a double letter, and stands originally for $\sigma \delta$ (§ 3); but in the ordinary course of flexion and formation, the cases where it is written instead of these letters, occur for the most part only in some adverbs of place, which are formed by appending the syllable $\delta \epsilon$; as $\Lambda \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \zeta \epsilon$

for -aσδε. ∮ 116.

† 23. Consonants changed before μ.

1. Before μ in the middle of words, the *labials* of *P-sounds* (β, π, ϕ) are always changed into μ ; e. g. in the Perf. Pass. and in derivative words:

τρίβω τριμμα, λείπω λέλειμμαι, γράφω γραμμή.

2. The palatals and linguals are often changed before μ , viz. κ and χ (K-sounds) into γ , e. g.

πλέκω πλέγ-μα, τεύχω τέτυγ-μαι,

and the T-sounds, δ , \Im , τ , with the double letter ζ , into σ , e. g. $\delta \delta \omega d\sigma - \mu a$, $\pi \epsilon l \theta \omega m \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma - \mu a \iota$, $\psi \eta \phi i \zeta \omega \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma - \mu a$.

Note. In the formation of words generally, the palatals and linguals are nevertheless sometimes found unchanged before μ ; e. g. ἀκμή, ἔχμα, ἴδμων, κευθμών, πότμος. Other examples are peculiar to the dialects; e. g. from ὅζω (ΟΔΩ) comes Ion. ὀδμή, comm. ὀσμή.

§ 24. Changes of the Linguals.

- 1. The linguals δ , \Im , τ , ζ can stand only before the liquids λ , Before μ they are commonly changed into σ (§ 23); and ζ as a double letter, does not appear before another consonant.
 - 2. Before other linguals they are changed into σ , e. g. ηδω ησ-θην, πείθω πεισ-τέον.
 - 3. Before σ they are dropped, e. g. άδω ά-σω, πείθω πεί-σω, σώματα σώμα-σι, φράζω φρά-σις.

Note. For the changes of τ in the abbreviations of $\kappa a \tau a$, see § 117. n. 2.

§ 25. Changes of the Consonant v.

1. The ν generally remains unchanged before δ , \Im , and τ . Before the labials (β, π, ϕ, μ) it is changed into μ ; and before the palatals (γ, κ, χ) , into γ with the sound of ng († 4. 4). E. g. in compounds with σύν and έν,

συμπάσχω, ἐμβαίνω, συμφέρω, ἔμψυχος εγκαλώ, συγγενής, εγχειρίζω, εγξέω.

Note 1. In appending the enclitics (§ 14. n. 2) an exception is made for the sake of distinction, but only in writing; e. g. τόνγε, ὅνπερ, pron. τόγγε, δμπερ; see n. 4.

2. Before the liquids λ , μ , ρ , the ν is assimilated, i. e. changed into the same letter, e. g.
συλλέγω, έλλείπω, ἐμμένω, συρράπτω.

But the preposition $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ remains commonly unchanged before ρ ; as ἐνράπτω.

3. Before σ and ζ , the ν in composition is sometimes retained, sometimes changed into σ , and sometimes dropped (see n. 2); in flexion ν is commonly dropped before σ , e. g. in the Dat. Plur. δαίμον-ες δαίμο-σι μην-ες μη-σίν.

Here too the preposition εν remains unchanged; as ενσείω, ενζεω.

4. When after the ν , a δ , \Im , or τ has also been dropped before the σ (§ 24), the short vowel becomes long, e. g.

πάντ-ες πᾶ-σι, τύψαντες τύψασι (§ 46); in order to which, ϵ passes over into $\epsilon \iota$, and o into ov (§ 27. 2), e. g. σπένδ-ω, Fut. σπεί-σω· εκόντ-ες, Dat. εκοῦ-σιν.

Note 2. Exceptions to these rules are verbal forms, like πέφανσαι (2 Perf. Pass. from φαίνω), πέπανσις, subst. from πεπαίνω; also the nominatives of words in Decl. 3 having vθos in the Gen. as ή έλμων worm, ή πείρων basket-wagon, Tipurs.

Note 3. $\Sigma''\nu$ and $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\nu}$ before simple σ , change their ν into σ , as $\sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \iota$ τία, παλίσσυτος. When, however, σ is followed by another consonant, and also before ζ, σύν drops its ν, as σύστημα, συσκιάζω, συζυγία; but πάλιν commonly retains it, as παλίνσκιος.—"Αγαν, except where a doubling or assimilation takes place (as ἀγάννιφος, ἀγάρροςς), every where drops the v, as αγασθενής, αγάκλυτος.

Note 4. By the ancients, the ν at the end of words was also pronounced according to the principles of this section, when the following word began with a consonant; especially in the article and in prepositions. E. g. $\tau \delta \nu$ $\beta \omega \mu \delta \nu$, $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \nu \nu \rho \ell$, $\sigma \nu \nu$ $\epsilon \alpha \rho \tau \hat{\varphi}$, were pronounced thus: $\tau \circ \mu \beta \omega \mu \delta \nu$, $\epsilon \mu \pi \nu \rho \ell$, $\sigma \nu \nu$ - $\epsilon \alpha \rho \tau \hat{\varphi}$. In old inscriptions, which do not separate the words, such instances are often thus written.

§ 26. Movable Final Letters.*

- 1. Certain words and endings have a twofold form, with and without a final consonant. The first is commonly used before a vowel, the latter before a consonant.
 - Here belongs particularly the movable ν, called in Greek ν ἐφελκυστικόν,

which the Dat. Plur. in $\sigma \iota \nu$, and in verbs all third persons in $\epsilon \nu$ and $\iota \nu$, can cast off or retain, see n. 2; e. g.

πασιν είπεν αὐτό, πασι γὰρ είπε τοῦτο ἔτυψεν ἐμέ, ἔτυψε σέ λέγουσιν αὐτό, λέγουσι τοῦτο τίθησιν ὑπό, τίθησι κατά.

- 3. A similar ν is also found in the following words and forms, viz.
 - The local ending σιν derived from the Dat. Plural; e. g
 'Ολυμπίασιν at Olympus. § 116. 3.
 - 2) The epic termination φω. § 56. n. 9.
 - The numeral εἴκοσιν twenty; where, however, the form without ν can also stand before vowels.
 - 4) The adverbs πέρυσιν and νόσφιν.
 - The enclitic particles κέν and νύν. § 14.
 - 6) Sometimes the i demonstrative. § 80. n. 3.
- 4. Of the same character is the s in οὕτωs, οὕτω, so; and also in μέχριs, ἄχριs, until; except that the last two often stand without s before a vowel.

Note 1. The Ionics omit the valso before a vowel. On the other hand, it is used not only by the poets even before a consonant in order to make a position; but it was also frequently employed in this manner in the Attic prose, in order to give energy to the tone. Besides these cases, it stands also in correct editions, without reference to any following word, at the end of sections and books; in short everywhere, wherever the discourse is not immediately connected with something following. For metrical reasons the v is also written at the end of most kinds of verse, even when the next verse begins with a consonant.

Note 2. This last circumstance shews clearly, that this ν is not, as is generally supposed, merely an invention for the sake of euphony; but that this, as well as the other final letters of the kind, certainly belonged to the ancient formation, and was first dropped before consonants, as the language became softer. Hence there are also other forms, which cast off their final letters among the Ionics, or for the sake of metre; as the adverbial terminations $\theta \epsilon \nu$ and $\kappa \iota s$, e. g. $d\lambda \lambda o \theta \epsilon$ for $d\lambda \lambda o \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\pi o \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota$ for $\pi o \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota$ is $d \tau \rho \epsilon \mu a$ and

^{*} These are called *movable*, not because they are simply audible, as the term implies in Hebrew grammar; but because they may be added to certain words, or removed from them, at pleasure, in certain circumstances; and in distinction from fixed letters, which cannot be thus removed. Comp. § 87. n. 2. and n. 5. b.—Ta.

ἀτρέμας.—Exactly similar to the movable ν, is also the ν in composition with a privative; e. g. ἀναίτιος. § 120. 5.

5. The particle où not, no, takes before a vowel a final κ , and consequently, before the rough breathing, a final χ ; e. g.

οὐ πάρεστιν, οὐκ ἔνεστιν, οὐχ ὕπεστιν.

When however this particle stands at the end of a clause, or where there is a pause in the sense, the κ falls away; e. g. $\tau \circ \hat{v} \circ \delta$ ov, but this not. Ov $\hat{a}\lambda\lambda$ or $\hat{a}\nu$, no: but when:

6. The preposition if out of, has this form only before vowels

and before a pause; e. g.

έξ έμου, έξ ότου, κακών έξ.

Before all consonants the s of the double letter ξ (κ s) falls away, and the κ remains; e. g.

έκ τούτου, έκ βαλάσσης, έκ γης.

This κ remains unchanged, at least in the written language, also in composition; where it forms the exceptions mentioned in § 20. 4. § 22. 2.

\$ 27. Changes of the Vowels.

- 1. The vowels are changed in Greek, as in all other languages; but without any fixed universal law. In flexion and in the nearest derivatives, when the primary vowel or diphthong is exchanged for another, this latter may be called the *alternate* vowel or sound (Germ. Umlaut); meaning simply the corresponding vowel or diphthong, which thus alternates with that of the ground-form, or into which that of the ground-form is changed. E. g. $\tau \rho \acute{e} \pi \omega$ I turn, $\check{e} \tau \rho a \pi \omega$ I turned, $\tau \rho \acute{o} \pi o s$ turn, trope; where the a and o in the latter words are the alternates of ϵ in the first word.
- 2. To the change of vowels belongs also the *lengthening* and *shortening* of a sound; both which, however, are generally connected with some other change; e. g. a into η . So too when from any cause the sounds ϵ and o are lengthened, they seldom pass into η and ω , but are changed,

 ϵ into $\epsilon \iota$, o into $o \nu$.

Comp. note 1; also § 25. 4. § 41. 4. § 46. 2.

3. All these changes of the vowels constitute another principal part of the peculiarities of the *dialects*; of which the following notes give a general view. Comp. § 16. 3.

Notes.

1. The Ionics thus lengthen the ε and o of the other dialects, chiefly however when these sounds are followed by the semi-vowels; e. g. ξείνος, εἴνεκα, ὑπείρ, for ξένος strange, ἔνεκα on account of, ὑπέρ over; νοῦσος, οῖνομα, πουλύς, κούρη, for νόσος disease, ὅνομα name, πολύς much, κόρη maid;—or else when the ε is followed by another vowel, e. g. λείουσι lions, σπεῖος cave, χρύσειος for χρύσεος golden. Of these licenses the poets also availed themselves, especially the epic. But here too the same caution holds good, as above in the doubling of consonants (§ 21. n. 1); for the change in question was never admitted in some words, e. g. in πόλις, ὄνος, μένος, περί, etc.

- 2. When ă and o before a vowel are lengthened by the Ionics, they pass over into at and ot; e. g. derós eagle, dei always, Ion. alerós, alei πόα grass, Ion. ποίη.
- 3. In other instances the Dorics, Ionics, and poets take the directly opposite course, and write e. g. ἔδεξε for ἔδειξε (from δείκουμι), μέζων, κρέσσων, χερός (G. of χείρ), for μείζων, etc.—For βούλεσθε stands the antique βόλεσθε (§ 5. n. 3); and for the Acc. in our, Dor. or, see Dec. II.
- 4. Elsewhere the Dorics and Æolics often have w for o and ov, and before σ also οι for ου. Ε. g. κώρος for κόρος οτ κοῦρος boy, δώλος for δοῦλος slave, δν (also Ion.) for οὖν, Μῶσα and Μοῦσα for Μοῦσα, ἀκοίσω for ἀκούσω from ἀκούω.
- 5. The η in Greek words has arisen in most cases out of the a, which predominated in the more ancient language, and remained afterwards the characteristic vowel of the Dorice; who instead of η commonly had a long; e. g. $\delta\mu\epsilon\rho a$ for $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho a$ day, $\dot{\phi}\dot{a}\mu a$ for $\dot{\phi}\dot{\eta}\mu\eta$ rumer, $\sigma\tau\dot{a}\nu a$ for $\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\nu a$. The same takes place also in the solemn poetry of the tragic choruses. Comp. § 1. 2, and n. 12.
- 6. When, on the contrary, the Ionics in some single instances change η into a, this a is short; as in ἀράροῦα for ἀρηροῦα, τεθάλοῦα, etc. Hence in the Ionic forms λέλασμαι from λήθω, μεσυμβρία for μεσημβρία, the a must not be lengthened in pronunciation, as in the Doric.
- 7. Elsewhere the Ionics prefer throughout the η, and commonly use it instead of long a; e. g. ἡμέρη, σοφίη, for -a; ἡήρ, ἡέρος, for ἀήρ, ἀέρος; ἰητρός, θώρηξ, for ἰατρός physician, θώραξ Gen. ἀκος breast-plate; πρήσσω, πρῆγμα, for πράσσω, πρᾶγμα.—Hence also κηῦς, γρηῦς, for καῦς, γραῦς; and even η for aι in the Dat. Plur. in ης, ησι of Dec. I.
- 8. For ϵ the Ionics use η only in some cases of flexion (as $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} a$), and in the diphthong ϵ , which they often resolve into $\eta \hat{\imath}$; ϵ . g. $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \hat{u}$ for $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i s$, any $\hat{\eta} \hat{u}$ for any $\epsilon i s$, $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \hat{u}$ for $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$ (§ 28. n. 3).—The Dorics for ϵs before a vowel have η ; ϵ . g. $\sigma a\mu \hat{\eta} \hat{u}$ for $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{u} \hat{u}$.
- 9. In most instances the Ionics change a before a liquid or a vowel into ε; e. g. τέσσερες for τέσσαρες four, ερσην for άρσην male, ὕελος for ὕαλος glass, μνέα for μνάα mina, and in the verbs in άω (§ 105. n. 8). In some instances, on the contrary, ε is exchanged for a, as τράπω, τάμνω, for τρέπω, τέμνω; μέγαθος for μέγεθος.
- 10. A particular Ionic-Attic usage is, that when long a stands before o, the former is changed into ϵ , and the latter into ω ; e. g. for $\lambda \bar{a} \acute{o}s$ people, $\nu \bar{a} \acute{o}s$ temple, we find Att. $\lambda \epsilon \acute{\omega}s$, $\nu \epsilon \acute{\omega}s$; for $\chi \rho \acute{a}o\mu a\iota$ (I use) Ion. $\chi \rho \acute{\epsilon}\omega \mu a\iota$; and thus is explained the Ionic Genitive in $\epsilon \omega$, from the antique form in ao, see Dec. I.*
- 11. The Ionics change av into ων or ων in the compounds with αὐτός, and in the words θαθμα wonder (θαυμάζω etc.) and τραθμα wound; as ἐμεωντός, ἐωντόν (§ 74. 3), θώθμα, τρώθμα. The simple αὐτός remains unchanged among the genuine Ionics; and ωὐτός stands merely for ὁ αὐτός. § 29. n. 6.
- 12. Examples of other vowel-changes are: πάρδαλις, Dar. πόρδαλις; ὅνομα, Æol. ὅνομα; Ιστίη Ιοn. for έστία hearth.

Notes on the Lengthening of Syllables generally.

(With reference to §§ 21 and 27.)

13. The merely poetic lengthening of o into ω takes place very rarely; as δύω, Διώνυσος, for δύο, Διώνυσος.—Whenever a, ι, υ, are short in the ordinary language, but are long in the old or poetic dialect, (e. g. 'Ιλίου with



^{*} This change takes place also in the adj. Theus, wv, for Thuos, ov; in the Gen.
réws for raos from raos; and in several proper names in acs, as Meréhaus, 'Audodpass, or -ews; but not in those in acs, as Olrópass.

the middle syllable long, $\partial u \dot{\eta} \rho$ with long a, etc.) this does not appear in the written form; except sometimes in the accent, as in loos for loos.

- 14. In the early written language, moreover, there was no visible sign for a syllable thus lengthened; inasmuch as on the one hand the letters ϵ and o stood also for η and $\epsilon \iota$, φ and ov; and on the other, the consonants were not written double. § 21. 1, and n. 1.
- 15. The Grammarians first introduced into the works of the ancient poets, the mode of marking the metrical prolongation of a syllable, by doubling the consonants, or by long vowels and diphthongs. But here also the usage was never entirely settled. Very often such words were written in the former usual manner; and the correct metrical pronunciation was left to the intelligent reader.* Of this there are still in the poets, as they have come down to us, many remains; thus δλοῆσι (II. a. 342. χ. 5) has the second syllable long, and also διεμοιρᾶτο (Od. ξ. 434); just as we sometimes find written e. g. ἔμμαθεν. And when the epic poets make the first syllable long in ᾿Απόλλωνος, ἀπονέεσθαι, συνεχές, ὄφις, it is doubtful whether this was done by lengthening the vowel, or by doubling the consonant.
- 16. In modern times, many have endeavoured to restore the ancient usage of not doubling the consonants in writing. This has been done however in a very unsettled and indefinite manner; and hence the learner must be put upon his guard, in order that he may not be led into error, when he finds sometimes ἀπολλήγεω and sometimes ἀπολήγεω with the same quantity; and sees, in various editions, the consonant in some words doubled, in others not.
- 17. Not unfrequently however a consonant is doubled even after a long vowel, e. g. μᾶλλον, ήσσων, ήττων, Κνωσσός, 'Υμηττός, λεύσσω, κρείσσων, ερείττων; and the same is the case in πράσσω (Ion. πρήσσω), Παρνασσός (Ion. Παρνησσός), κνῖσσα, Κηφισσός, in which the vowel is to be pronounced long. Here too some editors prefer in the proper names the ancient orthography, and write Κνωσός, Παρνησός, κοῖσα, Κηφισός, 'Ιλισός, etc. The names of places in -οῦσσα have arisen out of -όεσσα; thus Σκοτοῦσσα, Πιθηκοῦσσαι, 'Αργινοῦσσαι, etc. But Συράκουσαι, -ούσιος, with the short form Συρακόσιος, were already used in the ancient language. See Ausf. Sprachl. § 21. n 9.

§ 28. Contraction.

- 1. A vowel immediately preceded by a vowel in the same word, is called *pure*, and is said to have a *pure* sound, i. e. a sound not ushered in by a consonant. More particularly, the *endings* which begin with a vowel, as a, os, ω, are called *pure*, whenever they are preceded by a vowel; as in σοφία, διπλόος, φιλέω.
- 2. The characteristic difference between the Ionic and Attic dialects is, that the former prefers in most cases the concurrence of vowels; while the latter mostly avoids it. (See however notes 1 and 5.)
 - 3. The usual methods of avoiding a concurrence are:
- 1) Elision, where one vowel is dropped and the other remains unchanged. This takes place chiefly in the contact of two separate words, and in composition; see § 30, 120.

^{**} The same usage as to orthography, in the opposite case of shortening a long vowel, see in § 7. 16, and n. 16.

- 2) Contraction, where two or more vowels are drawn together into one combined long sound. This takes place according to the following principles:
- a) Two vowels form in themselves a diphthong. In this way, arise $\epsilon \iota$ and $o\iota$ out of $\epsilon \bar{\iota}$ and $o\bar{\iota}$, e. g. $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon \bar{\iota}$ $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon \iota$, also $\bar{\iota}$ also $\bar{\iota}$, $\bar{\iota}$ 49, The other proper diphthongs cannot well be formed in this manner; but the *improper* ones readily, as

φ, η, φ, out of αῖ, ηῖ, ωῖ, e.g. γήραῖ γήρα (§ 54), Θρήῖσσα
 Θρῆσσα, λώῖστος λῷστος (§ 68).

b) Two vowels pass over into a kindred long sound, commonly, so that there arise the following, viz.

η out of εα,—τείχεα τείχη, κέαρ κῆρ heart. \$ 49.
ει out of εε,—ποίεε ποίει, ρέεθρον ρεῖθρον stream. \$ 105.
ω out of { αο and αου,—τιμάομεν τιμώμεν, τιμάου τιμώ. \$ 105. }
οα and οη,—αἰδόα αἰδώ, μισθόητε μισθώτε. \$ 49, 105. }
ου out of { οο,—πλόος πλοῦς, μισθόομεν μισθοῦμεν. \$ 36, 105. }
ου out of { οε,—ἐμίσθοε ἐμίσθου. \$ 105. }
εο,—τείχεος τείχους, ποιέομεν ποιοῦμεν. \$ 49, 105.

c) The doubtful vowels a, ι, v , when short, absorb the following vowel, and thereby become long, e.g.

Ion. ἄεθλος (a short) Att. åθλος, struggle; τίμαε τίμα.

Xίως Xũος (one from Xίως); Dat. "Ιφι "Ιφι.

Plur. $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}es$ and $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}as$ (ν short) $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}s$, from Sing. $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}s$.

d) A long sound absorbs a vowel either before or after it, without further change. This takes place particularly with a, ϵ , o, before and after every kindred long sound, and before ω ; e.g.

φιλέω φιλῶ, (note 7), τιμήεντος τιμήντος, τιμάω τιμῶ, Ποσειδάων (long a) Ποσειδῶν, λâaς λâς stone, μισθόουσι μισθοῦσι, πλόοι πλοῖ.

4. When a diphthong with ι (the improper ones included) is to be contracted with a preceding vowel, the contraction of the first two vowels takes place according to the above rules. The ι is then either subscribed, e. g.

τύπτ-εαι τύπτ-η (§ 103. n. III.)

ἀεί-δω ἄ-δω, ἀοι-δή φ-δή, τιμ-άει and τιμ-άη—τιμ-ậ;

or else it falls away, if the new sound does not admit the ι subscript, e.g.

μισθ-όειν μισθ-οῦν, 'Οπόεις 'Οποῦς. 141. n. 5.

NOTE 1. What is said above includes only regular and analogical contraction. Various exceptions and peculiarities occur below under the Declensions and Conjugations; and for the contraction of two words, or crasis, see § 29.—Moreover contraction does not take place, even among the Attics, in all cases, where according to the preceding rules it could occur; as will be seen below and also from observation.

Note 2. On the other hand the Ionics, as above remarked, commonly neglect the contraction, and often resolve a long sound into its constituent parts, which had long fallen out of use among the other Greeks; e. g. 2 pers. Pass. τύπτεαι for τύπτη; so even φιλέεαι, ἐπαινέεαι, etc. for φιλέη, etc.

which is commonly again contracted, $\phi i \lambda \hat{\eta}$, (Att. $\tau \hat{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon_i$, $\phi i \lambda \hat{\epsilon}_i$, according to § 103. m. 18.)—The Doric dialect has many of these resolved forms, in common with the Ionic.

- Note 3. From the same propensity of the Ionics, comes also in the epic language the so frequent resolution or separation of the aphthongs in certain words; e. g. πάις for παις, δίσμαι, ἐὐτροχος, as also ἀγγήῖον for ἀγγείον, and the like; likewise the resolution into a double sound (Germ. Zerdenung), or rather the doubling of a vowel sound (§ 105. n. 10); e. g. φάανθεν, κρήγον, for φάνθεν, κρῆγον; and the Ionic insertion of ε; e. g. ἡέ for ἤ, ἡέλιος for ἦλιος, ἐείκοσι for εἴκοσι, and so ἀδελφεός, τουτέου, etc.*
- NOTE 4. Sometimes the Ionics even promote the concurrence of vowels by dropping a consonant; e.g. τέρασε for τέρατος (§ 54). Comp. τύπτεαι, etc. in § 103. n. III.
- NOTE 5. There are also cases where the Ionics contract, and the Attics do not; e.g. Ion. Ιρός with long ε, for Ιερός. The Ionics have also in common with the Dorics a peculiar contraction of εο and εου into ευ; e.g. πλεῦνες for πλέονες, ποι-εύμενος from ποι-εόνες and ποι-εῦς from ποι-εόναι (comp. § 105. n. 13).—Finally it is to be observed, that the Ionic of the ancient ερίς, employs contraction much oftener than the later Ionic prose.
- Note 6. The ancients often wrote out the vowels in full, and less the contraction to the pronunciation. This usage, called Synatesis (συνίζησις), or also Synatepha (συναλοιφή), has in many cases been retained in the works of the ancient poets, especially the epic; e. g. II. λ. 282 Λφρεον δε στήθεα, where the two endings φρεον and θεα are to be pronounced as one syllable, thus, ἄφρευν δε στήθη. So 9. 763 χάλκεον (pron. ουν) δε οἱ ἦτορ. The same occurs among the Attics very often in θεός, θεόν, which otherwise is never contracted, and in some proper names, as Νεοπτόλεμος.—For the Synizesis between two words, see § 29. n. 11.
- Note 7. The contraction above pointed out in no. 3. d, $(\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}, \text{ etc.})$ could also be considered as *elision* or merely a dropping of the ϵ . But in the middle of words, a vowel is thus dropped (except in compounds, as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \dot{\epsilon}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$) mostly only in some Ionic elisions, as $\dot{\phi} o \beta \dot{\epsilon} o$ for $\dot{\phi} o \beta \dot{\epsilon} e o$ (§ 105. n. 7). In the cases first in question, however, there was evidently a purpose of producing a new combined sound, as is proved by the analogy of other examples, and by the circumflex wherever it is written (see 6, below); but the long sound already existing was adopted, or rather was retained, to represent this new sound.

Accent and Quantity.

5. When neither of the two syllables to be contracted has the tone, the contracted one does not take it; e.g. περίπλους, ἐτίμων.

Note 8. Exceptions from this general rule are rare and anomalous; e. g χρύσεος, contr. χρυσοῦς, ∮ 60.

6. If however one of the two original syllables has the tone, it then remains also upon the contracted one; and if this be a penult or an antepenult syllable, the accent is determined according to the general rules († 10, 11). If it be a *final* syllable, it takes

^{*} Here it must be borne in mind, that although grammatical theory is wont to represent this as separation and insertion, in reference to the common form, yet that this common form itself may just as well be only a form originally contracted from the separate form, and in most cases actually is so. This can be shown in many instances; e. g. in eb- for db- from dbs, since ebs does not exist.

the circumflex, as νόος νοῦς, φιλέω φιλῶ; unless the original form had the acute upon the last syllable, which seldom occurs, and then the acute remains, according to \$49. n. 1; e g. ἐάν ἤν, ἐσταός ἐστώς, δαίς δάς. Exceptions are rare; see e.g. the Acc. ἢχόα ἢχώ, \$49.

NOTE 9. Sometimes in contraction the accent is shifted; e. g. in δέλητος, φρητός, § 41. n. 7; ἄεργος ἀργός, § 121. n. 6; also the flexion of εὔνους, § 60.

Note 10. Although every contracted syllable is in its very nature long, yet in some forms of declension which end in a contracted a or ι , the pronunciation has so obscured these mixed sounds, that they are sometimes found short. So especially the Neut. Plur. in a, e. g. ra $\gamma \epsilon \rho a$ (§ 54. n. 3), and some Datives, as $K\lambda \epsilon o \beta \iota$ from $K\lambda \epsilon o \beta \iota s$, G. ωs , (in Herodottus), with also $\delta a \iota$ (I) for $\delta a \iota \delta \iota$, and some similar epic forms, are to be compared (§ 56. n. 5). That however some of these instances may be considered as an elision of the first vowel, is apparent from § 53. n. 2, 3.

§ 29. Hiatus. Crasis.

1. When of two successive words the first ends, and the second begins, with a vowel, the breathing (spiritus) which is heard between them, whether rough or smooth, produces an effect called Hiatus. This hiatus between two words was more unpleasant to the ear, at least to the Attio ear, than a concurrence of vowels in the middle of a word. It was therefore rarely allowed in poetry; in Attic poets almost never. In prose also, the Ionic excepted, its frequent recurrence was avoided.

Note 1. The Attic verse permitted the hiatus for the most part only after the interrogative τi , the particles $\delta \tau i$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, and in the phrases $o b \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{i} s$, $\mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{i} \hat{s}$ (§ 70. 1), $\epsilon \hat{b}$ of δa , etc.

2. The natural means of avoiding the hiatus is by uniting both syllables into one.* This takes place in two ways: 1) By elision with the apostrophe (§ 30). 2) By contracting both syllables into one combined sound, or Crasis, Gr. κρᾶσις a mingling, from κεράννυμ. This crasis, or the combination of two syllables of different words in writing and pronunciation, often varies essentially from ordinary contraction in one and the same word; and is found, especially in prose, only in a small number of examples, which are given in the following notes.

NOTE 2. In crasis there are three things to be particularly observed.

a) Every crasis makes a long syllable (§ 7. 7). In this way several cases of crasis are distinguished from an elision by apostrophe; e. g..ταλη-θές, καρετή, for τὸ ἀλ. καὶ ἀρ. with short a. Hence such instances as τἀνδρός must be pronounced long; and τάλλα (for τὰ άλλα) must be written with the circumflex; which however is denied by some, who therefore write τάλλα. For the sake of uniformity, other instances like ταὐτό, ταὐτά, (for τὸ αὐτό, τὰ αὐτά,) must also be referred to crasis comp. § 28. n. 7.

b) The iota subscript is written in a crasis, only when in the original



^{*} That the movable ν is not to be regarded as a means of avoiding the hiatus. appears from § 26. n. 2

```
syllables an a occupied the last place; thus in kara from kal eira, but
not in kay for kal av.*
```

c) Over a crasis is commonly written the sign _, called coronis (κορωνίς).

Note 3. The crasis occurs most frequently in the article, e. g.

ούκ, ούπὶ, for ὁ ἐκ, ὁ ἐπὶ

τούναντίον, τούπος, for τὸ έναντίον, τὸ έπος

τοῦνομα for τὸ ὄνομα

ταμά, τάπὶ, for τὰ ἐμά, τὰ ἐπὶ

τἀγαθά, τἀλλα, for τὰ ἀγαθά, τὰ ἄλλα with long \bar{a} , see in note 2. s. τάληθές, τάδικον, for τὸ άλ. τὸ άδ.

ώπαιτῶν, ὡνήρ, for ὁ ἀπαιτῶν, ὁ ἀνήρ.†

Similar to these are the less frequent cases of crasis in the Neut. of the postpositive article or Relative Pronoun (§ 75); e. g. άδοξε for à έδοξε, às for à às, etc.

Note 4. Less easy to be distinguished are those cases of crasis where the contraction absorbs the diphthongs; e. g.

ούμοί for οἱ ἐμοί

ώπαντώντες or απαντώντες, for ol απαντώντες

τἀνδρός, τἀνδρί, for τοῦ ἀνδρός, τῷ ἀνδρί (see note 2. a); and so ταὐτοῦ, ταὐτῷ (§ 74), ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου, etc.

τάτιον for τὸ αίτιον,

or where the \tau of the article passes over into 9 because of the rough breathing (§ 17. n. 2), e. g. Θολμάτιον, Plur. Θαλμάτια, for τὸ ἰμ. τὰ ἰμ.

θήμετέρου for τοῦ ἡμετέρου.

Note 5. With erepos the vowels of the article are commonly contracted into ā; which comes from the antique and Doric form arepos (a) for erepos;

ἄτερος, ἄτεροι, for ὁ ἔτερος, οἱ ἔτεροι

θατέρου, θατέρφ, θάτερα, for τοῦ, τῷ, τὰ ἔτ.

Note 6. The Ionics also have the crasis, but always contract o and a into ω; e. g. τώγαλμα, τώληθές, τώπο τούτου for το άπο τούτου. In three words they also change the spiritus asper into the lenis, e. g

ώριστος, ωλλοι, ωὐτός, for ὁ ἄριστος, οἱ ἄλλοι, ὁ αὐτός.

So also τωὐτό, for τὸ αὐτό (ταὐτό). § 27. n. 11.

Note 7. The conjunction rai also often makes a crasis; and the r before a rough breathing passes over into χ ; e. g.

καν for και έν; καν for και αν and και έαν

κάπειτα, κάκείνος, κάγώ, for καὶ έπειτα, etc. see note 2. b.

kāta for kal elta

κάρετή, κίσος, for καὶ άρετή, καὶ ίσος

κφνος, κφκία, for και οίνος, και οικία

χάτερος for και έτερος; χώ for και ό -Other long syllables remain unchanged, as

κεί, κού, κεύ-, for καὶ εί, ού, εύ-; κείχον for καὶ είχον. The Ionics and Dorics use η for ā, e. g. κήν, κήπειτα.

Note 8. The particles τοί, μέντοι, ήτοι, also make with αν and αρα a long a, and must therefore be written as crasis, ταν, τάρα, μεντάν.

* Some however unnecessarily deviate from this rule, for the sake of avoiding

ambiguity, and write κάν, κάπειτα, etc.

† According to a critical theory which is not to be rejected, the only ordinary contraction of δ with α among the Attics was into long å, e. g. ἀνήρ (pron. hānēr); at least in the more common instances, as duhp, ανθρωπος, άδελφός, etc. It is assumed, that in all cases, where in our copies only duty stands, and the sense seems to require the article, it should be written article, and this is done in most of the recent editions.

however we find τ' $d\nu$, τ' $d\rho a$ or τ' $d\rho a$, etc. where the $\tau \circ i$ (τ') must not be confounded with Té.

Note 9. Among the many other cases of crasis, which must for the most part be left to observation, we adduce only the following:

ἐγῷμαι, ἐγῷδα, for ἐγώ οἶμαι, οἶδα μουστίν, μουδωκεν, and the like, for μοί έστιν, έδωκεν προύργου, προυλίγου, for πρό έργου, όλίγου ούγωλεγον for ὁ έγω έλεγον, Aristoph.

Note 10. To crasis must also be referred all those instances, where the initial vowel of a word is absorbed by a preceding long vowel or diphthong, e. g. οῦνεκα for οῦ ἔνεκα

όθούνεκα for ότου ένεκα (comp. n. 4), which is very often incorrectly written δθ' οῦνεκα

ώνθρωπε, ώνερ, ώναξ, for & ανθρωπε, ανερ, αναξ.

To avoid ambiguity, however, most cases of this kind are written as elisions and marked with the apostrophe, e. g.

 δ'γαθέ (ἀγαθέ) — τη 'ρημία (ἐρημία)
 ποῦ 'στιν (ἐστιν) — ἐγὼ 'ν τοῖς (ἐν).
 Hence some grammarians distinguish such examples from elision in the strict sense (§ 30), and also from crasis, by calling them Aphæresis (àpaiρεσις).*****

Note 11. Many other contractions were never expressed in writing, but left to the pronunciation, as cases of Synizesis (§ 28. n. 6), here also called Synecphonesis (συνεκφώνησις), which however it is not always easy for us to determine; e. g. ἐπεὶ οὐ as an iambus (Soph. Philoct. 446); μὴ οὐ in Attic poetry always as one syllable. So too in Homer, Il. ε. 446 ή εἰσόκεν as a dactyl; Il. ρ. 89—ἀσβέ | στφ οὐδ' υί- | ὸν—.

§ 30. Apostrophe.

1. In Greek, as in other languages, when a short vowel at the end of a word is removed by elision before another vowel, an apostrophe 'is set over the empty place; e.g.

έπ' έμοῦ for ἐπὶ ἐμοῦ.

When the following word has the rough breathing, and the elided vowel was preceded by a smooth mute, this latter becomes rough (§ 17. 3); e.g.

 $\dot{a}\phi$ où for $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$ où.

- 2. In prose there are certain words of frequent occurrence. which most commonly suffer elision, especially:
 - 1) All Prepositions of two syllables ending in a vowel, except περί. 🕴 115. 2.
 - 2) Many Particles, as ἀλλά, ἄρα and ἄρα, εἶτα, ἄμα, ἔτι, ἴνα: δέ, τέ, γέ, and their compounds ὥστε, etc.

[•] That all these are real cases of crasis, just as φιλέω φιλῶ is a real contraction, is shewn by the analogy of many acknowledged instances, as ἄδοξε, τὰπί, λητέρα (τῆ ἐτέρφ); and by the circumstance that such an elision is never found after a short vowel.—This plainer mode of writing such instances of crasis sometimes has difficulty; especially when the syllable that has been absorbed, had the accent, which we then often find written over the empty place; e. g. εἰ μὴ "χοιμι (ἔχοιμι). All such cases, as well as those above, must be regarded as if written μῆχοιμι, τηρημία, etc.

 Certain frequent combinations, as νη Δία (νη Δί), πανθ' όσα, οἰσθ' ότι, τοῦτ' ἔστι, etc.

Very often the short vowel of a word before αν, e. g. μάλιστ'

άν, ταῦτ' άν, θαυμάζοιμ' άν, etc.

Such elision occurs least of all in Ionic prose. The poets, on the other hand, avail themselves of this freedom in respect to most of the short vowels. The only limitation is, that short v, and monosyllables in a, i, o, (the epic pa excepted,) are never elided.

3. In Prepositions and Conjunctions, if the elided vowel had the accent, this is also cast off with the vowel; e.g. $\dot{a}\pi$ from $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$, $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$ from $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$, $\dot{o}\dot{v}\dot{o}$ from $\dot{o}\dot{v}\dot{o}\dot{e}$. In all other words, the accent is thrown back, always as an acute, upon the preceding syllable; e.g.

(κακά) κάκ' ἔπη, (δεινά) δείν' ἔπαθον, (φημί) φήμ' ἐγώ,

(τἀγαθά) τἀγάθ ἀυξεται, (ἐπτά) ἔπτ' ἔσαν.

Note 1. Enclitics of two syllables, after paroxytones, must not in case of elision be treated like those of one syllable which merely cast off their accent (§ 14. 5); but they follow the preceding rule. This however, for the sake of clearness, is not always observed. E. g. Soph. El. 542, "Alôns τίν' ζμερον (for τινά).

Note 2. It is very difficult to determine any rules for the use of elision in prose; since $\delta \epsilon$, $d\pi \delta$, etc. which are most commonly elided, are also often found without apostrophe. The investigation is so much the more difficult, since it is proved, that the ancients very often wrote a vowel which was elided in speaking.

Note 3. The Dative Sing. in and the particle on are never elided by the Attics; and by the epic writers, for the most part, only when no confusion can thereby occur with the more frequently elided Acc. in a and the particle ότε; e. g. εν δαίτ'—, αστερ' οπωρινώ—, γιγνώσκων, ότ' αναλκις.

Note 4. The third persons of verbs, which have the movable v, can be elided by the poets according to the necessities of the metre. So the Dative Plural; except that the forms of Dec. I and II, in aur, you, our, which in the earlier language are the common ones, coincide then with those in as, ys, ois, and therefore take no apostrophe even before a vowel. The elision of the Dat. Plur. of Dec. III, was avoided; because this case would then almost always be like the other cases which terminate in s. The strengthened epic form in σσι, e. g. χείρεσσι, ποσσί, sometimes admits it.

Note 5. The poets elided, though seldom, the diphthong a_i ; but only in the Passive endings μa_i , σa_i , τa_i , $\sigma \theta a_i$, e. g. $\beta o \hat{\nu} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta^* \tilde{\epsilon} \phi \eta$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \chi o \mu^* \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$. The au of the Inf. Aor. 1 Act. is never thus elided; but rather, when it seems to be elided, it makes a crasis with the syllable following.* Whether the Datives $\mu o i$, $\sigma o i$, were elided, is still very doubtful; see the Ausf. Sprachl. I. § 30. n. 6.—To crasis, and not here, belongs all that is elsewhere adduced as instances of the elision of long syllables, viz. rai and roi (§ 29. n. 7, 8). So too the apparent elision of τά, τό (ib. n. 2. a), and of initial vowels (ib. n. 10).

^{*} This at of the Inf. never falls away before a short vowel in such a manner that the syllable remains short; but in every instance the metre requires or admits a long syllable. According to the rule in the preceding section then, (comp. § 29. n. 2. a,) all such instances are to be regarded as cases of *crasis*; where however, for the sake of clearness, the apostrophe must be used; in the one case thus, γεῦσ' ύμῶς for γεῦσαι ὑμῶς (long v); in the other thus, γῆμαι ἐπῆρε (ἐπῆρε); unless we prefer to write the syllables in full, as a case of Synizesis.

PART II.

GRAMMATICAL FORMS AND FLEXION OF WORDS.

\$31. PARTS OF SPEECH.

1. Strictly speaking, there are only three principal parts of speech. Every word which names or denotes any subject or object is a Noun (nomen); the word by which something is predicated of any subject or object is called a Verb; and all other words, by which the discourse thus constituted is rendered more definite, connected, and animated, are called Particles.

2. It is however customary to make several important subdivisions of these principal parts; and hence in most languages it is common to assume eight parts of speech; viz. (I.) The Noun, which has its own subdivision of Substantive and Adjective; and from which are separated: (II.) the Pronoun, which includes also the Article; and (III.) the Participle, which as to Syntax belongs to the Verb. (IV.) The Verb remains without subdivision; but the Particles are subdivided into (V.) the Adverb, (VI.) the Preposition, (VII.) the Conjunction, and (VIII.) the Interjection; of which, however, the last is commonly reckoned by the Greek Grammarians among the Adverbs.

THE NOUN AND ITS DECLENSION.

\$32. Gender.

1. The gender of nouns, whether masculine, feminine, or neuter, is commonly known from the terminations; as will be pointed out under the several declensions. To mark the gender in grammar, the article is usually employed, viz. δ masc. $\hat{\eta}$ fem. $\tau \hat{o}$ neut. For the mode of declining the article, see § 75.

2. The names of persons, (man, woman, god, goddess, and the like,) have their gender according to the sex, let the termination be what it may; e.g. ἡ δυγάτηρ the daughter, ἡ νυός the daughter-in-law. But diminutives in ov are always neuter; e.g. τὸ γύναιον from γυνή woman, τὸ μειράκιον from μεῖραξ a youth.

- Note 1. The word τὸ ἀνδράποδον slave is neuter, because the slave was not regarded as a person, but only as an article of property. Neuter also is τὸ τέκονο το τὸ τέκος child, and the Plur. τὰ παιδικά darling, used instead of the Sing. these being classed with diminutives—Nevertheless, in construction, all words which do not stand in immediate contact with such personal neuters, are referred to the true gender and number; thus Homer even says τέκνον φίλε. See Syntax, § 123. n. 3. § 129. 11. § 143. 5. c.
- Note 2. It follows from the above, that every personal appellative which is common to the two sexes, is in grammar also of the common gender; e. g. δ dispossors the man, $\dot{\eta}$ dispossors the woman. Also $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ Sees god and goddess, $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ troop is male and female nurse, $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ with all the like; although in many such cases there are special feminine forms, as $\dot{\eta}$ Sea, goddess. These however were less employed by the Attics.
- Note 3. Many names of animals are in the same manner common; e. g. δ and $\dot{\eta}$ $\beta o \hat{v}s$ ox and cow, δ and $\dot{\eta}$ $\tilde{n}\pi n os$ horse and mare.—In most instances one gender serves for both sexes, and this is called, if masculine or feminine, epicene, Genus epicanum ($\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\kappa o i\nu os$); e. g. δ $\lambda \dot{v}\kappa os$ wolf, $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha}\lambda \dot{\omega}\pi \eta \xi$ fox. But in nouns of common gender also, one of the genders is that of the species, e. g. $\dot{\delta}$ $\tilde{i}\pi n os$ horse, generally and indefinitely, al alyes goats, i. e. the whole species. In general the fem. has here the preference; thus al $\beta \dot{o} \epsilon s$ is very often (but only in the Plur.) cattle generally. Thus $\tilde{a}\rho \kappa r os$ bear and $\kappa \dot{\alpha}\mu \eta \lambda os$ camel, when the marking of the sex is not essential, are commonly feminine ($\dot{\eta}$ $\tilde{a}\rho \kappa r os$, $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \dot{\alpha}\mu \eta \lambda os$), even when used of the male animal; and the same is very often the case with $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a \dot{\phi} os$ deer and $\kappa \dot{\omega} \omega v$ dog.—The fem. $\dot{\eta}$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \pi \pi os$ has moreover the special signification cavalry.
- 3. Masculine, as in Latin, are the names of nations, as οἱ Σκύθαι, οἱ Γαλάται; of rivers, following ὁ ποταμός river, as ὁ Θερμώδων, ὁ Πήνειος; of months, after ὁ μήν month, all ending in ων, as ὁ Γαμηλιών; of the winds, after ὁ ἄνεμος wind, as ὁ ζέφυρος, ὁ βοβρᾶς.
- 4. Feminine, with few exceptions, are the names of trees, as ή φηγός the beech, ή πίτυς the pine; also the names of cities, countries, and islands, as ή Κόρινθος, ή Αίγυπτος Egypt, (but ὁ Αἴγυπτος the Nile,) ή Λακεδαίμων, ή Λέσβος, etc.
 - Note 4. Exceptions from the above, and for the most part masculine, are:

The names of some trees; e. g. ὁ ἐρινεός wild fig-tree, ὁ φοίνιξ the palm,
 ὁ ἡ κέρασος the cherry-tree, ὁ ἡ κότινος the wild olive-tree, ὁ ἡ πάπυρος the

papyrus-reed.

2) Of names of cities: Plurals in οι, as οἱ Φίλιπποι, and those in ευς, as Φανοτεύς, always. Of those in ος, 'Ορχομενός is always masculine; and Πύλος, Επίδαυρος, 'Αλίαρτος, 'Ογχηστός, are usually so. Those in ους G. ουντος, and as G. αντος, are chiefly masculine; as ὁ Σελινοῦς, ὁ Τάρας. Those in ών are commonly feminine; as ἡ Βαβυλών, ὁ ἡ Σκινών.—Νευter are those names of cities without exception, which have the neuter endings ον and os (Gen. ους); e. g. τὸ Δουλίχιον, τὸ "Αργος.

\$33. Declension.

1. The Greek form of declension has the five ordinary cases of other languages. It has no distinct form corresponding to the Latin Ablative, but gives the signification of this case partly to the Genitive and partly to the Dative.

- 2. In declension, as well as in conjugation, the Greeks have one *number* more than our occidental languages, viz. the *Dual*, where only *two* are spoken of. This however is not always employed; by some writers never; most frequently by the Attics.
- 3. The *Dual* has never more than *two endings*; of which one is common to the Nom. Acc. and Voc. the other to the Gen. and Dative.
- 4. The Greek has three Declensions; corresponding to the first three in Latin. Their case-endings, or terminations in the different cases, are arranged together in the following Table.

Sing.	Dec	s. I.	Dec. II.	Dec. III.
Nom.	η, α	ης, āς	os Neut. ov	•
		~		
Gen.	ηςāς	ου	ου	ος (ως)
Dat.	9 —	-a	φ	ĭ
Acc.	ην-	-av	ον	\vec{a} or ν . Neut. like the Nom.
Voc.	η — a		ε Neut. ον	
Dual.	,		•	•
N. A. V.	ā		ω	! ε
G. D.	aiv		οιν	οιν
Plur.	•		•	•
Nom.	aı		o Neut. ă	es Neut. ă
Gen.	ῶν		ων	ων
Dat.	ais		ous	σιν or σι
Acc.	ās		ous Neut. ă	ăș Neut. ă
Voc.	aı		οι Neut. α	es Neut. ă

In this Table the form called the Attic Dea. II, is omitted for the sake of easier comparison; see § 37.

- 5. When these endings are pure, and admit of contraction (†28), there arises the contracted form of declension, which is specified below under each of the three declensions. The words which retain this contraction through all the cases and numbers, are called $\delta \lambda \sigma \pi a \theta \hat{\eta}$, affected throughout. This is always true of the contracts of the first and second declensions; in the third, strictly speaking, never. †48. n. 2.
- 6. The above endings are all simply case-endings, i. e. such as mark the case, but do not belong to the root or stem of words. What precedes them is always the simple root or stem of the word (not the etymological root); e. g. of $\tau\iota\mu\eta$ the root or stem is $\tau\iota\mu$. In this respect the first and second declensions are essentially distinguished from the third; since in the first two the Nom. has already a case-ending, and in the third not. Consequently, in the Nom. of the first two the simple stem already appears; in that of the third it is indeed present, but mostly somewhat changed; see §§ 39, 40.

Note 1. The three Greek declensions correspond nearly to the first three

in Latin; except that os in the Nom. becomes in Latin us, and in the Gen. is; while or and $\omega \nu$ are there um; and ν mostly becomes m.

Note 2. The Dat. Sing. has in all the declensions ι ; in the first two however the ι is subscript.

Note 3. The Dat. Plur. has strictly in all the declensions $\sigma \omega$ or $\sigma \iota_i$; since $\alpha \iota_i$, $\alpha \iota_i$, of the first two, is only an abbreviation from the ancient forms $\alpha \iota \sigma \iota_i$, $\alpha \iota \sigma \iota_i$, or $\alpha \iota \sigma \iota_i$, or $\alpha \iota \sigma \iota_i$. § 30. n. 4.

Note 4. The *Dual* is perhaps only an old abridged form of the Plural, which usage afterwards limited to the number of two; compare the Plural forms $\tilde{a}\mu\mu\epsilon$, $\tilde{b}\mu\mu\epsilon$ (§ 72. n. 6. 10) with the Dual of Dec. III. At least we still find, especially in the epic language, undoubted instances where the Dual stands for the Plural. They are however limited mostly to the verbs (§ 87. n. 6); and among substantive forms they occur solely in participles, e. g. II. ϵ , 487. Hymn. Apoll. 487, etc. See the *Ausf. Sprachl*.

7. In respect to the Accent, the rule is universal, that the case-endings of the Gen. and Dat. when they are long and have the tone, take the circumflex; those of the Nom. Acc. and Voc. in the same circumstances take the acute. Here however it is to be remembered, that in the third declension the ending of the Nom. and Voc. Sing. is not a case-ending. § 39.

§ 34. First Declension.

- 1. All words in η_s and a_s are masculine, and all in η and a feminine.
- 2. Words ending in a pure (§ 28. 1) or ρa, have the Gen. in as, and retain their a through all the cases of the Singular; as σοφία, μάχαιρα. The a is also retained by the contracts, e. g. μνα (note 1); further by ἀλαλά Gen. âs war-cry, and by some proper names, viz. Λήδα, Ανδρομέδα, Φιλομήλα, Γέλα, Διοτίμα, which have also long a in the Nominative.
- 3. All other words in a, i. e. all those in which a is preceded by any consonant except ρ , have the Gen. in η s, and Dat. in η ; but in the Acc. and Voc. they resume their a; see $Mo\hat{v}\sigma a$.
- 4. In the Dual and Plural words of all the four terminations coincide. The several forms may be learned from the following examples; in which also the regular changes of the accent are marked.

Sing.	$\dot{\eta}$ (honour)	η (wisdom)) ή (Mu se)	δ (citizen)	δ (youth)
Nom.	τιμή	σοφία	Μοῦσα	πολίτης	veavias
Gen.	τιμής	σοφίας	Μούσης	πολίτου	veavlou
Dat.	τιμῆ	σοφία	Μούση	πολίτη	veavía
Acc.	τιμήν	σοφίαν	Μοῦσαν	πολίτην	veavlav
$\mathbf{Voc.}$	τιμή	σοφία	Μοῦσα	πολίτα	veavla
Dual.					
N. A. V.	τιμά	σοφία		πολίτα	veavia
G.D.	τιμαΐν	σοφίαιν	Μούσαιν	πολίταιν	veaviaiv

1' ":					
Nom.	τιμαί	σοφίαι	Μοῦσαι	πολίται	νεανίαι
Gen.	τιμῶν	σοφιών	Μουσῶν	πολιτῶν	νεανιῶν
Dat.	τιμαΐς	σοφίαις	Μούσαις	πολίταις	νεανίαις
Acc.	τιμάς	σοφίας	Μούσας	πολίτας	νεανίας
Voc.	τιμαί	σοφίαι	Модоац	πολίται	veavlai
Sing.	ή (justice) ή (opinion)) ἡ (trident)	ή (dagger)	δ (Atrides)
Nom.	δίκη	γνώμη	τρίαινα	μάχαιρα	'Ατρείδης
$\mathbf{Gen.}$	δίκης	γνώμης	τριαίνης	μαχαίρας	'Ατρείδου
Dat.	δίκη	γνώμη	τριαίνη	μαχαίρα	'Ατρείδη
Acc.	δίκην	γνώμην	τρίαιναν	μάχαιραν	'Ατρείδην
Voc.	δίκη	γνώμη	τρίαινα	μάχαιρα	'Ατρείδη
Dual.	•		•		
N. A. V.	δίκα	γνώμα	τριαίνα	μαχαίρα	'Ατρείδα
G. D.	δίκαιν	γνώμαιν	τριαίναιν	μαχαίραιν	'Ατρείδα 'Ατρείδαιν
Plur.			•		•
\mathbf{Nom}	δίκαι	γνῶμαι	τρίαιναι	μάχαιραι	'Ατρείδαι
Gen.	δικών	γνωμῶν	τριαινών	μαχαιρῶν	'Ατρειδῶν
Dat.	δίκαις	γνώμαις	τριαίναις	μαχαίραις	'Ατρείδαις
Acc.	δίκας	γνώμας	τριαίνας	μαχαίρας	'Ατρείδας
Voc.	δίκαι	γνώμαι	τρίαινα	μάχαιραι	'Ατρείδαι
	Exam	ples for pract		pendix E.	•

5. Of the masculines in η_s the following have the Vocative in ă, viz. all in 775; many compound verbal nouns, which merely append ης to the consonant of the verb, as γεωμέτρης, μυροπώλης, παιδοτρίβης; and all national appellations, as Πέρσης, Σκύθης. All others, which however are by far the smaller number, have η ; especially the patronymics in $\delta \eta s$, e.g. $A\tau p \epsilon i \delta \eta s$, q. v.

Notes.

I. There are contracts of this declension; all of which are $\delta\lambda o\pi a\theta \hat{\eta}$ (§ 33. 5). Hence in all the cases, and even the Nominative, the ending has the circumflex. There are contracts in all the four terminations, in \hat{a} , $\hat{\eta}$, \hat{a} s, $\hat{\eta}$ s, which in other respects are declined regularly; except that contracts in a retain this vowel throughout, as being originally pure; and those in as all take the Doric Genitive in a (note IV. 4). The uncontracted forms of such words are for the most part unused, or have been retained with some changes by the Ionies. E. g.

μνάα contr. μνά (Ion. μνία) mina, G. μνάς, D. μνά, Acc. μνάν. Plur. N. μναί, G. μνών, D. μναίς, Acc. μνάς. So too 'Αθηνά, from 'Αθηνάα, Ion.

λεοντέα contr. λεοντή (Ion. λεοντέη, λεοντείη), lion-skin, G. λεοντής, D. λεοντῆ, Αcc. λεοντῆν. Plur. N. λεονταῖ, etc. So too γῆ earth, from ΓΑΑ, Ion. γαῖα, rarely γέα. 'Ερμέας (epic 'Ερμείας) contr. 'Ερμῆς, 'Ερμῦν, 'Ερμῆν, 'Ερμῆν, 'Ερμῆν. Plur.

Ερμαῖ, etc.

βορέας, also βορράς, G. βορρά, D. βορρά, Acc. βορράν. The doubling of the ρ is here merely an accidental peculiarity.

II. QUANTITY. 1. The Nom. in a which has the Gen. ης, is always short. 2. The Nom. in a which has the Gen. as, is sometimes long and sometimes short. The accent is here a sure guide; since not only all proparoxytones and properispomena (as $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\iota\rho\alpha$, $\mu\circ\tilde{\iota}\rho\alpha$) have of course the a short (§ 11. 4, 6); but also for this declension there exists the fixed rule, that oxytones and paroxytones which have the Gen. as, are long in the Nominative, as $\sigma\tau\circ\dot{\alpha}$, $\chi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\rho\alpha$, $\eta\dot{\mu}\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha$, $\sigma\circ\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}$, etc. The only exceptions are the numeral $\mu\dot{\alpha}$, and the proper names $\Pi\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}$, $K\dot{\mu}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}$.

3. The quantity of the final syllable must however be known, in order

3. The quantity of the final syllable must however be known, in order to the proper accentuation of a word. But since this cannot always be referred to simple general rules, we subjoin here only the two following, as

sufficient for the present.

a) Dissyllables in εια have the a long, as χρεία; words of more than two syllables have it short, as ἀλήθεια from ἀληθής, Μήδεια, γλυκεία fem. from γλυκύς. Exceptions are, abstract nouns from verbs in εύω, e. g. δουλεία from δουλεύω, βασιλεία kingdom from βασιλεύω. But βασίλεια queen from βασιλεύς. See § 119. n. 6.

b) All words of three or more syllables, that are female appellatives, are short; e. g. ψάλτρια, δότειρα, "Ομπνια, etc. So too the adjective πότνια. But all other feminine adjectives which fall under this head are long;

as κύριος, κυρία, etc.

4. The Vocative in a from masculines in ηs is short; from those in as,

long.—The Dual ending a is always long.

5. The ending as is long in this declension, in all the cases where it occurs; and the Acc. Plur. is thereby distinguished from that of Dec. III, where it is short. The Dorics alone make also the Acc. Plur. of Dec. I, short.

6. The Acc. Sing. in av always follows the quantity of the Nominative.

III. Accent. 1. It is characteristic of this declension that the Gen. Plur. regularly has the tone (the circumflex) upon its ending, let the tone in the other cases be where it may; as Moῦσα Μουσῶν, ἄκανθα ἀκανθῶν. The cause lies in the contraction of this Genitive from the more ancient form in άων, see n. IV. 3. Exceptions are:

a) The feminines of adjectives and barytone participles in os; as Eévos,

έένων αίτιος, αίτία—αίτίων τυπτόμενος, η—τυπτομένων.

b) The substantives χρήστης usurer, of ετησίαι trade-winds, ἀφύη anchovy. By this anomalous accent the Gen. χρήστων, ἀφύων, are distinguished from the like cases of χρηστός useful, and ἀφυής unformed.

2. In the other cases the tone always remains, so far as the general rules permit, upon the same syllable as in the Nominative; e. g. Nom. Plur. σοφίαι, Voc. πολίτα. The only exception is Voc. δέσποτα from δεσπότης master; since the Homeric μητίετα for μητιέτης, εὐρύοπα, etc. are already so accented in the antique Nominatives; comp. IV. 2.

3. The feminines of adjectives in or throw the tone, so soon as the final syllable permits, upon the syllable where the masculine has it; e. g. äfior

F. áfía, Plur. áfioi, áfiai.

IV. Dialects. 1. The Dories, in all the endings, put long a for η , as $\tau\iota\mu\dot{a}$, $\hat{a}s$, \hat{a} , $\dot{a}\nu$. The Ionics commonly put η for long a, as $\sigma\circ\phi\dot{\iota}\eta$, ηs , η , $\eta\nu$ $\iota\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}\chi a\iota\rho a$, ηs , η , $a\nu$ · $\dot{\delta}\nu e\eta\nu\dot{\iota}\eta s$, etc. the Acc. Pl. excepted.—But the epic writers retain the a in $9\epsilon\dot{a}$, $9\epsilon\dot{a}s$, etc. in Navouka, $\dot{a}as$, and in some proper names in as, as Alvelas. Another epis peculiarity is η instead of short a in $\kappa\nu\dot{\iota}\sigma\sigma\eta$, $\Sigma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\lambda\eta$, commonly $\kappa\nu\dot{\iota}\sigma\sigma a$, $\Sigma\kappa\dot{\nu}\lambda\lambda a$; and vice versa we find the Homeric $\nu\dot{\iota}\mu\phi\dot{a}$ for $\nu\dot{\iota}\mu\phi\eta$.

2. The old language had also masculines in ă, which remained in some dialects; and therefore also in Latin. Thus Homer and the other epic writers have often in the Nominative lanότα instead of laπότης; so μητίετα,

εὐρύοπα, etc.

3. The most ancient form of the Genitive Sing. of the masculines, is in āo, and of the Gen. Plur. of all the terminations, in āων. So the epic 'Ατρεί-δαο, Μουο άων, etc.



- 4. The Dorics contracted these Genitives into ā; e. g. τοῦ ᾿Ατρείδα, τᾶν Moισάν for Μουσών, των Ατρειδάν.—This Doric Genitive has remained in common use in the Sing. of some few words, especially proper names, e.g. 'Αννίβας Hannibal, τοῦ 'Αννίβα
 - τοῦ ὀρνιθοθήρα the bird-catcher's.
- 5. The Ionics on the other hand converted the āo into εω (§ 27. n. 10), where however the ω has no influence on the accent; so πολίτεω (§ 11. n. 4); and from āων they made έων; thus μουσέων.—Here too the common language retained this Gen. Sing. in some names of Ionic men, as Θάλεω from Θαλης, Λέσχεω from Λέσχης.—When this ending is preceded by a vowel, the e can in verse be dropped, e. g. ευμμελίω from ευμμελίης, Βορέω from Βορέης.
- 6. The ancient form of the Dat. Plur. αισι, αισιν (§ 33. n. 3), e. g. τιμαΐσι, Mούσαισω, etc. occurs not only in the more ancient dialects, but also in the Attic poets, and sometimes even in the earlier Attic prose, e. g. in Plato. So too in Dec. II, the Dat. Plur. in own, own.—The Ionic dialect has in Dec. I, now, not and no. In the epic writers, however, the usage in respect to the shortest form is variable between as and ns. These Ionic forms are also sometimes employed in the Attic drama.

§ 35. Second Declension.

All words in ov are neuter; those in os are commonly mascu-Many in os however are feminine; not merely among the names of persons, animals, trees, and cities (§ 32); but also many See the list of such words in Appendix E.

Note 1. There are also several feminines in os, which are properly adjectives, where a feminine substantive is omitted; e. g. ή διάλεκτος (sc. φωνή) the dialect, ή διάμετρος (sc. γραμμή) the diameter, ή ἄτομος (sc. οὐσία) atom, ή άνυδρος the desert, ή χέρσος and ή ήπειρος (ac. χώρα) the continent, ή σύγκλητος (sc. βουλή) the senate; and many others.

• •	••	•			
Sing.	δ (word)	ή (beech)	δ (people)	δ (man)	τὸ (fig)
Nom.	λόγος	φηγός	δημος	ἄνθρωπός	σῦκον
Gen.	λόγου	φηγοῦ	δήμου	ἀνθρώπου	σύκου
Dat.	λόγφ	φηγώ	δήμφ	ἀνθρώπφ	σύκφ
Acc.	λόγου	φηγόν	δημον	άνθρωπον	σῦκον
Voc.	λόγε	φηγέ	δημε	ἄνθρωπε	σῦκον
Dual.		,			•
N. A. V.	λόγω	φηγώ	δήμω	ἀνθρώπω	σύκω
G.D.	λόγοιν	φηγοῖν	δήμοιν	ἀνθρώποιν	σύκοιν
Plur.	•				•
Nom.	λόγοι	φηγοί	δῆμοι	ἄνθρωποι	σῦκα
Gen.	λόγων	φηγών	δήμων	ἀνθρώπων	σύκων
Dat.	λόγοις	φηγοίς	δήμοις	ανθρώποις	σύκοις
Acc.	λόγους	φηγούς	δήμους	ἀνθρώπους	σῦκα
Voc.	λόγοι	φηγοί	δημοι	ἄνθρωποι	σῦκα

Examples for practice see in Appendix E.

NOTE 2. The Vocative is sometimes like the Nominative, for the sake of euphony, as in Θεός (but 'Αμφίθεος has Voc. 'Αμφίθεε, Aristoph. Ach. 176); sometimes without any such cause, especially in Attic writers, as & φίλος, Aristoph. Nub. 1167. So too in adjectives; e. g. & λαμπρὸς αλθήρ Eurip. Fr. Hippol.

Note 3. The quantity and accent require here no particular remarks; the ending a is short, as in Latin; the circumflex on the Genitives and Datives (see $\phi\eta\gamma\delta$ s) has already been noted in § 33. 7.

NOTE 4. DIALECTS. a) The Genitive in ou, was in the ancient language probably the uncontracted oo; hence the *Thessalian* Genitive so called in οιο, of which the epic and lyric language availed itself; as λόγοιο, φηγοῖο.

b) The Dorics have in the Gen. ω, and in the Acc. Plur. ωs; e. g. δ νφμος, G. τῶ νόμω, Acc. Plur. τὼς νόμως. More rarely they have in the Acc. Plur. οs; e. g. from δ λύκος Theocritus has τὼς λύκος for τοὺς λύκους.

c) In the old language the Neut. Plur. in a appears to have had the Gen. in άων; as Hesiod. Scut. 7, βλεφάρων κυναεάων. Hence the Homeric ἐάων from - à FA goods: see A nom - à c à 58.

from τα EA goods; see Anom. ευς, § 58.
d) For the Dat. Plur. in οισι, οισιν, as λόγοισι, φηγοῦσιν, see § 34. n. IV 6.—The epic language lengthens the οιν of the Gen. and Dat. Dual by resolving it into οιν, as επνοιν; see § 27. n. 2. § 28. n. 3.

§ 36. Contracted Second Declension.

Many words in oos and oov, eos and eov, are usually contracted throughout, i. e. as $\delta\lambda o\pi a\theta \hat{\eta}$, \$33.5. This takes place according to the general rules (\$28); except that the a of the Neuter absorbs the preceding ϵ or o, and becomes long; as $\delta\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}a$, $\delta\pi\lambda\dot{\delta}a$ Comp. under Adjectives, \$60.5, 6.

Sing.	o (sailing)	•	•	Sing. Tò (bone)	Pl	u1.
Nom.	πλοος πλοθς	πλόοι	πλοῖ	ο δατέον ο δατουν΄	οστέα	ỏστâ
Gen.	πλόου πλοῦ	πλόων	$\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$	δστέου δστοῦ	ὀστέων	όστῶν
Dat.	πλόφ πλφ	πλόοις	πλοίς	όστέφ όστ <i>φ</i>	οστέοις	όστοῖς
Acc.	πλόον πλοῦν	πλόους	πλούς	όστέον όστοῦν∙	οστέα	ỏστâ
Voc.	πλό∈ πλοῦ*	πλόοι	πλοῖ	οστέον οστοῦν	οστέα	ỏστâ
	Dual. N. A.	πλόω	πλώ	οστέω οστώτ	•	
	G.D.	πλόοιν	πλοἳν	όστέοιν όστοῖν	•	

Note 1. Like πλόος are declined the substantives δ νόος mind, ρόος stream, Sρόος noise, χνόος foam, down. But all these nouns seem not to occur in the Plural, except in compounds; as εύνους, etc. \$ 60.5.—Further, like πλόος are declined also the names of kindred in δοῦς, (ε. g. ἀδελφιδοῦς nephew,) although they are contracted from δέος. For their Vocative, see marg. note below.—For the transition of some words into Dec. III, see \$ 58 in πρόχους, and Ausf. Sprachl. p. 154.

NOTE 2. Like δοτέον is declined the subst. κάνεον κανοῦν basket, with a shifting of the accent; see χρύσεος, § 60. 6.

137. Attic Second Declension.

To the second declension there is commonly subjoined the declension of several words in ω_s masc. and fem. and in ω_s neuter,

† The accent of this Dual is contrary to the rule in § 28. 6. Comp. § 49. n. 7. Musf. Sprachl. § 36. n. 2.

^{*} This regular Vocative is placed here on account of some proper names, as Ildurbous Voc. Ildurbou.—From Soputos, -oûs, spear-sharpener, occurs the Voc. Soputo, with o elided, Aristoph. Pac. 1260. The like form probably occurred in the names of kindred in Soûs (860s); thus & deeaple. (Compare the marg. note under § 60.5.) Besides these a proper Vocative will hardly be found.

under the name of the Attic declension. It takes through all the cases ω , instead of the usual vowels and diphthongs of the common second declension; and puts ι subscript, where the latter has $o\iota$ or φ . The Vocative is always like the Nominative. The Genitive, when it has the accent on the ending, takes the acute, $\tau \circ \hat{\upsilon} \ \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, contrary to the rule in § 33. 7.

Sing.	ò (templ	le) to (hall)		Dual.	P	lur.
Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc. Voc.	νεώς νεώ νεώ νεών νεώς	ἀνώγεων ἀνώγεω ἀνώγεω ἀνώγεων ἀνώγεων	νεώ νεών •	ανώγεω ανώγεων	νεών νεώς νεώς νεώς	ἀνώγεων ἀνώγεων ἀνώγεως ἀνώγεω ἀνώγεω

Note 1. Like νεώς is declined ὁ λεώς the people; both having the parallel forms ναός and λαός, § 27. n. 10. Besides these very few words belong here; and of these the most also pass over into Dec. III. Thus, like νεώς we may decline (referring to § 56. n. 6. a, c, d) the following: ὁ πάτρως, μήτρως, paternal and maternal uncle, ὁ ταώς peacock, ὁ λαγώς hare (see n. 2), Μίνως, comp. αποπ. κάλως, § 58. Like ἀνώγεων may be declined the Neuters of adjectives in ως; as Τλεων, etc. § 61.—This mode of declension is called Attic, because when two forms are current, (as λαγώς, Ion. λαγώςς or λαγώς,) that one which belongs here is employed particularly by the Attics.

Note 2. The words of this declension have also a peculiar

Accus. in w,

sometimes together with the regular one, e. g. $\tau \dot{\rho} \nu \lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega}$; in other words seldom; in others again exclusively, or almost so. This is the case in these names of places: Kôs, Kéws, Téws, Adws, and in $\dot{\eta}$ éws aurora, Acc. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ éw, which is the Attic form for the Ionic $\dot{\eta} \dot{\omega}$ s Gen. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\omega}$ os, $\dot{\omega}$ s (§ 49).—The Neuters of some adjectives also have ω in the Nom. and Acc. especially $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega$ s not growing old, Neut. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega$.

NOTE 3. The Gen. in ω0 in this declension corresponds to the epic Gen. in 010; e. g. Πετεώς G. Πετεῶο, Homer.

Note 4. For the anomalous accent of this declension, see § 11. 8.

THIRD DECLENSION.

§ 38. Gender.

- 1. In the variety of endings which belong to the words of this declension, any general rules for ascertaining the gender from the termination must be very imperfect. Nevertheless, there are certain endings, of which the gender may be more accurately determined; see the note.
- 2. In general, final ς belongs more to masculines and feminines; a short vowel in the final syllable, more to the neuters.—
 There is no neuter in ξ or ψ .

Note. Least of all can we determine the gender of words ending in our G. whose and over; those in vs; and those in ξ and ψ ; all which vary between the masculine and feminine. In the exceptions no reference is had to those appellations of persons, like $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\rho$ mother, $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha\rho$ spouse, the gender of which is known of course (§ 32. 2); but whenever a form is marked as without exception, there also no personal form occurs of any other gender.

Masculines.

All in εύς, as δ δρεύς mule, αμφορεύς amphora. No exceptions.

Substantives which have the Gen. in ντος, as ὁ τένων, οντος, tendon; ὁ δδούς, όντος, tooth; ὁ lμάς, άντος, thong. Here names of cities only make some exceptions, § 32. n. 4, 2.

Those in ηρ, as ζωστήρ girdle.—Exceptions: Fem. ἡ γαστήρ belly, ἡ κήρ fate, and in the poets ἡ ἀήρ and ἡ alθήρ. Also the contracted neuters, of which below.

Those in ωs G. ωτος; as δ γέλως laughter, δ έρως love.

Those in ήν; as δ μήν month, δ αὐχήν neck. Exceptions are: ἡ φρήν mind, ἡ δ χήν goose.

Single examples are ὁ ποῦς ποδός foot, ὁ κτείς κτενός comb, ὁ ἄλς comm. of δλες salt; but ἡ ἄλς sea.

Feminines.

All in ω and ως, G. όος; as ἡχώ, aldώς. No exceptions.

Those in as G. ados; as ή λαμπάs torch; with the exception of some adjectives common, as λογάς, σποράς, § 63. 5.

jectives common, as λογάς, σποράς, § 63. 5.

Those in 15; as ἡ πόλις, ἡ χάρις. Exceptions: Masc. are ὅφις serpent, ἔχις adder, κόρις bed-bug, ὅρχις testicle, κύρβις law-tablet, μάρις a liquid measure, all with Gen. εως; κίς wood-worm, λῖς lion, G. ιός; δελφίς G. ῖνος. Further, ὁ ἡ ὅρνις G. θος; ἡ ὁ τίγρις G. ιος; ἡ ὁ θίς G. θινός heap, bank. Abstract nouns in της (Lat. tas), as ἡ μικρότης parvitas. No exceptions.

Single examples are: ἡ χείρ hand, ἡ ναῦς ship, ἡ ἐσθής G. ῆτος garment, ἡ κλείς key, ἡ ὁ φθείρ louse.

Neuters.

All in a, η, ι, υ; as τὸ σῶμα body, κάρη head, μέλι honey, ἄστυ city. No exceptions.

All short final syllables with ε and ο; as τὸ τείχος, τὸ ἦτορ, and the

Neuter adjectives in εs, εν, ον.

Those in aρ; as τὸ ἡπαρ, τὸ νέκταρ. So also those in ηρ contracted from -εαρ; as τὸ ἔαρ ῆρ spring, τὸ κέαρ κῆρ heart, τὸ στέαρ στῆρ tallow. The

only exception is δ ψάρ starling.

Those in ωρ which are not personal appellations; as τὸ ΰδωρ, τὸ τέκμωρ,

etc. Except ὁ lχώρ lymph, ὁ ἀχώρ scab.

Those in as Gen. aros and aos; as τὸ τέραs G. aros wonder, τὸ δέπαs G. aos goblet. Except ὁ λâs stone and ὁ or τὸ ΚΡΑΣ head.

Besides these the only neuter substantives in this declension are τὸ πῦρ fire, τὸ φῶs light, τὸ οὖs ear, τὸ σταίς dough.

Nouns in as consequently, according to the above rules, with the Gen. in zeros, are masculine;—Gen. ados, feminine;—Gen. aros and ass, neuter.

§ 39. Decl. III.—Root or Stem and Case-endings.

1. In every declinable word, it is necessary to distinguish between the *root* and the *case-ending*, which alone is changed; \$\ 33. 6. In the first two declensions the Nominative also has such a case-ending; in the third, this is appended only in the oblique cases, e.g.

Dec. II. λόγ-ος, λόγ-ου, λόγ-φ Dec. III. Δήρ, Δηρ-ός, Δηρ-ί.

2. But in the third declension, the Nominative seldom suffers so little change as in $\Im \eta_{\rho}$. In most instances the final syllable undergoes some alteration; for which see the next sections.

Note 1. It is here to be particularly noted, that the ground-form or root of a word in respect to flexion, which we call the stem, is very different from the proper root in respect to etymology. Thus in $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu$ a G. $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu$ aros, the etymological root is $\sigma\omega\mu$, and a, aros, appear as endings; but in respect to flexion, the root or stem is $\sigma\omega\mu$ ar, and os is the case-ending.

Note 2. In order to decline a word rightly in the third declension, it is consequently necessary to know the Nominative and one of the oblique cases; for which purpose the Genitive is commonly taken. When however only one of these two is known, the rules are far simpler for finding the Nominative from the Genitive, than for the reverse; because in the Genitive the root, on which all depends, is commonly unchanged; and in the Nominative commonly not. As now in reading, it is most frequently the Genitive, Dative, etc. of an unknown word, that we meet with; in order to find such a word in the lexicons, we must be able to deduce the Nominative from the Genitive; and for this purpose rules are given in the following sections.

40. Decl. III.—Formation of the Nominative.

1. The most common changes which the stem undergoes in the Nominative, are the following:**

1) It assumes ς; e.g. βότρυς βότρυ-ος, ἄλς άλ-ός.

- 2) It rejects τ, without assuming ς; e.g. σῶμα, σώματ-ος.
- The short vowels ε and o of the stem in masculines and feminines, become η and ω; e.g. εἰκών εἰκόν-ος, ἀληθής ἀληθέ-ος.
- 2. For the more exact application of these precepts, we must here distinguish two main circumstances, viz. when the case-ending of the Nom. is preceded (1) by a consonant, or (2) by a vowel.

41. Decl. III.—The Nominative with a Consonant before the Case-ending.

1. Except μ and σ , all the simple consonants are found before the case-ending. Those among them which cannot stand at the end of a word (§ 4.5), and often also ν , assume an ς in the Nominative. Of course this ς , with the letters γ , κ , χ , and β , π , ϕ , passes over into ξ and ψ , § 22. E. g.

κόραξ κόρακ-ος, δυυξ δυυχ-ος ὤψ ώπ-ος, χάλυψ χάλυβ-ος.

2. These Nominatives in ξ and ψ never change the ϵ and o of the stem; e.g. $\phi \lambda \epsilon \psi$ $\phi \lambda \epsilon \beta \delta s$, $\phi \lambda \delta \xi$ $\phi \lambda \delta \gamma \delta s$, $a \delta \delta \delta t$ $a \delta \delta \delta t$ $a \delta \delta t$

3. When however the letter before the case-ending is either δ , τ , or \Im , this of course falls away in the Nom. before the \Im , \Im 24. 3. E. g.

λαμπάς λαμπάδος, Δωρίς Δωρίδος, κηλίς κηλίδος δρνις δρνίθος, κόρυς κόρυθος, η Πάρνης Πάρνηθος τέρας τέρατος, χάρις χάριτος.

^{*} In the following examples the learner must take notice, that e. g. the citation βότρυ-οs, is to be thus understood, viz. "From the stem βοτρυ, which appears in the Gen. βότρυ-οs, comes the Nom. βότρυs." And so of all the rest.

When the τ is preceded by κ , after the τ is dropped the κ with the s passes over into ξ ; e. g.

νύξ νυκτ-ός · ἄναξ ἄνακτ-ος.

4. So too ν and $\nu\tau$ fall away before the s, but then the short wowel is made long; in the case of $\nu\tau$ always, in that of ν usually, in the manner specified in § 25. 4. E. g.

γίγας γίγαντος, χαρίεις χαρίεντος, όδούς όδόντος δελφίς (long ι) δέλφῦνος,* Φόρκῦς Φόρκῦνος μέλας μέλανος, κτείς κτενός.†

5. Final consonants of the stem which do not assume ς in the Nom. are: ρ always, ν often; as $\Im \eta \rho \Im \eta \rho$ - $\delta \varsigma$, always, always, beta because ς ; but the τ must then be dropped; \dagger e.g.

σωμα σωματ-ος, Εενοφων Εενοφωντ-ος.

In all instances where the Nom. ends in ν or ρ , the short ϵ and o in masculines and feminines are changed into η and ω ; e.g.

λιμήν λιμέν-ος, βήτωρ βήτορ-ος, γέρων γέροντ-ος.

6. Some neuters which have the Gen. atos, take ρ in the Nominative instead of s; e.g. $\hbar\pi\alpha\rho$ $\hbar\pi\alpha\tau$ -os. Comp. § 16. n. 1. f.

7. In accordance with the above rules we subjoin here the ordinary instances in which the case-ending is preceded by a consonant, viz.

```
The Gen. in \begin{cases} \gamma_{OS}, \kappa_{OS}, \chi_{OS} \\ \beta_{OS}, \pi_{OS}, \phi_{OS} \end{cases} from Nom. in \begin{cases} \xi, \phi \lambda \delta \xi, \text{ etc.} \\ \psi, \delta \psi, \text{ etc.} \end{cases}
                        δος, τος, Jos from Nom. in ε, as λαμπάς, λαμπάδος, etc.
                                                  and especially
                                aros from Nom. in \begin{cases} a & (\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \ aros) \\ as & (\tau \epsilon \rho as, \ aros) \\ a\rho & (\eta \pi a \rho, \ aros) \end{cases}
                        pos from Nom. in \begin{cases} ν & (Π άν, Π αν όs) \\ s & (ρίε, ρίνος) \end{cases}
                                                     especially
                                 eves and eves from Nom. in nv and eve
                                          (λιμήν λιμένος, εἰκών εἰκόνος)
                                                           as, eus, ous, us
                                                                (φάς φάντος, θείς θέντος)
(δούς δόντος, φύς φύντος)
                        Pros from Nom. in .
                                                          ων (γέρων, οντος)
                        per from Nom. in ρ, θήρ, θηρόε, etc.
                                                     especially
                                 epos and opos from Nom. in ηρ and ωρ
                                                     (αἰθήρ αἰθέρος, ῥήτωρ ῥήτορος)
                                         and from two neuters in op
                                                      dop sword, frop breast.
```

[#] In the lexicons and grammars the Nom. of the Gen. in wos is also given in w; but in the earlier writers we always find δελφίς, deris, βίς, etc.

[†] The only other similar instance is «Is, for which see § 70. 1.
‡ Hence also γάλα G. γάλακτος may be explained; see in § 58. Also the two Focatives, &να from žναξ, &νακτος: and γύναι, from the obsol. form FINAIE; see γυνή in § 58. As all these forms assume no s, they of course drop their consonants at the end, § 4. 5.—Also δάμαρ belongs here; see Text 8.

8. The following examples may be noted singly:

ό, ή δλε όλός salt, sea, the only example with λ.
τὸ μέλι μέλιτος honey, τὸ κάρη κάρητος (Ionic) head
ἡ δάμαρ δάμαρτος spouse
ὁ ποῦς (οτ πούς) ποδός foot.

Note 1. When the penult of the Genitive has a, t, or v, the quantity can be determined only by authority; just as in the Nominative of other words. (See the list of those words where this penult is long, in Appendix E.) We here give only the rule, that all substantives, whose Genitive terminates in arcs, tros, tros, tros,

have these penults long; e. g. Πάν Πανός, παιάν παιανος, ρίς ρινός, δελφίς δελφίνος, μόσυν μόσυνος.

Note 2. The endings of the Nominative, of which the quantity has not been determined above, usually conform in this respect to the penult of the Genitive. Hence, with a few exceptions in the poets, $\delta\rho\nu is -i\theta\sigma s$, $\kappa\eta\lambda is$ (long ϵ) $-i\delta\sigma s$, $\pi\alpha ai\sigma$ (long a) $-a\nu\sigma s$. So too before ξ and ψ in like circumstances, the long sound must be made audible in pronunciation, and not unfrequently it is apparent from the accent; e. g. $9\omega\rho\alpha\xi$ $-a\kappa\sigma s$ (Ion. $9\omega\rho\eta\xi$ $-\eta\kappa\sigma s$); further, in $\phi\sigma i\nu t\xi$ $-i\kappa\sigma s$, $\kappa\eta\rho\nu\xi$ $-\nu\kappa\sigma s$, in later writers $\phi\sigma i\nu t\xi$, $\kappa\eta\rho\nu\xi$. On the contrary, $ai\lambda\alpha\xi$ $-a\kappa\sigma s$, etc.

Note 3. All monosyllabic Nominatives, the pronoun τίς excepted, are long; so πῦρ, πὕρός. Compare § 42. n. 2.

Note 4. The few words which have $\nu\theta$ os in the Gen. drop only the θ before s of the Nom. and retain the ν , contrary to the usual custom of the Greek language; e. g. $\lambda\mu\nu\theta$ os worm, Típuvo Típuv θ os. § 25. n. 2.

Note 5. When the termination eis, erros, is preceded by η or ο, a contraction usually takes place; e. g. τιμήεις τιμήεντος, contr. τιμής* τιμήντος; μελιτόεις όεντος, contr. μελιτοῦς οῦντος. For the flexion see § 62. Here belong the names of cities in οῦς, οῦντος, as 'Οποῦς, etc.

Note 6. The contractions which take place in the *Participles* of the contracted conjugation, as φιλῶν φιλοῦντος, τιμῶν τιμῶντος, etc. may be better seen in the paradigms of this conjugation (§ 105). We only remark here, that such proper names as Σενοφῶν, ῶντος, are derived from this mode of contraction.

Note 7. Another contraction arises, when the ending aρ is preceded by ε; e. g. κέαρ κῆρ heart, G. κέαρος κῆρος. So too ἔαρ ῆρ spring; of which in prose the uncontracted form is most usual in the Nom. and the contracted one in the Gen. and Dat. i. e. ἔαρ, ῆρος. The same contraction takes place also in some words which have τ in the Gen. but in these the accent does not follow the usual rules of contraction, but takes the most convenient place; e. g. στέαρ στέατος tallow, contr. στῆρ στητός; φράαρ well G. φρέατος φρητός; δέλεαρ bast G. δελέατος δέλητος; Θρῆιξ, Θρῆιξ Att. Θρᾶξ, G. Θρῆικος Θρηκός Θρακός. Comp. § 28. n. 9, with § 43. n. 2.

42. Decl. III.—The Nominative with a Vowel before the Case-ending.

- 1. Those words which have a *vowel* before the case-ending, i.e. which have os *pure* in the Genitive (§ 28.1), almost universally assume s in the Nominative. The only exceptions are some neuters in ι and ν , and feminines in ω .
 - 2. Only neuters can have the short vowels, ϵ and o, in the end-

[#] Il. 1. 605, according to Wolf's reading, which is the only correct one.

ing of the Nominative († 38 note). Hence in masculines and feminines, the ϵ of the Gen. becomes in the Nom. η or $\epsilon \nu$, and o becomes ω or $o\nu$.

3. Thus we have in particular the following:

The Gen.	in aos from neut	ers in as; as σέλας σέλἄος.
	- us and vos fro	om Nom. in is, i, and us, u; as
		κίς κιός, δάκρυ υος.
	- wos from Non	1. in ws; as 9ws 9wds.
	(No	m. in ous, as Boûs Boós.
	— oos from \ the	feminines in w and ws:
	1 :	m. in ous, as βοῦς βοός. feminines in ω and ως; 18 ηχώ όος, αἰδώς όος
	•	(Nom. in ns and es;
	- eos (ews) from	as ἀληθής. Neut. ἀληθές, G. εος
	` '	(Nom. in ης and ες; as ἀληθής, Neut. ἀληθές, G. εος Masculines in ευς; as ἰππεύς ἰππ

Here is also singly to be noted $\dot{\eta}$ ypaûs ypāós old woman.

- 4. Besides the above instances, Genitives in εσς and εως come also, through a change of the vowel:
 - 1) From the numerous neuters in ος; e. g. τείχος, τείχεος.
 - From most of the Nominatives in ις and ι, and from some in υς and υ; as πόλις πόλεως, ἄστυ ἄστεος.
 51.

Note 1. The Gen. in η os belongs to the dialects; see under words in aus and ϵus ; and under $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$, § 50–52. See also the Anom. Apps, ϵus , $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta us$, uis, § 58; and some contracts § 53. n. 5.

Note 2. The vowels a, ι , v, before the ending of the Genitive, are short in all these words, except in $\gamma\rho\bar{a}\delta s$; hence they are also short in Nominatives of more than one syllable in as, ιs , vs. In regard to monosyllable Nominatives, the same rule holds here as in the foregoing section, that they are always long; thus $\mu\bar{v}s$ $\mu\bar{v}\delta s$.—Oxytones in $\dot{v}s$ (as $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\rho\dot{v}s$, $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\dot{v}s$) have this syllable and the Acc. in $\dot{v}v$ for the most part long.

43. Decl. III.—Flexion.

1. The following will serve as general examples of the ordinary flexion of words in this declension.

Sing.	δ (beast)	$\delta(age)$	$\delta, \dot{\eta}$ (divinity)	o (lion)	o (giant)
Nom.	က်ကု	αίων	δαίμων	λέων ΄	ylyas
Gen.	3ηρός	aἰῶνος	δαίμονος	λέοντος	γίγαντος
Dat.	θηρί	aἰῶνι	δαίμονι	λέοντι	γύγαντι
Acc.	3ήρα	aiŵva.	δαίμονα	λέοντα	γύγαντα
Voc.	3ήρ	αἰών	δαῖμον	λέον	γίγαν
Dual.					
N. A. V.	β η̂ρε	aἰῶνε	δαίμονε	λέοντε	γύγαντε
G.D.	3ηροίν	αἰώνοιν	δαιμόνοιν	λεόντοιν	γυγάντοιν
Plur.			· · · ·		
Nom.	ာကိုစုဧ	alŵνες	δαίμονες	λέοντες	γύγαντες
Gen.	3ηρῶν	αἰώνων	δαιμόνων	λεόντων	γυγαντων
Dat.	θηρσί (ν)	αἰῶσι (ν)	δαίμοσι (ν)	λέουσι (ν)	γύγασι(ν)
Acc.	θήρας	aiŵvas	δαίμονας	λέοντας	γύγαντας
Voc.	ာက်pes	aiŵνες	δαίμονες	λέοντες	γβγαντές

Sing.	ὁ (raven)	$\dot{\eta} (night)$	δ (foot)	δ (hero)	o (wood- worm)
Nom.	κόραξ	νύξ	ποῦς	ήρως	Kis
Gen.	κόρακος	νυκτός	ποδός	ήρωος	κιός
Dat.	κόρακι	νυκτί	ποδί	ήρωι	ĸit
Acc.	κόρακα	νύκτα	πόδα	ήρωα	κίν
Voc.	κόραξ	νύξ	ποῦς	ήρως	Kls
Dual.		•	•	,	
N. A. V.	κόρακε	νύκτε	πόδε	ήρω€	Kle
G.D.	κοράκοιν	νυκτοίν	ποδοΐν	ήρώοιν	κιοῖν
Plur.	•	•	•		•
Nom.	κόρακες	νύκτες	πόδες	ήρωες	rles
Gen.	κοράκων	νυκτῶν	ποδῶν	ήρώων	κιῶν
Dat.	κόραξι (ν)	νυξί (ν)	ποσί(ν)	ήρωσι (ν)	κισί (ν)
Acc.	κόρακας	νύκτας	πόδας	ήρωας `΄	klas `
Voc.	κόρακες	νύκτες	πόδες	ήρωες	Kles

Sing.	$\tau \delta (thing)$	Dual.	Plur.
Nom.	πρᾶγμα	πράγματε	πράγματα
Gen.	πράγματος	πραγμάτοιν	πραγμάτων
Dat.	πράγματι		πράγμασι (ν)
Acc.	πρᾶγμα		πράγματα
Voc.	πρᾶγμα		πράγματα

Examples for practice see in Appendix E.

Note 1. These examples are fully sufficient; for so soon as one knows the Nom. and Gen. of a word, his own reflection will readily teach him the rest, viz. that like κόραξ are to be declined all those words which end in ξ and ψ; like αἰών, also δελφίε δελφίνος; like ποῦς ποδός, all which have in the Gen. δος, θος and τος; like δαίμων δαίμωνος, also χιών χιόνος, ποιμήν ποιμένος; like λέων λέοντος, also δδούς οδόύτος and even θείς θείτος; and finally like πραγμα, ατος, also ἡπαρ ἡπατος.—For ἡρως see also in § 58.

NOTE 2. DIALECTS. Besides what will be adduced in the following sections, we remark here only: 1) That the Dual ending ow is here resolved into our by the epic writers, just as in Dec. II, (§ 35. n. 4. d,) e. g. ποδοῖω for ποδοῖω. 2) That the Ionics sometimes insert ε before ω in the Gen. Plur. when it has the circumflex; e. g. Herod. χηνέων for χηνῶν, from χήν χηνός.

NOTE 3. QUANTITY. The case-endings ι , a, and as, are here always short; see in § 52 the exceptions to words in $\epsilon \acute{vs}$.—For the quantity of the final syllable of the Nominative, and of the penult of the Genitive, see § 41, notes.

- 2. In respect to Accent, the following rules are here valid:
- In words of two or more syllables, the accent remains, so long as its nature admits, upon the same syllable as in the Nominative; see above in κόραξ, αἰών.
- 2) Monosyllables throw the accent in the Gen. and Dat. of all the numbers upon the case-endings; and upon the endings ων and οίν, this is always a circumflex († 33.7). See above in Υήρ, κίς, νύξ, ποῦς. See the exceptions in n. 4.

- 3) The Accusatives, Nominatives, and Vocatives, on the contrary, never have the tone on the case-ending.*
- Note 4. From the second rule are excepted:

- a) The Participles, as Seis Sévros, δν δντος, etc.
 b) The Gen. and Dat. Plur. of the adjective πâs, πâν, (παντός, παντί), G. Plur. πάντων D. πᾶσιν.
- c) Some words which have become monosyllables by contraction, e. g. λâas λâs G. λâos (800 § 58); έαρ ήρ, κέαρ κήρ, G. ήρος, κήρος. But not all such; see in § 41. n. 7, and also ols, § 50. n. 6.
 d) The Gen. Plur. and Dual of the following ten words: δ ἡ παῖs child,
- ό θώs jackal, ό δμώs slave, ό Τρώs Trojan, τὸ φῶs light, ἡ φώs brand, ἡ δάs torch, and the anomalous τὸ KPAΣ head, τὸ οὖs ear, ὁ σήs moth; consequently, παίδων, 3ώων, δμώων, Τρώων, φώτων, φώδων, δάδων, κράτων, ώτων, σέων ; and so in the Dual παίδοιν, etc.†

e) The lengthened epic Dat. Plur. εσι, εσσι, § 46. n. 2.

44. Decl. III.—Accusative Singular.

1. The principal ending of the Accusative in this declension is But words in is, us, aus, ous, have also an

Accusative in ν .

which is formed as in the other declensions, by simply changing the s of the Nominative into ν , and retaining the quantity and accent. In those words which have a vowel before the case-ending, this is the only form; e.g. βοῦς G. βοός Α. βοῦν· δρῦς G. δρύός Α. δρûν. So too ἰχθύν, πόλιν, γραῦν, etc.

2. Those words on the other hand which receive a consonant in the Genitive, have always a, when the last syllable of the Nominative is accented; e.g. έλπίς -ιδος Α. έλπίδα· ποῦς ποδός Α. $\pi \acute{o}\delta a$. If the last syllable of the Nominative is unaccented, they commonly have v, but often also a; e.g. epis -idos A. epiv and ἔριδα· κόρυς -υθος Α. κόρυν and κόρυθα· εὔελπις -ιδος Α. εὔελπιν and εὐέλπιδα· πολύπους -οδος Α, πολύπουν and πολύποδα.

Note 1. So too λâas contr. λâs stone, Gen. (λάαος) λâos, has in Acc. λâar contr. hav.—See also the Anom. wheis and ods (§ 58); and for words in ω and ωs, see ∮ 49. n. 7.

Note 2. From the ancient language, the poets (not Attic) retained Boa for βοῦν, εὐρέα for εὐρύν, ἰχθύα for ἰχθύν, and some others.

§ 45. Decl. III.—Vocative.

1. In this declension the instances are particularly frequent, where a word can indeed form its Vocative regularly, but nevertheless makes it commonly, or at least among the Attics, like the

^{*} It must not be overlooked, that in this declension the ending of the word (our--ηρ), is always to be distinguished from the ending of the case (σωτήρ-α), § 39. n. 1. † In several of these words, this accentuation is a trace of contraction from the more ancient forms \u03c4dz, \u03c4\u03c4dz, \u03c4dz, ceeds from an endeavour to distinguish them from the similar Genitives of the words at Towal, Sund damage, & our man, etc.

Nominative (n. 4). This holds true of all Participles of this declension in $\omega\nu$, $\epsilon\nu$, as, etc. even when, according to the following rules, they would have a Voc. of their own (see 3, 4). And although $\delta\rho\chi\omega\nu$ (from $\delta\rho\chi\omega$) has Voc. δ $\delta\rho\chi\sigma\nu$, this is because it is no longer a Participle, but a noun, O Archon.—We subjoin here the examples in which the Voc. most commonly has a form of its own.

2. The endings ευς, ις, υς, as also the words παῖς, γραῦς, βοῦς, drop their ς to form the Vocative; and those in ευς then assume the circumflex (§ 11. 3). E. g. βασιλεύς Voc. & βασιλεῦ. So

Πάρι, Δωρί, Τηθύ, ἡδύ, etc. also παῖ, γραῦ, βοῦ.

3. Words in as and $\epsilon \iota s$, before which ν has fallen away, also drop their s to form the Vocative, and then for the most part resume the ν ; e. g. $\tau \dot{a}\lambda \dot{a}s$, $\ddot{a}\nu o s$, $\ddot{\omega} \tau \dot{a}\lambda \dot{a}v$. Alas, avtos, $\ddot{\omega}$ Alav χa -pleis, evtos, $\ddot{\omega}$ χa -pleis, evtos, evtos, $\ddot{\omega}$ χa -pleis, evtos, evtos

4. Words which have η or ω in the ending of the Nominative, simply take ϵ or o in the Vocative; regularly however only when the other cases have ϵ or o, and the Nom. is not oxytone; see in the examples $\delta al\mu\omega\nu$ and $\lambda \epsilon\omega\nu$ (§ 43). So too $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\rho$, $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma$, $\dot{\omega}$ $\mu\hat{n}$ - $\tau\epsilon\rho$. $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\tau\omega\rho$, $\sigma\rho\sigma$, $\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\tau\sigma\rho$. $\Sigma\omega\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta$, $\epsilon\sigma$, $\dot{\omega}$ $\Sigma\omega\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\epsilon$.

Note 1. From the rule in no. 4, are excepted those which have the accent on the last syllable, e. g. ποιμήν, ένος, δ ποιμήν, shepherd; alθήρ, έρος, δ αλθήρ; but only substantives, not adjectives; e. g. δ κελαινεφές. The following three accord with the general rule, only drawing back the accent, viz. πάτερ, ἄνερ, δάερ, from πατήρ, ἀνήρ, δαήρ brother-in-law, G. έρος.

NOTE 2. Words which retain the long vowel in the other cases, remain in the Voc. unchanged; consequently & Πλάτων (G. ωνος), & Ζενοφῶν (ῶντος), & ἰητήρ (ῆρος), & Κράτης (ητος). But the following three make the vowel short in the Vocative; viz. Απάλλων ωνος, Ποσειδῶν ῶνος Neptune, σωτήρ -ῆρος saviour; Voc. & "Απολλον, Πόσειδον, σῶτερ. Here also the drawing back of the accent must not be overlooked.

Note 3. In consequence of the shortening of the final syllable, the Vocative in paroxytones of more than one syllable has a tendency to draw back the tone upon the antepenult. This happens in words and proper names in ηs and $\eta \rho$; as κακόηθες, Δημόσθενες, θύγατερ, Δήμητερ. Elsewhere only in certain words in $\omega \nu$, $\omega \rho$, mostly compounds; e. g. αὐτόκρατορ, κακόδαιμον, ἐνόσιχθον, and the proper names ᾿Αγάμεμνον, Ποσείδαον Hom. Ἅμφιον. But some of these, especially compounds in $\phi \rho \omega \nu$, do not thus throw back the tone; as χαρίεν, δαΐφρον, Λακεδαΐμον, Παλαΐμον, Ἰᾶσον, Μαχᾶον, ᾿Αρετᾶον.

Feminines in ω and ως form the Vocative in οι (§ 11. 3);
 g. Σαπφω, ω Σαπφοι· 'Ηως, ω 'Ηοι.

Note 4. It is easy to conceive, that those objects which are seldom directly addressed, should retain rather the form of the Nominative whenever this did occur; as & $\pi o \hat{\nu} s$, & $\pi o \hat{\nu} s$, and the like. This often takes place also, especially among the Attics, in such words and names as $K \rho \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, $A \tilde{\iota} a s$, $\tau \hat{\iota} \lambda a s$, $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho$, etc.

Note 5. The word dvaf king, when employed to invoke a god, has its own form of the Vocative, & dva, by crasis &va; elsewhere & dvaf, by crasis &vaf. Comp. § 41. 5, marg. note.



46. Decl. III.—Dative Plural.

- 1. When the ending σιν, σι, of the Dative Plural, is preceded by a consonant, the same general rules are applicable as for the s of the Nominative (§ 41). See above, κόραξ, νύξ, ποῦς, αἰών. So too "Αραψ "Αραβος "Αραψιν, ὄνυξ ὄνυχος ὄνυξι, ἡπαρ ἤπατος ἡπασιν, etc.
- 2. When in such words the vowel of the oblique cases differs from that of the Nominative, it remains also in the Dat. Plural; as δαίμων, ονος δαίμοσι· ποῦς, ποδός ποσίν· ἀλώπηξ, εκος ἀλώπεξιν. But when ντ has been dropped, the necessary lengthening († 25. 4) takes place; see above, λέων, γίγας, and also ὀδούς, όντος ὀδοῦσι· τυπείς, έντος τυπείσιν. If only ν has been dropped, the short vowel remains; as κτείς κτενός κτεσίν.
- Note 1. The adjectives also (not participles) in εις, εντος, have only ε; as φωνήεις, εντος—φωνήεσιν.
- 3. When the ending σιν, σι, is preceded by a vowel, i. e. when the word has os pure in the Genitive, this vowel remains in the Dat. Plur. unchanged, as in the other oblique cases; e. g. ἀληθής, έος ἀληθέσι· τεῦχος, εος—τείχεσι· δρῦς, δρῦός—δρῦσίν. But when the Nom. Sing. has a diphthong, the Dat. Plur. takes it also, e. g.

βασιλεύς, έως — βασιλεῦσι γραῦς γραός — γραυσί. Βοῦς βοός — βουσίν.

Note 2. The ancient and epic language has $-\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota$ (ν), and more rarely $-\epsilon\sigma\iota$ (ν), instead of $\sigma\iota$ (ν), in all words; and this ending, inasmuch as it begins with a vowel, is appended just as in the other cases; e. g. $l\chi\theta\dot{\nu}$ - $\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota$, κοράκε $\sigma\sigma\iota$, παίδε $\sigma\sigma\iota$, βάε $\sigma\sigma\iota$, βασιλέε $\sigma\sigma\iota$, ἀνάκτε $\sigma\iota$. This form of the Dative, when it comes from monosyllables, retains the tone upon the first syllable, or root; e. g. παίδε $\sigma\sigma\iota$, $l\nu$ ε $\sigma\iota$, $l\nu$ ε $\sigma\iota$, from παίς, $l\nu$ ε.—The Dat. in $d\sigma\iota$ see in § 47. See also the Anom. $vl\delta s$ and $d\rho\nu\delta s$, § 58.

§ 47. Decl. III.—Syncope of some Words in ηρ.

1. Some words in $\eta\rho$, G. $\epsilon\rho\sigma$, drop the ϵ in the Gen. and Dat. Sing. and also in the Dat. Plur. and then insert a after the ρ in this Dative; thus

πατήρ father, Gen. (πατέρος) πατρός, Dat. (πατέρι) πατρί, A. πατέρα, V. πάτερ.—Dual, N. A. πατέρε, G. D. πατέροιν.—Plur. πατέρες, G. πατέρων, D. πατράσι, A. πατέρας.

2. In the same manner are declined, with some anomalies of accent, the following:

μήτηρ (μητέρος) μητρός μητρί μητέρα μήτερ mother.

ή γαστήρ (γαστέρος) γαστρός γαστρί γαστέρα γαστήρ stomach. Συγάτηρ (Συγατέρος) Συγατρός, τρί, τέρα, Σύγατερ daughter.

Δημήτηρ (Δημήτερος) Δήμητρος Δημητρί, Accus. also sync. Δήμητρα, Voc. Δήμητερ, Ceres.

For ἀνήρ, see Anom. Nouns § 58.

Note 1. The poets sometimes neglect this syncope, and write e. g. $\pi a \tau \epsilon$ ρος, θυγατέρεσσι. Sometimes also they employ the syncope where it is not usually found, as θύγατρες, θυγατρών, etc. πατρών Hom.

Note 2. The Accent of these forms is very anomalous. 1) It stands in the full forms (except in the compound $\Delta\eta\mu\dot{\eta}\eta\rho$) always upon the ϵ , and therefore in the forms of $\mu\eta\eta\eta\rho$, $9\nu\gamma\alpha\eta\rho$, is first shifted to that place. 2) After the e is dropped, the accent is thrown in the Gen. and Dat. of most of them, upon the ending, as μητρός, θυγατρών, θυγατράσι; which elsewhere occurs only in forms from monosyllabic Nominatives. 3) Δημήτηρ on the contrary draws the tone back in all the syncopated forms, as $\Delta \acute{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \sigma s$, etc. So too θυγάτηρ, but only in the Nom. and Ace. when syncopated by the poets, as θύγατρα, θύγατρες, θύγατρας.

Note 3. The word γαστήρ has in the Dat. Plur. γαστράσω and γαστήρσω. So also ἀστήρ, έρος, star, has the Dat. Plur. ἀστράσιν; but is not syncopated

in the other cases.

Contracted Third Declension.

§ 48. Words with the Gen. in os pure.

1. Of words which have os pure in the Genitive (§ 42), there are few which are not in some of their forms contracted; although this is far from taking place in all those forms, where the general rules would permit it.

2. In some instances the mode of contraction deviates from the general rules; and one instance of this deviation lies in the fol-

lowing special rule:

The contracted Accusative Plural of the third declension is always formed like the contracted Nominative Plural.

Note 1. Thus e. g. the Nom. Plurals ἀληθέες, βόες, are regularly contracted into ἀληθείς, βούς; and then the Acc. Plural, contrary to the general rules, assumes precisely the same form; e.g. ἀληθέας, βόας, contr. ἀληθεῖς, βους; and that too even in words which usually neglect the contraction of the Nom. Plur. as in βους, § 50. The only exception to this special rule, (τοὺς ἀγυιᾶς and the like), see in § 53. 2.

Note 2. In the third declension there can be strictly no $\delta\lambda o\pi a\theta \hat{\eta}$ (§ 33. 5); because the Nominative has no case-ending of its own, like the other cases. But the formative ending of the Nom. can also be pure, and consequently subject to contraction. This however must then be regarded as taking place in the stem itself, and therefore as something apart from declension; as κέαρ κῆρ, 'Οπόειs 'Οποῦς; and when we know the contracted form of the Genitive (κῆρ κῆρος, 'Οποῦς 'Οποῦντος), all the other cases follow in the ordinary manner. For this reason, this contraction has been already treated of above in § 41. n. 5-7. It is only when both the formative ending and the caseending are pure, and consequently a double power of contraction exists, that such a word becomes όλοπαθής; see § 53. 3.

Examples for practice in all the following instances of contraction, see in Appendix E.

§ 49. Contraction of Words in ης, ες, ος, ω, ως.

1. Words in ης and ες, G. εος, (all of them properly adjectives,) Neuters in os, G. cos, and Feminines in w and ws, G. cos, are contracted in all the cases where two vowels come together.

- 2. There are no substantives proper in ης G. εος; and even in τριήρης we must supply ναῦς. But besides adjectives there are many proper names in ης G. εος, (e. g. Διομήδης, and those in κράτης, as Σωκράτης,) the flexion of which follows τριήρης, except that they often make the Acc. in ην, like Dec. I; see § 56. n. 4.
- 3. Neuter adjectives in ϵ_s are declined, with the exception of this ending itself, entirely like Neuters in ϵ_s ; thus from $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta}_s$, Neut. $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon}_s$, Plur. $\tau \dot{a}$ $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon}_a$ $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon}_a$.

ှာ် (echo)
ήχώ
ήχόος ήχοῦς
ήχόι ήχοι
ηχόα ηχώ
ήχοῖ
,
$\eta \chi \omega$
as Dec. II
•
ήχοι
as Dec. II.

Note 1. Feminines in ω and ω s are usually found only in the Singular When the Dual and Plural are necessary, they are formed according to Dec II.—The masc. $\eta_{\rho\omega}$ s see in the anom. Nouns § 58.

Note 2. The Dual in η, (e. g. Aristoph. Thesm. 282 & περικαλλή Θεσμοφόρω,) deviates from the general rule, as being contracted from εε († 28. 3). The Attics employ the uncontracted form; e. g. τῶ γένεε, Plato Polit. 260. b.

Note 3. The Attics never neglect the contraction in these words, except in the Gen. Plur. where we very commonly find ἀνθέων, κερδέων, τριηρέων, etc. and so in "Aρεos, Gen. of "Aρηs Mars.—The uncontracted forms of words in ώ and ώς occur nowhere, not even in the Ionic dialect.

Note 4. Several paroxytone compound adjectives in ηs, remain paroxytone in the contracted Gen. Plur. e. g. συνήθης (from ήθος), τῶν συνήθων (uncontr. συνηθέων), αὐτάρκης αὐτάρκων, etc. Comp. adverbs in ως § 115. n. 1.—Also the Gen. Pl. τριηρῶν, which is given above as regular, is commonly accented τοιήρων, as coming from an adjective τριήρης three-oared.

Note 5. The irregular contraction of such words as have still another vowel before the usual contraction, see in § 53.

NOTE 6. The Dories and the epic writers contract the Gen. in eos into eus † 28. n. 5); e. g. τοῦ γένευs from τὸ γένος.

Note 7. The accent of the Accus. of words in ω (την ηχω) is contrary to the rule in § 28. 6. In words in ως, (of which there are but two, ηως and alδως,) the Accus. is accented regularly: την ησα, ηω.—The Ionics often form the Accus. from both these terminations in οῦν, e. g. Ἰω Ἰοῦν, ηως ησῦν.

§ 50. Partial Contraction.

For all other words which have os pure in the Gen. and are contracted, the rule holds true almost universally, that they admit of contraction only in the *Nominative*, *Accusative*, and *Vocative Plural*; a few likewise in the *Dative Singular*. We adduce here especially those in v_S G. v_{SS} ; also those in v_S when they have G. v_{SS} in the Ionic and Doric manner; and with them we connect the declension of the single words $\beta o \hat{v}_S$ and $\gamma \rho a \hat{v}_S$, in order to exhibit to the eye their general accordance with those in v_S and v_S .

Sing.	ð (fish)	$\dot{\eta}\left(city ight)$	δ , $\dot{\eta}$ (ox, cow)	$ \dot{\eta} (old woman) $
Nom.	ίχθύς	πόλις	βοῦς	γραῦς
Gen.	ίχθύος	πόλιος	βοός	γραός
Dat.	ίχθύϊ	πόλιι πόλι	Bot	ypat
Acc.	ίχθύι	πόλιν	βοῦν	γραθυ
Voc.	ίχθύ	πόλι	βοῦ	γραῦ
'Dual.		•	•	• • •
N. A.	ιχθύε	πόλιε	βόε	γρᾶε
G. D.	ίχθύοιν	πολίοιν .	βοοίν	γραοίν
Plur.			· ·	
Nom.	ίχθύες ίχθῦς	πόλιες πόλις	βόες (βοῦς)	γράες (γραύς)
Gen.	ίχθύων	πολίων	βοῶν	γραῶν `
Dat.	ίχθύσι (ν)	πόλισι (ν)	βουσί (ν)	γραυσί (ν)
Acc.	ίχθύας ίχθῦς	πόλιας πόλις	βόας βους	γράας γραύς
Voc.	ίχθύες ίχθῦς	πόλιες πόλις	βόες βους	γράες γραύς

In this last word, the unusual contraction of γρᾶες and γρᾶες into γραῦς is to be noted. The Ionics have γρηῦς, γρηός, etc. without contraction.—For ναῦς see Anom. Nouns † 58.

Note 1. The epic language contracts also the Dat. in $v\bar{\imath}$; as $l\chi\theta\nu\hat{\imath}$, (vékus vékul.

Note 2. The Dorics spoke and wrote $\beta \hat{\omega}s$, $\beta \hat{\omega}v$; and the word has this Acc. in Homer (II. η , 238) where it stands as fem. for cow-hide, shield of hide; comp. II. μ , 105 $\beta \hat{\omega} \epsilon \sigma \sigma iv$.

Note 3. In all the above words the contraction is often neglected by Attie writers; most frequently in the Nom. Plur. and especially in forms from monosyllables, as ries, μ ves, $\delta \rho$ ves, $\gamma \rho \hat{a}$ es, β óes; often also $l\chi \theta$ ves, etc.

NOTE 4. It is worthy of remark, that by this contraction the Plural becomes again like the Nom. Sing. Even where the quantity is different, this is not always apparent from the accent, e. g. in δ βότρῦς and τοὺς βότρῦς.

Note 5. In the common language, the flexion in is, G. ios, is exhibited (besides in $\kappa(s)$ only by single forms from $\hat{\eta}$ & right tiger, where heifer, where husband, $\hat{\eta}$ in $\hat{\eta}$ is the first verath, $\hat{\eta}$ repairs keel, (some of which however take also δ in the Gen. $\hat{\xi}$ 56. n. 5); and further by some proper names, as I his, and by adjectives in is, i, $\hat{\xi}$ 63. 1. The multitude of other words in is, which assume no consonant in the Genitive, follow the model in the next section.—For the shortening of the $\hat{\iota}$ in the Dat. Sing. see $\hat{\xi}$ 28. n. 10.

Norm 6. The word ous skeep conforms to the above mode of declension

(Parad. $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$); and has Gen. $\delta \iota s$, Nom. and Acc. Plur. $\delta \iota s$ (long ι). Commonly however the Nom. Sing. is contracted (ols), and the word is then thus declined: N. $\dot{\eta}$ ols, G. olós, D. olí, A. olv, Plur. oles, olas, both contr. ols, consequently $\dot{\eta}$, al, $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ ols.—But in the Dat. Plur. Homer has $\delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota v$, according to the next section.

NOTE 7. Most words in oûs belong to the contracted Dec. II; as πλοῦς, ροῦς, νοῦς. Like βοῦς are declined only χοῦς (§ 58) and ροῦς when it signifies sumac; but these are never contracted.

\$ 51. Contraction of Words in 15, us (G. ews), 1, v.

- 1. Most words in ι_S and ι_t , and some few in ι_S and ι_t , retain in the common language, the vowel of the Nominative only in the Nom. Acc. and Voc. Singular. In all the other endings they change it into ϵ_t , and then contract the Dat. ϵ_t into ϵ_t , the Plur. ϵ_t and ϵ_t into ϵ_t , and Neut. ϵ_t into η . Other endings are not contracted.
 - 2. Substantives in ι s and ι s then assume also what is called the Attic Genitive,
- i.e. they form the Gen. Sing. in ω_s instead of os, and the Gen. Dual in ω_{ν} instead of ow (see n. 6); but they accent all three Genitives as if the last syllable were short, § 11. 8.
- 3. Neuters in v and ι have the common Genitive; e.g. ἄστυ, ἄστεος, ἀστέοιν· πέπερι, πεπέρεος.
- 4. Hence arises for *substantives* the following usual mode of declension:

Sing.	ή (state)	δ (ell)	τὸ (city)		Plur.	
Nom.	πόλις	πηχυς	ἄστυ	πόλεις	πήχεις	ἄστη
Gen.	πόλεως	πήχεως	ἄστεος	πόλεων	πήχεων	ἀστέων
Dat.	πόλει	πήχει	ἄστει	πόλεσι (ν)	πήχεσι (ν)	ἄστεσι (ν)
Acc.	πόλιν	πῆχυν	ἄστυ	πόλεις	πήχεις	άστη
Voc.			ἄστυ			ἄστη
		l. N. A.	πόλεε	πήγεε	ἄστεε	
				πήχεων	ἀστέοιν	

5. Adjectives in ws, Neut. v, have the common Genitive, and do not contract the neuter Plural (§ 62); e. g.

ήδύς Neut. ήδύ Gen. ή δέος Dat. ήδει Plur. ήδεις Neut. ήδέα G. ήδέων.

Note 1. The greater part of words in us are declined according to the preceding section. Like $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi vs$ are declined only $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \chi vs$, and in part $\hat{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon \lambda vs$ and $\pi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \beta vs$, see § 58. Like $\hat{a} \sigma \tau v$ are declined (besides $\pi \hat{a} \hat{v} \hat{v}$ which does not occur contracted) a number of names of plants and minerals in ϵ , as $\sigma \hat{v} v \hat{a} \gamma \hat{a} \rho v$, etc.

NOTE 2. The Attic poets have the Gen. ἄστεως, which later writers use also in prose; Plut. Sull. 13 πεπέρεως.

NOTE 3. The Ionics always decline words in is Gen. ios (as πόλιος, etc.) according to \$50. There exists also a Genitive in εος, but only among the Attics, when they shorten that in εως on account of the metre, e. g. πόλεος, υβρεος, etc.—The epic poets have the Ionic flexion; except that in the Dat.

they make $\epsilon \bar{\imath}$ in order to avoid the eacophony of u; e. g. $\pi \delta \sigma \iota s$, $\pi \delta \sigma \iota s$, $\pi \delta \sigma \epsilon i$. Hence, when they contract this Dative, they often make it in $\epsilon \iota$ ($\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon i$), instead of $\bar{\iota}$ ($\pi \delta \lambda \bar{\iota}$), which is peculiar to Ionic and Doric prose.—On the other hand, of the words in u s which belong here (except $\tilde{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon \lambda u s$), the Ionic form is $\epsilon o s$, $\epsilon \bar{\iota}$, as $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi u s$, $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi e s$, $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \epsilon \bar{\iota}$, etc.

NOTE 4. The word $\pi \delta \lambda_{15}$ alone has in the epic language a Gen. $\pi \delta \lambda_{10}$ s, and then the Accus. is $\pi \delta \lambda_{10}$.

Note 5. Contractions like πηχῶν, and G. ἡμίσους, Plur. τὰ ἡμίση, from the Neut. adject. ἡμισν, belong to the later and less pure Attic dialect.

NOTE 6. The Gen. Dual in εφν is inserted above for the sake of the analogy, and because the Grammarians cite this form as Attic. But in our Attic texts we find every where only γενεσέοιν, κινησέοιν, πολέοιν, etc. This is certainly not accidental, since probably the ι in this ending made the feeling of length more prominent than in the Sing. and Plural. Comp. βασιλέοιν in the next section.

§ 52. Contraction of Words in εύς.

Words in $\epsilon \acute{v}$ s have likewise the Attic Genitive, but only that of the Sing. in ωs , and without any peculiarity in the Accent; inasmuch as the tone in the Nom. is always on $\epsilon \acute{v}$ s, and therefore remains in the other cases on the penult († 43. 2. 1). In these words also, only the *Dat. Sing.* and *Nom. Voc.* and *Accus. Plur.* are contracted; but in the last case the uncontracted $\epsilon a s$ is the more usual form. The long a in the Accusative-endings a and a s is an Attic peculiarity.

Sing.	o (king)	Dual.	Plur.
Nom.	βασιλεύς	βασιλέε	βασιλεῖς
Gen.	βασιλέως	βασιλέοιν	βασιλέων
Dat.	βασιλεῖ		βασιλεῦσι (ν)
Acc.	βασιλέα		βασιλέας and βασιλείς
Voc.	βασιλεῦ		βασιλεῖς

Note 1. The Accus. in ϵis is used by writers not Attic, i. e. those called of kouroí (§ 1. 9). The Accus. Sing. in ϵa is sometimes contracted into $\hat{\eta}$ by the Attic as well as other poets: Il. o. 339. Aristoph. Acharn. 1151 (1116).

Note 2. The earlier Attic writers, e. g. Thucydides, Aristophanes, contract the Nom. Plur. into $\hat{\eta}s$, e. g. of $lnn\hat{\eta}s$, of Martin $\hat{\eta}s$. This contraction is sometimes marked with ι subscript, but incorrectly, because it comes from the ancient $\hat{\eta}\epsilon s$; see the next note.

Note 3. The Ionics decline throughout βασιλη̂ο, βασιλη̂ι, η̂α, η̂ες, η̂ας, etc.—The form in έος, έῖ, on the contrary, is here very rare, and peculiar to the poets.—For the Dat. Plur. βασιλέεσσι and ἱππήεσσι from ἱππεύς, see § 46. n. 2.

§ 53. Varying and Double Contraction.

1. Some deviations in the contractions of Dec. III, occur occasionally through the influence of the Attic dialect; especially when ϵ is both preceded and followed by a vowel. In such instances the ending ϵa is contracted not into η , but into a. This

occurs in words in ης (ες) and ος (§ 49); e.g. ὑγιής healthy, Acc. Sing. and Neut. Plur. ὑγιέα contr. ὑγιᾶ. So εὐφυᾶ, ἐνδεᾶ, from εὐφυής, ἐνδεής; also χρέος debt, Plur. χρέα contr. χρέα. But in the Acc. Plur. we find ὑγιεῖς, ἐνδεεῖς, like the Nominative.

2. Even those endings of words in εύς which are not usually contracted, absorb in some words in like manner the ε before a, aς, and ως; thus χοεύς a measure (see χοῦς § 58), Gen. χοέως χοῶς, Acc. χοέα χοᾶ, Acc. Plur. χοέας χοᾶς. So Πειραιεύς G. Πειραιῶς A. Πειραιᾶ; also ἀγυιεύς altar before the door, τοὺς ἀγυιᾶς, and some others. So that in this single instance the contracted Acc. Plur. is not like the contracted Nominative Plur.

3. In proper names in -κλέης contr. κλής, there arises a double contraction, which however is usual only in the Dative, e.g.

Νοπ. Περικλέης — Περικλής Gen. Περικλέεος contr. Περικλέους Dat. Περικλέει — Περικλέει — Περικλεί Acc. Περικλέεα — Περικλέα Voc. Περίκλεες — Περίκλεις.

So also $H\rho\alpha\kappa\lambda\eta$ s Hercules, and some others.

NOTE 1. The doubly contracted Accusative is rarely found, e. g. 'Ηρακλῆ, Plat. Phædo p. 89. c.—The form 'Ηρακλῆν in later writers is explained from § 56. n. 4.

Note 2. Sometimes instead of contraction, there was an elision of one of the vowels; e. g. Voc. "Ηρακλές, as an exclamation in the later prose; and in the poets, Gen. Σοφοκλέος, D. 'Πρακλέϊ; Hom. ὑπερδέα (instead of -ε \hat{a}) for ὑπερδεέα from -εής; σπέσσι for σπέεσσι.

Note 3. By means of this elision we can explain the instances, where the unaccented ending a, e. g. in $\tau \grave{a}$ $\kappa \lambda \acute{\epsilon} a$ (from $\kappa \lambda \acute{\epsilon} os)$), which from the contraction should be long, is nevertheless in epic writers short. Comp. § 28. n. 10.

Note 4. The word άλιεύς fisher, has always the common forms: άλιέως, άλιέας. See more in Ausf. Sprachl. § 53. n. 1.

Note 5. The Ionics always have ὑγιέα, Ἡρακλέεα, ἐνδεέες, etc. The early poets contract the first $\epsilon\epsilon$ into $\epsilon\iota$ or η ; e. g. from κλέος, $\sigma\pi$ έος, we find G. κλέιος, Plur. κλεία; D. $\sigma\pi$ ε $\hat{\iota}$ and $\sigma\pi\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\iota}$, and even Dat. Plur. $\sigma\pi\hat{\eta}\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota$; also Ἡρακλῆος, $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\iota}$, $\hat{\eta}$ a.

§ 54. Contraction of Neuters in as.

 Of Neuters in as these two, κέρας horn and τέρας wonder, have the Gen. in ατος, from which the Ionics drop the τ:

κέρατος κέραος, τέρατος τέραος, and the following three, γήρας age, γέρας honour, and κρέας meat, have every where only ags.

2. Hence arises the following contraction:

	Sing.	Dual.	Plur.	
N. A. V. G. D.	κέρας κέραος κέρως κέραϊ κέρα	κέραε κέρα κεράοιν κερῷν	κέραα κέρα κεράων κερῶ ν κέρασι (ν)	

- Note 1. The word $\tau\epsilon\rho$ as admits the contraction only in the Plural, as $\tau\epsilon\rho$ a $\tau\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$; in the Sing. $\tau\epsilon\rho$ aros alone is usual among the Attics. So too in $\kappa\epsilon\rho$ as, the form in aros remained current along with the contracted one. The three other words commonly occur only in the contracted form. The form in aos is consequently, in all these words, merely Ionic.
- 3. Other neuters in as, aos, take only the contracted forms in a and a; e. g. σέλας light, δέπας goblet, τῷ σέλα, δέπα, Plur. τὰ σέλα, δέπα. So also δέρας, σφέλας, etc.

Note 2. The middle syllable ρa in the forms from κέραs is originally long; as κέρατα Anacr. 2. Eurip. Bacch. 919. Hence, in the later epic writers, comes the resolution of the long vowel, as κεράατα, and in like manner also τεράατα.

Note 3. On the other hand, the final syllable, e. g. in τὰ γέρα, κρέα, is sometimes used as short; see § 28. n. 10, and more in Ausf. Sprachl.

Note 4: The Ionics in flexion often change the a of these words into ε, and decline them as if from a Nom. in os; e. g. κέρεος, τὰ γέρεα, κρέεσσω, etc. Some old words have only this form; see the Anom. βρέτας, οδδας, κώας, and in part κνέφας, § 58.

§ 55. Contracted Form of Comparatives in ων, ον.

1. Comparatives in $\omega\nu$, Neut. ov, G. ovos, (§§ 67, 68,) drop the ν in the Accusative Singular, and in the Nom. Accus. and Voc. Plural, and then contract the vowels. But unless this contraction takes place, the ν is never dropped, even by the Ionics. E. g.

Sing.

Nom. peilor greater,

Nom. peilor greater,

Gen. peiloros

Dat. peilori

Acc. peilora contr. peilo, N. peilor

N. peilor

Dat. peilori

Like the Nom.

Dual uncontr. N. μείζονε, G. μειζόνοιν.

The Attics employ the forms $\mu \epsilon l \zeta o \nu a$ and $\mu \epsilon l \zeta o \nu a s$ not less readily than they do the contracted ones; but $\mu \epsilon l \zeta o \nu \epsilon s$ seldom occurs.

2. Similar is the usual Attic contraction of the Accusative in the two proper names 'Απόλλων, ωνος, and Ποσειδών, ώνος, Neptune; e.g. Acc. 'Απόλλωνα 'Απόλλω, Ποσειδώνα Ποσειδώ. Both forms are in use together.

NOTE. So also in the poets κυκεών a mixed drink, Acc. κυκεώνα—κυκεώ, epic κυκειώ.—Compare on this contraction and some similar ones from εἰκών, ἀηδών, etc. § 56. n. 6. d, and n. 7.

§ 56. Anomalous Declension.

1. What is properly called Anomaly in declension, is, when from any Nominative one or more of the oblique cases are actually formed in an irregular and peculiar manner, i. e. not according to the above general rules; see in the list of Anomalous Nouns (§ 58) e.g. ἀνήρ, κύων, γάλα.

Note 1. To these mere deviations in flexion, may be referred the sim-

pler declension of some foreign and later proper names in s, with a long wowel, e. g.

Φιλ $\hat{\eta}$ s G. Φιλ $\hat{\eta}$ D. Φιλ $\hat{\eta}$ A. Φιλ $\hat{\eta}$ ν V. Φιλ $\hat{\eta}$ 'Ιησοῦς G. 'Ιησοῦ D. 'Ιησοῦ A. 'Ιησοῦν V. 'Ιησοῦ.

- 2. But the greater part of the actual deviations from regular declension, consist in what may be called the *commutation* or *interchange* of forms. In Greek it was very often the case, especially in the more ancient language, that a word had two or more endings and modes of flexion, with only one and the same signification. As the language became more cultivated, only one of these forms was for the most part retained as the current form; but still the other often maintained its place, sometimes for the sake of well sounding alternation, sometimes accidentally, and most frequently in the poets. E. g. Δημήτηρ, more seldom Δήμητρα, Ceres; δάκρυον, older form δάκρυ, νος, a tear.
- Note 2. Here belong the instances where a Masc. in os of Dec. II, is at the same time a Neut. in os of Dec. III; like δ and τὸ σκότος darkness, σκύφος cup, ὅχος chariot. Further, some prolongations of the feminine endings of Dec. I, e. g. σελήνη, ἀνάγκη, Ιοπ. σεληναίη, ἀναγκαίη; λθηναί Μίπετνα, epic 'λθήνη, Ιοπ. 'λθηναίη; and many female names in η with the epic secondary form in εια, as Πηνελόπη and Πηνελόπεια, Περσφόνεια, Τερψιχόρεια. And in general, many proper names have even in the Nom. a double form; e. g. -κλῆς and -κλος, Ἰφικλῆς and Ἰφικλος; and the poets therefore, according to the necessities of the metre, could follow sometimes one form, sometimes the other. Thus Homer has always in the Nom. Πάτροκλος, but in the Acc. both Πάτροκλον and Πατροκλῆλα, Voc. Πάτροκλε and Πατρόκλεις, without its being necessary to consider this as a case of Metaplasm; see no. 5 below.
- 3. Of two modes of flexion in a word, when one became usual in one oblique case, and the other in another, the word thus became truly anomalous. E. g. γυνή would regularly follow Dec. I; but actually has the Gen. γυναικός, from the obsolete Nom. ITNAIZ. See the words Zεύς, ὕδωρ, γόνυ, δένδρον, πῦρ, ναῦς, in § 58.
- 4. Not unfrequently both forms remained more or less in common use side by side, in the same case; e.g. νίος, G. νίος and also νίδος from a Nom. of Dec. III. See too Θέμις, κοινωνός, ὄρνις, χοῦς, in § 58. A word of this sort is called Abundans.
- 5. When both forms presuppose one and the same Nominative, from which they are only declined in a different manner, the word is called a Heteroclite; e.g. Οἰδίπους, Gen. Οἰδίποδος and Οἰδίπου after the contracted Dec. II. When however one of the forms presupposes an unusual or obsolete Nominative, this is called a Metaplasm; e.g. δένδρον, ου, Dat. Plur. δένδροις and also δένδροσω from the Ion. Nom. τὸ δένδρος.
- 6. It is also an instance of *Metaplasm*, when from a masculine in o_i is formed a Neut. Plur. in a_i ; this occurs in prose particularly with

τὰ δεσμά, σταθμά, σῖτα,

from ὁ δεσμός fetter, σταθμός a balance (stall), σῖτος grain.

Note 2 a. When σταθμός signifies stall, it has usually Plur. -oi, rarely -a; but in the signif. balance, always -a. In the poets, from the Nom. in os (masc. or fem.) there come very often the Plurals τὰ δρυμά, κέλευθα, κύκλα, λύχνα, μηρά thighs for sacrifice, δύπα, ταρσά, τάρταρα; and sometimes as in later writers also τὰ ἄθλα from ὁ ἄθλος contest, τὰ βόστρυχα, τὰ ἰά αττοws, τὰ χάλινα, etc.—Some words have the double form even in the Nominative; 6. g. δ νώτος and το νώτον the back, δ ζυγός and το ζυγόν yoke, δ έρετμός and τὸ ἐρετμόν oar; all which in the Plur. prefer the neuter form.

Note 3. Most of the common and poetical anomalies of declension consist of Heteroclites and Metaplasms, or a mixture of both. We here bring into one view several classes of anomalous nouns.

Heteroclites.

Note 4. To the Heteroclites belong those words in ns which are declined after both Dec. I, and III. Some throughout; e. g. μύκης mushroom, G. ov and η ros; especially proper names like $\Delta \acute{a} \rho \eta s$, \acute{G} . ou and η ros; see the Anom. Θαλης, § 58. Others in part; thus all contracted proper names in ης which have Gen. cos, form the Acc. both in η and ην; e. g. Σωκράτης G. (cos) ous, Acc. Σωκράτη Plat. and Σωκράτην Xenoph.—The Ionics on the other hand, in words in ns which are usually declined after Dec. I, form the Acc. Sing. and Plur. after Dec. III; e. g.

τον δεσπότεα, Plur. τους δεσπότεας, from δεσπότης, ου Μιλτιάδεα from Μιλτιάδης, ου.*

Note 5. Another class of Heteroclites consists of some nouns in is, which in flexion sometimes assume a δ, and sometimes not; e. g. μηνις wrath, G. μήvios and μήνιδος; and several proper names, as 'Ανάχαρσις, ιδος Aristot. and εως Plutarch. So also feminines in ις, ιδος, e. g. πανήγυρις, μῆτις, Oéris, etc. are declined by the Ionics and Dorics very commonly with G. ios. Comp. the epic dai (i) for daidi, § 28. n. 10.

Note 6. The Nominative endings in ως, ων, ωρ, give occasion also to very many anomalies. Here belong as Heteroclites:

a. Nom. ως, G. ω and ωσς. So Μίνως, πάτρως, μήτρως; but still in Plur. more commonly πάτρωςς, etc. See also the Anom. κάλως, and comp.

ηρως, § 58.
b. Nom. ως, G. ωτος. These words sometimes drop their τ. The word δ lδρώς sweat, lδρώτι, lδρώτα, has also an Attic secondary form τῷ lδρώ, τὸν Ιδρῶ, which indeed is usually considered as contraction (like κέρατι, κέρφ), but which also coincides with the forms of the Attic Dec. II; as does also χρωτί, χρῷ, from the Anom. χρώς. A more evident transition to the Att. Dec. II, see in Anom. γέλως († 58) and in some adjectives, as εὐρύκερως, etc. \ 63. n. 5.

Such as have already in the Nom. two forms in use, can properly be reckoned neither to the Heteroclites nor to the Metaplasms. Such are:

- c. Nom. ws and os. Even *pws, wros, desire, love, which most clearly belongs to Dec. III, has also a secondary poetic form foos, Acc. foov. It is therefore less surprising, when in some words which belong to the Attic Dec. II, there occur single forms from the common Dec. II; e. g. ταώς, Nom. Plur. ταφ and ταοί. See also the Anom. κάλως and γέλως,
- d. Nom. ws and wv. Here the anomaly sometimes occurs even in the Nominative; e. g. ό ταώς G. ώ, and ό ταών G. ωνος, peacock; ό τυφώς



^{*} All proper names which are formed like patronymics, as Μιλτιάδης, Εὐριπίδης, etc. and most of those which are not (like Σωκράτης) compounded, e. g. Alσχίrns, Hέρξηs, Γύγηs, etc. are declined in Greek, with the exception of this Ionic anomaly, entirely after Dec. I; while the Latins form them wholly after Dec. III, as Gen. Miltiadis, Xerxis, etc.

- G. w, and ruper G. wros, whirlwind; if alos G. w and wos, and if alow G. wvos, threshing floor. In the Plur. of all these words, the forms of Dec. III, are the most usual.—With these may also be compared the Accusatives 'Απόλλω, Ποσειδώ, κυκεώ, § 55. 2.
- e. Some Feminines in ων have a secondary form in ω, G. ους; as γλήχων ωνος, and γληχώ οῦς, penny-royal; also Γοργών, όνος, earlier Γοργώ, οῦς.

Metaplasms.

Note 7. Here belong: 1) Feminines in wv, whose secondary form in & has not been preserved in the Nom. like those in n. 6. c. E. g.

from elkw, ovos, image, we find also G. elkovs A. elkw Acc. Plur. εἰκούς.

from aηδών, όνος, nightingale—G. aηδοῦς Voc. aηδοῖ, from χελιδών, όνος, swallow —Voc. χελιδοῖ.

In some of these examples however a contraction like that of uellow, etc. can be assumed. § 55. 1.

2) One Subst. in wo, which presupposes an obsolete Nom. in ws; e. g. from lχώρ, ῶρος, lymph, Homer has Ace. lχῶ instead of lχῶρα.*

Note 8. Finally, in the epic and lyric poets, instead of the ordinary forms of certain words, there are found single cases of a shorter or more simple form, of which however the analogous Nominative does not occur. So especially forms in Dec. III, with the case-endings os, 4, a, es, eou, instead of the usual ones in Dec. I and II.

E. g. for ἀλκή from ἀλκή strength—ἀλκί from ΑΛΞ, Hom.

for κρόκην from κρόκη woof — κρόκα from KPOΞ, Hesiod. for άιδου, άιδη, άιδην from δ άιδης Hades— ἄιδος, ἄιδα, ᾶιδα, from ΑΙΣ. for κλάδω from δ κλάδος bough—κλαδί and in Plur. κλάδεσι, from

for ανδροπόδοις from το ανδράποδον slave—ανδραπόδεσσι as if from ANAPAHOYS, Hom.

for υσμίνη from ή υσμίνη battle—υσμίνι from 'YOMIS.

And so of some others. Here belong also

al στάγες for σταγόνες drops θέραπα, θέραπες, for θεράποντα, es, servant

μάστι, μάστιν, for μάστιγι, α, from ή μάστιξ scourge.

Some such forms can hardly be considered as Metaplasms; since their presupposed Nom. is for us entirely obsolete. So

την νίφα snow, from NIΨ,

since the common word for snow is xiw, and ripás has only a derived signification, snow-flake. Further, the cases

τῆς στιχός, Pl. στίχες, as, from ΣΤΙΣ

cannot be referred to the prosaic o origos row, on account of the difference of gender.

Note 9. A very peculiar anomaly in declension is occasioned by the paragogic ending

de so de which is so very common in epic poetry, and is used instead of the Dative or Genitive Sing, and Plur, being appended to words for the most part after the following analogy:

^{*} All these appearances become perfectly plain, so soon as we have a correct idea of the original oneness of all the declensions, and perceive that the first and second, with their subordinate forms, are only ancient contractions and abridgements from the third. In this way, the Acc. in w of the Attic Dec. II, stands in connection with the contr. Acc. in w of Dec. III; the Acc. in v of Dec. III, with those of Dec. I and II; the Ionic deswirea appears less irregular; and so of all the rest. See Ausführl. Sprachl. § 33. n. 3

-οφιν in words of Dec. II, e. g. στρατός στρατόφιν. -ηφιν in words of Dec. I, e. g. κεφαλή κεφαλήφι, βία βίηψιν.* -εσφιν in neuters in os G. εος, e. g. ἔχος, στήθος—ἔχεσφι, στήθεσφιν.

The few peculiarities and deviations which occur, like κράτεσφι from KPAΣ κρατός, ναῦφιν from ναῦς, and the isolated ἐξ ἐρέβευσφι (see Ausf. Sprachl.), may be left to the learner's observation.—But thus much, it would seem, we may assume with certainty, viz. 1) That this form had originally merely an adverbial and for the mest part local signification; precisely like the similar syllables 9ι, 9εν; hence δρεσφιν in the mountains, κεφαλῆφι (λαβεῖν) by the head, θύρηφι before the door; 2) That this signification however was often rendered more definite by the aid of a preposition, e. g. ἐπ' λεριόφιν upon the deck, διὰ στήθεσφι through the breast. The instances are few where this form stands for a case, without a preposition; e. g. ἀγλαῖηφι πεποιθώς confiding in valour, βίηφι with force; most rarely of all for the simple Genitive alone, as ὀστεόφιν βίς a heap of bones. Still this form approaches to the nature of a true case in this, that it is often grammatically connected with regular cases, e. g. ἀπὸ πλατός πτυόφιν, χειρί δεξιτερῆφι; and even stands double, being repeated in the substantive and adjective, as κρατερῆφι βίηφι. This however occurs also with the undisputed local ending δε, in διθε δόμονδε.

§ 57. Nouns Defective and Indeclinable.

1. Defective nouns are such as from their very nature cannot occur in more than one number; e.g. either in the Sing. as alθήρ ether; or only in the Plural, as τὰ ἔγκατα (Dec. III) bowels, oi ἐτησίαι trade-winds, ai δυσμαί the occident, west, and the names of festivals, as τὰ Διονύσια, etc.

2. Further, some words which are commonly used only in cer-

tain connections; mostly the following, viz.

τὸ ὄναρ dream, τὸ ὕπαρ waking vision, only as Nom. and Acc. τὸ ὄφελος and τὸ ἡδος, advantage, only as Nom. e. g. τί ἂν ἡμῖν ὄφελος εἴης; what wouldst thou profit us?

μάλη (old form for μασχάλη shoulder) only in the phrase ὑπὸ

μάλης under the arm.

See also $\mathring{\omega}$ $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ and $\mathring{\omega}$ $\tau \acute{a}\nu$ in the list § 58. Here belong also many, which from being originally nouns, have become adverbs; as the Acc. $\acute{\epsilon}\pi \acute{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\eta\nu$, and $\acute{\epsilon}\xi a\acute{\epsilon}\mu\nu\eta\varsigma$ properly $\acute{\epsilon}\xi$ $a\acute{\epsilon}\mu\nu\gamma\varsigma$, etc. (§ 115. n. 3, 5.) Finally, all those in which certain cases are wanting; see the Anom. $\acute{a}\rho\nu\acute{o}\varsigma$, $\pi\rho\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\beta\nu\varsigma$, $\acute{o}\sigma\sigma\epsilon$, § 58.

3. Indeclinable nouns in Greek are mostly some foreign words, as $\tau \delta \pi \acute{a}\sigma \chi a \ passover$; and among these the names of the letters, $\check{a}\lambda \phi a$, $\mu \hat{\nu}$, etc.† Of genuine Greek words, the only ones in-

declinable are the cardinal numbers, § 70.

NOTE 1. In a certain sense we may also reckon as indeclinable the neut.

† From σίγμα is found τὰ σίγματα; but the reading is doubtful.

^{*} The Grammarians assume, that this syllable is in all instances a mere appendage to that actual case, which under the circumstances is required. Hence, when it stands for the Dative of Dec. I, they put a subscript under the η , in order to distinguish it from the Genitive. This is manifestly incorrect; see Ausfahrl. Sprachl. § 56. n. 2.

Participle τὸ χρεών necessity, usual only in Nom. and Acc. from Impers. χρή (§ 114); οη accaunt of the Gen. τοῦ χρεών, e. g. Eurip. Hipp. 1256 οὐκ ἔστι μοίρας τοῦ χρεών τ' ἀπαλλαγή. Comp. Θέμις § 58.

Note 2. It is not entirely correct, when Grammarians reckon among the defective nouns many old and poetic words, which occur very seldom, and have accidentally therefore been preserved only in this or that case; as e. g. νίφα, already mentioned in § 56. n. 8; see also the Anom. λιτί, λίπα, ηλέ, § 58. So too when they reckon, as indeclinable, words of a similar kind, which accidentally have been preserved only in the Nominative; or if neuters, in the Nom. and Accusative; e. g. ή δώς gift, τὸ δέμας shape. Among these last there may indeed be many, which the Greeks really never used in the Genitive or Dative, as e. g. dépas; but then they are defective. They could be indeclinable only when they actually occurred e. g. in the Genitive without changing the form, like τοῦ πάσγα.

Note 3. Some such short secondary forms of usual words, which we may regard as remnants of the ancient language, have in this manner been preserved; but only in the Nominative. Such are:
τὸ δῶ house; fuller form τὸ δῶμα. The Plur. χρύσεὰ δῶ in Hesiod may

be considered as a contraction; see the Anom. rápa § 58.

τὸ κρί barley; fuller form ἡ κριθή, with different gender.

τὸ ἄλφι meal; fuller form ἄλφιτον. The short form was probably declined like μέλι, ιτος.

τὸ γλάφυ cave; manifestly Neut. of an adject. ΓΛΑΦΥΣ, for which γλαφυpós excavated was afterwards used.

See also the Anom. κάρα, κάρη, § 58; also some adjectives in § 64. 3, 4.

§ 58. Catalogue of Anomalous Nouns.

Note. All that belongs to ordinary prose is here printed large, either wholly or in part; that which is poetical or rare, small. The obsolete Nominatives are in capitals.

ἀηδών § 56. n. 7.

avήρ man, belongs to the same class of words as πατήρ (§ 47), but admits the syncope in all the cases which increase, and Thus: ἀνδρός, ἀνδρί, ἄνδρα, ὧ ἄνερ. then inserts δ (§ 19. n. 1). Plur. ἄνδρες, ἀνδρῶν, ἀνδράσιν, ἄνδρας.

In the epic language also regularly, are pos etc. but with long a; and in Dat. Plur. ἄνδρεσσιν. Απόλλων, Acc. § 55. 2. Voc. § 45. n. 2.

άργέτος, -τι, epic instead of Gen. άργητος Dat. ητι from άργης white.

Apris Mars, G. Apeos, does not contract the Gen. but contracts the Dat. Aρει.—Acc. Aρη and Aρην, § 56. n. 4.

In the epic language "Αρηος, "Αρηϊ, "Αρηα. A Gen. "Αρεως often occura, which however is doubtful; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 58.

άρνός τοῦ, της, the lamb's, άρνί, ἄρνα, Plur. ἄρνες, άρνων, άρνάσι, As Nom. Sing. the form $a\mu\nu\delta\varsigma$ is used.

These are cases from an obsolete Nom. APHN or APPHN, G. eros whence ἀρνός etc. by Syncope, as in ἀνήρ.

Bárros has the metaplastic Gen. Bárrew of Dec. I, in Herodotus.

βρέτας τό, image, G. βρέτεος, Plur. βρέτη, see § 54. n. 4.

γάλα τό, milk, has G. γάλακτος Dat. γάλακτι; comp. § 41. 5 and the marginal note.

γάλως sister-in-law, G. γάλω; Ion. Nom. γαλόως G. γαλόω.

73

γέλως ὁ, laughter, G. ωτος, Acc. γέλωτα and γέλων after the Att. Dec. II. § 56. n. 6. b.

Homer has also the Dat. $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \phi$, and in Od. v. 346 stands the Acc. $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu$, but with the various reading $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$. § 37. n. 2. § 56. n. 6. c.

γόνυ τό, knee, G. γόνατος etc. Dat. Plur. γόνασιν, as from ΓΟ-NAΣ. Comp. δόρυ, δόρατος.

Ionic γουνάτος etc. and in the poets γουνός, γουνί, Plur. γοῦνα, γούνων. Comp. δόρυ.

Γοργών and Γοργώ, § 56. n. 6. e.

γυνή woman, γυναικός, γυναικί, γυναίκα, & γύναι. Plur. γυναίκες, γυναικών, γυναιξίν, γυναίκας, all from ΓΥΝΑΙΞ.

For the Voc. γύναι, comp. ανα § 45. n. 5, and § 41. 5. marg.—The ac-

cent of γυναικός etc. forms an exception to § 43. 2.

δένδρον τό, tree, in Dat. Plur. commonly δένδρεσι from τὸ δένδρος, which occurs in Ionic. Comp. κρίνου.

From another Ionic form δένδρεον came the Plur. δένδρα, δενδρέοις,

which are also not unknown in the common prose.

Διός, Διί, see Zeus.

δόρυ τό, spear, G. δόρατος etc. Dat. Plur. δόρασι from ΔΟΡΑΣ.

Comp. γόνυ, γόνατος.

Ionic δούρατος etc. From another still more simple form came the (more poetic) cases δορός, δορί, Ion. δουρός, δουρί, Plur. δοῦρα, δούρων, δούρεσσιν. Comp. γόνυ.

čaρ, ήρος, see § 41. n. 7.

| έάων see έΰς.

ἔγχελυς ή, eel, G. voς, has in the Plur. Ion. ἐγχέλυες etc. Att. ἐγχέλεις, ἐγχέλεων, § 51. n. 1.

εἰκών § 56. n. 7. | ἔρως, ἔρος, § 56. n. 6. c.

ἐψε good, an epic word, from which come Gen. ἐῆος* Acc. ἐψν.—Also ἦψε, Acc. ἦψν, Neut. ἢψ.—From another form EOΣ, a, ον, and its Neut. Plur. τὰ EA, comes the epic Gen. Pl. ἐάων, goods, § 35. n. 4. c.

Zews Jupiter, G. Διός, D. Διί, A. Δία, as if from ΔΙΣ; and also a less common form Zηνός, Ζηνί, Ζῆνα, from ZHN.—Voc. Ζεῦ. ηλέ, Π. ο. 128 φρένας ἢλέ, madman! a Vocative formed by apocope from the

infrequent ἢλεός; Od. β. 243 φρένας ἢλεέ. ἦρα a defective Accus. in the epic writers: ἦρα φέρειν, gratify, help.

ήρως, hero, G. ωος, contracts among the Attics the Accusatives ήρωα, ήρωας, into ήρω, ήρως.

For the sake of the metre, the other endings are sometimes contracted and the short vowel absorbed; as Dat. ηρω for ηρωϊ, Nom. Plur. ηρως for ηρωες. Comp. § 56. n. 6. a.

ήΰς, ήώς, see ἐΰς, ἔως.

Θαλής, G. Θάλεω D. Θαλή A. Θαλήν. With this accent, drawn

^{*} From this Genitive there has usually been distinguished in Homer a Gen. $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\eta}os$, with the rough breathing, where the sense seemed to require the possessive thine, e. g. $\pi\omega\delta\delta s$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\eta}os$ of thy son. This was considered as the Gen. of an old form ETZ for $\hat{\epsilon}\delta s$ his, which, like other forms of the third person, stood for the second person (Synt. § 127, n. 5). But the form $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\eta}os$ only is correct. The pronoun is not expressed, and the adjective $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\sigma}s$ takes in some measure its place; just as the commendatory $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\lambda\delta s$ sometimes stands with a stronger meaning, where otherwise the possessive could stand; e. g. II. ϵ . 469. π . 573; comp. particularly Od. γ . 379 with II. ω . 422. See Lexil. I. 23.

back only in the Genitive, and with this Ionic Genitive (§ 34. n. IV. 5), this name is found in the earliest and best writers, as Herodotus, Plato, etc. The Gen. $\Theta a \lambda o \hat{v}$ and the forms $\Theta a \lambda \eta \tau o s$, $\eta \tau \iota$, $\eta \tau a$, are later. Forms after Dec. I, with the accent on the penult, (except $\Theta a \lambda \epsilon \omega$,) are to be rejected.

θέμις ή, Themis, law, has the old epic form G. θέμιστος etc. Dor. βέμιτος (Plato also has Θέμιτος for the goddess); commonly

Θέμιδος, Ion. Θέμιος.

In the phrase θέμις ἐστί, fas est, the word θέμις has in a measure become indeclinable or neuter; hence as Acc. φασί θέμις είναι, Plato Gorg. 505. Soph. OC. 1191.

9ρίξ ή, hair, G. τριχός etc. Dat. Plur. 9ριξί, according to 18. 18οώς \$ 56. n. 6. b.

κάλως ὁ, cable, G. ω, Acc. ων. Plur. κάλως and κάλοι, Acc. κά-

 λov_5 , all from $KA\Lambda O\Sigma$; see § 56. n. 6. a, c.

κάρā Att. κάρη Ion. τό, head. From the first form, although it occurs often in the Attic writers, there is found no other case, except Dat. κάρη. Το κάρη we have above assigned the cases κάρητος, ητι (§ 41.8); along with which there exists in the epic writers a fuller form καρήστος from the less frequent Nom. κάρηση. Comp. § 41. n. 7.—In Hom. Hymn. Cer. 12, occurs the Plur. κάρα, for -aa or -ηa.

Herewith are to be connected the forms of KPAAΣ and KPAΣ, likewise poetic; but the Nom. Sing. does not occur. The first is epic and neuter, Plur. τὰ κράστα; the other, κρατός, κρατί, is common to all the poets and is usually masculine; Acc. Sing. τὸν κρᾶτα Hom.—Peculiar to Sophocles

is a third form, Nom. and Acc. Sing. τὸ κρᾶτα, Philoct. 1457.

κλείς ή, key, G. κλειδός, has in Acc. κλείδα, oftener κλείν, and in Plur. κλείδες, κλείδας contr. κλείς.

κυέφας, darkness, prefers in the Gen. the form -ous, (κυέφους Aristoph. Eccl. 290,) and in the Dative the form q, § 54. n. 4; epic -aos, -aī. κοινωνός, partaker; instead of the regular plural, Xenophon employs κοινώνες

couvovos, partaker; instead of the regular plural, Xenophon employs κοινώνες and -as. Comp. § 56. n. 8.

ΚΡΑΑΣ, ΚΡΑΣ, вее κάρα.

κρίνου τό, lily, has a secondary form in the Plur. τὰ κρίνεα (Hdot.) and κρίνεσι (Aristoph.) as from KPINOΣ. Comp. δένδρου.

κύων δ, ή, dog, κυνός, κυνί, κύνα, ὧ κύον, Plur. κύνες, κυνῶν, κυσί,

κῶας τό, fleece, G. κώτος, Plur. κώτα. 🐧 54. n. 4.

λâas contr. λâs, δ, stone, G. λâos D. λᾶῖ (§ 43. n. 4), Acc. λâav λâv (§ 44. n. 1), D. Plur. λάεσσιν. An Acc. λâa is also found; likewise a Gen. λάου, as if from λάās of Dec. I.

λίπα an old Subst. neut. (oil, fat, Hippoer.) for which also we find λίπαs.

The Dat. λίπαϊ, λίπα, was shortened in pronunciation and sounded like λίπα, especially in the phrase λίπα ἀλείφεσθαι to anoint oneself with oil.

Here belongs also the Homeric λίπ' ἐλαίφ, which may be considered as the Dat. of λίπα ἔλαιον olive-oil.

λίε ό, lion, Acc. λίν. No other form occurs in the earlier writers.

λιτί, λίτα, linen, Dat. and Accus. The Nom. is wanting.

μάρτυς witness, forms μάρτυρος, μάρτυρι, Acc. μάρτυρα and μάρτυν, D. Plur. μάρτυσιν.

μείς is the Ion. Nom. instead of ὁ μήν month, G. μηνός, etc.

μέλε, a Vocative found only in the familiar phrase & μέλε, in both genders.*

^{*} This has been regarded as formed by apostrophe for méles from méless un-

μήτρως § 56. n. 6. a. || Μίνως ibid.

vaûs ή, ship. The Attic mode of declension is the following:

Sing. N. vaûs G. vews D. vnt A. vaûv Plur. N. vnes G. vewv D. vavol A. vaûs.

Dual. N. A. not found; G. D. veoîv Thuc.

The old and Doric form is G. ναός (whence νεώς § 27. n. 10) etc. Ionic, νηῦς, νηός, etc. Acc. νῆα and νηῦν. From this comes a second Ionic form, G. νεός A. νέα, Plur. νέες, νέας.

Oίδίπους, G. Οίδίποδος and Οίδίπου, D. οδι, A. οδα and ουν, V. ου. An epic and lyric secondary form (as if from Nom. Οίδιπόδης) is G. Οίδιπόδαο, Dor. -ā, Ion. εω, D. η, Α. ην, V. Οίδιπόδα.

őis, ols, § 50. n. 6.

ὄνειρον dream, forms as Neut. ὀνείρατος, etc. Plur. ὀνείρατα; comp. πρόσωπον. But it is also found as Masc. ὁ ὄνειρος, ου.

δρνις δ, ή, bird, G. δρνίθος, etc. It has in the Plur. a secondary form (declined like πόλις), δρνεις, δρνεων; comp. § 56. n. 5.

In the Attic poets occurs also the Acc. Plur. ὅρνῖς (§ 50, πόλις). The Dories wrote ὅρνῖχος, ὅρνῖχα, etc. (§ 16. n. 1. a,) without however forming the Nom. in ξ.

όσσε N. and A. Dual, eyes, forms the Gen. and Dat. only in the Plur. and after Dec. II, ὄσσων, ὅσσοις, ὅσσοισιν.

οὐδας τό, floor, οὕδεος, οὕδεϊ, § 54. n. 4.

οὖς τό, ear, G. ἀτός, etc. Gen. Plur. ἄτων (§ 43. n. 4. d), D. Plur. ἀσίν. Contr. from οὖας, ατος; Dor. Nom. ὧς.

παις, child, boy, παιδός, has in the dissyllable epic form πάις, the Acc. πάιν. πάτρως § 56. n. 6. a. || Πειραιεύς § 53. 2.

πνύξ ή, pnyx (a place of meeting in Athens), has in the earlier writers πυκυός, πυκυί, πύκυα; later πνυκός, etc. § 19. n. 2.

Ποσειδών, ώνος, Αcc. Ποσειδώνα and Ποσειδώ, Voc. Πόσειδον, § 45. n. 2. § 55. 2.

Ancient form, Ποσειδάων, ονος and ωνος. Dor. Ποσειδάν οr Ποτειδάν,

ανος. Ion. Ποσειδέων, ονος.

πρέσβυς ὁ, in the signif. old man, elder, has further only Acc. πρέσβυν, V. πρέσβυ. The Plur. οἱ πρέσβεις etc. belongs to the signif. ambassador. The other cases were supplied from πρεσβύτης elder, and πρεσβευτής ambassador. Thus

πρέσβυς elder, G. πρεσβύτου, D. πρεσβύτη, Α. πρέσβυν, V. πρέσβυ,

Plur. πρεσβύται, etc.

πρεσβευτής ambassador, οῦ, ἢ, ἡν. Plur. πρέσβεις, πρέσβεων, πρέσβεσι, πρέσβεις.

Single poetic examples like G. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega s$ of an ambassador, Aristoph. Acharn. 93, and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \eta \epsilon s$ elders, Scut. Herc. 245, prove nothing against the common usage.

πρόσωπον τό, countenance, Plur. epic προσώπατα, προσώπασιν. Comp. δνειρον. πρόχοος ή, water-pot, Att. πρόχους, Gen. πρόχου, comp. § 60. 5. It passes over in the Plur. into Dec. III; as Dat. Plur. πρόχουσιν, Aristoph. Nub. 272. Eurip. Ion. 434; like βοῦς, βουσίν.

πῦρ τό, fire, forms its Plural (e.g. watch-fires) after Dec. II, τὰ πυρά, Dat. πυροίς, Xen. Anab. 7. 2. Comp. § 7. n. 8. marg.

happy, like had above. But it often occurs in an entirely good and even commendatory sense, as Plat. Theæt. 90, comp. Schol. Consequently, like the expression "my good friend," it is to be taken as a mode of address in either sense.

σής δ, moth, G. σεός, Plur. σέες, σέας, Genit. σέων, § 43. n. 4. In later writers σητός, etc.

σκώρ τό, filth, G. σκατός. See ὕδωρ.

σμῶδιξ ἡ, induration, weal, forms σμώδιγγος, etc. στέαρ, στῆρ, G. στητός, § 41. n. 7.

τάν, only as Voc. ω τάν, a mode of address in common life, O thou! more seldom O ye!*

ταώς § 56. n. 6. c, d.

∥ τυφώς § 56. n. 6. d.

ύδωρ τό, water, G. ύδατος, etc. D. Plur. ύδασιν.

Comp. σκώρ, σκατός. The old Nom. is ΎΔΑΣ, from the confounding of which with νόδος (comp. § 54. n. 4) the epic Dat. νόδι can be explained. νίός δ, son, is declined regularly; but we also find very often, especially among the Attics, the following forms after Dec. III; G. νίέος D. νίει (A. νίέα). Dual νίέε, νίέοιν. Plur. νίεις, νίέων, νίζουν, νίζουν νίζου από νίει Plate Logg p. 605.

υίέσιν, υίέας and υίεις Plato Legg. p. 695.

Of these last, the most usual are the Gen. Sing. and all the Plurai cases, and these are even preferred to the regular forms. The Acc. vića is rejected by the Atticists, as also the form of the Gen. vićos. The Ionics form G. viĥos, etc. All these are prolongations of the cases derived by epic writers from the simplest ancient form 'YIX, in which the accent of the Gen. and Dat. Sing. seems to indicate a contraction from vi-; G. vios, D. via, A. via, Plur. vies, vias, D. viáos with a inserted (as in πατράσιν, ἀρνάσι), because the diphthong vi does not usually stand before a consonant.

φάρυγξ ή, gullet, G. φάρυγγος, poetic φάρυγος, etc.

φρέαρ, Gen. φρέατος and aros conts. φρητός, etc. see § 41. n. 7.

χείρ ή, hand, G. χειρός, has in Gen. and Dat. Dual χεροῦν, and in Dat. Plur. χερσί. For τω χεῖρε see § 123. 2.

In the poets also G. χερός, χερί, χέρα; Dual χειροῖν, epic χείρεσι, χεί-

χελιδών, § 56. n. 7.

χοῦς ὁ (a measure, congius,) is in part declined regularly (like βοῦς), χοός, χοῖ, χοῦν, Plur. χόες, χουσί, χόας. But since it is strictly contracted from χοεύς (Hippocrat.) it therefore has also (§ 53.2) the better Attic forms G. χοῶς, A. χοᾶ, A. Pl. χοᾶς.†—But ὁ χοῦς heap of earth, has only G. χοός, A. χοῦν, etc.

χρέων § 57. n. 1.

χρέως τό, debt, Gen. also χρέως, Ionic-Attic form for the common and less approved χρέος, G. χρέους. Plur. χρέα, § 53. n. 2.

The Dat. is wanting in both numbers.—The epic writers have also Nom. χρείως and χρείως.‡

χρώς δ, skin, G. χρωτός, etc. Ionic χροός, χροί, χρόα. The Attic Dat. χρῷ occurs only in the phrase ἐν χρῷ, § 56. n. 6. b.

& τάν, see τάν.

∥ ἀτός, ε∈ο οὖς.

^{*} The mode of writing this phrase & 'ray, rests on the incorrect derivation from trns friend, & tra: see Ausf. Sprachl. § 57.

[†] Not to be confounded with xods, from al xoal libation.

‡ The form χρέως occurs often in earlier editions as Nom. and Accusative; more recently it has been restored from the manuscripts as Genitive also; e. g. Demosth. c. Timoth. p. 1189, 25. 1203, 16.—The form is to be explained from the verb χρέως. The oldest form of the noun was XPAOX, Gen. XPAOYX, and hence arose Nom. and Gen. χρέως; just as λεώς from λαός and λαούς. Χρέος was afterwards formed by shortening the ω.

ADJECTIVES.

§ 59. Endings.

1. The Greek Adjectives, in consequence of the distinction of genders (motio), may be mainly divided into two classes: 1) Those of three endings, of which the first is masculine, the second feminine, and the third neuter. 2) Those of two endings, in which, as in Latin, the Masc. and Fem. have a common form, i.e. they are generis communis.—A third class, those of one ending, does not strictly exist; since the few which might seem to be of this kind, are not generis omnis, as in Latin; but only of common gender without a neuter form. See § 63.3-5, and the apparent exceptions ib. n. 2.

2. The Feminine of adjectives of three endings always follows

Dec. I.

3. The *Neuter* has always in the Nominative, and consequently in the three *like* cases, a form of its own; in all the other cases it is like the masculine.

4. The Neuters of Adjectives of Dec. III, with the exception of the monosyllable $\pi \hat{a}s$ († 62) and the compounds of $\pi o \hat{v}s$ († 63. n. 4), always have a short vowel in the last syllable. But no Neuter is ever formed, unless the masculine stem has already a short final vowel. Adjectives with a long stem-vowel have no neuter form; † 63. 3, 4, and n. 5.

Note. In order therefore to decline adjectives correctly, it is only necessary to know the nominative of each gender, and the Genitive of the masculine.

1 60. Adjectives in os.

1. The largest class of adjectives are those in os, of Dec. II, corresponding to the Latin in us, and either (like these) of three endings,

Masc. os, Fem. η or \bar{a} , Neut. ov,

or of two endings,

Comm. os, Neut. ov.

For the few Pronouns which have the Neut. o, see § 74.

2. Those of three endings are the most numerous, and have the Fem. always in η ; except when preceded by a vowel or by ρ , where the Fem. has \tilde{a} , Gen. a_5 . E. g.

κούφος, κούφη, κούφον, light φίλος, φίλη, φίλον, dear, a friend δεινός, δεινή, δεινόν, frightful νέος, νέα, νέον, young φίλιος, φίλία, φίλιον, friendly

ελεύθερος, έρα, ερον, free πυρρός, ά, όν, fiery-red.

Note 1. But those in oos have the Fem. in η; e. g. ὄγδοος ὀγδόη, 9οός $90\dot{\eta}$; yet when ρ precedes, these also have a, as $\dot{a}\theta\rho\dot{o}os$, $\dot{a}\theta\rho\dot{o}a$.—The Fem. in a of adjectives in os, is always long; except in δίος, δία, δίον, divine, and some few adjectives in ειος. Comp. πότνια § 64. n. 3; also μία § 70.—For the accent of feminines in the Nom. and Gen. Plur. see § 34. III. 1. 2.

3. Of Adjectives of two endings, or common, there are few among primitives or those uncontracted. Such are ὁ, ἡ βάρβαρος not Greek, δάπανος lavish, ημερος tame, ησυχος quiet, λοίδορος railing, λάβρος furious, λάλος talkative, τιθασός tame, χέρσος barren, χαῦνος flabby.—Others are variable in the poets and even among the Attics; who in adjectives of three endings often prefer the form in os for the feminine; as ή ελεύθερος, ή ἀναγκαῖος, ή έρεμος, ή έτοιμος, etc. See on the Comparative § 65. n. 6.

4. More especially, compound adjectives are of the common gender, i. e. have only two endings; as δ, ή βαθύκολπος, εὐφωνος, άδηλος, ἀργός (for ἄεργος), ἀπόκληρος, ἐγκύκλιος, διάλευκος (although the simple Adj. is λευκός, ή, όν), πολυγράφος, and also those derived from compound verbs, as διάφορος, ὑπήκοος, ἐξαίρετος. But those which are derived by appending the syllable κός, have always three endings, even in compounds; as ἐπιδεικτικός, ή, όν (from ἐπιδείκνυμι), εὐδαιμονικός, ή, ον (from εὐδαίμων); and often also those in 105 (0105, etc.) when compounded with a privative; as avágios, la, iov.

Note 2. Adjectives which are clearly derived from other words by ap pending the terminations

κος, λος, νος, ρος, τος, εος,

as μαντικός, δειλός, δεινός, φανερός, πλεκτός, χρύσεος, have always, in prose at least, the three endings. On the contrary, those with the endings

μος, ιος, ειος, αιος, are more or less of the common gender. But the poets sometimes allow, themselves, for the sake of the verse, to write ή λαμπρός, φανερός, κλυτός, etc.

Note 3. Another tolerably certain rule is, that those adjectives, which in forming a feminine in n or a would make it like the kindred abstract substantive, have the feminine in os; some always, others often; e. g. i σωτήριος, έλευθέριος, βασίλειος, because of the substantives ή σωτηρία, έλευθερία, βασιλεία. So φίλιος with the fem. φιλία, has also ή φίλιος, because of the substantive ή φιλία.

Note 4. As exceptions from the rule in no. 4 above, we find also those adjectives that are only strengthened by παν-; as παγκάλη Plato, παμπόλλη Xen. The poets use also, with a feminine form, such compounds as are usually of common gender; e. g. άθανάτη, ἀμφιλύκη Hom. άδμήτη Soph. Also several in ιος, as παρακτία, παραθαλασσία Plato.

Examples of Adjectives in os for practice, see in App. E.

- 5. Some adjectives in oos are contracted; viz.
- a) Those of common gender, which are compounded with contracts of Dec. II, as πλούς, νούς, etc. e. g. εὔνους, εὔνουν, well-Being thus formed in part of words already contracted, their flexion is not subject to the usual rules of ac-

cent for contraction; that is, in all the cases where the uncontracted form would move the accent forward, they retain it on the syllable where the Nom. has it; e.g. G. εύνου, uncontr. εὐνόου. Hence they may be best declined as already contracted. They even take the circumflex on the penult, when it is long by nature, before the contracted oι of the Nom. Plural, as εὐνοι; but the accent can never be thrown back upon the antepenult; hence περίπλοι, κακόνοι from κακόνους ill-disposed.* The Neut. Plur. in oa remains unchanged, as τὰ ἄνοα.—Thus

Sing. εύνους εύνουν
εύνου
εύνου
εύνουν

Plur. εθνοι εθνοα
εθνων
εθνοις
εθνους εθνοα.

b) The multiple numerals of three endings, $\delta \pi \lambda \delta os$, η , ov, single, $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \delta os$ double, etc. They have this peculiarity, that they every where contract $\delta \eta$ into $\hat{\eta}$, and δa into \hat{a} . Thus

Sing. διπλόος διπλοῦς, διπλόη διπλή, διπλόον διπλοῦν διπλοῦν διπλοῦς, διπλόης διπλής, etc.

Plur. διπλόοι διπλοῖ, διπλόαι διπλαῖ, διπλόα διπλα διπλάν διπλών, etc.†

Note 5. The Adj. ἀθρόος, a, ov, all together, is not contracted in good prose, in order to distinguish it from ἄθρους noiseless. Also ἀντίξοος opposing, δικρόος δικροῦς forked, εῦπνοος well-breathing, εῦχροος fresh-looking, are contracted only in single forms: τὰ δικρᾶ, τὸν εῦπνουν, etc.

6. Some adjectives in εος, denoting a material, are contracted and the accent shifted; viz. when the ending εος is preceded by a consonant (as χρύσεος golden), there is in the Singular a contraction of έα into η; but when preceded by ρ or a vowel (as ἀργύρεος silver, ἐρέεος woollen), the contraction is into â. In the Plural and Dual the ε is every where absorbed by the following diphthong or vowel; like the o in no. 5. b, above. So Plur. Neut. τὰ χρυσᾶ, Acc, fem. χρυσᾶς, etc. E.g.

Sing.	χρύστος	σοῦς	. χρυσέα	வி	χρύσ εον	σοῦν.
Ü	χρυστίου	σοῦ	χρυσέας	σής	χρυσέου	σοῦ
	χρυσέφ	တေမှိ	χρυσέφ	σĝ	χρυσέφ	σφိ
nı.	χρύσ€ον	σοῦν	χρυσέαν	σήν	χρύσεον	σοῦν
Plur.	χρύσεοι	σοι	χρύ σεαι	σαι	χρυσεα	σã, etc.

On the other hand, from ἀργύρεος, έα, εον, we have ἀργυροῦς, ρᾶ, ροῦν, G. ἀργυροῦ, ρᾶς, etc. and so ἐρεοῦς, ἐρεοῦν, G. ἐρεοῦν, Ğ. ἐρεοῦν, ᾶς, etc.

Plur. os.—Herodotus resolves the form διπλή into διπλέη, 3. 42.

^{*} As in those long by position; § 11.4, 6. In common speaking these contractions in our passed over into shortened forms in os. Hence proper names in voos have secondary forms in νος; which however then always lengthen the preceding syllable; e. g. Εὐθύνους and Εὐθῦνος, ᾿Αρχίνους and ᾿Αρχῖνος, Καλλῶνος for Καλλίνος. † With these numeral forms must not be confounded the compounds with πλοῦ, sailing, which are of common gender; as δ, ἡ ἄπλους, εὐπλους, etc. Neut. ew, Neut.

§ 61. Adjectives in ως.

1. Adjectives in ωs of the Attic Dec. II, (§ 37,) are mostly of common gender; e.g. ὁ, ἡ ἴλεωs, τὸ ἵλεων, gracious. Some of them form the Neut. in ω; e.g. ἀγήρωs, Neut. ἀγήρων and ἀγήρω. § 37. n. 2.

Of three endings is only the simple πλέως full, πλέα, πλέων, Neut. Plur. πλέα. But its compounds conform throughout to the above rule; e.g. ἀνάπλεως, ἀνάπλεων; Neut. Plur. ἔκ-

πλεω, etc.

Note. Secondary forms in os are not unfrequent; as Ion. ίλαος, ἀγήραος; and so too even in Attic prose, πλέος, ἔμπλεοι, ἔκπλεα.—For those in -γελως and -κερως, see § 63; for σῶς see § 64.

§ 62. Other Adjectives of three Endings.

In all other Adjectives of three endings, the Masc. and Neutfollow Dec. III. The a of the Fem. is here always short. The Neuter takes regularly the short stem-vowel of the word (as in $\chi a\rho (\epsilon \nu)$, $\sigma a\phi \dot{\eta}_{S}$ - $\dot{\epsilon}_{S}$), and thus in flexion often accords with the stem, as in $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a_{S}$, $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$. Only those in ν_{S} G. ϵ_{OS} retain ν_{S} ; as $\chi \lambda \nu_{K} \dot{\nu}_{S}$ - $\dot{\nu}_{S}$. See § 59. 4.

1. In υς, εια, υ, G. εος; § 51. 5. Ε. g. γλυκύς sweet.

Sing.	γλυκύς γλυκέος γλυκεῖ	€ĺas	€os		Plur.	γλυκείς γλυκέων γλυκέσι	€tŵv	έων
	γλυκύν (γλυκύ)		_ύ	ےa	ίε	γλυκείς γλυκείς		

γλυκέοιν είαιν έοιν

Examples, mostly oxytone: βαρύς heavy, βραδύς slow, βραχύς short, εὐρύς broad, ἡδύς pleasant, ὀξύς sharp, ταχύς and ἀκύς swift. But also Ͽῆλυς, Ͽήλεια, Ͽῆλυ, female.

2. In εις, εσσα, εν, G. εντος; § 46. n. 1. E. g. χαρίεις graceful.

χαρίεις χαρίεντος	ίεσσα ιέσσης	ίεν	χαρίεντες χαριέντων χαρίεσι χαρίεντας χαρίεντες	ίεσσαι ιεσσῶν ιέσσαις ιέσσας	ίεντα ιέντων
Lapice	eco o u	LEP	Lapteries	****	.criu

Dual χαρίεντε ιέσσα ίεντε χαριέντοιν ιέσσαιν ιέντοιν

Examples: αίματόεις bloody, ύλήεις woody, φωνήεις resounding.

In ās, αινα, ἄν, Gen. ἄνος; like δαίμων. Ε. g. μέλας, μέλαινα, μέλαν, black, Gen. μέλανος.

The only other example is ralas unfortunate.

4. The following single examples:

τ έρην τέρεινα τέρεν, G. τέρενος τερείνης, etc. tender.

ἐκών ἐκοῦσα ἐκόν, G. ἐκόντος ἐκούσης, etc. willing.

πᾶς πᾶσα πᾶν, G. παντός πάσης, etc. all, every; see § 43.

n. 4. b.

To the above classes are to be added all Participles of the Active form; § 88. 8, and § 103.

Note 1. The Voc. masc. of γλυκύς is formed by Sophocles (Trach. 1042) according to § 45. 1, & γλυκύς 'Αίδας.—The poets use those in us also in the common gender; as ήδυς ἀυτμή Hom. Θήλυς νεολαία Theorr.—The Ionics, instead of the Fem. εία, have έα and έη; as ωκέα, βαθέην Hom. Instead of ήμίσεια, from ήμισυς half, the old Attic also had ήμίσεα; see the note on Plat. Meno 17, and Ausf. Sprachl. § 62. n. 3.

Note 2. From έκών comes the compound ἀέκων, contr. ἄκων, ουσα, ἆκον, unwilling.—The Neut. $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ is long only as a monosyllable (§ 59); in composition it is made short, according to the general analogy; as ἄπᾶς, ἄπᾶσα, āπaν, all together, the whole.

NOTE 3. Some adjectives in ess are contracted; viz. the endings heis, ήεσσα, ῆεν, into ῆs, ῆσσα, ῆν; also όεις, όεσσα, όεν, into οῦς, οῦσσα, οῦν; e.g.

τιμήεις ήεσσα ηεν, contr. τιμης ησσα ην

τιμή εντος η έσσης ή εντος, contr. τιμήντος, ήσσης, ήντος, etc.

μελιτόεις όεσσα όεν, contr. μελιτοῦς οῦσσα οῦν

μελιτόεντος οέσσης όεντος, contr. μελιτούντος ούσσης ούντος, etc.

So too 'Oποῦς 'Οποῦντος.—The learner should not neglect to write out full paradigms of these adjectives through all the cases, according to the rules of accent and quantity; see § 41. n. 5.

§ 63. Adjectives of two Endings, and of one Ending.

1. Other adjectives of two endings are the following; all belonging to Dec. III.

1) M. and F. ης, Neut. ες, G. εος contr. ους; like τριήρης and

E. g. Sing. σαφής σαφές evident. σαφούς

σαφεί σαφή σαφές Dual N. σαφη, G. σαφοίν

Plur. σαφείς σαφη σαφών σαφέσι σαφείς σαφή

Examples: ἀληθής true, ἀγεννής degenerate, ἀκριβής exact, αὐθάδης (long a) proud, αὐτάρκης sufficient, εὐπρεπής comely, θηριώδης brutal, πλήρης full, πρηνής inclining forwards, ψευδής false, άλής collected. For ύγιης see in § 53. 1.—Gen. Plur. § 49.

 M. and F. ων, N. ον, Gen. ονος. Ε. g. πέπων, πέπον, ripe, Gen. πέπονος; like δαίμων.

Examples: ἀμύμων (long v) blameless, ἀπράγμων unoccupied, εὐγνώμων well meaning, εὐδαίμων happy. Here belong also Comparatives in ων and ίων (§§ 67, 68); which however admit of contraction in the cases specified in § 55.

3) M. and F. 15, N. 1, Gen. 105. So ἴδρις ἴδρι knowing, Gen. ίδριος, etc. like πόλις \ 50.

The only other examples are νηστις fasting, τρόφις well-fed. The Attic poets form the Gen. also in ιδος; e. g. ἴδριδος.

4) The following single adjective: άρρην or άρσην, Neut. άρρεν, άρσεν, male, G. άρρενος, άρσε-

2. Besides all these classes of adjectives, others are often form-

ed by composition from a substantive, retaining as much as possible the ending and declension of the substantive; as may be best seen in the examples. All such adjectives are of common gender; and have a neuter, when it can be formed after the same analogy, \$59. E.g.

ευχαρις ευχαρι graceful, G. ιτος, from ή χάρις, ιτος. εύελπις εύελπι hopeful, G. ιδος, from ή έλπίς, ίδος.

Also those compounded with $\dot{\eta}$ matris and $\dot{\eta}$ ϕ portis.

μονόδους μονόδον one-toothed, G. οντος, from δ οδούς, όντος. άδακρυς άδακρυ tearless, G. -υος, from τὸ δάκρυ, -υος. This last word usually borrows its cases from the lengthened form ἀδάκρυτος -ον.—Sometimes in the ending, η is changed into

 ω , and ϵ into o; e.g. from πατήρ, έρος, comes ἀπάτωρ, ορ, fatherless, G. ορος. from φρήν, φρενός, comes σώφρων, ον, intelligent, G. ονος.

- 3. Adjectives of one ending, but which are only of common gender and not generis omnis, are all those from which no analogous Neuter can be formed († 59. 1, 4); e. g. δ, ή ἄπαις G. δος childless; ό, ή μακρόγειρ longimanus, long-armed.
- 4. Of one ending and common gender are also those in η_S G. η_{TOS} , ω_S G. ω_{TOS} , and those in ξ and ψ , as likewise the single ἀπτήν G. ἀπτήνος unfledged.

Examples: In η s, e. g. γυμνής light-armed, ἀργής white, and all ending in θνής, βλής, κμής; as ἡμιθνής half dead, etc.—In ω s, e. g. ἀγνώς G. ώτος unknown; also several compounds in χρώς and βρώς.—In ξ and ψ, e. g. ηλιξ G. ικος of like age, παραπλήξ G. ηγος insane, μῶνυξ G. χος solid hoofed, αλγίλιψ G. πος steep, etc.

5. Of one ending are further those in

άς G. άδος, ις G. ιδος, υς G. υδος.

Examples: λογάs selected, φυγάs fugitive, νομάς nomadic, σποράς scattered, αναλκις weak, έπηλυς immigrant, σύγκλυς brought together.

More commonly, however, those in as and is are only feminine; and through the omission of a substantive become themselves substantives; e.g. ή μαινάς (γυνή) Bacchante, ή ματρίς (γη) father-land; and so fem. gentile names, as \(\hat{\eta}\) 'Ias the Ionian woman, ή Έλληνίς the Greek woman.

6. Many adjectives are only masculine; so especially γέρων G. οντος old, πρέσβυς old, ακάμας G. αντος unwearied, πένης, ητος, poor; and of Dec. I, έθελοντής voluntary, γεννάδας wellborn, and many in ias, as τροπίας, μονίας. See note 7.

Note 1. In some adjectives of common gender there are also secondary feminine forms, but for the most part only poetic; so especially Masc. in ης has a Fem. in εια, e. g. μουνογένεια, ἡδυέπεια, from μουνογενής, ἡδυεπής. Here the shifting of the accent is to be noted; § 64. n. 3.

Note 2. Since according to § 59. 3, the Neut. is always declined like the masculine, the Gen. and Dat. of such words as have no neuter in the Nom. are sometimes employed as neuter, and then these cases are actually generis omnis. Still, this is done only by the poets; e. g. Eurip. Or. 834 δρομάσι βλεφάροις. Nicand. Ther. 631 ἀργῆτι ἄνθει.

Note 3. In other instances, where the neuter is wanting, it is supplied by a derived form in ον; e. g. βλακικόν, άρπακτικόν, μώνυχον, as Neut. of βλάξ, ἄρπαξ, μῶνυξ.

Note 4. Compounds with ποῦς, ποδός, foot, are declined regularly after the analogy of this substantive; e. g. δίπους, οδος, etc. In the Neut. they have ουν, (as εῦνους, εῦνους, like the contracted Dec. II,) but decline it nevertheless according to the general rule (§ 59.3) like the Masc. as τὸ δίπουν, τοῦ δίποδος, etc.

Note 5. Compounds of $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega s$, $\omega r o s$, laughter, for sake commonly the declension of their substantive and follow the Att. Dec. II. (§ 61.) So too those compounded with $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} \rho a s$, k o r n, which likewise change the a into ω . But both kinds have also the Gen. $\omega r o s$; and the Neut. in ωr has the same anomaly as in the compounds of $\pi o \hat{v} s$; e. g. $\psi \lambda \delta \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega s$, $\delta (\kappa \epsilon \rho \omega s$, Neut. ωr , G. ω and $\omega r o s$. The compounds of $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \omega s$ conform to the Att. Dec. II, only in the accent of the Nom. e. g. $\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \rho \omega s$ G. $\omega r o s$.

NOTE 6. The compounds of πόλις assume δ in declension; e. g. φιλόπολις, , G. ιδος. The Ionics and Dorics have regularly G. ιος.

NOTE 7. Finally, the Greek adjectives and substantives stand in such intimate relation to each other, both in form and syntax, and so readily pass over one into the other, that not only many of the above adjectives (as πρέσβυς, πένης) may equally well be regarded as substantives; but also acknowledged substantive forms (in της, τωρ, ευς) can often be considered as adjectives (e. g. μυλίτης λίθος mill-stone, lπνίτης άρτος); and when masculine, they are even made of common gender by the poets; see § 123. n. 1.

§ 64. Anomalous and Defective Adjectives.

1. The two adjectives, μέγας great and πολύς much, have from these simple forms only the Sing. Nom. and Acc. Masc. μέγας, μέγαν; πολύς, πολύν; Neut. μέγα, πολύ. All the other cases, as well as the whole of the feminine, come from the unusual forms ΜΕΓΑ΄ΛΟΣ, η, ON, and πολλός, ή, όν; thus:

Nom. μέγας μεγάλη μέγα πολύς πολλή πολύ Gen. μεγάλου μεγάλης μεγάλου πολλοῦ π o $\lambda\lambda\hat{n}$ s πολλοῦ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ μεγάλω μεγάλη μεγάλω Dat. πολλή πολλώ μεγάλην μέγα πολύν πολλήν μέγαν The Dual and Plural are declined regularly like adjectives in ος; e.g. μεγάλω, a, ω· μεγάλοι, aι, a· πολλοί, al, á, etc.

Note 1. As the Voc. of μέγας Æschylus has μεγάλε Ζεῦ Sept. 807; Sophocles μέγας & βασιλεῦ Rhes. 380.—The forms πολλός, πολλόν belong to the Ionics; and the regular forms from πολύς are found in the epic language; e. g. πολέος, πολέες, -εῖς, etc. The epic writers have also πουλύς, πουλύ; and use the masc form also as fem. e. g. Il. κ. 27.

2. The adjective $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} os$ gentle, meek, is usual in this form only in the Sing. Masc. and Neut. The whole Fem. and most of the Plural forms are borrowed from the form $\pi \rho a \hat{v} s$ without ι subscript (Ion. $\pi \rho \eta \hat{v} s$), found in the dialects. Thus

Sing. πρᾶος πραεία πράου G. πράου, etc.
Plur. πράοι and πραείς πραείαι πραέα
πραέων πράοιν πραείων πραέων
πράοις and πραείς πραείας πράοις and πραέσιν
πράους and πραείς πραείας πράο

3. The form $\sigma \hat{\omega}_S$ sound, salvus, contr. from $\Sigma AO\Sigma$, is usually of common gender; and is strictly in use only in the forms $\sigma \hat{\omega}$ and $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, e.g.

Sing. N. σως, σων, Α. σων, σων, Plur. A. σως.

All the rest are from $\sigma \hat{\omega} o s$, a, o v, (Ion. $\sigma o s$,) which by degrees entirely supplanted the monosyllabic form.

- Note 2. The Acc. Plur. ous is readily explained, as contracted from ΣΑΟΥΣ. But the Nom. Plur. σως, which also occurs, is a transition to Dec. III, σως, σωςς.—In the same manner as σως from ΣΑΟΣ, arose also the Homeric ζώs from ZAOΣ; and hence the common ζώος. Comp. the verbs σαόω σώω, έζαον έζων.
 - 4. Defectives are chiefly the following:
 - a. ἀλλήλων, see § 74. 4.

aμφω, see § 78. 4.

c. φρούδος gone, fled, which is used only in the Nom. of all genders and numbers, 150. m. 30.

NOTE 3. We adduce here some rare and poetic examples:

1. πότνια, epic πότνα, venerable, only feminine.

μάκαρ blessed is of comm. gender; but has also in the Fem. μάκαιρα.
 The Neut. does not occur.

3. Some masculine adjectives have a less common derived form for the fem. e. g. πένης, fem. πένησσα; πρέσβυς, fem. πρέσβειρα.

4. Some also of common gender have such secondary forms of the fem. (comp. § 63. n. 1,) c. g. πίειρα from δ, ή πίων fat; πρόφρασσα from δ, ή πρόφρων favourably disposed.

5. Old and simple forms used by the poets, such as we have seen among the substantives (§ 57. n. 3), are: πρέσβα for πρέσβειρα, λίς for λισσή

6. Also Sauces and rappées crowded, thick, are two epic forms found only in the Plur. Their feminines are Jameiai, rappeiai.

7. From the ease with which adjectives can be formed by composition from substantives (§ 63. 2), the poets are accustomed, whenever they find it convenient, to form single cases, to which the Nom. Sing. sometimes cannot be analogically even presupposed; as έρυσάρματες ίπποι, from ἄρμα, ατος; πολύαρνι Θυέστη, from Gen. ἀρνός, etc.

See also the Anom. ἀργέτος, ἐὖς, ἡλέ, § 58.

Degrees of Comparison.

• 65. Comparison of Adjectives in os.

1. The Greeks, like the Latins and English, have the three degrees of comparison, Positive, Comparative, and Superlative, as in the words long, longer, longest; and for each of these they have particular forms. Both the Comparative and Superlative are derived for all the genders from one form only of the Positive, viz. the masculine; and in each the only distinction is in the endings of the genders.

2. The most common forms of comparison are made by the

andings

-τερος, τέρα, τερον, for the Comparative, -τατος, τάτη, τατον, for the Superlative.



3. Adjectives in os cast off their s before these terminations, and retain the o unchanged, when it is preceded by a long syllable; e.g. βέβαιος βεβαιότερος, ισχυρότερος, πιστότατος. So also generally after the concurrence of a mute before a liquid, e.g. σφοδρός σφοδρότατος, πὔκνός πυκνότερος. There are however exceptions; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 65. n. 2.

4. When however the o is preceded by a short syllable, it is changed into ω; e.g. σοφός σοφώτερος, καίριος καιριώτατος, έχυ-

ρώτερος, καθἄρώτατος.

Note 1. The poets make here exceptions, and the ω stands in epic writers after really long syllables, as οιζυρώτατος, κακοξεινώτερος Hom. and in Attic poetry after the concurrence of a mute before a liquid, e. g. δυσποτμώτατος Eurip.

Note 2. Some adjectives in os, especially among the Attics, insert instead of this o or w more commonly

αι, οτ εσ, οτ ισ. Ε. g. 1) αι, as in μέσος mid, μεσαίτερος, μεσαίτατος. So too in ίσος like, ήσυχος quiet, ίδιος own, εύδιος clear, πρώϊος and δρθριος early, όψιος late.

2) eσ mostly only by the Attics in two words: ερρωμένος stout, ερρωμενέστερος, -τατος, and ἄκρᾶτος unmixed (§ 66. n. 2). Sometimes also ἄφθονος bounteous, aldoios venerable; and others in the dialects, as omoudaios, etc.

3) ισ, as λάλος, λαλίστερος, -τατος. So too πτωχός beggarly, όψοφάγος dainty.-Along with all these three forms of comparison, the common form is also partially in use. \

Note 3. Some in alos, viz. γεραιός old, παλαιός ancient, σχολαίος slow. commonly drop the o before the ending; e. g. γεραίτερος, παλαίτατος.

Note 4. The word φίλος dear, a friend, commonly either drops the o, or substitutes at; e. g. φίλτερος, φίλτατος, οτ φιλαίτερος, τατος. The Dor. φίντερος see in § 16. n. 1. d. So dσμενος glad has as adj. only ασμενώτερος, -τατος; but when used adverbially it has both ασμεναίτερα and ασμενέστερα.

NOTE 5. Those contracted in eas -ove change ew to w, i. e. the e is absorbed; e. g. πορφυρεώτατος πορφυρώτατος. Those in cos, -ous, on the contrary, most commonly assume eo in the uncontracted form (as in note 2): as άπλόος ἀπλοέστατος, εὐνοέστερος; and hence contr. ἀπλοῦς ἀπλούστατος. εὐνούστερος. We find also ἀπλοώτερος Thuc. εὐχροώτερος Xen.

Note 6. These forms of comparison appear very seldom in the common gender; in Attic writers perhaps never. The only exception is occasionally in such as are common in the Positive; e. g. Thuc. 3. 101 δυσεσβολώτατος ή Λοκρίς. But Homer has also όλοώτατος όδμή.

§ 66. Comparison of other Adjectives.

1. Of other adjectives, those in vs merely cast off the s; e.g.

εὐρύς, εὐρύτερος, ύτατος.

2. Those in as, G. avos, do the same, and then resume the ν which had been dropped before ς; they thus annex τερος, τατος, to the stem; e.g. μέλας G. μέλανος—μελάντερος.

3. Those in ης and εις shorten these endings into ες; e.g. ἀληθής G. έος—άληθέστατος · πένης G. ητος—πενέστατος · χαρίεις χα-

ριέστατος.

4. All other adjectives take the forms έστερος, έστατος; more rarely ίστερος, ίστατος; and are changed before them, just as be-



fore the case-endings. That is, they annex these endings of comparison directly to the simple stem of the word. E.g. ἄφρων (ἄφρονος) ἀφρον-έστερος· ἄρπαξ (ἄρπαγος) ἀρπαγ-ίστατος.*

Note 1. Since the substantive ending η_s of Dec. I, is often employed in an adjective sense (comp. § 63. n. 7), it admits also the degrees of comparison; but always with the form lotteros, lottaros, e. g. elementators from enjeriths thief, thievish. But ibproting a violent person, has for the sake of euphony ibprotife pos.

Note 2. The word ψευδής, G. έσς, false, has also -ίστερος; so too according to the Grammarians ἀκρᾶτής incontinent, because ἀκρατέστερος belongs to ἄκρᾶτος unmixed. But in the printed editions at least, ἀκρατέστερος is found also from the former word, e. g. Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 12; just as ἐγκρατέστερος from ἐγκρατής continent.

Note 3. The simplest formation is found in μάκαρ μακάρτατος, ἄχαρις ἀχαρίστερος Hom. On the other hand, Xenophon from ἐπίχαρις forms ἐπιχαριτώτερος.

§ 67. Other Forms of Comparison.

Another form of comparison, of less frequent occurrence, is:
 -iων, Neut. -iον, (also ων, ον.) for the Comparative,
 -ιστος, η, ον, for the Superlative.

The declension of this Comparative, see above in § 55.

2. This form of comparison is assumed:

- 1) By some adjectives in υς; e.g. ήδύς, ήδίων, ήδιστος.
- 2) By four in ρος, after dropping the ρ; e.g. αἰσχρός, αἰσχίων, αἰσχιστος, shameful, ἐχθρός, ἐχθίων, ἔχθιστος, hostile, οἰκτρός, (οἰκτρότερος), οἴκτιστος, pitiable, κυδρός, κυδίων, κύδιστος, glorious; poetic.
- 3. In some Comparatives of this form ($\iota\omega\nu$), the preceding consonant, together with the ι , is changed into $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$ (see note 7). The word $\tau\alpha\chi\dot{\nu}s$ swift, Sup. $\tau\dot{\alpha}\chi\iota\sigma\tau\sigma$, takes in this, its usual form of the comparative, an initial \Im :

Βάσσων Neut. Θᾶσσον; Att. Θάττων, Θᾶττον,

whence it appears that the τ in ταχύς was originally 9. § 18.2.

NOTE 1. This form of comparison always has the accent on the antepenult, when the quantity of the last syllable permits it; e. g. ήδύς, ήδίων Neut. ήδιον, ήδιοτος.

NOTE 2. The ι of this comparative is sometimes made short by the poets, especially the epic writers.

Note 3. Of adjectives in us, only ήδύς and ταχύς have usually this form. Of the rest some have always ύτερος, ύτατος, (as δασύς, βαρύς, etc.) while others have both forms of comparison; and then that in ίων, ιστος, is peculiar to the poets. Thus in Homer, βάθιστος from βαθύς deep; βράσσων, βράδιστος or by metathesis βάρδιστος, from βραδύς slow; πάσσων, πάχιστος. from παχύς thick; βραχίων, ὥκιστος, etc.



^{*} In Xenophon we find twice (Mem. 3. 13. 4. ib. 4. 2. 20) βλακότερος, ότατος, from βλάξ, without doubt false, as is shown by the ω, since the α in βλάξ, βλακός, is long. The true reading is either βλακίστερος or βλακικότερος, τατος, from the secondary form βλακικός. Comp. § 63. n. 3, and the Ausf. Sprachl.

Note 4. In adjectives in ρ_{0S} , the other form is more or less usual at the same time; while olatpos never has the comparative in $i\omega\nu$.—The form $i\omega\nu$, to to appears, in such words, to have come from an old positive in vs. δ 69. n. 1.

Note 5. To the same class belongs μακρός long, on account of the forms μάσσων (for μακίων), μήκιστος, where the new vowel of the superlative is found also in the Subst. τὸ μῆκος length, and in other derivatives. More usual however are the forms μακρότερος, μακρότατος.

Note 6. Some other words which take this form, see among the anomalous examples in the following sections. In some, this form is used only by the poets, e. g. $\phi i \lambda i \omega \tau$, $\phi i \lambda i \omega \tau$ or, from $\phi i \lambda o s$.

Note 7. Here belong also the comparative Adverbs δοσον nearer, ἄγχιστα, from ἄγχι Hom. and the very frequent μᾶλλον magis, μάλιστα, from μάλα. § 115. 7.

§ 68. Anomalous Comparison.

Several adjectives are entirely anomalous in their comparison, mostly from the circumstance that they borrow their degrees of comparison from obsolete Positives. When several forms of comparison belong to one Positive, (see àyabós and κακός,) each of them is usually employed in some one of the special meanings of the Positive; see the notes.

Comp. Sup.

1. ἀγαθός good ἀμείνων, ἄμεινον, better ἄριστος, best βελτίων βέλτιστος κρείσσων οι κρείττων κράτιστος λωίων comm. λώων λώϊστος οι λώστος.

In respect to signification, we find ἀμείνων, ἄριστος, specially for abler, braver, fitter; βελτίων βέλτιστος better in a moral sense; κρείσσων κράτιστος stronger, superior; while λώων λώστος is used only in certain connections, as λώόν ἐστι it is better, more advisable, and in the Voc. δ λώστε.—In the earlier poets we find the proper comparative of ἄριστος, viz. ἀρείων,* and even the positive of κράτιστος, viz. κρατύς.—For κρείσσων the Ionics have κρέσσων, the Dorics κάρμων (for ΚΑΡΣΩΝ) from another form of the positive; whence also the adverb κάρτα very, and the poetic superlative κάρτιστος.—For βελτίων, λωΐων, the epic language has βέλτερος, λωΐτερος.—The Dor. βέντιστος see in § 16. n. 1. d.—Even the regular ἀγαθώτερος, -τατος, is found in late writers, as Diodorus etc.

9 κακός bad, wicked κακίων κάκιστος χείρων χείριστος ήσσων οτ ήττων ήκιστος

The Compar. κακίων signifies worse, pejor; χείρων, less good, deterior; ησσων (Ion. ἔσσων) weaker, inferior, the opp. of κρείσσων.—The poets use the regular form κακώτερον. For χείρων the Ionics have χερείων, κρείων της D. χέρης, Α. χέρης, Plur. χέρηες, τὰ χέρεια, which are used instead of this comparative, although they are strictly cases of an obsolete positive XEPHΣ.†—The Superl.

* The ancient Positive is indicated in the name of the war-god "Apps, Mars, which was probably identical with it; also in the abstract noun aperfi.

† They are usually regarded as syncopated forms of the Comparative, like $\pi\lambda \epsilon\epsilon s$; but the forms of both point too distinctly to Positives, in the signification of which (little, much) there is already a gradation.

ηκιστος is rare as an adjective; but Neut. Plur. ηκιστα is very common as an adverb. § 115. 7.*

3. μέγας great μείζων, Ιοπ. μέζων μέγιστος
 4. μικρός small (ελάσσων, ττων ελάχιστος
 5. όλίγος little, few (μείων όλίγιστος

Since these two words (μίκρος and δλίγος) are so nearly related in meaning, the forms ελάσσων, ελάχιστος, and μείων, are employed both for the idea of smallness, and for that of fewness. The old positive ελαχύς is still found in the poets. The regular form μικρότερος, τατος, is also used. The poets too have a Compar. ολίζων (ὑπολίζονες), and a Superl. μεῖστος.

1 ne poets too have a Compar. όλιζων (υπολίζονες), and a Superl. μεΐστος. 6. πολύς much πλείων or πλέων more πλείστος most.

The Attics use also $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{n}$ for the Neut. $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{n}$, but only in such connections as $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{n}$ $\hat{\eta}$ $\mu\hat{\nu}\rho\iotao\iota$.—The Ionics and Dorics contract thus: $\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{n}$ $\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{n}$, $\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{n}$, $\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{n}$, $\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{n}$, a positive form instead of the comparative.

καλός beautiful καλλίων κάλλιστος
 ράδιος easy ράων ράστος

The Ionics have in the positive ρηΐδιος, and then form ρηΐου, ρήΐστος; the epic has ρηΐτερος, τατος; all from PATE, PHTE, from the Neut. Plur. of which, PHTA, comes the adverb ρεῖα, ρέα, easy.

9. ἀλιγεινός painful ἀλιγίων ἄλιγιστος

The regular form ἀλγεωότερος, τατος, is nevertheless more usual in the masculine and feminine.

10. πέπων ripe πεπαίτερος πεπαίτατος 11. πίων fat πιότερος πιότατος.

Note. To the peculiarities of the poets belongs the old Superlative in 2τος; as μέσατος middlemost from μέσος, and μέστος, νείατος, last, from μέσος new, young. The contracted feminine of this last, viz. νήτη (sc. χορδή), is used in prose for the last or lowest string of an instrument; which with us is the highest.

§ 69. Defective Comparison.

1. There are also defective forms of comparison, i.e. without a Positive; see the notes. Among these may be reckoned several of the above anomalous forms, as ηττων, κρείττων, λφωτος, etc.

2. Here belong also those forms which denote an order or series, the Positive of which is mostly a Particle of place: e.g.

πρότερος prior, πρῶτος primus, from πρό before.

ὑπέρτερος higher, -τατος and ὕπατος highest, from ὑπέρ above. ἔσχατος uttermost, from ἐξ out.

ὕστερος later, ὕστατος last, from (ὑπό).

So too adjective forms of comparison derived from adverbs; e.g.

† Compare the second marginal note above, on χέρηι, etc. The form πλέες is just as clearly syncopated from πολέες, as the comparative πλείων is formed by the same syncope from πολύς.

^{*} This Superlative stands as an adjective II. ψ , 531, according to the only correct reading; see *Lexil*. I. 4.—From an error of the ancient Grammarians, this whole form of comparison has commonly been placed in grammar under $\mu\nu\rho\phi$ s, because the adverbial form could be translated by *minus*, *minime*.

πλησιαίτερος, τατος, from πλησίον near; like Lat. prope, proprior, proximus.

προυργιαίτερος, τατος, from προύργου serviceably. ήρεμέστερος, τατος, from ήρεμα quietly.

The Compar. περαίτερος may also be best referred to the Posit. πέρα, πέραν, across, beyond; although there exists an Adjective περαίος.*

3. Sometimes the degrees of comparison are formed from a substantive, which can be taken in an adjective sense; e.g. εταιρος friend, εταιρότατος; δοῦλος slave, δουλότερος more slavish, κλέπτης thief, κλεπτίστατος most thievish, etc. \$ 66. n. 1.

Note 1. In consequence of an erroneous system, it was formerly usual to refer to degrees of comparison formed from substantives, several defectives in ων, ωτος, to which there existed a kindred abstract substantive in os; e. g. ριγίων more terrible, κέρδιστος slyest, υψιστος highest; Subst. το ρίγος shuddering, κέρδος artifice, υψος height. In the same manner were explained several of the deviations above given (% 67, 68), as ξαθιστος from τὸ ξαθος hatred, μήκιστος from τὸ μῆκος length, κάλλιστος from κάλλος beauty, etc. But it is undeniable, that these substantives and these degrees of comparison presuppose rather the corresponding positive forms; and this is the more certain, because a few of these forms have been preserved in the earliest poetry; e. g. κρατύς, whence κράτιστος and τὸ κράτος; ελεγχέες infamous, whence ελέγχιστος and τὸ ξλεγχος. Comp. § 119. m. 9 and 39.

NOTE 2. In the poets, and especially the epic poets, occur many forms of comparison which belong under this section; e. g.

φέρτερος, φέρτατος and φέριστος, braver, most excellent, which can be re-

ferred to αγαθός. κύντερος more shameless, from κύων, κυνός, dog.

βασιλεύτερος mightier, from βασιλεύς.

πύματος, μύχατος, όπλότερος, παροίτερος, ὀπίστατος, and others, which are sufficiently explained in the lexicons.

Note 3. In a few very rare instances, we find a new degree of comparison formed, for the sake of emphasis, from a word which is already in the comparative or superlative degree, e. g. ἐσχατώτατος, πρώτιστος, from ἔσχατος, πρώτιστος. Such instances occur mostly in later writers, at least in those not Attic.—When the epic poets sometimes combine both forms of the comparative in one, e. g. χειρότερος, μειότερος, this is done for the sake of the verse, and not to produce an emphatic sense.—In several superlatives the poets insert ι; e. g. μεσάτιος, ὑστάτιος, and λοίσθος from λοΐσθος last.

Note 4. The early language had also a derivative adjective ending in τερος, which must not be confounded with the comparative; e. g. dγρότερος rural, δρέστερος of a mountain, wild; θηλύτερος i. q. θηλυς female.

NUMERALS.

§ 70. Cardinal Numbers.

For the letters as used to mark the numerals, see the Alphabet, last column, and § 2. n. 3, 4.

^{*} We find also ἀνώτερος, ἐνδότατος, etc. from ἄνω, ἔνδον; but in many passages these are manifestly corrupted from the adverbial form δ ἀνωτέρω, δ ἐνδοτέρω, etc See § 115. § 125. 6.

1. είς, μία, εν, G. ενός, μιας, ενός, one.

Observe the anomalous shifting of the accent in μla , $\mu \hat{a}s$, $\mu \hat{q}$, μlav .—Instead of this Fem. epic writers have also $\tilde{l}a$, G. $l\hat{\eta}s$.

Hence, by composition with the negatives où $\delta \epsilon$ and $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$, come the negative adjectives

οὐδείς, οὐδεμία, οὐδέν, μηδείς, μηδεμία, μηδέν, no one, none.

In declension in the Sing. these retain the accent of the simple word; as G. οὐδενός οὐδεμιᾶς, D. οὐδενί οὐδεμιᾶ, Acc. οὐδένα ούδεμιαν. The infrequent Plur. οὐδένες has again in Gen. and Dat. the accentuation οὐδένων, οὐδέσι.

The mode of writing these compounds separately, où dè e e, purple etc. where there is always a hiatus (§ 29. n. 1), serves for emphasis: not even one, not the least.—The Ionics make the Plur. où daµoí, µŋdaµoí.—Several writers, mostly later ones, write où θ e is, Neut. - θ e, for où de ls; but employ the usual feminine.

2. δύο Nom. Acc. δυοίν Gen. Dat. two.

The Attics write also δυεῖν, but only in the Genitive. They likewise use δύο as indeclinable for Gen. and Dative.—Forms not Attic are, N. A. δύω G. δυῶν D. δυσί, δυσίν.—Ion. δυοῖσιν.—Epic δοιώ and δοιοί, which are declined throughout.

- 3. τρεῖς M. and F. τρία Neut. three, G. τριῶν, D. τρισί (ν), Acc. like the Nom.
- 4. τέσσαρες or τέτταρες, Neut. a, four, G. τεττάρων, D. τέσσαρσι, τέτταρσι (poet. τέτρασι), Acc. as, a.

Ion. τέσσερες, Dor. τέττορες, τέτορες, ancient and Æol. πίσυρες.

The remaining units or simple numbers up to ten, and the tens or round numbers up to one hundred, are not declined.

5. πέντε 7. έπτά 9. ἐννέα
6. ἔξ 8. ὀκτώ 10. δέκα
20. εἴκοσι οτ -σιν 50. πεντήκοντα 80. ὀγδοήκοντα
30. τριάκοντα 60. ἐξήκοντα 90. ἐνενήκοντα
40. τεσσαράκοντα 70. ἐβδομήκοντα 100. ἐκατόν.

Not only the long a in τριάκοντα, but also the short a in τεσσαράκοντα, passes over into η among the Ionics; as τριήκοντα, τεσσερήκοντα. Other Ionic and epic forms are εείκοσι, ογδώκοντα, εννήκοντα; Doric, 5 πέμπε, 20 είκατι.

The numbers compounded with *ten*, i. e. the numbers 11-19, have commonly the following forms:

11. ἔνδεκα 14. τεσσαρεσκαίδεκα 17. έπτακαίδεκα 12. δώδεκα 15. πεντεκαίδεκα 18. ὀκτωκαίδεκα 13. τρισκαίδεκα 16. έκκαίδεκα 19. ἐννεακαίδεκα Less frequent are δεκατρεῖς, δεκαπέντε, etc.—Τρεῖς and τέσσαρες

Less frequent are δεκατρείς, δεκαπέντε, etc.—Τρείς and τέσσαρες are declined in the compounds also, e. g. τεσσαρακαίδεκα, τεσσαρσικαίδεκα, δεκατριών, etc.

Δυώδεκα and δυοκαίδεκα are Ionic and poetic.—The forms τρισκαίδεκα, έκκαίδεκα, shew that the other numbers connected by καί up to 19 are not to be written separately.—Τεσσερεσκαίδεκα is with the Ionics indeclinable; e. g. Hdot. 1. 86 bis.

Other compound numbers are usually written separately. When the *smaller* number stands first, they are connected by καί; otherwise not; e.g. 21 εἶς καὶ εἴκοσι οτ εἴκοσιν εἶς (μία, εν); 32 τριάκοντα δύο οτ δύο καὶ τριάκοντα.

The round numbers above one hundred are Adjectives of three endings, like Dec. II, and I. E. g.

200, διᾶκόσιοι, αι, α	900, ἐννἄκόσιοι	7,000, έπτακισχίλιοι
300, τριāκόσιοι	1,000, χίλιοι, αι, α	8,000, δκτακισχίλιοι
400, τετράκόσιοι	2,000, δισχίλιοι	9,000, έννακισχίλιοι
500, πεντακόσιοι	3,000, τρισχίλιοι	10,000, μύριοι, αι, α*
600, έξἄκόσιοι	4,000, τετρακισχίλιοι	20,000, δισμύριοι
700, έπτακόσιοι	5,000, πεντακισχίλιοι	30,000, τρισμύριοι
800, δκτἄκόσιοι	6,000, έξακισχίλιοι	etc.

The a in the first two of these numbers is long; Ion. διηκόσιοι, etc.—Old Homeric forms are ἐννεάχιλοι, δεκάχιλοι.—These larger numbers can also stand, as collectives, in the Singular; e. g. Xen. Cyr. 4. 6. 2 ἵππον ἔχω εἰς χιλίαν τριακοσίαν; An. 1. 7. 10 ἀσπὶς μυρία καὶ τετρακοσία.

Note 1. Instead of the numbers compounded with ὀκτώ 8 and ἐννέα 9, a circumlocution is often used; e.g. for 49 or 48 we find: ἐνὸς (μᾶς) ν. δυοῖν δέοντες, 50 less one or two. Here of course the Part. δέοντες, conforms to its Subst. in gender, and case; Thuc. 5. 68 δυοῖν δέοντες πεντήκοντα ἄνδρες. Dem. p. 480 πεντήκοντα μιᾶς δεούσας ἔλαβε τριήρεις. Thuc. 8. 17 μιᾶς δεούσαις εἶκοσι ναυσίν; ib. 25 δυοῖν δεούσαις πεντήκοντα ναυσίν.—Another much later mode of expression (e.g. in Plutarch and Eusebius) is that with the Gen. absolute (§ 145), thus: 49, ἐνὸς δέοντος (μιᾶς δεούσης) πεντήκοντα; 48, δυοῖν δέοντοιν (δεούσαιν) εἴκοσι. Hence in the single like passage known to us in earlier writers, Χεπ. Hell. 1. 1. 5 ἢλθε δυοῖν δεούσαιν εἴκοσι ναυσίν, we probably ought to read δεούσαις, as above in Thucydides.

Note 2. When three or more numerals are compounded, they are regularly all connected by καί; and then we may begin either with the least or the greatest; e. g. έπτὰ καὶ εἴκοσι καὶ έκατόν, or έκατὸν καὶ εἴκοσι καὶ έπτά.

Note 3. When other parts of speech are to be compounded with numerals, the first four numerals have a particular form, viz. unity is expressed by μονο-(μόνος alone), two by δι-, three by τρι-, and four by τετρα-; e. g. μονόκερως, δίκερως, δισύλλαβος, διετής (from έτος), διώβολον (from όβολός), τρίπους, τετράπους, etc.† The other numerals either retain in such compounds their usual form, with a few necessary changes for the sake of euphony, e. g. πεντεναία, έκατόμπυλος, έκπηχυς from έξ, etc. or they are likewise formed with a or o; e. g. πεντά-μετρος, έξά-γωνον, εἰκοσά-εδρος, πεντηκοντό-γυος, έκατουτα-μυαίος, χιλιο-τάλαυτος. An Ionic form from ἐννέα is εἰνάπηχυς, etc.—Thê a in such compounds sometimes remains before vowels, and sometimes not; the o is dropped, or in compounds with έτος year is contracted; thus: ἐπταέτης of seven years, better ἐπτέτης; τριακονταέτης οτ τριακοντούτης for -οέτης. These words have the Gen. in εος, ους, and are of common gender; but they admit also a Fem. in ις, e. g. τριακοντούτιδες σπονδαί. Observe also ἐνναέτης of nine years, ἐννῆμαρ nine days long.

* Distinguished from µuplot many, innumerable, by the accent.

[†] Compounds with δισ-, τρισ-, are formed only where the proper signification of δls, τρls, twice, thrice, must be expressed; as in δισθανής Hom. δισμύριοι, δισεφθος, τρισθέλιος, etc.

§ 71. Ordinals and other derived Numerals.

1. The Ordinal Numbers are all Adjectives in os of three endings. The first two are defective forms of comparison; see § 69.2

1, πρώτος, or of two	12.	δωδέκατος	30.	τριακοστός
πρότερος	13.	τριςκαιδέκατος	40.	τεσσαρακοστός
2. δεύτερος, α, ον*	14.	τεσσαρακαιδέκατος	5 0.	πεντηκοστός
3. τρίτος, η, ον	15.	πεντεκαιδέκατος	60.	έξηκοστός
4. τέταρτος, η, ον	16.	έκκαιδέκατος	70.	έβδομηκοστός
5. πέμπτος	17.	έπτακαιδέκατος	80.	ου ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο
6. ĒKTOS		όκτωκαιδέκατο ς	90.	ένενηκοστός
7. ἔβδομος	19.	έννεακαιδέκατος	100.	έκατοστός
8. σηθούς	20.	είκοστός	200.	διακοσιοστός, etc.
9. ἔνατος ΟΓ ἔννατος	21.	είκοστὸς πρῶτος οτ	1,000.	χιλιοστός
10. δέκατος		πρώτος καὶ εἰκοστός	2,000.	δισχιλιοστός
11. ένδέκατος		etc.†		μυριοστός, etc.
		2 12 1	.	

To these ordinals corresponds the interrogative $\pi \acute{o}\sigma \tau os$, quotus, lit. the how-many-eth?

For τέταρτος we find on account of the metre τέτρατος; for ἔνατος we find ἔννατος, Ion. εἶνατος.—Epic forms are: τρίτατος, έβδόματος, ὀγδόατος.

—The Dorics have πρᾶτος for πρῶτος, contr. for πρόατος.

2. The numeral Adverbs, which answer to the question how many times, are: ἄπαξ οποε, δίς, τρίς, τετράκις, πεντάκις, έξάκις, έπτάκις, ὀκτάκις, ἐννεάκις οτ ἐννάκις, δεκάκις, εἰκοσάκις, ἐκατοντάκις, χιλιάκις, etc. (Poet. -κι.) Interrog. is ποσάκις;

3. The numeral Adjectives which answer to the question how many fold, are: άπλοῦς simple, διπλοῦς double, τριπλοῦς, τετραπλοῦς four-fold, πενταπλοῦς, etc. († 60. 5.) Or also διπλά-

ruos etc.

4. The numeral Substantives are all formed in άς, G. άδος; as ή μονάς monad, unity, δυάς, τριάς, τετράς, πεντάς (also πεμπτάς and πεμπάς), έξάς, έβδομάς, όγδοάς, ἐννεάς, δεκάς, εἰκάς, τριāκας, τεσσαρακοντάς, etc. έκατοντάς, χιλιάς, μυριάς.

Note 2. The fractional parts of a number, as one third, one fifth, etc. are commonly expressed with the Subst. $\mu\epsilon\rho$ 05 or μ 0 ρ 03; e. g. τ 0 τ 0 τ 000, $\frac{2}{3}$. The fraction one half is made by compounds with $\eta\mu$ 120; e. g. $\eta\mu$ 13 η 10 fraction one half is made by compounds with $\eta\mu$ 120; e. g. $\eta\mu$ 13 η 10 fraction, $\eta\mu$ 100 fraction, etc. and so in the Plus τ 10 fraction, τ 10 fraction, τ 10 fraction, etc. and so in the Plus is put with ordinals, as τ 0 τ 0 τ 100 fraction, τ 10 fraction, τ 11 fraction, τ 12 fraction, τ 13 fraction, τ 13 fraction, τ 14 fraction, τ 15 fraction, τ 15 fraction, τ 16 fraction, τ 16 fraction, τ 16 fraction, τ 16 fraction, τ 17 fraction, τ 18 fraction, τ 19 fraction, τ 10 fraction, τ 10

* A corresponding Superl. δεύτατος the last of two, is only poetic.

‡ So too πολλοστός one of many, ολιγοστός one of a few. Hence το πολλοστός

μέρος one part among many, a very small part.



[†] Also as in Eng. ένὶ καὶ εἰκοστῷ ἔτει, in the one and twentieth year, Lat. 'uno et vicesimo anno.' Elsewhere this mode of expression was used only where the smaller number is indeclinable, as πεντεκαιεικοστός; but 'Ολυμπιὰς τρίτη καὶ ἐνενη κοστή Χεη. Or a different turn was given; e. g. with ἐπί, as τῆ ἔκτη ἐπὶ τριάκοντα so. ἡμέρως; also with πρός, as 'Ολυμπιὰς τετάρτη πρὸς ταῖς ἐνενἡκοντα.

PRONOUNS.

§ 71 a. Division of the Pronouns.

1. The general division of the Pronouns may be presupposed as known from the Latin grammar; and hence in the following sections they are taken up in the order in which they are etymologically derived from one another.

2. The Pronouns, according to the usual division, fall under three classes, viz. Substantive Pronouns, or such as stand only substantively or for a person; Adjective Pronouns, or such as are mostly used adjectively, but can also stand substantively;

and Adverbial Pronouns.

I. The Substantive Pronouns are:

Personal Pronouns, ἐγώ, σύ, τ, § 72. 3 sq.
 Reflexive Pronouns, ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ, ἐαυτοῦ, etc. § 74. 3.
 The Recipr. Pron. ἀλλήλων etc. § 74. 4.
 The Indef. Pron. ὁ ἡ τὸ δεῖνα, § 73.

II. The Adjective Pronouns are:

1. Demonstrative Pronouns; to which belong: The prepositive Article δ, ή, τό, § 75. ούτος, αύτη, τούτο, this, § 76. 2. οδε, ήδε, τόδε, this, § 76. 1. εκείνος, η, ο, that, § 74. 1. αὐτός, ή, ό, self, § 74. 1. Also & autos the same, ib. 2.

ό ετερος one of two, the other, § 78. 2 and 4.

2. Relative Pronouns; to which belong: The postpositive Article os, n, o, who with the strengthened forms οσπερ, etc. § 75. οστις, ήτις, ότι, who, etc. § 77. 3. οπότερος which of two, § 78. 2.

3. The Interrogative Pron. τίs, τί, who? what? § 77. 1. Also πότερος which of the two? § 78. 2.

4. The Indefinite Pron. τis, τi, some one, any one, § 77. 1. Also πότεpos one of the two, § 78. n. 1.

5. Possessive Pronouns, εμός, ή, όν, my; σός, etc. § 72. 4.

6. Negative Pronouns, ούτις, μήτις, ι, § 77. 4; οὐδείς, μηδείς, -δεμία, -δέν, 🞙 70. 1; οὐδέτερος, μηδέτερος, 🖣 78. 2.

7. Correlative Pronouns; see in §§ 78, 79.

III. The Adverbial Pronouns.

Here belong all those Adverbs of manner, place, and time, which are derived from Pronouns; see § 116.

† 72. Pronouns Substantive and Possessive.

1. The substantive or personal Pronouns of the first and second persons are έγω I, ήμεις we; σύ thou, ύμεις (long v) ye.

2. In the Pronoun of the third person, the Nom. Sing. 7 is wanting in the common dialect; * just as in the Lat. se, to which this

^{*} On this very rare Nominative, and its actual use by the Attics, see Ausführl. Sprachlehre, with the note to p. 284.

pronoun, among the Attics, corresponds also in its reflexive sense, self. In the Plural it has a particular form for the Neuter, which however is also infrequent.

Note 1. This pronoun throughout is not frequent in the Attic language; for in the reflexive sense (self) the compound $\epsilon a v \tau \delta v$ (§ 74. 3) is more commonly used; and in the direct sense (him, her, it) the oblique cases of the pronoun $a v \tau \delta s$ (§ 74. 2) are employed. In Ionic and epic writers, on the contrary, who employ it indiscriminately for him and for himself, it occurs more frequently.—For all that concerns the use and misuse of the reflexive pronoun, see Synt. § 127.

3. These pronouns are declined as follows:

Sing.	I	thou	he (himself)
Nom.	έγώ	σύ	$ (i)\rangle$
Gen.	$\epsilon \mu o \hat{v}$ and $\mu o \hat{v}$	σοῦ	ov
Dat.	$\dot{\epsilon}\mu o i$ and $\mu o i$	σοί	ol
Acc.	$\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ and $\mu\dot{\epsilon}$	σέ	ĕ
Dual.	we two	ye two	they two
N. A.	(νῶϊ) νώ*	(σφῶϊ) σφώ	σφωέ
G.D.	(νῶϊν) νῷν	(σφωϊν) σφών	σφωίν
Plur.	we	ye, you	they
Nom.	ήμεῖς	ύμεῖς	σφείς Ν. σφέα
Gen.	ήμῶν	ύμῶν	σφῶν
Dat.	ήμιν	ύμῖν	σφίσι(ν)
Acc.	ήμᾶς	υμᾶς	σφᾶς Ν. σφέα

Note 2. Enclitic are the following:

- 1) The oblique cases of the second and third Pers. Singular; yet not so but that they may also become orthotone, as pointed out in § 14. 8.
- The like cases of ἐγώ when monosyllabic, μοῦ, etc. This form is always enclitic; and only the dissyllable can be made orthotone; see in n. 3.
- 3) Of the forms which begin with σφ, only the oblique cases of the third person are enclitic, including the forms of the dialects given in note 6; and even here, σφῶν and σφᾶs in this circumflexed form are excepted. But when resolved into σφέων, σφέαs (note 6. 8), or when sometimes the latter is shortened by the poets to σφάs, these also are enclitic.

Note 3. When one of these pronouns is governed by a preposition, it regularly retains its accent, or is orthotone, as $\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda$ $\sigma\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\nu$ $\sigma\epsilon\lambda$, $\pi\alpha\rho\lambda$ $\sigma\epsilon\lambda$; and so from $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\omega$ — $\kappa\alpha\tau$, $\epsilon\mu\epsilon$, ϵ' ,

Note 4. For the sake of emphasis, the particle γέ is often appended to these pronouns (§ 149. 2). In such instances, ἐγώ, ἐμοί, and ἐμέ draw back the accent, as ἔγωγε (equidem), ἔμοιγε, ἔμεγε, (but Gen. ἐμοῦγε); and the oblique cases of σύ cease to be enclitic, e. g. μὴ σέγε, not μή σέγ'—, Od. a. 386.

Note 5. The oblique cases of ἡμεῖs and ὑμεῖs, according to the ancient Grammarians, are also capable of inclination; inasmuch as in all instances where the forms above specified are enclitic, these, though they do not throw their tone upon the preceding word, draw it back; as ἡμων, ἡμων, ὑμων, etc. This however is not commonly observed in our editions.

^{*} The uncontracted forms of the Dual are only Ionic.

NOTE 6. DIALECTS. 1) For έγώ, an old Doric and epic form is έγών. 2) The Dorics have τυ for συ, and in the enclitic Accus. also τυ. The Accus. $\tau \epsilon$ is rare and only orthotone, Theorr. 1. 5. In place of it the Æolics and even Dorics have elsewhere retained σέ.—An old epic form of the Nom. is τύνη.

3) In the Dative, the Ionics and Dorics have rol for rol, but only as an

enclitic.

- 4) The Genitive in ov of these pronouns came from so; hence the epic forms ἐμέο, σέο, ἔο, or ἐμεῖο, σεῖο, εἴο. The Ionics and Dorics have thence ἐμέο, μεῦ, σεῦ, εῦ (§ 28. n. 5); the Dorics for σεῦ have also τεῦ and τεῦs. Wholly anomalous is the Gen. τεοῖο for σέο, σεῖο, Il. 9. 37.
- 5) The poets have a peculiar Genitive, formed by appending the syllable Sev (comp. § 116): ἐμέθεν, σέθεν, ἔθεν. Of these, ἔθεν in the direct sense (note 1) may have the inclination, e. g. Il. a. 114.

6) Orthotone Doric Datives (§ 14) are έμίν, τίν or τεΐν, ΐν (not ΐν), for έμοί, σοί, οί. But τίν is also sometimes Accus. Theorr. 11.

7) The old Ionic of the epic writers augments the pronoun of the third person by a prosthesis of e, as G. écio D. éoî A. éc. These forms are always orthotone, like εμοῦ.

8) The Ionics resolve the contraction in the Plural, and write huées,

ύμέες, σφέες, G. ἡμέων etc. (epic ἡμείων etc.) Acc. ἡμέας, etc.

9) The poets make the endings w and as short, e. g. ἡμίν, ὑμίν, ἡμάς, ὑμάς, σφάς. When these shortened forms then come to be enclitic (comp.

note 5), they are accented thus: ημιν, etc.

10) The Dorics shorten the ending of the Nom. as άμές, ὑμές; and in the Accus. they assume the otherwise Dual ending ε, as άμε, ὑμε, for ἡμας, ύμας; all with long a and v. Hence arise, through a change of the pronunciation and of the tone, the following old Æolic forms, which have been retained in the epic language:

> Nom. ἄμμες, ὅμμες Dat. άμμιν, δμμίν, οτ άμμι, δμμί Αcc. ἄμμε, ῦμμε.

Whenever ἀμέ or ἄμμε occurs for ἐμέ (Theocr. 11. 42), this is the same figure by which ἡμεῖς often stands for ἐγώ.

11) In the third person also there is a similar apocope of the Plural: Dat. $\sigma\phi i$ or $\sigma\phi i\nu$, Acc. $\sigma\phi \bar{i}$,

both enclitic.—The Accus. $\sigma\phi\epsilon$ is likewise employed by the Attics, but for all genders and numbers; consequently for αὐτόν, ήν, ό, and αὐτούς Very rarely σφίν also stands for the Singular. Comp. Lexil. [. 17, 14.

12) Finally, there is still another enclitic Accusative of the third person: Ion. μίν, Dor. and Att. νίν,

which in like manner stands for all genders and numbers, but only in the direct sense (note 1), for him, her, it, Plur. them. The Attics employ their viv only in poetry.

4. To the flexion of these Pronouns may properly be subjoined that of the Possessives derived from them. These are regular adjectives of three terminations. Their common form is derived from the Gen. Sing. as follows:

Gen. $\epsilon \mu o \hat{v} - \epsilon \mu o \hat{s}$, $\epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$, $\epsilon \mu o \dot{v}$, my Gen. $\sigma o \hat{v}$ - $\sigma o \hat{s}$, $\sigma \dot{\eta}$, $\sigma o \dot{v}$, thy Gen. ov — \ddot{o}_{S} , $\ddot{\eta}$, \ddot{o}_{ν} , his, her;

and from the Nom. Plur. thus:



ήμεις — ήμέτερος, a, ov, our ύμεις — ύμέτερος, a, ov, your σφεις — σφέτερος, a, ov, their.

For the Possessives, especially of the *third* person Sing. and Plur. there is often substituted in prose the Gen. of the substantive Pronouns; see more in § 127. 7.

Note 7. Dialects. 1) For $\sigma \delta s$ the Dorics and Ionics have $\tau \epsilon \delta s$, δ $(\acute{\eta})$, $\delta \nu$, and for δs they have $\acute{\epsilon} \delta s$, δ $(\acute{\eta})$, $\delta \nu$.—For the supposed form $\acute{\epsilon} \eta \delta s$ see the note to the Anom. $\acute{\epsilon} \delta s$ δ 58.

 For the Plural possessives there is an old and shorter form: ¹/₂ ¹/₂ ¹/₂, ¹/

which is used by Doric and by epic writers; except that the last pronounce the first person with the smooth breathing: $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \delta s, \ \dot{\eta}, \ \delta \nu.$

In this form it is used also by the Attic poets, but only with the signification of the Sing. (for $\epsilon\mu\dot{o}s$, comp. note 6. 10,) e. g. Eurip. Electr. 555. Soph. Electr. 558.

Note 8. The poets form also a possessive of the first and second persons from the Dual:

νῶϊ — νωῖτερος our, i. e. of us two σφῶϊ — σφωῖτερος your, i. e. of you two.

173. The Pronoun δείνα.

To the substantive Pronouns belongs also the indefinite δ, ή, τὸ δεῖνα,

some one, such an one, Fr. un tel. This is declined as follows:

N. and A. δείνα G. δείνος D. δείνι, Plur. οἱ δείνες G. δείνων D. — A. δείνας.

Note. Sometimes, though very rarely, δείνα is found indeclinable; e. g. τὸν δείνα τὸν τοῦ δείνα (υἰόν), Arist. Thesm. 622.

§ 74. Adjective Pronouns.

1. The four following adjective Pronouns are regularly declined, except that they have the Neut. in o.

αὐτός, αὐτή, αὐτό, self ἐκεῖνος, ἐκείνη, ἐκεῖνο, that ἄλλος, ἄλλη, ἄλλο, other ὅς, ἥ, ὄ, for which see § 75.

Note 1. The Ionics often insert ϵ in some of the forms of autos, e.g. autien, autien, § 28. n. 3.—'Exervos comes from exer there. The Ionic form is xerus, η , o, and the Doric $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu os$, a, o. The Æolics had the intermediate form $\kappa \hat{\eta} \nu os$.—For allow instead of of $\hat{u} \lambda \lambda os$, see § 29. n. 6.

2. The Pronoun αὐτός has a three-fold signification: 1) self;
2) In the oblique cases, him, her, it; 3) With the article, the same. The details are given in the Syntax, \$127.2. We merely remark here that, in the last signification, it often forms a crasis with the article in all those cases where the article begins

with τ and does not end with a consonant (§ 29. n. 4). It must also be noted, that the Neut. then ends in $o\nu$ as well as o. Hence

ό αὐτός ἡ αὐτή ταὐτό and ταὐτόν ταὐτοῦ τῆς αὐτῆς ταὐτοῦ ταὐτῷ ταὐτῆ ταὐτῷ, etc.

Note 2. One must take care not to confound the forms ταὐτῆ and ταὐτὰ (especially when the coronis i is omitted) with ταύτη and ταῦτα from οὖτος (§ 76). For the Ionic forms ωὐτός, τωὐτό, see § 29. n. 6.

- 3. From $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}s$ are formed the common Reflexive Pronouns, by compounding with it the Accusatives of the substantive Pronouns, $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$, $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$, $\ddot{\epsilon}$. They are then declined in the three oblique cases thus:
 - 1 Pers. G. ἐμαυτοῦ, ἐμαυτῆς, D. ἐμαυτῷ, ῆ, A. ἐμαυτόν, ήν, of myself, to myself, myself

2 Pers. G. σεαυτοῦ or σαυτοῦ, ης, etc. of thyself

3 Pers. G. ἐαντοῦ or αὐτοῦ, ῆς, etc. of himself; it forms also an Acc. Neut. ἑαντό, αὐτό.

The third person is declined also in the Plural; but in the first and second persons Plur. the words are separated:

- 1 Pers. G. ήμῶν αὐτῶν of ourselves, D. ήμῶν αὐτοῖς, αῖς, Acc. ήμῶς αὐτούς, άς, etc.
- 2 Pers. G. ὑμῶν αὐτῶν of yourselves, D. ὑμῖν αὐτοῖς, αῖς, etc.
- 3 Pers. G. ἐαυτῶν or αὐτῶν of themselves, D. ἑαυτοῖς or αὐτοῖς, Acc. ἑαυτοῦς or αὐτοῦς, áς, á, themselves.
- Note 3. The Singular also was naturally used at first in the separate form. And since Homer has still $\sigma o i$ $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\phi}$ and o i $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\phi}$, so too the forms $\dot{\epsilon}$ $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\phi}$, $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu}$ $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\phi} \dot{\nu}$ etc. are at present written separately in his poems, II. a. 271. $\dot{\xi}$. 162. In Od. $\dot{\xi}$. 185. II. $\dot{\zeta}$. 490, $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ σ' $a \dot{v} \tau o \dot{v}$, $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ σ' $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} s$, is regarded as an elision of $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma \dot{\alpha}$.
- Note 4. The Ionics have in these compounds ων instead of αν (§ 27. n. 11), and do not elide the ε in the first person; e. g. έμεωντοῦ, σεωντόν, έωντόν, etc.—For έωντέην, see note 1.
- 4. From ἄλλος is formed the Reciprocal Pronoun, marking the mutual action of one upon another:
 - G. ἀλλήλων of one another, D. ἀλλήλοις, aις, A. ἀλλήλους, as, ἄλληλα

Dual, G. D. άλλήλοιν, αιν, Α. άλλήλω, α.

This Dual expresses mutual action between two; for which however the Plur. may stand just as well.

175. THE ARTICLES.

1. In Greek grammar the Articles $(\tau \grave{a} \ \check{a} \rho \theta \rho a)$ are the two most simple adjuncts of a Substantive, which have a mutual reference to each other in two connected clauses of a complete sentence. Of these in modern languages the one is called the Def-

INITE ARTICLE, the; and the other the RELATIVE PRONOUN, who, which, what.*

2. Of these two articles, the one is called the

Prepositive Article

 δ , η , $\tau \delta$, hic, hæc, hoc, the.

This coincides in flexion with the adjective pronouns, § 74; except that

- The Masc. and Fem. in the Nom. Sing. and Plur. are atona, unaccented (§ 13. 3), and have the Spir. asper; while all the other forms have an initial τ.
- 2) Not only the Neuter, as in the adjective Pronouns, but also the Masc. in the Nom. Sing. ends in o (o).

The other is called the

Postpositive Article

ős, ŋ, ő, qui, quæ, quod, who, which, what.

This is declined precisely like the adjective Pronouns, § 74. 1.

	Pre	pos. Art.		Po	stpos. A	rt.
Sing.	hic	hæc	hoc	qui	quæ	quod
Nom.	ó	ή	τό	ős	η	้ อื
Gen.	τοῦ	τής	τοῦ	ဝ ပ်	ทุ้ร	οΰ
Dat.	τῷ	τῆ	τφၳ	ώ ο̈ν	$oldsymbol{\dot{y}}$	် တို
Acc.	τον	τῆ τήν	τở	őν	ที่ ทุ๊บ	ő
Dual.				-		
N. A.	τώ	τά	τώ	ဖိ	ä	ű
G.D.	τοῖν	ταῖν	τοῖν	οἶν	alv	οโν
Plur.	•			•		
Nom.	oi	ai	τά	oî .	āĩ	ä
Gen.	τῶν —	τῶν —	τῶν	ών —	ὧν —	ών
Dat.	τοῖς	raîs	τοίς	ાંડ	ais	ots
Acc.	τούς	τάς	τά	oบ ั ร	äs	ã

Note 1. The variations in the dialects are the same as in the endings of Dec. I, and II; e. g. $\tau o i o$ for $\tau o i$, δ for i, $\tau a s$ for $\tau i s$, etc.—For the Gen. of the postpos. o i, there is an unfrequent Homeric form $\delta o v$; and for i s we find once $\delta i s$ II. π . 208.

NOTE 2. In the earlier language the two articles were alike in form; (just as the German der, die, das can still stand for both;) and were distinguished only by position and tone, as has ever continued to be the case

^{*} Such a complete sentence, in which both the (Greek) articles appear, is e. g. "This is the man, who will deliver us." obtos forw b dwhp, bs odosei huas. It was because these two words refer so intimately to each other, and as it were lock into one another like joints, and thus connect the two clauses as members or limbs of one sentence, that the Greeks called them τa doppa, articuli, joints. The first of these, however, b, h, τb , the, stands very commonly with its own simple clause alone; and is therefore, strictly speaking, in such instances no longer an article or joint. This arises from the circumstance, that in very many such instances, the second clause or apodosis is not expressed in words, but is left to be mentally supplied; such as "who is spoken of," or "who is here concerned," or "whom you know," etc. See more on the article § 124.

with the forms $\vec{\eta}$, \vec{oi} , \vec{ai} . The epic writers have still the form \vec{o} (inaccurately \vec{o}) for \vec{os} ; and all the cases of the prepositive article which begin with τ , are used by the Ionics and Dorics for the corresponding forms of the postpositive; thus

τό for δ, τήν for ήν, etc.

The Dorics have τoi , τai , for both oi, ai, and oi, ai; the epic writers only for oi, ai, in demonstrative clauses: τai dé, etc.

- NOTE 3. Strictly speaking, both articles are nothing more than the ancient simple demonstrative pronoun, this; and were used (as will be shown in the Syntax § 126) for this pronoun in many connections, even in prose; as is the case with the German der, die, das. The common demonstratives, which have sprung from this form, see in § 76.
- 3. The postpositive Article, or simple relative Pronoun, is in many connections strengthened, by receiving an accession for the sake of emphasis; sometimes by the enclitic particle $\pi\epsilon\rho$, as $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$, $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho$; and sometimes by composition with the pronoun τ 's, as $\delta\sigma\tau\iota$ s, etc. See § 77. 3.

Note 4. For the enclitic $\tau \epsilon$, which is appended in the epic language to δs , as δs $\tau \epsilon$ or $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ for δs , see § 149. m. 8.

♦ 76. Demonstrative Pronouns.

1. For the common demonstrative Pronoun *this*, the Greeks have a double form. The one is made from the prepositive article, by merely appending the enclitic $\delta\epsilon$ († 14. n. 3); e. g.

όδε, ήδε, τόδε, G. τοῦδε, τησδε, etc. À. τόνδε, τήνδε, τόδε

Plur. οίδε, αίδε, τάδε, Α. τούσδε, etc.

2. The other, $ov{\tau}os$, comes from the same article; and hence it follows that article in its very anomalous flexion. Where the article has the rough breathing or the initial τ , this pronoun has the same; where the article has o or o, this pronoun has ov in its first syllable; where the former has ov or ov, the latter has ov; e.g.

		Sing.			Plur.	
	Masc.	Fem.	Neut.	Masc.	Fem.	Neut.
Nom.	ούτος	αΰτη	τοῦτο	οὖτοι	αδται	ταῦτα
Gen.	τούτου	ταύτης	τούτου	τούτων -	— τούτων —	– τούτων
Dat.	τούτω	ταύτη	τούτφ -	τούτοις	ταύταις	τούτοις
Acc.	τοῦτον	ταύτην	τοῦτο	τούτους	ταύτας	ταῦτα
			Masc.	Fem.	Neut.	
	Du	ıal. N. A.	τούτω	ταύτα	τούτω	
		G. D.	τούτοιν	ταύταιν	τούτοιν	

Note 1. As the prepositive article was the only demonstrative in the ancient language (§ 126), but by degrees lost that power, it is apparent that both the above forms are only a strengthening of this article; and that obros especially is in a certain sense the superlative of δ .

Note 2. In the dialects there is no special peculiarity, except the Ionic ε (§ 74. n. 1) in τουτέου, ταυτέης, etc. and the very anomalous epic form

Dat. τοῖσδεσι, τοῖσδεσσι, for τοῖσδε.

Note 3. The Nom. οδτος, αΰτη, is often used as a kind of Vocative or exclamation, like the Lat. heus! you there! hear!

§ 77. Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns, τίς, τίς.

- 1. The simple interrogative pronoun τls ; τl ; G. $\tau lvos$; quis, quae, quid? who? what? always has the accent on the ι ; and this always the acute, even in the monosyllabic forms; § 13. n. 2. —By these two circumstances it is distinguished from the simple indefinite pronoun τls , τl , G. $\tau lvos$, aliquis, a, id; one, some one, a certain one; which moreover, as an enclitic, most commonly stands without accent. The ι of both pronouns is every where short.
- 2. For the Gen. and Dat. Sing. of both these pronouns we often find the forms τοῦ, τῷ, for all genders; and these too are enclitic where they stand for the pron. indefinite. E. g. τῷ τεκμαίρει τοῦτο; by what dost thou prove this? γυναικός του of a certain woman; χρῆσθαί τῷ to use something.—For the Neut. Plur. of the indefinite form, we find ἄττα, Ion. ἄσσα, for τινά, but always orthotone; e. g. δεινὰ ἄττα for δεινά τινα. Od. τ. 218 ὁποῖ ἄσσα.—Hence

Pron. Interrogative. Pron. Indefinite. Sing. TÌS Neut. 71 Ν. τίς; Neut. Ti; G. τίνος ΟΓ τοῦ; τινός οι τοῦ (του) D. τίνι οι τῷ; τωί οι τῷ (τφ) Α. τίνα; τί: 4) TIW Dual. N. A. τίνε; TLVÉ G. D. τίνοιν . TIVOÎV Plur. N. Tives: τινά and äττα tiva: τινές G. τίνων ; τινών D. τίσι(ν); τισί(ν) Α. τίνας: τίνα: τινά and äττα τινάς

Note 1. In the unfrequent instances where the monosyllabic form τ is, τ l, receives an acute accent because of another following enclitic, it is to be distinguished from the interrogative either by the connection, or by the accent of the preceding word, e. g. $d\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$ τ is $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$, ϵ l τ i $\pi\sigma\nu$.

Note 2. For the interrogative τi used as an adverb, the poets and Attic comic writers have an emphatic lengthened form, $\tau i \dot{\eta}$; wherefore then? how so?

3. The compound relative $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$, who, whoever, which is only a strengthening of $\delta\varsigma$ († 75. 3), is doubly declined, i. e. both the $\delta\varsigma$ and $\tau\iota\varsigma$ are inflected at the same time:

Sing. Nom. δστις, ήτις, δ,τι § 15. 2.

Gen. οὐτινος, ἡστινος, D. ὧτινι, ἦτινι

Acc. ὅντινα, ἥντινα, ὅ,τι

Plur. Nom. οἴτινες, αἴτινες, ἄτινα

Gen. ὧντινων, D. οἰστισι, αἰστισι

Acc. οὕστινας, ἄστινας, ἄτινα.

There is also a form compounded with the secondary form of τis mentioned above in no. 2:

ότου, ότφ, for οὐτινος, φτινι, but not for the feminine. άττα, Ion. άσσα, for άτινα.

Note 3. This secondary form $\tau o \hat{v}$, $\tau \hat{\phi}$, must never be confounded with the article, from which it is fundamentally distinct, as the threefold gender and the dialects show. The $\tau o \hat{v}$ of the article is by epic writers resolved into $\tau o \hat{v} o$; the $\tau o \hat{v}$ for $\tau \ell v o s$, $\tau \ell v o s$, on the contrary, into $\tau \ell o$, whence Ion. and Dor. $\tau \epsilon \hat{v}$, and Ion. Dat. $\tau \epsilon \phi$. So too in the compound relative, $\delta \tau \epsilon o$, $\delta \tau \tau \epsilon o$, $\delta \tau \tau \epsilon o$, $\delta \tau e o$, $\delta e o$, δ

Note 4. The Ionics have likewise the secondary form in the Gen. and Dat. Plur. τέων, τέοισι, for τινῶν, τισίν. And in the compound relative we find among the Attics, though very rarely, ὅτων, ὅτοισι, Χεn. An. 7. 6. 24. Œc. 3. 2. v. Schneid. Soph. Œd. T. 414. Aristoph. Eq. 758. The Ionics write ὅτεων, ὁτόισιν, and also in the Fem. ὁτέησιν.

Note 5. Epic writers had the license of forming the compound relative with the first syllable indeclinable; as oris, oriva (for oriva and oriva), orivas.—They write the Neut. when the τ is doubled, thus: o, τ .

4. From τls are formed, by composition with où and μή, the negative pronouns οὖτις, μήτις, ι, G. οὖτινος, μήτινος, etc. no one, none; which are declined like the simple τls. § 78. 1.

§ 78. Correlative Pronouns and Adjectives.*

1. Correlatives are certain words having such a mutual relation to each other, that when one implies a certain question, the others contain the simplest answers to that question in a like form. Those Correlatives which are wholly of a general nature, have already been given above; viz. the

Interrogative tis; who?

Demonstrative ὁ, ὅδε, οὖτος, this; ἐκεῖνος that

Indefinite tis, one, some one

Relative os, compound ootis, who, whoever

Negative οὖτις, μήτις, (§ 77. 4,) or οὖδείς, μηδείς, (§ 70. 1,) no one, none;

each of course with its Fem. and Neuter.

2. When these correlative ideas are limited to two objects or parts, they are thus expressed:

Interrogative πότερος, a, ov; which of the two?

Demonstrative, as above in no. 1; with δ ετερος the other, alter; see in no. 4.

Indefinite πότερος, a, ov, one or the other (of two), alteruter; see n. 1.

Relative ὁπότερος, which of the two

Negative οὐδέτερος, μηδέτερος, neither of the two.

Note 1. Πότερος as indefinite corresponds to τìs when referring to the Plural; e. g. Plat. Theæt. 8 τί δ', εἰ ποτέρου τὴν ψυχὴν ἐπαινοῖ; and so often. That also ὁπότερος is so used, see Heindorf in loc. cit.—For the crasis ἄτερος, Θατέρου, etc. see § 29. n. 5.

^{*} The idea of the pronoun cannot be so accurately and systematically defined, as not to include much, which may in general be considered as belonging likewise to the adjective.

3. To the questions τls ; and $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$; can also be answered every, each. In Greek this has the form of a comparative and superlative:

έκάτερος, a, ον, each of two, έκαστος, η, ον, each (of many), every.

Other general answers to the question τίς are:
 ἄλλος another, § 74. 1.
 πᾶς, πάντες, all, § 62. 4.

To these correspond, when the question is made by πότερος, ο ετερος the other,

ἀμφότερος, a, ον, ἀμφότεροι, aι, a, both.

For this last there is used, in certain connections, the Dual N. A. ἄμφω, G. D. ἀμφοῦν

(the latter with the accent shifted,) which stand for all genders.

Note 2. By the poets $\tilde{a}\mu\phi\omega$ is sometimes used as indeclinable, i. e. also for the Gen. and Dative, without change.—See more on $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda$ os and $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho$ os in § 127. 10.

† 79. Special Correlatives: πόσος, ποίος, πηλίκος.

- 1. Besides these general Correlatives, there are also some special distinctive ones, which refer more particularly to the qualities and relations of an object; as, how constituted? where situated? etc. These are formed in Greek after a very clear analogy; and have partly the form of Adjectives, partly that of Adverbs. For the latter, see § 116.
- 2. Every series or set of such special Correlatives, has its root and formative ending in common; but the several words of each set are distinguished among themselves by their initial letters or by the accent. The interrogative begins with π , e.g. $\pi \delta \sigma o s$; quantus? how much? how great? etc.—The indefinite has usually the same form, but with a change of the tone, e.g. $\pi o \sigma \delta s$, aliquantus, of a certain size or number.—The demonstrative has τ instead of π , e.g. $\tau \delta \sigma o s$, tantus, so great, so much.—The relative, instead of this initial consonant, begins the word with the rough breathing; e.g. $\delta \sigma o s$, quantus, so great as, so much as.—A particular negative for these special correlatives, does not occur in the common language.
- 3. Along with this simple relative, there exists also a compound one, which is preferred in certain connections. It is formed by prefixing the syllable δ to the interrogative:

πόσος; Relat. όσος and όπόσος, poet. όππόσος.

4. The simple demonstrative, τόσος, occurs in the full sense of a demonstrative, for the most part only in the poets; in prose only in certain connections. Ε. g. ὅσφ βελτίων ἐστί, τόσφ μᾶλλον φυλάττεται, by how much...by so much, Xen. Cyr. 1. 6. 26. So τόσος καὶ τόσος, so and so great, Demosth. in Phorm.

p. 4. More commonly a strengthened form is employed; and just as the article δ is strengthened either into δδε or into οὖτος († 75–3), precisely so here; e.g.

τόσος - τοσόσδε οι τοσούτος.

The first form is declined in the middle syllable:

τοσόσδε, τοσήδε, τοσόνδε, G. τοσοῦδε, etc.

(For the accent, see § 14. n. 3.) The other form coincides in respect to the diphthongs ov and av entirely with over, and has in the Neut. both ov and o; thus

Sing. τοσούτος, τοσαύτη, τοσούτον and τοσούτο

Ğ. τοσούτου, τοσαύτης, etc.

Plur. τοσοῦτοι, τοσαῦται, τοσαῦτα, etc.

5. The following are the three complete sets or series of Correlatives:

Interrog.	Indefin.	Demonstr.	Relat.
πόσος; quantus? how great? how many?	ποσός	τόσος τοσόσδε τοσοῦτος	όσος όπόσος
ποῖος; qualis? how? how constituted?	ποιός	τοίος τοιόσδε τοιούτος	οίος. όποίος
πηλίκος; how old? how large?	πηλίκος	τηλίκος τηλικόσδε τηλικοῦτος	ήλίκος όπηλίκος

For the Ionic forms κόσος, κοῖος, ὁκόσος, etc. see § 16. n. 1. c.

Note 1. There are some other incomplete sets of correlatives, which, (like πότερος, ὁπότερος, ἡ 78.2,) besides the interrogative, have only the compound relative; so especially ποδαπός; όποδαπός; where born? and the derivatives from πόσος, as πόστος, ποσταΐος, ποσαπλάσιος,—όπόστος, etc.—Το the correlatives belongs also the demonstrative τύννος, τυννοῦτος, tantillus, so small.

Note 2. Other words likewise, as ἔτερος, ἄλλος, πῶς, often receive correlative endings. E. g. to the question ποιος, answers also ἐτεροιος, ἀλλοιος, of another kind, παντοιος of every kind. So too (ποδαπός,) ἀλλοδαπός, παντο-δαπός, ἡμεδαπός our countryman, etc.

Note 3. It is incorrect to regard τοσούτος etc. as compounds with oύτος. That the ending -ούτος both here and in oύτος itself, is nothing but a sort of superlative strengthening of the ending os, is apparent, and becomes still more evident in the particles ένταῦθα, έντεῦθεν. See § 116. 7.

NOTE 4. The poets use the form τηλικοῦτος also for the Fem. e. g. Soph. Electr. 614. OC. 751; comp. § 60. 3, and n. 2.

§ 80. Paragogic Endings: πέρ, οὖν, ί.

1. All the *Relatives* take the enclitic $\pi \epsilon \rho$ as a strengthening; usually for no other cause than to give to the shorter forms more emphasis. E. g.

οσπερ, ουπερ, οσονπερ, οιάπερ.

Further, all the Relatives thus or otherwise compounded or

strengthened, as ὅστις, ὅτου, ὅσπερ, ὁπόσος, etc. annex to all their forms the particle οὖν, which retains the tone upon itself; and which in this connection corresponds exactly to the Latin cunque, and marks the sense as full and complete; e.g.

όστις who, όστισοῦν quicunque, whoever, whosoever it may be, ήτισοῦν, ότιοῦν, ότφοῦν, Αcc. όντιναοῦν or όντινοῦν, etc.

όσπερούν, όποσοσούν, όπηλικουούν, etc.

Note 1. For a still greater strengthening of the sense, serves also the particle δήποτε; e. g. ὁστισδήποτε ἐστιν, whoever then it can be; ὁσονδήποτε, etc. Such forms however are often written separate.

2. In like manner demonstrative words assume among the Attics, but only in the tone of social intercourse, the

demonstrative i

upon all their forms, in order to strengthen their demonstrative power. This suffix likewise always draws the tone upon itself, is always long, and absorbs all short final vowels, e.g.

οὖτος — οὖτοσί this here, Lat. hicce, Fr. celui-ci; and so αὐτηί from αΰτη, τουτί from τοῦτο, ἐκεινοσί that one there, ἐκεινονί, etc. τοσουτονί, τοσονδί, i. e. so much, so great, as you there see, etc.

For the shortening of the long vowels and diphthongs before this a, see § 7. n. 16.

Note 2. When the demonstratives already have the enclitic particle γέ, this ε stands after it, e. g. τοῦτό γε, τουτογέ.

Note 3. When this ι is preceded by σ , it sometimes takes after it the movable ν ; e. g. οὐτοσί ν , τουτουσί ν . So too among the adverbs, οὐτωσί ν from οὖτως.

VERBS.

§ 81. Peculiarities. The Tenses.

- 1. The Greek language is richer in verbal forms, than either the Latin or English; inasmuch as it definitely distinguishes the *Middle* as a special form, separates the *Optative* from the Subjunctive as a distinct mood, makes the *Aorist* a distinct tense, the *Dual* a distinct number, and distinguishes also the various Moods and Participles in all the different tenses. But we must here remark, on the very threshold, that it is by no means the fact, that all which *can* be formed, *is actually* formed and usual in every verb; although in grammar it is customary to exhibit some *one* verb as complete in all its parts, in order to serve as a model for the rest.
- 2. Another preliminary remark is, that in Greek, far more frequently than in other languages, a certain mode of *formation*, which according to the prevailing analogy is connected with a particular *signification*, may also have another and often the contrary signification; e. g. the Passive form has often an Active sense.
 - 3. The idea of Passive, Subjunctive, Imperative, Present, etc.

so far as is necessary for understanding the doctrine of forms, we may assume as sufficiently known from other languages. For the Optative, the necessary preliminary information is given in \$88.2; and for the Middle, in \$89. The Greek *Tenses* alone require here a particular classification, in order to facilitate an acquaintance with their forms.

4. The simplest division of the tenses is into present, past, and future. The past, however, has in ordinary language a greater variety of modifications, than both the others. In the tenses of the past, which are all included under the general name of Preterites, there is this essential distinction, viz. in one of them, the Perfect, I remain with my thoughts in the present time, and only speak of a thing as done and past; e. g. τέθνηκε he has died, i. e. is now dead. In the others, my thoughts are transported back into the past, and I relate what then took place; e. g. ἔθανε he died at that time.* This narrative species of tense has again subdivisions; in Latin and English the Imperfect and Pluperfect; in Greek, besides these, the Aorist; of which the complete signification can be developed only in the Syntax. § 137.

5. The Perfect, as we shall see, remains in respect both to signification and form entirely in the analogy of the Present and Future; while the narrative class follows an analogy of its own. On this difference we found, especially for the grammar of the Greek language, a second division of all the tenses, and include under the name of

Primary Tenses

the Present, Perfect, and Future; and under the name of Secondary or Historical Tenses

the Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist.

6. The difference of form in the Greek tenses, is of two kinds:
1) All the tenses are distinguished from one another by their endings.
2) All the Preterites are further distinguished by an addition at the beginning, called the Augment; \$82 sq.
3) The historical tenses are again distinguished from the primary ones by a particular manner of inflecting the endings through the different numbers and persons; \$87.

AUGMENT.

§ 82. Syllabic Augment.

- 1. The Augment is in general that addition which the Preterites assume before the root or stem. The Fut. 3 also has it; see no. 4.
 - 2. The augment is of two kinds. When the verb begins with

^{*} In animated narration, this transposition of the thoughts into the past occasions not unfrequently the use of the Present tense.

a consonant, the augment forms a syllable of itself, and is therefore called the Syllabic Augment. We treat first of this, because it is the foundation of the other, or Temporal Augment.

3. In each single verb again there are two species of augment,

viz. that of the Perfect, and that of the historic tenses.

4. The augment of the *Perfect*, in verbs beginning with a consonant, is formed by repeating this first consonant with ϵ before the root of the verb, e.g.

τύπτω, Perf. τέ-τυφα,

where of course, if the first letter be an aspirate, the corresponding smooth mute is prefixed instead of it (§ 18), e.g.

φιλέω πεφίληκα, δύω τέθυκα, χωρέω κεκώρηκα. This augment is also called *Reduplication*. The *Future 3*, which includes in itself the sense of the Perfect, retains also this augment. § 99.

5. The historical tenses, on the other hand, prefix simply an $\hat{\epsilon}$, which in distinction from the reduplication of the Perfect is called simply the Augment; e.g.

τύπτω, Imperf. ε-τυπτον, Aor. ε-τυψα.

The Pluperfect also, which unites the historic quality with the signification of the Perfect, takes this ϵ before the reduplication of the Perfect, e.g.

τύπτω, Perf. τέτυφα, Plupf. ε-τετύφειν.

Hence the primary tenses, in respect to increase at the beginning, are distinguished from the historical tenses thus: The *primary* take either no augment at all, as the Pres. and Future; or only the reduplication, as the Perfect. The *historical* take the simple augment; and the Plupf. as one of them and in accordance with its signification, receives both.

6. All the augments appear both in the Active, and in the Passive and Middle; the simple augment, however, only in the *Indicative*, but the reduplication throughout all the moods and tenses. As now the Imperfect and Pluperfect exist only in the Indicative, the preceding rule may be expressed thus:

The reduplication of the Perfect is retained through all the moods and participles; the augment of the Aorist occurs

only in the Indicative.

Thus from τύπτω

The Fut. 3 conforms here also to the Perfect; see 4 above.

Note. This rule may be expressed more exactly thus: All that is reduplication, or which stands in the place of reduplication, is retained through all the moods and participles; all that is SIMPLE AUGMENT occurs only in the Indicative; comp. § 83. 2, 3. § 84. 1. Hence, according to the former part

of this rule, we have the irregular reduplication of the Aorist λέλαθου, Part. λέλαθών, etc. § 83. n. 10; while in accordance with the latter part, the irreg. Aor. ἤγαγου casts off in the Infin. only the temporal augment, as ἀγαγείν, etc. § 85. n. 2.—What can here be adduced by way of exception, whether with or without ground, see in the Anom. ἄγνυμι and εἰπεῖν, § 114.

§ 83. Syllabic Augment, continued.

1. The preceding paragraph († 82. 6) exhibits the syllabic augment in its proper and regular shape; the present section treats of its deviations and peculiarities.

2. When a verb begins with ρ , this letter is doubled after the

€, e.g.

ράπτω, Imperf. ἔρραπτον.

See § 21. 2, and the exceptions in n. 2. This form of the augment is then employed in the Perfect and Pluperfect, instead of the reduplication, e. g.

Perf. ἔρραφα, Plupf. ἐρράφειν.

3. When a verb begins with a *double* consonant, (ψ, ξ, ζ) the simple augment (ϵ) is alone prefixed both in the Perfect and Pluperfect, instead of the reduplication. E. g.

ψάλλω, Perf. έψαλκα, Plupf. έψάλκειν

ζητέω, ξέω, Pass. Perf. ἐζήτημαι, ἔξεσμαι, Plupf. ἐξέσμην. The same takes place, in most instances, where a verb begins with two consonants; e.g.

φθείρω, Perf. ἔφθορα, Plupf. ἐφθόρειν σπείρω, Pass. Perf. ἔσπαρμαι, Plupf. ἐσπάρμην κτίζω, πτύσσω, Pass. Perf. ἔκτισμαι, ἔπτυσμαι.

In all the examples under 2 and 3, the augment of the Perfect (and Fut. 3) remains the same in all the moods:

ἔρραφα, Inf. ἐρραφέναι· ἔκτισμαι, Part. ἐκτισμένος.

NOTE 1. The following are exceptions to the last rule, and accord with the general rule, i. e. are reduplicated as usual.

a) Two consonants, of which the first is a mute and the other a liquid, i. e. a mute before a liquid; e. g. γράφω γέ-γραφα; so κέ-κλιμαι, πέ-πνευκα, τέ-θλακα, etc. Yet γν assumes only ε, and γλ, βλ are variable; e. g. γνωρίζω ἐγνώρισμαι, κατ-εγλωττισμένος, δι-έγλυπται and δια-γέγλυπται, βλάπτω βέβλαμμαι, βλαστάνω ἐβλάστηκα.*

b) The Perfects μέμνη μαι and κέκτη μαι from μνάω and κτάομαι. But the Ionics (and the Attics often, e. g. Plato. Meno 39) write ἔκτημαι. All other verbs beginning with μν and κτ take only ε; e. g. ἐμνημόνευκα,

ѐктеа́тіσμаі, е̃ктоva.

c) The Perfects πέπταμαι, πέπτωκα, πεπτηώs, see the Anom. πετάννυμι, πέτομαι, πίπτω, πτήσσω, § 114; all which however have arisen rather by syncope out of old verbs from the root ΠΕΤΩ. All Perfects immediately and regularly derived from πτ, have simply ε; thus the usual Perf. from πτήσσω is ἔπτηχα; and so ἐπτόημαι, ἔπτισμαι, from πτόεω, πτίσσω.

^{*} It is to be observed, that γν, γλ, βλ, belong to those instances of a mute before a liquid, which form also in prosody an exception to the general rule (§ 7. 9, 10). The other exceptions there adduced, do not here occur; for δέδμημαι is an instance of syncope; see the Anom. δέμα, § 114.

Note 2. The same kind of augment which now belongs to verbs beginning with ρ , probably occurred in the ancient language in verbs beginning with other semivowels; hence the two Perfects $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\mu\rho\rho\alpha$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\nu\mu\alpha$; see the Anom. $\mu\epsilon i\rho\sigma\mu\alpha$ and $\sigma\epsilon i\omega$, § 114.—The epic poets, for the sake of the metre, double all the liquids, but only in the Imperf. and Aorist; as $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu-\mu\alpha\theta\epsilon$.—For $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon$, see the Anom. $\delta\epsilon i\sigma\alpha\iota$, § 114.

Note 3. Some few words beginning with a *liquid*, take even in the common language, instead of the reduplication, the syllable ϵl or ϵl ; e. g. $\epsilon l\lambda \eta$ - ϕa . See the Anom. $\lambda a\mu\beta \acute{a}\nu\omega$, $\lambda a\gamma\chi \acute{a}\nu\omega$, $\lambda \acute{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$, $\mu \epsilon \acute{\iota}\rho o\mu a\iota$, and PEQ under $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \acute{\iota}\nu$, § 114.

Note 4. Of the ancient reduplication before ρ , the only instance retained is the Homeric $\hat{\rho} \in \rho \cup \pi \omega \mu \in V$, Od. ζ . 59.

NOTE 5. In the three verbs βούλομαι will, δύναμαι can, μέλλω am about to do, the Attics very commonly increase the syllabic augment by superadding the temporal augment; e. g. ἠδυνάμην instead of ἐδυνάμην. See the same in ἀπολαύω, § 86. n. 2.—For the syllabic augment before a vowel, see § 84. n. 8.

Note 6. The augment of the historic tenses is very often omitted in poetry by writers not Attic; e. g. $\beta \hat{a} \lambda \epsilon$ for $\xi \beta \hat{a} \lambda \epsilon$, $\beta \hat{\eta}$ for $\xi \beta \eta$, yévovto for $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon$ vovto, etc. See for the accent, § 103. n. I. 2. In Attic poets this omission is rare, and only for the sake of the metre; see Herm. ad Eur. Hec. p. 32.

Note 7. In Attic prose the omission of the simple augment is very common in the *Pluperfect*; as τετύφεισαν, τέτυπτο, for ἐτετύφεισαν, ἐτέτυπτο; δεδίει for ἐδεδίει Plat. In other tenses this never happens; except in χρῆν, see Anom. χράω § 114.

Note 8. Likewise in the Ionic prose of Herodotus this omission of the syllabic augment is never found; except in the iterative forms so called, in σκον, σκόμην, § 103. n. II. 1. Yet in the same writer the neglect of the temporal augment is very common. See however Hdot. 7. 54. ed. Gaisf.

NOTE 9. On the other hand, the omission of the regular Reduplication is very rare and doubtful. For έδεκτο and the like, see § 110. 8; and for the cpic reduplication δει instead of δε, see Anom. δείσαι and δείκνυμι § 114.

Note 10. In the epic poets the Aor. 2 (Act. and Mid.) often takes the Reduplication, which is then retained through all the moods (§ 82. 6, and note); e. g. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \rho \nu$, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \theta \delta \nu \nu$, $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega$, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \beta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$, etc. In some few verbs they prefix, in the Indicative, still further the simple augment, as $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \rho a \delta \nu$; see the Anom. $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$, and comp. $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \rho \mu a$ and $\Phi E N \Omega$, $\xi 114$.—The Present and Future Act. have such a reduplication in a few forms derived from reduplicated tensos, but mostly poetical; see § 111.

§ 84. Temporal Augment.

1. When a verb begins with a vowel, with either the rough or smooth breathing, the augment unites itself with this vowel, and thus forms with it one long vowel; and this kind of augment, which is called temporal (authors $\chi \rho oven'$, from $\chi \rho \phi vos$ time or quantity of syllables), is then the same in all the Preterites. In this way, initial a or ϵ is generally changed into η , and o into ω ; e.g.

ἀνύω Impf. ἤνυον Perf. ἤνυκα Plupf. ἠνύκειν ἀρμόζω — ἤρμοζον — ἥρμοκα — ἡρμόκειν ἐλπίζω — ἤλπιζον — ἤλπικα — ἠλπίκειν ὁμιλέω — ὡμίλεον — ὡμίληκα — ὡμιλήκειν

e.g.

In respect to the moods the general rule holds good, § 82. 6. Hence in the Aorists, out of the Indicative, after the augment is dropped the original vowel reappears, e. g.

ἀνύω, Aor. ήνυσα, Subj. ἀνύσω, Inf. ἀνύσαι.

But in the Perfect the lengthened vowel is retained in all the moods, because it stands instead of the reduplication; e. g. ὁμιλέω Perf. ὅμιληκα, Subj. ὁμιλήκω, Inf. ὑμιληκέναι.

2. The following verbs, viz.

change the ϵ into $\epsilon \iota$, instead of into η ; e.g. Impf. $\epsilon i \chi o \nu$, $\epsilon i \sigma \tau i \omega \nu$, Perf. $\epsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu a \iota$, etc. See note 4.

Note 1. See further $\epsilon i \lambda o \nu$, $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, in the Anom. $a i \rho \epsilon \omega$; also $\epsilon i \omega \theta a$ in the Anom. $\epsilon \theta \omega$, § 114; and the verbs derived from the root $\epsilon \Omega$, § 108.—The following are sometimes wrongly referred hither, viz. $\epsilon \pi \omega$ and $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega$, see the Anom. $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$; $\epsilon \xi \omega$ on account of $\epsilon i \sigma a$, see § 108. II; $\epsilon \rho \omega$ and $\epsilon \rho \omega \sigma a$ on account of $\epsilon i \rho \omega \sigma a$, which forms however belong to the Ionic $\epsilon i \rho \omega \omega$, $\epsilon i \rho \omega \sigma a$.

3. The vowels ι and υ can be augmented only when they are short, and then only by being lengthened; e.g. 'ikereiva Eurip. Med. 971, Aor. 'ikérewa ib. 338. And even where the syllable is already long by position, the augment must be made audible in the pronunciation; e.g. laxiw 'iaxvov, ι uvéw" ι uvovv.

4. Of vowels which are already long in themselves, \bar{a} usually becomes η (no. 1 above); the others, η , ω , $\bar{\iota}$, \dot{v} , are wholly inca-

pable of being augmented; e.g. ἡττάομαι,

Impf. ήττώμην, Perf. ήττημαι, Plupf. ήττήμην.

5. Verbs beginning with a *diphthong* admit the augment, when the first vowel of the diphthong can be changed in the manner above specified; and then if the second vowel be ι , it is subscribed; e.g.

αὐλέω — ηὔλουν εὕχομαι — ηὐχόμην αἰτέω — ἤτουν ἄδω — ἦδον οἰκέω — ῷκουν. But verbs beginning with ov and $\epsilon\iota$ neglect the augment wholly,

οὐτάζω — οὕταζον· εἶκω — εἶκον, εἶξα, with the exception of εἰκάζω, which is augmented only by the Attics, and rarely even by them: εἴκασα, εἴκασμαι, Att. ἤκασα, ἤκασμαι.—Verbs beginning with ευ have sometimes ηυ and sometimes ευ; as εὕχομαι, ηὐχόμην and εὐχόμην; εὐρίσκω, εὐρέθην, very rarely ηὐρέθην.

NOTE 2. It is true, in general, that very many verbs remain unchanged, in which the augment might produce cacophony or ambiguity. So especially some beginning with a, av, oi, with another vowel immediately following, as ἀῖω, ἀημι, ἀηδίζομαι· αὐαίνω, οἰακίζω, οἰόω, and some others; except that short a, as in ἀῖω, is made long; e.g. Imperf. ἀῖον (long a), αὐαίνετο, οἰάκιζεν,

etc. But ἀείδω follows the general rule, ἤειδον; and ἐπαῖω forms ἐπήῖσα Hdot. Some others beginning with οι have no augment, as οἰνίζω, οἰκουρέω, οἰστρέω.

Note 3. The Ionics (also Herodotus, comp. § 83. n. 8) and the poets not Attic, often omit this augment in all verbs, as well as the syllabic augment; e. g. $d\mu\epsilon i\beta\epsilon\tau o$ for $\eta\mu\epsilon i\beta\epsilon\tau o$, $\delta\omega\nu$ for $\epsilon\delta\omega\nu$ from $\delta\omega$, etc. and sometimes even in the Perf. and Plupf. Pass. e. g. $d\mu\mu\mu a$, $o\delta\kappa\eta\mu a$, from $d\pi\tau\omega$, $o\delta\kappa\epsilon\omega$, in Herodotus.—In verbs beginning with a the Dorics merely prolong the quantity into a, and never change the vowel into η .

Note 4. The temporal augment unquestionably arose from the contraction of the syllabic augment ϵ with the vowel of the verb, e. g. $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ $\tilde{\epsilon}$ -ayou $\tilde{\eta}\gamma\rho\nu$. Here however the contraction of $\epsilon\epsilon$ into η , and of ϵo into ω , deviates from the common rule (§ 28.3. b). Hence, and from the general rule that the accent can never go back beyond the augment (§ 103. m. 10), may be explained the accent of some compounds, e. g. in $d\nu\eta\eta\tau\nu\nu$ from $d\nu\dot{\alpha}\eta\tau\omega$. In this way sometimes the augment becomes apparent only by the accent; e. g. $\kappa\alpha\theta\eta\kappa\omega$ ($\eta\kappa\omega$), 3 pers. Impf. $\kappa\alpha\theta\eta\kappa\omega$; and from $d\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\gamma\omega$ the form $d\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\gamma\varepsilon$ is Imperative, but $d\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\gamma\varepsilon$ is 3 pers. Impf.

Note 5. The syllabic augment is in many instances still actuary preserved before a vowel. Besides several epic forms, this is found in the common language in the following three verbs, which according to the general rule above are not susceptible of the temporal augment:

ῶθέω, ὼνέομαι, οὐρέω
Imperf. ἐώθουν, ἐωνούμην, ἐούρουν.
The same takes place in the verb ΑΓΩ, Anom. ἄγνυμι, break, Aor. ἔαξα, etc. to distinguish it from ἄγω lead.

Note 6. In the Perfect likewise the temporal augment has arisen from the ϵ ; since in the case of a verb beginning with a vowel the ordinary reduplication was impossible. And this ϵ is still preserved unchanged (instead of the reduplication) in the verbs already quoted (note 5), as $\tilde{\epsilon}$ aya, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ωσμαι, $\hat{\epsilon}$ ώνημαι, $\hat{\epsilon}$ ούρηκα, and besides these in

ἔοικα, ἔολπα, ἔοργα from εἴκω, ἔλπω, ἔργω, all which once had the Digamma, § 6. n. 3. The σ in these Perfects comes from changing the vowel of the root (§ 97. 4. c), and the ϵ is instead of the reduplication; thus ἔργω ἔ-οργα (FέΓοργα) like δέρκομαι δέδορκα.

Note 7. In verbs which have the rough breathing, this kind of temporal augment takes it also; e. g. ἐάλων, ἐάλωκα from 'ΑΛΟΩ, see Anom. ἀλίσκομαι; also ἀνδάνω, ἔννυμι.

Note 8. We have seen above (§ 83. n. 5), that the syllabic augment is sometimes increased by the temporal; in the same manner the temporal augment in the verb όράω see is commonly increased by the syllabic, which retains the same breathing, e. g. Impf. έώρων. For the Perf. έώρακα, see the Anom. όράω § 114; and also οίγω, ἀνοίγω.—In the epic poets this takes place with some other verbs; e. g. ἐωνοχόει from οἰνοχοέω, ἐήνδανε from ἀνδάνω, § 6. n. 3.

Note 9. When a verb or verbal form begins with ε0, the second vowel takes the augment. This occurs in the verb έορτάζω, Impf. έωρταζον, and with the three Perfects mentioned in note 6 when in the Plupf.

εψκειν, ἐώλπειν, ἐώργειν.

Digitized by Google

§ 85. Attic Reduplication.

1. In verbs beginning with a vowel, the reduplication, as we have seen, cannot take place; § 84. n. 6. But several such, all of them radical verbs, have in the Perfect a special Attic Reduplication so called; which consists in repeating the first two letters of the verb before the temporal augment in the Perfect, the initial vowel remaining unchanged; e.g.

ἀγείρω (ήγερκα) ἀγ-ήγερκα, ἀγήγερμαι ἐμέω (ήμεκα) ἐμ-ήμεκα ὀρύττω (ἄρυχα) ὀρ-ώρυχα, ὀρώρυγμαι ὄζω (ἄδα) ὄδ-ωδα.

The name Attic reduplication is only technical, and by no means implies that in these verbs it is peculiar to the Attics. On the contrary, the simple form of these Perfects was in all the dialects nearly obsolete, in some instances directly not Attic; see the anom. αίρεω, ἄγω, † 114.

2. This form prefers a short vowel in the third syllable; and therefore exchanges the long vowels for the short stem-vowel which lies at the basis of the verb; † 92. 4, 9. E. g. in ἀλείφω, Perf. ἀλήλιφα, ἀλήλιμμαι; in ἀκούω, Perf. ἀκήκοα.

Note 1. Even from $\epsilon \rho \epsilon i \delta \omega$, which commonly makes $\epsilon \rho i \rho \epsilon i \omega \mu a \iota$, Homer could form $\epsilon \rho \eta \rho \epsilon \delta a \tau a$ by such a change; see § 103. n. IV. 4. The temporal augment of the second syllable sometimes falls away in the epic poets on account of the metre, e. g. $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma$, $\epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma$.

- Note 2. The verbs in which this form was more or less in common use, and which therefore are mostly inserted in the list of anomalous verbs (§ 114), are: ἀγείρω, ἀκούω, ἀκείφω, ἀλέω, ἀρόω, ἐγείρω, ἐλέγχω, ἐλίσσω, ἐμέω, ἐρείκω, ὅζω, ὀρύττω. Besides these there are also quite a number of Perfects of this kind, some of them in current use, whose simple Present forms, from which they were regularly derived, are lost; see the Anom. ἀραρίσκω, ἔρχομαι, ἐσθίω, ἐλαύνω, ὅλλυμι, ὅμνυμι, φερω, § 114.—Other peculiarities in this reduplication, and some single poetic forms, see in the Anom. αἰρέω, ἐγείρω, ἔχω, ἡμύω, οἴχομαι, ὀράω, ὄρνυμι, etc. § 114.
- 3. The Pluperfect sometimes prefixes to this reduplication a new temporal augment; thus commonly in ἀκήκοα ἡκηκόειν.* In most other instances this is omitted; comp. § 83. n. 7.

Note 3. In some verbs which fall under this section (comp. § 83. n. 10), the Aor. 2 has in the poets a reduplication which corresponds to that of the Perfect; except that here the temporal augment has the first place, e. g.

APΩ Perf. ἄραρα (Ion. ἄρηρα) Aor. ἤραρον.

So also ἤκαχον, ὅρορεν, and some other forms (see marg. note). In the com-

So also ἤκαχον, ὅρορεν, and some other forms (see marg. note). In the common language the verb ἄγω has this Aorist, ἥγαγον; see in § 114.† This reduplication remains in the other moods, which then cast off only the temporal augment; e. g. ἀράρη, ἀκαχεῖν, ἀγαγών. See § 82. n.

* So the epic forms ἡλήλατο, ἡρήρειστο, ὡρώρει; see § 114. † Grammarians have commonly explained the epic forms ήραρεν, ώρορε, as Per-



Toranimarians have commonly explained the epic forms ηραρεν, αρορε, as Perfects, by metathesis for άρηρα, δρωρα. But this is contradicted not only by their undeniable acrist signification, but also by forms like 3 Plur. ἄραρον for ήραρον, Part. ἀραρών, and by the analogy of the similar Acrists ἀγαγεῦν, ἀκαχεῦν, ἀπαφεῦν, ἀλαλεκῦν, and ἐνεγκεῦν; see Anom. § 114.

Note 4. A very peculiar reduplication of the Aorist at the end of the word, occurs in the epic poets in

ἐρύκω, Aor. ἡρύκακον, ἐρυκακέεω for -εῖν, and in the same manner in ἐνίπτω, ἡνίπαπε; see in § 114.

§ 86. Augment of Compound Verbs.

1. In compound Verbs the following rules hold good. When the Verb is compounded with a Preposition, but so that this first happens in the verb itself (loose composition § 121), it takes the augment and reduplication between the two, i. e. after the preposition. Wherever two vowels would thus come together, the vowel of the preposition is elided, except in $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ and $\pi\rho i$. On the other hand, prepositions ending in a consonant, which may have been changed before the Present for the sake of euphony, reappear in their original shape before the vowel of the augment. We may therefore always first construct the form from the simple verb, and then prefix the preposition. E. g.

προσφέρω, προσ-έφερον· συλλέγω, συν-έλεγον ἀποδύω, ἀπ-έδυσα, ἀπο-δέδυκα, ἀπ-εδεδύκειν συβράπτω, συν-έβραπτον· ἀπαλλάττω, ἀπ-ήλλαττον ἐμφύω, ἐν-έφυν, ἐμ-πέφυκα, ἐν-επεφύκειν περιβάλλω, περι-έβαλλον· προβαίνω, προ-έβαινον.

In the moods of the Aorist, after the augment is dropped, the preposition of course takes again the same form as in the Present:

συλλέγω, Aor. συνέλεξα, Šubj. συλλέξω, Inf. συλλέξαι ἀποδύω, — ἀπέδυσα, — ἀποδύσω, — ἀποδῦσαι ἐκλείπω, — ἐξέλιπον, — ἐκλίπω, — ἐκλυπεῖν. \$ 26. 6.

2. If however the compound is formed from a word already compounded, usually a Substantive or Adjective (close composition § 121), then, whether the first part of the compound is a preposition or not, and whether the last part is like a simple verb or not, the augment regularly stands first. E. g.

έναντιόομαι (from έναντίος) Impf. ήναντιούμην ἀντιβολέω (from ἀντιβολή) — ήντιβόλουν

μελοποιέω (from μελοποιός) ἐμελοποίουν, μεμελοποίηκα. So too ἀφρονέω ἠφρόνουν, οἰκοδομέω ῷκοδόμησα; also such as have the first part a preposition: ἐμπεδόω, ἐπίσταμαι (see § 114), ἀντιδικέω, παβρησιάζομαι. See n. 3.

3. Verbs compounded with the adverb $\epsilon \partial$, and the inseparable particle $\delta \nu \sigma$ -, (although belonging to no. 2,) often take the *tempo-*

ral augment in the middle, e.g.

εὐεργετέω, εὐηργέτουν · δυσαρεστέω, δυσηρέστουν.
When however these particles are followed by an immutable vowel or by a consonant, they take the augment at the beginning, e. g. δυσωπέω, έδυσώπουν · εὐδοκιμέω, ηὐδοκίμουν

δυστυχέω, έδυστύχησα, δεδυστύχηκα.

But compounds with $\epsilon \hat{v}$, in such cases, more commonly *omit* the augment; as $\epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \rho a \ell v \epsilon \tau o$, $\epsilon \hat{v} \omega \chi o \nu \mu \eta v$; and also $\epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau o \nu v$, § 84. 5.

Note 1. The preposition $\pi\rho\delta$ often makes with the syllabic augment a crasis, e. g. $\pi\rhoo\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\psi\alpha$ $\pi\rhooi\pi\epsilon\mu\psi\alpha$, see § 120. n. 7.

Note 2. Some compounds belonging under no. 1, the simple forms of which are found only in the dialects or poets, so that the former may almost be regarded as simple verbs, take the augment before the preposition; e.g. ἐκάθενδον, ἐκάθιζον, ἡφίουν (from ἀφίημι). Still, this cannot be regarded as a general rule; for in the best writers we find also καθηῦδον; and other verbs, as ἐξετάζω, ἀπαντάω, προσδοκάω, ἀπολαύω, whose simple forms are likewise not in use, nevertheless always take the augment in the middle. From ἀπολαύω we find not only ἀπέλαυον, but sometimes also ἀπήλαυον with double augment, § 83. n. 5. See too ἀμπέχω under ἔχω § 114; also ἀμφιένννμι and κάθημαι § 108.

Note 3. Although, according to the above general principle, all close compounds should have the augment at the beginning; yet (as in the case of those with $\epsilon \dot{\nu}$ and $\delta \nu \sigma$ -) in those especially whose first part is a preposition, both custom and euphony very often occasion a deviation from the rule; so that these words also commonly have the augment after the preposition, just as if they were first compounded in the verb itself. So in Homer, $\dot{\omega}_{T} = \beta \partial \lambda_{T} \sigma \epsilon$.* In Attic writers we find quite a number of such verbs every where so employed, of which some of the more frequent are:

ἐκκλησιάζω, ἐγκωμιάζω, ἐγχειρέω, ἐγχειρίζω, ἐπιχειρέω, ἐπιθυμέω, ἐνθυμέομαι, προθυμέομαι, ἐμποδίζω, ἐμφανίζω, ἐπιβατεύω, ἐπιτηδεύω, κατηγορέω, προξενέω, προφητεύω, συνεργέω, ὑποπτεύω.

Hence: ἐξεκλησίασαν, ἐνεκωμίαζον, συνήργουν, ἐπιτετήδευκα, κατηγόρουν, etc. although they all are derived from ἐκκλησία, ἐγκώμιον, κατήγορος, etc. So ἐμπολᾶν to trade (from ἐμπολή wares) has indeed commonly ἡμπόλησα, -ηκα; but Lucian has ἐμπεπόληκα, and Isæus ἐνεπόλησαν. Ενεη παρανομέω (derived from παρά-νομος, and hence Perf. usually παρανενόμηκα) forms Implementation, Aor. παρηνόμησα, as if from παρ-ανομέω; although this was certainly not its origin; comp. n. 4. Also ἐγγνάω to give in pledge has both forms: ἡγγύησα, ἡγγύηκα, and ἐνεγύησα, † ἐγγεγύηκα.

Note 4. The following verbs commonly take the augment in both places at once, viz. ἀνορθόω ἡνώρθουν, ἐνοχλέω ἡνώχλησα, ἀνέχομαι ἡνειχόμην, παροινεῖν πεπαρώνηκα. Still more anomalous is this in the verbs διακονεῖν, διαιτᾶν,—δεδιηκόνηκα, κατεδιήτησα, (or also with simple augm. διήτησα, διηκονούμην,) since these come from διάκονος, δίαιτα, where the a begins no new word. In these words common usage has been led astray as in παραφράφ, by the mere semblance of composition.

Note 5. Of compounds, whose first part is a noun, we find the anomalous reduplication in the middle only in $l\pi\pi\sigma\tau\rho\phi\phi\epsilon\omega$, Perf. $l\pi\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\phi\eta\kappa a$ in Lycurgus; probably because it could receive no audible augment at the beginning.

Conjugation by Endings, etc.

- § 87. Inflection by Number and Person.—Union Vowel.
- 1. In the Greek verb, as in the substantive, there are three Numbers, both in the Active and Passive; consequently each

[#] But see Lexil. I. 63. 13.

[†] The reading ἐνεγγύων, ἐνεγγύησεν, is now rejected by Bekker from the texts. On the other hand. forms wholly without augment (ἐγγυηκώς, ἐγγυήσατο) are cited; Ausf. Sprachl. § 86. n. 5.

tense has strictly *nine* personal endings. In the tenses of the Active, however, the 1 pers. Dual is wanting, that is, it does not differ from the Plural.

- 2. In Greek, as in Latin, there is a peculiar *active* inflection of the persons, which the tenses of the Active follow; and also a peculiar *passive* inflection of the same, which is followed by the tenses of the Passive (and Middle). The Passive Aorists alone follow the active inflection.
- 3. In both the Active and Passive, the inflection of the personal endings of the *primary* tenses differs in an analogous manner from that of the *historical* tenses. All this appears from the following table; which applies to all tenses without exception.

Active Form.				P	assive fo	rm.
			Primary Te	enses.		
	1	2	3	1	2	3
Sing.	 —	8		μαι	(σaı)	таі
Dual.	wanting	τον	τον	μεθον	$\sigma heta$ ov	σθον
Plur.	μεν	$ au\epsilon$	σιν, σι	μεθα	$\sigma heta \epsilon$	v таі
Historical Tenses.						
Sing.	-	8		$\mu\eta\nu$	(σο)	70
Dual.	wanting	τον	την	μεθον	$\sigma heta$ ov	$\sigma heta\eta u$
Plur.	μων	$ au\epsilon$	ν or $\sigma a \nu$	μεθα	$\sigma heta \epsilon$ '	ντο

- 4. Herewith is connected the theory of the *Union Vowel*, so called. By this is meant that letter by which the above endings are united with the stem. Thus in $\lambda \nu \omega$, Pres. (stem $\lambda \nu$) 1 pers. Plur. $\lambda \nu \epsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu$, 2 pers. Plur. $\lambda \nu \epsilon \tau \varepsilon$; Aor. (stem $\epsilon \lambda \nu \sigma$) 3 pers. Dual $\epsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon \tau \nu$. Here o, ϵ , a, are union vowels.
- 5. The rule is, that all the tenses of the verb, excepting the Perfect and Pluperfect Passive, and the irregular flexion of Verbs in μ , append the above endings to their stem by means of a union vowel. The manner in which this is done, and what union vowels each tense and each mood requires, may best be learned from the paradigms; because of the variety and changes of these vowels even in a single tense. They are eight in all, viz. five simple vowels, α , ϵ , η , o, ω , and four diphthongs, α , ϵ , ω , η , θ , ω , and four diphthongs, α , ϵ , ω , ω , η .

Note 1. Thus, for example, the ending - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ of 1 Plur. Act. is connected with the stem of the Present, Future, etc. by o, as $\lambda \dot{\nu} \cdot o \cdot \mu\epsilon\nu$, $\lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \cdot o \cdot \mu\epsilon\nu$; with that of the Aor. 1 and Perf. by \bar{a} , as $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \cdot a \cdot \mu\epsilon\nu$, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu} \kappa \cdot a \cdot \mu\epsilon\nu$; with that of the Plupf. by $\epsilon\iota$, as $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu} \kappa \cdot \epsilon \iota - \mu\epsilon\nu$; with that of the Aor. 1 Pass. by η , as $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu} \cdot \partial \cdot \eta - \mu\epsilon\nu$; with that of every Subjunct. by ω , as $\lambda \dot{\nu} \cdot \omega \cdot \mu\epsilon\nu$; with that of the Opt. Aor. by $a\iota$, as $\lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \cdot a \cdot \mu\epsilon\nu$.—From these examples it is quite apparent, that the person is sufficiently known by the mere ending $(\mu\epsilon\nu)$; but that in order to mark the tense and mood the union vowel does not of itself suffice; inasmuch as there are changes in the stem of the verb, which will be explained farther on.

^{*} The diphthong ou does not belong here; since Pres. 3 Plur. λύ-ου-σι is for λύ-ου-σι; see n. 3.

Note 2. The endings of the 1 and 3 pers. Sing. Act. are not given in the above table; because in most instances these have no consonant in the termination, but only in a certain sense the union vowel alone; which moreover is very different in the different tenses. Compare e. g. $1 \lambda \dot{\nu} - \omega$, $3 \lambda \dot{\nu} - \epsilon \iota$, with $\partial \nu - \iota = \lambda \nu - \iota = 0$. Nevertheless in the greater part of the forms of the historical tenses, the first person has a fixed ν , as $\partial \nu - \nu$, $\partial \nu - \nu$, $\partial \nu - \nu$ or $\partial \nu - \nu$, when its vowel is $\partial \nu - \nu$, then we persons have in the Present an ending wholly peculiar, viz. $\partial \nu - \nu$, $\partial \nu - \nu$ or $\partial \nu - \nu$.

Note 3. The 3 pers. Plur. ended originally in $\nu\tau\iota$ (Lat. nt); hence the long vowel before the usual ending $\sigma\iota$, according to § 25. 4. See too § 103. V. 4.

NOTE 4. The endings σαι and σο of the 2 pers. Passive are to be noted merely as being the original forms; since in most of the tenses, except where there is no union vowel (see 5 above), the σ and union vowel are dropped, and then the Attics contract; as τύπτεσαι, τύπτη. See the details in § 103. III.

NOTE 5. In regard to the peculiarities by which the historical tenses are distinguished from the primary ones, we must take care not to overlook in the above table the following points especially:

- a. One characteristic, which runs through the whole of the Active and Passive forms, is, that the 3 pers. Dual, which in the primary tenses is always of the same form as the 2 pers. (e. g. Pres. τύπτετον, τύπτετον, Ραss. τύπτετοθον, τύπτεσθον,) in the historical tenses always ends in ην; e. g. Impf. 2 ἐτύπτετον, 3 ἐτυπτέτην, Pass. 2 ἐτύπτεσθον, 3 ἐτυπτέσθην.
- b. In the Active, the 3 pers. Plur. which in the primary tenses always ends in σι with the movable ν (ουσιν, ασιν, οτ ουσι, ασι), terminates always in the historical tenses in a fixed ν; as ον, αν, εισαν, ησαν.
- c. In the Passive, on the contrary, the two classes of tenses differ throughout the whole Singular, and in all third persons Plural.

NOTE 6. That the Dual, as being an ancient Plural, has sometimes in the poets, and particularly in verbs, a plural signification, has been already mentioned, § 33. n. 4; see also § 129. 6, and n. 7.

NOTE 7. In respect to the Dual-endings or and $\eta\nu$ there is also some uncertainty. Thus, several times in Homer the ending -or stands as 3 Dual of an historical tense (c. g. Il. κ . 364 διώκετον; ν . 346 ἐτεύχετον); while the ending - $\eta\nu$ appears as 2 Dual of an historical tense, not only in the poets (Soph. OT. 1511 εἰχέτην), but also in Plato; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 87. n. 2 and comp. § 103. m. 27.

§ 88. Conjugation by Moods and Participles.

1. The Greek language is richer than others in Moods and Participles. Except the *Imperfect* and *Pluperfect*, all the tenses can form moods. But of the *Future* the Subjunct. and Imperat. are wanting; and in the *Perfect* the Subj. Opt. and Imperat. are seldom used; † 137. n. 12. Hence in every Present, Perfect (1 and 2), and Aorist (1 and 2), there are, besides the Indicative,

† All these differences are of use in the epic poets, where it is often the case, when the augment has been omitted (§§ 83, 84, notes), that they alone serve to point out the tense.

^{*} Although in such instances there is strictly nothing to unite, yet this vowel is essentially the same with the union vowel, and therefore falls away in such formations as have no union vowel; compare e. g. from $\tau(\theta\eta\mu, 3 \text{ Sing. Impf. } \theta\tau(\theta\eta, \text{ where } \eta \text{ belongs to the stem. } 107.$

also the Subjunctive, Optative, Imperative, Infinitive, and Par-

ticiple.

2. The Optative is so called as expressing in general a desire or wish; but it is used nevertheless in very many other senses. The details are given in the Syntax; and we only remark here, that its signification corresponds almost wholly to that of the Latin Imperfect and Pluperfect of the Subjunctive, a tense which is wanting in Greek; or to that of the English Potential mood.

3. The inflection of the Subjunctive and Optative by persons is included in the above table (§ 87); and the following is here

the rule:

In the Subjunctive of all tenses the flexion of the primary tenses every where forms the basis; in the Optative, that of the historical tenses.

Hence, in the preceding table (§ 87), the upper series contains likewise the personal endings of all Subjunctives; and the lower one, those of all Optatives. Further, the Subjunctive and Optative have also their peculiar union vowels; by which they are distinguished from each other on the one hand, and from the Indicative on the other. These are hence appropriately called mood vowels.

4. The peculiar union or mood vowels of the Subjunctive are η and ω . The following is then the rule:

The flexion of all Subjunctives follows throughout that of the

Subjunctive Present.

The Subjunctive of the Present is formed from the Indic. Pres. as follows:

Where the *Indicative* has o, ov, ω , the *Subjunctive* has ω . Where the *Indicative* has ϵ , $\epsilon \iota$, η , the *Subjunctive* has η or η . Hence, in full, the endings of all Subjunctives are:

Act. ω ηs η ; — $\eta \tau o \nu$ $\eta \tau o \nu$; $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\eta \tau \epsilon$ $\omega \sigma \iota(\nu)$

Pass. ωμαι η ηται; ώμεθον ησθον ησθον; ώμεθα ησθε ωνται.

5. The characteristic letter of the Optative is ι , which forms a diphthong with the preceding vowel, whether union vowel or that of the stem; and this diphthong then remains before all the personal endings. Thus in the regular verb we have the following:

ou, in Opt. Pres. and in all Optatives which follow the same; and these are by far the greater part.

a, in Opt. Aor. 1 Act. and Mid.

es, in Opt. Aor. 1 and 2 Pass.

To these are added in the first person of the Active the endings u and $\eta \nu$ (e. g. $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau o \iota \mu \iota$, $\tau \nu \phi \theta \epsilon \dot{l} \eta \nu$); and in the latter this η with the diphthong remains in all the other endings, as $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \eta \nu$, $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \eta s$, $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \eta s$, $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \eta s$ etc. In the Passive, the diphthong stands directly before the historical endings; as $\tau \nu \pi \tau o \dot{\iota} - \mu \eta \nu$, $\tau \nu \dot{\nu} \dot{\iota} \dot{\iota} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \dot{\iota} - \tau o$. etc.

6. The *Imperative* has a second and third person in all the numbers. Its endings in all the tenses are as follows:

2 3 2 3 2 3

Act. S. . . , $\tau\omega$ D. $\tau\omega\nu$ P. $\tau\varepsilon$, $\tau\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$ or $\nu\tau\omega\nu$. Pass. S. $(\sigma\sigma)$, $\sigma\theta\omega$ D. $\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$, $\sigma\theta\omega\nu$ P. $\sigma\theta\varepsilon$, $\sigma\theta\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$ or $\sigma\theta\omega\nu$.

7. The Infinitive has the following endings:

Act. Ew or vai or at Pass. $\sigma\theta$ ai.

8. All Participles are declined as adjectives of three endings; the feminine therefore always follows Dec. I. († 59. 2.) The masculine of the Active has in the Gen. $\nu\tau\sigma$, whence in the Nom. comes ς or ν , and in the Fem. $\sigma\alpha$, thus:

ων οτ ους, ουσα, ον, G. οντος

āς, āσα, ἄν, G. αντος ῦς, ῦσα, ἴν, G. υντος.

 ϵ is, ϵ isa, ϵ v, ϵ

From these forms the Participle of the Perfect Active deviates entirely; and has always

ώς, υία, ός, G. ότος.

The mode of declining this Participle, see in § 103, after τύπτω.

—The Participles of the Passive all end in

μενος, η, ον.

That of the Pass. Perf. has the same endings with different accent: μένος, η, μένον.

Note. Among the modifications, which many of the above endings receive in their application, we must particularly not overlook their contraction; not only in the proper contract verbs, but more especially in certain parts of the ordinary conjugation, where a contraction lies at the foundation; see § 95. 7 sq. and 103. n. I. 3.

§ 89. Conjugation by Active, Passive, and Middle.

- 1. The idea of the *Passive* may be presupposed as known. The *Middle* is strictly only a modification of the passive idea; and ought not therefore, as its form also shows (no. 3), to be separated from the Passive as a distinct voice. Its primary signification is *reflexive*; just as in Lat. *versor* can signify, not only *I* am turned, but also *I turn myself*. That is, the state or condition which I suffer, is produced or proceeds from myself and not from others. See more in § 135.
- 2. If now we change the *first* persons of the Indicative in the six active tenses into the corresponding passive forms, we obtain a Passive, which indeed is by no means the one adopted in grammar, but which therefore we may name the *original* or *natural* Passive.

	Active		Passive	1	1ctive	!	Passive
	ω	_	ομαι	Fut.	σω		σομαι
Impf.			όμην	Tut.	(ω	_	οῦμαι
Perf.			μαι	Aor.	σα	_	σάμην
Plupf.	ew, kew		$\mu\eta\nu$,,101.	ον		όμην

3. Of this natural Passive the Present and Imperfect, Perfect and Pluperfect, include in themselves the Middle signification also, and should therefore strictly be called Passive-Middle. But in the Aorist and Future, the above naturally Passive-form is regularly only Middle; while for the real Passive these two tenses have a special form, in which there is this peculiarity, viz. that the Aorist, notwithstanding its passive signification, assumes in its flexion of numbers and persons the Active form $(\Im \eta \nu)$ and $\eta \nu$; while the Future, although made by lengthening this Aorist, returns again to the Passive-form $(\Im \eta \sigma \nu)$ and $\eta \sigma \sigma$. Hence the twofold form of the Future and Aorist in all the three voices of the verb:

	Active	Passive	Middle
Frat	(σω	βήσομαι	σομαι
Fut.	lŵ	ήσομαι	οῦμαι
Aor.	ς σα	עורב	σάμην
AUI.	(ov	ην	όμην

NOTE 1. That the Aor. Pass. in $9\eta\nu$ or $\eta\nu$ often has also a Middle signification, i. e. the Pass. Deponents so called, see in § 113.

NOTE 2. The ancient Greek grammarians had in their system a distinct Perfect and Pluperfect Middle. But these were nothing more than the Perf. 2 and Plupf. 2, so called; see § 97. As some of these second Perfects take an intransitive signification, while the Present is transitive (§ 113. n. 3), they placed these forms in the Middle, in order thus to supply the tenses which seemed to be wanting. Modern grammar has abandoned this wrong method.

90. Conjugation by Tenses.

- 1. A portion of the tenses of the Greek verb appear in a two-fold form, distinguished in grammar by the numbers 1 and 2; but without any difference of signification. The twofold form of the *Perfect* is found only in the Active (§ 89. n. 2); that of the *Future* and *Aorist* in the Active, Passive, and Middle.
- 2. Besides these, the Passive has still a *Third Future* (Fut. 3), called also the *Paulopost-future*, which takes the reduplication of the Perfect; see § 99, 138.
- 3. We now distribute all these ordinary Greek tenses under the three forms, called, according to the division in the preceding section, the *Active*, *Passive*, and *Middle Forms*, or also *Voices*.

NOTE. In the following table are given only the augments and the endings of the first person Sing. Indic. as they are appended to the stem of the verb, whether pure or impure. The longer stroke or dash stands for the proper stem of the tense; the smaller one or hyphen at the beginning, for the first letter repeated in the augment. The rough breathing over the termination, signifies that the preceding consonant is to be aspirated.

	Active.	${\it Passive}.$	Middle.
Pres.	ω	— c	μai
Impf.	èον	<i>è</i> — <i>è</i>	μην
Perf. 1.	-ε— à or κα	-e	iai
Plupf, 1.	$\epsilon - \epsilon - \epsilon i \nu$ or $\kappa \epsilon i \nu$	-€-€	<i>υ</i> ην
Perf. 2.	-€—a		•
Plupf. 2.	ἐ-ε — ειν		
Fut. 1.	σω	- θήσομαι	— σоμаι
Aor. 1.	èσa	$\epsilon - \Im \eta \nu$	$\dot{\epsilon} - \sigma \dot{a} \mu \eta \nu$
Fut. 2.	— ŵ	— ήσομαι	— οῦμαι
Aor. 2.	€ — ον	$\dot{\epsilon}$ — $\eta \nu$	έ — όμην
Fut. 3.	wanting	-ε-σομαι	wanting

§ 91. Characteristic.

- 1. That letter which immediately precedes the chief vowel of the tense-ending, is called the *Characteristic*, the distinguishing letter, of that tense. Thus, according to the preceding table, σ is the characteristic of the Fut. 1, and of the Aor. 1, Act. and Middle.
- 2. Especially, that letter which stands at the end of the stem of the verb, after casting off all that belongs to flexion and ending, is called the *Characteristic of the Verb*. Thus, if the ω of the Pres. be dropped, the last letter, (whether consonant, vowel, diphthong, or double letter,) is the characteristic; e.g. in $\lambda \not\in \gamma$ - ω the γ , in $\phi \circ \nu \in \psi$ - ω the $\varepsilon \nu$, in $\mathring{a} \rho \chi \omega$ the χ , in $\mathring{e} \psi$ - ω the ψ , in $\mathring{a} \mathring{\nu} \xi$ - ω the ξ .

Note 1. It should here be noted, that in most cases where the ω of the Pres. is preceded by two consonants, the characteristic of the verb cannot be found in this way. Thus in $\tau \omega \pi \omega$, $\tau \omega \omega$, the learner must not suppose τ , σ , ν , to be the characteristic.

3. All that remains in the Present, after dropping the ω, is the Stem of the Verb; thus λέγ from λέγω, τιμα from τιμάω, αὐξ from αὕξω, ἀρχ from ἄρχω.

Note 2. That the stem in the Present is often impure, e. g. in verbs with two consonants before the ending, we shall immediately shew.—Further, the distinction pointed out between the etymological root of a word, and the stem or root of flexion (§ 39. n. 1), holds good also here in the verb; e. g. $\tau \iota \mu \acute{a}\omega$, root $\tau \iota \mu$, stem $\tau \iota \mu a$.

4. From the different characteristics are derived the different names of verbs. E. g.

a) Verba pura, pure Verbs, are those in which ω of the Pres. is preceded by a vowel or diphthong, i. e. which have a vowel or diphthong for their characteristic; as φανεύω, § 28. 1.— Among them, those which have a, ε, o, as their characteristic, admit of contraction in the Pres. and Impf. Act. and Pass. and are called Verbs contract, Verba contracta, as τιμάω. § 105.

- b) Verba liquida, liquid Verbs, or Verbs λμνρ, are those which have as their characteristic the letters λ, μ, ν, ρ; as μένω; see § 101.
- c) Verba muta, mute Verbs, are all those which have any other consonant, or a double consonant, as their characteristic; e. g. λέγω, τάσσω, αύξω.

Note 3. For barytone Verbs, so called, see § 103. 2.—Another classification of verbs see in § 100 a.

§ 92. Double Themes.

1. In Greek, as in other languages, the Present is assumed as the principal tense, from which grammarians set out in order to form the other tenses.

2. In many verbs, however, the stem of the Present differs more or less from that which appears in the other tenses. It must therefore be determined, which form of the stem is the

primitive one.

3. In a portion of these verbs, this difference consists solely in the interchange of the three short vowels, ε, α, ο. In such it is most natural to assume the vowel of the Present as the stem-vowel, and the changes in the other forms as alternation († 27. 1); e.g. in τρέφω, ἐτράφην, τέτροφα; or in Engl. beget, begat, begotten; Germ. sterben, starb, gestorben.

4. In many other verbs, the stem of the Present is longer and fuller; sometimes because of long vowels and diphthongs; sometimes because it has more or different consonants; e.g. λείπω ἔλἴπον, τήκω ἐτἄκην, βάλλω ἔβαλον, τύπτω ἐτύπην, τάσσω ἐτάγην. Sometimes the Present exhibits a still greater difference, and has even another syllable; e.g. Pres. λαμβάνω, where the stem is λαμβαν; while other tenses, as ἔλαβον, λήψομαι, contain only

the stem $\lambda a \beta$, $\lambda \eta \beta$.

- 5. Since now it is more natural and easy to assume the simpler form of the stem as the primitive one; and yet the uniformity of grammatical procedure would be interrupted, if the Present in such verbs were formed from other tenses; Grammarians have introduced the expedient of assuming, along with the usual Present, another old or obsolete form made by appending the ending ω to the simpler or primitive stem.* Such assumed Present-forms are then usually printed in a different type and without accent; e.g. for $\partial \lambda \partial \partial \nu$, $\partial \lambda \partial \nu$. See § 114. 2.
- 6. Every form of the Present, whether usual or not, which is thus made the foundation upon which the other parts of a verb



^{*} Such unusual Present-forms have actually been preserved in some verbs by the poets; e. g. βλάβω and βλάπτω, λίτομαι and λίσσομαι, δρύφω and δρύπτω, δρύχω and δρύσσω.

are built, is called a Theme, $\Im \epsilon \mu a$, i. e. something set or placed. A verb in which another Present must thus be assumed along with the usual one, is said to have a double or sometimes a threefold theme; e. g. $\tau \nu \pi \tau \omega$ TTHO. The characteristic of the simplest theme is called the simple characteristic, in distinction from other forms in which it is less easy to be recognized; e. g. $\tau \nu \pi \tau \omega$, $\nu \tau \tau \tau \omega$, simple char. $\tau \omega$. The stem or root of the simplest theme is called the simple stem; e. g. TTH from $\tau \nu \pi \tau \omega$.

7. This plurality of forms in one and the same verb, is strictly an anomaly; and hence the catalogue of anomalous verbs given below († 114) consists mostly of verbs of this soft. Still, many such Present-forms, especially where the characteristic appears only as strengthened, or the primitive vowel only as lengthened,

are reckoned as ordinary verbs.

8. Among these last are especially those mute verbs, *Verba muta* (§ 91.4), in which the simple characteristic is strengthened in the Present. They may be divided into three classes:

1) In verbs ending in $\pi\tau\omega$, the τ is an addition for strength, and the simple characteristic is one of the labials or *P*-sounds,

 \mathcal{B} , π , ϕ († 20); e.g.

κρύπτω τύπτω ράπτω ΚΡΥΒΩ ΤΥΠΩ ΡΑΦΩ.

2) Most verbs in $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$ have as the simple characteristic one of the palatals, γ , κ , χ ; e.g.

πράσσω φρίσσω βήσσω ΠΡΑΓΩ ΦΡΙΚΩ ΒΗΧΩ.

But some have also the linguals; see notes 2, 3, 4.

Most verbs with ζ in the Present have δ as the simple characteristic; e. g.

φράζω ΦΡΑΔΩ, δζω ΟΔΩ.

But several have γ ; e.g. $\kappa \rho \acute{a} \zeta \omega \ KPA\Gamma \Omega$.

9. To the above verbs may be added those, in which the strengthening in the Present consists almost wholly in the quantity, viz.

1) Verbs in which the simple characteristic is doubled in the Present; which in the common language occurs only with

λ; e.g. βάλλω έβαλον, στέλλω στελώ έστάλην.

2) Those in which the Present has a long vowel or diphthong instead of the short vowel of other tenses; e. g. φαίνω φανῶ πέφαγκα, τήκω τήξω ἔτακην, φεύγω φεύξω ἔφυγον, λείπω λείνω ἔλιπον.*

10. All these verbs retain the fuller form and the strongthened

^{*} It is a current expression in Grammar, that e. g. the verb left in Aor. 2 shortens et into t. But this is only for convenience: and is the same as if in nouns the Nom. were to be made the basis, although the primitive stem is often first known only from the other cases; e. g. ktels, kterds.

characteristic only in the Present and Imperfect of the Active and Passive; while all the other tenses come from the simpler theme. It is therefore strictly ungrammatical, when, for the sake of brevity, we say, that e.g. in $\tau \psi \psi \omega$, $\tau \upsilon \pi \epsilon i \varsigma$, etc. the τ of the Pres. τύπτω has been dropped; or, that before the σ in φράσω (Fut. of $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$) not the simple characteristic δ , but ζ , has fallen away. See the last marginal note.

11. Meantime, what letter in each case is the simple characteristic in the mute verbs mentioned above in no. 8, cannot be definitely specified, except in such as have the second form of tenses, i.e. those forms in which (according to § 93) the simple characteristic appears unchanged. As to other mute verbs, which have only the first form of tenses so called († 93), it is sufficient to know, whether the simple characteristic is a labial, lingual, or palatal, (a T, P, or K-sound,) as will be seen from the formation of the tenses, § 95 sq.

Note 1. In Verbs in mtw, the characteristic is always a labial or P-sound; see 8 above. But only the following among these form tenses with a simple characteristic:

a. βλάπτω, κρύπτω, with simple char. β. b. τύπτω, κόπτω, κλέπτω, with simple char. π.

c. βάπτω, ράπτω, θάπτω, σκάπτω, ρίπτω, θρύπτω, with simple char. φ.

Note 2. Verbs in σσω (ττω) have for their characteristic for the most part a palatal or lingual, e. g.

A) Of those with a palatal or K-sound only the following form the second

tenses with a simple characteristic:

α. ἀλλάσσω, μάσσω, πράσσω, τάσσω, φράσσω, σφάττω, πήσσω, πλήσσω, ὀρύσσω, with simple char. γ.

b. φρίσσω, with simple char. κ. See also anom. πτήσσω § 114.
c. In βήσσω (no. 8. 2 above) χ is given as the simple characteristic; but only by conjecture, because βήξ cough has Gen. βηχός.*
B) Others follow the analogy of verbs in ζω, and have a lingual or T-

sound for their simple characteristic, viz.

πλάσσω, πάσσω, πτίσσω, βλίττω, βράσσω, Ιμάσσω, ἐρέσσω, κορύσσω, λίσσομαι; thus Fut. πλάσω, etc.

Of all these the simple characteristic is strictly known only in \(\lambda\lambda\sigma\text{o}\sigma\) μαι, viz. τ; for in πλάσσω and κορύσσω we can only infer a 9 from forms like *invon*λάθος baker, potter, κόρυς G. κόρυθος helmet, and the single κεκορυθμένος in Homer.

Note 3. Of verbs in (w, the greater part and especially all derivatives, have for their characteristic a lingual; others, a palatal.

A) Of those with a lingual or T-sound, only a few form tenses with the simple characteristic, viz.

δζω, φράζω, χάζομαι, εζομαι, χέζω, with simple char. δ.

^{*} Such inferences nevertheless are not always certain; since the letter of the stem is often changed in such derivatives without apparent cause; e. g. Aor. Pass. $\epsilon_{\kappa\rho\nu}(\beta\eta\nu)$, Adv. $\kappa\rho\nu$ ϕ a, Adj. $\kappa\rho\nu$ ϕ 0. From these and like examples, we see that the leading letter was by no means in all cases so fixed, as not sometimes to vary between several kindred ones. Compare in English youngster, younger; cleave, cleft; rive, rift; speak, speech, etc.

t See the preceding marginal note.

- B) Several following the analogy of verbs in $\sigma\sigma\omega$, have a palatal or K sound, viz.
- a. All those which signify a cry, call; sound; as κράζω, στενάζω, τρίζω, οἰμώζω, ἀλαλάζω, etc.
- b. Some others, as στάζω, στίζω, στηρίζω, σφύζω, μαστίζω.*
- In three the characteristic is a double palatal, γγ, viz.
 πλάζω, κλάζω, σαλπίζω; thus Fut. πλάγξω, etc.

Of all these (a, b, c), in only a few does the simple characteristic

actually appear, viz.

τρίζω, κράζω, with γ; κλάζω, with γγ, e. g. τέτρῖγα, κέκρᾶγα, κέκλαγγα. In στάζω, μαστίζω, and others, the simple characteristic γ suggests itself only from the derived forms of nouns, as σταγών, μάστιξ, G. γος, etc. See the last marginal note but one.

Note 4. Some verbs in $\sigma\sigma\omega$ and $\zeta\omega$ fluctuate between a lingual and palatal as their characteristic, having sometimes one and sometimes the other; see the following in Anom. verbs, § 114:

άρπάζω, βαστάζω, νυστάζω, παίζω, νάσσω, ἀφύσσω.

Note 5. Some verbs have in the Present both ζ and ττ, but follow in the other tenses only one of these two modes of formation; so especially σφάττω or σφάζω slaughter, F. σφάξω, etc. iAlso άρμόζω or άρμόττω adapt, F. άρμόσω, etc.

Note 6. It cannot be too often repeated, that all which we have hitherto said, regards not etymological verity, but only grammatical analogy. When, for instance, on the one hand, it would be difficult to explain such formations as πράσσω πέπραγα, κλάζω κλάγξω, without assuming a more ancient theme; it would be absurd, on the other hand, to assume in respect to such derived verbs as ἀλλάσσω, χωρίζω, that an actual ancient form in γω, δω, had really existed; although in the former we find the Aor. 2 Pass. ἀλλαγῆναι, and in the latter the Ion. 3 Pl. Perf. Pass. κεχωρίδαται. It is manifest rather, that after an analogy had once become current in the language, in respect to certain verbs, it was again followed in the formation of certain other verbs. But it is here impossible to draw the proper limits; at least this would only serve to render the grammar more complex, without any corresponding advantage.

NOTE 7. The Doric dialect, in verbs which commonly have a lingual for their characteristic, assumes in some tenses a palatal. This is more fully exhibited in § 95. n. 2.

93. Formation of the Tenses.

1. All the tenses of the Greek verb fall naturally under three divisions in respect to their mutual relation to each other; the Perf. and Plupf. Pass. being reckoned as *first* tenses. The following three series may be readily retained in memory.

I. Present and Imperfect, Act. and Pass.

II. All first Tenses, with Fut. 3:

Fut. and Aor. 1, Act. and Mid.

Perf. and Pluperf. 1, Act. with Perf. and Pluperf. Pass. and Fut. 3.

Aor. and Fut. 1, Pass.

^{*} In many it was probably only the effort to avoid the recurrence of the σ (e. g. $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma \theta e l s$, $\beta a \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta e l s$), that carried them over to the other formation.

III. All second Tenses:

Fut. and Aor. 2, Act. and Mid.

Aor. and Fut. 2, Pass.

Perf. and Pluperf. 2.

2. The points in which the tenses in each of the above series are distinguished from one another, are mainly the following.

Ser. I. These tenses never change the actual and usual stem of the Pres. Active, whether strengthened or not; as τύπτω, ἔτυπτον, etc.

Sen. II. All these tenses are formed with the simple characteristic († 92. 10, 11); but for the most part changed according to the general rules, especially by the addition of a consonant in the ending; e.g. Fut. $\tau \dot{\psi} \psi$ for $\tau \dot{\psi} \pi - \sigma \omega$, etc.

Ser. III. These tenses always have the simple characteristic of the verb unchanged (§ 92.11); and only change sometimes the vowel of the stem or Present; as Aor. 2 ἔτυπον, ἔφῦγον from

φεύγω, ἐτράπην from τρέπω.

3. Every change which a verb undergoes, in either of the tenses which stand *first* in each of the above series, holds good also for the tenses which follow it; unless counteracted by particular rules and exceptions.

§ 94. Tenses derived from other Tenses.

- 1. The formation of the tenses, and their respective differences, are usually exhibited for each tense in one of its persons only; and for this purpose the *first person of the Indicative* is always adopted. Only the *Perf.* and *Plupf. Pass.* require special rules for the other persons and moods; see § 98.
- 2. Several tenses are formed from other tenses in a simple and uniform manner. Thus, in the ordinary conjugation in ω , are derived:
 - 1) From the Present in ω, the Imperfect in ον; e. g. τύπτω, ἔτυπτον.
 - 2) From every tense in ω a Passive form in ομαι, viz. from the Present, the Pres. Pass. as τύπτω, τύπτομαι; and from the Future, the Fut. Mid. as τύψω, τύψομαι. So also from the Fut. 2 or circumflexed Fut. in ω, the Fut. 2 Mid. in οῦμαι, as appears from § 95. 7.
 - From every tense in ον, a Passive form in όμην, viz. from the Imperf. the Imperf. Pass. as ἔτυπτον, ἐτυπτόμην; and from the Aor. 2, the Aor. 2 Mid. as ἔτυπον, ἐτυπόμην.
 - From the Act. Aor. 1, the Aor. 1 Mid. by appending the syllable μην, as ἔτυψα, ἐτυψάμην.
 - 5) From every Perfect the Pluperfect, viz. in the Active by changing a into ειν, as τέτυφα, ἐτετύφειν; and in the Passive by changing μαι into μην, as τέτυμμαι, ἐτετύμμην.
 - 6) From each of the two forms of the Aor. Pass. the Fut. Pass. by changing ην into ήσομαι; as ἐτύφθην and ἐτύπην, τυφθήσομαι, τυπήσομαι. All the other tenses require special rules.



§ 95. Future Active.

1. The primary form of the Greek Future is the ending $\sigma\omega$ (Mid. $\sigma\sigma\mu\omega$). This form is actually found in much the greater number of verbs, and is therefore called *Future* 1. E.g.

παύω Fut. παύσω, Fut. Mid. παύσομαι.

2. When the characteristic of the verb is a consonant, the changes which are usual with σ , take place here; e.g.

θλίβω, λείπω, γράφω — F. θλίψω, λείψω, γράψω λέγω, πλέκω, τεύχω — F. λέξω, πλέξω, τεύξω σπεύδω, πείθω, πέρθω — F. σπεύσω, πείσω, πέρσω.

Note 1. When the characteristic of the verb is a lingual preceded by ν , the vowel is lengthened before σ of the Fut. according to § 25. 4. The case occurs but seldom; most clearly in $\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eth\omega$ F. $\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}\acute{\iota}\sigma\omega$. See also the Anom. $\pi\acute{\alpha}\sigma\chi\omega$, $\chi\alpha\nu\eth\acute{\alpha}\nu\omega$, § 114.

3. In verbs with $\pi\tau$, with $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$, and with ζ the simple characteristic reappears († 92.8); that is, the Fut. is formed as above, but from the *simple* theme; e.g.

τύπτω $(TT\Pi\Omega)$ — τύψω, ράπτω $(PA\Phi\Omega)$ — ράψω τάσσω $(TA\Gamma\Omega)$ — τάξω, φράζω $(\Phi PA\Delta\Omega)$ — φράσω κράζω $(KPA\Gamma\Omega)$ — κράξω, πλάσσω $(\Pi\Lambda A\Theta\Omega)$ — πλάσω.

Hence, when the characteristic is

a labial, or P-sound, the Fut. has ψω a palatal, or K-sound, — ξω a lingual, or T-sound, — σω a vowel or diphthong, — σω.

4. When the characteristic of the verb is a *vowel* (Verba pura, † 91. 4), the syllable before the ending $\sigma\omega$ of the Fut. is regularly *long*, whatever its quantity may be in the Present;* e.g.

δακρύω (v) F. δακρύσω (v) τίω (i)† F. τίσω (i).

Hence, ε and o are changed into η and ω; e.g. φιλέω, δηλόω, F. φιλήσω, δηλώσω.

For the exceptions, see notes 3, 4.

5. The characteristic a is changed in the Future into η , except when it is preceded by ϵ , ι , or ρ ; in which case the Future has long a; \dagger e. g.

τιμάω, ἀπατάω — τιμήσω, ἀπατήσω βοάω, ἐγγυάω — βοήσω, ἐγγυήσω ἐάω, μειδιάω — ἐάσω, μειδιάσω (long a) δράω, φωράω — δράσω, φωράσω (long a).

The exceptions see in notes 6, 7.

 On the other hand, the penult of the Futures in άσω, ισω, ύσω, is always short, when they come from verbs with ζ or with

^{*} The probable cause of this see in note 15.

[†] The is here taken in its usual quantity, although Homer makes it also long. ‡ Compare the similar rules under Dec. I, (§ 34. 2,) and for the Fem. of the Adj. § 60. 2.

σσ, ττ ; e. g. in φρώσω, δικάσω, νομίσω, κλύσω, from φράζω, δικάζω, νομίζω, κλύζω ; and in πλάσω, πτίσω, from πλάσσω, πτίσσω.*

Note 2. The Borics assume ξ instead of σ in the Fut. and Aor. 1, not only in most verbs in ζ , e. g. $\kappa \omega \mu i \xi \omega$, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \xi \omega$, from $\kappa \omega \mu i \xi \omega$, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \xi \omega$; but also in such verbs as have a vowel before the ω of the Present; chiefly however where the vowel of the common Future is short; e. g. $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \xi \epsilon$ (see note 3). Along with this form they retain also the one in common use, and employ the two in verse alternately, according to the necessities of the metre.†

NOTE 3. Several verbs which have a short vowel as their characteristic, ctain it unchanged in the Future; so particularly

γελάω, χαλάω, θλάω, κλάω break, σπάω, Fut. γελάσω, etc.

αλέω, ἀρκέω, ἐμέω, καλέω, τελέω, ζέω, ξέω, τρέω, αἰδέομαι, ἀκέομαι, Fut. αλέσω, αἰδέσομαι, etc.

ἀρόω Fut. ἀρόσω

ἀνύω, ἀρύω, μεθύω, πτύω, Fut. ἀνύσω, etc.

also some poetical verbs, as κοτέω, νεικέω, ἐρύω, τανύω, μύω; and further several unfrequent and obsolete themes, from which the tenses of some anomalous verbs are derived, as κορέσω, κρεμάσω, ἐλάσω, ἐλκύσω, etc.—In the verbs in ύω which belong here, however, the quantity of the Present requires still to be more accurately determined; although they are in general to be assumed as short in that tense. All verbs which have ν long in the Fut. νσω, are in the Present either long or undetermined; see § 7. n. 10.

Note 4. Some verbs fluctuate between the two formations, (i. e. a long or short vowel in the Fut.) partly in the Fut. itself, partly in the tenses derived from the Future (§ 93. 1). It is therefore proper to exhibit them here together:

αlνέω (comm. ἐπαινέω) praise, F. αlνέσω (ἐπαινέσομαι), Aor. ἤνεσα, Perf. Act. ἤνεκα, Perf. Pass. ἤνη μ αι, Aor. 1 P. ἡνέθην. Epic αlνήσω, ἤνησα. ποθέω desire, F. ποθέσομαι § and -ήσω, Aor. ἐπόθεσα and -ησα, Perf. πεπόθηκα, Pass. -ημαι, Aor. 1 P. ἐποθέσθην

δέω bind, F. δήσω, Perf. δέδεκα, Pass. -εμαι, Aor. 1 P. έδέθην αίρεω take, F. αίρησω, Perf. Pass. ήρημαι, Aor. 1 P. ήρεθην.

See also the anomalous $\gamma a\mu \epsilon \omega$, $\pi o\nu \epsilon \omega$, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega$, $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho i\sigma \kappa \omega$, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi o\mu a \iota$, $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$, and PEQ under $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon l\nu$.—Besides these there are some dissyllables, $\delta \dot{\nu} \omega$, $\delta \dot{\nu} \omega$, $\delta \dot{\nu} \omega$, which shorten the ν in the Perf. and Aor. 1 Pass. the two last also in the Perf. Act. although they all have long ν in the Present, even among the Attics; thus

Perf. Pass. λέλυμαι, Aor. 1 Pass. ελύθην, εδύθην, ετύθην, with short υ. Perf. Act. λέλυκα, τέθυκα; but δέδυκα.||

Note 5. All verbs which retain in the Future the short vowel of the

‡ The verbs artie complete, and apie draw water, have in the Present an Attic secondary form, artire, apire.

For this Fut. see Heindorf ad Plat. Phæd. p. 98. a.

^{*} That is to say, the vowel in all these verbs is already in itself short, and in the Future it does not become long. Were it long in itself, it might just as well remain long, as in χρήζω χρήσω. Whether such verbs as κνώσσω, λεύσσω, νῖσσομαι or νείσσομαι, really have a Future κνώσω, λεύσω, νῖσομαι, νείσομαι, as is commonly assumed, cannot with certainty be determined. See the Ausführl. Sprachl. I. p. 375.

[†] The instances are more rare, (1) where this form occurs with a long vowel, e. g. νικάξη; and (2) where it likewise passes over into other tenses; e. g. ελνηί-χθην for -ίσθην from λυγίζω in Theocritus. It is indeed evident, that this Doric form was merely a partial usage, which, on account of some seeming analogy, had been introduced by degrees from verbs where the palatal is radical, into other verbs. We have an entirely similar and undeniable case in the Dor. α in note 8.

^{||} Compare also some verbal nouns from dissyllables in le and le, as φύσις, τίσις, ἄτῖτος, δὖτής; see § 119. m. 17, 23, 30.

Present, can double the σ as a compensation in all poetry except Attic, both in the Future and Aorist; e. g. τελέσσω, ἐκόμισσε, δικάσσω, ἐγέλασσε, καλεσσάμενος, ἀνύσσας.*

Note 6. The verb ἀκροάομαι hear, has F. ἀκροάσομαι (on account of the ρ), contrary to the analogy of βοάω, ἀλοάω F. ήσω; comp. ἀθρόος, fem. ἀθρόος, δ 60. n. 1. On the other hand χράω, χράομαι, has χρήσω etc. contrary to the analogy of δράω, άσω,

Note 7. The Ionics, in such verbs as commonly form their tenses with long a, have η instead of a; e.g. $\vartheta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$, $\pi \epsilon \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$. On the other hand, the Dorics, instead of η in verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$, have always long a; as $\tau \iota \dot{\mu} \dot{a} \sigma \omega$, $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \dot{o} \bar{a} \sigma a$. This follows indeed from the general principles in ϑ 27. n. 5, 7.—The verb $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \omega$ has in all the dialects $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \sigma \omega$.

Note 8. The Dorics, in many instances, likewise carried their long a into the flexion of verbs in $\epsilon\omega$; e. g. ϕ iλάσω, δάσας, ἐπονάθη, from ϕ iλέω, δέω, πονέω.

NOTE 9. The following six verbs, which all express a flowing or a stream-like motion in a fluid, viz.

πλέω sail, πνέω blow, νέω swim Séω run, (ρέω flow, χέω pour)

take ευ in the Future, or at least in forms which come from the Future, e. g. πλεύσομαι, ἔπνευσα, χεῦμα, ctc.—The two following, viz.

καίω burn, κλαίω weep,
whose original forms, κάω, κλάω, with long a, became peculiar to the Attics,
assume in the Fut. αυ; as καύσω, ἔκλαυσα, etc. See Anom. Verbs. § 114.

Note 10. That many verbs in ω without another vowel preceding, also make the Fut. in $\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$, will be shewn in § 112. 6.

7. Futures of three or more syllables, which have before the ending $\sigma\omega$ a short vowel, viz. \check{a} , ϵ , $\check{\iota}$, are capable of taking a secondary form, called the

Attic Future,

because used more particularly by the Attics. The difference of form consists in this, that the σ falls away in the Ionic manner (§ 28. n. 4), and then the ending is if possible contracted, and receives the circumflex. This takes place in two ways.

8. In Futures in $\acute{a}\sigma\omega$ and $\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$, after the σ is dropped, the vowels $\acute{a}\omega$ and $\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ are contracted according to the general rules; so that there arises for this Future the same flexion, which we shall see below in the Present of contract verbs in $\acute{a}\omega$ and $\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ (§ 105). It is to be noted, that the Ionics leave here the form $\acute{\epsilon}\omega$, $\acute{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, etc. uncontracted. E. g.

βιβάζω F. βιβάσω (βιβάω, βιβάεις, etc. not used), Γ βιβώ, ậς, ậ, D. —, ᾶτον, ᾶτον, Ρl. ὧμεν, ᾶτε, ὧσι(ν).

τελέω F. τελέσω, Ion. again τελέω, τελέεις, etc. Fut. Att. τελώ, εις, ει, D. —, είτον, είτον, Pl. οῦμεν, είτε, οῦσι(ν).

With these forms coincides also the Fut. Middle, ω̂μαι, α̂, etc. or οῦμαι, ε̂, etc. Compare every where the Fresent of contract verbs in the Act. and Passive; see § 105 and notes.



9. In Futures in ω , where after dropping the σ the vowels ω cannot be contracted, the ω takes the circumflex by itself, and is then inflected as if contracted from ω ; e.g.

κομίζω F. κομίσω, Fut. Att. κωμιῶ, ιεῖς, ιεῖ, D. —, ιεῖτον, ιεῖτον, Pl. ιοῦμεν, ιεῖτε, ιοῦσι(ν), Mid. κομιοῦμαι, ιεῖ, ιεῖται, D. ιούμεθον, ιεῖσθον, ιεῖσθον, Pl. ιούμεθα, ιεῖσθε, ιοῦνται.

Note 11. Examples of the Future in $\epsilon\omega$, in this uncontracted shape, are $\tau\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota$ II. 9. 415; κορέεις, II. ν. 831, for κορέσεις, see Anom. κορέννυμι § 114. But Future-forms in $\hat{\omega}$, $\hat{\alpha}$ s, are as seldom resolved by the Ionics, as the corresponding forms of the Present in contract verbs (Hdot. δικῆν, ελῆς, ελῶν); while in the epic writers they are only capable of being resolved, or rather prolonged into the double sound (Hom. κρεμόω, ελάα, περάαν), like the Present of contract verbs; see § 28. n. 3. § 105. n. 10.

Note 12. On the whole, the examples which belong here, both in the contracted and uncontracted form, are not very frequent. The least frequent are those in which the usual Present likewise ends in $\epsilon\omega$ and $\delta\omega$; as $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega$, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \omega$, Fut. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ Hom. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$ Plat. Protag. p. 311. b; $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \omega$, $\kappa a \lambda \delta \omega$, Fut. $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Demosth. Leptin. 5, $\kappa a \lambda \delta \iota \nu \tau a S \epsilon a S \epsilon$. Hell. 6. 3. 2, for $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \delta \sigma \nu \tau a S \epsilon$. See also the Anom. $\chi \epsilon \omega$, § 114. But most of the instances are such that no confusion can take place, viz. either the Fut. in $\sigma \omega$ comes from a Present in $\delta \omega$ (e. g. $\delta \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ for $\delta \iota \kappa \delta \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu$ from $\delta \iota \kappa \delta \omega$, $\delta \iota \beta \delta$ Plat. Phædr. 7, for $\delta \iota \beta \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$.) or the simple Present in $\epsilon \omega$ and $\epsilon \omega$ is not in use, e. g. $\epsilon \iota \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \nu$ for $\delta \iota \kappa \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota$, see Anom. $\delta \iota \delta \iota \nu \nu \iota \delta \iota$ 108. III); so also $\kappa \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \nu \iota$ 114.—Here belongs likewise the Future of some verbs in $\epsilon \iota \omega$, which is like the Present; see the Anom. $\epsilon \iota \delta \iota \omega$, $\epsilon \iota \delta \iota \nu \iota$ 114.

Note 13. Very rare and doubtful is the case, where a long vowel in the Future, e.g. the ω in the Fut. ώσω, is shortened and so admits of this contraction; e.g. ἐρημοῦτε for ἐρημώσετε, οἰκειοῦντας for οἰκειώσοντας Thuc. 3.58. ib. 6.23. Here ἐρημοῦτε can be the Present put for the Future; and instead of οἰκειοῦντας Bekker reads οἰκιοῦντας from οἰκίζω. See on these and some other doubtful similar cases, Ausf. Sprachl. § 95. n. 16 and the marginal note.

Note 14. In verbs in $i\zeta_{\omega}$ the form of the Fut. in $i\tilde{\omega}$ is actually more in use than the regular one in $i\sigma_{\omega}$. It occurs also among the Ionics, and that without being resolved, e. g. $i\gamma\lambda ai\epsilon i\sigma \theta ai$, $\Im \epsilon \sigma m\epsilon i\nu$, $\nu o\mu u o i\mu e\nu$, etc. in Herodous and Hippocrates. Once we find the uncontracted form $\Im \epsilon \sigma m\epsilon \epsilon i\nu$ Hot. 8. 135. The form $\kappa o\mu i\epsilon ai$ 7. 49, is to be explained by the elision of ϵ and the drawing back of the accent.

10. The Future which in grammar is called the Second Future,

after shortening the syllable of the verbal root or stem, appends to the simple characteristic of the verb the Ionic ending $\epsilon \omega$, and contracts this in the common language into $\hat{\omega}$. The flexion then proceeds in the Active and Middle according to the general rules of contraction; e.g. $\beta \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, simple stem $\beta a \lambda$, Fut. 2 $\beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$; see n. 16.

11. This Future is found in the common language only in verbs with the characteristics λ , μ , ν , ρ ; in which verbs, on the other hand, the Fut. in $\sigma\omega$ never regularly occurs; § 101. 2.—The case is different with the *Future 2 Passive*; for since this is derived from the Aor. 2. Pass. (§ 89. 3,) it is actually found in all verbs where the latter occurs.

Note 15. In order to bring into one view all that has been said above. we may make the following supposition, as presenting the nearest analogy. We place as basis the ending $\sigma\omega$, Fut. 1. This was appended to the stem partly with and partly without the union-vowel c. The shorter form remained the most common one. The form έσω could also be shortened into εω, ω, the Fut. 2; and this form remained common, with a few exceptions (see the next note), only in verbs whose characteristic is $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$. ther, when in pure verbs the vowel of the stem came immediately before the ending έσω, the two vowels, the stem-vowel and union-vowel, flowed together, and thus produced the long vowel of the Fut. as φιλήσω, τίσω, Text 4, 5. But when the stem-vowel came before the ending σω, as τελέσω, νομί-σω, these forms sometimes remained unchanged; and sometimes the same tendency which produced the Fut. 2, produced here also the different forms of the Attic Future.*

Note 16. In some few instances, the form of the Fut. 2 has been preserved in verbs not having the characteristics $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$; just as in verbs with $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, there are some exceptions where the Fut. 1 in $\sigma \omega$ is found. These instances are the following, all of them in the Middle form:

μαχούμαι, along with which the fuller form μαχέσομαι has been pre served; see the Anom. μάχομαι, § 114.‡

έδουμαι, καθεδούμαι, see Anom. έζομαι, § 114.

πιούμαι, a form censured by the ancient critics, instead of the still more anomalous $\pi i o \mu a \iota$ (see note 18) from $\Pi I \Omega$; see the Anom. $\pi i \nu \omega$, § 114.

So a few poetical examples: τεκείσθαι Hom. Hymn. Ven. 127, from ΤΕΚΩ, Anom. τίκτω; and $\mu a \hat{\theta} \in \hat{v} \mu a \iota$ (Dor. for -ο $\hat{v} \mu a \iota$) Theoer. 2. 60, from MHΘΩ, Anom. $\mu a \nu \theta \hat{a} \nu \omega$.—On the epic forms $\kappa \epsilon \iota \omega$, $\delta \dot{\eta} \omega$, as belonging here, see marginal note on δήω under anom. ΔΑ-, δαίω, § 114.

Note 17. The Dorics, in all circumflexed Futures, as generally in contractions, have ev instead of ov; and this contraction is common to them and the Ionics (§ 28. n. 5), when the latter contract; e. g. βάλλω, Fut. βαλώ, Plur. βαλέσμεν, βαλεύμεν, comp. § 105. n. 13.—The Dorics however circumflex also the common Future I in σω, and then decline it as if contracted from έω; e. g. τυψώ, τυψεθμεν (for -οθμεν), τυψεθτε, τυψεθμαι (for -ουμαι), etc. This form, under the grammatical name of the

Doric Future.

is found more or less in use in some words in Attic and other writers; but only in the form of the Fut. Middle (comp. § 113. 5), and with the Attic

* The same occurs in the Dat. Plur. of Dec. III, which in the early epic language ended in $\epsilon \sigma \iota$; in the common, in $\sigma \iota$. Hence also it arose, when in the Gen. of those words which have a diphthong in the Nominative there was a lingual next before the case-ending, and the same fell away in the Dat. Plur. after ϵ before σ had been dropped, that the preceding vowel did not again (as in 800's and the like) pass over into the diphthong of the Nominative; e. g. ποῦς, πόδεσι, ποδοί, ποσί. But βους, βόεσι, contr. βουσί, and γραυς, γράεσι, γραυσίν.

† Precisely as in other verbs the Aorists έχεα, εἶπα, etc. which correspond to the Aorists in $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, as $\xi \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \alpha$, $\xi \phi \eta \nu a$. It is very probable, that as this form of the Aorist was actually more common in the Alexandrine dialect (see marg. note to § 96. n. 1), so likewise Futures of the above kind may have been common in certain dialects, without ever being adopted into the more cultivated ones. Hence

the ancient method of placing a Fut. 2 τυπω in the paradigm.

† We might indeed consider these two Futures as the regular and the Attic form from the Present μαχέομαι, which is actually used by the lonics; but it is more in accordance with analogy to assume, that this Ionic Present was first occasioned by the above Future forms, which are so seemingly derived from it. That the case is the same with καλέω is shewn below in § 110. 11. 2; but since this is the only torm of the Present in use, it is necessary in grammar to make the Fut. καλέσω from it.

diphthong of contraction ou; e. g. φεύγω, Fut. comm. φευξούμαι. Sec also the anom. παίζω, χέζω, κλαίω, πλέω, θέω, νέω, πίπτω, πνέω, § 114.

Note 18. An entirely irregular form of the Future occurs in these two: πίομαι I will drink, εδομαι I will eat. The form is precisely that of the Pres. Pass. of the simple themes to which they belong. See the Anom πίνω and ἐσθίω, § 114.

§ 96. First and Second Agrist Active.

1. The form of the Aorist in a is called the Aorist 1. cases where the Future regularly ends in $\sigma\omega$, the Aor. 1 is formed in $-\sigma a$, Mid. $-\sigma \dot{a}\mu \eta \nu$; and the same changes of the σ take place here, as in the Fut. in $\sigma\omega$; e.g.

> τύπτω τύνω τάσσω, τάξω — *ёта*Ёа κομίζω, κομίσω — ἐκόμισα, inf. κομίσαι φιλέω, φιλήσω — ἐφίλησα, inf. φιλήσαι πνέω, πνεύσω — ἔπνευσα, \ 95. n. 9.

For the Aor. 1 in -a, from verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, see 101.

Note 1. A few anomalous verbs form the Aor. 1 in a instead of oa, without being themselves verbs λμνρ; c. g. χέω, ἔχεα. See also the Anom. καίω, elπείν, σεύω, αλέομαι, δατέομαι, and ήνεγκα under φέρω.*—For the Aor. 1 in κα of some verbs in μι, e. g. ἔδωκα, see under those verbs, ∮ 106. 11.

- 2. The form of the Aorist in ν is called the Aorist 2. ordinary conjugation its full termination is ον, Mid. όμην; which is appended immediately to the characteristic of the verb, with the following conditions:
 - 1) The Aor. 2 is always formed from the simple theme, and retains the simple characteristic of the verb when the Present has a fuller form, § 92.
 - 2) It commonly shortens the penult syllable of the Present.
 - 3) It sometimes changes ϵ in the stem-syllable into a.
- 3. By means of these changes alone, is the Aor. 2 distinguished in its form from the Imperfect; and verbs in which none of these differences can have place (e. g. ἀρύω, γράφω, etc.) or where the only difference would be in the quantity of the vowel (as in κλίνω), form no Aor. 2 Active or Middle.t
- 4. This tense is never found in all those derivative verbs, which are formed from other verbs by means of particular endings, like άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω, εύω, όω, άω, έω. § 104.
- 5. Of other verbs, the most have the Aor. 1; and a far smaller number have the Aor. 2. But this latter is often assumed in

^{*} The Alexandrine dialect (§ 1. n. 8), from several verbs which in the common language had only the Aor. 2 in ov, formed also such an Aorist in a; e. g. elba for elbon; ξλιπαν 3 Pl. for έλιπον; ήλθατε, etc. See the marg. note under n. 9. Here also belongs the remark, that in writers not Attic, some forms of the Aor. 2 Mid. fluctuate between e and a; e.g. εδραντο for εδροντο; είλάμην, see αίρέω; δσφραντο Hdot. etc.
They can however readily form an Aor. 2 Passive, e. g. δγράφην. see § 100.

grammar, in verbs which do not actually have the Aor. 2 Active, but yet form the Aor. 2 Passive in $\eta\nu$ (instead of $o\nu$); since it is easier to exhibit this formation once for all under the Aor. 2 Act. and then derive from it the Aor. 2 Passive.*

The following table exhibits the changes, which take place in order to form the Aor. 2, according to no. 2 above.

For the forms marked with an asterisk (*), see the marg. note.

Note 2. The Aor. 2 stands in the same relation to the simple theme, as to form, that the Imperfect does to the usual Present. It is distinguished, however, from the Imperfect, partly by the Aorist signification (for which see in the Syntax, § 137), and partly by the circumstance that it has moods and participles of its own, formed after the manner of those of the Present. Hence, in the Indicative, that only can be a real Aorist which differs as to form from the usual Imperfect; and in the other moods, only that, which in like manner differs from the Present. Thus e. g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\rho\alpha\phi\rho\nu$ can be only Imperfect, and $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\eta s$ only Present Subjunctive, etc.

Note 3. From this otherwise universal rule, a few Imperfects seem, at first view, to form an exception, and to be at the same time Aorists. But closer observation shews, that all these, at least so far as usage is concerned, are mere Aorists; so $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta\nu$ (see § 109 $\phi\eta\mu$ i), and $\epsilon\pi\rho\iota\dot{\mu}\eta\nu$, $\dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\rho}\mu\eta\nu$ (from $\bar{\epsilon}\rho\rho\mu\mu$ i), for which see the Anom. Verbs. In the Homeric usage, there belongs here especially $\kappa\lambda\dot{\iota}\omega$ hear, whose Present is in use, but from which the form $\bar{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\nu\nu$ has always the Aorist signification.

Note 4. In other verbs too Homer often uses, for the sake of the metre, the Imperfect as Aorist; but it would be incorrect to reckon among such instances ἔδικον, ἔτετμον, ἔχραισμον, and some others, whose Present never occurs, and which are therefore never used but as Aorists.†

^{*} Thus in regard to the Aorists used as examples in Text 6, the learner must bear in mind, that the forms ἐτυκον, ἔκρυβον, ἔρὰμον, ἔταγον, never occur at all, or at least only in single passages, which are for that very reason suspected of being corrupted; but instead of them, ἔτωψα, ἔταξα, etc. They stand here only on account of the Aor. 2 Passive, ἔτόπην, ἐκρύβην, etc. which are actually in use. § 100.

count of the Aor. 2 Passive, ετύπην, ἐκρύβην, etc. which are actually in use. § 100.
† The separation of the Aor. 2 from the Imperfect, may perhaps be historically illustrated somewhat in this manner. Originally the Greek language probably distinguished the signification of the Aorist from that of the Imperfect, just as little

Note 5. To the same class must be referred the Aorist of several verbs in έω and έω. These endings, in some verbs, are merely a prolongation of the simple form (§ 112. 6, 7). Hence, just as in some of these verbs other tenses from this simple form have been preserved (comp. the Perf. 2, § 97. n. 4, and the Aor. 1 in the Anom. γαμέω); so also in others the Aor. 2 is still found; e. g. κτυπέω ἔκτυπον, γοάω ἔγοον, from ΚΤΥΠΩ, ΓΟΩ. See also the Anom. πιτρέω, στυγέω, τορέω, μηκάομαι, μυκάομαι, § 114; and generally § 112. 6, 7.

Note 6. The shortening of the penult syllable $(\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda a \theta o \nu, \phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega \tilde{\epsilon} \phi \nu \gamma o \nu)$ can also be properly regarded as a return to the ancient form of the verb. And even the change of ϵ into a can be regarded in the same manner; since among the Ionics we find a in the Present of some of these words, as $\tau \rho \dot{a} \pi \omega$, $\tau \dot{a} \mu \nu \omega$. But it is just as probable, that in one portion of such verbs, the original short root was lengthened and strengthened in the Present; while in another portion the original long root was shortened in the Aorist and other forms.*

Note 7. In some verbs nevertheless the Aor. 2 has the syllable before the ending long, and rests satisfied with the difference of the simpler form, or with the change of ϵ into a; e. g. $\epsilon \tilde{v} \rho o v$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o v$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \pi a \rho \delta o v$; see the Anom. $\epsilon i \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$, $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{a} \nu \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \omega$, etc.—In a few poetical forms, the long wowel by position is made short by transposition; e. g. $\delta \epsilon \rho \kappa \omega \tilde{\epsilon} \delta \rho a \kappa o v$; see also the Anom. $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega$, $\delta a \rho \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega$, $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \omega$, $\delta \mu a \rho \tau \dot{a} \nu \omega$. Or also a letter is dropped; see the Anom. $\mu \dot{a} \rho \pi \tau \omega$.

Note. 8. For the Aor. 2 in $\eta\nu$, $\omega\nu$, $\upsilon\nu$, and for the syncopated Aorists, see the Verbs in μ , and § 110.—For some anomalous verbs, whose Aor. 2 has a neuter sense, while their Aor. 1 has a transitive meaning, see § 113. n. 3.

Note 9. We have seen above in note 1, that some verbs form their Aor. 1 with the characteristic of the Aor. 2. In like manner, the reverse of this sometimes occurs, viz. the Aorist in ov is formed with σ ; of which a plain example is the common Aorist of $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$, formed from HETQ, viz. $\xi \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$, $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \tilde{\nu}$. To this may be added the epic $\xi \rho \nu$, $\xi \beta \gamma \sigma \epsilon \tau \sigma$, see Anom. kniequa, $\beta a i \nu \omega$; and further some imperatives, e. g. of $\sigma \epsilon$ compared with the Fut. of $\sigma \omega$, see the Anom. $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$; and the epic $\delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ from

as the English does; and both species of the historical Preterite, in a and ν, (ξτυψα and ξτυπον οι ξτυπτον,) were formed probably in like manner without difference of signification; just as with us in some verbs there is a double form of the Imperfect. one in ed and the other irregular; e. g. awake, Impf. awaked and awoke; dig. Impf. digged and dug; hang, Impf. hanged and hung, etc. So, in the earlier Greek writers, the signification of the Aorist and Imperfect was not yet entirely separated (§ 137. n. 4). When however the signification of the Aorist began perceptibly to distinguish itself from that of the Imperfect, the latter attached itself by degrees exclusively to the form in ν, while the Aorist on the other hand did not attach itself exclusively to the form in α. When, namely, again a double form was developed from the preterite in ον, (e. g. ξλειπον, ξλιπον; ξλαβον, ξλαβανον,) it was natural that in like manner the aorist signification should attach itself to one of these two forms, and that the shortest. Moods and Participles thence arose by degrees, and of a peculiar kind under the form in α; while under the form in ν they followed the analogy of the Present, with a few deviations of accent.

analogy of the Present, with a few deviations of accent.

* It is an incontestable fact, that the greater part, if not all the analogies in a language, are produced by the operation of such reciprocal causes. It was natural, that in consequence of the more frequent use of the narrative form (the Preterite), the exhibiting or descriptive form (the Present) should be made conspicuous by an emphasis laid upon its ohief or radical syllable: but it was also natural, that for the sake of contrast with the Present, an emphasis or the accent should in like mannes be laid upon the distinguishing syllables of the Preterite, and thus the radical syllable of the word be obscured in pronunciation; not to mention, that in the anima-

tion of narrative, words are naturally uttered with greater rapidity.



άγω, λέξεο, ὅρσεο, (see Anom. λέγω, ὅρνυμι,) compared with the Imperatives βήσεο, δύσεο, from the Indicatives just mentioned.*

§ 97. First and Second Perfect Active.

- 1. The Perfect Active has, in both its forms (1 and 2), the same personal endings, viz. a, as, $\epsilon \nu$ or ϵ , etc. but it distinguishes the two forms by means of the characteristic. The Perf. 1 has a characteristic of its own; the Perf. 2 has always the simple characteristic of the verb.
- 2. The Perfect 1 has several variations in respect to its characteristic, viz.
 - a) When the characteristic of the verb is β , π , ϕ , or γ , κ , χ , this characteristic becomes (or remains) aspirated in the Perfect, and then a is appended; e.g.

τριβω, λέπω, γράφω — τέτριφα, λέλεφα, γέγραφα λέγω, πλέκω, τεύχω — λέλεχα, πέπλεχα, τέτευχα.

If this characteristic of the verb has been changed in the Present (§ 92. 8), then the simple characteristic is aspirated:

τύπτω, Γ. τύψω, Ρ. 1 τέτυφα; τάσσω, Γ. τάξω, Ρ. 1 τέταχα παίζω, Ε. παιξούμαι, (Ρ. 1 πέπαιχα);† or generally: When the Fut. has $\psi \omega$, the Perf. 1 has $\phi \alpha$.

ξω, b) If the characteristic of the verb be a lingual or a vowel, then the Perf. 1 has ka; and the same changes of the characteristic and of the vowel‡ take place as in the Fut. before $\sigma\omega$. Hence when the Fut. has the ending $\sigma\omega$ pure, it is only necessary to change it in the Perf. 1 into ka; e.g.

> (πείσω) πείθω - πέπεικα κομίζω (κομίσω) — κεκόμικα $(\tau l\sigma \omega, long \iota)$ τίω — тє́тіка (φιλήσω) — πεφίληκα φιλέω τιμάω (τιμήσω) — τετίμηκα **ἐρυθ**ριάω (ἐρυθριάσω, long a) — ἢρυθρίακα — ἔσπăκa σπάω $(\sigma\pi\acute{a}\sigma\omega, \text{ short } a)$ πνέω (πνεύσω) – πέπνευκα.

Verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$ have also the Perf. 1 in κa ; see § 101.

3. The Perfect 2, or the Perfect Middle as it was formerly

I The few instances in which the Perf. retains or assumes a short vowel when the Fut. has a long one, see in § 95. n. 4.

^{*} It was formerly customary to regard all these as forms derived from the Fu-ture, contrary to the analogy of the language. The above is sufficient to show, that just as the language could form both Aorists in or and a without o, as elver and elwa, eldor and elda (see note 1 with the marg. note); so also it could form them both with σ in σον and σα, as έπεσα (see πίπτω) and έπεσον, εδυσάμην and έδυσόμην. The general usage became fixed in σα and ον; but remnants were also preserved of the formation in α and σον. See the Ausf. Sprachl. § 96. n. 10. † Verbs in ζω, with the char. γ, do not usually form the Perf. 1. But from παίζω we find διαπεπαιχών, Plut. Mor. p. 70. See more in note 6.

called (§ 89. n. 2), appends the same personal endings to the characteristic of the verb without any change; e.g.

λήθω λέληθα· σήπω σέσηπα· φεύγω πέφευγα.

4. Here however three things are to be observed, viz.

a) When the characteristic of the Present is not simple (§ 92. 6), the *simple* characteristic reappears in the Perf. 2, precisely as in the Aor. 2; e.g.

πλήσσω $(\Pi \Lambda H \Pi \Omega) - \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a$ φρίσσω $(\Phi P I K \Omega) - \pi \epsilon \phi \rho i \kappa a$ δζω $(O \Delta \Omega) - \delta \delta \omega \delta a$.

b) In general this form prefers a *long* vowel in the stem-syllable, even when the other tenses derived from the simple theme have a short vowel. Hence the lengthened sound of the Present appears again in the above examples:

φεύγω Α. 2 έφυγον — πέφευγα λήθω Α. 2 έλαθον — λέληθα σήπω Α. 2 Pass. ἐσάπην — σέσηπα.

Further, the short a of the stem is changed to η in this Perfect, when the strengthening of the Present consists either in the diphthong $a\iota$, or in a position; e.g.

δαίω Α. 2 ἔδαον — δέδηα* Θάλλω Fut. Θαλῶ — τέθηλα.

After ρ and after vowels, the Perf. 2 takes a and not η; e.g. κράζω, ἔκρἄγον— κέκρᾶγα ἔᾶγα, ἔᾶδα, in Anom. ἄγνυμι, ἀνδάνω, § 114.

c) This Perfect prefers especially the vowel o; and therefore this vowel not only remains unprolonged, as in κόπτω κέκοπα Hom. but is also assumed as the alternate vowel (Umlaut) to ε; § 27. 1. E. g.

φέρ $\beta\omega$ — πέφορ βa · $TEK\Omega$ — τέτοκα, see Anom. τίκτω $\uparrow 114$.

This change of ϵ into o has a twofold operation upon the diphthong $\epsilon \iota$ in the Present, according as ϵ or ι is the radical sound; and the same is likewise to be recognized in those tenses which shorten their vowel. Where ϵ is the radical sound, (which however is the case only in the verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$,) the $\epsilon \iota$ is changed into o; when ι is the radical sound, the $\epsilon \iota$ passes over into $o\iota$; e. g.

σπείρω (F. σπερῶ) — ἔσπορα λείπω (A. 2 ἔλιπον) — λέλοιπα.

So too πειθω πέποιθα; comp. the anom. είκω, οίδα, § 114.

5. Finally, by far the greater number of verbs, and especially all derivatives, have only the Perf. 1. The Perf. 2 therefore, like

^{*} The mode of writing $\delta \ell \delta \eta a$, and also $\pi \ell \phi \eta \nu a$, $\sigma \ell \sigma \eta \rho a$, etc. is incorrect; as also in the corresponding case of the Aor. 1 from $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$. The Perf. 2 always has the simple or shortened stem of the verb (here ΔA , ΔAN , etc.) as its basis, whose short vowel however it again lengthens; as \tilde{a} into η .

the Aor. 2 (§ 96.4), never occurs except from *primitives*. It is also to be noted, that the Perf. 2 generally prefers the *intransitive* signification; see note 5.

Note 1. Some Perfects 1 have in like manner the alternate o. Such are $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ send, $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega \mu \alpha$; $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega$ steal, $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \omega \alpha$; $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$ turn, $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \alpha$; further $\tau \rho \epsilon \omega$ nourish, $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \alpha$, and $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \omega$ turn, $\epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \omega$, which can also be regarded as Perf. 2; see also the Anom. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, $\sigma \nu \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \alpha$, § 114. Here too belongs the change of $\epsilon \iota$ into $\epsilon \iota$ in $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ from $\Delta EI\Omega$; see the Anom. $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \alpha$, § 114.

Note 2. To the change of ϵ into o corresponds that of η into ω in the Perfect of the Anom. $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\gamma\nu\nu\mu$ (PHP Ω) $\tilde{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\omega\gamma a$. And kindred to both these changes, is the insertion of ω and o in some Perfects, which of themselves would be dissyllables; where too the o is placed after the Attic reduplication. E. g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\omega - (\epsilon\tilde{i}\theta a)$ $\epsilon\tilde{i}\omega\theta a$. $\tilde{a}\gamma\omega - \tilde{\eta}\chi a$, $\tilde{a}\gamma\dot{\eta}o\chi a$. See also in the eatalogue of Anom. Verbs $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\eta}\delta\sigma\kappa a$ under $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\omega}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\eta}\nu\sigma\chi a$ under $\dot{\phi}\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$, $\dot{d}\nu\dot{\eta}\nu\sigma$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\eta}\nu\sigma\theta a$ by themselves; and the Passive forms $\tilde{a}\omega\rho\tau\sigma$ under $a\tilde{a}\rho\omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau a\iota$ in a marginal note to $\tilde{i}\eta\mu$, δ 108. I. See genr. Lexil. I. 63.

Note 3. It has already been remarked (§ 85. 2), that after the Attic reduplication the vowel is shortened; e. g. ἀκούω ἀκήκοα, ἀλείφω ἀλήλἴφα, ΕΛΕΥΘΩ ἐλήλῦθα.—For the sake of the metre, the epic poetry could also shorten the η of this Perfect into \check{a} in the Fem. of the participles; e. g. σεσαρυῖα, τεθαλυῖα, ἀραρυῖα.

Note 4. In the few examples of the Perf. 2 from verbs $\epsilon \omega$ and $\delta \omega$, as δίγ $\epsilon \omega$ $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ρργείω $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ρργείω (Aor. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ μργείων) μ ϵ μργεία, the case is the same as with the Aor. 2 in § 96. n. 5. They come from simple forms PIΓΩ, MYKΩ, § 112. 6. See also the Anom. $\gamma \eta \theta \epsilon \omega$, δουπ $\epsilon \omega$, μηκάομαι, § 114.

Note 5. That the examples of the Perf. 2, even including those which occur only in the poets, amount in all to a very limited number, is to be presumed from Text 5. Of those which belong to transitive verbs, we name here particularly: $\delta\kappa\dot{\eta}\kappaoa$, $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\lambdaot\pi a$, $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\tauo\kappa a$, $\xi\kappa\tauova$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\nu\theta a$, $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\delta$, $\delta\tau\sigma$, $\delta\tau$

Note 6. In respect to the use of the two Perfects, it may be noted, that, in those verbs which form a Perf. 2, this is the only usual Perfect; or, where both Perfects exist, the two forms differ also in their signification, see § 113. n. 3. Only δέδια and δέδοικα are used without such a difference. Furfher, the Perf. 1 was most frequently formed in verbs where it would end in α ; consequently in all derived verbs and in a part of the primitives; see above, no. 2. b. The early epic language exhibits in general no aspirated Perfects; but only the form in κa with a vowel before it; as δέδυκα, $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa a$; and hence from $\kappa \delta \pi \tau \omega$, which later formed only $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \phi \phi a$, Homer has Part. Perf. $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \sigma \pi \omega s$, II. ν . 60. But in prose also the forms in ϕa and χa (as $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \varphi a$, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \varphi a$, $\kappa \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \chi a$) are either rare, or not at all in use. Hence we see clearly, that the Greek language sought to avoid all such Perfects as would have a harsh or unusual sound; and supplied their place by the Aorist or by a periphrasis with the Perf. Passive; see § 134. 4.—For the Perfect of the Subjunctive, Optative, and Imperative, see § 137. n. 12, 13.



^{*} The form τέτροφα from τρέφω is rare; it occurs Od. ψ. 237 as intransitive; Soph. Œd. Col. 186 as transitive. As Perf. from πρέπω it stands in the earlier writers without variation of form, e. g. Soph. Trach. 1009. In writers somewhat later is found the peculiar form τέτραφα.

NOTE 7. In the Ionic dialect the k of the Perf. 1 in ka from verbs pure, sometimes falls away; and thus the Perf. 1 passes over into the form of the Perf. 2. Here belong the Homeric participles

κεκαφηώς, τετιηώς, τετληώς, etc. for -ηκώς. See anom. ΚΑΦ-, ΤΙΕ-, τληναι, § 114. The same takes place in epic writers (with a shortening of the vowel) in the 3 pers. Plur. and in the participle of some verbs: as

βεβάασι, βεβαώς, for βεβήκασι, βεβηκώς, from ΒΑΩ (Anom. βαίνω)

πεφύασι, πεφυώς, for πεφύκασι, πεφυκώς, from φύω.

From some old Perfects, only these forms occur, and none at all in ka; as μεμάασι, μεμαώς; δεδάασι, δεδαώς; see Anom. ΜΑΩ, ΔΑΩ. Hence a 1 pers. Sing, is assumed for the above forms of the 3 pers. Plur. although it is nowhere found, and may not have been in actual use; as πέφυα, μέμαα, δέδαα, βέβαα ; and so also έσταα (for έστηκα or έστακα, sec ίστημι), γέγαα, τέθναα, τέτλαα, see Anom. γίγνομαι, θνήσκω, τληναι; and from these come certain syncopated forms, as βέβαμεν, τεθνάναι, for βεβάαμεν, τεθναέναι, which are treated of along with other syncopated forms of the Perfect in § 110. 10.

98. Perfect and Pluperfect Passive.

1. The Perfect Passive takes the endings μαι, σαι, ται, etc. and likewise the *Pluperfect* the endings $\mu \eta \nu$, σo , τo , etc. not by means of a union vowel (opas, eras, etc. § 87.5), as is the case in the other Passive forms; but they are appended directly to the characteristic or (simple) stem of the verb.

2. If the characteristic of the verb be a labial, a palatal, or a lingual, (a P, K, or T-sound,) it is changed before the letters μ , σ , τ , according to the general rules, $\frac{1}{20}$ 20-24. Thus are formed from τύπτω (ΤΥΠΩ), τρίβω, πλέκω, τεύχω (ΤΥΧΩ), πείθω, ἄδω,

φράζω (ΦΡΑΔΩ):

τέτυ-μμαι, τέτυ-ψαι, τέτυ-πται, for -πμαι, -πσαι, -πται Plpf. ετετρί-μμην, ετέτρι-ψο, ετέτρι-πτο, for -βμην, -βσο, -βτο πέπλε-γμαι, πέπλε-ξαι, πέπλε-κται, for -κμαι, -κσαι, -κτα**ι** Plpf. ἐτετύ-γμην, ἐτέτυ-ξο, ἐτέτυ-κτο, for -χμην, -χσο, -χτο πέπει-σμαι, πέπει-σαι,* πέπει-σται, for -Sμαι, -Sσαι, -Sται for -δμαι, -δσαι, -δται $\dot{\eta}$ - $\sigma a \iota$, $\tilde{\eta}$ - $\sigma \tau a \iota$, Plpf. επεφρά-σμην, επέφρα-σο, επέφρα-στο for -δμην, -δσο, In order to avoid the concurrence of three consonants († 19.2), in the further flexion of this Perfect and of the Pluperfect, the σ of the endings $\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$, $\sigma\theta\eta\nu$, $\sigma\theta\epsilon$, $\sigma\theta\omega$, etc. is dropped, e.g.

2 pers. Du. and Pl. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu - \phi \theta o \nu$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu - \phi \theta \epsilon$, for $-\pi \sigma \theta o \nu$, $-\pi \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

Inf. πεπλέ-χθαι, τετά-χθαι, for -κσθαι, -γσθαι.

Imper. 2 pers. $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon l - \sigma \theta \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho \dot{\alpha} - \sigma \theta \omega$, for $-\Im \sigma \theta a$, $-\delta \sigma \theta \omega$, see n. 1. Instead of the 3 pers. Plur. in vrai and vro, a periphrase with the verb είναι to be is commonly used; e.g. τετυμμένοι (-aι) είσίν, and in the Plupf. τετυμμένοι (-aι) ησαν.

Note 1. For the sake of uniformity, we assume in respect to linguals,



^{*} The Homeric πέπυσσαι is only a metrical doubling of the σ instead of πέπυσαι, Plat. Protag. p. 310 b.

that, e. g. in the 2 pers. Dual πέπει-σθον, not the radical 9 before σθον has been dropped; but first the σ in $9\sigma\theta_{0\nu}$ has fallen away, and then by rule 9θ ov becomes $\sigma 9$ ov, § 24. 2.

NOTE 2. For the Ionics the periphrase of the 3 pers. Plur. is not necessary; since instead of -vrau -vro, they can put -arau -aro; in which the Attics sometimes follow them in these tenses. For the details, see § 103. m. 22. § 105. n. 9.

3. If the characteristic of the verb be a vowel, the endings of the Perf. Pass. μai , σai , τai , etc. are appended directly to the vowel of the Future. The 3 pers. Plur. is then also regularly formed in -vtai, -vto; since there is no longer a concurrence of three consonants; e.g.

ποιέω, F. ποιήσω, — πεποίη-μαι, σαι, ται, — πεποίηνται. νέω, F. νεύσω, — νένευ-μαι, σαι, ται, — νένευνται. The few instances, where the quantity of the vowel in the Perf. does not accord with that in the Future, have already been noted, § 95. n. 4.

Note 3. The alternate o for e does not pass over into the Perf. Pass. e. g. κλέπτω (κέκλοφα) κέκλεμμαι, συλλέγω (συνείλοχα) συνείλεγμαι. See the exception in epic poets under Anom. ἐσθίω, § 114. The three verbs $\tau \rho \acute{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ turn, $\tau \rho \acute{\epsilon} \phi \omega$ nourish, στρέφω turn, have in the Perf. Pass. a peculiar alternate vowel a; thus τέτραμμαι, τέτραψαι, etc. τέθραμμαι (from τρέφω, θρέψω), ἔστραμμαι. § 27. 1.

NOTE 4. Some verbs change the diphthong ev, which they have in the Present on assume in the Future, into v in the Perf. Pass. e. g. τεύχω (τέτευχα) τέτυγμαι. So also φεύγω, σεύω, πεύθομαι; comp. πέπνυμαι in Anom. πνέω, § 114. In χέω (χεύσω) this takes place even in the Perf. Act. κέχὔκα, κέχυμαι.

Note 5. The lingual usually changed into σ before μ in the Perf. Pass. is in the epic poets found unchanged in some few forms; as κέκαδμαι, πέφραδμαι, from ΚΑΔΩ (see Anom. καίνυμαι), φράζω; also κεκόρυθμαι from ΚΟΡΥΘΩ# κορύσσω.

Note 6. The σ is however assumed by many verbs which have no lingual, but a vowel as their characteristic; e. g.

ἀκούω ήκουσμαι, κελεύω κεκέλευσμαι. So also $\pi \rho i \omega$, $\chi \rho i \omega$, $\pi a \lambda a i \omega$, $\pi \tau a i \omega$, $\rho a i \omega$, $\sigma \epsilon i \omega$, $\lambda \epsilon i \omega$, $9 \rho a i \omega$, $\psi a i \omega$, $\delta i \omega$, $\delta i \omega$. Then too all those noted in § 95 n. 3, which do not lengthen the short vowel of the Future (except ἀρόω § 114); e. g. τελέω (τελέσω) τετέλεσμαι; σπάω ἔσπασμαι. Some are variable; e. g. of those just cited, κελεύω, χρίω, θραύω; also κολούω, κρούω, ψάω, etc. See the complete list of verbs, which either assume this euphonic σ in the Perf. and Aor. 1 Pass. as also in the verbal Adjective; or admit of both formations with and with-

Note 7. When $\gamma\gamma$ would come to stand before μ , one γ falls away; the other endings remain regular; e. g.
ελέγχω (ελήλεγχα) — ελήλεγμαι, ελήλεγξαι, γκται.

σφίγγω — έσφιγμαι, † γξαι, γκται, έσφίγμεθον, etc.

comp. § 4. 4.

^{*} That this & is a radical letter, is confirmed by the substantive kópus, Gen. -voos; otherwise it might be regarded as inserted in the ancient manner, instead of σ, as κλανθμός, δρχηθμός, § 119. n. 3.

† There can be no doubt, that this single γ then retains the nasal sound ng;

Note 8. So when the Perf. Pass. must have $\mu\mu$, and there comes in addition another μ from the root, one of them of course falls away; e. g.

κάμπτω, — κέκαμμαι, but κέκαμψαι, κέκαμπται, etc. πέμπω, — πέπεμμαι, μψαι, μπται, πεπέμμεθα, etc.

4. The Subjunctive and Optative are in general not made at all; partly on account of the difficulty of their formation, and partly because they are so little needed. Instead of them the periphrase with είναι is employed; e.g. τετυμμένος (η, ον) ὧ and είην.

Note 9. That is to say, these moods are formed only when there is a vowel before the ending, which readily passes over into the endings of the Subjunctive, and likewise unites itself with the characteristic ε of the Optative; e. g. κτάομαι, κέκτημαι

Subj. κέκτωμαι, η, ηται, etc.

Opt. κεκτήμην, κέκτητο, etc.

All the examples of such a formation, however, which are now extant, consist of a few single forms of Perfects of three syllables, all belonging to anomalous verbs. Thus Plato has Subj. ἐκτέτμησθον from τέμνω τέτμημαι; Andocides has Subj. διαβέβλησθε from βάλλω βέβλημαι. See also κέκλημαι and μέμνημαι under the Anom. καλέω and μιμνήσκω, § 114.—So when the stem-vowel is ι or υ, the Optative may be formed by absorbing the characteristic ι, by which means the radical vowel becomes long; but the Homeric λέλὖτο Od. σ. 238 from λύω λέλὖμαι (§ 95. n. 4), is probably the only example extant. *—For the Opt. forms κεκτῷτο, μεμνέφτο, see Anom. κταόμαι, μιμνήσκω, § 114.

§ 99. Third Future.

The Future 3 or Paulopost-future of the Passive, is derived from the Perfect Pass. both as to its form and signification; 138. It retains the augment of the Perfect, and substitutes the ending $\sigma o \mu a u$ instead of the ending of the Perfect. From the 2 pers. of the Perf. in $\sigma a u$, ($\psi a u$, $\xi a u$,) therefore, it is only necessary to change a u into $o \mu a u$, in order to form the Fut. 3; e.g.

τέτυμμαι (τέτυψαι) — τετύψομαι τέτραμμαι (τέτραψαι) — τετράψομαι τέταγμαι (τέταξαι) — τετάξομαι πέπεισμαι (πέπεισαι) — πεπείσομαι πεφίλημαι (πεφίλησαι) — πεφιλήσομαι.

Note 1. In those verbs where the vowel of the Fut. 1 is shortened in the Perfect, the Fut. 3 assumes again the long vowel; e. g. δεδήσομαι, λελῦσομαι, τετεύξομαι; see § 95. n. 4.†

Note 2. The Fut. 3 is never found in the verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$; and very rarely in verbs which have the *temporal* augment; e. g. $\dot{\eta}\tau\iota\mu\dot{\omega}\sigma\sigma\mu a\iota$, $\dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu a\iota$, from $\dot{d}\tau\iota\mu\dot{\omega}\omega$, $a\dot{l}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$.—For the periphrase of this tense, see § 138. 4.

† It must not be inferred from this, that the Fut. 3 is formed from the Fut. 1 Mid. with the reduplication: for whether the τετράψομαι above given really occurs, is more than I know; but the forms which are actually found, βεβλήσομαι,

κεκλήσομαι, (see Anom. βάλλω, καλέω,) hold us to the Perfect.

^{*} I remark further, that while some have preferred to write κεκτήται, λελύτο, etc. with the circumflex, (see esp. Göttling p. 65 sq.) I have adopted that accentuation which is found in a portion of the manuscripts, and which alone is supported by analogy. Thus κέκτωμαι and κέκτητο must have the same relation to κέκτημαι, and also λέλύτο to λέλυμαι, that τύπτωμαι and τύπτοιτο have to τύπτομαι. See § 107. m. 33, and the Ausführl. Sprachl.

100. First and Second Agrist Passive.

- 1. All verbs form the *Aorist* of the *Passive* either in $\Im \eta \nu$, or simply in $\eta \nu$; many have both forms at once. The former is called *Aorist* 1, and the latter *Aorist* 2. § 89. 3.
- 2. The Aor. 1 Passive appends $\Im \eta \nu$ to the characteristic of the verb. If the characteristic be a labial or palatal, (a P or K-sound,) it is of course changed (§ 20) into the aspirate ϕ or χ ; e.g.

λείπω, αμείβω, στέφω, — ελείφθην, ημείφθην, εστέφθην

λέγω, πλέκω, — ελέχθην, επλέχθην τύπτω (ΤΥΠΩ) — ετύφθην

τάσσω (ΤΑΓΩ) — ἐτάχθην.

If the characteristic be a *lingual* (or T-sound), it is changed to σ , according to § 24. 2; e.g.

πείθω, Perf. Pass. πέπεισμαι, — ἐπείσθην κομίζω, Perf. Pass. κεκόμισμαι, — ἐκομίσθην.

If the characteristic be a vowel, the ending $\Im \eta \nu$ (like $\mu \alpha \iota$ in Perf. Pass.) is appended directly to the vowel of the Future; e.g.

ποιέω (ποιήσω πεποίημαι) — ἐποιήθην τιμάω (τιμήσω τετίμημαι) — ἐτιμήθην Φωράω (Φωράσω πεφώραμαι) — ἐφωράθην.

Note 1. The few verbs in $\epsilon \omega$ and $\dot{\nu} \omega$, in which the vowel of the Aor. does not accord with the Future, see in § 95. n. 4.

3. In other respects the Aor. 1 Pass. conforms mainly to the Perf. Passive. Thus, in the same circumstances, it assumes σ ; e.g.

σείω (σέσεισμαι) — ἐσείσθην τελέω (τετέλεσμαι) — ἐτελέσθην.

In most instances, also, it changes the vowel of the preceding syllable in the same manner as the Perf. Passive; e.g. τεύχω (τέτυγμαι) — ἐτύχθην. § 98. n. 4.

Note 2. A few verbs which have a vowel for the characteristic, assume σ in the Aorist 1 Passive, although they do not have it in the Perfect Passive; e. g. $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \mu \omega$, Aor. 1 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \partial \eta \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \sigma \partial \eta \nu$; also $\mu \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \omega$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \mu \omega$, Aor. 1 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \rho \partial \eta \nu$; see generally the complete list of verbs with the cuphonic σ in the Pass. § 112. 20.—That on the other hand $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\omega} \partial \eta \nu$ from $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$ does not take the σ , arises from a double form; see $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$ in § 114.

Note 3. Those which without being verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, change in the Perf. Pass. their ϵ into a (§ 98. n. 3), retain here their ϵ ; e. g. στρέφω (ἔστραμμαι)—ἐστρέφθην · τρέπω, ἐτρέφθην · τρέφω ἐθρέφθην.—But the Ionics and Dorics have ἐτράφθην, ἐστράφθην.

4. The Aorist 2 Passive appends $\eta\nu$ to the simple characteristic of the verb; and follows in this respect all the rules given above under the Aor. 2 Active. Hence it is only necessary to form this latter tense, whether in actual use or not, and then change $o\nu$ into $\eta\nu$; e.g.

τύπτω, ἔτυπον — ἐτύπην· τρέπω, ἔτραπον — ἐτράπην.

Note 4. In the Passive, it is impossible to confound the Aor 9 and the

Imperfect, which is so easily done in the Active; and therefore such verbs as cannot for this reason form an Aor. 2 Active (§ 96.3), have nevertheless the Aor. 2 Passive. In such instances, this tense can be formed directly from the Imperfect Active, just as elsewhere from the Aor. 2 Active; except that according to the rule, the long vowel becomes short in the Aor. 2. E. g.

γράφω (Impf. ἔγραφον) — ἐγράφην τρίβω (Impf. ἔτρῖβον) — ἐτρίβην (short ι).

- Note 5. For the same reason, most of those verbs, which (without being verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$) have ϵ as the stem-vowel, do not in the Aor. 2 assume the alternate a, viz. βλέπω, λέπω, λέγω, φλέγω, ψέγω, and commonly πλέκω: hence ἐβλέπην, ἐλέγην, Part. συλλεγείν, ἐπλέκην (also ἐπλάκην), all of which verbs form no Aor. 2 Active; and consequently their Aor. 2 Pass. must be formed after the Imperfect. Only κλέπτω and τρέπω have always a; the latter because it is the only verb which forms at the same time both the Aor. 2 Act. and Pass. (and Mid.) and therefore takes in the Act. the alternate a to distinguish it from the Imperfect. The same analogy is followed by κλέπτω and in part by πλέκω. Comp. § 101. 8. n.
- 5. So far as it regards usage, it may be taken as a rule, that with the exception of $\tau \rho \acute{e}\pi \omega$ just mentioned, (note 5; comp. also $\acute{a}\gamma\gamma\acute{e}\lambda\lambda\omega$ in marg. note to § 101. 8, and $\tau\acute{e}\mu\nu\omega$ in § 114,) all verbs which actually have in use an Aor. 2 Active, with or without an alternate vowel, can in the Passive form only the Aor. 1.
- Note 6. On the other hand, from many verbs which have no Aor. 2 Act. there exists an Aor. 2 Pass. as a weaker or smoother form, along with the Aor. 1. E. g. ἐκρύβην, ἐτύπην, ἐβλάβην, ἐβρίφην, ἐτράφην, ἐκλάπην, which in prose are perhaps more common than ἐκρύφθην, ἐθρέφθην, etc. But these latter fuller and antique sounding forms are preferred by the poets, especially the tragic poets.
- NOTE 7. The only example in which the long vowel of the stem is retained, is $\epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \nu$; see $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ § 114.
- Note 8. The verb $\psi\acute{u}\chi\omega$ commonly assumes γ in the Aor. 2 Pass. as $\acute{e}\psi\acute{u}\gamma\eta\nu$, $\psi\dot{u}\gamma\mathring{\eta}\nu a\iota$. See § 114.
- Note 9. The characteristics δ , β , τ , are not found in the Aor. 2 Passive There are also no examples of a vowel before the ending, except $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \eta \nu$ from $\kappa \alpha i \omega$, and these three, which have an Active signification, viz. $\epsilon \delta \alpha \eta \nu$, $\epsilon \delta \beta i \eta \nu$, $\epsilon \delta \psi i \eta \nu$; see the Anom. ΔA -, $\delta \epsilon \omega$, $\delta \omega$, $\delta \omega$, $\delta \omega$, $\delta \omega$, and contracted, and all verbs in $\delta \omega$, $\delta \omega$, $\delta \omega$, have only the first Aor. Passive.
- Note 10. Finally, there is an obvious coincidence, both in form and flexion, between the two Aorists Passive, and the Active forms of Verbs in $\mu\iota$. Compare the Aorists Pass. in the paradigm of $\tau\acute{\nu}\pi\tau\omega$ with the Imperfect and subordinate moods of the Present of $\tau\acute{\iota}\theta\eta\mu\iota$.

100 a. Table of Changes in the Characteristic.

- 1. For the purpose of easier survey in respect to the formation of the tenses, the whole number of regular verbs may be divided into five classes; four of which have already been clearly brought into view in the preceding paragraphs, from § 95 onward. The classes are as follows:
 - Verbs with a labial or P-sound as their characteristic: e.g. λείβω, τύπτω.



- Verbs with a palatal or K-sound as their characteristic;
 e. g. λέγω, τάσσω.
- Verbs with a lingual or T-sound as their characteristic;
 e. g. ἄδω, κομίζω.
- Verbs with a vowel as their characteristic; e.g. τιμάω, φιλέω.
- 5) Verbs $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, or liquid verbs, verba liquida.
- 2. In the many changes, which take place in the characteristic in order to form the tenses (§§ 95-100), it is hardly possible, but that the learner should sometimes fall into mistake. The following table therefore is introduced for the purpose of easier inspection and to aid the memory. The learner will here perceive the regularity and close analogy of the changes in the four main characteristics, so far as it respects the second series of tenses in § 93. 1. The Perf. and Plupf. Pass. as presenting the most difficulties, are inflected throughout.

Labial as Char. | Palatal as Char. | Lingual as Char. | Vowel as β , π , ϕ , and $\pi\tau$ | γ , κ , χ , also $\sigma\sigma$, ζ | δ , τ , ϑ , also ζ , $\sigma\sigma$ | Characteristic.

		Active.		
Fut. 1.	ψω	ξω	σω	σω
Aor. 1.	ψa	ξa	σa	σa
Perf. 1.	$\dot{\phi}a$	χa	κa	ка
Plupf. 1.	φειν	χειν	KELV	κειν
		Passive.		
Perf.	μμαι	γμαι	σμαι	μαι
T8¢	ψαι πται μμεθον φθον μμεθα φθε	ξαι κται γμεθον χθον χθον γμεθα χθε	σαι σμεθον σθον σθον σμεθα σθε	ται μεθον σθον σθον μεθα σθε νται
Plupf.	μμην	-γμην ξο κτο γμεθον χθον χθην γμεθα χθε —	σμην σο στο σμεθον σθον σθην σμεθα σθε	μην το το μεθον σθον σθην μεθα μεθα μεθα μεθα μεθα
Fat. 3.	ψομαι	ξομαι	σομαι	σομαι
Aor. 1.	$\dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}\dot{\eta}\nu$	$\chi \dot{ heta} \eta u$	σθην	Syv
Fut. 1.	φθήσομ αι	χθήσομαι	σθήσομαι	Βήσομαι
•	• •	Middle.	• •	• •
Fut. 1.	ψομαι	ξομαι	σομαι	σομαι
Aor. 1.	Ψάμην	ξαμην	σάμην	σάμην.
		• , ,	, ,,	1.10

§ 101. Verbs in $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$.

1. Verbs whose characteristic is one of the letters λ , μ , ν , ρ , deviate so often from other verbs in the formation of their tenses, that it is here necessary to bring the whole together into one view.

2. These verbs do not commonly form the Future in $\sigma\omega$, or Fut. 1; but always take the *Future* 2 in $\hat{\omega}$, Ion. $\hat{\epsilon}\omega$; § 95. 7, 8, 11. Thus

νέμω, Fut. Ion. νεμέω, comm. νεμῶ μένω, Fut. Ion. μενέω, comm. μενῶ.

The further flexion is, νεμω εῖς εῖ οῦμεν εῖτε οῦσιν Μid. οῦμαι εῖ εῖται, etc. See the Paradigm of ἀγγέλλω. This whole form of flexion is also to be compared with that of contract verbs in <math>εω 105.

3. The syllable before the ending, if long in the Present, is in this Future made short, e.g.

κρίνω, ἀμύνω — F. κρϊνῶ, ἀμῦνῶ ψάλλω, στέλλω — F. ψάλῶ, στελῶ.

The diphthong as is changed to short a, and εs into ε; e.g. σαίρω, κτείνω — F. σάρῶ, κτενῶ, Ion. κτενέω.*

4. These verbs form the Aorist 1 in like manner without σ , and simply in α . They retain in this tense the characteristic as it is found in the Future; but make the syllable before the ending again long. This is done however independently of the Present; either by simply lengthening the vowel of the Future, e.g.

τίλλω (τίλῶ) — ἔτιλα· κρίνω (κρινῶ) — ἔκρινα

ἀμύνω (ἀμὔνῶ) — ἤμῦνα,

or by changing ϵ of the Fut. into $\epsilon \iota$, and a commonly into η ; e.g.

μένω, στέλλω, τείνω,

(μενῶ, στελῶ, τενῶ) — ἔμεινα, ἔστειλα, ἔτεινα

ψάλλω, φαίνω,

(ψαλῶ, φανῶ) — ἔψηλα, ἔφηνα.

Several verbs; however, which have $a\iota$ in the Present, take long a in the Aor. 1, when ι or ρ precedes; e.g.

περαίνω, περανώ — ἐπέρανα Inf. περαναι : πιαίνω, πιανώ — ἐπίανα Inf. πιαναι.

Exceptions are τετρηναι and μιηναι, from τιτραίνω, μιαίνω.

Note 1. We may also account for this shortening and lengthening of the vowel in the Future and Aorist in this manner, viz. that the Future, as belonging to the series of second tenses (§ 93. 1), must be first formed from the simple stem. As now this latter is found in the Present long, either by doubling the λ or by lengthening the vowel, the original short vowel everywhere re-appears in the Future. Hence the two Futures $\tau \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega}$ and $\kappa a \mu \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu} \mu a \mu a$, from Anom. $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ and $\kappa a \mu \nu \omega$ (Present forms with strengthened

^{*} The Ionic forms, e. g. ἀγγέλλω F. ἀγγελέω; κρῖνέω, κτενέω, φἄνέω from φαίνω, πλῦνέω from πλύνω, etc. Plur. έσμεν etc. are of course explained from § 95. 8, 10. For the Doric-Ionic forms with the contraction εσ into ευ, e. g. βαλεῦμεν, βαλεῦμαι, see § 95. n. 17.

characteristic for TEMQ, KAMQ, like $\tau \acute{v}\pi\tau \omega$ TYIIQ), appear as formed regularly from the simple themes. On the other hand, in the Aorist 1, as being one of the first tenses, the long vowel again appears. But as these tenses are also formed from the simple characteristic (§ 93. 2), though for the most part after some change; so the Aorist 1 does not return to the strengthened form of the Present, but prefers such a mode of lengthening as gives more prominence to the simple stem; e. g. $\tau \imath \lambda a u$, $\psi \imath \lambda a u$.

Note 2. Most verbs in airo and airo are found among the Attics regularly with η ; e. g. $\sigma\eta\mu airo$ $\sigma\eta\mu \eta\nu ai$; $\chi a\lambda\epsilon\pi\eta\nu ai$, $\lambda\nu\mu\eta\nu a\sigma\theta ai$, etc. $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta airo$ $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta \eta\rho ai$, $\epsilon\kappa\theta \eta\rho ai$, etc.—Exceptions nevertheless are $\kappa oi\lambda \eta\nu ai$, $\lambda\epsilon\nu\kappa \eta\nu ai$, $\kappa\epsilon\rho\delta \eta\nu ai$, $\delta\epsilon\gamma \nu ai$ airo many others with long \ddot{a} , as $\sigma\eta\mu \dot{a}\nu ai$, $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta \dot{a}\rho ai$, and again according to rule $\mu \dot{a}\nu ai$. The Dories of course always do the same; while the Ionics almost everywhere have their η .—The verbs $ai\rho \omega take up$ and $ai\lambda \lambda \omega \mu ai$ with initial a, have \ddot{a} in the Aor. 1; which in the Indicative only, because of the augment, passes over into η ; thus $\eta\rho a$, $\dot{a}\rho ai$, $\dot{a}\rho as$, etc. $\dot{\eta}\lambda\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$, $\dot{a}\lambda a\sigma\theta ai$, etc.*

Note 3. The early language and the Æolics formed the Future 1 and Aorist 1 from these verbs with σ; Hom. ἔκερσα, Theocr. ἔτερσα, from κείρω, τείρω. This remained the sole form in some verbs even in the common language, as κέλλω land, ἔκελσα; φύρω knead, φύρσω. See also the Anom. ἀραρίσκω, θέρομαι, κυρέω, ὄρνυμι, § 114.

5. The Aorist 2 retains the vowel as it is in the Future, e.g.

βάλλω (βαλῶ) — ἔβαλον

φαίνω (φανῶ) — A. 2 Pass. ἐφάνην

κλίνω (κλζινώ) — A. 2 Pass. ἐκλίνην (short ι);

excepting that ϵ in the Fut of *dissyllabic* verbs, passes over into a; see in 8 below.

Note 4. The Aor. 2 Act. is in use only in the smaller number of these verbs; and where both Aorists are found, the Aor. 2 is chiefly poetical; thus ἔκτανον, ἤγγελον, is less frequent than ἔκτανοι, ἤγγελον. See for ἤγγελον the next marg. note.—In the Passive, on the contrary, the Aor. 2 is far more common in verbs of two syllables than the Aor. 1; which last, when it retains the consonant before the 9 (see 9 below), is commonly peculiar to the poets, as is so often the case in the mute verbs (§ 100. n. 6); e. g. φαίνω ἐφάνην, στέλλω ἐστάλην, σφάλλω ἐσφάλην, πείρω ἐπάρην.—Still αἴρω and all verbs of more than two syllables, have only the Aor. 1 Passive; except ἀγγέλω, from which come ἦγγέλην and ἦγγέλθην.

6. The Perfect 2 of these verbs is already included in the rules above given, 97.3, 4. E.g.

 $\Im \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega - \tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \lambda a \cdot \phi a l \nu \omega - \pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \nu a$

These verbs have the peculiarity, that the diphthong $\epsilon \iota$ of the Present passes over in the Perf. 2, not into $o\iota$, but into o; because, as appears from the Future, this diphthong $\epsilon \iota$ arises not from a radical vowel ι , but from ϵ ; † 97. 4. c. E.g.

κτείνω (κτενῶ) ἔκτονα· φθείρω (φθερῶ) ἔφθορα.

7. The Perfect 1 Active, the Perf. Pass. and the Aorist 1

* It is here necessary to caution the learner against two errors. First, nothing is more common, than to find fra, frau, dulnva, etc. written with i subscript; which is incorrect on the same grounds as above in the Perf. 2 (§ 97. 4. marg. note). Secondly, we often find in otherwise good editions the accentuation rendrau, on pudrau, etc. the incorrectness of which is sufficiently apparent from the above, and from § 11.

Passive, follow in like manner the general rules, and annex the endings κa , $\mu a \iota$, $\Im \eta \nu$, etc. to the characteristic, retaining the changes of the Future; e.g.

σφάλλω (σφαλῶ) — ἔσφαλκα, ἔσφαλμαι

φαίνω (φανῶ) — πέφαγκα, ἐφάνθην, (Perf. Pass. see n. 7, 8.)

αἴρω (ἀρῶ) — ἡρκα, ἡρμαι, Part. ἡρμένος Αοτ. 1 P. ἡρθην, Part. ἀρθείς.

Here too the *Perf. Pass.* drops the σ of the endings $\sigma\theta a\iota$, $\sigma\theta \epsilon$, etc. as in § 98. 2; e.g.

ἔσφαλμαι, 2 Plur. ἔσφαλθε φύρω, πέφυρμαι, Inf. πεφύρθαι.

It is to be noted, that verbs in $\mu\omega$ ($\nu\epsilon\mu\omega$) and strengthened $\mu\nu\omega$ ($\tau\epsilon\mu\nu\omega$, fut. $\tau\epsilon\mu\dot{\omega}$, n. 1) cannot form these tenses at all in the manner specified; see n. 9.

8. When the Fut. has ϵ , verbs of two syllables assume the alternate α in most of the tenses derived from the stem of the Future; except in the Aor. 1 Act. and Mid. the Perf. 2, and Plupf. 2; that is to say, in the Aor. 2, Perf. 1, the Perf. Pass. and Aor. 1 Pass. and the tenses derived from these; e.g. from $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, F. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$,

Aor. 2 Act. (ἔσταλον) — ἐστάλην, σταλήσομαι (ἐσταλόμην)

Perf. 1 ἐσταλκα — ἐστάλκειν Perf. Pass. ἔσταλμαι — ἐστάλμην

Aor. 1 Pass. ἐστάλθην — σταλθήσομαι.

So too from $\pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$, F. $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$,

Perf. 1 πέπαρκα, Perf. Pass. πέπαρμαι, Aor. 2 Pass. ἐπάρην. κτείνω, F. κτενώ, — Aor. 2 ἔκτανον, comp. 9 below.

On the other hand, verbs of more than two syllables retain their in all these tenses; e.g. from ἀγγέλλω, F. ἀγγελῶ,

Aor. 2 Act. ἤγγελου,* Pass. ἠγγέληυ, Perf. 1 ἤγγελκα, Perf. Pass. ἤγγελμαι, Aor. 1 Pass. ἠγγέλθηυ.

Note 5. Those verbs only of two syllables which begin with ϵ , retain it, as above; e. g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon\lambda\mu\alpha\iota$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha\iota$, from Anom. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\lambda\omega$, $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\omega$, § 114.—For the use of the Aor. 1 and 2 Pass. see n. 4.

9. The following verbs in ίνω, είνω, ύνω, viz. κρίνω, κλίνω, τείνω, κτείνω, πλύνω,

drop the ν in the Perf. 1, Perf. Pass. and Aor. 1 Passive, and assume the short vowel of the Future; but in such a way, that those in $\epsilon l \nu \omega$ change the ϵ into a, as in the preceding rule; e.g.

κρίνω (κρϊνῶ) — κέκρϊκα, κέκρϊμαι, ἐκρῖθην τείνω (τενῶ) — τέτἄκα, τέτἄμαι, ἐτἄθήν † πλύνω (πλὔνῶ) — πέπλὔκα, πέπλὔμαι, ἐπλὔθην.

† Compare also the Anom. ΦΕΝΩ πέφαμαι. It is not necessary to have recourse to obsolete themes, as ΤΑΩ, ΚΤΑΩ, ΦΑΩ.

^{*} The existence of this tense (ήγγελον) has been doubted by many critics, in spite of its frequent occurrence in manuscripts; because it needed only the casual omission of an ι or λ in copying to produce this form instead of the Aor. 1 or Imperfect. See Ausf. Sprachl. § 114 in αγγέλλω. If this tense was actually in use, then αγγέλλω is a second instance corresponding to τρέπω in § 100. n. 5.

So too Inf. Perf. Pass. κεκρίσθαι, τετάσθαι; but 3 Plur. again as usual, κέκλινται, κέκρινται Xen.

Note 6. In the Aor. 1 Pass, the ν is often retained in poetry, in order to form a position; e. g. κτανθείς, διακρινθείς, ἐκλίνθη, ἐπλύνθη; see n. 4. The same occurs in the prose of later writers.

NOTE 7. Verbs which retain the ν occasion some difficulty in the *Perfect Passive*. They retain it however *unchanged* as follows:

a) In the 2 pers. Sing. where it remains even before σ; e. g. φαίνω—

b) Before the endings which begin with σθ; where however (Text 7) the σ is dropped before the ν; e. g. Inf. πεφάνθαι· τραχύνω, Inf. τετραχύνθαι.

c) In the 3 pers. Sing. e. g. πέφανται he has appeared, παρώξυνται he has

become angry.

Whether the 3 pers. Plur. was also formed in this latter manner is doubtful; e. g. κέκρανται Eurip. Hipp. 1255 from κραίνω, where consequently an ν must have fallen away. The periphrase is more certain; as λέλυμασμένοι εἰσίν Demosth.

Note 8. Before the endings beginning with μ in the same tense, there is a threefold usage in respect to the ν ; which, however, in certain verbs does not seem to have been entirely fixed:

a) The ν is regularly changed into μ; e. g. ἦσχυμμαι from αἰσχύνω, Il. σ
 180. But we find further perhaps only ξηραίνω (ἐξήραμμαι Athen. 3. p. 80. d) and ὀξύνω.

b) Most commonly σ is assumed instead of ν; e. g. φαίνω πέφασμαι, μο λύνω μεμόλυσμαι. So too with most other verbs in αίνω and ύνω; but this σ never passes over into the Aor. 1 Pass.

c) Less frequently the ν is dropped and the vowel made long; e. g. τε-τραχυμένος Aristot. H. A. 4. 9. So too some other verbs in ύνω; see

Ausf. Sprachl.

These last two modes may likewise be explained from the circumstance, that the endings alvo and $\dot{\nu}\nu\omega$ are originally lengthened forms from $\dot{a}\omega$ and $\dot{\nu}\omega$.*

Note 9. Generally speaking, in verbs in $\nu\omega$ the regular formation of the Perf. Pass. as also of the Perf. Active (in $\gamma\kappa\alpha$) is very rare; inasmuch as the verbs in $\nu\omega$ are for the most part lengthened Present-forms (e. g. $\tau i\nu\omega$); and hence make these tenses from another theme upon other principles.— Further, verbs in $\mu\omega$ and $\mu\nu\omega$, which regularly can form neither these tenses nor the Aor. 1 Passive, pass over into the formation $\epsilon\omega$; thus $\nu\epsilon\nu\epsilon'-\mu\eta\kappa\alpha$, $\epsilon'\nu\epsilon\mu\eta\kappa\alpha$, $\epsilon'\nu\epsilon\mu\eta\kappa\alpha$, etc. See Anom. Verbs § 114; also § 110. 11.

102. VERBALS IN TEOS AND TOS.

- 1. With the formation of the tenses, it is necessary to connect that of the two *Verbal Adjectives in \tauéos* and τ ós; which, in signification and use, approach very near to the Participles. See note 2.
- 2. Both these endings always have the tone, and are appended immediately to the characteristic of the verb; which therefore



^{*} The Perfect 1 Active also fluctuates between the two modes of formation, in γκα and κα; because it was so seldom required (§ 97. n. 6), that writers probably formed it mostly according to the ear. We find, though not in the earlier writers, πέφαγκα, μεμίαγκα, and έβεβραδύκει, κεκέρδακα or -ηκα. See anom. κερδαίνα, § 114.

must be changed, according to the general rules, just as before $\tau a\iota$ of the 3 pers. Sing of the Perf. Passive. But when the Aor. 1 Pass. deviates from the formation of the Perf. Pass. in respect to the stem-vowel or otherwise, these Verbals always conform to the Aorist; except, of course, that where the Aorist has $\phi\theta$, $\chi\theta$, they take $\pi\tau$, $\kappa\tau$.

3. Thus there is formed from

πλέκω (πέπλεκται, ἐπλέχθην) – πλεκτέος, πλεκτός έλέχθην) λέγω (λέλεκται, – λεκτός γράφω (γέγραπται, ἐγράφθην) --- γραπτός στρέφω (ἔστραπται, ἐστρέφθην) — στρεπτός φωράω (πεφώραται, έφωράθην) - φωρατέος φιλέω (πεφίληται, έφιλήθην) – φιλητέος (ἥρηται, αίρέω $\dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$ – αίρετός έπαύσθην) παύω (πέπαυται, - παυστέος* έστάλθην) στέλλω (ἔσταλται, - σταλτέος τείνω (τέταται. ἐτάθην) - τατέος έχύθην) χέω κέχυται, -χυτός.

Note 1. In the earlier Ionic and Attic, the σ in many verbals in τος is sometimes dropped; especially in compounds like ἄγνωτος, ἀδάματος, ἐὖτικτος, πάγκλαυτος. The poets could even form θαυματός, from θαυμάζω.

NOTE 2. For the use of these Verbals in general, see Syntax § 134. 8 sq.

Here it need only be noted, that both are Passive, as follows:

 Those in τόs express possibility, corresponding to Latin adjectives in ilis; and indeed they often become simple adjectives. E. g. στρεπτόs, one who can be turned about, Lat. versatilis.

 Those in τέος express necessity, corresponding to the Lat. particip. in ndus; e.g. στρεπτέος, one who must be turned about, Lat. vertendus.

 The Neut. in τέον with ἐστί corresponds to the Lat. periphrase with the Neut. particip. in ndum; e. g. στρεπτέον ἐστί σοι, Lat. tibi vertendum est, thou must turn about.

\$ 103. Paradigm of Barytone Verbs.

1. The conjugation of all the above verbs, and likewise the details of flexion by persons and moods, will now be brought together and exemplified, first, in a general example of an ordinary barytone verb with a strengthened Present-form, viz. $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$. But it must be borne in mind, that all which is here exhibited, is by no means found in actual use in these verbs; and for $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ especially see Anom. § 114. Then follow some other examples out of different classes; and last of all, an example from the class in $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, viz. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

2. A Barytone Verb is properly the verb in its natural state; since in this the ending of the Present is always unaccented. It stands in opposition to those verbs which contract the last two syllables, and whose ending therefore has the circumflex, viz. Contract Verbs (Verba contracta or perispomena), for which see § 105.



^{*} For the σ euphonic, so called, in these forms, see § 112. 20.

SYNOPTICAL TABLE.

	Participle.	דיידד שיי		τεπνφώς	•	Terumás		±ý∕key	±v⁄kas	•	ישהעד	`	TVATÓMENOS	•	TETUPHÉNOS		•	τυφθησόμενος	πφθείς	TUTTION	TUTTEIS	Terry of peros		molenos	Turanemos		TUTTOHENOS	
	Infinitive.	TÚTTEU		τετυφέναι	•	τετυπέναι		Tú/en	Túta	-	ישהעד		TVATEOBAL		τετύφθαι		:	τυφθήσεσθαι	τυφθήναι	πνπήσεσθαι	- TVITINGE	τετύψεσθαι		Tinkenbar	Tivanda		τυπέσθα	,
	Imperative.			(rérode)		(rérume)		ı	ev/hov	•	TÚTE		TÚTTOU		τέτυψο			1.	πάφθητι	I	$\tau v_{mn} \theta_{t}$	1		!	Tinhai	1	TUTTOL	•
ACTIVE.	Optative.	τύπτοιμι		τετύφοιμι	•	τετύποιμι	•	τύψοιμι	Tivaim	•	τύποιμι	PASSIVE.	τυπτοίμην	•	τετυμμένος (η, ον)	einy		τυφθησοίμην	τυφθείην	τυπησοίμην	τυπείην	τέτυψοίμην	MIDDLE.	nyhojuny	Turkation	aludia kan	τυποίμην	,
	Subjunctive	יייידים		τετύφω	•	τετύπω		-	TÝVE	l. of arreddw)	υπον τύπω		τύπτωμαι		retuppienos (η, ον)				က္နေမ်စ်	1	TUTE	1		1	Tishouas	of dryeldo	τύπωμαι	
	Indicative.	TÚTT	- Trumtov	rérupa	ereródeu	réruma	ererúmeur	7.4e	črvta	(See in Parad	- ETUTTON		τύπτομαι	ervaróuny	τέτυμμαι		ereroppy	τυφθήσομαι	èriqqqi	τυπήσομαι	eromy	retrépopa		Trichouan	errokamn	(See in Parad.	ervaduny	
		Present	Imperfect	Perfect 1	Pluperfect 1	Perfect 2	Pluperfect 2	Future 1	Aorist 1	Future 2	Aorist 2		Present	Imperfect	Perfect	i	Pluperfect	Future 1	Aorist 1	Future 2	Aorist 2	Future 3		Future 1			Aorist 2	•

A C T-

		HE COMP THINGHEOR.		TICBCIII.
AUF. Z.		čτυπον like the Imperfect.		τύποιμι : Present.
Aor. 2.		X	 τύπω	τύψειαν*
		ἔτυψαν		τύψαιεν οτ
_		ἐτύψατε		τύψαιτε
	P.	ἐτύψαμεν		τύψαιμεν
,		ἐτυψάτην		τυψαίτην
		ἐτύψατον		τύψαιτον
	D.	_		
		7 - (- /		τύψειε (ν)
		ἔτυψε (ν)	1000110.	τύψαι or
		eropus [struck, etc.	Present.	τύψειας*
AOF. 1.	D.	ěτυψα I struck, or have ěτυψας [struck, etc.		τύψαιμι τήρους οτ
Aor 1	9			
rut. 1.	Ŋ.	like the Present.	Subjunctive wanting.	τύψοιμι like the Pres.
		τύψω I will strike		minleau
Perf. 2 Plupf.		τέτυπα, through all the ετετύπειν, like the Plup		ren. 1.
Dorf 9				
1		ετετύφεις ετετυφείτηι έτετύφει έτετυφείτηι		
Piupi.	IJ.	ετετύφειν D. — ἐτετύφεις ἐτετύφειτοι		I had
Dlunf	a	ετετύφειν D. —	P. ἐτετύφειμε	1
		τετύφασι (ν)		
	٠.	τετύφατε		
	P	τετυφατον τετύφαμεν		
		τετύφατον τετύφατον		
* .	D.			
1	т.	τέτυφε (ν)	Present.	Present.
fect		τέτυφας [etc.	like the Subj.	like the Opt.
Per-	S.	τέτυφα I have struck,	τετύφω	τετύφοιμι
fect.		έτυπτε (ν) ετυπτέτην	ἔτυπτον)	
per-		ἔτυπτε ς ἐτύπτετον	ετυπτετε }	striking, thou
	S.		Ρ. ἐτύπτομεν)	I struck or was
		τύπτουσι (ν) they strike		τύπτοιεν
		τύπτετε ye strike	τύπτητ€	τύπτοιτε
	Ρ.	τύπτομεν we strike	τύπτωμεν	τύπτοιμεν
	_	τύπτετον they two strike		τυπτοίτην
		τύπτετον ye two strike	τύπτητον	τύπτοιτον
• •	D.			
		τύπτει he, she, it strikes		τύπτοι
ent.	₽.	τύπτεις thou strikest	τύπτης	τύπτοις [strike
Pres-	S	τύπτω I strike	τύπτω I strike	τύπτοιμι I would
		Indicative.	Subjunctive.	Optative.
				A C T-

[•] See below, § 103. m. 14.

IVE.

Imperative.	Infinitive.	Participle.
τύπτε strike τυπτέτω let him, her, it strike τύπτετου strike (both) τυπτέτων let them (both) strike	to strike	τύπτων τύπτουσα τύπτον striking G. τύπτοντος
τύπτετε strike ye τύπτέτωσαν οι τυπτόντων let the		

didst strike, etc.

(τέτυφε) like the Present. For this Imper. see § 137. n. 13.	rervpévai to have struck	τετυφώς having τετυφυΐα [struck τετυφός Gen. τετυφότος
--	-----------------------------	--

struck, etc.

Imperat. wanting	τύψεω	τύψων, ουσα, α G. οντος, like Pr
τύψον strike	τύψαι to strike	
τυγάτω	•	τύψἄν Gen. τύψαντος
τύψατου τυψάτωυ		
τύψατε τυψάτωσαν ο τ τυψαντων		·
rύπε like the Present.	τυπείν	τυπών, οῦσα, δυ G. όντος

PASS-

				11100
		Indicative.	Subjunctive.	Optative.
Pres-	S.	τύπτομαι I am struck	τύπτφμαι	τυπτοίμην
ent.	,	τύπτη οτ ει*	τύπτη	τύπτοιο
		τύπτεται	τύπτηται ·	τύπτοιτο
	D.	τυπτόμεθον	τυπτώμεθον	τυπτοίμεθον
		τύπτεσθον	τύπτησθον	τύπτοισθον
		τύπτεσθον	τύπτησθον	τυπτοίσθην
	Ρ.	τυπτόμεθα	τυπτώμεθα	τυπτοίμεθ α .
		τύπτεσθε	τύπτησθε	τύπτοισθε
		τύπτονται	τύπτωνται	τύπτοιντο
Īm-	S.	έτυπτόμην D. έτυπτόμεθ	ον Ρ. ἐτυπτόμει	ga)
per-		ετύπτου ετύπτεσθο	ον ἐτύπτεσθ	I V
fect.		ἐτύπτετο ἐτυπτέσθη	υ ετύπτοντο	
Per-	S.	τέτυμμαι I have been	See § 98. 4.	See § 98. 4.
fect.		τέτυψαι [struck		
		τέτυπται	•	
	D.	τετύμμεθον		
		τέτυφθον		
		τέτυφθον	,	
	P.	τετύμμεθα		
		τέτυφθε		
		3 pers. wanting; for it	τετυμμένοι (αι)	εἰσίν
Plunf	g	ἐτετύμμην D. ἐτετύμμεθο		
I Iupi.	ν.	ετέτυψο ετέτυφθον	ετέτυφθε Ε	2 1 had occis
		έτέτυπτο έτετύφθην	3 pers. wa	nting; for it τε-
Fut. 1.		τυφθήσομαι I shall be		τυφθησοίμην
1 40. 1.	•	τυφθήση or ει, [struck		τυφθήσοιο etc.
		like the Present.	wantang.	like the Pres.
Aon 1	Q	ἐτύφθην I was struck	τυφθῶ	τυφθείην
AUI. I.	ю.	ετύφθης	τυφθης	τυφθείης
	Đ.	ἐτύφθη .	τυφθη̂	τυφθείη
	D .	2_/40		
		ετύφθητον 	τυφθήτον τυφθήτον	τυφθείητον
. •	ъ	ἐτυφθήτην /40		τυφθειήτην
	r.	ἐ τύφθημεν	τυφθῶμεν	τυφθείημεν
		2_/40	400	τυφθείμεν τυφθείητε
		<i>ἐτύφθητε</i>	τυφθήτε	πυφυείητε
		ἐτύφθησαν .	τυφθῶσι (ν)	τυφθε ίτε τυφθείησ α ν
		ετοφοήσων ,	τοφοωστ (ν)	τυφθείεν 1
TO			41	
Fut. 2.		τυπήσομαι		gh all the moods
Aor. 2.		ἐ τύπην		lor. 1.
Fut. 3.		τετύψομαι	throu	gh all the moods
				1 30 4 1 30 40 41

^{*} See § 103. m. 18. † The syncopated form is more commonly weed in the

IVE. To be struck.		
${\it Imperative}.$	Infinitive.	Participle.
τύπτου be thou struck τυπτέσθω	be struck	τυπτόμενος, η, ον being str uck
τύπτεσθον τυπτέσθων		
τύπτεσθε τυπτέσθωσαν οι τυπτέσθων		
struck, etc.		
•	τετύφθαι to	τετυμμένος, η, ον
τέτυψο	have been	having been
τετύφθω	struck	struck
τέτυφθον τετύφθων		,
τέτυφθε τετύφθωσαν οι τετύφθων, see §]	103. m. 15.	
struck, etc.		
τυμμένοι (αι) ήσαν		
Imperat. wanting	τυφθήσεσθαι	τυφθησόμενος, η, ον
	 τυφθῆναι to be	τυφθείς
τύφθητι be thou struck	struck	τυφθεῖσα
τυφθήτω		τυφθέν
τύφθητον		Gen.
τυφθήτων		τυφθέντος
τύφθητε		
τυφθήτωσαν, see § 103. m. 15.		
like Fut. 1.	1	J
τύπηθι, τυπήτω, etc.	like	Aor. 1.

¹ and 2 person; in the 3 pers. almost always.

MID-

To strike

Present and Imperfect, Perfect and Pluperfect,

Fut. 1.	Indicative. τύψομαι	Subjunct. wanting.	Optative. τυψοίμην
	like the Pres. Pass.		like the Pres. Pass.
D	. ἐτυψάμην ἐτύψω ἐτύψατο . ἐτυψάμεθον ἐτύψασθον · ἐτυψάσθην . ἐτυψάμεθα ἐτύψασθε ἐτύψασθε	τύγωμαι τύγη τύγηται τυγώμεθου τύγησθου τύγησθου τυγώμεθα τύγησθε τύγησθε	τυψαίμην τύψαιο τύψαιτο τυψαίμεθον τύψαισθον τυψαίσθην τυψαίμεθα τύψαισθε τύψαιντο
Aor. 2.	ἐτυπόμην like the Imperf. Pass.		τυποίμην noods as in the s. Pass.
		Verbal A	Adjectives († 102)

DECLENSION OF

	Aor. 1. Act.			Aor. 2. Act.	•
Sing. N. τύψαν G. τύψαντος D. τύψαντι A. τύψαντα V. τύψας*	τύψασα τυψάσης τυψάση τύψασαν τύψασα	τύψἄν τύψαντος τύψαντι τύψαν τύψαν	Sing. τυπών τυπόντος τυπόντι τυπόντα τυπών#	τυπούσα πούσης πούση πούσαν πούσα	τυπόν πόντο ς πόντι πόν πόν
Dual. Ν. τύψαντε G. τυψάντοιν Plur.	τυψάσα τυψάσαιν	τύψαντε τυψάντοιν	Dual. τυπόντε τυπάντου Plur.	πούσα πού σαιν	πόνι ε πόντοιν
Ν. τύψαντες G. τυψάντων D. τύψασι Α. τύψαντας	τύψασαι τυψασῶν τυψάσαις τυψάσας	τύψαντα τυψάντων τύψασι τύψαντα	τυπόντες τυπόντων τυποῦσι τυπόντας	πούσαι πουσῶν πούσαις πούσας	πόντα πόντων ποῦσι πόντα

^{*} See § 45. 1.

DLE.

oneself. (See Hdot. 2. 40. extr.)

are the same as the Passive.

Imperative.	Infinitive. τύψεσθαι	Participle. τυψόμενος, η, ον
τύψαι τυψάσθω	τίνγασθαι	τυψάμενος, η, ου
τύψασθον τυψάσθων τύψασθε		,
τυγάσθωσαν οι τυγάσθων τυποῦ	τυπέσθαι	τυπόμενος, η, ον
τυπέσθω τύπεσθου τυπέσθων τύπεσθε		,
τυπέσθωσαν οι τυπέσθων τυπτός, τυπτέος.		

THE PARTICIPLES.

Sing.	Perf. 1. Act.		Aor. 2. Act.		
τετυφώς	τετυφυία	τετυ φός	Sing.	τυφθείσα	τυφθέν
τετυφότος	φυίας	φότος	τυφθείς	θείσης	θέντος
τετυφότι	φυί ς	φότι	τυφθέντος	θείση	θέντι
τετυφότα	φυίαν	φός	τυφθέντι	θείσαν	θέν
τετυφώς*	φυία	φός	τυφθείς*	θείσα	θ έ ν
Dual. τετυφότε τετυφότοιν Plur.	φυία φυίαι ν	φότ ε φότοιν	Dual. τυφθέντε τυφθέντοιν Plur.	θείσα θείσαιν	θέντ ε θέντοι.
τετυφότες	φυ ίαι	φότα	τυφθέντες	θείσα ι	θέρτα
τετυφότων	φυ ιών	φότων	τυφθέντων	θείσαις	Θέντων
τετυφόσι	φυίαις	φόσι	τυφθείσι	θείσαις	Θείσι
τετυφότας	φυίας	φότα	τυφθέντας	θείσας	Θέντα

^{*} See § 45. 1.

EXAMPLES OF OTHER BARYTONE VERBS, as they are actually in use.

παιδεύω bring up (a child). Middle, cause to bring up.

ACTIVE.

Pres. Ind. παιδεύω παιδεύεις παιδεύει etc.	Subj. Opt. Imp. παιδεύω παιδεύοιμι παίδευε παιδεύης παιδεύοις παιδεύέτω etc. παιδεύη etc. παιδεύοι etc. Inf. παιδεύειν Part. παιδεύων, ουσα, παιδεθου			
Imperf. ἐπαίδευον,	ϵs , $\epsilon(\nu)$, etc.			
Perf. Ind. πεπαίδευκα, ας, ε(ν), etc. Pluperf. ἐπεπαίδε	ευκα, Imp. not in use. Inf. πεπαιδευκέναι			
Fut. παιδεύσω	Opt. παιδεύσοιμα Inf. παιδεύσειν Part. παιδεύσων			
Aorist. ἐπαίδευσα, ας, ε(ν), etc.	Subj. Opt. Imp. παιδεύσω παιδεύσαιμι παίδευσον ης, η, etc. παιδεύσαις οτ ειας etc. παιδευσάτω etc. Inf. παιδεῦσαι Part. παιδεύσας, σασα, παιδεῦσαν			
	PASSIVE.			
Pres. Ind. παιδεύομαι παιδεύη or ει παιδεύεται etc.	Subj. Opt. Imp. παιδεύωμαι παιδευοίμην παιδεύου παιδεύη etc. παιδεύοιο etc. παιδευέσθω etc. Inf. παιδεύεσθαι Part. παιδευόμενος, η, ον			
Imperf. ἐπαιδευόμ	ην, έπαιδεύου, έπαιδεύετο etc.			
πεπαίδ πεπαίδ Subj. and Opt	ευμαι D. πεπαιδεύμεθον P. πεπαιδεύμεθα ευσαι πεπαίδευσθον πεπαίδευσθε ευται πεπαίδευσθον πεπαίδευνται wanting. Imper. πεπαίδευσο, πεπαιδεύσθω etc. ε. πεπαιδεῦσθαι Part. πεπαιδευμένος			
Pluperf. ἐπεπαιδε ἐπεπαίδε ἐπεπαίδε	υσο ἐπεπαίδευσθον ἐπεπαίδευσθε			
Fut. Ind. παιδευθήσομαι	Opt. παιδευθησοίμην Inf. παιδευθήσεσθαι Part. παιδευθησόμενος			
Aor. Ind. ἐπαιδεύθην	Subj. παιδευθώ Opt. παιδευθείην Imp. παιδεύθητι Inf. παιδευθήναι Part. παιδευθείς			
Fut. 3. Ind. πεπαιδεύσομαι	Opt. πεπαιδευσοίμην Inf. πεπαιδεύσεσθαι Part. πεπαιδευσόμενος			



MIDDLE been saven

Fut, Ind. παιδεύσομαι	Opt. παιδευσοί Par	μην Inf. πα t. παιδευσόμενος	μδεύσεσθαι	107
Αοτ. Ind. ἐπαιδευσάμην, σω, σατο, etc.	Subj. παιδεύσωμαι η, ηται, etc. Inf. παιδο	Opt. παιδευσαίμην αιο, αιτο, etc. εύσασθαι Part.	Imp. παίδευσαι παιδευσάσθω, παιδευσάμενος	etc.

Verbal Adjectives: παιδευτέος, παιδευτός.

σείω shake; Mid. move myself violently.

ACTIVE.

Pres. σείω, etc. (Imp. σείε, σειέτω, etc.)

Impf. ἔσειον Pf. σέσεικα Plupf. ἐσεσείκειν Fut. σείσω

Aor. έσεισα Subj. σείσω Opt. σείσαιμι Imper. σείσον, άτω, etc. Inf. σείσαι Part. σείσας, σείσασα, σείσαν

PASSIVE.

Pres. σείομαι

Imperf. ἐσειόμην

Perf. σέσεισμαι D. σεσείσμεθον P. σεσείσμεθα σέσεισθον

σέσεισαι σέσεισθον σέσεισθε 3 pers. wanting.

Subj. and Opt. wanting. Imp. σέσεισο, σεσείσθω, etc.

Inf. σεσείσθαι Part. σεσεισμένος

Plupf. ἐσεσείσμην D. ἐσεσείσμεθον έσέσεισθον

Ρ. ἐσεσείσμεθα έσέσεισθε

έσέσεισο έσεσείσθην έσέσειστο

3 pers. wanting.

Fut. σεισθήσομαι Αοτ. έσείσθην Fut. 3 σεσείσομαι

MIDDLE.

Fut. σείσομαι Aor. ἐσεισάμην (Imperat. σεῖσαι) Verbal Adjectives: σειστέος, σειστός.

λείπω leave; Mid. poetical, remain behind.

ACTIVE.

Pres. λείπω

Impf. έλευπον

Perf. (2) λέλοιπα Fut. λείνω

Plupf. έλελοίπειν Aor. (2) έλιπου Subj. λίπω, etc.

PASSIVE.

Pres. λείπομαι

Imperf. έλειπόμην

Imp. λέλειψο, λελείφθω, etc. Perf. λέλειμμαι, ψαι, πται, etc. Inf. λελείφθαι Part. λελειμμένος

Plupf. έλελείμμην, ψο, πτο, etc.

Fut. λειφθήσομαι Fut. 3 λελείνομαι Aor. ελείφθην

MIDDLE.

Fut. λείνομαι Αοτ. (2) έλιπομην Subj. λίπωμαι, etc.

Verbal Adj. \(\lambda\ellipsi\), \(\lambda\ellipsi

aργω lead on, rule: Mid. begin.

ACTIVE.

Pres. ἄρχω Impf. ἢρχον

Perf. (ἡρχα) and Plupf. (ἤρχειν) scarcely occur

Fut. ἄρξω

Aor. ἢρξα Subj. Lotw Opt. ἄρξαιμι, ἄρξαις, ἄρξαι, etc. Imp. ἄρξον, ἀρξάτω, etc. Inf. ãoEas Part, apeas

PASSIVE.

Imperf. ἠρχόμην Pres. apxoual

Perf. ηργμαι D. ήργμεθον Ρ. ήργμεθα

ήρξαι ήρχθον

ήρχθον 3 pers. wanting.

Subj. and Opt. wanting. Imp. ἢρξο, ἤρχθω, etc. Inf. ἦρχθαι Part. ἠργμένος

ἦρχθ€

Plupf. ἤργμην D. ήργμεθον Ρ. ήργμεθα

> ήρξο ήρκτο ἦρχθον

λρχθ€ ήρχθην 3 pers. wanting.

Fut. ἀρχθήσομαι

Aor. ἤρχθην Subj. doybû (Ітр. архвить Opt. ἀρχθείπο Inf. ἀρχθηναι Part. ἀρχθείς

Fut. 3 wanting, see § 99. n. 2.

MIDDLE.

Fut. ἄρξομαι

Aor. ἠρξάμην Subj. apfwyai Opt. ἀρξαίμην Imp. ἄρξαι, άσθω, etc. Inf. ἄρξασθαι Part. ἀρξάμενος

Verbal Adj. (in the sense of both Act. and Mid.) dorréos, dorréos.

σκευάζω prepare.

ACTIVE.

Pres. σκευάζω Imperf. ἐσκεύαζον

Perf. ἐσκεύακα Subj. ἐσκευάκω Opt. ἐσκευάκοιμι Imp. not in use. Part. ¿orrevarús

Plupf. ἐσκευάκειν

Inf. egrevakévai

Fut. σκευάσω Aor. ἐσκεύασα Subj. σκευάσω

Opt. σκευάσαιμι Imp. σκεύασον Inf. σκευάσαι Part. σκευάσας

PASSIVE.

Pres. σκευάζομαι

Imperf. ἐσκευαζόμην

Perf. ἐσκευάσμαι, ασαι, ασται, etc. (comp. σείω)

Imp. ἐσκεύασο, ἐσκευάσθω, etc. Inf. ἐσκευάσθαι Part. eskevas péros Plupf. ἐσκευάσμην, ασο, αστο, etc.

Fut. σκευασθήσομαι Aor. ἐσκευάσθην

Fut. 3 (ἐσκευάσομαι) does not occcur.

MIDDLE.

Fut. σκευάσομαι

Aor. ἐσκευασάμην Subj. σκευάσωμαι Opt. σκευασαίμην Imp. σκεύασαι, σκευασάσθω, etc. Inf. σκευάσασθαι Part. σκευασάμενος Verb. Adj. σκευαστέος, σκευαστός.

κομίζω bring; Mid. receive.

ACTIVE.

Pres. κομίζω

Fut. κομίσω

Fut. Att. κομιῶ κομιείς κομιεί

κομιείτον κομιείτον Ρ. κομιοῦμεν κομιείτε

Opt. κομιοίμι, οίs, etc.* Part. κομιῶν, οῦσα, οῦν

κομιοῦσι(ν) Inf. κομιείν G. οῦντος

Αοτ. ἐκόμισα

Subj. κομίσω Inf. κομίσαι

PASSIVE, see σκευάζω. MIDDLE.

Fut. κομίσομαι

Fut. Att. κομιοθμαι

κομιείτ κομιείται D. κομιούμεθον κομιείσθον κομιείσθον

Ρ. κομιούμεθα κομιείσθε κομιοῦνται

Opt. κομιοίμην, κομιοίο, etc.* Part. κομιούμενος Inf. κομιείσθαι

Aor. έκομισάμην Subj. κομίσωμαι, etc.

* See Opt. Pres. of ποιέω § 105.

† See note below, m. 18.

φυλάσσω guard; Mid. guard myself.

ACTIVE.

Pres. φυλάσσω

φυλάττω

Impf. ἐφύλασσον **ἐ**φύλαττον

Perf. πεφύλαγα Fut. φυλάξω

Plupf. ἐπεφυλάγειν Αοτ. ἐφύλαξα

PASSIVE.

Pres. φυλάσσομαι φυλάττομαι

Imperf. έφυλασσόμην **ἐ**φύλαττόμην

Perf. πεφύλαγμαι πεφύλαξαι πεφύλακται

D. πεφυλάγμεθον Ρ. πεφυλάγμεθα πεφύλαχθον πεφύλαχθε πεφύλαχθοι 3 pers. wanting. Imp. πεφύλαξο, πεφυλάχθω, etc.

Subj. and Opt. wanting. Inf. πεφυλάχθαι Part. πεφυλαγμένος

Plupf. ἐπεφυλάγμην έπεφύλαξο έπεφύλακτο D. ἐπεφυλάγμεθον επεφύλαχθον έπεφυλάχθην

Ρ. ἐπεφυλάγμεθα ἐπεφύλαχθε 3 pers. wanting.

Fut. φυλαχθήσομαι

Αοτ. εφυλάχθην

Fut. 3 πεφυλάξομαι

MIDDLE.

Fut. φυλάξομαι

Aor. εφυλαξάμην

Verb. Adj. φυλακτέος, φυλακτός.

EXAMPLE OF VERBS λμνρ.

ἀγγέλλω Ι announce.

ACTIVE.

Pres. Ind. ἀγγέλλω	Subj. ἀγγελλω Inf. ἀγγελ	Opt. ἀγγέλλοιμι Imp. ἄγγελλο ιλειν Part. ἀγγέλλων	•
Imperfect ἤγγελλον			
Perf. Ind. ἤγγελκα	Subj. ἢγγελκω Inf. ἠγ	Opt. ἢγγέλκοιμι Imp. not in τ γγελκέναι Part. ἢγγελκώς	186.
Pluperfect ηγγέλκειν			
Future (2) Indi	с.	,	
ἀγγελῶ	D. —	Ρ. ἀγγελοῦμεν	
άγγελεῖς	ἀγγελεῖτον	άγγελεῖτε	
ἀγγελεῖ	ἀγγελεῖτον	άγγελοῦσι(ν)	
Optat.			
S. ἀγγελοῖμι	D. —	Ρ. ἀγγελοῖμεν	
αγγελοίς	ἀγγελοῖτον	ἀγγελοῖτε	
ἀγγελοῖ	αγγελοίτην	άγγελοῖεν	
	or A	ttio	
άγγελοίην, οίης,	οίη · —, οίητον, οιήτη	ην· οίημεν, οίητε, οίησαν	
••	see below, note	II. 3. (m. 13.)	
Inf. ἀγγελεῖν Part. ἀγγελῶν, α	ἐγγελοῦσα, ἀγγελοῦν	Gen. ἀγγελοῦντος	
Aor. 1. Ind.	Subj. ἀγγείλω	Opt. ἀγγείλαιμι	
<i>ἥγγειλα</i>		άγγείλαις or -ειας	
	7 *	άγγείλαι or -eιε, etc.	
A == 0 Tu-2		άτω Inf. άγγειλοι Part. άγγειλο	<u></u>
Aor. 2. Ind.	διίο]. αγγέλω Ιπή	Opt. ἀγγελοιμι Imp. ἄγγελε ἀγγελεῖν Part. ἀγγελών	
ἤγγελον*	1119.	a y yenes I are. a y yenwr	

^{*} For the use of this tense in this particular verb, see the marg. note to § 101. 8.

PASSIVE.

I am announced.

Pres. Ind.	Subj. ἀγγέλλωμαι Opt. ἀγγέλλοίμην Imp. ἀγγέλλου
ἀγγέλλομαι	Inf. ἀγγέλλεσθαι Part. ἀγγελλόμενος
Imperfect ἠγγελλ	όμην

Perf. ἤγγελμαι ἥγγελσαι	D. ἡγγέλμεθον ἡγγελθον	P. ηγγέλμεθα ήγγελθε
ήγγελται	ηγγεκουν $ ηγγεκουν $ $ ηγγεκουν $ Subj. and Opt. wanting.	3 pers. wanting
Ιπρ. ήγγελσο, ήγγ	έλθω, etc. Inf. ηγγέλθαι.	Part. ηγγελμένος
Plupf. ηγγέλμην	D. ἢγγέλμεθον	Ρ. ἡγγέλμεθα
ήγγελσο	ήγγελθον	ήγγελθε
ήγγελτο	ηγγελθην	3 pers. wanting

Fut. 1. αγγελθήσομαι, etc.

Aor. 1. Ind.	Subj. ἀγγελθῶ Ορτ. ἀγγελθείην Imp. ἀγγελθητι Inf. ἀγγελθῆναι Part. ἀγγελθείς.
ηγγέλθην	Inf. ἀγγελθηναι Part. ἀγγελθείς.

Fut. 2. ἀγγελήσομαι, etc.

Aor. 2. Ind.	Subj. ἀγγελῶ Opt. ἀγγελείην Imp. ἀγγέληθι
ηγγέλην	Subj. ἀγγελῶ Opt. ἀγγελείην Imp. ἀγγεληθι Inf. ἀγγελῆναι Part. ἀγγελείς.

Fut. 3 wanting, see § 99. n. 2.

MIDDLE.

I announce myself, i. e. promise for myself.

Aor. 2. Ind. ηγγελόμην	Subj. dyy Imp. dyy	έλωμαι Opt. ἀ γελοῦ Inf. ἀγγε	γγελοίμην, έλοιο, etc. λέσθαι Part. ἀγγελόμ	ιενος.
Αοτ. 1. Ind. ήγγγειλάμην		Imp.	ἀγγειλαίμην, ἀγγείλαιο, ἄγγειλαι, άτω, etc. urt. ἀγγειλάμενος	etc
Ορτατ. S. ἀγγελοίμην ἀγγελοῖο ἀγγελοῖτο		ἀγγελοίσθην	P. ἀγγελοίμεθα ἀγγελοῦσθε ἀγγελοῦντο ιενος, η, ον	,
Future Indic. ἀγγελοῦμαι ἀγγελῆ or εῖ ἀγγελεῖται	D.	ἀγγελούμεθον ἀγγελεῖσθον ἀγγελεῖσθον	P. ἀγγελουμεθα ἀγγελεῖσθε ἀγγελοῦνται	

Verbal Adjectives · ἀγγελτέος, ἀγγελτός.

NOTES ON ALL THE PARADIGMS.

I. Accent.

1. As the foundation for all rules respecting the tone in verbs, it is to be assumed, that the tone is regularly thrown as far back as possible. Consequently, in forms of two syllables, it is always on the first, τύπτω, τύπτε, λείπω, λείπε,

and in those of three or more syllables, on the antepenult, when the nature of the final syllable permits,

τύπτομεν, τύπτουσι, τετύφασι, τύπτομαι έτυπτε, έτυψα, ἐπαίδευον, ἐφύλαξα,

and the Imperatives

φύλαττε, φύλαξον, φύλαξαι.

On the other hand we find παιδεύω, φυλάττειν, etc. on account of the long final syllable.—Hence, forms of two syllables in composition throw back the tone upon the preposition, whenever the final syllable permits it (but see below, m. 10); e. g.

φέρε, λειπε-πρόσφερε, ἀπόλειπε.

- 2. When in the dialects an accented augment falls away, the accent always passes in simple verbs to the next syllable of the verb; e. g. εβαλε, ἔφευγε—βάλε, φεῦγε; but in compound verbs, it passes to the preposition; e.g. ἐνέβαλε, προσέβη—ἔμβαλε, πρόσβη. Here it is to be noted, that in the first case those monosyllabic forms whose vowel is long, always take the tone as circumflex; e. g. $\xi \beta \eta - \beta \hat{\eta}$.
- 3. Apparent exceptions to the above fundamental rule, are the instances where a contraction lies at the basis; consequently, besides the contract verbs which are hereafter to be exhibited, we must here reckon the following portions of the ordinary conjugation:

- The Fut. 2 and Attic Fut. of every kind, § 95. 7—11.
 The Subjunct. Aor. Pass. τυφθῶ, τυπῶ, which come from the Ion. -ἐω, -éŋs; see below m. 38, and § 107. m. 29.
 3) The temporal augment in trisyllabic compounds; e. g. ἀνάπτω, ἀνῆπτον,
 - § 84. n. 4, and m. 10 below.
- 4. Real exceptions are the following:
 - 1) The Aor. 2, in order to distinguish it from the Present, takes the tone upon the ending, in the following forms:

 a. In the Inf. and Part. Act. and Inf. Mid. always; e. g.
 - τυπείν * τυπών, οῦσα, όν · τυπέσθαι.
 - b. In the Sing. of the Imperat. Aor. 2 Mid. commonly; e. g. γενοῦ, λαθοῦ; but Plur. γένεσθε, λάθεσθε.†
 - c. In the Sing. of the Imperat. Aor. 2 Act. only in the following: εἰπέ, έλθέ, εύρέ, and in the more accurate Attic pronunciation also λαβέ, ίδέ. The compound Imperatives follow the general rule; e. g. ἐπιλάθου, ἄπελθε, eίσιδε.
 - 2) The Inf. and Part. of the Perfect Passive are distinguished from all the rest of the Passive form in respect to the tone also, which they always have upon the penult:

τετύφθαι, τετυμμένος; πεποιήσθαι, πεποιημένος.

^{*} The Inf. Aor. 2 Act. might be reckoned among the apparent exceptions under 3 above; because the Ionics formed this also, like the Fut. 2, in \(\ellipsi \text{iv}\), e. g. \(\lambda \alpha \beta \ellipsi \text{also}\), see below, m. 38. But here the process is probably reversed. The tone for λαβείν, see below, m. 38. But here the process is probably reversed. was thrown upon ew for the same reason as upon www and eoda; and the Ionics, in their fondness for vowels, caused this accent to pass over into the prolonged double sound; see § 28. n. 3. § 105. n. 10.

[†] On the other hand we find written: Thou Eurip. Or. 1231; #60ev Hdot. 3. 68.

All Infinitives in val, except the dialect-form in μεναι (m. 33), have
the tone upon the penult; e. g. τετυφέναι, τυφθηναι, τυπηναι. See also
the Infinitives of Verbs in μι.

4) The Inf. Acr. 1 Act. in a, and the 3 pers. Opt. Act. in a and a, always have the tone on the penult, even when they are polysyllables;

e. g.

Inf. φυλάξαι, παιδεύσαι 3 Opt. φυλάττοι, φυλάξαι, παιδεύσαι.*

5) All Participles in ωs and εις have the acute upon the final syllable; as τετυφώς, τυφθείς, τυπείς. So in Verbs in μι the participles in εις, ας, ους, υς.

6) When the masculine of a participle has the tone on a particular syllable, the other genders retain it on the same, without further regard to the nature of the syllables; thus φυλάττων, φυλάττουσα, φυλάττον· τιμήσουν, τιμήσουσα, τιμήσουν τετυφώς, τετυφυία, τετυφός.

7) In compound verbs the accent can never go further back than the augment; e. g. ἀνέσχον, ἀνέσταν. But if the augment is dropped, the case falls under note 2 above, as πρόσβη.

II, Ionic and Attic Peculiarities.

The Ionics have in the Imperfect and both Aorists a form called the 11
 Iterative in -σκον, Pass. -σκόμην,
 which is used to denote a repeated action. These forms are found only in

which is used to denote a repeated action. These forms are found only in the Indicative, have usually no augment, and are to be made after the model of $\tau \iota \pi \tau \omega$, e. g.

τύπτεσκον, τυπτεσκόμην, from έτυπτον, όμην τύψασκον, τυψασκόμην, from έτυψα, άμην τύπεσκον, τυπεσκόμην, from έτυπον, όμην.

2. The Pluperfect (1 and 2) Active in ειν, is formed by the Ionics in the 12 1 pers. in εα, and in the 3 pers. in εε or εεν; as ἐτετύφεα, ἐτετύφεα or -εεν. From this there is an Attic contracted form, of which the first person is η, from εα, e. g.

έπεπόνθη for έπεπόνθειν.

This was the usual form among the earlier Attics. But the second person in η_s from ϵas , and the third in $\epsilon \iota \nu$ (before a vowel) from $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$,—as $\pi \epsilon \pi o i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi o i \theta \epsilon \iota \lambda$ as the holo in § 105. n. 3,)—were perhaps less usual even among the Attics. Hitherto at least all the examples which have been brought forward of these forms, as well as of a third person in η instead of $\epsilon \iota$, (except from the Plupf. $\frac{\pi}{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$, see in olda § 109 III. 2,) rest only on the authority of some single passages, and the somewhat indefinite assertions of the ancient Grammarians. See Ausf. Sprachl. § 97. n. 14 sq.

Infin. Act.

3 Opt. Act. παιδεύσαι

Imperat. Mid

But see the same forms in the Parad. of σείω, κομίζω, τύπτω.

^{*} By this accentuation, and from the circumstance that the 3 pers. Opt. never takes the circumflex upon the penult (§ 11. n. 3), are distinguished the three similar forms of the Aor. 1; e. g.

- 3. Instead of the Opt. Act. in οιμι, there was a secondary form in οίην, οίης, οίη, Plur. οίημεν, οίητε, οίησαν, which is called the Attic form. It is found for the most part only in contract verbs (§ 105), and consequently in the circumflexed Future; e.g. ἐροίη for ἐροῖ from Fut. ἐρῶ, Xen. Cyr. 3. 1. 11; φανοίην Soph. Aj. 313. Besides these instances, it occurs in barytones only in the Perfect; e.g. πεφευγοίην, εληλυθοίην; and in the Opt. of the anomalous Aorist ἔσχον, σχοίην; see § 114 ἔχω.
- 14 4. Instead of the Opt. Aor. 1 Act. in auμ, there was an Æolic form in εια, as τύψεια, ειας, ειας, ειας, etc. of which the three endings exhibited above in the paradigm of τύπτω, viz.

Sing. 2 τύψειας 3 τύψειε (ν), for -ais, -ai Plur. 3 τύψειαν for -aiev,

were far more usual than the regular forms.

5. The form of the 3 Plur. of the Imperative in -ντων, Pass. -σθων, is called Attic, because it was, among the Attics, the most usual form; although it is found in the other dialects. In the Active, this form is always like the Genitive Plur. of the Participle of the same tense, except in the Perfect; e. g. Perf. πεποιθέτωσαν οι πεποιθόντων—Part. πεποιθότων. Hence also in the Aor. Pass. which is inflected like the Active, it should end in έντων; and it is actually once so read, and without variation, e. g. πεμφθέντων Plato Legg. p. 856. d. But see Ausf. Sprachl. § 88, and comp. τιθέντων, δυτων, from τίθημι, εἰμί, εἰμι. For the same pers. in the Perf. Pass. Plato for instance has the periphrase πεπεισμένοι ἔστων Rep. 6. p. 502.

III. Second Person Sing. Passive.

- The original ending of the second person Sing. of the Passive, σαι and σο (§ 87. n. 4), has been retained only in the Perfect and Plupf. of the ordinary conjugation, and in Verbs in μ, § 106. n. 2. The less cultivated dialects perhaps continued to say in the 2 pers. τύπτεσαι, ἐτύπτεσο, Imperat. τύπτεσο, Aor. 1 Mid. ἐτύψασο,—Subj. τύπτησαι, etc.*
- 2. The Ionics dropped the σ from this old ending, and formed εαι, ηαι; εο, αο. The common language contracted these endings again into η, ου, ω; e. g.

Ion. Comm. Ion. Comm.
2 Pres. Ind. τύπτεαι τύπτη. Imperat. τύπτεο τύπτου
— Subj. τύπτηαι τύπτη. 2 Impf. ἐτύπτεο ἐτύπτου
2 pers. Aor. 1 Mid. Ion. ἐτύψαο, comm. ἐτύψω.

In the same manner in the Optative, instead of o100 is formed 010, which remained as the common form, because it cannot be contracted.—In the Perf. and Plupf. on the contrary, the σ is never dropped, except in $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma$; see Anom. $\sigma\epsilon\nu\sigma$ § 114.

8 3. The Attics had the further peculiarity, that instead of η contr. from $\epsilon a \iota$, they wrote $\epsilon \iota$. This form, which also is noted in the paradigms, was the usual one in the genuine Attic writers, the tragedians excepted; and also in the common language. In the verbs $\beta o \dot{\nu} \lambda o \mu a \iota$, $o \ddot{\iota} o \mu a \iota$, and Fut. $\delta \psi o \mu a \iota$ (see Anom. $\delta \rho \dot{a} \dot{\omega}$), this form of the 2 pers. became the only usual one, viz.

βούλει, οῖει, ὄψει, so that βούλη and οῖη can only be Subjunctive.—This form in ει is also very

common in the Attic or circumflexed Future; e. g. βαδιεῖ, ὀλεῖ.

19 4. The Dorics and Ionics, instead of εο or ου, have here ευ; as ἐτύπτευ,

^{*} The 2 pers. Present Pass. of the contracted verbs seems most frequently to have occurred in this form in the later common language; e. g. in the New Test Rom. 2, 17. 23, καυχάσαι for καυχάσαι, comm. καυχά contr. from καυχάμ; see τιμάω § 105. Also ἀποξενοῦσαι, etc.

Imperat. $\tau \dot{\nu}\pi\tau\epsilon v$, see § 28. n. 5. The epic writers could in the Imperative lengthen the ϵ into $\epsilon \iota$, which however rarely occurs; e. g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\iota o$ for $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon o$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho o\mu a\iota$, Il. λ . 611; also $\sigma\pi\epsilon \tilde{\iota}o$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi o\mu a\iota$, Il. κ . 285.

IV. Ionic Form of the 3 pers. Plur. Pass. in arai, aro.

1. In the 3 Plur. Pass. of the Indic. and Opt. but never in the Subjunctive, the Ionics changed the ν into a, and wrote, e. g.

Opt. τυπτοίατο for τύπτοιντο
Perf. πεπαιδεύαται for πεπαίδευνται
— κεκλίαται for κέκλινται.

This is sometimes imitated by the Attic poets, for the sake of the metre. See also below under verbs in $\epsilon \omega$ and $\epsilon \omega$, § 105. n. 9.

2. The ending orro is sometimes treated by the Ionics in the same manner, but with a change of the o into ϵ ; e. g.

έβουλέατο for έβούλοντο.

On the other hand, the ending ουται (τύπτονται, τύψονται, etc.) and the ending ωνται of the Subjunctive, are never changed. See § 105. n. 9.

3. By the help of this Ionic ending, the 3 Plur. *Perf.* and *Plupf. Pass.* 22 can be formed, when the characteristic of the verb is a *consonant*; and this is done sometimes even by Attic prose writers, as Thucydides, Plato, etc. (§ 98. 2, and n. 2.) E. g.

τέτυμμαι (τέτυφα) — τετύφαται τέταγμαι (τέταχα) — ἐτετάχατο ἔσταλμαι, ἔφθαρμαι — ἐστάλαται, ἐφθάραται.

In distance, Ion. for deficance (see the Anom. Invious), instead of χ , the characteristic of the verb remains unchanged. The like case in pure verbs see in § 105. n. 9.

4. In verbs where the characteristic is a *lingual* (or *T-sound*), the simple 23 characteristic always reappears before the endings aras, aro; e. g.

πειθω, πέπεισμαι, 3 Pl. πεπείθαται έρείδω, έρήρεισμαι, — έρηρέδαται Hom.

Here the diphthong is shortened because of the Attic reduplication (§ 85. 2). So with a restoration of the δ which is contained in ζ (§ 92. n. 6), εσκευάδαται, κεχωρίδαται, from σκευάζω, χωρίζω.

5. In the editions of Homer we find some other verbs formed in the man- 24 ner last mentioned, which have neither δ nor ζ in the Present. Of these the form $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\rho}\hat{\rho}\hat{a}\delta a\tau a\iota$, from $\hat{\rho}a\hat{l}\nu\omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\hat{\rho}\hat{\rho}a\sigma\mu a\iota$ (§ 101. n. 8. b), can be derived from a secondary form PAZQ, from which also $\hat{\rho}\hat{a}\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon$ occurs in Homer. The other examples, however, are too uncertain for any grammatical use.*

V. Miscellaneous.

1. Some of the less cultivated dialects, especially the Alexandrine (§ 1. 2., n. 8) gave to the 3 pers. Plur. in all the historical tenses and in the Opt. the ending σαν; hence especially in the Greek version of the Old Testament the frequent forms ἐφαίνοσαν, ἐφύγοσαν, λείποισαν, for ἔφαινον, ἔφυγον, λείποιεν, etc. also contr. ἐγεννῶσαν, ἐποιοῦσαν, etc.



^{*} Il. ρ. 637 ἀκηχέδαται from ἀκήχεμαι (see Anom. ἀκαχίζω), and Od. η. 86 ἐλη-λάδατο from ἐλάω, ἐλήλαμαι; both with various readings of sufficient authority to excite suspicion. See Ausf. Sprachl. § 98. n. 13. marg.

26 2. The Dorics and poets, on the other hand, have in some instances, instead of the tense-ending of the third person σαν, a syncopated form in ν with a short vowel. This takes place in barytone verbs only in the Aorists Passive:

3 Pl. $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau \upsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau \upsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$, for $-\eta \sigma a \nu$.

Other instances belong to the conjugation of verbs in μ .—This syncope is never into $-\eta \nu$; as to the Homeric $\mu \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \eta \nu$, see the Anom. $\mu \iota \dot{\alpha} \iota \nu \omega$, § 114.

- 3. The dialects mentioned above in 1, by a still greater anomaly, gave to the 3 pers. Plur. Perfect, instead of āσι, the (historical) ending aν; hence in the New Testament ἔγνωκαν, εἴρηκαν, Batrachom. 178 ἔοργαν.—For a similar change in the 3 pers. Dual of the historical tenses, e. g. Il. κ. 364 διώκετον instead of -την, see in § 87. n. 7.
- 28 4. The 3 Plur of the primary tenses, instead of σιν or σι, has in the Doric dialect commonly ντι (§ 87. n. 3); thus

τύπτοντι, τετύφαντι, for τύπτουσι, τετύφασιν Subj. τύπτωντι for τύπτωσιν Fut. 2 μενέοντι, μενεῦντι, for (μενέουσι) μενοῦσιν.

This form does not take the movable ν.—Another Doric form is τύπτοισι for τύπτοισι.

29 5. Further, in the participial endings ovoa and $\bar{a}s$, $\bar{a}\sigma a$, the long sound arises from the dropping of ν or $\nu\tau$, which is found in the Gen. of the masculine. Instead of these long sounds, the Dorics employ always or and $a\iota$, e. g.

τύπτοισα for τύπτουσα· Αοτ. 2 λαβοῖσα* for λαβοῦσα Αοτ. 1 τύψαις, τύψαισα, for τύψας, ασα.

- The epic poets sometimes lengthen the accented o in the oblique cases of the Part. Perf. Act. e. g. τετριγώταs for -όταs.
- 31 7. The Dorics introduced their long a into the endings ἐτυπτόμαν, ἐτετυμμαν, τυπτοίμαν, etc. for -μην; in those of the Dual, κτησάσθαν, ἐποησάταν; and also into the ending of the Aor. Pass. e. g. ἐτύπαν Theoer. 4. 53. This last however occurs only in the later Doric.
- 32 8. The 1 Plur. Act. in μεν is made by the Dorics in μες, as τύπτομες, ἐτύ-ψαμες; and in the 1 Plur. and Dual Pass. in μεθα, μεθον, the Dorics and all poets insert σ,

τυπτόμεσθα, τυπτόμεσθον.

 The Infinitives in ειν and ναι had, in the ancient language and in the dialects, forms in μεναι and μεν; thus

> τυπτέμεναι, τυπτέμεν — for τύπτειν τετυφέμεναι, τετυφέμεν — for τετυφέναι τυπήμεναι, τυπήμεν — for τυπήναι.

Sometimes there was also a syncope, as $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\mu\epsilon\nu a\iota$ for $\epsilon\delta\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu a\iota$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$; see the Anom. $\epsilon\sigma\theta\iota\omega$, § 114.

34 10. The Dorics, in particular, form the Infinitive in εν or ην instead of ειν, without either drawing back or changing the acute accent; e. g. με-ρίσδεν, εὔδεν, ἀείδεν, for μερίζειν, εὔδειν, ἀείδειν; Aor. 2 ἀγαγέν for ἀγαγείν; also χαίρην for χαίρειν, not χαίρην.—For the Infinitives in -ναι also there was an Æolic and Doric form in -ην (and -ειν) with the accent drawn back; as μεθύσθην, δεδύκην, γεγάκειν. For this last form see espec. § 111. n. 1.



^{*} Not λαβεῦσα, because there is here no contraction; see § 105. n. 13, marg. note.

- 11. It is under the same analogy that we find among the Dorics the sec- 35 ond person of the Present Act. sometimes formed in εs instead of εις, without change of accent; e. g. ἀμέλγεις for ἀμέλγεις, Theoritus.
- 12. The old language had in the second person of the Active form, instead 36 of s,

the ending $\sigma\theta a$.

which in Homer and other poets is often appended in the Subjunctive, and sometimes in the Optative; e. g. $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\sigma\theta a$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta s$, $\kappa\lambda aioi\sigma\theta a$ for $\kappa\lambda aiois$. In the common language, however, this has been preserved only in some anomalous verbs; see below in §§ 108, 109, $\dot{\epsilon}l\mu l$, $\dot{\epsilon}l\mu l$, $\eta\eta\mu l$, and olda.

13. In the earliest language, the three endings which are now regarded 37 as peculiarities of the conjugation in μ , viz. 1 Sing. μ , 3 Sing. σ , Imperat. 9, probably belonged to the verb in general. Hence the Imperative in 9, not only in the Aor. Pass. but also in the syncopated Perfects (§ 110). The first person in μ has been preserved in the ordinary conjugation only in the Optative; but the earliest epic writers had it also in the Subjunctive; e. g.

ἵκωμι, ἀγάγωμι, for ἵκω, ἀγάγω.

Finally, the third person Singular in $\sigma\iota$ or $\sigma\iota\nu$ is also in the epic language very common in the Subjunctive; e. g.

τύπτησιν, έχησι, for τύπτη, έχη.

For the 3 Sing. Indicat. in not instead of the usual form, see § 106. n. 9.

14. The circumflexed forms are by the Ionics either resolved, or pro- 38 longed into the double sound (§ 105. n. 10). Thus the *Inf. Aor.* 2 Active in ε̂ν, into έεν, e. g.

φυγέειν for φυγείν from έφυγον,

see marg. note to m. 4, above. So likewise the Subjunctive of both Aorists Pass. in ô, into έω, epic είω, see m. 3, above; thus

Subj. A. 1 Pass. $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ for $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$ from $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$ Subj. A. 2 Pass. $\tau v \pi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, epic $\tau v \pi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, for $\tau v \pi \dot{\omega}$.

In those personal forms of this Subjunctive which have η in the ending, the usage of the epic writers varies between this mode of lengthening the preceding ϵ into $\epsilon \iota$, and the doubling of the η ; e. g. $(\epsilon \delta d\mu \eta \nu, \delta a\mu \hat{\epsilon}, \delta a\mu \epsilon i \eta)$ I. γ . 436. χ . 246; $(\epsilon \sigma d\pi \eta \nu, \sigma a\pi \hat{\epsilon}, \sigma a\pi \epsilon i \omega)$, $\sigma a\pi \dot{\eta} \eta$ II. τ . 27. Compare the forms of the dialects under verbs in μ , since these must here also be assumed as the basis of such changes. For the orthography of $\delta a\mu \epsilon i \eta$ (Subj.) see § 107. m. 43. marg.

15. The Subjunctive loses sometimes in the epic writers its long vowel, 39 and takes o and ε instead of ω and η; e. g. Τομεν let us go, see in είμι Subj. ἴω, § 108. V; ἐγείρομεν for -ωμεν II. β. 440; ἐρύσσιεν for Subj. Aor. 1 ἐρύσωμεν II. α. 141; ἰμείρεται for -ηται Od. α. 41; ναυτίλλεται Od. δ. 672. See also είδομεν under οίδα § 109. III. 6. This occurs most frequently in the form just adduced of the Subjunct. Aor. Pass. e. g. δαμείομεν, δαμείετε; and hence it clearly appears that the other forms are not Indicatives, as some suppose. Indeed, all the above passages require the Subjunctive.

§ 104. Usual and Unusual Tenses.

1. To determine in respect to every verb what tenses are actually in use, and what not, is not a matter of definite rules; and must therefore be left to the lexicons and to the fuller lists of verbs; see § 114 and App. F.

- 2. Thus much, however, may be noted from the mass of particulars: The second tenses, so called, viz. the Aor. 2. Act. the Perf. 2, and the Aor. 2. Pass. occur almost exclusively and alone in primitive verbs; which however often have in the Present a strengthened form; e. g. those in $-\acute{a}vo$. As however these primitives, when they thus form the second tenses, are all enumerated in the said lists of verbs and in the course of this whole division on the Verb, the learner may assume, that all verbs, in which such forms are not expressly specified, follow the other formation, i. e. have the first tenses. To these belong then almost all derivative verbs.*
- 3. We can indeed assume it as a rule, that all derived verbs of three or more syllables, which have the following very common derivative endings,

άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω, εύω, όω, άω, έω,

e. g. σκευάζω from σκευή, νομίζω from νόμος, σημαίνω from σημα, εὐθύνω from εὐθύς, παιδεύω from παις, δουλόω from δούλος, τιμάω from τιμή, φιλέω from φίλος, form throughout only the

Aor. 1 Active, Perf. 1 (in ka), and Aor. 1 Passive. See also § 96. 4.

Note 1. Of these endings also, some in certain verbs are not derivative endings, but serve merely to lengthen out a verb; i. e. they belong to the strengthened forms of the Present (§ 92), by which the whole becomes anomalous; e. g. ἀλιταίνω, Aor. 2 ἔδάμην, etc.

Note 2. Under the same head belong those derived verbs, which are formed from nouns by means of such endings as σσω, πτω, λλω, etc. § 119.

4. Of these ἀλλάσσω is the only one which forms the Aor. 2 Pass. ἢλλάγην.

105. Contracted Conjugation.

1. Verbs in $\not \epsilon \omega$, $\not a\omega$, and $\not a\omega$, correspond entirely, in their general formation, to the rules and examples given above; and in the sections which treat of the formation of the tenses, we have everywhere had reference also to these verbs. But in the *Present* and *Imperfect* of the Active and Passive (and Middle) forms, where the vowels a, ϵ , o, stand immediately before the vowels of the personal endings, there arises in the Attic and common language a *Contraction*, which in the Ionic dialect is often neglected; see below in note 1.



^{*} Precisely as in English, by far the greater number of verbs and epecially derivatives, have the regular form of the Imperf. and of the past or Passive Participle in ed, as ask, asked, asked; love, loved, loved; while comparatively few, and those primitive, have the monosyllabic Imperf. and the Part. in en or n, as speak, spoke, spoken; give, gave, given, etc.

2. This contraction conforms throughout to the general rules in § 28; except in some endings of verbs in $\delta\omega$. In these verbs, instead of contracting $\delta\omega$ into $\delta\nu$, and $\delta\eta$ into δ , according to the general rule, the ι of the second and third person Sing. becomes predominant, so that the endings $\delta\omega$ and $\delta\eta$ s are contracted into $\delta \hat{\nu}$, and the endings $\delta\omega$ and $\delta\eta$ into $\delta\hat{\nu}$. Thus

 2 pers. Ind. Act. μισθόεις — Subj. — μισθόης 3 pers. Ind. Act. μισθόει — Subj. — μισθόη — Subj. — μισθόη 2 pers. Ind. and Subj. Pass. μισθόη contr. μισθοῖ.

Since now $\delta o\iota$ is also contracted into $o\iota$, the three moods, *Indic. Subjunct.* and *Opt.* become in these two persons in the *Active* entirely alike.—The Infin. in $\delta \epsilon\iota\nu$ is regularly contracted, e. g. $\mu\iota\sigma\theta\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$ contr. $\mu\iota\sigma\theta\sigma\delta\nu$.

3. Verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, which everywhere follow the general rules of contraction, have the whole *Indicative* and *Subjunctive* in both Active and Passive alike; inasmuch as both $a\epsilon$ and $a\eta$ are contracted into a; $a\epsilon\iota$ and $a\eta$ into a; and ao, $ao\iota$, $ao\iota$, into ω .

4. Where there is an ι or ι subscript in the ending, the contracted vowel (a, η, ω) usually and by rule takes ι subscript. But in the *Infin. Pres.* of verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$, the omission of the ι subscript $(-\hat{a}\nu)$ is perhaps more common than its insertion.*

^{*} Comp. Auf. Sprachl. § 105. n. 17. The ι in the ending of the Infin. is not so essential as in the second and third person of the Indicative; hence also in μισθόω we have in the Infin. the contraction μισθοῦν, but in the Indic. μισθοῖε, μισθοῖε. Compare also the Doric Infinitive-ending -εν, § 103. m. 34. § 105. n. 15.

CTIVE

گ ب
ă
ğ
۳
₽.

Indicative. (make)	•	(hom	(honour)	(let out, hire)	hire)
S. motéw notéets motéet D. — motéerov P. motéere motéere motéore	ποιδε ποιείε ποιείτον ποιείτον ποιείτε ποιούσε(ν)	τιμάο τιμάει τιμάει τιμάσιον τιμάσιον τιμάσιο τιμάσιο	τιμώ τιμής τιμής τιμάτον τιμάτον τιμάτε τιμώσοι(ν)	μσθόεις μαθόεις μαθόεις μαθόετον μαθόετον μαθόσης μαθόσης	μισθώ μισθοίτ* μισθοίτον μισθούτου μισθούτος μισθούτε κισθούτε κισθούτε κ. See § 105
Subjunctive. S. ποιέω ποιέης Τοιέητον ποιέητον ποιέητον ποιέητον ποιέητε ποιέητε ποιέητε	πουφ πουβς πουβτον πουβτον πουβμεν πουθτέ	τιμάω τιμάης τιμάητον τιμάητον τιμάητον τιμάητος τιμάητος τιμάητος	τιμῶ# τιμῶ τιμῶτον τιμῶτον τιμῶρεν τιμῶσε τιμῶσε Τιμῶσε Τιμῶσε (ν)	μαθόω μαθόης μαθόητον μαθόητον μαθόητον μαθόητεν	μαθῶ μαθοῖε† μαθοῖ μαθῶτον μαθῶτον μαθῶστε + Soo & 108

ACTIVE. -Present, continued.

μαθοῦμ μαθοῖς μαθοῖς μαθοῖτον μαθοῖτε μαθοῖτε μαθοῖτε κο οἰη τον, οιήτην ε, (οἰησω)	μίσθου μισθούτω μισθούτων μισθούτων μισθούτε μισθούτων
μασθόσιμ μο μασθόσις μασ μασθόσιτον μασ μασθόσιτον μασ μασθόσιτε μασ οτ Αττίο μασθόσιν, οίης, ο	μίσθοε μισθόεταν μισθόεταν μισθόεταν μισθόετε μισθοέτων
τιμφων τιμφνς τιμφτον τιμφτην τιμφτην τιμφτην τιμφεν τιμφεν τιμφεν φη ον, φητην (φησαν) 800 below, note 4.	τίμα τιμάτω τιμάτον τιμάτον τιμάτωσαν Οι τιμώτωσαν
τιμάοιμε τιμφρι τιμάοι τιμφε τιμάοι τιμφτη τιμάοιτε τιμφτη τιμάοιτε τιμφτη τιμφην τιμφε τιμφην φητι τιμφην φητη φητη φητη φητη φητη φητε φητην φητε φητην φητε φητην For this Attic form see below, note 4.	τίμαε τιμάτω τιμάτον τιμάτων τιμάτων τιματωσαν Οτ τιμαδοτων
ποιούμι ποιού ποιοίτην ποιοίμεν ποιοίμεν ποιοίτε ποιοίεν οίη ου, οιητην ', (οίησαν)	molet moletro moletrov moletrov moletrogav 01 molotrav
S. ποιέσιμι πη πουέσις πη πουέσις πη πουέσις πη πουέσιτον πη πουέσιτον πη πουέσιτος η πουέσιτος η πουέσιτος σοι Αττίο σοιήτου, ο οίητος, οίητ	Imperative. S. nolee noteéra D. notérav Toteérav P. notéere moteéraga or noteérav

	μαθόειν μαθούν			μισθόων, θόσυσα, θόον G. μισθόντος μισθών, δούσα, δούν		ἐμίσθοον ἐμἰσθουν ἐμίσθοες ἐμίσθους		•		εμισθομεν εμισθούμεν έμισθόετε έμισθούτε	٠	38 οί τύπτω οι παιδεύω.	μεμίσθωκα Inf. μεμισθωκέναι Partκώς, etc.	èμεμισθώκευν μισθώσω ,
ACTIVE.—Present, continued	τιμάντ	† See § 105. 4.		υσα, άον G. τιμάοντος α, ῶν G. τιμῶντος	Imperfeot.	eriµov eriµas	ětípa —	ériparov	ertpárny	פדונונטיי פרונומר פ	etipov	The following four Tenses are declined like the same Tenses of rémis or madètia.	Inf. τετιμηκέναι Partκώς. etc.	
ACTIVE	τιμάειν			τιμάων, άουσα, άον τιμών, ώσα, ών	•		erimae(v)	eripáerov	έτιμαέτην	ertpaoper ertpaere	eripaov	Tenses are decli	τετίμηκα	· Ετετιμήκειν τιμήσω
	ποιείν			έον G. ποιέοντος ούν G. ποιούντος		eποίσυν eποίεις	етојег, вод п. 3.	emotetron	Emoleithy	enotooper enoteire	€πоίουν	The following four	Inf. memounkévai Partkás. eto.	
Infinitive.	ποιέειν		Participle.	ποιέων, έσυσα, έον CODIT. ποιῶν, ούσα, ούν		S. emoleon emoles	emolee(v)	èmotéerov	enoteerny T	Γ. εποιεομεν εποιεετε	éποίεον		Рөгf. тетовηка	Plupf. <i>Émemovínew</i> Fut. 1 movígo

PASSIVE.

Indicative.	ve.		Fre	Present.		
S	S. ποιέομαι	ποιούμαι	τιμάομαι	τιμώμαι	μισθόομαι	μισθούμαι
	movey or -eet	ποιη or -ei	Trudy	πιμά	μισθόη	Magoit
	ποιέεται	ποιείται	τιμάεται	rıparaı	μισθόεται	μυσθούται
D.	D. ποιεόμεθον	ποιούμεθον	τιμαόμεθον	τιμώμεθον	μισθοόμεθον	μισθούμεθον
	ποιέεσθον	ποιείσθον	τιμάεσθον	τιμάσθον	μισθόεσθον	μισθούσθον
	ποιέεσθον	ποιείσθον	τιμάεσθον	τιμάσθον	μισθόεσθον	μισθούσθον
P.	ποιεόμεθα	ποιούμεθα	τιμαόμεθα	τιμώμεθα	μισθοόμεθα	μισθούμεθα
	ποιέεσθε	ποιείσθε	τιμάεσθα	τιμάσθε	μισθόεσθε	μισθούσθε
	ποιέονται	тогойна	τιμάονται	τιμώνται	μισθόονται	μσθούνται
Subjunctive.	tive.					
S	8. ποιέωμαι	ποιώμαι	τιμάωμαι	τιμώμαι*	μισθόωμαι	μισθώμαι
	ποιέη	π ουŷ	τιμάη	τιμά	μισθόη	μισθούτ
	ποιέηται	ποιήται	τιμάηται	τιμάται	μισθόηται	μισθώται
D.	D. ποιεώμεθον	ποιώμεθον	τιμαώμεθον	τιμώμεθον	μισθοώμεθον	μισθώμεθον
	ποιέησθον	ποιήσθον	τιμάησθον	τιμάσθον	μισθόησθον	μισθώσθον
	ποιέησθον	ποιήσθον	τιμάησθον	τιμάσθον	μισθόησθον	μισθώσθον
Р.	ποιεώμεθα	ποιώμεθα	τιμαώμεθα	τιμώμεθα	μισθοώμεθα	μισθώμεθα
	ποιέησθε	ποιήσθε	τιμάησθε	τιμάσθε	μισθόησθε	μισθώσθε
	ποιέωνται	ποιώνται	τιμάωνται	τιμώνται	μισθόωνται	μισθώνται
Optative						
S	S. ποιεοίμην	ποιοίμην	τιμαοίμην	τιμώμην	μισθοοίμην	μισθοίμην
	ποιέοιο	ποιοίο	τιμάοιο	τιμῶο	μισθόοιο	μισθοΐο
	ποιέοιτο	ποιοίτο	τιμάοιτο	τιμώτο	μισθόοιτο	μισθοίτο
D	ποιεοίμεθον	ποιοίμεθον	τιμαοίμεθον	τιμώμεθον	μισθοοίμεθον	μισθοίμεθον
	ποιέοισθον	ποιοίσθον	τιμάοισθον	τιμώσθον	μισθόοισθον	μισθοΐσθον
	ποιεοίσθην	ποιοίσθην	τιμαοίσθην	τιμώσθην	μισθοοίσθην	μισθοίσθην
P.	Ρ. ποιεοίμεθα	ποιοίμεθα	τιμαοίμεθα	τιμώμεθα	μοιθοοίμεθα	μισθοίμεθα
	ποιέοισθε	ποιοίσθε	τιμάοισθε	τιμώσθε	μισθόοισθε	μισθοέσθε
	ποιέοιντο	ποιοίντο	τιμάοιντο	τιμώντο	μισθόοιντο	μισθοίντο
				* See § 105. 3.		† See § 105.

Imperative.		Passive.—Present, continuea	ent, continuea		
S. ποιέσυ ποιείσθω D. ποιέσθων ποιείσθε ποιείσθε σοιείσθωσων οι ποιείσθων	ποιού ποιείσθω ποιείσθων ποιείσθε ποιείσθε ποιείσθωσω ος ποιείσθων	τιμάου τιμαίσθα τιμαίσθαν τιμαίσθαν τιμαίσθε τιμαίσθε οτ τιμαίσθαν	τιμώ τιμάσθω τιμάσθον τιμάσθο τιμάσθοσαν Οι τιμάσθοσαν	μαθόου μαθοίαθα μαθοίαθαν μαθοίαθαν μαθοίαθαν οι μαθοίαθαν	μαθού μαθούσθω μαθούσθον μαθούσθων μαθούσθων μαθούσθωσω οτ μαθούσθωσων
Infinitive. ποιέεσθαι	noveiobas	τιμάεσθαι	πμᾶσθαι	μσθόεσθαι	μισθούσθαι
Participle. ποιεόμενοs	ποιούμενος	THABBUENOS	τιμώμενος	зогтодорны	рисвобречоs
		Imperfect	feot.		
S. εποιεόμην - εποιεόου	έποιούμην έποιού	έτιμαόμην έτιμάου	लेग्या क्रमा के स्थानिक	ι έμισθοόμη» Εμισθόου	έμισθούμη» έμισθοῦ
έποιέετο D. ἐποιεόμεθον	έποιείπο ἐποιούμεθον	έτιμάετο έτιμαόμεθον	έτιμᾶτο έτιμώμεθο ν	έμισθόετο ἐμισθοόμεθον	έμισθούτο Εμισθούμεθον
έποιέεσθον έποιεεσθην	έποιείσθον	έτιμάεσθον	έτιμάσθον	Eurobleator	έμισθούσθον
Ρ. ἐποιεόμεθα	έποιούμεθα	έτιμαόμεθα	eripápeda eripápeda	έμισθούμεθα	έμισθούμεθα
έποιέουτο	έποιούντο	έτιμάοντο	eripaove eripâno	έμισθόοπο	ejustovste ejustovsta

The following tenses suffer no contraction; but we exhibit here the *Perfect* and *Pluperfect Passive* fully inflected, in order that the analogy of these forms, in comparison with the same tenses from παιδεύω, may be clearly seen.

Perfect. τετίμημαι μεμίσθωμαι Indic. S. πεποίημαι μεμίσθωσαι πεποίησαι τετίμησαι μεμίσθωται πεποίηται τετίμηται μεμισθώμεθον D. πεποιήμεθον τετιμήμεθον πεποίησθον τετίμησθον μεμίσθωσθον μεμίσθωσθον τετίμησθον πεποίησθον μεμισθώμεθα Ρ. πεποιήμεθα τετιμήμεθα μεμίσθωσθε πεποίησθε τετίμησθε πεποίηνται τετίμηνται μεμίσθωνται Infinit. πεποιησθαι τετιμησθαι μεμισθώσθαι Partic. πεποιημένος τετιμημένος μεμισθωμένος For the few verbs which can form them, Subjunct and Optat. are wanting. see § 98. n. 9. μεμίσθωσο Imper. S. πεποίησο τετίμησο πεποιήσθω, etc. τετιμήσθω, etc. μεμισθώσθω, etc. Pluperfect. S. ἐπεποιήμην έτετιμήμην *ἐμεμισθώμην* έπεποίησο έτετίμησο έμεμίσθωσο έπεποίητο έτετίμητο έμεμίσθωτο D. επεποιημεθον έτετιμήμεθον έμεμισθώμεθον επεποίησθον έτετίμησθον έμεμίσθωσθον επεποιήσθην έτετιμήσθην έμεμισθώσθην Ρ. ἐπεποιήμεθα έτετιμήμεθα έμεμισθώμεθα ἐπεποίησθε έτετίμησθε έμεμίσθωσθε έπεποίηντο έτετίμηντο έμεμίσθωντο Fut. 1. τιμηθήσομαι μισθωθήσομαι ποιηθήσομαι έμισθώθην έποιήθην Aor. 1. ἐτιμήθην Fut. 3. πεποιήσομαι μεμισθώσομαι τετιμήσομαι MIDDLE.* Fut. 1. τιμήσομαι μισθώσομαι ποιήσομαι Aor. 1. εποιησάμην **ἐτιμησάμην** έμισθωσάμην Verbal Adjectives. ποιητέος τιμητέος μισθωτέος μισθωτός ποιήτός τιμητός

^{*} Ποιείσθαι to make for oneself; τιμάσθαι to honour, as in the Active; αισθοῦσθαι to cause to let to oneself, i. e. to hire.

Note 1. The older Grammarians taught without any limitation, that the uncontracted forms of these verbs were Ionic forms. They may be more correctly called the old or the ground-forms; and it is only in verbs in $\epsilon\omega$ that they are in the proper sense Ionic, i. e. such as are used by all Ionic writers. They belong however exclusively to the later Ionic prose; for the epic writers very often used the contracted forms, and sometimes also employed the lengthened $\epsilon i\omega$ instead of $\epsilon i\omega$; e. g. $\delta \kappa \nu \epsilon i\omega$, $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \epsilon \nu \nu$, $\nu \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon i \epsilon \omega$, etc.—The uncontracted form of verbs in $\delta \omega$ is only so far to be called Ionic, as the epic writers sometimes avail themselves of it; although in only a few words and forms; e. g. $\delta \alpha \delta \delta i \epsilon i \nu$, $\kappa \epsilon i \nu \delta i \omega \nu$, $\kappa \epsilon i \nu \delta i \omega \nu$ are found uncontracted only in this first pers. Singular; elsewhere they are always either contracted, or take the double sound peculiar to the epic writers; see notes 10, 11.—In the Ionic prose, verbs in $\delta \omega$ and $\delta \omega$ never occur, except either in the usual contracted form (as above in the Att. Fut. $\delta i \omega \delta i \omega \nu$, $\delta i \omega \delta i \omega$

Note 2. In the Attic and common language, none of the contractions which occur in this conjugation were ever neglected; not even in Attic poetry, i. e. in the dramatic trimeter. The only exceptions are the shorter verbs in $\epsilon\omega$, whose present Act. in the uncontracted form has only two syllables, as $\tau\rho\epsilon\omega$. These admit only the contraction in $\epsilon\iota$; e. g. $\tau\rho\epsilon\iota$, $\tau\rho\epsilon\iota$,

Note 3. The movable ν is taken by the 3 pers. Sing. Impf. only in the uncontracted form, as Hom. $\tilde{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\epsilon\epsilon\nu$, $\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon\epsilon\nu$, and even Xen. Hell. 6. 2. 27 $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\nu$; but not in the contracted one. Yet Homer has once $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$ from $d\sigma\kappa\epsilon\omega$. Comp. the Plupf. in § 103. m. 12.

NOTE 4. The form of the Optative, known by the name of the Attic Optative, which is in a measure peculiar to contract verbs (§ 103. m. 13), is fully given in the paradigm (p. 169), in order that the analogy of it may be clearly understood. It is however to be observed, that the Attic usage, which was governed only by a regard to euphony and clearness, preferred certain parts selected from each of the forms, viz.

The Plural of the Attic form was less used, because of its length, especially in verbs in έω and όω; least of all the 3 pers. Plur. in οίησαν, ώησαν. The Attics said almost always ποιοῖεν, τιμῷεν, μισθοῖεν.

2) In the Singular, however, the Opt. in οίην from verbs in έω and όω, is

far more usual than the other form.

3) In verbs in άω the Attic Opt. (τιμφην etc.) is in the Sing. used almost exclusively; and also in the Plur. (with the exception of the 3 pers.) far more frequently than in the other two classes of verbs.

Note 5. Some verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$ are contracted in the Doric manner into η instead of a (see note 15); viz.

ζην to live, χρησθαι to use, πεινην to hunger, διψην to thirst,

* Likewise in the Imperat. e. g. πλέε, πλε? Aristoph. Av. 598, and often. But also ἀπόχεε Dig. Laert. II. 77.

[†] But see the Anom. δέω.—In the verb χέω we must take care not to confound the 3 Sing. ξχεε from Aor. 1 ξχεα (see Anom. χέω) with the same person of the Imperfect; the latter is contracted, ξχεε ξχει, the former not; e. g. Aristoph. Nub 75 κατέχεεν.

from ζάω, χράω, (see both in § 114,) πεινάω, διψάω. These make ζης, ζη, ζρηται, etc. The following verbs also, so nearly related to each other in their signification, viz.

κνάω scrape, σμάω stroke, ψάω rub,

are contracted in the same manner, at least in the genuine Attic.*

NOTE 6. The verb $\hat{\rho}_i \gamma \delta \omega$ I am cold has an irregular contraction, viz. into ω and ω instead of ou and of; e. g. Inf. $\hat{\rho}_i \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, Opt. $\hat{\rho}_i \gamma \hat{\omega} p \nu$. But this peculiarity is not always observed, at least in our editions.—In the verb $i\delta \rho \delta \omega$ I sweat, which in signification is opposed to the preceding, the same rule holds in the Ionic dialect; e. g. $i\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a$ Il. δ . 27; $i\delta \rho \hat{\omega} p$ Hippoer. do Aër. Aq. Loc. 17.

DIALECTS.

Note 7. Since the Ionics form the 2 pers. Pass in the ordinary conjugation in ϵai and ϵo (§ 103. m. 17); there arises in verbs in $\epsilon \omega$ an accumulation of vowels in this person, which the Ionic prose writers retain, as $\pi oi\epsilon\epsilon ai$, $\epsilon \pi ai\nu\epsilon\epsilon ai$, etc. The epic writers contract sometimes the first two vowels, e. g. $\mu \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{i} ai$, like $\mu \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{i} r ai$ wobeirai. Sometimes one ϵ is elided, and in $\epsilon \epsilon o$ always; e. g. $\mu \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{i} ai$ from $\mu \nu \theta \epsilon o \mu ai$ Od. β . 202; $\phi o \beta \epsilon o$ from $\phi o \beta \epsilon o \mu ai$ Herod. 9. 120; $ai\nu \epsilon o$, $\epsilon \epsilon e \gamma e$, etc. The form $a\nu a \kappa o \nu e$ (Theogn.) from a verb in $\epsilon \omega$, stands alone. The forms of this 2 person in ϵn , ϵn ,

Note 8. Verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$, as we have seen (note 1), are not commonly used by the Ionics in their proper uncontracted form; but many of them are so resolved that the a passes over into ϵ ; e. g.

όρέω, όρέομεν, for όράω, όράομεν φοιτέοντεs for φοιτάοντεs χρέεται, μηχανέεσθαι, for âται, âσθαι

and the like. Sometimes they change ao into εω (§ 27. n. 10); e. g. μηχανέωνται, χρέωμαι, όρμεώμενος, όρέωντες, etc. Others, as νικάν, αὐδάν, etc.
never take this change of a into ε.

NOTE 9. In the 3 pers. Plur. where the Ionics change ν into α (§ 103. IV.) and put -εατο for -οντο, they sometimes employ in these verbs the same ending for -έοντο, where of course there is an elision of one ε; but this is done only in verbs in άω, as έμηχανέατο for -άοντο, -έοντο, comm. ἐμηχανώντο.—In the Perf. and Plupf. they not only change ηνται and ωνται into ήαται, ώαται, e. g. πεποτήαται, κεχολώατο, Homer; but likewise commonly shorten the η into ε, e. g.

ολκέαται, έτετιμέατο, for Φκηνται, έτετίμηντο.

Note 10. The old Ionic of the epic writers sometimes contracts the forms, and sometimes not. In verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$ however, which are seldom employed in their primary uncontracted form (note 1), the Ionic allows those poets the peculiar license of again resolving the vowel or improper diphethong of contraction into a double sound, by repeating before it the same sound, either long or short, according to the necessities of the metre (§ 28 n. 3). Thus a in

(όράειν) όρᾶν — όράαν; on the ι subscr. see n. 15. marg. (ἀσχαλάει) ἀσχαλᾶ — ἀσχαλάα 2 pers. Pass. (μνάη) μνᾶ — μνάα ἀγορᾶσθε, μνᾶσθαι — ἀγοράασθε, μνάασθαι.

^{*} Two other Infinitive forms, μαλκιῆν and οὐρῆν, from μαλκιάω to be cold, numb, and οὐρϵω, may with tolerable certainty be shewn from grammarians to be Attic; see Ausf. Sprachl. p. 487.

Further, o or w in

(ὀράω) ὀρῶ — ὀρόω
Imperat. Pass. (ἀλάου) ἀλῶ — ἀλόω
(βοάουσι) βοῶσι — βοόωσι
Opt. (αἰτιάοιτο) αἰτιῷτο — αἰτιόφτο
(δράουσι) δρῶσι — δρώωσι
Part. Fem. (ἡβάουσα) ἡβῶσα — ἡβώωσα.

In the Ionic prose this species of resolution occurs seldom: Hdot. ἦγορόωντο 6. 11; κομόωσι 4. 191.—Sometimes the o is placed after ω, e. g.

ήβώοντες, ήβώοιμι, for ήβώντες, ήβφμι, from -άοντες, άοιμι, and for γελώντες may stand either γελόωντες or γελώοντες, as the metre may require. From these forms we can understand, how in some verbs this doubling of the sound by means of ω, passed over into a peculiar formation, -ώω, ώεις, ώει; see the Anom. ζάω, ΜΑΩ, and μνάω in μιμήτακω.—A peculiar anomaly is the Homeric Particip. Fem. ναιετάω σα for -άουσα or -δωσα; also σάω, see σώζω § 114; and the form μενοινήησι II. o. 82; for which however another quite as early reading is μενοινήσειε Opt.

Note 11. All forms with the double sounds ow and we are also common to verbs in $\delta \omega$; though in these they can arise neither by regular resolution, nor by doubling the vowel of contraction; e. g.

(ἀρόουσι) ἀροῦσι, epic ἀρόωσι (δηϊόοντο, δηϊόοιεν,) δηϊοῦντο, δηϊοῖεν, epic δηϊόωντο, δηϊόφεν (ὑπνόοντας) ὑπνοῦντας, epic ὑπνώοντας.

Note 12: The iterative Imperfect in $\sigma \kappa \sigma \nu$ (§ 103. m. 11) is more seldom employed by the Ionics in these verbs; e. g. $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma \nu$ Hdot. $\beta \sigma \iota \kappa \sigma \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma \nu$ Homer. This form was never contracted; but was sometimes syncopated in the earlier poets by dropping ϵ ; e. g. $\dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ for $\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \omega$; and so with a doubling of α , valeta $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \nu$ from valeta $\dot{\alpha} \omega$.

NOTE 13. That the Dorics contract ε0 into ευ instead of oυ, and that this is followed by the Ionics when they contract, has already been mentioned, § 28. n. 5. Thus e. g. from ποιέω they make

ποιεύμεν, ποιεύμαι, ποιεύντες, εποίευν.

But in verbs in 600 likewise we often find in Herodotus and others eu, contrary to analogy, instead of ou contracted from 00; e. g.

έδικαί ευν, έδικαί ευ, πληρεύντες, from δικαιόω, πληρόω.

And this same contraction takes place, through the change of a into ϵ (note 8), in verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$, e. g.

ελρώτευν, αγαπεθντες, from ελρωτάω, αγαπάω.

Finally, ϵv stands not only for ϵov , and consequently for aov, but also for aov; e. g.

ποιεῦσι, φιλεῦσα,* for ποιέουσι, οῦσι, φιλέουσα, οῦσα γελεῦσα for γελάουσα, ῶσα

δικαιεύσι for δικαιόουσι, οῦσι.

Closer observation must teach, which of these different forms occurs most frequently in each of the two dialects. But it follows of course, that the 3 Plur. ποιεύσι, γελεύσι can be only Ionic; because the Dorics form ποιεύντι, γελεύντι. Comp. § 103. m. 28.

Note 14. In another mode of contraction, which is rather Æolic than Doric, o is often absorbed by a preceding a, which thereby becomes long; e. g. φυσᾶντες for φυσάνντες, 3 Pl. πεινῶντι οτ πεινᾶντι.

Note 15. When the Ionics sometimes change the a and a of contraction into η and η , e. g. $\delta\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$, $\phi_0ir\hat{\eta}\nu$, $i\hat{\eta}\sigma\theta ai$, etc. this coincides entirely with the nature of their dialect; but it is done only by a part of the Ionic writers, e. g.

^{*} The Doric έρισα can be contracted only into έῦσα, and not into οῖσα, which occurs only in the Particip. Aor. 2 λαβοῖσα, where there is no contraction; see § 103. m. 29.

Hippocrates. Herodotus has όρậν, νικάν, and from χράω even χράσθαι, χρά, etc. On the contrary, among the Dorics, who everywhere else employ long a instead of η , this contraction into η instead of a is a peculiarity, (where too in the contraction from as they omit the subscript, *) e. g. $\delta\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $\epsilon\rho\tilde{\eta}$ for $\epsilon\rho\tilde{q}$, $\tau o\lambda\mu\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon$ for $\tau o\lambda\mu\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon$, etc. Nevertheless, in flexion, they say, Inf. aor They have the same contraction in the Infin. of verbs in έω τολμᾶσαι, etc. e. g. κοσμην for κοσμείν.

Note 16. The epic writers avail themselves in like manner of η as the vowel of contraction; but only in some forms from άω and έω, chiefly in the Dual in την, e. g. προσαυδήτην, όμαρτήτην, from αὐδάω, όμαρτέω; and in the lengthened Infinitive forms in ηναι, ημεναι, instead of είν and αν; e. g. φορήναι from φορέω, φιλήμεναι, γοήμεναι for γοάν.†

Note 17. From verbs in όω the epic Inf. ἀρόμμεναι for ἀροῦν, is a solitary example.

Note 18. Other rare Æolic forms are, the Infinitives in s from verbs in άω and όω with the accent drawn back; e. g. γέλαις, ΰψοις, § 27. n. 4. Also Part. fem. γελαίσα for γελάοισα, -ουσα, comp. § 103. m. 29.

A Catalogue of the Verbs Contract see in Appendix F.

IRREGULAR CONJUGATION.

§ 106. Verbs in $\mu\iota$.

- 1. We commence our account of the Anomaly of the Greek verb, with that which is called, from the ending of the 1 pers. Pres. Indicative, the Conjugation in $\mu \iota$. This does not, like the two preceding forms of conjugation (barytone and contract), contain a multitude of Greek verbs; but only a limited number, which differ from the regular analogy of the great mass of verbs in some essential points.
- 2. All verbs in $\mu \iota$ have one root or stem, which in the ordinary formation would terminate in ω pure (§ 28.1); and chiefly in έω, άω, όω, ύω. It is therefore usual in grammar, to trace back this less usual formation to the other more familiar one; and to say e. g. that the verb $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$ comes from a simpler form $\Theta E \Omega$. -There is only one example from the stem-vowel i, viz. είμι from 'In, for which see below in § 108. V. 3.
- 3. The peculiarities of the conjugation in $\mu \mu$ are confined to these three tenses, viz.

Present, Imperfect, Aorist 2.

* For this omission of the subscript see § 105. 4, and 103. m. 34. Some of the

Grammarians always omitted it in the double sounds, e. g. δράαν, δράας.
† Here belongs δρῆαι, for which see the marg. note to § 106 n. 9; and Ֆήσθαι, see the Anom. ΘΑΩ. Comp. also ἐθηῆτο under the Anom. δάομαι. Both modes of contraction, (that into η , and that into ϵ_i and \bar{a}_i) which in the development of the language became the property of particular dialects, were unquestionably, in the earliest language, like so many other forms, in common fluctuating usage. Of the form in η some examples ($\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, etc.) always remained common; and no wonder that we find in the epic language still more instances of this kind, which have been retained on account of some special euphony.

The essential feature in all these peculiarities is, that the endings of flexion, e. g. $\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\tau\epsilon$, ν , $\mu\alpha\iota$, are not annexed by means of a union-vowel ($o\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\tau\epsilon$, $o\nu$, $o\mu\alpha\iota$), but are appended immediately to the stem-vowel of the verb, e. g.

τίθε-μεν, ιστα-μαι, δίδο-τε, έδεικνυ-τε, έθη-ν.

How far this may be regarded as a syncope of the union-vowel, and also for the syncopated formation in general, see below in notes 6, 7, 8, and § 110.

4. There are moreover some peculiar endings, viz.

 $\mu\iota$ — in the 1 person Pres. Sing. $\sigma\iota$ or $\sigma\iota\nu$ — in the 3 person Pres. Sing. $\Im\iota$ — in the 2 person *Imperat*. Sing.

Further, the *Infinitive* of the Pres. and Aor. 2 always ends in $\nu a\iota$; and the Masc. of the *Participle* in the Nom. ends, not in ν , but always in ς , before which ν has been dropped; on which account the stem-vowel is lengthened before the ς in the usual manner, $a\varsigma$, $\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, $o\iota\varsigma$, $v\varsigma$, Gen. $\nu\tau\circ\varsigma$. These endings of the participle always have the tone, in the form of the acute accent.

Note 1. In the *Imperat*. of the Aor. 2 Act. some verbs have nevertheless instead of θ_i a simple s; as θ_i , θ_i , and θ_i , and θ_i , θ_i , and θ_i , θ_i , θ_i , and θ_i , θ_i , θ_i .

- 5. The Subjunctive and Optative unite the stem-vowel of the verb with the vowel of their endings into a mixed vowel or diphthong, upon which they regularly always have the tone. The mixed vowel of the Subjunctive, when the stem has either ϵ or α , is ω or η :
- $\hat{\omega}$, $\hat{\eta}$ s, $\hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon$, $\hat{\omega}\sigma\iota(\nu)$; Pass. $\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, $\hat{\eta}$, etc. But when the stem has o, the Subjunctive has always ω :
- $\hat{\omega}$, $\hat{\varphi}$ s, $\hat{\varphi}$, $\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\hat{\omega}\tau\epsilon$, $\hat{\omega}\sigma\iota(\nu)$; Pass. $\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, $\hat{\varphi}$, etc. The mixed sound of the *Optative* is a diphthong with ι , to which in the Active the ending $\eta\nu$ is always joined; in the Pass. as usual, $\mu\eta\nu$:

τιθ-είην, ἱστ-αίην, διδ-οίην; Pass. τιθ-είμην, etc. See § 107. m. 29 sq.—Verbs in υμι form these two moods most commonly from the ordinary conjugation in -ύω.

6. Several of the shorter stem-forms receive a reduplication, which consists in repeating the initial consonant with ι ; e. g. $\Delta O\Omega \ \delta \delta \omega \mu \iota$, $\Theta E\Omega \ \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$.

But when the stem begins with $\sigma\tau$, $\pi\tau$, or with an aspirated vowel, it merely prefixes the ι with the rough breathing:

ΣΤΑΩ ιστημ, ΠΤΑΩ ιπταμαι, ΕΩ ιημ.

It is only in such words that the Aorist 2 is possible in this form of conjugation; since it is chiefly by the want of this reduplication, that this tense in the Indicative is distinguished from the Imperfect; and in the other moods, from the Present; see § 96 n. 2. E. g.

Pres. $\tau l\theta \eta \mu \iota (Subj. \tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega})$ Impf. $\epsilon \tau l\theta \eta \nu$ Aor. $\epsilon \theta \eta \nu$ (Subj. $\Im \hat{\omega}$).

7. The short stem-vowel $(\epsilon, \check{a}, o, \check{v})$, in its connection with the endings of this formation, always becomes *long* in the *Sing*. of the *Indic*. Active in all the three tenses. Thus we have from

$$\begin{array}{c} \epsilon \\ \breve{a} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\eta, \\ (\Sigma TA) \text{ if } \eta\text{-}\mu\text{, } \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } \eta\text{-}\nu \\ \vdots \\ 0 & -\omega, \\ \breve{v} & -\bar{v}, \end{array} \right. \\ \begin{array}{c} (\Theta E) \text{ till } \eta\text{-}\mu\text{, } \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } \eta\text{-}\nu \\ \text{if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu \\ \text{if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu \\ \text{if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu \\ \text{if } l\eta\text{-}\nu, \text{ if } l\eta\text{-}\nu$$

And so too $\tilde{\iota}$ becomes $\epsilon \iota$ in the verb $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu \iota$. In the *Plural* of the same tenses, in the other moods, and everywhere in the Passive, the vowel appears most frequently in its original form as *short*; e. g. $\tau i\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$, $\tau i\theta \epsilon \nu a \iota$, $\tau i\theta \epsilon \mu a \iota$, etc. Yet the following are exceptions from this rule, and retain the *long* vowel:

- The Dual and Plural, as also the Infin. and Imperative, of the Aor. 2 of ἴστημι; thus ἔστημεν, etc. The same flexion is followed by nearly all syncopated Aorists, § 110.
- 2) The Infinitives Aor. 2 of δίδωμι and τίθημι (ἵημι), which change ε into ει, ο into ου; thus Sεῖναι, δοῦναι.
 Other exceptions, as κιχῆναι, δίζημαι, see in § 114.
- 8. Verbs in $\nu\nu\mu$ strictly form a special class of the verbs in μ . For the $\nu\nu$ which precedes the personal endings does not belong to the pure verbal stem, but is a mere strengthening of the latter; and hence these verbs can form no analogous Aor. 2, i. e. in $\bar{\nu}\nu$; see note 4. That is to say, certain verbs append to the stem of the verb the ending $\nu\nu\mu\iota$ or $\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$; viz. the former, $\nu\nu\mu\iota$, when the stem ends in a consonant or diphthong, as $\delta\epsilon \ell\kappa$ - $\nu\nu\mu\iota$, or $\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, $\delta\alpha \ell\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$; and the latter, $\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, when the stem ends in a short (or simple) $\nu\omega\nu$, as $\kappa\omega$ - $\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, ν , when the stem ends in a short (or simple) $\nu\omega\nu$, as $\kappa\omega$ - $\nu\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$ from ν , and also by transposition, as ν

Note 2. Since the ending of the 2 pers. Pass. in the ordinary conjugation (η, ov) comes from $\epsilon \sigma a\iota$, $\epsilon \sigma o$; and since in the conjugation in $\mu\iota$ this union-vowel (ϵ) falls away; the ending of this 2 pers. Pass. in these verbs is simply $\sigma a\iota$, $\sigma \iota$, e. g. $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon - \sigma a\iota$, $\epsilon \tau \iota \theta \epsilon - \sigma a\iota$, etc. just as in the Perf. and Plup. Pass. of the ordinary conjugation. Still, a similar contraction occurs here with the stem-vowel, in some verbs more, in others less frequently; see marg. note on p. 184.

- 9. All the other tenses are derived as in the ordinary conjugation from the simple theme, and without the reduplication; e.g. $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$ ($\Theta E \Omega$) Fut. $\Im \eta \sigma \omega$. Nevertheless, some of the verbs which belong here have, as anomalous verbs, peculiarities in these tenses also. These however must be separated from the peculiarities of the formation in $\mu \iota$; and, so far as they are common to several of these verbs, we proceed to exhibit them here in one general view
- 10. The two verbs lστημι and δίδωμι shorten the vowel in those Passive tenses which belong to the ordinary conjugation:



Act. στήσω Perf. ἔστηκα Pass. Perf. ἔστᾶμαι Aor. ἐστᾶθην — δώσω — δέδωκα — — δέδομαι — ἐδόθην. The verbs τίθημι and ἵημι (§ 108. I.) do the same, but only in the

Aorist Pass. and in the Future which depends on it:

 ϵ τέθην (for ϵ θέθην, from $\Theta E \Omega$), τεθήσομαι ϵ θείς Part. Aor. 1 Pass. (from $E \Omega$).

In the Perfect of both Act. and Pass, these two verbs change the stem-vowel into ει: τέθεικα, τέθειμαι εἶκα, εἶμαι.

11. The three verbs τίθημι, ἵημι, δίδωμι, have a peculiar form of the Aor. 1 in κα, e. g. ἔθηκα, ἦκα, ἔδωκα,

which must of course be distinguished from the Perfect. On the other hand, ἴστημι has regularly the Aor. 1 ἔστησα, ἐστησάμην.

12. Verbs in νυμι or ννυμι form all these tenses quite regularly from the simple unused form of the Pres. in ω . Thus δείκνυμι from $\Delta EIK\Omega$, δείξω, έδείχθην; κορέννυμι from $KOPE\Omega$, κορέσω, κεκόρεσμαι, etc.

Note 3. In the more current dialects, no verbs in $\eta\mu\iota$ and $\omega\mu\iota$ are to be found, which, exclusive of the reduplication, have more than two syllables; excepting perhaps $\tilde{a}\eta\mu\iota$ and some deponents in $\eta\mu\iota\iota$ (instead of $\epsilon\mu\iota\iota$), a $\mu\iota\iota$, and o $\mu\iota\iota$ (from - $\delta\omega$); which, as also $\tilde{a}\eta\mu\iota$, are to be sought under the anomalous verbs; e. g. $\delta\iota'\zeta\eta\mu\iota\iota$, $\delta\dot{\nu}\nu\iota\mu\iota\iota$, $\delta\nu\iota\iota\iota\iota$. See the details, § 112. 15.

Note 4. Instead of the Aor. 2 of verbs in $\nu\mu$, which is wanting, the syncopated Aorist of some verbs in $\dot{\nu}\omega$ is employed; e. g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\nu\nu$, from Anom. $\delta\dot{\nu}\omega$.—In order to know at once, where the ν is long or short, we have only to compare $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$; thus $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\nu\mu\iota$ is long like $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$; $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\nu\mu\epsilon\nu$ is short like $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$; Aor. 2 $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\nu\nu\mu\epsilon\nu$ (see the Anom. $\delta\dot{\nu}\omega$) is long like $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$, etc.

Note 5. All verbs in μ increase their anomaly still more by the circumstance, that the Present and Imperf. in many single persons and moods, forsake the formation in μ , and are formed in the ordinary manner from $\epsilon \omega$, $\delta \omega$, i. e. like contract verbs, retaining nevertheless the reduplication; consequently as if from TIOEQ, etc. Those in $\nu \mu$ are also formed from $\nu \omega$. Meanwhile, in order to have a full view of the whole analogy, it is necessary to inflect them throughout according to the formation in μ ; and where the other formation predominates in common usage, we shall point it out in the notes. On the whole, the formation in μ belongs to the more genuine Attic.

Note 6. That the learner may form a correct judgment of the formation in μ s, we premise further some general remarks. There are, in most languages, two modes of appending the endings in the inflection of the verb, viz. either with or without a union-vowel; something as in English, e. g. in blessed or bless'd (blest). On general principles, it is difficult to determine which of these two modes is the oldest in any language; but in grammar it is more natural—when not opposed by a stronger analogy—to assume the longer form as the original one, and then to consider the other as Syn cope from it. Comp. also § 95. n. 15 and marg.

Note 7. The syncopated form is the most natural, when without it two vowels would come together in pronunciation. While now in the greatest number of Greek verbs of this kind (verbs pure) the full form was preferred, which then passed over into the contracted form $(\phi \iota \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \iota_{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu})$, $\phi \iota \lambda \sigma \iota_{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu})$; in some others the syncopated form was retained $(9\acute{\epsilon} - \mu \epsilon_{\nu})$. This syncope could not have had place in the endings of the ordinary conjugation, which consist only of a vowel sound $(9\acute{\epsilon} - \omega, 9\acute{\epsilon} - \epsilon_{\ell}, 9\acute{\epsilon} - \epsilon_{\ell})$; and these are precisely the instances where another form of the ending, μ_{ℓ} , σ_{ℓ} , θ_{ℓ} , has been retained; by

which means, in these persons also, a consonant came to stand immediately after the stem-vowel. This vowel too was in part lengthened; and thus arose e. g. from the root 3ϵ - the forms 3η - μ 1, $\epsilon\theta\eta$ - ν 2, 3ϵ - $\mu\nu$ 2, 3ϵ - θ 1, etc.—The reduplication probably only served to strengthen these shorter verbs in the Present; and thus were distinguished a shorter form $(\epsilon\theta\eta\nu)$ for the Aorist, and a longer one for the Present and Imperfect, $\tau(\theta\eta\mu)$ 4, $\epsilon\tau(\theta\eta\nu)$ 8.—An anomalous reduplication see in $\delta\nu(\nu\eta\mu)$ 4, δ 114.

Note 8. From this view of the subject it is evident, that the formation which at present constitutes the essential character of verbs in μ , could just as well occur in $single\ parts$ of any verb; and that therefore it is entirely unnecessary to assume an appropriate 1 pers. Present in μ , for every single tense or form in which this flexion appears. Indeed, we shall find below (§ 110. 10) forms of the Perfect, whose Plural, etc. is made in this manner; and also (§ 110. 6) $second\ Aorists$ of this kind (hence called syncopated) from some verbs, which have in the Present either the ordinary form, as $\beta\iota i\omega$, $\delta\iota i\omega$ — A. 2 $\epsilon \beta\iota i\omega$, $\epsilon \delta\iota i\omega$; or a form entirely different, as $\beta ai\nu i\omega$, $\nu i\omega$, $\nu i\omega$ — A. 2 $\epsilon i\omega$, $\nu i\omega$ — A. 2 $\epsilon i\omega$, $\nu i\omega$ — A. 2 $\epsilon i\omega$ — A. 2

Note 9. Some branches of the Doric dialect, however, actually formed the 1 pers. Pres. of many common verbs in $\mu\iota$ instead of ω ; e. g. $\delta\rho\eta\mu\iota$, $\phi i\lambda\eta\mu\iota$, instead of $\delta\rho d\omega$, $\phi \iota\lambda\epsilon\omega$; and likewise the 3 pers. in $\sigma\iota$, e. g. $\kappa\rho\iota$ - $\nu\eta\sigma\iota$ for $\kappa\rho\iota\nu\epsilon$. Of this there are still some traces extant in the early epic writers; e. g. $a^{7}\nu\eta\mu\iota$ in Hesiod; and hither the Grammarians refer some Homeric forms, viz. the 3 pers. in $\eta\sigma\iota$, e. g. II. ϵ . 6 $\pi a\mu\phi a\iota\nu\eta\sigma\iota$; II. ι . 323 $\pi\rho\sigma\phi\epsilon\rho\eta\sigma\iota$;* and the 2 pers. Pass. $\delta\rho\eta a\iota$ Od. ξ . 343, as if from Pass. $\delta\rho\eta\mu a\iota$ (for - $a\mu a\iota$) from $\delta\rho\delta\omega$, $\delta\rho\eta\mu\iota$.†

§ 107. Paradigms of the Conjugation in μι.

ACTIVE.

Present.

$egin{array}{l} set, \ put \ (ext{from } \Theta E \Omega) \end{array}$	$place^{\ddagger}$ (from $\Sigma TA\Omega$)	$give$ (from $\varDelta O\Omega$)	show (from δεικνύω)
Indicative.			
S. τίθημι	ίστημι	δίδωμι	δείκνυμι
τίθης	ίστης	δίδως	δείκνῦς
τίθησι(ν)	ϊστησι(ν)	δίδωσι(ν)	δείκνῦσι(ν)
D		_ ` '	_ '
τίθετον	ίστατον	δίδοτον	δείκνυτον
τίθετον	ΐστατον	δίδοτον	δείκνυτον
Ρ. τίθεμεν	ΐσταμεν	δίδομεν	δείκνυμεν
τίθετε	ΐστατε	δίδοτε	δείκνυτε
τιθέασι(ν)	ίστασι(ν)	διδόασι(ν)	δεικνύασι(ν)
or		or	or
τιθεῖσι		διδοῦσι	δεικνῦσι

^{*} It is however to be considered, that this form occurs in Homer only after a relative (5στε, &s, etc.) and therefore ought everywhere to be written, as is now actually done in most of the instances, with i subscript, ησι. It is thus to be regarded as a freer use of the Subjunctive.

[†] A part only of the ancient Grammarians accent the word thus, $\delta\rho\eta\alpha\iota$; others write $\delta\rho\tilde{\eta}\alpha\iota$, which is nothing more than a contraction of $\delta\rho\delta\epsilon\alpha\iota$ into η instead of α , according to § 105. n. 16. In this case, the η was probably preferred for the sake of euphony; since Homer has elsewhere regularly $\delta\rho\tilde{\alpha}\tau\alpha\iota$, $\delta\rho\tilde{\alpha}\tau\sigma$, etc.

[‡] For the anomaly in the signification of this verb, see the notes under II, below.

- NOTE I, 1. The 3 Plur. in $a\sigma\iota(\nu)$ is alone usual in good Attic; in the ancient Grammarians it is called Ionic, because it was erroneously considered as the resolved form. In usage however it is so far from Ionic, that on the contrary only the circumflexed form, τιθείσι, διδοῦσι, δεικνύσι, is to be found in Herodotus. The earlier Attics have the same; and later it was regarded as belonging to the common language.
- Note I, 2. The contracted form ribeis, loras, etc. (§ 106. n. 5,) is in the Present least used by the Attics. From δίδωμι Homer and the Ionics have διδοῖς, διδοῖ; Homer also 2 pers. διδοῖσ θ a.

Infinitive. τιθέναι	ίστάναι	διδόναι	δεικνύναι
Participle. τιθείς (έντος) τιθεῦσἀ τιθέν	Ιστάς (άντος) Ιστάσα Ιστάν	διδούς (όντος) διδούσα διδόν	δεικνύς (ύντος) δεικνύσα δεικνύν
	lστῶ lστῆς lστῆς lστῆ — ῆτον, ῆτον ῶμεν, ῆτε, ῶσι nese Subjunctives	διδώ διδώς διδώς — ωτον, ωτον ωμεν, ωτε, ωσι see notes under I	from δεικούω
Optative. S. τιθείην τιθείης τιθείη D. — τιθείητον τιθείητην Ρ. τιθείημεν τιθείητε τιθείητα	ίσταίην Ισταίης Ισταίη 	διδοίην διδοίης διδοίη 	from descrive

- Note I, 3. We find also διδώην; but this is a corrupt orthography of the later writers; as is also the Aor. 2 δώην.
- Note I, 4. This is strictly the proper form of the Opt. in verbs in μ , with which the Aor. Pass. in the ordinary conjugation agrees. There exists however here, as well as there, a very common syncopated form of the Dual and Plural, which especially in the 3 Plur. has almost entirely supplanted the longer form, viz.

D. τιθεῖτον	Ισταῖτον	διδοίτον	
τιθείτην	ίσταίτην	διδοίτην	
Ρ. τιθεῖμεν	ίσταῖμεν	διδοίμεν	
τιθεῗτ€	ί σταῖτε	διδοΐτε	
τ ιθεῖεν	ίσταῖεν	διδοίεν	
Imperative.			
*τίθετι	₩ίστἄθι	* δίδοθι	*δείκνὔθι
éτω, etc.	ăτω, etc.	ότω, etc.	ŭτω, etc.
3 Pl. τιθέτωσαν	ἰστάτωσαν	διδότωσαν	δεικνύτωσαν
οι τιθέντων	or Ιστίντων	or διδόντων	οτ δεικνύντων

Note I, 5. For ribers instead of ribebs, see § 18. 3.—The 2 Sing. in St is little used, (Homer with the stem-vowel lengthened, δίδωθι, ἐμπίπληθι,) but instead of it the apocopated form, with the stem-vowel lengthened, viz.

τίθει Tom Ιδίδου

Imperfect.

S. *ἐτίθην	ίστην	#έδίδων	* ** ชิงะไหมบิม
ἐτίθης	ใστης	န်ဝိုင်ဝိယင	έδείκνῦς
$\epsilon \hat{r} i \theta \hat{\eta}$	ΐσ τη	င်ဝိ ပ်ဝိယ	έδείκνῦ
D. —	1 —	—	1 -,
έ τίθετον	<i>ϊστατον</i>	έδίδοτον	έδείκνυτον
έτιθέτην	ίστάτην	έδιδότην	έδεικνύτην
Ρ. ἐτίθεμεν	ισταμεν	έδίδομεν	έδείκνυμεν
ἐτίθετε	ἵστατε	έδίδοτε	έδείκνυτε
έτίθεσαν	Готаоах	έδίδοσαν	έδείκνυσαν

Note I, 6. The Singular of this tense, except in $lor\eta\mu$, is most commonly 6 formed after the contracted conjugation, and from the form $\dot{\nu}\omega$:

ϵτίθουν, ϵιs, ϵι · ϵδίδουν, ουs, ου · ϵδϵίκνυον, ϵs, ϵ(ν).

Perf. τέθεικα ἔστηκα Εστήκειν οτ εἰστήκειν	δέδωκα έδεδώκειν	from ⊿EIKΩ
--	---------------------	---------------

Note I, 7. In this Perfect and Plupf. of lornum, we have to remark:

1) The Augment; since contrary to the custom of other verbs (§ 82. 5), the ϵ which stands here instead of the ordinary reduplication of the Perfect, takes the rough breathing; and the Plupf. often increases this augment by the temporal augment $\epsilon \epsilon$.

The syncopated forms, ἔσταμεν, etc. which are commonly used instead
of the regular forms; see below note II. 3.

3) The difference of signification, see notes II. 1, 2.

 Fut.
 Θήσω
 στήσω
 δώσω
 from

 Aor.
 1 ἔθηκα
 ἔστησα
 ἔδωκα
 ΔΕΙΚΩ

NOTE I, 8. This irregular Aorist in κa (§ 106. 11), in good writers, is 8 used principally in the Singular; in the Plural, especially in the 1 and 2 pers. the Attics generally preferred the Aor. 2.—The other moods and participles never occur from the form in κa ; except the participle of the Middle; see under the Middle form below, note I, 17.

Aorist 2.

Indicative.

icali1	ve.			
S.	*ξθην like the	€στην	#έδων like the	wanting.
		ξστης	*čõωs Impf.	•
	*₹θn	ξστη	*ĕðω	
D.	′			
	<i>ἔθε</i> τον	₹στητον	έ δοτον	•
	έθέτην	ἐστήτην	έδότην	
P.	ἔ θεμεν	ἔ στημ έ ν	έδομεν	
	ἔθετε	ξστητε	έδοτε	
	ἔθεσαν	ξστησαν	έδοσαν	

NOTE I, 9. The Aor. 2 ἔστην deviates from the analogy of the Impf. and 9 of verbs in μι in general, by its long vowel in the Dual and Plural (§ 106. 7).—The 3 Plur. ἔστησαν has the same form with the 3 Plur. Aor. 1, and can therefore be distinguished only by the connection; the two tenses having different significations; see notes II.



- 10 Note 1, 10. Of the Aor. 2 ἔθην and ἔδων, the Sing. Indic. Act. has not been retained in actual use. The remaining parts, however, are usual; some as the sole forms, and others on the ground of preference; see n. 8.
- 11 Note I, 11. The Aor. ἔστην serves also as model for the syncopated Aorists so called, § 110. 6.

Inf.	9eîvai	στῶ, στῆς, στῆ, etc.	δούναι
Part.	9eis, 9eîoa, 9év		δούς, δούσα, δόν
Subj.	9ŵ, 9ĝs, 9ĝ, etc.		δώ, δώς, δώ, etc.
Ont	9einu		δούνι
Opt.	θείην	σταίην	δοίην

The Subj. and Opt. are declined like the Present.

Imperat. (θέτι) θές	στῆθι	(δόθι) δός
θέτω Θέτον, θέτων	στήτω	δότω
🧖 Θέτον, Θέτων	στήτον, στήτων	δότον, δότων
θέτε, θέτωσαν or	στήτε, στήτωσαν οι	δότε, δότωσαν or
9έντων	στάντων	δόντων

- 12 Note I, 12. For the Subj. and Opt. the same holds good here, that was said of these moods in the Present; only that in the Opt. the longer form is here more frequent than there, θείημεν, δοίητε. Also of the 3 pers. δοίησαν, etc. several examples are found.
- 13 Note I, 13. The monosyllabic Imperative, 9έs, δόs, etc. (§ 106. n. 1, throws back its accent in composition, but not further than the penult syllable; e. g. περίθες, ἀπόδος.
- 14 Note I, 14. The Imperat. στῆθι in composition sometimes suffers an ape cope, as παράστα. So also βῆθι, see the Anom. βαίνω, § 114.

PASSIVE

Present.

Indicati	ve.			
S.	τίθεμαι	ίσταμαι	δίδομαι	δείκνυμαι
	τiθεσαi, poet. $τiθη*$	ίστασαι	δίδοσαι	δείκνυσαι
	τίθεται	ΐσταται	δίδοται	δείκνυται
D.	τιθέμεθον	ίστάμεθον	διδόμεθον	δεικνύμεθον
	τίθεσθον	ΐστασθον	δίδοσθον	δείκνυσθον
	τίθεσθον	ΐστασθον	δίδοσθον	δείκνυσθον
P.	τιθέμεθα	Ι στάμεθα	διδόμεθα	δεικνύμεθα
	τίθεσθε	ἵστασθε	δίδοσθ€	δείκνυσθε
	τίθενται	Готачта	δίδονται	δείκνυνται
Infin.	τίθεσθαι	ίστασθαι	δίδοσθαι	δείκνυσθαι
Part.	τιθέμενος	Ιστάμενο ς	διδόμενος	δεικνύμενος

^{*} The second persons in $\sigma \omega$ sometimes, and those in $\sigma \omega$ often, have the contraction with the stem-vowel. But the longer form in $\sigma \omega$ is more certain in the Attic prose. Of lota for lota $\sigma \omega$ there is only one example, viz. in Æschylus, $i\pi lota$ for $i\pi lota \sigma \omega$; and as the Ionics after dropping σ change the stem-vowel α into ϵ (see notes IV), they then write lota for lota. From divagas the tragic poets and later prose formed 2 pers. divag, see § 114. But the forms in $\sigma \omega$ and σ , as $i\pi lova$, lota (Indic. and Imperat.), $i\delta i\sigma \omega$, were in very common use, especially in the Aor. 2. Mid.

Subjunctive.

•	S.	τιθώμαι	ίστῶμαι	διδώμαι	from
		τιθή	ίστη	διδώ	δεικνύω
		τιθηται	ίστῆται	διδώται	
	D.	τιθώμεθον	ι στώμεθον	διδώμεθον	
		τιθησθον	ίστησθον	διδώσθον	
		τιθησθον	ίστησθον	διδώσθον	
	P.	τιθώμεθα	<i>ἱστώμεθα</i>	διδώμεθα	
		τιθησθε	$i\sigma \tau \hat{n}\sigma \theta \epsilon$	διδώσθε	
		τιθῶνται	ίστῶνται	διδώνται	

For some irregularity in the accentuation of this Subjunctive, see m. 31 32, below.

Optative

S. τιθείμη	γν Ισταίμην	διδοίμην	from
τιθείο	ίσταῖο	διδοίο	δεικνύ
τιθεῖτο	ίσταῖτο	διδοίτο	
D. τιθείμε	εθον Ισταίμεθον	διδοίμεθον	
τιθείσ	θον Ισταΐσθον	διδοΐσθον	-
τιθείσ	θην Ισταίσθην	διδοίσθην	
Ρ. τιθείμο	εθα ἱσταίμεθα	διδοίμεθα	
τιθείσ	$\theta \epsilon = i \sigma \tau a i \sigma \theta \epsilon$	διδοΐσθε	
τιθείντ	ο ἱσταῖντο	διδοΐντο	

For the Attic Optative τίθοιτο, ἵσταιτο, δίδοιτο, etc. see m. 32 below.

Imperative.

τίθεσο or	ίστασο or	δίδοσο or	δείκνυσο
τίθου	ΐστω	δίδου	
$\tau \iota \theta \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \omega$, etc.	ίστάσθω, etc.	διδόσθω, etc.	δεικνύσθω, etc.

Imperfect.

S.	ἐτιθέμην	ίστάμην	εδιδόμην	έδεικνύμην
	ἐτίθεσο or ἐτίθου	ΐστασο or ΐστω	έδίδοσο or έδίδου	έδείκνυσο
	ἐ τίθετο	ΐστατο	έδίδοτο	έδείκνυτο
D.	έτιθέμεθον	Ι στάμεθον	έδιδόμεθον	έδεικνύμεθον
1	<i>ἐτίθεσθον</i>	ΐστασθον	έδίδοσθον	έδείκνυσθον
	ἐτιθέ σθην	<i>ἱστάσθην</i>	έδιδόσθην	έδεικνύσθην
P.	<i>ἐτιθέμεθα</i>	<i>ἱστάμεθα</i>	έδιδόμεθα	έδεικνύμεθα
	ετίθεσθε	ΐστασθε	έδίδοσθε	έδείκνυσθε
	ἐ τίθεντο	ΐσταντο	έδίδοντο	έδείκνυντο

Perf.		έσταμαι	δέδομαι	from
Plupf.	τέθεισαι, etc. ἐτεθείμην	έστασαι, etc. έστάμην	δέδοσαι, etc. έδεδόμην	ΔΕΙΚΩ

Note I, 15. As to the other moods, etc. of the Perfect, it is easy to form 15 the Inf. $\tau\epsilon\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\sigma\theta a\iota$, $\delta\epsilon\delta\delta\sigma\theta a\iota$. Part. $\tau\epsilon\theta\epsilon\iota\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma s$, Imper. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\sigma o$, etc. The Subj. and Opt. do not occur.

Fut. 1	τεθήσομαι	σταθήσομαι	δοθήσομαι	from
Aor. 1	ἐτέθην	έστάθην	<i>ἐδόθην</i>	$\Delta EIK\Omega$

16 Note I, 16. In ἐτέθην, τεθήσομαι, the syllable τε must not be taken for a reduplication; it is the radical syllable Sε, which becomes τε because of the S in the ending, according to § 18. n. 2. The form is therefore for ἐθέθην, Θεθήσομαι.

Fut. 2 and 3, also Aor. 2, are wanting.*

MIDDLE.

Fut. 1	θήσομαι	στήσομαι	δώσομαι	from
Aor. 1	έθηκάμην	εστησάμην	έδωκάμην	ΔΕΙΚΩ

17 Note I, 17. The Aorists ἐθηκάμην, ἐδωκάμην, with their participles, be long solely to the Ionic and Doric dialects; the other moods do not occur. The Attic prose uses, from these verbs in the Middle, only the Aor. 2. Comp. the remarks on the Aor. Act. notes 8 sq. above.—The Aor. 1 ἐστησάμην is, on the contrary, very much used; see notes II.

Aorist 2.

	_	Aoris	υ <i>2</i> .	
Indicat				
	ἐθέμην	⊨ *ἐστάμην	¿ δόμην	wanting.
	έθέμην (ἔθεσο) ἔθο	v see m. 20.	(နိုင်ဝက္ကရ) နိုင်ငံတ	
	etc.	1	έδόμην (ἔδοσο) ἔδου etc.	•
]	Declined like the	Imperf. Passive.	•
Infin.	θέσθαι	#στάσθαι	δόσθαι	
Part.	θέμενος	*στάμενος	δόμενος	
		# C	30	
Subj.	Ιώμαι	*στῶμαι *σταίμην *στάσο, στῶ	δώμαι	
Opt.	Θείμην	≖ σταίμην	δοίμην	
Impero	ıt. (Θέσο) Θοῦ	#στάσο, στώ	(δόσο) δοῦ	

- 18 Note I, 18. All these are declined throughout like the corresponding forms of the *Pres. Passive.*—For the Attic forms of the Opt. and Subj. (πρόσθοιτο, πρόσθωμαι, etc.) see notes III.
- 19 Νοτε I, 19. The Infinitive retains the accent even in composition, as ἀποθέσθαι, ἀποδόσθαι. The Imperative retains it in the Singular in composition, only when the preposition has but one syllable; e. g. προσθοῦ, προσδοῦ, ἀφοῦ (from ἵημι); when the preposition has two syllables, the accent is thrown back upon it, e. g. περίδου, ἀπόδου. In the Plur. the accent always comes upon the preposition; e. g. ἐπίθεσθε, πρόδοσθε, ἄφεσθε.
- 20 Note I, 20. The Aor. 2 Mid. of ἴστημι does not occur; and stands in the paradigm only for the sake of the analogy, or on account of other verbs; e. g. ἐπτάμην from ἵπταμαι; see the Anom. πέτομαι § 114.

Verbal Adjectives.

Αετέος	στατέος	δοτέος	from
Βετός	στατός	δοτός	⊿ΕΙΚΩ

^{*} The Aor. 2 and Fut. 2 Pass. are not possible in this formation; except that some verbs in ruμι can form them from the simple theme; see the Anom. ξεύγνυμι. The Fut. 3 does not directly occur from these verbs; though the Anom. Fit. εστήξομαι (see m. 24) may perhaps be considered as such.

25

ΙΙ. ΝοτΕS ΟΝ ΐστημι.

1. The verb ἴστημι is divided between the transitive signification to place, 21 cause to stand, and the intransitive to stand; comp. § 113. 2. In the Active there belong to the signification

To place: Pres. and Impf. Ιστημι, Ίστην, Fut. στήσω, Aor. έστησα

To stand: Perf. and Plupf. ἔστηκα, ἐστήκειν, Aor. ἔστην.
The Passive signifies throughout to be placed; but the Pres. and Impf. ἴσταμαι, ἰστάμην, as Middle, together with the Future Middle στήσομαι, have sometimes the signification to place oneself, and sometimes that of to place, i. e. set up, erect, e. g. a monument. The Aor. 1 Mid. ἐστησάμην always has this latter signification.

2. Besides this the Perfect Active, as to its signification, is here not Perfect, but Present; and the Pluperfect is consequently Imperfect; comp. § 113. 7. Thus

έστηκα I stand, έστηκώς standing, etc.* έστηκειν I stood.

3. In the Perf. and Plupf, there is commonly used in the Dual and Plural 23 of the Indicative, and throughout the other moods, a syncopated form, resembling the Present of verbs in μ . As this form is likewise found in other verbs, it will be illustrated below in § 110. 10; but in the mean time it is exhibited here, in order to render the inflection of $lor\eta\mu$ complete.

Perf. Plur. ἔστἄμεν, ἔστἄτε, ἐστᾶσι(ν)

Du. ἔστἄτον

Plupf. Plur. ἔστἄμεν, ἔστἄτε, ἔστἄσαν

Du. ἔστάτον, ἐστάτην

Subjunct. έστῶ, ŷs, ŷ, etc. Opt. έσταίην

Imperat. ἔστάθι, ἐστάτω, etc.

Infin. εστάναι

Particip. (έσταώς) έστώς, έστωσα, έστώς,† Gen. έστωτος

Ιοη. έστεώς, εῶσα, εώς · εῶτος.

Hence it appears, that this Perfect and Pluperfect have assumed, in the greater part of their flexion, both the form and the signification of the Present and Imperfect.

4. In consequence of the *Present* signification of this Perfect, and because 24 the Fut. $\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\omega$ means I will place, and Fut. $\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\omega\mu$ at I will place myself or for myself, there has been formed from the Perf. $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\kappa a$ I stand, a special anomalous

Future ἐστήξω or ἐστήξομαι, I will stand, with which is to be compared the similar Fut. in the Anom. θνήσκω.

5. In like manner for the transitive signification, there is also a Perfect $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\tilde{\alpha}\kappa a$ I have placed,

which nevertheless belongs to a later period. The old Attic employed in stead of the Perf. in both significations, either the two Aorists, or a periphrase, § 97. n. 6.

6. In some of the editions of Homer, the syncopated form of the Pluperf. 26 3 Plur. ἔστασαν is found both in the transitive and intransitive sense. But the more correct orthography seems to be this, viz. ἔστασαν in its usual

† The irregular form of the Nom. and Acc. of this Neuter, viz. εστόs, instead of εστώs, is more common. See Ausf. Sprachl. under "στημι, § 114.

^{*} In some compounds, however, whose Middle passes over into the intransitive signification, the Perf. Act. can be translated in English as a real Perfect with the same signification; e. g. $\Delta p/(\sigma \tau \eta \mu)$ I set up, $\Delta p/(\sigma \tau \eta \mu)$ I rise up, $\Delta p/(\sigma \tau \eta)$ I have risen up.—In consequence of this usual Present signification, the later corrupt Greek formed from this tense a peculiar Present, $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ I stand; hence 3 pers. $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ Rom. 14, 4; Imper. $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega \varepsilon$ Cor. 16, 13. al.

sense as Imperfect, they stood; and $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\sigma a\nu$ shortened for $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \eta\sigma a\nu$ from Aor. 1 $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \eta\sigma a$, they placed, as Aorist, Od. σ . 307; which then, like the Aorists, could also be used for the Pluperf. they had placed, Il. μ . 56. Comp. the similar shortened form $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\epsilon$ in the Anom. $\pi i\mu\pi\rho\eta\mu\nu$.

The form ἔστητε ye stand, Il. δ. 243, 246, is a Homeric syncope for ἔστηκατε or ἔστατε. Compare with this some forms in Herodotus, e. g. προεστέατε 5. 49; also 3 Plur. ἐστέασι 1. 200. ib. 3. 62.

III. Notes on the Subjunctive and Optative.

- The Subjunctive and Optative of the conjugation in μι, in their regular form, have the accent constantly upon the ending; e. g. τιθώ, διδώμεν, τιθέιντο, etc.
- 29 2. The cause of this accentuation is to be sought simply in the circumstance, that the syncope, which is so essential to the form in μι (§ 106. n. 6, 7), cannot properly have place in these moods. Hence they cause the long mood-vowel to flow together with the stem-vowel into one long sound; which consequently, according to the rule, takes the accent of a contraction, § 28. 6.
- 30 3. Nevertheless, this mode of forming a mixed sound is a different thing from the ordinary contraction of these moods in verbs in άω, έω, όω; as may be seen in the Paradigms.—The Subjunct. ἱστῆs, ἱστῆ, which is also adduced, belongs consequently to the form ἱστάω, and is, like the Inf. ἱστῆν, ἀνιστῆν (Plut.), less correct and less usual; see § 106. n. 5.
- 31 4. But the tendency to render these moods conformable in their accent to the general analogy,—according to which the accentuation of the conjugation in μ does not differ from that of ordinary barytone verbs,—has caused in the Passive several deviations, which in some verbs were more, in others less usual. In the two verbs $\tau t\theta \eta \mu \iota$ and $\tau \eta \mu \iota$ (§ 108), the deviations are for the most part peculiar to the Attics, and consist in this, viz. that the stem-vowel is dropped, and then the endings of both moods are assumed from the ordinary conjugation; while the accent, when possible, is thrown back; so that these forms appear just as if derived from a common barytone verb. In the Subjunctive indeed, the accent constitutes the only distinction, e. g.

τίθωμαι instead of τιθώμαι Aor. 2. Mid. πρόσθηται, πρόηται, etc.

But in the Optative the diphthong or is further assumed, e. g.

τίθοιτο, περίθοιντο, πρόοισθε.

Comp. κάθημαι under ήμαι (§ 108. II. 3); and μέμνημαι under the Anom. μιμνήσκω.

From ισταμαι the Optative alone assumes this accentuation. retaining its usual diphthong, and is thus used by all writers; e. g.
 ισταιο, ισταιτο, ισταισθε, ισταιντο.

But the Subjunctive is always Ιστώμαι, συνιστήται, etc. From δίδομαι however we find these moods sometimes accented as in no. 4, which also is regarded as Attic:

Subj. δίδωται Opt. ἀπόδοιντο.*
In all other verbs which conform to ἴσταμαι and δίδομαι, these moods always have the accent on the antepenult; e. g. δύνωμαι, δύναιτο, ὅναιτο, ἀπίστηται, from δύναμαι, ὀνίνωμαι, ἐπίσταμαι (see in § 114); ὄνοιτο from Αποm. ὅνομαι with radical o. We find too in verbs in αμαι, examples of transition

to the form -οίμην; see the Anom. μάρναμαι and κρέμαμαι.



^{*} Our knowledge of this supposed Atticism, (see Fischer ad Weller. II. p. 469 sq. and espec. Gottling Acc. p. 79, 81-85,) is still very imperfect and uncertain; and more accurate investigation has yet to determine and rectify much in the above specifications.

40

6. Verbs in νμι commonly form both these moods from the theme in νω, 33 as δεικνύης, δεικνύοιμι. Still there are some examples, which shew that they could be formed here after the analogy of other verbs in μι, by using simply long ν instead of the usual mixed vowel or diphthong; e. g. Opt. δαίνῦτο II. ω. 665; πήγνῦτο Plat. Phæd. extr. Subj. 3 Sing. σκεδαννῦσι ib. p. 77. d, like the old form τύπτησι, τιθῆσι. § 103. m. 37.*

IV. DIALECTS.

1. Many of the variations of the dialects in the ordinary conjugation, are 34 also common to verbs in μ ; as the *iterative* form in $\sigma \kappa \rho \nu$, which in these verbs always has the short radical vowel before this ending, e. g.

Impf. τίθεσκον, δίδοσκον, δείκνυσκον Aor. 2 στάσκον, δόσκον.

Further the Infinitives $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $lo\tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $lo\tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ (for $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$, $lo\tau \dot{a} \nu a \iota$), $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu a$, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$, $\theta \dot{\epsilon}$

- 2. For the sake of the metre the epic poets employ the Inf. τιθήμεναι, 35 Part. Pass. τίθήμενος; and διδοῦναι instead of διδούναι. They sometimes retain the reduplication in forms where it is not customary, e. g. Fut. διδώσω instead of δώσω.
- 3. The Ionics, in verbs in ημι from άω, change a before a vowel into ε; 36 e. g. ἰστέασι for ἰστάασι comm. ἰστᾶσι. Comp. § 105. n. 8.—Hence they have in the 3 Plur. Pass. ἰστέαται (instead of ἰστάαται) for ἴστανται; see n. 1 above.
- 4. The Ionic dropping of the σ in the endings σαι and σο (§ 103. m. 17) 37 appears here less frequently; Herodot. ἐπίστεαι (for -ααι) from ἐπίσταμαι, ἐπίστασαι · Hom. Θέο for Θέσο, μάρναο for μάρνασο, δαίννο for ἐδαίννσο.
- 5. The Dorics have τι for σι; in the Sing. τίθητι for τίθησι; and in the 38 Plural, (the ν being also restored, § 103. m. 28,) τιθέντι, Ιστάντι, διδόντι, for -είσι, âσι, οῦσι:
- 6. The 3 Plur. of the *Imperf*. and *Aor*. 2 Act. in $\sigma a\nu$ is made by the 39 Dorics and the epic writers a syllable shorter, and ends simply in ν with the preceding short or shortened stem-vowel; e. g.

ἔτιθεν for ἐτίθεσαν ἔφὰν for ἔφασαν (see φημί § 109) ἔστὰν (στάν), βάν, for ἔστησαν, ἔβησαν ἔδον, ἔδῦν, for ἔδοσαν, ἔδῦσαν.

- 7. For the 1 Sing. Imperf. ἐτίθην, the Ionics say ἐτίθεα.
- 8. The Ionic resolution of the Subjunctive-ending (§ 103. m. 38) here always causes the stem-vowel to reappear; yet only according to these rules:
 - a) Verbs whose stem-vowel is ϵ or α , adopt here ϵ as the stem-vowel 41 (see m. 36 above); thus

τιθέω, τιθέης, τιθέητε, τιθέωσι, τιθέωμαι, etc. for τιθώ, ῆς, etc. ώμαι, etc. and θέω, θέης, θέωμαι, etc. for θώ, θῆς, etc.

Ιστέω, Ιστέης, στέω, στέης, στέωμεν, etc. for Ιστώ, στώ, στής, etc.

b) Verbs whose original stem-vowel is o, take only ω; thus διδώω, δώω, 42 δώης, δώη, etc. for διδῶ, δῶ, δῷς, δῷ, etc.



^{*} See below in § 110. 6, $\phi \delta n \nu$; and also ib. 7, $\phi \delta (\mu n \nu)$.—The above accentuation of the Passive forms $\delta a (\nu \bar{\nu} \tau_0, \pi h \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \tau_0)$, is founded on the analogy of the examples contained in the preceding notes. Comp. $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \bar{\nu} \tau_0$ § 98. n. 9.

- 9. The epic writers have also this Ionic resolution; and can vary it in two different ways, according to the necessities of the metre:
- a) They prolong the c. According to the general rules, this can be done only by means of ει; and thus we find θείω, θείης, θείωμεν, etc. for 9ω, etc. and so also στείω for στω. But where the original vowel of contraction is η , only the doubling of the sound $(\eta \eta)$ can have place, § 105. m. 38. This occurs in those verbs whose stem-vowel is a, usually; and for $\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}$, $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}s$, $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$, etc. from $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu$, we accordingly find $\sigma\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}\omega$, $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\eta s$, $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\eta$. In those with the stem-vowel ϵ the usage is variable; and we find both θείης, θείη, θείητε, and θήης, θήη, etc.*
- b) They shorten the peculiar vowel of the Subjunctive (§ 103. m. 39); but for the most part only where the stem-vowel is prolonged as above;

θείομαι, στείομεν, for θέωμαι, στέωμεν στή ετον for (στήτον) στήητον· δώομεν for δώωμεν.

- 10. Since the epic writers make the 3 Sing. in all Subjunctives in or (§ 103. m. 37), some forms arise here which must be carefully distinguished both from the Indicative, and from the 3 pers. Plur. e. g. lστησι for lστη, δφσι for δφ.
- 11. The Optative is never resolved; except that the Ionics say $\Im \epsilon o (\mu \eta \nu)$ for $\Im \epsilon (\mu \eta \nu)$, precisely as if from $\Theta \to \Omega$. Hdot. 1. 53.

§ 108. Verbs in $\mu \iota$ from $E\Omega$, $E\Omega$, $I\Omega$.

Among the anomalous verbs in $\mu\iota$ are several short ones, some of which have $E\Omega$ for their root, and others $E\Omega$ and $I\Omega$. These are very liable to be confounded; especially in composition, where the breathing in many cases disappears. Thus προσείναι can come from both elvas and elvas, while in apeivas and a meivas the breathing is distinguished; though not even here in Ionic writers, who in such words omit the aspirate. The theme $E\Omega$ has three principal significations: 1) to send, 2) to set, place, 3) to clothe; $'E\Omega$ has the signification to be; and $'I\Omega$, to go.

I. $ln \mu \iota$, send, cast; from $E\Omega$.

1. This verb may be compared throughout with $\tau l\theta \eta \mu \iota$, from which it deviates very little. The i stands instead of the reduplication († 106. 6); in the Attic dialect it is long. When a form begins with the short radical ϵ , it is susceptible of the temporal augment, and ϵ passes over into ϵi , 184.2.

Note. An actual comparison with the form τίθημι is here presupposed. It may also be remarked, that the simple verb inju occurs but seldom; and that the greater part of the forms here given are found only in the compounds.

^{*} The ancient Grammarians themselves are not uniform on this point, and we find both modes of orthography in the best editions; and besides these a third, which drops the subscript in the 2 and 3 person (Seins, Sein), and thus makes them precisely like the Optative. Still, this last appears to be the least correct form; and seems to be founded solely on the supposition, that the a has passed over to the preceding vowel, Sép, Seln. See § 103. m. 38.

ACTIVE.

Pres. Sing. $" \eta \mu \iota$ $" \eta \sigma \iota (\nu)$ $" \eta \sigma \iota (\nu)$

Plur. ἵεμεν ἵετε ἱασι οτ ἱεῖσι(ν)

the former contracted from léaσι, comp. τιθέασι.

Suhj. ἱῶ Opt. ἰείην Imper. (ῗεθι) ἵει, ἰέτω, etc. Inf. ἰέναι (comp. ἀφιέναι) Part. ἱείς, ἱεῖσα, ἰέν.

Impf. S. $7\eta\nu$ and $70\nu\nu$ ins and $76\nu\nu$ in and 76ν in 76ν let 76ν le

The form Tour is regular from 'IEΩ, § 106. n. 5. § 107. m. 6. Comp. αφίουν οτ ἡφίουν, § 86. n. 2; 3 Plur. ἡφίεσαν.

Perf. elka* Plupf. elkely

Fut. ησω Aor. 1 ηκα § 106. 11, Ion. εηκα.

Aor. 2 Sing. not used; for it the Aor. 1. D. P. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mu\epsilon\nu}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\sigma\alpha\nu}$

commonly with the augment: εἶμεν, εἶτε, εἶσαν, comp. καθείμεν, ἀνεῖτε, ἀφείσαν.†

Subj. &, ήs, etc. Opt. είην, Plur. είμεν, εἶτε, εἶεν, for εἴημεν, etc. Imper. ε̃s, ε̃τω Inf. εἶναι Part. εἵs, εἶσα, ε̃ν.

Compounds: ἀφείναι, ἀφω, ἄφες, ἀφείς, etc. Opt. Plur. ἀνείμεν, etc.

Passive and Middle; comp. τίθημι.

Pres. Tepai, Terai, etc. Subj. louar Opt. lejuny, etc.

Perf. είμαι, ετσαι, ιεται, ετσ. (μεθείμαι, μεθείσθαι, μεσθείσθω, etc.)

Ρίνης - Αργαί, είδ. (μεθείμαι, μεθείσθαι, μεσθείσθω,

Plupf. εξμην, είσο, etc. comp. Aor. 2 Mid. Aor. 1 Pass. ξθην, comm. with the augment εξθην, e. g. ἀφείθην. Part. ἀφεθείς, etc.—Fut. έθήσομαι.

Aor. 1 Mid. ἡκάμην, used only in the Indicative.

Aor. 2 Mid. ξμην, comm. with the augment είμην, είσο, είτο, etc. e. g.

άφείτο, έφείντο.†

Subj. &μαι, $\hat{\eta}$, etc. Opt. εἴμην, εἴο, etc. Imper. οὖ (ἀφοῦ, προοῦ, προοῦς προέσθε, etc. see § 107. m. 19). Infin. ἔσθαι (ἀφέσθαι) Part. ἔμενος. Verbal Adject. ἐτέος, ἐτός (ἄφετος).

- 2. For the Attic Subjunctive and Optative, e. g. πρόωμαι, πρόηται· ιοιτο, ἀφίοιντο, πρόοισθε, (even in Act. ἀφίοιτε, ἀφίη, Plato, Xen.) and also for the dialects, e. g. ἀφέω, ἀφείω for Subj. ἀφῶ; ἢσι for 3 Sing. Subj. ἢ; see § 107.
- 3. Peculiar to this verb, however, is an Attic-Ionic form of the Imperf. in - $\epsilon \iota \nu$ instead of - $\eta \nu$ in the compounds, e. g. $\pi \rho \circ \hat{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu$ Od. κ . 100; $\mathring{\eta} \phi \acute{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu$ Plat. Euthyd, 51. See the Ausf. Sprachl.
- 4. To be noted are also the Homeric forms of the Fut. and Aor. $d\nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota$, $d\nu \epsilon \sigma a \iota \mu \iota$, etc. after another (more regular) formation, II. ξ . 209. ϕ . 537. Od. σ . 265; but these occur only in composition with $d\nu d$, and as it would seem only when this preposition has the sense of back, again.
- 5. An old theme 'IO has sometimes been assumed, especially in the compounds ANIO, MEOIO. But all the forms which are referred to it, are chiefly Ionic and poetical, and depend for the most part on the accent. With more certainty we may refer thither the Homeric $\xi \acute{\nu} \nu \iota o \nu$, and the Ionic form $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ from METIO (Impf. $\mu \epsilon \tau \iota \epsilon \tau o o$ in for MEOIO, comm. $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \eta \mu \iota$, $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \epsilon \tau o$, $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \psi \epsilon \sigma s$)

^{*} Like τέθεικα.—A less usual form was ἕωκα, with ω inserted (§ 97. n. 2); whence the Passive form 3 Plur. ἀφέωνται in the N. Test. Matt. 9, 2. 5, etc. See Lexilog. I. p. 296.

[†] The accent is not drawn back because of the augment; see § 84. n. 4. ‡ If we write e. g. 2 Sing. Pres. μεθιείς, it belongs to ἹΕΩ; but μεθίεις to ἹΩ.

II. είσα did set, did place; ἡμαι sit.

1. Eloa is a defective verb, from which in the transitive sense,—vet only in some special significations, as to lay the foundation of a building, to erect, to place an ambush, etc.—the following forms occur:

Aor. 1. είσα, Mid. είσάμην

Part. έσας, έσάμενος (Hom. έφεσάμενος), Inf. έσαι (Hom. έφέσσαι), Mid. Imper. egai, eggai.

To these may be added: 3 pers. Sing. coo and with syllabic augment έέσσατο (another reading is ἐέσσατο Od. ξ. 295), and Fut. Mid. εσομαι (Hom. έφέσσεσθαι II. ι. 455, he will set); all which forms are liable to be confounded with the similar ones from έννυμι below. For the Attic prose only the Middle form elocaphy is in use; the Active forms belong to the poets and dialects.—The diphthong el in the Indic. is strictly only augment; still it passed over as a strengthening into the other forms, e. g. Imperat. είσον, Part. είσας, είσάμενος, Hdot. Plut. Fut. Mid. είσομαι is rare. All the defective parts were supplied by the forms of iδρύω.

2. The Perfect Passive has the following form, which most commonly has the force of an intransitive Present, viz.

ημαι I sit.

Pres. ήμαι, ήσαι, ήσται, etc. 3 Pl. ήνται (Ion. εαται, epic είαται) Impf. ήμην, ήσο, ήστο, etc. 3 Pl. ήντο (lon. έατο, epic είατο Od. υ. 106) Inf. ήσθαι Part. ήμενος* Imper. ήσο, ήσθω, etc.

3. The compound $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \eta \mu a \iota$ is in more common use. This verb does not assume the σ in the 3 pers. except in the Imperf. when it does not take the syllabic augment; thus

κάθημαι, 3 κάθηται

εκαθήμήν οτ καθήμην, 3 εκάθητο οτ καθήστο† Inf. καθήσθαι* Part. καθήμενος* Imp. κάθησο Subj. κάθωμαι, η, ηται, etc. Opt. καθοίμην, 3 κάθοιτο.‡ Later writers employ also for the 2 pers. the form κάθη, and in the Imperat.

κάθου, for κάθησαι, κάθησο.—The Ionics in their manner have r instead of 9; as κάτημαι, 3 Pl. κατέαται, etc.

4. All the defective parts are supplied from εζεσθαι or εζεσθαι, and its compounds with κατά. § 114, ίζω.

ΙΙΙ. ἔννυμι, Ion. εἴνυμι, I clothe.

This verb is inflected like δείκνυμι, and has its defective parts from the theme $E\Omega$. Comp. § 106. 8, 12. § 112. 15.

Except in composition, this verb is only poetical. Besides the Pres. and Impf. the following forms occur:

Fut. έσω, έσσω, Aor. έσσα, Inf. έσαι, έσσαι, Mid. έσσάμην

Perf. Pass. είμαι, είσαι, είται, etc. hence 3 Pl. Plupf. εΐατο Il. σ. 596, and from a form εσμαι, Plupf. 2 Pers. εσσο, 3 pers. εστο.

Also with the syllabic augment, Aor. έέσσατο, Plupf. έεστο.

In prose the compound αμφιέννυμι is usual:

† Nevertheless, contrary to the precept of the grammarians (Thom. Mag.) we sometimes find written καθῆτο, Dem. Cor. p. 285, 300. Bekk.

‡ Comp. § 107. m. 31. Aristoph. Ran. 947, 1073; where it is now accented καθοίτο, ἐπικαθοίτο; see Göttling.

^{*} The present signification occasions also a present accentuation in the Participle, but not in the Infinitive; as may be seen in the compound καθήσθαι. Comp. κείμαι, and espec. § 111. n. 2.

Fut. αμφιέσω Attic αμφιώ Aor. 1 ημφίεσα, αμφιέσαι. Perf. Pass. ημφίεσμαι, ημφίεσαι, ημφίεσται, etc. Inf. ημφιέσθαι. Mid. to clothe oneself, Fut. αμφιέσομαι, Xen. Plato:

Probably also Aor. 1 ημφιεσάμην, since Xenophon (Cyr. 6. 4. 6) has from the compound in ἐπί the Inf. ἐπιέσασθαι, in like manner without elision of the i. That the vowel of the preposition is commonly not elided (enielμένος Hom. ἐπιέσται Hdot. 1. 47), is to be explained from § 6. n. 3.

IV. $\epsilon i \mu i I am$; from $E\Omega$.

1. The usual flexion of $\epsilon i \mu i$ is the following:

S. ελμί Present els, comm. el έστίν, έστί (έστι 3 below) D. έστόν **έ**στόν Ρ. ἐσμέν ἐστέ Inf. είναι Part. ὧν (G. ὅντος), οὖσα, ὄν είσίν, είσί

Subj. &, $\hat{\eta}$ ς, $\hat{\eta}$ - ήτον, ήτον - $\hat{\omega}_{\mu}$ εν, ήτε, $\hat{\omega}$ σι(ν) Opt. είην, είης, είη - είητον, είήτην Οτ είτην - είημεν Οτ είμεν, είητε Οτ είτε, είησαν comm. είεν*

Imp. ἴσθι, † ἔστω † D. ἔστον, ἔστων · P. ἔστε, ἔστωσαν οτ ἔστων. †

Imperf. S. nv ἦσθα‡ ήτον οι ήστον ήτην Οι ήστην ήτε or ήστε

The Fut. is formed as Middle:

εσομαι 2 εση or εσει 3 εσται, old and epic εσεται Ínf. ἔσεσθαι, etc.

Verbal Adjectives (Neut.) ἐστέον (συνεστέον) etc.

2. There is further an Imperf. from the Middle,

Impf. 1 Sing. ήμην, which is equivalent to that of the Active, but less frequent in earlier writers. A form of the 3 Plur. elato for huto Od. v. 106, is recognized by the ancient Grammarians, but is doubtful; see under huas, and the Ausf. Sprachl.—The Dorics and epic writers have the 2 Sing. Imperat. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma o$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma \sigma o$.

- 3. The whole Present $\epsilon i\mu i$ etc. is enclitic; but actually takes the inclination, only when it is merely the logical copula, connecting the subject and its predicate; whenever it signifies actual existence, it retains the tone. The 3 Sing. especially, then takes the tone on the first syllable; e. g. 9 cos έστιν έστι μοι δούλος; έστιν οί (but Plur. είσὶν οί § 150. m. 21). Further, ἔστιν always stands after the unaccented particles ώς, οὐκ, εί, and after τοῦτο and άλλά when these words have an apostrophe: οὐκ ἔστι, τοῦτ' ἔστιν. Elsewhere however, when the inclination is only hindered (§ 14. 6), the tone remains on the final syllable; e. g. λόγος ἐστί, ἀγαθὸς δ' ἐστίν, ἐσμὲν γάρ.—The 2 pers. εί or είς is never inclined, except in the dialect-form ἐσσί (4), and sometimes είς, e. g. Od. δ. 371.
- 4. In no verb are the dialects so various and multiplied as in this. We adduce here, for the most part, only such as do not follow of course from the general principles stated in § 103.

Present. Doric, S. ἐμμί, ἐσσί, ἐντί, which last stands likewise for the 3 Plur. elσί.—Ionic 2 Sing. also eσσί, 1 Plur. elμέν, 3 Plur. έασι(ν).— A poetical form is έμέν for έσμέν.

^{*} The particle elev be it so, well, seems to have come from the 3 Sing. eln; for the Sing. is requisite, whether we supply τοῦτο or ταῦτα.

[†] The 2 pers. loθ, is not to be confounded with loθ, know, see olda § 109.—The 3 pers. Sing. has also a later form \$7 \omega; and the 3 Plur. is in Plato (Legg. p. 879) once ΰντων, Ion. ἐόντων.

In later writers As. Comp. § 103. m. 36.

Imperf. Ion. sometimes ha and ea, 2 eas, 3 he or hev, 2 Pl. eare, etc. and sometimes for (1 pers. in Hom.) and foror, from the theme 'EQ. -From the form &a comes the Attic form of the

1 pers. η for ην.

For the 3 Sing $\frac{\pi}{\eta\nu}$ the epic writers have also the doubled sound $\frac{\pi}{\eta\nu}$ and $\frac{\pi}{\eta\nu}$.* The Dorics have for the same, by a peculiar anomaly, $\frac{\pi}{\eta s}$; for the 1 Plur. $\frac{\pi}{\eta\mu\epsilon\nu}$ and $\frac{\pi}{\eta\nu\epsilon s}$; and for the 3 Plur. ήσαν the Ion. and Dor. is έσαν.†—Also 2 Sing. in Hom. έησθα.

Ancient and Ionic τμεν, τμεναι, τμμεν, τμμεναι; Dor. ημεν and ημες (like 1 Plur. Impf.), είμεν and είμες. Infin.

Finally, the Ionics form from the theme EΩ the Part. ἐών with the accent on the final syllable, Subj. čw., Opt. čosus.

5. In composition the preposition, in all cases not contrary to the general rules (§ 103. m. 1), takes the accent upon itself; e. g. πάρειμι, 2 pers. πάρει. But in $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ on account of the augment, $\pi a \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota$ on account of the syncope, $\pi a \rho \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$ (§ 103. m. 6), Subj. $\pi a \rho \hat{\omega}$, $\hat{\eta}_s$, $\hat{\eta}_s$, etc. and Opt. 3 Pl. $\pi a \rho \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ on account of the formation in $\mu \iota$ (§ 107. m. 28), the accent remains upon the verb. The participle also retains the tone, παρών.—For πάρα, ἔνι, etc. instead of πάρεστι, ένεστι, etc. see § 117. 3.

V. $\epsilon l\mu \iota I go$; from $I\Omega$.

1. The radical sound of this verb is t, which when lengthened passes over into ε_ι. With this change are connected many anomalies, both of form and of signification. The following are the forms in use.

Present els comm. el (Hom. elσθa) S. είμι $\epsilon l\sigma\iota(\nu)$ D. ίτον ΐτον Ρ. Ιμεν ľāσι(v)

Inf. lévai

Part. lών, loῦσa, lóν, G. lóντος, always with the accent on the ending, as in other verbs the Part. Aorist. Opt. Torus or loins

Subj. ‰

Imperat. ίθι (in composition εί, as πρόσει, έξει), ίτω etc. 3 Pl. ίτωσαν οτ ίόντων.

Imperf.

S. ŋ̃ew, Ion. ŋ̃ia, Att. ਜa heis or heis θa η̃ει or η̃ειν,‡ Ion. file or ἡίεν P. hecher or heer here or he

ἦεσαν, Ion. ἦϊσαν, Hom. and Att. ἦσαν The Dual is formed after the analogy of the 2 pers. Plural.

Verbal Adj. lréos, lrós, or lryréos, lryrós.

A Middle is also adduced, with the signif. to hasten, hasten away; of which however only the Pres. and Impf. are in use: ίεμαι, ιέμην, Imper. ίεσο. But the Middle of Thu also, (i. e. Tenai i. q. δρμάω, to put oneself in motion, to hurry, to rush,) is identical with these forms in signification; and this too accords far better with ημ. As now the whole matter depends on merely exchanging the smooth breathing for the rough, and inasmuch as the former ("ieµai) is feebly supported by ancient testimony, it is usual in

^{*} In Il. λ. 762 ξην stands for the first person, but is doubtful; see the Ausf. Sprachl. p. 530, marg.

[†] That $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is sometimes adduced as being used for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$, rests solely on some poetical passages, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ stands with the Plural, but so that it always precedes the subject, as Hes. 3. 321 της δ' ην τρείς κεφαλαί. It is therefore simply a peculparity of Syntax; see § 129. n. 6.

[†] This form occurs, for the most part, only in the tragic Senarius before a vowel

recent editions, not only of Homer but of other authors who use these forms, as Plutarch and Lucian, to write everywhere ἵεμαι, ἵεσο, ἱέμενος, ἵεντο, etc.

- 2. The compounds have the accent like those of $\epsilon l\mu i$; and hence e.g. $\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota$, $\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \iota \iota$, are forms of the same persons from that verb; and 3 Sing. $\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ is the same as the 3 Pl. of the compound of $\epsilon \acute{\iota} \mu \acute{\iota}$.
- 3. This verb is the sole example of a form in $\mu\iota$ which has ι for its radical or stem-vowel.* And just as verbs whose radical vowel is ϵ , retain this in the Plural, while in the Sing. they change it into η , so here the ι is prolonged into $\epsilon\iota$ (as $\epsilon \check{\iota} \mu\iota$, $\epsilon \check{\iota} \sigma\iota$), but in Plur. $\check{\iota} \mu\epsilon\nu$, $\check{\iota} \tau\epsilon$; like $\tau i\theta\eta\mu\iota$, $\eta\sigma\iota$, $\epsilon \mu\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\tau\epsilon$. In the Infin. $\check{\iota} \epsilon \nu a\iota$, the ϵ is therefore only an epenthesis; since it strictly would be $\check{\iota} \nu a\iota$, just like $\tau \iota \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu a\iota$; and to this analogy correspond the ancient and epic Infinitives $\check{\iota} \mu\epsilon\nu$, $\check{\iota} \mu\epsilon\nu a\iota$, like $\tau \iota \theta \acute{\epsilon} \mu\epsilon\nu$ for $\tau \iota \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu a\iota$.—Entirely analogous, but with $\epsilon\iota$ instead of $\check{\iota}$, is the Homerie Opt. $\epsilon \check{\iota} \eta\nu$ II. ι 139. Od. ξ . 496, after the analogy of $\dot{\psi} \iota \eta\nu$; see $\dot{\flat}$ 107. m. 33, and marg. But likewise, after the literal analogy of $\dot{\iota} \iota \eta\nu$ in II. τ . 209.
- 4. Besides the forms above adduced, there occurs nothing further in the common language; and the verb is therefore to be considered as really defective. It serves chiefly to supply the place of some of the less usual forms of the anomalous $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\rho\chi o\mu a\iota}$. § 114.
- 5. This verb has in its signification the peculiar anomaly, that the Present $\epsilon \tilde{i} \mu$ has the

Signification of the Future, I will go. From this there is no real exception, except in the epic and later writers. This $\epsilon i \mu$ therefore supplies the place of the Fut. $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma o \mu a \iota$ (see the Anom. $\epsilon \rho_{\chi \sigma \mu a \iota}$), which form is unwieldy and less used. \dagger

- 6. The other moods of $\epsilon \tilde{l}\mu$, when their nature permits it, can likewise take the signification of the Future; although in the dependent clauses in which they usually stand, this is not at once so obvious. E. g. Thue, 5. 7 ἐνόμιζεν ἀπιέναι ὁπόταν βούληται, 'he thought he would be able to depart when he pleased;' where we also can say, 'he thought to depart.' So after ὅμνυμι, e. g. ὅμοσεν ἀπιέναι juravit se abiturum, he swore to depart. Also Plato. Phæd. p. 103. d, δοκεῖ σοι τὸ πῦρ προσιόντος τοῦ ψυχροῦ ἡ ὑπεξείναι ἡ ἀπολεῖσθαι;—It is most obvious in the Participle; e. g. Xen. An. 2. 3. 29 ῆξω συσκευασάμενος, ὡς ἀπάξων ὑμᾶς εἶς τὴν Ἑλλάδα, καὶ αὐτὸς ἀπιῶν ἐπὶ τὴν ἐμαντοῦ ἀρχήν.—But in most instances, the other moods and the participle appear in a present sense, and so stand for the same moods of ἕρχομα, to which they are commonly preferred because of their shortness.
- 7. The learner must take care not to be misled by the anomalous accent on $l\omega\nu$, so as to regard this participle as an Aorist. The same anomaly appears in the Ion. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\nu$ from $\dot{\epsilon}l\mu\dot{l}$, and in $\kappa\iota\dot{\omega}\nu$ from the Anom. $\kappa\dot{l}\omega$.‡

* Single syncopated forms, which follow the same analogy in other verbs (§ 106. n. 8), are the Plur. of the Perf. $\delta \epsilon \delta i \alpha$, and the Aor. 2 Mid. $\epsilon \phi \theta i \mu \eta \nu$; see the Anom. $\delta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha i$, $\phi \theta i \omega$.

† This usage is by no means limited to the Atties; see e. g. Hdot. 3. 72 maplues. Hom. II. κ . 450. In Homer however there are some examples of this verb as Present, e. g. Od. κ . 191; while among the Atties, at least in prose, there are on genuine examples; for all those are not genuine, where the Fut. can indeed be expressed by the Present in English, but the sense nevertheless undeniably points to the Future; e. g. I go home or am now going home, instead of I will or am about to go home.

‡ The form lών can indeed be taken as preterite in such connections as e. g. Il. a. 179 οἴκαδ' ἰὰν... Μυρμιδόνεσσιν ἄνασσε. But we shall hereafter shew (§ 144. n. 3), that other Presents stand in the same manner. The real Part. Aor. is ἐλθών;

see the Anom. έρχομαι.

8. Instead of the Imperfect above given, the ancient Grammarians have another, viz. elv, els, el, "uev, "tre, Toav; and likewise another Aor. 2, Tov, Tes, etc. to which they erroneously refer the Part. ίων on account of its accent. All that is found of these forms belongs solely to the epic language; and varies in signification, like other old preterites, between the Imperfect and Aorist. But except the third persons,

ίε οτ ίεν, ίτην, ίσαν,

there is nothing to be found.* From these the Grammarians, and they alone, have supplied the other forms by analogy; for eir has the same relation to είμι and ίσαν, as ετίθην to τίθημι and ετιθεσαν.

9. From these two simple preterite forms, however, arose in actual usage two fuller forms; viz. from lov, in the epic writers, flov or flov; and from είν, in the common language, the ἤειν given above. This latter form passed over at the same time into its Ionic shape, nia or na; just as in τίθημι the Ion. ἐτίθεα comes from ἐτίθην, and in εἰμί am the Ion. ἦα from ἦν; except that here this na, or na, remained in use in the Attic language along with $\eta \epsilon \iota \nu$. The ancient Grammarians very erroneously brought forward this na, na, as Perfect, and new as the corresponding Pluperfect; although this is entirely contradicted by the signification, and although no further forms from ητα occur which are at all characteristic of the Perfect, neither in ασι, -έναι, nor Part. ώs.† This Impf. ηα, ηεις, etc. seems principally to have remained in use, in order to take the place of the Impf. ηρχόμην from ἔρχομαι, which was less used because of its ambiguity; it being also the Impf. of ἄρχομαι.‡

* When in the editions of prose writers, &πιμεν, πρόσισαν, etc. are occasionally found as Imperfect, such cases are either false readings, as they for they; or the

corrupted language of later writers.

† With this πειν and πιον, as protracted forms from είν and τον, compare the form ήείδειν for ήδειν or είδειν, in § 109 under οίδα. The orthography μειν with ι subscript was introduced only by the Grammarians, on account of this erroneous derivation from ητα. The protraction itself arose simply from an effort to render the augment audible, without obscuring the sound of ει. The forms ήειμεν, ήειτε, ήεσαν, however, which really occur, have without doubt crept into use from the seeming analogy of the Pluperfect; since at first only ἤῖμεν, ἥῖτε, ἥῖσαν, were used; which last form (ἥῖσαν) has actually been preserved in the Ionic dialect; see in 1 above.

It is proper to bring forward here some examples, to shew this use of fa as an Imperfect. Plato Rep. 5 init. και έγω μέν βα τας έφεξης έρων —, ο δε Πολέμαρχος έκτείνας — προσηγάγετο — και έλεγεν άττα —. Here every language, which distinguishes the Aorist from the Imperfect, requires the Imperfect, in co eram ut dierem, j'allois dire, I was going to say. Xen. Cyr. 5. 4. 10, 11, where the conversation of two persons who meet each other is related: δ Κύρος — εἶπεν, Ἐγὰ δὲ πρὸς σέ, ἔφη, ἐπισκεψόμενος, ὅπως ἔχεις, ἐπορευόμην. Ἐγὰ δέ γ', ἔφη ὁ Γαδάτας, ναὶ μὰ τοὺς Ͽεούς, σὲ ἐπαναθεασόμενος ἥῖα —. Plat. Charmid. init. Ἦκον μὲν τῆ προτεραία — ἀπὸ τοῦ στρατοπέδου · οίον δὲ διὰ χρόνου ἀφιγμένος ἀσμένως ἢ α ἐπὶ τὰς συνήθεις διατριβάς, και δή και είς την Ταυρέου παλαίστραν — είσηλθον, και αὐτόθι κατέλαβον κτλ. Here the first words describe, as is usual at the beginning of the Platonic dialogues, the relation or situation of things at the time of the occurrence; and consequently the η α επί τὰς διατριβάς, as is also shown by the Plural, implies duration, and is therefore Imperfect; while immediately with the simple action ϵ . τ. Τ. π. είσηλθον, the narrated fact begins with the Aorist.—So Hdot. 2. 42, where the conditional έγωγε αν ουκ ήῖα — πολλαχή τε αν ἴσχον ἐμεωυτόν, stands without any anterior clause, and, as the context shews, can in no manner be thrown back into the past. Comp. also Dem. c. Steph. I. p. 1106. Other instances, where the idea of the Aorist might perhaps appear to us more natural, must be judged of with reference to the principle, that an action, which we conceive of as being rapidly accomplished, might often appear to the narrator as having duration, or as being contemporary with another action before mentioned, and consequently in the Im perfect.



10. In the cpic language we find another acknowledged form of the Middle, without the accessory idea of haste; viz.

Fut. and Aor. 1 είσομαι, είσάμην,

both of which are liable to be confounded with the similar forms from είδω (οίδα); especially since Homer makes also εείσατο, and does not elide the vowel of the preposition; as καταείσατο went down. Comp. είδω in § 114.

§ 109. The irregular Verbs φημί, κείμαι, οίδα.

There remain the following verbs, which require to be separately exhibited:

I. $\phi \eta \mu \ell I say$; from $\Phi A \Omega$.

Pres. S. φημί	φής φη	$\sigma i(\nu)$
D. —	φατόν φα	τόν
Ρ. φαμέν	φατέ φα	σί(ν)
Inf. φάναι Part. φάς	•	`,
Subj. φω Opt. φαίην	Imperat. φάθι .	
lmpf. S. ἔφην	έφης comm. έφι	ησθα ἔφη
D. —	ἔ φατον	· φάτην
Ρ. ἔφαμεν	ἔ φατε	έφασαν poet. έφαν.
Fut. φήσω.	Aor. 1 ξφησα.	

The MID. φάσθαι, φάμενος, ἐφάμην (Imperat. φάο for φάσο in Homer), is also used; and in the PASS, some forms of the Perfect are found, as $\pi \epsilon \phi$ άσθω be it said, $\pi \epsilon \phi$ ασμένος.

Verbal Adjectives: φατέος, φατός.

- 1. The forms of the *Pres. Indic.* except φήs, are enclitic (§ 14. 2), with anomalous accent and ι subscript.—The compounds are accented like σύμφημι, συμφήs · ἀντίφημι, ἀντιφήs, ἀντίφησι, Subj. ἀντιφῶ.
- 2. In respect to the signification of this verb, we must distinguish: 1) The general one, to say; 2) The more definite ones, to affirm, declare, pretend, concede. All these belong to the Present φήμι. But in the general signification, to say, only the Pres. and Imperf. Act. in all the moods are in common use; while the other parts are everywhere supplied from the anomalous εἶπεῖν ctc. where see. On the other hand the Fut. and Aor. φήσω, ξφησα, have by preference the more definite meanings; respecting which it is further to be observed, that in the Imperf. and in the Inf. and Part. Present, in order to avoid ambiguity, these meanings are more commonly designated either by the Middle, or by the forms of φάσκειν, which elsewhere is seldom used in prose.*
- 3. We have arranged and named the single forms of this verb above, in the manner required by their derivation. In respect to usage, however, it must be noted, that the Impf. ἔφην is commonly Aorist in sense, and is used alternately with εἶπον, as synonymous with it. And with this ἔφην is connected the Inf. φάναι, as a preterite; thus in direct discourse, e. g. ἔφη δ Περικλῆς, Pericles said; but in sermone obliquo, φάναι τὸν Περικλέα, that Pericles said. So soon however as the Infin. Pres. is requisite, we find either λέγειν or φάσκειν.
- 4. By an aphæresis (§ 29. n. 10) we find in the language of familiar discourse the following forms from φημί:

^{*} E. g. ἔφη σπουδάζειν 'he said he was in haste;' ἔφασκε σπουδάζειν 'he pretended to be in haste;' φάσκων alleging, affirming; οὐ φάμενος denying, since οδ φημι is just the opposite of φημί I affirm, concede; see § 148. n. 2.

ημί say I, inquam, in animated repetition in discourse; and so also the Imperf. $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $\tilde{\eta}$, for $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta$ ($\phi\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $\phi\tilde{\eta}$); but only in the phrases

ην δ' ἐγώ said I; η δ' ὅs said he, in relating a conversation. Here also belongs the epic η he said,

as a phrase of transition after quoting the words of a person.

II. κείμαι I lie, recline; from ΚΕΙΩ, ΚΕΩ.

Pres. κείμαι, κείσαι, κείται, etc. 3 Plur. κείνται
Inf. κείσθαι Part. κείμενος* Imper. κείσο, κείσθω, etc.
Subj. κέωμαι, κέη, etc. Opt. κεοίμην
Impf. ἐκείμην, ἔκεισο, ἔκειτο, etc.

Fut. κείσομαι

Compound, κατάκειμαι, κατάκεισο (κατάκειαι Hymn. Morc. 254), etc. but Infin. κατακείσθαι.**

- 1. To the theme ΚΕΩ belong further among the Ionics κέεται, κέοσται, κέεσθαι. Other Ionic forms are κείαται, κέαται, for κεῖνται, and the iterative κέσκετο.
- 2. The Homeric Active form $\kappa\epsilon i\omega$, $\kappa\epsilon \omega$, has the signification of the Future, I will lie down; comp. $\delta \dot{\eta} \omega$ under Anom. ΔA no. 4. § 114.
- 3. According to some Grammarians, this verb had no Subjunctive form; nence we find here and there κείμαι, κείται, in the Subjunct. construction; e. g. διάκειμαι Plat. Phæd. p. 84. e. So also κείται in Homer, where others read κήται, Od. β. 102.
- 4. Besides its simple signification, this verb must also be regarded as a Perfect Passive of τίθημι. Hence all its compounds correspond in their signification to the compounds of τίθημι; e. g. ἀνατίθημι I consecrate, ἀνάκειμαι I am or have been consecrated.†

III. olδa I know; from elδω.

- 1. The old verb $\epsilon i \delta \omega$ has for its proper signification, to see; and only some of its tenses have the signification to know. No form which has the one signification, occurs in the other. But as the parts which belong to the signification to know, have many other anomalies, it will be useful to exhibit them here separately. The forms which signify to see, are given under $\epsilon i \delta \omega$ and $\delta \rho a \omega$, § 114.
- 2. Olda is strictly the Perfect 2 from είδω, i. e. I have seen, perceived; like ξοικα, Ion. οίκα, from είκω. It acquires however, in the signification to know, the power of the Present; and consequently the Pluperf. that of the Imperfect; see below § 113. 7. Of the regular flexion of οίδα, the 2 pers. οίδας, and the whole Plural οίδαμεν, οίδασε, οίδασε, are rarely found in Attic writers. In place of them are used syncopated forms, which will be explained below.

Comp. ημενος, καθησθαι, in § 108. II.

[†] The difference between this and the real Perf. Pass. of τίθημι consists merely in the circumstance, that κεῖμαι denotes a continued passive state or situation; and therefore does not so commonly as τέθειμαι take after it the subject of the Active with ὁπό οι πρός; e. g. συντίθημι I put together, συντίθειται ὁπ' αὐτοῦ it is put together ay him; στηκεται it is put together, it is composed or consists of.

S. olda οίσθα# olde(v) Pres. D. ζστον ίστον Ρ. ἴσμεν ἴστε ἴσᾶσι(ν) Part. eldás, via, ós Inf. eldévai Imper. ἴσθι,† ἴστω, etc. Subj. eldû Opt. είδείην S. ήδειν Att. ήδη, I knew ήδεις and ήδεισθα, Att. ήδης and ήδησθα Imperfect, comp. § 103. m. 12. ที่อิยเ Att. ที่อิยเบ and ที่อิท P. ήδειμεν οτ ήσμεν ήδειτε or ήστε

ήδεσαν οι ήσαν Dual after the analogy of the 2 Plur.

Future eloqual, more rarely eldnow, I shall know, experience, etc. Verbal Adj. Neut. lovéov.

The Agrist and the real Perfect are supplied from γιγνώσκω.

- 3. The Ionics and Dorics have Toker for Toker; the epic writers Tokeral and touer for eldera; and for the Pluperf. Hoese latter have a lengthened form, e. g. 2 ηείδεις, ηείδης, 3 ηείδει, ηείδη, (II. χ. 280. Od. ι. 206. Apollon. 2. 822,) and Herodotus has #eide, with shortened ending, 1. 45. See the first marg. note to § 108. V. 9.—Instead of ηθειμεν, ηθειτε, modern critics for the sake of the metre read ήδεμεν, ήδετε, in Soph. OT. 1232. Eurip. Bacch. 1345.—Instead of noav Homer has, by a sort of aphæresis, ίσαν, Od. δ. 772.
- 4. It was formerly customary in grammar to introduce here a peculiar verb

ΐσημι to which all the above forms beginning with a were referred, and explained by syncope; while the forms οίδα, είδέναι, etc. were given only in the anomalous Catalogue under είδω. There is indeed actually extant in the Doric dialect a verb ἴσαμι, ἴσης, ἴσατι, ἵσαμεν, Part. ἴσας (Dat. ἴσαντι Pindar); but even if it be assumed that all those forms really come from this verb, it is nevertheless certain, that usage has mingled the forms of the two themes; and that in the earliest, as well as in the latest periods, the current language employed throughout olda in the Sirg. and Toper in the Plural. So far therefore as usage is concerned, the above mixed paradigm is the only cor-

5. Meanwhile, whoever observes more accurately the analogy which prevails in the anomalies of Greek usage, will easily perceive, that those forms, after all, really belong to olda or eldw. For in the first place, the Ion. ίδμεν and the Inf. ίδμεναι, belong manifestly to είδω, and not to ίσημι. And secondly, we have for this conclusion the most striking analogy, not only in the language generally, which so easily causes the forms of the Perfect to pass over by syncope into the forms of the conjugation in μ (§ 110. 9 sq.) but also in this very verb itself; for just as the Pluperf. forms ήσμεν, ήστε, have arisen by this syncope from ήδειμεν, ήδειτε; so also the torms τσμεν, τστε, from οΐδαμεν, οΐδατε; see the marg. note below. Το these forms was then joined the Imperat. ἴσθι, just as κέκραχθι, ἄνωχθι, to similar syncopated forms (§ 110. 9); and also the 3 Pl. ἴσασι (see the marg. note), from which the collateral form tonjus seems first to have been derived.

^{*} Syncopated for olδασθα, olδ-σθα; see § 103. m. 36.—A manifestly erroneous, but yet old and Attic form is oloobas; see Piers. ad Mær. 283.
† Not to be confounded with 1001 from elul.

[‡] This question is entirely decided by some very clear analogies, which will be given in § 110.9; especially ἐπέπιθμεν and ἐἰκτην. Still, here is the proper place to take a view of the analogy of all the forms which are derived from Youra and olda. Just as from πείθω we find πέποιθα, so also from είκω and είδω come

6. The sound ϵ_{ℓ} instead of o_{ℓ} in the other moods from olda, accords with the analogy of $\tilde{\epsilon}o_{\ell}\kappa a$ (Ion. olka) Part. $\epsilon l\kappa \dot{\omega}s$; see the Anom. $\epsilon l\kappa \omega$, and see the preceding mang. note.—Here too a transition into the formation in μ_{ℓ} is not to be mistaken; for while the participle $\epsilon l\partial \dot{\omega}s$ follows the common analogy, the Subjunctive and Optative take the terminations of the conjugation in μ_{ℓ} , viz. $\epsilon l\partial \dot{\omega}$ (with circumflex), $\epsilon l\partial \epsilon l \dot{\nu}s$. Nevertheless, the epic writers could disregard this accent and shorten the long vowel of this Subjunctive, just as well as in other Subjunctives; e. g. $l\omega s$ for $\epsilon l\partial \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. § 103. m. 39.—Further, the stem-vowel was here sometimes shortened into ι ; e. g. Subj. $l\partial \epsilon \omega$, Part. $l\partial u la$ Homer.*

GENERAL VIEW OF THE ANOMALY OF THE VERB.

§ 110. Syncope and Metathesis.

1. In all languages, every thing which deviates from the great mass of regular forms, follows even in this deviation a certain analogy or regularity, more or less clear according to circumstances. In order not to render the general view of the regular verb too complex and difficult, these minor analogies are in Grammar usually brought together and regarded as the *Anomaly* of the Verb.

 ξ oira, and strictly speaking ξ oi δ a, because the ϵ takes the place of a reduplication (§ 84. n. 6). A shorter form

olka, olda

was adopted in the first verb in the Ionic dialect, and in the second in the common language. But from the full forms ξοικα, ξοιδα, αrose likewise, by shortening the σι into ι and by contraction, (consequently as if from εἶκα, εἶδα,) the forms

Part. εἰκάς, εἶδάς

together with the moods $\epsilon i\delta\hat{\omega}$, $\epsilon i\delta\epsilon i\eta\nu$, for which see no. 6 above. A proof, how the usage of language sometimes retains several synonymous forms at once, and sometimes only one, is here afforded even by the written language; for the Part. of $\bar{\epsilon}o\kappa\alpha$ occurs in all the three forms $\bar{\epsilon}o\kappa\dot{\omega}s$, $\epsilon i\kappa\dot{\omega}s$, $\epsilon i\kappa\dot{\omega}s$, while that of $\epsilon i\delta\dot{\omega}s$ found only in one, $\epsilon i\delta\dot{\omega}s$.—The Pluperf. required a new augment; $\bar{\epsilon}o\kappa\alpha$ took it commonly after the analogy of $\bar{\epsilon}opr\dot{\alpha}(\omega,\bar{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\rho r\dot{\alpha}(\omega,\bar{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\rho r\dot{\alpha}(\omega,\bar{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}(\omega,\bar{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\rho r\dot{\alpha}(\omega,\bar{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}($

3 Sing. Pluperf. ἤικτο, without augm. εῖκτο, from Perf. εῖγμαι, Pluperf. ἡτγμην. In the same manner arose from εοιδα the Pluperf. (ἡτδειν) ἤδειν.

To all this was superadded the syncope, by means of which, as we shall see below in § 110. 9, was made from ξοικα (with a difference of vowel-sound) the forms

1 Plur. Perf. ξοιγμεν, 3 Dual Pluperf. ξίκτην, and from olδa (with the same difference) the forms

(οίδ-σθα) οίσθα, also ίδμεν and ίσμεν, ίστε, but in the Pluperf. from ήδειν

ησμεν, ηστε, ησαν.
From this ησαν (for ηδ-σαν) the Homeric τσαν (for τδ-σαν) differs only by leaving off the augment.—That τσαν does not come from τσημι, is apparent from the accent, since from τσημι the 3 Plur. must be written Ισᾶσι (comp. Ιστημι); and also from another analogy of the verb ξοικα,

ξοικα — (οι into ι, έξκ-σασιν) εξξασιν οίδα — (οι into ι, ίδ-σασιν) ζσασιν,

both of them Attic forms, instead of the regular $ioi\kappa a\sigma a$, $ii\delta a\sigma i$; where the anomaly common to the two consists in the ending $\sigma a\sigma i$, instead of the otherwise exclusive Perfect-ending $a\sigma i$.

* It should be noted, that, in most lexicons and indexes, the preceding forms are usually distributed under the different Presents είδω, είδέω, and ίσημι. So also of the compounds.

- 2. It is not however always possible to bring every verbal form under even these analogies; since, from the loss of a multitude of forms which have not come down to us, the analogy can not always be clearly made out. Such forms are truly anomalous. For practical purposes, all such examples have been arranged alphabetically; and are given below in § 114, with the necessary explanations.
- 3. One main class of deviations from the regular formation is caused by Syncope. Here belong, besides the whole conjugation in μ , also some parts of the common verb.
- 4. This Syncope is *twofold*, according as the vowel dropped belongs to the root or stem of the verb, or is the union-vowel.

I. Syncope of the Vowel in the Root or Stem.

E. g. πέλω, Impf. ἔπελε or ἔπλε; πέτομαι, Fut. πτήσομαι.— Here two principal cases are to be noted:

- a) In some verbs the Aor. 2 is formed solely in this manner; e. g. πέτομαι (Impf. ἐπετόμην) Α. 2 ἐπτόμην; ἐγείρω, ἐγείρωμαι, Α. 2 ἢγρόμην I awaked; ἀγείρω Part. Α. 2 Mid. ἀγρόμενοι assembled; on the other hand Part. Pres. ἀγειρόμενοι those who assemble.—Here also belong ηλυθον, ῆλθον, see ἔρχομαι § 114; and perhaps ἔσχον, ἔσπον, see § 112. 7, and the marg. note to ἔπω § 114.
- b) This syncope occurs most naturally after a reduplication; hence πιπράσκω from περάω, γίγνομαι from ΓΕΝΩ, and πίπτω, μίμνω, from ΠΕΤΩ, ΜΕΝΩ. Further also in the Perfect; as δέμω Perf. (δεδέμηκα) δέδμηκα (but see other similar forms under metathesis in no. 11), πέπταμαι from ΠΕΤΑΩ, see πετάννυμι. See also μέμβλεται in μέλω.—Here belong also the Aorists ἐκεκλόμην and ἔπεφνον from κέλομαι and ΦΕΝΩ, with a double augment according to § 83. n. 10.

5. Far more frequent is the

II. Syncope of the Union-vowel.*

We divide the cases of this syncope as follows: A) Present and Imperfect; B) Aorist; C) Perfect.

- A) In the *Present* and *Imperfect* this syncope occurs; but so that the latter remains a real Imperfect as to its signification. E.g.
 - In ο ζμαι, ὅμην, for οἴομαι, ἀόμην; φέρτε epic Imperat. for φέρετη; and in the epic ρῦσθαι, ἔρνσθαι, ἔρντο, for ρύεσθαι, ἐρύεσθαι, ἐρύεσο, see ἐρύω; comp. also the anom. σεύω, and ἔδμεναι from ἔδω, § 114. Here belong also the epic στεῦται, στεῦτο, strive, threaten; and likewise all verbs in μι, see § 106. n. 6 sq. For λοῦμαι see § 114; and for κείμαι see marg. note to no. 8 below.
- 6. Many verbs have (B) an *Aorist*, of which the union-vowel appears to be syncopated, if the ending of the Aor. 2 in $o\nu$ be taken as the basis. To distinguish it from the latter it may be called the

Aorist in ν , or syncopated Aorist;



^{*} What was said above (§ 106. n. 6, 7) as to the syncope of the union-vowel, holds good of course throughout the present section.

by which syncope alone it differs in some verbs from the Imperfect. As the ν must have a vowel before it, all these Aorists presuppose a pure root († 91. 4); which meanwhile in the Present has commonly assumed a strengthened form. In respect now to the radical vowel the rule holds good, that in the twelve most complete and usual Aorists of this kind it is always long, and consequently in flexion follows $\epsilon\sigma\eta\nu$ († 106. 7. 1); and also, that it is regularly conformed to the long vowel of the Perfect (in $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu\omega$ to that of the Future). The formation of the moods appears in the examples. The twelve Aorists are the following:

```
διδράσκω (ΔΡΑΩ) δίδρᾶκα — ἔδρ αν ἐδρᾶμεν, δρῶ ας α, δραίην, δραθι, δραναι, δράς.

βαίνω (ΒΑΩ) βέβηκα — ἔβην ἔβημεν, βῶ ῆς ῆ, βαίην, βῆθι, βῆναι, βάς. πέτομαι (ΠΤΑ) — ἔπτην ἔπτημεν, (πταίην), πτῆναι, πτάς. σκέλλω (ΣΚΛΑ) ἔσκληκα — ἔσκλην εκληνεν, σκλαίην, σκλῆναι.

ΤΛΑΩ, τέτληκα — ἔτλ ην ἔτλημεν, τλαίην, τλήθι, τλάς. φθάνω (ΦΘΑ) ἔφθᾶκα, Fut. φθήσομαι — ἔφθην -ημεν, φθῶ, φθαίην, φθῆναι, φθάς. σβέννυμι (ΣΒΕ) ἔσβηκα — ἔσβην -ημεν, σβείην, σβῆναι. άλισκομαι (ἱΑΛΟ) ἐαλωκα — ἐάλων -ωμεν, άλῶ ὡς ῷ, ἀλοίην, ἀλῶναι, άλούς. γιγνώσκω (ΓΝΟ) ἔγνωκα — ἔγνων -ωμεν, γνῶ, γνοίην, γνῶθι, γνῶναι, γνούς. βίδω, βεβίωκα — ἔβιων -ωμεν, βιῶ, βιώγην, βιῶναι, βιούς. δύω, δέδυκα — ἔβιων -ῦμεν, δύω, δύην, δῦθι, δῦναι, βύς. φύν, πέφυκα — ἔφν - -νμεν, δύω, φύην, φῦναι, φύς.
```

Single rare forms of such Aorists are also found in the anom. verbs 8aλλω, βιβρώσκω, γηράσκω, κλάω, σὐτάω, πλέω, πτήσσω; see in § 114.— Varying from this analogy by its short vowel is the poetic (epic and tragic) Aorist of

κτείνω, εκτάκα — (εκτάν) 3 Sing. εκτά, Inf. κτάμεν, κτάς; 800 in § 114.

Note 1. Here the following particulars are to be noted:

For the Subj. and Opt. δύω, δύην, φύω, φύην (for φυίην), compare § 107.
 m. 33; also the instances which occur under δόω and φύω § 114.

2) In ἔδρῶν (δέδρῶκα) the long a (Aristoph. δεῦρο δ' ἄν οὐκ ἀπέδρῶμεν) appears instead of η, because preceded by ρ; comp. also γηρῶναι in γηράσκω § 114.

3) The Aorist ἔπλων (see πλέω § 114) is the only one which retains the ω in the Participle; and this because it is formed from πλώω, not πλόω; πλώς (ἐπιπλώς Il. ζ. 291), which thus probably either had in the Gen. ῶντος (for ούς όντος), or was indeclinable.

 In the Opt. the φ for oι is found in the common language only in εβίων, βιώην, to distinguish it from βιοίην Opt. Pres. In the early poets also in δλώην, γνώην.

5) The apocopated 3 Plur. in ν instead of σαν has here also (as in ἔστην) the vowel before the ν always short; e. g. βάν, ἔδρᾶν, § 107. m. 39.

Note 2. We have seen above (\S 106, 107) that the Imperative-ending \mathfrak{I}_{ι} belongs to the syncopated formation, i. e. is annexed immediately to the root; hence the Imperative of the above Aorists, so far as it occurs, is everywhere so formed; as $\beta\tilde{\eta}\theta_{\iota}$, $\delta\rho\tilde{a}\theta_{\iota}$, $\gamma\nu\tilde{\omega}\theta_{\iota}$, $\delta\tilde{v}\theta_{\iota}$, Pl. $\beta\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\tilde{v}\tau\epsilon$, etc. Consequently the following four Imperatives in \mathfrak{I}_{ι} and in the s which stands for it (\S 106. 4. and n. 1) are to be reckoned under the Aorist forms above exhibited:

πίθι, κλύθι, σχές, φρές. See in πίνω, κλύω, ἔχω, φρέω, § 114. 7. With these Aorists Active is also connected a corresponding Passive Aorist form in $\mu\eta\nu$, σo , τo , etc. which consequently corresponds to the Aor. 2 Mid. of the regular formation. It must however be noted: 1) That the far greater number of examples of this form have not the signification of the Middle, but are wholly Passive; 2) That in respect to the vowel they conform to the Perfect Passive; 3) That they belong only to the earlier poetical language. Some of these forms moreover really belong as Passives to some of the Aorists Act. above quoted, viz.

έβλή μην Opt. βλείμην — from ἔβλην (ξυμβλήτην), see βάλλω § 114. ἐκτά μην, κτάσθαι, κτάμενος — from ἔκταν, see κτείναι § 114.

See too the forms συγγνοῖτο, οὐτάμενος, under γιγνώσκω, οὐτάω; and see in reference to the Imperat. κλῦθι above cited, the old participle κλύμενος.

It follows consequently, that all such forms, which exhibit the same analogy, even where no Aorist Active occurs, are to be regarded in the same manner; e. g.

πνέω, πέπνῦμαι — (ἐπνύμην) ἄμπνῦτο λ ύω, λέλἔμαι — λ ύμην, λύτο or λ ῦτο II. ϕ . 80.

φθίω, ἔφθῖμαι — ἐ φ θ ῖ μην, φθίμενος, Opt. φθίμην, see in § 114.

See also $\epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ in $\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$, $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \eta \nu$ in $\sigma \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$, $\epsilon \chi \dot{\nu} \mu \eta \nu$ in $\chi \epsilon \omega$; and the Participles $\kappa \tau \dot{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, $\pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ (in $\pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota$), $9 \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$.

8. With these Aorist forms are also closely connected those syncopated Aorists of the Passive, which have a consonant before the ending, as $\check{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\sigma$, $\delta\check{\epsilon}\chi\theta\alpha\iota$. These are formed from the simple theme of the verb; and when this is also the usual theme, they are distinguished solely by this syncope from the Imperfect and the moods of the Present. They coincide, therefore, with their Perf. and Pluperf. Passive without the reduplication; precisely like the Aorists above mentioned. They may consequently be compared with these tenses; but not, as has often been the case, be regarded as identical with them.* In signification, Active, Passive, or Middle, they everywhere follow their Present in $\mu a\iota$; and they all belong exclusively to the earliest language. E. g.

δέχομαι, ἐδεδέγμην, ἐδέδεξο, etc. δεδέχθαι — Λοr. syncop. (ἐδέγμην) ἔδεξο, ἔδεκτο Inf. δέχθαι Imperat. δέξο. But see marg. note below. μίγνυμι, ΜΙΓΩ — (ἐμίγμην) μῖκτο λέξασθαι — ἐλέγμην, λέξο, λέκτο, λέχθαι πάλλω — (ἐπάλμην) πάλ το ὅρνυμι, ΟΡΩ — ὥ ρ μην, ὧρτο Inf. ὅρθαι Part. ὅρμενος Imp. ὅρσο.

Also some others like ἔγεντο for ἐγένετο, εὖκτο see εὕχομαι, ἄλτο see ἄλλομαι, ἐλέλικτο see ἐλελίζω, ἄρμενος see ἀραρίσκω. For the doubtful ἴκμενος see ἰκνέομαι \S 114.

Note 3. The σ in the endings beginning with $\sigma\theta$ falls away here, just as in the Perf. Passive (§ 98. 2); hence $\delta\epsilon\chi\theta a\iota$, $\delta\rho\theta a\iota$.—Here belongs consequently the Dual form $\mu\iota\dot{a}\nu\theta\eta\nu$ (see $\mu\iota\dot{a}\iota\nu\omega$), and the Inf. $\pi\epsilon\rho\theta a\iota$, where two consonants are dropped; see $\pi\epsilon\rho\theta\omega$.

- Note 4. In all verbs where the reduplication passes over into the simple augment, the Indicative of these Passive Aorists, when it retains its augment, is not to be distinguished, as to form, from the Pluperfect; thus ὅρμην, ἐκτάμην, ἐφθίμην, ἐσσύμην.
- 9. Finally: C) By means of this syncope the longer forms of the *Perfect* and *Plupf*. Act. are sometimes shortened; and since, as we shall see, (§ 113.7 sq.) some such Perfects receive a Present signification, they take also a 2 pers. *Imperat*. with the ending \mathfrak{R}_{l} ; § 106. 4, and n. 8. Thus:

κέκραγα — κέκραγμεν Plupf. εκέκραγμεν Imperat. κ έκραχθι; 800 κράζω § 114.

ανωγα (see in § 114) — ανωγμεν Ιπρ. ανωχθι

εἰλήλουθα — εἰλήλουθ μεν, epic forms for ἐλήλυθα, see ἔρχομαι § 114. The alternate οι of the Perf. which comes from ει, passes over in this syncope for the most part into ι; e. g.

πέποιθα from πείθω — Hom. ἐπέπιθμεν

ἔοικα from εἴκω — ἔοιγμεν, 3 Du. Perf. ἔῖκτον, Plupf. εἴκτην, merely poetical forms; see p. 199 sq. marg.

Hence appears the correctness of the above derivation of ἴσμεν, etc. p. 199 and note, viz.

olda from είδω — ίδμεν οτ ἴσ μεν, ἵστε, 3 Pl. Plupf. epic ἴσαν, Imper. ἵσθι,
Inf. epic ἴδμεναι for εἰδέμεναι (comm. εἰδέναι); with the Attic forms of
the Pluperf.

ησμεν, ήστε, ήσαν, for ήδειμεν, ήδειτε, ήδεσαν.

Note 5. When by means of this syncope the consonant of the root comes to stand immediately before τ in the ending, this τ sometimes passes over into 3, on account of the similarity of sound with the Passive endings, $\tau \epsilon - \tau \nu \phi \theta \epsilon$, $\epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \theta \epsilon$, etc. Thus from the Imper. $\delta \nu \omega \chi \theta \iota$ are formed in the other persons, instead of

ἀνώγετε, ἀνωγέτω,—ἄνωχθε, ἀνώχθω;

and thus also from

Perf. ἐγρήγορα, ἐγρηγόρατε,—ἐγρήγορθε, see ἐγείρω § 114; and in the same manner is most naturally explained the epic π έ π ο σ θ ϵ , see π άσχω § 114,

πέπονθα, πεπόνθατε — π έπο σ θ ε ;

that is, so soon as the 9 came to stand before the τ , it passed over into σ (like $\tilde{l}\partial\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\tilde{l}\sigma\tau\epsilon$), and the ν fell away ($\pi\epsilon\pi\sigma\sigma\epsilon$); after which the transition was natural to the Passive form, $\pi\epsilon\pi\sigma\sigma\theta\epsilon$ II. γ . 99. Od. κ . 465. ψ . 53.

10. This syncope is more natural, when the characteristic of the verb is a *vowel*. Such a vowel however appears *pure* before the ending a of the Perfect, only in a few verbs; as we have seen in § 97. n. 7. Thus

δέδια, see δείσαι § 114; hence Perf. Pl. δέδιμεν, δέδιτε, for δεδίαμεν, -ατε. Imperat. δέδιθι.

Plupf. e'd é. d'i μεν, edédis e, edédicav, for ededicipev, τε, ededicav.

Further, as some Perfects in $\eta \kappa a$, in their epic syncope, cause the radical vowel (a) to reappear before the ending, e. g. $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa a$ (βέβαα) βεβάασι, βεβαώς (§ 97. n. 7); we can in the same manner explain-as coming from an older form by means of that syncope—some forms of the Dual and Plur. Indic. and of the Infin. which occur from such Perfects in the Attic and common language. Ε. g. from τέτληκα (see τλήναι § 114) ΤΕΤΛΑΑ τέτλα-μεν, etc. Inf. τετλάναι (for τετλα-έναι). And as this coincides fully with the form of the Present of verbs in μι, ίσταμεν, ιστάναι, so most of the other parts of the formation in μι are likewise adopted in this Perfect; thus

> Perf. Plur. τέτλαμεν, τέτλατε, τετλασι(ν) Dual τέτλατον Pluperf. Pl. έτέτλαμεν, έτέτλατε, έτέτλασαν Dual ἐτέτλατον, ἐτετλάτην Inf. τετλάναι (short a) Imperat. τέτλαθι, τετλάτω, etc. Opt, τετλαίην.

The Subjunctive of this verb is not used in this form; instead of it we subjoin that of βέβηκα, βέβαμεν, etc.

Subj. $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega}$, $\hat{\eta} s$, $\hat{\eta}$, etc.

The Participle alone is not formed after the conjugation in µ, but is contracted from aws into ws; so that the Masc. and Neut. are alike (aws and aós, G. aότοs, contr. ώς, ῶτος); and this contracted form then takes a special feminine in ωσα; e. g. from βέβηκα Part. βεβηκώς, νία, ός,

βεβώς, βεβῶσα, βεβώς, G. βεβῶτος. Of those Perfects which conform to the above model, only the Sing. Indic. of the Perf. and Pluperf. is usual in the regular form (τέτληκα, ας, ε, --έτετλήκειν, εις, ει); all the other parts have the above secondary forms, which in general are more usual than the regular ones. See in the catalogue, besides τληναι and βαίνω, also θνήσκω; for the epic forms γέγαμεν, μέμαμεν, see anom. ΓΕΝ-, ΜΑΩ; also Perf. έστηκα under ίστημι § 107. m. 22, 23.

Note 6. We remark further:

a) That except in the 3 Plur. Perf. (ἐστᾶσιν, etc.) the a in all these forms is short, inasmuch as the short vowel of the ending falls away by syncope, instead of being contracted with the radical vowel; and that consequently it is incorrect to write τετλάναι, τεθνάναι, έστάναι, etc.*

b) That it is only in the contracted form of the Participle that the feminine in oa occurs; since in the uncontracted form in the epic writers it

regularly ends in $v\hat{i}a$; e. g. β ε β a \hat{o} s β ε β a \hat{o} i $\hat{i}a$ — β ε β \hat{o} s β ε β \hat{o} σα. c) That the participial ending $a\hat{o}$ s, Neut. $a\hat{o}$ s, (according to § 27. n. 10,) becomes among the Ionics ϵ \hat{o} s (with fem. ϵ \hat{o} σα); see \tilde{i} στημι § 107. m. 23, and § 114. θνήσκω; in which latter verb this is the common Attic form .-Compare also πεπτώς, πεπτεώς, in the Anom. πίπτω; and βεβρώς in βιβρώσκω.

11. The verbal root or stem is further sometimes changed by Metathesis

^{*} This however did not hinder the poets, especially the earlier ones, as Æschylus, from employing the contracted form for the sake of the metre, e. g. Agam. 558 τεθναναι. That it was short in the common language is shewn by the manner of using it in comedy; e. g. Aristoph. Ran. 1012 τεθνάναι.—The epic Infinitive forms τεθνάμεναι, τεθνάμεν, are explained by comparing § 107. m. 34.

or transposition of the letters. This takes place, as in the noun (§ 19. n. 2), with a vowel and liquid, especially in two cases:

1) In the Aorist 2; see § 96. n. 7.

2) In several verbs, where the simple theme has a liquid for its characteristic. E. g. in the root ΘΑΝ, Aor. εθανον, Fut. Θανούμαι, there takes place, for the sake of easier flexion, a transposition of the vowel, ONA; hence τέθνηκα, τέθναμεν, etc. In some verbs the new Present in actual use arises from such a transposition; as in the above example, θνήσκω. The same takes place in the root MOA. But on account of the difficulty in pronouncing $\mu\lambda$, the letter β was inserted between these two letters in the middle of a word (§ 19. n. 1), as μέμβλωκα for μέμλωκα; while at the beginning of the (new) Present-form the μ itself was changed into β , as $\beta\lambda\dot{\omega}$ σκω.* This being premised, the three following verbs have a complete and manifest analogy:

> θνήσκω, θανοθμαι, ξθανον, τέθνηκα (OAN, ONA) θρώσκω, θοροῦμαι, ἔθορον, (OOP, OPO) βλώσκω, μολοθμαί, ξμολον, μέμβλωκα $(MO\Lambda, M\LambdaO)$

See all these in § 114. In the same manner belong together the defective

forms έπορον, πέπρωται; see πορείν § 114.†

With entire certainty can be referred to this metathesis only those verbs, in which the transposed vowel is clearly to be recognized in some of the forms; as the a in τεθνάναι, τεθναίην, and the o in μέμβλωκα. But where merely η appears, it may be a matter of doubt, whether to assume a metathesis or only a syncope, e. g. whether δέμω (ΔΕΜ, ΔΜΕ) δέδμηκα, or δέμω (δεδέμηκα) δέδμηκα, like νέμω νενέμηκα. Here belong the following verbs, whose Present is otherwise formed:

τέμνω F. τεμῶ Α. ἔτεμον Pf. τέτμηκα κάμνω F. καμοῦμαι Α. ἔκαμον Pf. κέκμηκα. § 101. n. 9. The metathesis is clearer in the verb καλέω; although the forms καλέω, καλέσω, κέκληκα, seem to indicate merely a syncope. That is to say, the Fut. καλέσω, Attic F. καλῶ, is unquestionably the Future of a simple theme ΚΑΛΩ.‡ From the theme ΚΑΛΩ came consequently the Perf. κέκληκα by the same metathesis (KAA, KAA) as in the above Perfects; and thence too the poets have a Present κικλήσκω, corresponding to the form θυήσκω from Θ AN Ω . Hence

καλέω, κικλήσκω, F. καλώ Pf. κέκληκα (ΚΑΛ, ΚΛΑ). See also in § 114, βάλλω βέβληκα, σκέλλω ἔσκληκα.

Note 7. When through metathesis two vowels come to stand together, there arises also a contraction. Thus in $\kappa\epsilon\rho\,\dot{a}\omega$, which in flexion has a short a, as κεράσω, κεράσαι; but in metath. Ion. κρῆσαι, Att. after ρ, κεκράκα, etc. See also πελάω, περάω under πιπράσκω, § 114. This takes place in the root itself in the verb ταράττω (short a); by metath. θράττω (long a): and in like manner στρώννυμι from στόρεννυμι; see both in § 114.

§ 111. New Themes from the Tenses.

- 1. Another, though not an extensive species of anomaly, is when some one of the tenses other than the Present is converted
- * Precisely the same relation exists between βλάξ and μαλακός, βλίττω gather honey and μέλι; see Lexilog. II. art. 108. A still more decisive analogy for μολεῖν, μέμβλωκα, βλώσκω, is afforded by the two following instances, viz. μόρος death, φθισίμβροτος, βροτός; άμαρτεῖν, άμβροτεῖν, άβροτάζειν.

† From βιβρώσκω, the corresponding radical form BOPΩ has been preserved only

in the verbal subst. βορά.
‡ Comp. § 95. n. 12. The usual Pres. καλέω has arisen out of this Future; just as the Ion. Pres. μαχέσμαι from Fut. μαχέσομαι. See § 95. n. 16, marg.

into a new theme; either because it could be taken in the sense of the Present, or because it was more agreeable to the ear than the Present. Such themes occur only from the *Perfect* and the *Aorist 2 Active* and *Passive*.

2. As the *Perfect* not unfrequently takes the signification of the Present (§ 113.7), it sometimes also passes over into the formation of the Present. Such instances belong for the most part to the Doric or the epic language.

Thus we find in Theorrit. 15. 58, $\delta\epsilon\delta\sigma\iota\kappa\omega$ for $\delta\epsilon\delta\sigma\iota\kappa\alpha$ I fear, see Anom. $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\alpha\iota$; and in Homer $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\gamma\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon$ s, see Anom. $\kappa\lambda\hat{\iota}\zeta\omega$; in Hesiod $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\rho}\hat{\rho}\hat{\iota}\gamma\sigma\nu\tau\iota$, see Anom. $\hat{\rho}\iota\gamma\epsilon\omega$. Hence the Imperfects in $\sigma\nu$ derived from Perfects; e. g. Hesiod $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\phi\nu\kappa\sigma\nu$ from $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\phi\nu\kappa\alpha$; and here belong too the third persons like $\gamma\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\nu\epsilon$, $\hat{d}\nu\hat{\eta}\nu\sigma\theta\epsilon$, $\hat{d}\nu\omega\gamma\epsilon$, which in Homer are not only Perfect (i. e. Present), but often also Imperfect or Aorist.

Note 1. The clear exhibition of this anomaly is rendered more difficult, by the circumstance of there being undoubted traces, that a part of the Dorians gave to the real Perfect, in many of its parts, the same endings as those of the Present. Thus Pindar Inf. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \mathring{a} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, see Anom. $\gamma \mathring{\iota} \gamma \nu \iota \rho \iota a \iota$; Theocrit. $\delta \epsilon \delta \mathring{\iota} \kappa \eta \nu$ (for $-\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$) instead of $\delta \epsilon \delta \upsilon \kappa \acute{\iota} \iota a$; also Theocr. $\pi \epsilon \pi \acute{\iota} \iota \nu \iota a \iota$ $\pi \epsilon \varphi \mathring{\iota} \iota \kappa \eta$ (for $\epsilon \iota s$, $\epsilon \iota$, instead of -as, $-\epsilon$). So the Participle in $\omega \nu$, $\omega \iota a$, instead of ωs , $\upsilon \mathring{a}$, $\epsilon \varrho$. Pind. $\pi \epsilon \varphi \varrho \iota \kappa \iota \nu \tau a s$; Archimed. $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \iota a \kappa \iota \nu \sigma a$ from $\mu \epsilon \mu \iota \nu \iota a \iota a \iota a \iota a$. See the Ausf. Sprachl. § 88. n. 11, 14. § 111. n. 2.—The reduplicated Aorists, like $\pi \epsilon \iota \iota \iota a \iota a \iota a \iota a$.

Note 2. In the Passive, several Perfects, when they receive a Present signification, take also the Present form; that is, they take the accent in the Infin. or Part. not upon the penult, but upon the antepenult syllable. Thus we have seen above the Part. $\tilde{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$ and $\kappa\epsilon i\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$; and here belong also the Participles $\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$, $\dot{a}\rho\eta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$, see under $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu\omega$, $\dot{a}\rho\alpha\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\omega$, $\sigma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$, § 114. Also the Infin. and Part. of these two verbs:

ἀκάχη μαι — ἀκάχησθαι, ἀκαχήμενος, as also ἀκηχέμενος ἀλάλη μαι — ἀλάλησθαι, ἀλαλήμενος.

Both these last forms, however, are regarded by some as reduplicated Present forms, like ἀκακίζω.

Note 3. In some verbs in which the Perfect has a Present signification, the Future belonging to that signification is derived from the Perfect; so in Homer $\kappa \epsilon \chi a \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, oma, from $\kappa \epsilon \chi \dot{a} \rho \eta \kappa a$, see Anom. $\chi \dot{a} \dot{\iota} \rho \omega$. Also in Attic writers the Fut. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi} \omega$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi} \omega$, oma, (from $\ddot{\iota} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$, $9\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$,) with the new anomaly, that the κ of the Perf. is here embraced in the flexion, as if it were radical.

3. In some verbs the Aorist 2 Active, on account of the Infin. in $\epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, occasions a new formation as if from a Present in $\epsilon \omega$.

Under this head might be reckoned a large number of the anomalous verbs; as $\epsilon i \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$, $\gamma i \gamma \nu o \mu a \iota$, almost all those in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, etc. Nevertheless, all the forms belonging here are better explained according to § 112. 6. III. With more certainty may be referred here, as derived from the Aor. 2 as a new theme, the reduplicated Futures $\kappa \epsilon \kappa a \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \phi \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$, see anom. $\chi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \dot{i} \theta \omega$, $\phi \epsilon \dot{i} \delta \dot{\omega} \rho u \dot{\alpha}$; also the Present-form $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho a \pi \dot{\epsilon} o \nu \sigma \iota$ II. κ . 421, and $3a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \theta \omega$, $\dot{\eta} a \dot{\epsilon} \theta \omega$ in § 112. 12.

Thus, it is not to be assumed that there were actually such verbs as $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \epsilon \omega$, $\tau \nu \chi \epsilon \omega$, etc. but that from the Aorist 2 $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \rho \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, $\epsilon \tau \nu \chi \nu \nu \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, there arose the formation $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\gamma} \sigma \omega$, $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \gamma \kappa a$, $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\nu} \chi \gamma \kappa a$, etc. for which a corresponding Present was later introduced; see $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\nu} \sigma \omega$, $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, and also

similar forms in μανθάνω, βλαστάνω, γίγνομαι, etc. § 114.

In some other verbs, whose Aor. 2 Pass. has, as deponent, an Active signification, there is formed in like manner from $\eta \nu$, a Perfect in $\eta \kappa a$:

έρρύη κα from έρρύην flowed; see ρέω. κεχάρη κα and κεχάρημαι from έχάρην rejoiced; see χαίρω. δεδάη κα and δεδάημαι from έδάην I learned; see ΔΑ-.

§ 112. Anomalous Changes of the Theme or Stem.

1. By far the greater portion of the anomaly of Greek verbs consists in the mixing together of forms from different Themes; so that several of the derived tenses, when traced back in the regular manner, presuppose a different Present from the usual one. We give here a general view of these variations.

2. These different forms of the theme or stem very often exist together, side by side, especially in the Present. Or, what is more common, different parts of the verb, derived from different forms of the stem, are mingled together. This then is the real

anomaly, so frequent in the Greek verb.

3. We must here assume it as a fundamental position, which has already been developed in § 92, that just in the most common verbs the *Present* is only a fuller *derived* form of the simplest theme as it appears in the Aor. 2; and this fuller form does not in general extend beyond the Imperfect, § 92. 10. Thus, Aor. 2, $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\beta\nu$, $\Lambda AB\Omega$, $\Lambda HB\Omega$ — Pres. $\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\acute{a}\nu\omega$, Impf. $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\acute{a}\mu\beta\alpha\nu\nu$, Fut. $\lambda\acute{\eta}\psi\nu\mu\alpha\iota$.

- 4. The case of a double form of the Present in actual use at the same time, occurs even in common prose; and many such instances as λείπω and λιμπάνω, κτείνω and κτίννυμι, are found in the best prose writers. Not unfrequently, however, one of the forms belongs rather to some particular dialect; thus ἀγινέω for ἄγω, φυγγάνω for φεύγω, were more common among the Ionics. More especially, the poets of every period, as was natural, held possession of such secondary forms, handed down as they were from the earliest times.
- Note 1. With such modifications of the stem were also connected differences of sense. With the fuller forms, in contrast to the idea of the Aorist, there very naturally became connected the idea of what is repeated, frequent, customary. Thus the Pres. $\phi o \rho \acute{e} \omega$, derived from $\phi \acute{e} \rho \omega$, serves mainly to express more definite relations; as to wear a garment, i. c. to have it on customarily.—All this belongs, however, rather to the lexicon; and can therefore only be alluded to here.
- Note 2. The instances, in which a secondary form was in use only in the *Present* and *Imperfect*, e. g. λιμπάνω and λείπω, are also anomalous; since the writers who use λιμπάνω form nevertheless the Fut. λείψω, etc. Such instances may be regarded as secondary Present forms.
- Note 3. In this way it is possible, that one verb may appear in its conjugation to be a mixture of three or more. Thus from the theme $\Pi H\Theta\Omega$ or $\Pi A\Theta\Omega$ there exists only the Aorist $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi a\theta o\nu$; another form strengthened



with ν , HENO Ω , is retained in the Perfect $\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \nu \theta a$; while in the Pres. and Impf. both have yielded to the form $\pi a \sigma \chi \omega$, which gives name to the whole verb. From the theme HETA Ω comes $\pi \epsilon \tau a \sigma \omega$; in the Perf. Pass. the syncope appears, $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau a \mu a \iota$; while in the Pres. and Impf. only the lengthened form $\pi \epsilon \tau a \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota$ is usual.

5. Many derived forms of the Present are of such a kind, that few or no other examples of a like change of the stem are at present extant in the language; as e. g. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}$ from $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\chi\omega$ from $\Pi A\Theta\Omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\omega}$ from $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\omega$. The most however stand in a clear analogy with others; and this the learner must endeavour to embrace in one general view.

REMARK. The verbs cited here below are mostly given in the Anom. Catalogue, § 114. The rest, and indeed all those quoted as examples throughout our discussion on the verb, may be found, so far as it regards their signification, in the Catalogue of regular Verbs, App. F.

6. One of the most common anomalies is the mixture of the formation in ω and $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, contr. $\dot{\omega}$; as is seen (I) even in the Present of these verbs:

ρίπτω and ριπτέω, είλω and είλεω, κύω and κυέω, κυρέω and κύρομαι, στερέω and στέρομαι, ξυρέω and ξύρομαι.

Yet regularly (II) only one form of the Present is the *usual* one; and the other, as an *unusual* Present, serves as the basis for other tenses. Hence the verbs in question fall into two classes, viz.

a) Those in which the tenses (except Pres. and Impf.) are derived either from the Future, or partly from the form in ω ; which however in the *Present* has been supplanted by that in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$; e. g. $\delta o \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, F. $\delta \dot{o} \dot{\xi} \omega$, from $\Delta O K \Omega$.

Here belong the anom. δοκ έω, $\dot{\omega}$ θέω, $\gamma a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$; also on account of single poetic forms, $\gamma \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, δουπέω, κτυπέω, κεντέω, πιτνέω, ρίγέω, στυγέω, φιλέω, πατέομαι, δατέομαι; comp. § 96. n. 5.

b) Those which in the Pres. have the form in ω; but derive the tenses either wholly or in part from that in έω, Fut. έσω or ήσω;
e. g. δέω, F. δεήσω; ἄχθομαι, F. ἀχθέσομαι.

Here belong the anom. ἄχθομαι, ἄλθομαι, ἄω 2, δέω to lack, δέομαι, ἔδω, εὖδω (καθεύδω), κηδω, μάχομαι, οἴομαι, οἴχομαι, παίω, πέτομαι, τύπτω.

The same takes place in some because of a double consonant in the Present; e. g. $\mathring{\epsilon}\psi\omega$, F. $\mathring{\epsilon}\psi\mathring{\eta}\sigma\omega$.

Thus in anom. ἀλέξω, αὔξω, βόσκω, ἔψω, ἴζω (καθίζω, καθίζομαι), μύζω, ὅζω.

Also in some in $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, which either wholly or partially go over into the formation in $\epsilon \omega$; e. g. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, F. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$.

So in the anom. $\beta o \dot{\nu} \lambda o \mu a \iota$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \omega$, $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \rho a \iota$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \iota$ ($\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \rho \mu a \iota$), $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega$, $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$, $\dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, $\chi \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega$; and with a syncope of the stem-vowel, $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$, $\sigma \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

Further, we must reckon here (III) all those in which the formation of the tenses presupposes both the themes in ω and $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$; which

however are both *unused*, and have been supplanted by a new and generally a strengthened Present-form, in σκω, άνω, etc.

Here belong the following anomalous verbs, which are all to be again cited in their proper place: ἀκαχίζω, ἀπαφίσκω, ἐπαυρίσκομαι, γίγνομαι, ἐρέσθαι, εὐρίσκω, ἔχω with its compounds, λάσκω, τρέχω. Also the most in άνω (αίνω): αἰσθάνομαι, ἀλιταίνω, ἀμαρτάνω, ἀνδάνω, βλαστάνω, δαρθάνω, ἀπεχθάνομαι, κιχάνω, μανθάνω, ὀλισθάνω, ὀσφραίνομαι, ὀφλισκάνω, τυγχάνω. See too λαμβάνω.

Note 4. Although this mode of formation does not, or at least does not necessarily, presuppose an actual Present in $\epsilon\omega$; yet it was often the case that such a Present was afterwards actually formed, earlier or later, in consequence of this formation. Thus arose, in the early language, certainly καλέω from the Fut. καλέσω (see p. 206, marg.) and thus most probably the usual $\hat{\rho}$ ιπτέω, $\hat{\rho}$ ιπτώ, came from the Fut. $\hat{\rho}$ ιπτήσω. But it is easy to see the difficulty of making out such cases; and therefore we are fully justified in deducing every Future in ϵ σω and ϵ σω from a Present in ϵ ω, where such an one is in actual use.

Note 5. The Ionics, however, often form single parts of the Pres. or Impf. as if from $\epsilon\omega$; although the whole Present, or the 1 pers. Pres. may not so occur; e. g. Impf. $\tilde{\omega}\phi\lambda\epsilon\epsilon$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\psi\epsilon\epsilon$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon$ ($\chi\epsilon\epsilon$, from $\tilde{\omega}\phi\lambda\sigma\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\psi\omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon$ (ω); also $\sigma\nu\mu\beta\alpha\lambda\lambda\epsilon$ ($\mu\epsilon\nu$), $\pi\iota\epsilon$ (ν) Hoto. And $\pi\iota\epsilon$ ($\epsilon\nu$) for $\epsilon\pi$ (ϵ) Hom. Still more remarkable is this insertion of ϵ in two Perfect-forms in Herodotus, viz. $\tilde{\nu}\pi$ $\tilde{\omega}\pi\epsilon\epsilon$ for $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\omega\pi\epsilon$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\theta\epsilon$ for $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\omega\theta\epsilon$, comm. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\omega\theta\epsilon$.

- 7. Far less frequent is the transition from ω into $\dot{a}\omega$, without further change.
 - Ε. g. in anom. φύρω, γοάω, μηκάομαι, μυκάομαι, βρυχάομαι; and companom. ἀντάω, δαμάω (from ΔΕΜΩ, Pass. Αοτ. ἐδάμην).

Or more rarely from ω into $\dot{\omega}$. E. g.

Anom. ἀνύω (ἄνω), ἔλκω.

Or the mingling of themes in ω and $\delta\omega$. E. g.

Anom. δμνυμι, δνομαι, τρύχω.

- 8. Several barytones of two syllables, which have ϵ in the first syllable, form other secondary Presents, sometimes also with a modified signification (note 1), by changing ϵ for the alternate o, and taking the ending $\epsilon \omega$.
 - E. g. φέρω and φορέω, τρέμω and τρομέω, δέμω and δομέω, πέρθω and πορθέω, φέβομαι comm. φοβέομαι, έχω and ὀχέω. Here belong also the forms δεδοκημένος for δεδεγμένος, ἐκτόνηκα, μεμόρηται, ἐόλητο, see δέχομαι, κτείνω, μείρομαι, εΐλω; comp. also βεβόλημαι in βάλλω.—An exception with the alternate ω is πωλέομαι from πέλω

Or the radical syllable takes ω with the ending $\dot{\alpha}\omega$.

- E. g. τρωχάω for τρέχω, δωμάω for ΔΕΜΩ; so also βρωμάομαι, νωμάω, τρωπάω, στρωφάω, for βρέμω, νέμω, τρέπω, στρέφω.—An exception is ποτάομαι from πετομαι, found along with the regular secondary forms ποτέομαι and πωτάομαι.
- 9. The endings άω, άζω, αίω, are very often secondary forms of each other. Thus in epic writers:
 - Ε. g. ἀντιάω for ἀντιάζω, σκεπάω for σκεπάζω, σκιάω (σκιόωντο) for σκιάζω, πελάω and πελάζω, δαμάω and δαμάζω, οὐτάω and οὐτάζω. See too βιάζομαι.

The interchange of $\dot{a}\omega$ and $\dot{a}\omega$ is most frequent in the two verbs

καίω and κλαίω, Att. κάω and κλάω,

both with long a; for their flexion see § 95. n. 9.—But $al\omega$, like $a\zeta\omega$ and $a\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, forms also a strengthened Present for the short a in flexion; e. g.

ναίω from NAQ (hence νάσσα); δαίω from ΔΑQ (hence δάσασθαι). See also μαίομαι from MAQ, ἀγαίομαι in ἄγαμαι.

10. Another anomaly is produced by the circumstance, that, before the ending ω of the simple theme indicated by the tenses, the consonant ν is inserted in the Present, and the preceding vowel often lengthened; e. g. $\epsilon \lambda a \acute{\nu} \nu \omega$, F. $\epsilon \lambda \check{a} \sigma \omega$, from $E \Lambda A \Omega$.

Here belong the anom. $\beta \alpha i \nu \omega$, $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha i \nu \omega$, $\pi i \nu \omega$, $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$; and the secondary forms $\tau i \nu \omega$, $\phi \theta i \nu \omega$, $\delta i \nu \omega$, from $\tau i \omega$, $\phi \theta i \omega$. See also $i \delta \rho i \omega$, $\phi \alpha i \nu \omega$; and in the Catal. of regular verbs, $\beta i \nu \omega$ and $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau i \nu \omega$.—Also where there is a preceding consonant in the stem; as $\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \nu \omega$, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \omega$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \omega$, Fut. $\delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \omega$, etc.

This anomaly is sometimes increased by the fact, that, besides the ν , the Pres. and Impf. take also the formation in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$; e. g. $\beta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, F. $\beta \dot{\nu} \sigma \omega$, from $\beta \dot{\nu} \omega$.

So in the anom. βυνέω, κυνέω (προσκυνέω), ξκνέομαι, ὑπισχνέομαι under έχω.

11. Many simple themes are lengthened in the Pres. and Impf. by the insertion of $a\nu$, rarely $a\iota\nu$. Most of these have an Aor. 2 from the simple form; from which also the other tenses are derived, but with the formation in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$; e. g. $\beta\lambda a\sigma\tau\dot{a}\nu\omega$, F. $\beta\lambda a\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$, Aor. 2 $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\lambda a\sigma\tau o\nu$.

Here belong the anom. ἀμαρτάνω, αὐξάνω, αἰσθάνομαι, ἀλιταίνω, ἀλφάνω, ἀπεχθάνομαι (ἔχθω), βλαστάνω, δαρθάνω, ἐρυθαίνω, ἰζάνω, ἰκάνω, κιχάνω, κερδαίνω, ὀλισθάνω, ὀσφραίνομαι. Comp. above in no. 6. III.

This species of anomaly also is increased by the circumstance, that many verbs so formed insert in the radical syllable a nasal letter (ν, μ, γ) , and shorten the long vowel of the same or retain the original short vowel; e. g. $\lambda\epsilon l\pi\omega$, $\lambda\iota\mu\pi\acute{a}\nu\omega$, $\phi\epsilon\acute{\nu}\gamma\omega$ $\phi\nu\gamma\gamma\acute{a}\nu\omega$, $^{\prime}A\Delta\Omega$ $\dot{a}\nu\delta\acute{a}\nu\omega$. The further flexion, though with some anomalies, is always from the simple form.

Here belong the anom. άνδάνω, λανθάνω, μανθάνω, πυνθάνομαι, ανδάνω· λαμβάνω, λιμπάνω· θιγγάνω, έρυγγάνω, λαγχάνω, τυγχάνω, φυγγάνω. See above in no. 6. III.

Note 6. In respect to the quantity of the doubtful vowels before the ending $\nu\omega$ in the two preceding paragraphs, it is to be remarked in general, that $i\nu\omega$ and $i\nu\omega$ are long; e. g. $\pi i\nu\omega$, $\delta i\nu\omega$, and so in $\kappa\rho i\nu\omega$, $\delta\rho i\nu\omega$, $\beta\rho a\delta i\nu\omega$; but the ending $i\nu\omega$ is short. Yet, if we regard only the usage of epic writers, the following are long, viz. $\phi\theta i\nu\omega$, $i\kappa i\nu\omega$, $\kappa i\chi i\nu\omega$.—The Attics have likewise some deviations, inasmuch as they not only use $\tau i\nu\omega$, $\phi\theta i\nu\omega$, as short; but also bring $\phi\theta i\nu\omega$, $\kappa i\chi i\nu\omega$ * under the analogy of other verbs in $i\nu\omega$, and make them in like manner short.

^{*} In this word, as a sort of compensation, the long sound falls back among the Attics into the syllable κ_i , which elsewhere, as being a reduplication, is short, and actually occurs so in $\kappa_i \chi \hat{\eta} \rho \omega_i$ etc. The quantity of the other forms belonging to $\phi \theta l - \omega_i$ and $\phi \theta d \rho \omega_i$, see in § 114.

12. Some verbs have Attic and poetic secondary forms in $\Im \omega$, preceded by different vowels; but only in the Pres. and Impf.

Thus $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta \omega$ for $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta \omega$ for $\nu \epsilon \mu \omega \cdot \phi \iota \nu \iota \theta \omega$ for $\phi \theta \iota \nu \omega$. As epic forms we may note $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta \sigma \nu \tau a \iota$, $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta \sigma \nu \tau a \iota$, with altered quantity for $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \nu \tau a \iota$, see § 114; also $\vartheta a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \theta \omega$ and $\varphi a \dot{\epsilon} \theta \omega$, formed from the Aor. 2.

Here belongs consequently the lengthening of a verb by means of the letters $a\theta$ before the ending, which occurs even in Attic prose; but is found only as preterite, either Impf. or Aorist in $-a\theta o\nu$, and in the dependent moods. Of this kind are the following:

διωκάθειν, εδιώκαθον, from διώκω· εἰκάθειν, εἰκάθοιμι, from εἴκω· ἀμυνάθειν, ἀμυναθοίμην, from ἀμύνω· εἰργάθειν from εἴργω; and the epic μετεκίαθον from κίω.

With these are to be compared the forms $\nu\dot{\eta}\,\theta\,\omega$, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\eta}\,\theta\,\omega$, $\kappa\nu\dot{\eta}\theta\omega$, which came into use at a later period instead of $\nu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ spin, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\kappa\nu\dot{\alpha}\omega$. See also $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\,\theta\,\omega$ and $\pi\rho\dot{\eta}\,\theta\,\omega$ in $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\lambda\eta\mu$ and $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\rho\eta\mu$, $\sigma\dot{\eta}\theta\omega$ in $\sigma\dot{\alpha}\omega$, and $\pi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\theta\omega$ $\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\theta\omega$ in $\pi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\xi}\omega$.

13. Some verbs take in the Pres. and Impf. a reduplication with ι , (without the ending $\sigma\kappa\omega$ or $\mu\iota$, see in no. 14, 15,) which falls away in the other tenses; e.g. $\gamma\acute{\nu}\gamma\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$, F. $\gamma\epsilon\nu\acute{\gamma}\sigma\rho\mu\alpha\iota$, from $\Gamma EN\Omega$.

Here belong γίγνομαι, μίμνω (μένω), πίπτω, τιτράω. But τετραίνω, with an anomalous reduplication in the Present, retains it also in the other tenses; as τετρανῶ, etc.

Those themes which begin with a vowel, take a reduplication like that of the Attio in the Perfect.

E. g. ἀκακίζω (comp. ἀπαφίσκω, ἀραρίσκω, below); also even with ι, as ἀτιτάλλω from ἀτάλλω, ὁπιπτεύω from ὀπτεύω; comp. ὀνίνημι in no. 15.

14. Almost all verbs in σκω have arisen out of simpler ones; and therefore have their full form only in the Pres. and Imperfect. They either retain the vowel of the stem before the ending, as γηράσκω, ἀρέσκω, Fut. γηράσομαι, ἀρέσω; or they lengthen it, as δυήσκω, δρώσκω, Fut. δἄνοῦμαι, δοροῦμαι.—Those of which the characteristic is a consonant, annex ίσκω to the stem, as εὐρίσκω, Aor. 2 εὖρον; and the same takes place also in several having the stem-vowel ε and ο, which is dropped, and then ίσκω is annexed to the stem, as στερίσκω, ἀναλίσκω, Fut. στερήσω, ἀναλώσω.

Here belong γηράσκω, ἡβάσκω, ἱλάσκομαι, φάσκω (see φημί § 109), χάσκω, ἀρέσκω, μεθύσκω; βιώσκομαι; θνήσκω, Θρώσκω, βλώσκω (§ 110. 11); ἀμπλακίσκω, ἐπαυρίσκομαι, εὐρίσκω, κυΐσκω, στερίσκω; ἀλίσκομαι, ἀναλίσκω, ἀμβλίσκω.

Some Presents are still further strengthened by taking also the reduplication before them (as in no. 13); which however is again dropped in the tenses; e. g. $\mu \iota \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, F. $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \omega$, from $MNA\Omega$.

Here belong the anom. διδράσκω, πιπράσκω, κικλήσκω (καλέω), μιμνήσκω, πιπίσκω (πίνω), βιβρώσκω, γιγνώσκω, τιτρώσκω; ἀραρίσκω, ἀπαφίσκω.

One verb also is further strengthened by inserting the syllable av in the ending $\sigma \kappa \omega$, as in no. 11 above, viz.

όφλισκάνω, f. ὐφλήσω, from ΟΦΛΩ.

Note 7. This form in σκω can indeed be compared with the Latin Verba inchoativa, inasmuch as many of these verbs imply in their signification a beginning, increase, etc. But they are seldom so much distinguished from the simple form as in Latin, e. g. rubescere from rubere. An example of this kind nevertheless is ήβάω, ήβάσκω, I am or become of ripe age. Commonly the simple form either had the same signification, or was wholly obsolete. On the other hand, the form in σκω takes sometimes the causative sense (§ 113. 2) to make or cause another to do, etc. e. g. μεθύω I am drunk, μεθύσκω I make drunk (see in § 114); πιπίσκω give to drink, from πίνω, I drink. For βιώσκομαι see βιόω.—That the Ionic Iteratives in σκον are to be carefully distinguished from these verbs, follows of course; see § 103. m. 11.

Note 8. To the same analogy in σκω belong the verbs ἀλύσκω, διδάσκω; but they vary from it by retaining the κ in flexion, while διδάσκω retains also the reduplication; as Fut. ἀλύξω, διδάξω.—Different is it with λάσκω from ΛΑΚΩ, είσκω from είκω be like, τιτύσκω for τεύχω; in all which the κ is radical, and the σ only an addition for strength.—For $\beta \delta \sigma \kappa \omega$ see above

- 15. We here bring together those verbs, which in the Present and Imperfect have mostly or exclusively the formation in $\mu \iota$, either with or without reduplication; while in the other tenses they present various anomalies, as may be seen in the Catalogue, § 114.
 - a) Those in μι (or μαι) with the stem-vowel a; as ἄγαμαι, δύναμαι, έπίσταμαι, έραμαι, ἵπταμαι, ἵλημι (αμαι), κίχρημι (and -αμαι), κρέμαμαι, δνίνημι (and -αμαι), μάρναμαι, πίμπλημι, πίμπρημι, πρίασθαι an Aor. in signification. Also ἵστημι, φημι; and comp.

χημι, perh. also δίζημαι; and further, τίθημι, ἵημι, εἰμί. c) In μι (μαι) with the stem-vowel o; e. g. δίδωμι, ὅνομαι.—Also in μι

with the stem-vowel ι, as εἶμι.

d) Those in νυμι with a preceding consonant or diphthong, § 106. 8; as άγνυμι, άχνυμαι, δείκνυμι, εϊργνυμι, δαίνυμι, ζεύγνυμι, κτίννυμι, μίγνυμι, οἴγνυμι, ὅλλυμι (for ὅλνυμι), ὅμνυμι, ὀμόργνυμι, ὅρνυ-

μι, πήγνυμι, ρήγνυμι, ἄρνυμαι, πτάρνυμαι.
e) Those in ννυμι with a preceding vowel, § 106. 8; as κεράννυμι, κρεμάννυμι, πετάννυμι, σκεδάννυμι. ἔννυμι, ζέννυμι, κορέννυμι, σβέννυμι, στορέννυμι (also στρώννυμι) · τίννυμι · ζώννυμι, ρώννυ-

μι, χρώννυμι, χώννυμι.

16. A change which belongs rather to the poets, is the insertion of ν before the ending $\acute{a}\omega$, viz.

άω into νάω, νημι.

Ε. g. δαμάω and δαμνάω, δάμνημι; περνάω, πέρνημι, from περάω. So too with a change of ε into ι, κιρνάω, κίρνημι, from κεράω (κεράννυμι); also πίλνημι, πίτνημι, σκίδνημι, from πελάω, ΠΕΤΑΩ, ΣΚΕΔΑΩ; and with a change of ε into η, κρήμνημι from κρεμάω.

17. Some minor analogies will appear on comparing the two verbs

έχω (root EX) and έπω,

in both which the rough breathing (after dropping the ϵ) passes over into the sibilant σ ; but see $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\omega$, § 114.—Also the two verbs τίκτω and πέκτω,

in which the simple themes TEK-, ΠEK -, reappear in the tenses, after the analogy of verbs in $\pi\tau\omega$ —Further, the two verbs

νίζω and πέσσω,

both of which in the tenses have a lingual for their characteristic—And lastly the two verbs

σμάω and ψάω, comp. also νέω swim,

which form some of the Passive tenses from secondary forms in

-ήχω. ·

18. Finally, in a number of verbs the different tenses are derived from entirely different themes; like the Lat. fero, tuli, latum. So the corresponding verb in Greek: φέρω, Fut. οἴσω, Aor. ήνεγκον.

Here belong the Anom. αίρεω, είπεῖν, ἔρχομαι, ἐσθίω, ὁράω, πάσχω, πίνω, τράχω, φέρω. Comp. also ζάω, θέω, πιπράσκω, πλήσσω, τλῆναι, ἀνέομαι.

19. In the preceding remarks (including \\ 110, 111), we have considered the principal anomalies of the Greek verb. There remains only a small number of verbs, of which some do not fall under any of the analogies presented, as βαρύνω, ρέζω, τρώγω, ψύχω, χάσκω; others are defective, like ἐρέσθαι, μείρομαι, etc. or are extant only in isolated forms, as Θέσσασθαι, λίγξε, τόσσαι, etc.—The learner will also find a number of otherwise regular verbs inserted in the Catalogue (\ 114); partly in order to exhibit a view of the actual usage, whether poetic or prosaic; and partly on account of single variations in form and signification. See e. g. ἄγω, αἴρω, κτείνω, λέγω, μαίνομαι, φαίνω, etc.

20. As an Appendix to the anomaly of the Verb, we here present a full catalogue of those *pure* verbs, which in the Perf. Pass. and also in the Aor. 1 Pass. and the verbal Adjectives, assume the euphonic σ , either always, or partly as admitting both formations with and without σ . All these verbs are inserted likewise in the subsequent Catalogues (App. F); and those with spaced letters are also given in the anomalous Catalogue (§ 114), on account of other deviations.—So far as can be determined in the frequent uncertainty of the readings, the following verbs belong here:*

- a) In the Perf. and Aor. 1 Pass. and Verbal Adj. these always have σ, viz. παλαίω, παίω, πταίω, ῥαίω, κναίω οτ κνάω, ψαύω, πλέω, πνέω,† σείω, λεύω, πρίω, ἀκούω, χόω (anom. χώννυμι), ΰω, ξύω, βύω (anom. βυνέω).
- b) Also with the σ, in all the three verbal forms, all those noted in § 95. n. 3, which retain the short vowel in the Future. Only ἀρόω, and all those noted in § 95. n. 4 as fluctuating between a short and long vowel in their theme, never have the σ; with the single exception of the Aor. ἐποθέσθην.
- c) Further, with o, the following in all the three verbal forms, except

^{*} See, on the whole subject, Lobeck ad Soph. Aj. p. 315 sq.

[†] The epic Perf πεπνυμαι, πεπνυμένος, has a special signification; see in § 114.

that the Perf. has also a secondary form without σ , viz. $\kappa\lambda \epsilon i \omega$, $\kappa\epsilon\lambda \epsilon i \omega$. $\kappa\rho\sigma i\omega$, $\lambda\rho\sigma i\omega$, $\lambda\rho\sigma i\omega$.

 d) Especially does it appear as an anomaly, when the Perf. never takes the σ, while the Aor. 1 and verbal Adj. have it; so in γεύω, παύω,

ρώννυμι, μιμνήσκω.

e) Still more variable in usage, and therefore all to be sought in the anom. Catalogue, are: δράω, χράω (ομαι), ψάω, νέω heap up and spin, κολούω, καίω, κλαίω; also those which may be referred to a pure theme, as τίνω (τίω), ὅμννμι, πίμπλημι, πίμπρημι, πετάνννμι, ζώνννμι, στρώνννμι, χρώνννμι, ἐλαύνω, ἀρέσκω, σώζω, γιγνώσκω. Comp. also ἀάω, βοάω, μάχομαι, σάω, ἡμαι.

Note 9. Compare with the above also the σ inserted in substantives derived from verbs, § 119. m. 17, 19.

113. Anomaly of Signification.

- 1. Whatever relates to the signification of verbal forms, belongs strictly to the Syntax. Still the deviations from the regular meaning, so far as they have become more or less fixed in particular veri.s, cannot well be separated from the anomaly in their formation; just as in Latin in the words odi, hortor, audeo, ausus sum.
- 2. Here belongs first of all one subject, which has a very close connection with the anomaly of the Greek verb, viz. the

Immediate and Causative Signification

of verbs. In the first, the action or state belongs immediately to the subject itself, e. g. to fall; in the other, the subject causes an action or state in some other object, e. g. to fell. The regular proceeding would be, that for each of these significations there should be a separate verb; but so that the causative might be derived from the immediate. Thus e. g. in German and English the verbs fallen, to fall, are immediate; and from them are derived the causatives füllen, to fell, which express the state of falling, not in the subject, but in another object. On the other hand, it is an anomaly, when one verb, in one and the same form, unites both these significations; which however occurs in all languages.* So in Greek:

έλαύνειν, Imm. to be driven, to move rapidly, Caus. to drive; καθίζειν, Imm. to sit, Caus. to seat.

In other verbs this usage belongs more to the poets, who can even combine both significations in one clause, e. g.

βριάω, Imm. to be strong, Caus. to make strong. Hes. ε. 5. πονέω, Imm. to be in pain, Caus. to cause pain. Anacr. 40.

Note 1. Less accurate is it to comprise the distinction just treated of under the terms transitive and intransitive. The causative, indeed, is in its very nature always transitive; but the immediate may be either transi-



^{*} E. g. BRENNEN, BURN, Immed. to be on fire, Caus. to set on fire; SUPPEDITARE, Imm. to be ready at hand, Caus. to cause to be ready at hand, i. e. to present; SOR-1-R, Imm. to go out, Caus. to bring out; To DROP, Imm. to fall, Caus. to let fall.

tive or intransitive. E. g. transitive are the Immediates learn (Caus. make learn, teach), drink. Again, a verb may be both transitive and intransitive, without ever being causative; e. g. intrans. φεύγειν to flee, trans. φεύγειν τινά to flee any one; σπεύδειν το hasten, to make haste, σπεύδειν τι to hasten any thing, but never σπεύδειν τινά to cause any one to make haste.

NOTE 2. It is a different case, when both significations are united in one verb, but in such a way that the causative belongs to the Active form, and the immediate to the Passive or Middle form; just as in English we have Act. Causat. to seat, Pass. or Mid. to be seated, to seat oneself, i. q. Immed. to sit. So in Greek:

Causat. διδάσκω make learn, teach; Immed. διδάσκομαι am taught, teach myself, i. q. I learn.

Causat. καθίζειν to seat, Imm. καθίζεσθα: to sit, for which also καθίζειν is used, § 130. n. 2.

3. Those verbs, which unite the two significations in the manner specified in no. 2, are noted in the lexicons. Here we can treat only of those cases where different tenses of the same verb belong to different significations, as we have seen above in torn, torn, torn, torn. For example, in several primitive verbs, the tenses vary in signification thus:

Fut. and Aor. 1, Act. prefer the causative.

Aor. 2, and Perf. Act. espec. Perf. 2, the immediate, and mostly the intransitive.

In such verbs the intransitive tenses of the Active commonly unite with the Mid. or Pass. in one and the same signification. But sometimes in the Present another form is assumed for the one or the other signification; e. g. $\pi l \nu \omega$, $\pi \nu \pi l \sigma \kappa \omega$.

NOTE 3. I. AORIST. The verbs, in which this relation of the two Aorists is most clearly presented, are the following:

έφυσα I begat (Pres. φύω) — έφυν I became (Pres. φύομαι).

ἔσβεσα I quenched, put out (Pres. σβέννυμί) — ἔσβην went out (Pres. σβέννυμί).

έδυσα I wrapped up (Pres. δύω) — έδυν I went in (Pres. δύνω). έπισα I let drink (Pres. πιπίσκω) — έπιον I drank (Pres. πίνω).

έβησα I made go,—έβην I went; Pres. only βαίνω I go.

So too ἔστησα and ἔστην from ἵστημι. See further the anom. ἀνέγνων and ἀνέγνωνα, ἐβίων and ἐβίωσα, ῆρειξα and ῆρικον, ῆρειζα and ῆρικον; also under σκέλλω, τρέφω, στυγέω, ἀραρίσκω, ὄρνυμ, § 114. Even in verbs which form no Aor. 2, we find the Aor. 1 following the analogy here presented; e. ε. μεθύω am drunk, πλήθω am full; but ἐμέθυσα I made drunk, ἔπλησα I filled, with Pres. μεθύσκω, πίμπλημι.—In all such verbs, if there be two Futures, the Fut. Act. has the causative signification of the Aor. 1; and the Fut. Vid. the immediate.

II. PERFECT. In all verbs where the different Active forms are divided between the causative and the immediate signification, the Perfect always belongs to the latter, and thus connects itself with the Aor. 2. This holds good of both Perf. 1 and 2; e. g.

φύω, φύσω, ἔφυσα, beget,—ἔφυν, πέφυκα, became.

So too ἔστην and ἔστηκα, ἔδυν and δέδυκα, ἔσβην and ἔσβηκα, ἔσκλην and ἔσκληνα, ἤριπον and ἐρήριπα, etc.—The Perfect 2, as we have seen, usually prefers the intransitive sense (§ 97.5, and n.5); and hence in quite a number of transitive verbs this form alone has the immediate signification, which is



mostly intransitive, and is then expressed for the other tenses by the Passive or Middle. Still the Perf. 2 itself belongs just as little to the Pass. or Middle, as do the Perfects 1 $\pi \epsilon \phi \nu \kappa a$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$, which stand in precisely the same relations.—Examples:

ἄγνυμι — ἄγνυμαι break intrans. Perf. ἔαγα am broken in pieces. δαίω — δαίομαι and δ έ δη α burn, intrans. ἐγείρω wake trans. — ἐγείρωμαι wake up, ἐγρήγορα am awake. ἔλπω cause to hope — ἔλπωμαι and ἔολπα hope. κήδω trouble — κήδωμαι and κ έκηδα am troubled, care for. μαίνω (ἐκμαίνω make raving) — μαίνομαι and μ έμηνα rave. οἴγω, ἀνοίγω, ἀνέωχα — ἀνοίγομαι become open, ἀνέωγα stand open. ὅλλυμι, ὀλώλεκα — ὅλλυμαι perish, ὅλωλα am lost. πείθω, πέπεικα — πείθομαι believe, π έποιθα confide in. πήγνυμι — πήγνυμαι become fixed, π έπηγα stick fast. ῥήγνυμι — ῥήγνυμαι tear intrans. ἔρ ῥωγα am torn in pieces. σήπω cause to rot — σήπομαι rot, σ έσηπα am rotten. τηκω melt trans. — τήκομαι melt intrans. Perf. τ έτηκα. φαίνω shew — φαίνομαι appear, Perf. π έφηνα.

For $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \omega$ see the following note.—In the same manner are to be explained the Perfects of some deponents, as $\gamma i \gamma \nu \nu \mu a \iota$ Perf. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \nu \nu a$.—To the instances where the Pres. Act. has both significations belongs $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$; and here the two Perfects actually divide themselves between the two significations; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 114.—E. g.

πράττω do, make, Perf. πεπράχα. πράττω do or be well or ill (e. g. καλῶs), Perf. πεπράγα.

Note 4. The Passive relation, which a portion of the Immediate verbs express, is frequently of such a nature, that it may be conceived of entirely as a Passive. We therefore may properly translate such verbs by the Passive; although the Greeks originally conceived of them only as intransitive. In this way may be explained the few instances in Greek, where single tenses of a verb have in the Active form a Passive signification; especially some Perfects 2 in the preceding note, as $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \tilde{\rho} \rho \omega \gamma a$, $\tilde{\epsilon} a \gamma a$, I am torn or broken in pieces; and as a more perfect example, the Homeric $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu \chi \omega s$ (see Anom. $\tau \epsilon \nu \iota \chi \omega s$), and from $d\lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega \mu a$ the Perf. 1 and sync. Aor. $\epsilon a \lambda \omega \kappa a$, $\epsilon a \lambda \omega \nu s$. The Lat. Neuter-Passives are in like manner immediate verbs; which however we take as simple Passives; e.g. vapulo, am struck, for which the causative is ferio. The following fluctuate between the two significations, the transitive and this neuter-passive, viz. from $\phi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega s$ poil trans. § 114,

διέφθορα have spoiled, also am spoiled, ruined. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a$ have struck, in some writers have been (am) struck. Also $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho o \phi a$, see Anom. $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$.

4. Generally speaking, the instances where single Active forms have a Passive signification, are rare. Far more frequent, on the other hand, in Greek, as well as in Latin, are the

Deponent Verbs,

i. e. verbs in the Passive or Middle form with Active signification. If the Active form of such a verb be wanting, then the verb is a proper or defective Deponent; and, further, according as its Aorist is taken from the Passive or Middle (§ 89. 3), it is called a Deponent Passive or Deponent Middle.

^{*} All these forms could likewise be construed by the Greeks entirely as Passives; as indeed was the case also with common intransitive verbs, by § 134. 2. This is a syntactical peculiarity.

Note 5. The number of Deponents Middle is far greater than that of the Deponents Passive. Of the

Deponents Passive

a part are contained in the anomalous Catal. § 114; as ἄχθομαι, βούλομαι, δέομαι, δέναμαι, δύναμαι, ἐπιμέλομαι, ἐπίσταμαι, κρέμαμαι, μαίνομαι, οἴομαι. All these, and those here following, take the Fut. Mid. wherever the Fut. Pass. is not expressly specified. Among regular verbs we may note:

αλάομαι roam about άμιλλάομαι emulate (rarely Mid.) ἀσάομαι feel loathing διανοέομαι think over (Fut. Pass.) also ἀπονοέομαι am out of my mind, am insane ἐναντιόομαι set myself against, oppose ένθυμέομαι lay to heart, also προθυμέομαι (Fut. Pass.) εύθυμέομαι εύλαβέομαι am coutious ήττάομαι am worsted (Fut. Pass. and Mid.) λιάζομαι (poet.) to bend or turn out σέβομαι venerate (Act. poet.) φαντάζομαι appear (Fut. Pass.)

Also ἀηδίζομαι feel disgust, which it more frequent in late writers.—We reckon here also those verbs, whose Active form is at the same time in use either in the same or in a special signification, and which consequently are not proper Deponents. All such ought strictly to be taken, more or less, as Passives of their Active signification, even when they have the Fut. Midde; inasmuch as the Fut. Mid. is very often used for the Fut. Passive; see no. 6 below. Still, as their Passive nature is for us often obscured; inasmuch as they are in part used wholly as deponents (e. g. πορεύομαι, ἐννοέομαι); in part are rendered by us as Middle or neuter (e. g. αἰσχύνομαι, μμνήσκομαι, βρέχομαι); and in general a line between Mid. and Pass. can only be drawn according to form and etymology (§ 89); we therefore prefer to exhibit here the most common of these Passive-Middle verbs, or simple Passives with neuter signification.

alσχύνομαι am ashamed, feel ashamed (Fut. Pass. and Mid.) άλίζομαι assemble, neut. aviáouai vex oneself ἀπαλλάττομαι go away, depart, also διαλλάττομαι, etc. (Fut. Mid. and Fut. 2 Pass.) aπορέομαι am at a loss, perplexed aὐξάνομαι increase, see § 114. βρέχομαι am wetted, wet, (Aor. 1 and 2 Pass. and prob. Fut. 2 Pass.) δαπανάομαι spend έλαττάομαι am less, inferior (Fut. Mid. Thuc. 5. 104.) έννοέομαι consider, ponder, also Aor. Act. So too enivo. and mpovo. čπείγομαι hasten, make haste (Fut. Mid. Æschyl. Prom. 52.) έστιάομαι am a guest, feast εὐφραίνομαι rejoice (Fut. Mid. and Pass.) εὐωχέομαι fare sumptuously порит delight myself (Fut. Pass.) θυμόομαι am wroth κατακλίνομαι lie down (Aor. 1 and 2. Fut. 2 Pass.)

λοιδορέομαι rail at (also Act.) λυπέομαι am sad, grieve μιμνήσκομαι call to mind, remember (Fut. Pass.) ξενόομαι live abroad δργίζομαι grow angry (Fut. δργιοῦμαι) περαιόομαι pass over πείθομαι obey πήγγυμαι become stiff, cold, (like βρέχομαι) πλανάομαι wander about πνίγομαι am choked (Aor. and Fut. 2 Pass.) πορεύομαι journey ρήγνυμαι break intr. (Aor. and Fut. 2 Pass.) σήπομαι rot (Aor. and Fut. 2 Pass.) σφάλλομαι fail, err (Aor. and Fut. 2 Pass. and Fut. Mid.) τήκομαι melt away (Aor. 2 Pass.) φθείρομαι spoil, perish (Aor. and Fut. 2 Pass. and Fut. Mid.) φοβέομαι fear (Fut. Passive and Mid.)

To these may be added the anom. διαλέγομαι, έκπλήττομαι, μεθύ-

σκομαι, πλάζομαι, σβέννυμαι, σεύομαι, τέρπομαι, φαίνομαι.-Finally, there are many which take their Aorist both from the Pass, and the Middle, in part with a difference of signification. Those here spaced are proper deponents. E. g.

aldéouat feel awe (Fut. Mid. also

ανάγομαι put out to sea (Aor. 2 Mid. later Aor. 1 Pass.) ἀποκρίνομαι answer (better Mid.)

ἀπολογέομαι defend myself (better Mid.)

άρνέομαι deny

knowledge as arbiter.

ἰμείρομαι desire (also-Act.) κοιμάσμαι go to bed (Mid. epic)

ορέγομαι reach out, desire aὐλίζομαι pass the night, lodge δρμάσμαι get in motion (comm. Mid.) δρμίζομαι lie at anchor (later Pass.) βρυχάομαι roar πειράομαι try, make trial (comm. διαιτάομαι Pass. am dieting, diet, live; Mid. (καταδιαιτάομαι) ας-Mid.) φιλοτιμέσμαι am ambitious θοινάομαι feast, banquet φιλοφρονέσμαι treat with kindness (Aor. Pass. recipr.)

κοινολογέσμαι take counsel with

νεμεσάομαι am indignant (poet. rare

όλοφύρομαι lament, wail

δπλίζομαι arm, get ready

(later Pass.) μέμφομαι find fault with

ly Mid.)

To these come further the anom. ἄγαμαι, γίγνομαι, ἔραμαι, ναίομαι, ὅνομαι.—There occur also, more isolated, the following: ἀπημείφθη Χεη. ἀμείφθη, ἐστρατεύθην Pind. ἀῖχθῆναι Hom. and so in Hdot. often: ἐπιλογισθέντας, πρηγματευθέντες, καταφρασθείς, ὑποτοπηθήναι.

Note 6. Not unfrequently however the Greeks allow themselves to form. from a deponent verb, tenses with a Passive signification. This takes place: 1) In the Perfect, where however the construction generally determines, whether it is to be taken as Passive; e. g. Plato Legg. 4. p. 710. d, πάντα άπείργασται τῷ θεῷ (from ἀπεργάζομαι do, make, produce), where the Dative, according to the rule of Syntax § 134. 4, is to be rendered by or through: 'all has been done by the divinity.' 2) In the Aorist Passive, when the deponent, as such, forms an Aorist Middle; e. g. βιάζομαι Ι force, ἐβιασάμην I forced, εβιάσθην I was forced; δεξάμενος having taken, δεχθείς been taken. Comp. § 136. n. 3.

5. It is a very frequent case, that in verbs Active the Fut. Act. is either not used at all, or very rarely; while the

Future Middle

takes the signification, transitive or intransitive, which is connected with the Active. In such instances the rest of the Middle form, with its peculiar signification, for the most part does not occur. This remark applies to a multitude of the most common verbs; e. g. ἀκούω I hear, ἀκούσομαι I will hear, never ἀκούσω.

Note 7. We subjoin here some of the most usual Futures of this kind: άγνοήσομαι, ἄσομαι from ἄδω, ἀπαντήσομαι, ἀπολαύσομαι, βαδιούμαι, βοήσομαι, γελάσομαι, γηράσομαι, έγκωμιάσομαι, έπαινέσομαι, έπιορκήσομαι, θαυμάσομαι, θηράσομαι (also -σω), κλέψομαι, κολάσομαι (also -σω), οἰμώξομαι, οὐρήσομαι, πηδήσομαι, πνίξομαι, σιγήσομαι and σιωπήσομαι, σκώψομαι, σπουδάσομαι, συρίξομαι, τωθάσομαι, χωρήσομαι. Το these may be added the Futures of $\epsilon i \mu i$ and $o i \delta a$ (§§ 108, 109). See further in the Catal. § 114, the verbs $\delta \mu a \rho \tau \dot{a} \nu \omega$, βαίνω, βιόω, βλώσκω, γιγνώσκω, δάκνω, δαρθάνω, δείσαι, διδράσκω, θέω, θιγγάνω, θνήσκω, θρώσκω, κάμνω, κλαίω, λαγχάνω, λαμβάνω, μανθάνω, νέω (νεύσομαι), δμνυμι, όράω, παίζω, πάσχω, πίπτω, πλέω, πνέω, ρέω, τίκτω, τρέχω, τρώγω, φεύγω, χέζω. It must however be observed, that here, as in other cases. usage was not entirely fixed; and we therefore still find many instances of

Futures Act. where other writers have the Fut. Middle. In such instances however it is necessary to observe carefully: 1) Whether the text may not be corrupted;* 2) Whether the writer does not belong to the later period, i. e. to the κοινοί, who in this respect often varied from Attic usage, e. g. Fut. ἀκούσω.†

6. The Future Middle was also used as Passive; but this usage never became so fixed in particular verbs, as that exhibited in the preceding paragraph (no. 5). It depended for the most part on euphony; and consequently, in the poets, on the metre. They strove to avoid by this means, in long verbs, the still longer form of the Fut. Passive; e. g. ἀφελήσονται for ἀφεληθήσονται, περιέψεσθαι (Herod. 7. 149) for περιεφθήσεσθαι. So in like manner from ἀμφισβητεῖν, ὁμολογεῖν, ἀπαλλάττειν, φυλάττειν, γυμνάζειν, ἀδικεῖν, ζημιοῦν. Still, there are examples of this usage in shorter verbs, as βλάψεται, θρέψεται, οἴσεται; and likewise several of those enumerated in note 5 may be referred hither; as ἡττήσομαι, ἐλαττώσομαι.

NOTE 8. It is easy to conceive, that this usage should occur least frequently in verbs, whose Middle approaches nearest in signification to the transitive Active; still less frequently however, and perhaps not at all, in verbs whose Fut. Mid. is employed for the signification of the Active; see Text 5 above.

Note 9. The instances where the Aorist Middle occurs as Passive, are extremely rare; and are found mostly in the epic poetry. Yet some compounds of $\sigma\chi \acute{\epsilon}\sigma\theta a\iota$ are used by the Attics as Passive; as $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\sigma\chi \acute{\epsilon}\sigma\theta a\iota$, $\acute{\epsilon}\mu$ of $\acute{\epsilon}\mu$. Phadr. 49. p. 244. e; $\sigma\nu\sigma\chi \acute{\epsilon}\mu$ of $\acute{\epsilon}\mu$ these passages may also be taken as neuter.

7. In respect to anomalous signification in the Tenses, we note here only the instances where the *Perfect* takes the signification of the *Present*. This transition is readily explained from the present nature of the Perfect, as developed in §§ 81, 137. In every such instance, of course, the *Pluperfect* becomes an *Imperfect*.

Note 10. It is consequently incorrect, to assign to the verb $\epsilon \tilde{\imath} \delta \omega$ in the Present the two significations I see and I know. The Pres. $\epsilon \tilde{\imath} \delta \omega$ means I see, perceive, comprehend; the Perf. of a I have comprehended, and consequently, I know.

Note 11. It was very easy, in consequence of the near relation of the ideas, for the Present itself to pass over into the derived present signification of the Perfect, and vice versa. Hence it arises, that, in the poets especially, the Present and Perfect sometimes have the same meaning; e. g. μέλει (strictly) goes to the heart, μέμηλε is laid to heart; hence both signify it grieves. So δέρκομαι get a view of, δέδορκα have got a view of; hence both, I see.

NOTE 12. A few examples in the epic poets are particularly deserving of notice, where the Pluperfect takes the place of the Aorist or Imperfect,

† But the learner must be upon his guard not to mistake the Subj. Aor. 1 for the Future, e. g. in you decotor about, § 139. m. 2.

^{*} Nothing is easier or more common, than e. g. the confounding of the Attic form of the 2 pers. Mid. in ει (for η), with that of the Act. in εις; e. g. φεύξεις and φεύξει, which latter form of the 2 pers. was less familiar to the copyists.

although the Perfect of the same verb does not occur as Present. See in the Catal. βαίνω and βάλλω; also § 110. 8, note.

Note 13. It is worthy of note, that the Perfect becomes Present especially in verbs which express a tone or cry; as κέκραγα I cry out; and so also λέλακα, γέγωνα, ἄνωγα, βέβρυχα, μέμυκα, μέμηκα, κέκλαγγα, τέτριγα.

114. Catalogue of Irregular or Anomalous Verbs

Preliminary Notes.

- 1. In using the Catalogue, the following is to be noted: A verb which occurs but seldom, or is only poetical, is printed *small*; and so too a verb in common use, which is inserted merely on account of some anomalous *poetical* form. That which belongs to the usage of prose, is everywhere printed *large*.
- 2. All such forms as are merely presupposed in order to explain actual forms, and which themselves never occur, are printed in capitals, as generally throughout the whole work; in order that the eye may not become accustomed, by means of the common letters, to a multitude of unused and merely imaginary forms.
- 3. On the other hand, every theme which actually occurs, even though but once and in the early poets, is printed in the common type.
- 4. Under every current verb which is inserted in the Catalogue, there is given not only the strictly anomalous parts, but also all that is in use, so far as it is not necessarily implied of itself. Consequently it is always to be presupposed (§ 104. 2), that, in every verb where the Future, Aorist, and Perfect, are not expressly mentioned, the common Future, Aor. 1, and Perf. 1, are in use. But whenever an Aor. 2, or the Perf. 2, or the Future Middle instead of the Fut. Act. is in use, these forms are expressly subjoined; and it is then implied that the other forms are not in use. The numbers 1 and 2 are seldom added to these tenses, because they are in themselves easily distinguished. Thus when e. g. under $d\mu a \rho r \sigma \omega$ there stands simply, Aor. $\eta \mu a \rho r \sigma \nu$, this indicates that this verb forms only the Aor. 2, and no Aor. 1.—The letters MID. standing alone, signify that the Middle is also in use.
- 5. In respect to the completeness of the Catalogue, it has been a main object, that nothing should be found in the ordinary prose writers and poets, which is not here explained. Whatever occurs in authors seldom read, or in less known dialects, is here introduced (as throughout the whole work) only so far, as it may serve to illustrate the relations of the dialects and forms, or add especially to our knowledge of a dialect.
- 6. In regard to the particular usage of the epic writers, it is to be observed, that the later writers of this class belonging to the Alexandrine and subsequent periods, as Callimachus, Apollonius, are to be considered as learned poets, who often only imitated Homeric forms. Only that which is found in Homer and Hesiod, and in some fragments of the same early period, can with certainty be regarded as belonging to the broad analogy of the language; while that which is peculiar to later writers, can indeed be of the same kind, inasmuch as they had before their eyes those earlier models which are now lost to us; but the historical certainty is wanting. Hence we have paid no regard to the peculiarities of the later epic writers; or, at most, in important cases, have referred to them by name.



A.

adω injure. From this theme Homer has 3 Pres. Pass. ââται, Aor. 1 Act. ãασα contr. ãσα (Od. λ. 61), Pass. and Mid. âάσθην, ἀασάμην.* Both a's are sometimes long, and sometimes short. Verb. Adj. (ἀατός), and hence with a privative âάατος (~ ~ ~ ~) inviolable, Hom.—From this old form arose first the substantive āτη (long a), and thence with short a the new verbal form (ἀτάω) Pass. ἀτῶμαι in the Attic poets; also (ἀτέω), from which however is found only Part. ἀτέοντα blinded, reckless, in Hom. Il. υ. 332, and Hdot.—Comp. also ἄω 3.

άγαμαι admire, § 112. 15, Pres. and Impf. like ἴσταμαι, Fut. ἀγάσσομαι, Aor. ἠγάσθην, rarely and more epic ἠγασάμην, § 113. n. 5.

The epic forms of the Present, ανάομαι, αναίομαι, occur with the accessory idea to envy, to be angry. § 112. 9.

ἀγείρω assemble, Perf. Pass. ἀγήγερμαι. Aor. 2 Mid. Inf. epic ἀγερέσθαι, Part. ἀγρόμενος; 800 § 110. 4. a.—For ἡγερέθονται, 800 § 112. 12.

ἄγνυμι break, § 106. 8. § 112. 15, Fut. ἄξω. The preterites have the syllabic augment (§ 84. n. 5), Aor. ἔαξα (Hom. ἦξα), Subj. ἄξω, Aor. Pass. ἐάγην (long a). The Perf. 2 ἔαγα (Ion. ἔηγα) has the Passive signification, I am broken in pieces, § 113. n. 3.

The a of the Aor. 2 Pass. εάγην was also shortened in epic metre Comp. επλήγην and κατεπλάγην.

This syllabic augment is also found, even in such forms as according to their nature ought to have no augment, e. g. the compound Part. κατάξαιτες Lys. p. 158, ed. Reiske.†—The form κανάξαις in Hesiod stands for the Opt. Aor. κατάξαις.‡

άγορεύω, 800 εἰπεῖν. || ἀγρόμενος, 800 ἀγείρω.

ἄγω lead, Fut. ἄξω, takes in the Aor. 2 a reduplication, ἤγωγον, Subj. ἀγάγω, Inf. ἀγαγεῖν, etc. § 85. n. 3. Perf. 1 ἦχα and ἀγήσοχα (§ 97. n. 2), Perf. Pass. ἦγμαι.—MID.

The Aor. 1 ħξa, ἄξaι, ἄξaσθaι is also found, though not often in Attic writers. —For the Homeric Imperat. ἄξετε see § 96. n. 9.

† See Heindorf ad Plat. Gorg. 56. Phaedo. 79. The endeavour to distinguish this verb from κατάγω, it is likely, caused this striking anomaly, which was probably further promoted by the circumstance, that this augment even in its usual place is irregular.

‡ This strange form is most satisfactorily explained by means of the Digamma, since the verb ΑΓΩ, ἄγννμι, belongs to the class of words in which, according to § 6. n. 3, traces of the Digamma are perceptible in Homer. The word was therefore originally FΑΓΩ, and this F was a consonant (v). Through the composition with κατά arose consequently ΚΑΓΓΑΓΩ, like καββάλλω from βαλλω, etc. (§ 117. n. 2). No wonder, then, that the Digamma thus doubled and bound by the metre, maintained itself here, while it vanished elsewhere. That it should pass over into was very natural in the close relation (or rather in certain respects the identity) of the sounds Υ and F, U and V; see p. 5 marg. note. Comp. εδαδον in ἀνδάνω below.

§ The learner must take care not to mistake for this Aorist the similar Aorist form of the Attic verb ψττω for ἀίσσω, which sometimes approximates to the former in signification also.

^{*} We could also assume AO as the primary theme, and then derive the other forms from it by resolving α into the double sound (§ 105. n. 10). But the doubling of a long sound which has not arisen from contraction ($\delta\omega$, $\delta\sigma\omega$, $\delta\sigma\alpha$), would be contrary to analogy. On the other hand $\delta\delta\sigma\alpha$ belongs actually to $\delta\omega$ satistic. In this manner also can the Homeric verbal adjectives $\delta\epsilon$ across and $\delta\tau\sigma\sigma$ (see $\delta\omega$) be most clearly distinguished. See Lexil. I. 56.

AΔ-. The forms ἄσω, ἀσαι, satiate, which are commonly referred to this root, see under ἄω 3. On the other hand, Homer has ἀδῆσαι, ἀδηκέναι, to feel weariness, disgust, as if from AΔΕΩ; but these forms are commonly written ἀδδῆσαι, etc.*

άδεῖν 800 άνδάνω.

|| ἀείρω see αΐρω.

äημι blow, § 112. 15, see ἄω 1. It retains the η throughout, Inf. ἀῆναι, Pass.
äημαι; but Part. Act. ἀείς, ἀέντος. The Passive form has the Active signification; except Od. ζ. 131, where it is Passive.
alνέω see § 95.*n. 4.

αἰρέω take, † 112. 18. † 95. n. 4. Fut. αἰρήσω, Aor. 1 Pass. ἡρέθην, Subj. αἰρέθῶ, etc.—Aor. Act. εἶλον, Subj. ἔλω, Inf. ἐλεῖν, Part. ἐλών, (compounds καθεῖλον, καθελῶ, etc.) from ἙΛΩ.—MID. has the signif. choose, Aor. εἰλόμην, Inf. ἐλέσθαι, etc.

A less frequent Future is $\ell\lambda\hat{\omega}$, e. g. Aristoph. Eq. 290. The Aor. 2 Mid. was formed by writers not Attic in $-\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$, as $\dot{a}\phi\dot{e}i\lambda a\tau\sigma$ instead of -ero, see § 96. n. 1, marg.—In the Perfect the Ionics had a peculiar reduplication, $\dot{a}\rho\dot{a}i\rho\eta\kappa a$, $\dot{a}\rho\dot{a}i\rho\eta\mu a$, with the smooth breathing.—In the signification seize, capture, the verb $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{i}\sigma\kappa o\mu a$ may be regarded as a real Passive of $al\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$; see below.

- aίρω contr. from ἀείρω, take up, raise, is declined regularly; Aor. 1 Mid. ἡράμην, Aor. 2 Mid. ἡρόμην. For the poetical usage alone it is to be observed: 1) That the Attic poets employ the unaugmented moods of the Aor. 2 Mid. (e. g. ἀρούμην Soph. Electr. 34), when a short syllable is necessary, instead of the elsewhere usual Aor. 1, whose a is long according to § 101. n. 2.—2) That Homer in the same circumstances avails himself of the Indic. Aor. 2 Mid. without augment (ἀρόμην); but elsewhere has throughout in the Indic. the Aor. 1, and in the other moods only the Aor. 2; as ἡράμην—ἀρέσθαι, ἀρούμην, etc.—3) That the epic writers use in the Pluperf. ἄωρτο (as Impf. hovered, hung) instead of ἡρτο or flepro; see § 97. n. 2.—4) That the Attic poets make the a of the Fut. ἀρῶ long, as being contracted from ἀερῶ; see the Ausf. Spracht.—For ἡερέθονται see § 112. 12.—See also ἄρννμαι.
- aἰσθάνομαι perceive by the senses, § 112. 11, Fut. αἰσθήσομαι, etc.—Aor. ἠσθόμην, Inf. αἰσθέσθαι, from the actual but less frequent Present αἰσθομαι.
- ἀκαχίζω trouble, afflict, § 112. 13, has from the theme AXΩ the Aor. 2 ήκαχων, ἀκαχεῦν, Fut. ἀκαχήσω, Aor. 1 ἢκάχησα.—MID. ἔχομαι οτ ἄχνυμαι afflict myself, grieve, Aor. 2 ἢκαχόμην. Perf. ἀκήχεμαι and unaugmented ἀκάχημαι, am afflicted, grieve. For ἀκηχέβαται see the marg. note to § 103. m. 24; and for the accent of ἀκηχέμενος (II. σ. 29), ἀκαχήμενος, ἀκάχησθαι, § 111. n. 2. To the same intransitive signification belongs also the Part. Pres. Act. ἀχέων, ουσα, afflicted, sorrowing.
- ἀκαχμένος, sharpened, pointed, Part. Perf. Pass. from a theme AKΩ, Lat. acus, (whence the substantives ἀκή and ἀκωκή, the point,) with the Attic reduplication, the temporal augment being omitted and the χ retained before μ; comp. § 98. 2, with § 23 note.
- άλάομαι rove, wander, has (according to § 111. n. 2) a Perfect which passes over into the form of the Present, ἀλάλημαι, ἀλάλησθαι, ἀλαλήμενος, also with Present signification. See also § 113. n. 5.

^{*} The Grammarians introduced this orthography, because the subst. &bos disgust is short, while Homer always makes the first syllable of the verb long. See Lexilog. II. 86.

άλδαϊνω strengthen; Homor has (Impf.) ήλδανε Od. σ. 70.—Intrans. ἀλδήσκω grow, Il. ψ. 599.

ἀλέξω ward off, § 112. 6, Fut. ἀλεξήσω and Aor. Mid. ἠλεξάμην, ἀλέξασθαι, from ΑΛΕΚΩ; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 96. n. 10, and marg.—From the theme (ΑΛΕΚΩ) ΑΛΚΩ comes also the poetic Aorist ἤλαλκον (ἄλαλκον), ἀλαλκέν, ἀλαλκών, etc. with the redupl. § 85. n. 3.

ἀλέομαι (and ἀλεύομαι Hes.) shun, Aor. 1 ἢλευάμην (§ 96. n. 1), Inf. ἀλεύασθαι and ἀλέασθαι, Subj. ἀλεύεται instead of -ηται (Hom.) Opt. ἀλέαιτο, Part. ἀλευάμενος.—Εpic secondary form, ἀλεείνω.

άλέω grind, § 95. n. 3, Fut. ἀλέσω Att. ἀλῶ, Perf. Pass. ἀλήλεσμαι.—Another form of the Pres. was ἀλήθω, § 112. 12.

άληναι or άλημεναι, Ind. εάλην, 800 είλω.

ἄλθομαι heal, intr. Fut. ἀλθήσομαι II. θ. 405.—The Present forms ἀλθαίνω, ἀλθήσκω, ἀλθέσσω, have a causative sense.

άλίσκομαι am taken, captured, § 112. 14, forms its tenses from 'AΛΟΩ, viz. Fut. άλώσομαι, and (with Active form but Passive sense) the syncopated Aor. ήλων I was captured (§ 110. 6) Att. ἐάλων, Plur. ἐάλωμεν, etc. with long a; but the regularly unaugmented forms with short a, Inf. άλώναι, Subj. άλῷ, ῷς, etc. Opt. άλοίην (Ion. άλψην) Part. άλούς. Perf. (also with Passive signification) ήλωκα and ἐάλωκα with short a.

Homer has also Part. άλόντε with long a, Il. ε. 487.

The Active of this verb was not used, but always alpeîv, of which consequently, so far as usage is concerned, $\delta\lambda i\sigma\kappa o\mu a\iota$ is the Passive; but only in the special signification of $alp\epsilon \omega$, seize, capture, and not in its general one.—For $d\nu a\lambda i\sigma\kappa \omega$, see in its place.

άλιταίνω am wanting, sin, § 112. 11, F. ἀλιτήσω, Aor. ήλιτον, Inf. ἀλιτείν. Act. and Mid. are synonymous.—The adjective Part. ἀλιτήμενος (a sinner, Od. δ. 807) can according to § 111. n. 2, be explained from the Perfect. ΑΛΚ-, ἀλαλκείν, see ἀλέξω.

āλλομαι leap, spring, is declined regularly, ὁλοῦμαι, etc. In the Aorist, usage is variable between the Aor. 1 ἡλάμην, ἄλασθαι (long a, § 101. n. 2) and the Aor. 2 ἡλόμην, ἀλόσθαι (short a).—Homer has only the syncopated Aorist (§ 110.8), which takes the smooth breathing, and from which occur 2 and 3 pers. ἀλσο, ἄλτο, Ρατι. ἄλμενος, ἐπάλμενος.* Το this form of the Aor. is then reckoned also the Subj. in Homer; which a part of the Grammarians therefore write, but incorrectly, with the lenis, ἄληται, and with a shortened vowel (§ 103. m. 39) ἄλεται II. λ. 192; comp. μ. 438 ἐσήλατο.

AΛΟ-, see άλίσκομαι and ἀναλίσκω.

ἀλύσκω shun, Fut. ἀλύξω, etc.†—A different verb is ἀλύω or ἀλύσσω Hom. am beside myself; kindred with which is ἀλαλύκτημαι from ἀλυκτέω.

αλφαίνω or αλφάνω earn, \$112. 11; Aor. 2 ήλφον, άλφοιμι.

άμαρτάνω miss, err, § 112. 11, F. άμαρτήσομαι, Perf. ήμάρτηκα.— Αοτ. ήμαρτον, Subj. άμάρτω, Inf. άμαρτεῖν, etc.

^{*} For the lenis see § 6. n. 2, and comp. ἀμαρτάνω. The length of the a, which is indicated by the circumflex, arises from the anomalous augment; hence ἐπᾶλτο, not ἔπαλτο.

[†] This verb is manifestly derived from ἀλείομαι; the σ is consequently not inserted in the Present (as in λάσκω § 112. n. 8), but is dropped in the Future; comp. διδάσκω.

For $\eta\mu\alpha\rho\tau\sigma\nu$ Homer has $\eta\mu\beta\rho\sigma\tau\sigma\nu$ with the smooth breathing (comp. $\delta\lambda\lambda \alpha\mu\alpha\nu$), by transposition (§ 96. n. 7), and with β inserted, according to § 19. n. 1; comp. § 110. 11. 2. marg.

ἀμβλίσκω suffer abortion, § 112. 14, F. ἀμβλώσω etc. from ἀμβλώω, which occurs in the Present only in compounds, as ἐξαμβλοῦν, etc. ἀμπέχω and ἀμπισχνοῦμαι see under ἔχω.

άμπλακίσκω miss, err, § 112. 14, F. άμπλακήσω, Aor. ήμπλακον, άμπλακείν. Also ἀμβλακίσκω; and sometimes ἀπλακείν with the first syllable short.

avalvoμaι refuse, deny, Aor. (1) ἢνηνάμην, ἀνήνασθαι. This verb is not a compound (see Lexil. I. 63. 10), and the Aor. is regularly formed, like ἐλυμηνάμην and the like. Nothing but the Aorist occurs.

ἀνᾶλίσκω consume, spend, § 112. 14, forms its tenses from the old and less frequent ἀνᾶλόω, Impf. without augm. ἀνάλουν. In the Aor. 1 both ἀνήλωσα and ἀνάλωσα were used; and in double composition, κατηνάλωσα. So too in the Perfect.

This verb is distinguished from $\acute{a}\lambda \acute{\iota}\sigma\kappa\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ by the quantity of the α . An Aor. 2 is not found.

άνδάνω please, Impf. ἥνδανον, ἐάνδανον, ἐήνδανον, Fut. ἀδήσω, Aor. ἔαδον, ἄδον, Inf. ἀδεῖν, all with short a; Perf. ἔαδα (Dor. ἔαδα). See § 112. 11.—This Ionic and poetic verb may be regarded as entirely synonymous with the regular ἤδω delight, ἤδομαι delight myself, rejoice, which has merely a different construction. Comp. Λανθάνω and λήθω, and the like.—For the Aor. ἄδον Homer has also εὕαδον.**

ανέσει, ανέσαιμι, see § 108. I. 4.

ἀνήνοθα, a Perfect with Present signification, press forward, forth, from a theme ANΘΩ or ANΕΘΩ, whence ἄνθος flower and ἀνθέω to blossom are derived. See § 97. n. 2, and comp. ἐνήνοθα below. Lexil. I. 63.

ἄντομαι meet, only Pres. and Impf.—Another form is ἀντάω (Hom. ἤντεον), in prose only in the Comp. ἀπαντάω, Fut. ἀπαντήσομαι.

ἀνύω I complete, § 95. n. 3. § 112. 20. Here belong the syncopated forms ἄνύμες, ἄνῦτο, in Theocr.—An earlier and poetic form is ἄνω (long a) Hom. Aristoph. § 112. 7; with Mid. ἄνομαι come to an end; once short a, Il. σ. 473 ἄνοιτο; see Ausf. Sprachl.

ἄνωγα I command, an old Perfect; 1 Plur. ἄνωγμεν, Imperat. ἄνωχθι, ἀνωγέτω, ἀνώγετε, or irregular ἀνώχθω, ἄνωχθε, (§ 110. n. 5,) Plupf. as Impf. (ἡνώγειν) Ion. ἡνώγεα. Since now this Perfect has the Present signification, it takes also sometimes the Present form, as 3 Pres. ἀνώγει Hdot. 7. 104; and hence Impf. ἤνωγον, Fut. ἀνώξω, Aor. ἤνωξα. It is to be noted, that the Perf. ἄνωγα itself never takes the augment.

ἀπαφίσκω deceive, § 112. 13, 14, Aor. with redupl. ἤπαφον, ἀπαφών, (§ 85. n. 3,) from 'ΑΦΩ (whence also ἀφή and ἄπτομαι), strictly touch, feel, palpare; from which Aorist the Present is formed. Fut. ἀπαφήσω.—Middle synonymous with the Active.

ἀπολαύω, for the augm. see § 86. n. 2. | ἀπούρας see AYP.

'ἀράομαι, Att. 'ἄράομαι, Depon. Mid. invoke, curse. From this there occurs once an Inf. Act. ἀρήμεναι Od. χ. 332; or perhaps it is Inf. Aor. 2 Pass.

^{*} This form also, like κανάξαις under ἄγννμι, may be explained from the epic Digamma; for the verb ἀνδάνω belongs likewise to those mentioned in § 6. n. 3. From this Digamma, i. e. from FAAΩ, comes the syllabic augment in ἔαδα, and also this comes, which has arisen from doubling the Digamma after the augment (ΕΓΓΑΔΕ like ἔλλαβεν); for here, where this letter made a position, it could not fall away, as in other cases. The apparent significancy of this εδ, well, as in English well-pleased, may have contributed to the preservation of this form.

from APOMAI; see the Ausf. Sprachl.—The isolated Homeric Part. Perf. Pass. 'apquévos has a different signification, oppressed, grieved, pained.

dραρίσκω, fit, adapt, join, § 112. 13, 14. From the simple theme APΩ come F. ἀρσω, Aor. 1 ήρσα, ἀρσαι, etc. (§ 101. n. 3,) Aor. 2 ήραρον (§ 85. n. 3); whence is formed the Present, and thence Impf. ἀράρισκε Od. ξ. 23.— With the causative sense (cause to suit, adapt) the theme APQ unites also an immediate sense, viz. the intransitive to suit, fit close, § 113. 2. This intransitive sense alone is found in the Perf. 2 as Present, apapa, Ion. άρηρα,* Part. Fem. epic ἀρἄρυῖα, § 97. n. 3; and occasionally, though more seldom, in the Aor. ήραρον.—Synonymous with ἄρηρα in sense, is the Perfect Pass. ἀρήρεμαι,† formed after the analogy of the Fut. ἀρέσω. This Future itself however, as well as the forms derived from it (see άρέσκω), has the special signification to adapt one's self, please; into which also some of the above forms occasionally pass over, as Il. a. 136. Soph. El. 147.—The Part. douevos suitable (Od. e. 234) is the syncopated Aorist, § 110. 8.

άρέσκω trans. gratify, intr. please, † 112. 14, Fut. ἀρέσω, Perf. Pass. ἤρεσμαι, Aor. ἠρέσθην.—MID. content myself.

This verb comes from APQ, of which ἀρέσω is the old form of the Future, § 95. n. 15. This Future assumed exclusively this special signification, and then the other tenses and a new Present were formed from it.

- ἄρνυμαι, related to αἴρω as πτάρνυμαι to πταίρω, ∮ 112. 15, stands instead of alponar in certain special significations, earn, acquire by labour, as wages, booty, etc. The other tenses, i. e. all but the Present and Impf. come from the radical theme: Fut. ἀρούμαι, Aor. 2 ἢρόμην (ἄροντο, ἀροίμην).‡
- άρπάζω seize, rob, has in the Attic writers F. άρπάσω and άρπάσομαι, ήρπακα, ήρπάσθην, etc. In the *kowol*, or later writers, it has άρπάξω, ήρπάγην, etc. Homer has both formations ∮ 92. n. 4.

APQ see ἀραρίσκω and ἀρέσκω.

αύξω and αὐξάνω increase, § 112. 11, Fut. αὐξήσω.—Pass. with Fut. Mid. increase intrans. § 113. n. 5.—Another epic Pres. is ἀέξω.

To this root, with the general signification take, belong the two

following compounds:

1) ἀπαυράω take away. From this verb occur in the poets solely the Impf. (with Aorist signification) ἀπηύρων, and Aor. 1 Mid. ἀπηυράμην (from AYPQ). Besides these are found the two following Participles, formed by a peculiar anomaly of the vowels, and closely related in signification to the above forms, viz. Part. Aor. 1 Act. ἀπούρας, and Mid. (with Passive sense) ἀπουράμενος.

 επαυρίσκομαι have advantage or disadvantage, enjoy, 800 § 112. 14; Fut. επαυρήσομαι, Aor. επηυρόμην, επαυρέσθαι, and in writers not Attic ἐπαύρασθαι, § 96. n. 1 marg.—The earlier poetry employed also the Active form; as Aor. 2 ἐπαῦρον Pind. Pyth. 3. 65, Subj. ἐπαύρω, Inf. επαυρείν or επαυρέμεν. The Present επαυρέω, derived from these, is found in Hesiod.

* In Od. e. 248 the trans. appea is a false reading for aparter.

[†] In Apollonius, where aρηράμενος is a false reading for aρηρεμένος. Comp. despχεμαι and δρώρεται, also § 111. n. 2. ‡ Comp. Il. ζ. 446, with σ. 121; and χ. 100, with μ. 124. § See more on both forms, Lexil. I. 22.

αιω call, shout, poetic. In flexion the diphthong is separated, with long v, as ἀὐσω, ἡῦσα, ἀῦσαι. Secondary form ἀῦτέω.—Wholly different is ανω kindle; whence in prose ἐναίω set on fire.

ἀφάω or ἀφάω touch, feel, whence Part. ἀφόωντα, ἀμφαφόων, Mid. ἀμφαφόωντο Hom. An Ion. secondary form is ἀφάσσω, Aor. ήφάσα, Imper. ἄφασον Hdot. ἀφύσσω draw, as water, etc. F. ἀφύξω, Aor. 1 ήφύσα, ἀφύσαι (ἀφύσσαι) § 92. n. 4.

ΑΦ-, see ϵ **á** ϕ **θη and** ϵ **aπ** α ϕ **ίσκω.** || **AX-, see** ϵ **dκαχίζω.**

ἄχθομαι am vexed, offended, § 112. 6. § 113. n. 5, F. ἀχθέσομαι, Α. ἡχθέσθην. Hence the rare Fut. ἀχθεσθήσομαι.

a. This theme appears under four different significations:

blow, Impf. dor (Apollon.) commonly dημ q. v.
 sleep, Aor. doa and deσa § 112. 6. Inf. deσa Hom.

3) satisfy, satiate, Fut. ἄσω, Aor. ἀσα, İnf. ἀσαι; Mid. ἄσεσθαι, ἄσασθαι. Hence in Pres. Pass. ἀται, and by doubling the vowel ἄἄται (Hes. α. 101, as Fut. see § 95. n. 12). Inf. Act. ἄμεναι Hom. contr. from ἀέμεναι

101, as Fut. see § 95. n. 12). Inf. Act. ἀμεναι Hom. contr. from ἀέμεναι for ἄειν. Verb. Adj. ἀτός, and hence with a priv. ἄατος (Hesiod), contr. ἀτος (Hom.) insatiable.—Το this verb is also reckoned the Subj. form ἐῶμεν (οr ἐῶμεν) with neut. or mid. signif. Il. τ. 402, as if from ἐάω. See Lexil. and Spitzner Exc. 31.—See further the marg. note to ἀάω and comp. AΔ-.

4) injure; in this signification it is exhibited above, as contracted from dides q. v.

δωρτο see αίρω.

B.

βαίνω go, § 112. 10, Fut. βήσομαι Pf. βέβηκα.—Aor. 2 or sync. ἔβην, like ἔστην; thus, ἔβημεν, τε, σαν, Subj. βῶ, Opt. βαίην, Imper. βῆθι, (compound κατάβα, as in ἴστημι) βήτω, Inf. βῆναι, Part. βάς βᾶσα βάν, § 110. 6.—Some compounds have also a Passive; e. g. παραβαίνω transgress, Perf. Pass. παραβέβαμαι, Aor. 1 Pass. παρεβάθην.—Verb. Adj. βατός.

Homer has the Present likewise with the reduplication, Part. βιβάs and βιβῶν.—The Pluperf. ἐβεβήκειν has in the epic language the sense of the Imperf. or Aorist, e. g. Il. ζ. 495, 513; comp. βάλλω, ἐβεβλήκειν.—As to βέω, βείω, βήη, see the same Subjunctive forms from ἔστην, § 107. m. 43.—The syncopated forms of the Perfect, e. g. βεβᾶσι, βεβάναι, βεβώς (§ 110. 10) are in this verb unfrequent, except in the dialects and poets.—In the Aor. 2 Homer has the short forms: βάν for ἔβησαν (§ 110. n. 1, 5), βάτην for ἐβήτην, ὑπέρβασαν for ὑπερέβησαν. The Aor. Mid. (as Act.) occurs also in the epic writers, but fluctuates in form: ἐβήσατο οτ ἐβήσετο (§ 96. n. 9), Imperat. βήσεο.—For βέομαι, see below in its place.

This verb has also the causative signification, cause to go, conduct, but only among the Ionics and poets. The Fut. Act. $\beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ and Aor. 1 $\delta \beta \eta \sigma \sigma$ belong solely to this signification, § 113. n. 3. So also once causative $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \sigma \nu$, Od. ψ . 52. The epic secondary form $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \omega$ is partly to go $(\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa' \dot{\beta} \dot{\delta})$, partly to bring $(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a \sigma \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu)$; the usual secondary form $\beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \omega$ is only causative, with Fut. Att.

βάλλω throw, cast, † 112. 6. † 110. 11, Fut. βαλῶ and sometimes βαλλήσω, Α. ἔβαλον, Subj. βάλω, etc. Perf. βέβληκα, Perf. Pass. βέβλημαι (Subj. see † 98. n. 9) Aor. 1 Pass. ἐβλήθην.—MID.

From a syncopated Aorist (ἔβλην, see § 110. 6, 7) come the epic forms: Αοτ. ξυμβλήτην (3 Dual), Pass. ἔβλητο, βλῆσθαι, Ορέ. βλείμην, βλείο, etc.

Subj. βλήσται for βλήηται; and thence again a Future συμβλήσομαι.—The Perf. Pass. takes also in epic writers the form $\beta \epsilon \beta \delta \lambda \eta \mu a \iota$, as if from BO-ΛΕΩ**—The Plupf. $\epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ has in epic writers the sense of the Aorist (did hit), e. g. II. ϵ . 66, 73; comp. $\beta a \iota \nu \omega$, $\epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$.

Baρύνω burden, § 112. 19, Perf. Pass. βεβάρημαι Plat. from βαρέω. From the same form Homer has Part. Perf. Act. βεβαρεότα, -ότες, with intrans. signification; § 97. n. 7.

βαστάζω carry, F. βαστάσω, etc. takes in the Passive the other formation, e. g. ἐβαστάχθην, § 92. n. 3, 4.

ΒΑ-, βίβημι, βάσκω, βιβάζω, 800 βαίνω.

βέομαι or βείομαι, a Homeric-Future, I shall live, which may be regarded either as a really irregular Future (like πίομαι, or like κέω, κείω, see κείμαι § 109. II.), or as a Subjunctive used for the Future (§ 139. n. 5), instead of βέωμαι. It is also doubtful, whether it belongs to an old verb BΕΙΩ (whence perhaps βίος, βιόω); or whether the Passive form of the verb βαίνω assumed the secondary sense to walk, i. e. live; in which case βείομαι corresponds to the Active form βείω Subj. for βῶ.

βιάζομαι force, subdue, Depon. Mid. is used also as Pass. § 113. n. 6.
The Ionics have the form in άομαι (§ 112.9), Inf. βιᾶσθαι, Imper. βιῶ, Aor. ἐβιήσατο. Homer has also Perf. Act. βεβίηκε.

Βιβρώσκω eat, § 112. 14, Fut. (βρώσομαι), Perf. βέβρωκα, etc.

The Fut. first occurs in late writers; both Fut. and Aor. are usually taken from the synon. ἐσθίω. The Part. Perf. βεβρωκώς is sometimes contracted, comp. § 110. 10; hence Soph. Antig. 1010 βεβρώτες.—Epic Aorist ἔβρων, § 110. 6.—The Homeric βεβρώθοις belongs to a derived verb

with an emphatic sense, viz. βεβρώθω devour.

Sιόω live, Fut. βιώσομαι, Aor. ἐβίωσα, comm. Aor. 2 ἐβίων, βιῶναι, Part. βιούς, βιοῦσα, neut. doubtful. Subj. βιῶ, ῷς, etc. Opt. βιῷην ἡ 110. 6, Porf. βεβίωκα (Pass. βεβίωταί μοι Dem.)

Pres. and Impf. are usually from ζῆν.—The forms βιώσκομαι and ἀναβιώσκομαι have both the intransitive and transitive signification, e. g. intrans. revive, Plat. Phaedo. p. 72. c, d; trans. animate, vivify, id. Crito 9.

—In the latter signification only it has the Aor. 1 ἐβιωσάμην (Od. 9. 468. Plat. Phaedo. p. 89. b); in the former, the Active ἀναβιῶναι is usual.

βλαστάνω sprout, § 112. 11, F. βλαστήσω, Α. ἔβλαστον, βλαστεῖν. βλώσκω go, § 110. 11. § 112. 14, has its forms as if from MOAQ, Aor. ἔμολον, μολεῖν, μολών, Fut. μολοῦμαι. Perf. μέμβλωκα (by § 19. n. 1 for μέμλωκα) as if from MAOQ, from which the Present βλώσκω has arisen. The Present μολέω is doubtful.

Soáω cry out, Fut. βοήσομαι (poet. and later βοήσω), among the Ionics always contracts οη into ω,† Fut. βώσομαι; it then draws back the accent, Aor. ξβωσα; and takes σ in the Aor. Pass. ἐβώσθην. But Part. Perf. βεβωμένος Hdot.

ΒΟΛ-, see βάλλω and βούλομαι.

βόσκω pasture, § 112. 6, Fut. βοσκήσω, etc.—MID.

βούλομαι will, desire, § 112. 6, Fut. βουλήσομαι, Perf. βεβούλη-

† That this is the correct representation is shewn by a comparison of the lon. verb βωθεῦν for βοηθεῦν help. Comp. νοέω below.

Digitized by Google

^{*} The old root of this verb had ε, (comp. τέμνω τάμνω, τρέπω τράπω, and σκέλλω below,) as is shewn by the derivative βέλος and especially the verbal βελέτης in έκατηβελέτης. Hence ΒΟΛΕΩ, § 112. 8; and also, by the metathesis ΒΕΛ, ΒΛΕ, the forms βέβληκα, βλείμην, etc. § 110. 11.

μαι, Aor. ἐβουλήθην, ἠβουλήθην, βουληθήναι. For the augment see § 83. n. 5.

Homer has also a Perf. 2 $\pi\rho\sigma\beta\epsilon\beta\sigma\nu\lambda a$ prefer.—In Homer and in the old language generally, the first syllable was also short; in which case it is written with o, as $\beta\delta\lambda\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$, δ 5. n. 3.

βραχείν, εβραχον, an opic Aorist, crash; different from βρέχειν steep, βρέχει σθαι, βρεχθηναι and βραχηναι, to be wet; § 113. n. 5.

ΒΡΟ-, see βιβρώσκω.

BPOX-, a root signifying to gulp, whence in Homer Aor. 1 καταβρόξειε, αναβρόξειε, Aor. 2 Pass. ἀναβροχέν.

βρυχάομαι roar, Depon. Pass. The Perf. Act. βέβρῦχα (§ 112.7) has in the poets the same Present signification; comp. μηκάομαι and μυκάομαι.—For the Perf. βέβρῦχα II. ρ. 54, see Lexilog. II. 85.

βυνέω stop up, † 112. 10, F. βύσω, Aor. ἔβϋσα, Perf. Pass. βέβυσα.

r

γαμέω marry, from ΓΑΜΩ § 112. 6, Fut. also γαμέω, γαμῶ, Aor. 1 ἔγημα, γῆμαι, etc. Perf. γεγάμηκα, etc.—Mid. enter into marriage, take as wife or husband. The form ἐγαμήθην (whence Theocrit. has γαμεθεῖσα) is simply Passive.

The forms γαμήσω, εγάμησα, belong to the later Greek.—Fut. Mid. γαμέσσεται II. ι. 394, has a causative signif. give in marriage.

γέγωνα, a Perfect with Present signification, I call, proclaim. Most of the other forms, however, are made as if from a Present in ω or έω derived from this Perfect: Inf. γεγωνείν, Impf. έγεγώνευν (for -εον) 3 pers. έγεγώνει, but also (ἐγέγωνε) γέγωνε; which form consequently occurs as Present, Impf. and Aorist; see § 111. 2.

TEN. This root, which corresponds to the Latin gigno, genui, unites in Greek the causative signification beget, and the immediate or intransitive be born. The forms are anomalously mixed. In the Active, only the Perfect γέγονα is in use; all the other forms, in both significations, belong to the Middle-Passive. So far as usage is concerned, the whole may be referred to a two-fold form of the Present:

1) γείνομαι refers only to literal birth. In the Present it is poetical, be born and beget; in the Aor. 1 ἐγεινάμην only transitive, beget, bear, both in prose and poetry. In this last signification the regular verb γεννάω is elsewhere used.

2) γίγνομαι old and Attic, comm. γίνομαι, § 112. 13 and 6, F. γενήσομαι, Aor. 2 ἐγενόμην, γενέσθαι; Perf. γεγένημαι, or with Active form, γέγονα; forms not Attic are ἐγενήθην, γενηθήσομαι. All these forms are throughout intransitive; not only in the literal sense be born, but also and more frequently in the general sense come into existence, fieri. With this connects itself the signification to exist, to be, so that ἐγενόμην and γέγονα serve at the same time as preterites of είναι. Where however γέγονα can be translated as a Present, I am, it has always the more special sense I am by birth, or I have become, etc.

For γέγονα there is a poetical form (γέγἄα) Pl. 1 γέγἄμεν, 3 γεγάασιν, Inf. γεγάμεν (for -άναι) Part. γεγαώς, υια, Att. γεγώς, ωσα, ώς (see § 110. 10), as it seems, from ΓΑΩ; hence also the older form γεγακειν in Pindar for γεγηκέναι (§ 111. n. 1).*—The form εγεντο, γέντο, in Hesiod and Pindar is syncop. Aor. for ἐγένετο; see also the following article.

yévro, he seized, an old verb in Homer, from which only this form occurs .-In other poets this form stands simply for eyevero, eyevro; see the preceding article.

γεύω let taste, Mid. taste. Perf. Pass. γέγευμαι; but Verbal Adj. γευστέος, and therefore prob. Aor. έγεύσθην.

γηθέω rejoice, γηθήσω, etc. Perf. 2 γέγηθα synon. with the Present and more usual; § 112. 6.

γηράω or γηράσκω, grow old, § 112. 14, Fut. γηράσομαι and γηράσω Plat. is conjugated regularly after the first form; except that the Attics prefer in the Inf. Aor. instead of mpaoau the form γηράναι.

This γηραναι is the Inf. of an old Aor. ἐγήραν (see § 110. n. 1, 2), to which belongs also the opic Part. γηράς II. ρ. 197; γηράντεσσιν Hes. ε. 188. Το this old form corresponds precisely the Aor. ἔδρᾶν from διδράσκω. See § 110. 6.

γίγνομαι, γίνομαι, see ΓΕΝ-.

γυγνώσκω old and Attic (comm. γυνώσκω) know, § 112. 14, from ΓΝΟΩ, F. γνώσομαι. Aor. sync. έγνων, Plur. έγνωμεν, τε, σαν; Subj. γνώ, γνώς, γνώ, etc. Opt. γνοίην; Imper. γνώθι, γνώτω, etc. Inf. γνωναι; Part. γνοῦς, γνοῦσα, γνόν, G. γνόντος, 🕯 110. 6.—Perf. ἔγνωκα, Perf. Pass. ἔγνωσμαι, Aor. ἐγνώσθην, Verb. Adj. γνωστός and γνωτός.

In the causative sense to persuade (§ 113. 2), which the compound αναγιγνώσκω takes particularly among the Ionics, it forms the Aor. 1 ἀνέγνωσα.

yoáω bewail, Aor. 2 έγοον, Il. ζ. 500. See § 96. n. 5. § 112. 7. γρηγορέω, 800 έγείρω. Η ΓΩΝ-, see γέγωνα.

4.

ΔA-, δαίω. The forms which belong to this root, have four principal sig-

nifications: divide, give to eat, burn, teach.

1. δαίω cut, divide, distribute, has in this form and signification only Pres. and Impf. and is solely poetic. To the same sense however belong, as Depon. Mid. the Fut. δάσομαι, Aor. έδασάμην, which are also used in prose; and the Perf. δέδασμαι with Passive sense (am divided, cut), whose 3 Plur. follows, for the sake of euphony, the root δαίω, viz. δεδαίαται; see 112. 9.—The Pres. δατέομαι (see below in its place) stands in the same relation to these forms, as πατέομαι to πάσασθαι; § 112. 6.

2. δαίνυμι § 112. 15, entertain, give to eat, Mid. δαίνυμαι feast, revel, consume, (2 pers. Impf. δαίνυο, § 107 m. 37,) forms, after the analogy of § 106. 8, 12, its tenses from δαίω, which however never has this meaning in the Present: Fut. δαίσω, δαίσομαι, etc.

^{*} The anomalous γεγάστε (Batrach. 143. Hom. Epigr. ult.) can be explained from the Present-Perfect γέγαα (-dατε for -ατε; but see Lexilog. I. note or addition to Art. 2. 1); hence also ἐκγεγdονται Hymn. Ven. 198; this last by a new anomaly as Future.

 δαίω has also in the Present the sense burn, kindle, set on fire. In the Perf. δέδηα (§ 97. 4. § 113. 3) it has the intransitive sense of the Mid.

δαίομαι burn, be on fire, Aor. 2 (ἐδαόμην) 3 pers. Subj. δάηται.*

4. ΔΑΩ unites the causative sense teach, with the immediate learn. In the first, only the Aor. 2 occurs, ἔδαον οτ δέδαον (§ 83. n. 10), to which the Homeric δέδαε belongs. But in the latter sense, learn, there is found, Perf. (δέδαα) δεδάσοι, δεδαώς (§ 97. n. 7), Aor. Pass. ἐδάην (strictly was taught, i. e. learned, § 100. n. 9); whence the new Perfect δεδάηκα (§ 111. 3) οτ δεδάημαι, Fut. δαήσομαι.—From δέδαα, as from a Present, is derived (δεδασθαι) δεδάσσαι become acquainted with, search into, Hom. No other Present form occurs from this solely poetic verb, in either sense; but the usual διδάσκω is evidently derived from it; see below.

To this root belongs also the epic δήω, δήεις, etc. an anomalous Future

with the special signification I shall find.

δάκνω bite, from ΔΗΚΩ, F. δήξομαι, Pf. δέδηχα, etc. Aor. ἔδακον, δακεῖν, § 112. 10.

δαμάω see under δέμω.

δαρθάνω sleep, § 112. 11, F. δαρθήσομαι, Pf. δεδάρθηκα, Aor. ἔδαρθον, δαρθείν.

For $\tilde{\epsilon}\partial a\rho\theta o\nu$ a poetic form is $\tilde{\epsilon}\partial_{\rho}a\theta o\nu$ (§ 96. n. 7); and the compound with $\kappa a\tau \dot{a}$, in the Aorist, passes over sometimes into the Aor. Pass. $\kappa a\tau \dot{\epsilon}\partial a\rho\theta n\nu$, $\kappa a\tau a\partial a\rho\theta \dot{\epsilon}is$ fallen asleep. This form may be considered as Aor. 1 for $\dot{\epsilon}\partial a\rho\sigma\theta n\nu$ (comp. $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \dot{a}\rho\theta a\iota$ for $-\sigma\theta a\iota$, and $\pi \dot{\epsilon}\rho\theta a\iota$ in $\pi \dot{\epsilon}\rho\theta b\iota$); or also as the sole example of an Aor. 2 Pass. with the characteristic 9; § 100. n. 9.

δατέομαι (see δαίω 1), Aor. 1. Inf. δατέασθαι Hesiod ε. 795. See § 96. note 1, and comp. ἀλέομαι.

δέαται, see δόαται. || δεί, see δέω. || δείδω, see δείσαι.

δείκνυμι point out, § 107. § 112. 14, Fut. δείξω, etc.—MID.

The Ionics form Fut. δέξω, ἔδεξα, δέδεγμαι (ἀποδεδέχθαι), see § 27. n. 3.

The Mid. δείκνυμαι has in the epic writers (II. ι. 196. Hymn. Apoll. 11) the signification salute, welcome, drink to; and consequently this signification belongs also to the Perfect with Present sense δείδεγμαι (for δέδειγμαι) 3 Pl. δειδέχαται, 3 Sing. Plupf. as Impf. δείδεκτο.‡—Rarer forms, all of similar signification, are δεικανάομαι, δειδίσκομαι and δεδίσκομαι; not to be confounded with δεδίσσομαι, δειδίσσομαι, frighten, fear, from δείσαι.

δείσαι fear, Infin. from Aor. 1 ἔδεισα, Fut. δείσομαι. The Perfect takes the signification of the Present, and has two forms, of which the alternate use depended on euphony, δέδοικα (§ 97.

T Comp. κείω under κείμαι § 109. II. Both are old Futures in the form of the Fut. 2, from ΔΑΩ, ΚΕΩ; and are consequently instead of δαέω, κεέω (§ 95. n. 16), with a contraction of the first two vowels, as in the Gen. κλείος (from κλέεος) for

κλέους; see § 53. n. 5.

^{*} The intransitive sense burn, flame, is assigned to the Present form δαίω merely from a misunderstanding of the passage II. ε. 4, 7. Comp. II. σ. 206, 227; and especially II. υ, 316, where this verb occurs in three forms: μηδ' ὁπότ' ἃν Τροίη μαλερῷ πυρὶ δάηται (intrans.) δαιομένη (Pass.) δαίωσι (trans.) δ' ᾿Αρἡιοι υἶες ᾿Αχαιῶν. † Comp. κείω under κείμαι ἡ 109. II. Both are old Futures in the form of the

[†] Many refer the form $\delta\epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau o$ to $\delta \epsilon \chi o \mu a \iota$, because the meaning receive, welcome, is thought to come more easily from this. But the primitive idea is unquestionably that of offering the hand; and $\delta \epsilon i \kappa \omega$ probably signified originally simply to stretch out the hand; from which likewise $\delta \epsilon \kappa o \mu a \iota$, $\delta \epsilon \chi o \mu a \iota$, are very naturally derived. Comp. $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta o \iota \kappa a$, $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \delta a \iota$, where the redupl. $\delta \epsilon \iota$ occurs in like manner, because the radical syllable is also $\delta \epsilon \iota$.

n. 1), and δέδια. From δέδια come syncopated forms: δέδιμεν, δέδιτε, 3 Pl. Plupf. ἐδέδισαν, and in the Imperat. δέδιθι § 110. 10.

The epic writers have also δείδοικα and δείδια (comp. the preceding δείδεκτο); so also δείδιμεν etc. and the still more syncopated Part. δείδοῖα (in Apollon.) Hence arose a new Present δείδω, which occurs only in these poets; but to which all the above forms were formerly referred.

In Homer the Aorist is always found written ¿δδεισα, which is the only

example of a mute doubled after the augment.*

The epic poets employ $\delta i\omega$, Impf. $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta \tilde{\iota}\tilde{\iota}\nu$, in the sense to fear, and also to flee, Il. χ . 251. From this the causative signification (§ 113. 2) is cause to flee, frighten away. It is however singular, that Homer expresses this idea only by means of the Passive form, $\delta i\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$, Subj. $\delta i\omega\mu a\iota$, etc. In another form $\delta i\eta\mu\iota$, on the other hand, the Active signifies to hunt, chase ($i\nu\delta i\epsilon\sigma a\nu$ Il. σ . 584); and the Pass. to flee, run ($\delta i\epsilon\nu\tau a\iota$ Il. ψ . 475). The Infin. $\delta i\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ can belong to both these forms, and has also both significations; Il. μ . 276, 304.

ΔΕΚ-, see δείκνυμι and δέχομαι.

δέμω build, Aor. ἔδειμα, Perf. δέδμηκα, etc. § 110. 4, 11.—The form δείμομεν in Homer is syncopated Subj. Aor. see § 103. m. 39. In the common language οἰκοδομέω is used for this verb.—MID.

The same theme furnishes also the tenses for $\delta a\mu \acute{a}\omega$ subdue, tame, 112. 7. Pf. $\delta \acute{\epsilon} \delta \mu \eta \kappa a$, Aor. Pass. $\acute{\epsilon} \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ and $\acute{\epsilon} \delta \acute{a}\mu \eta \nu$.—The forms $\delta a\mu \acute{a}$ and $\delta a\mu \acute{a}a$ are both Present and (Att.) Future; 3 Pl. $\delta a\mu \acute{\omega} \omega \iota \nu$ Il. ζ . 368. In prose the usual verb in this sense is the regular $\delta a\mu \acute{a} \zeta \omega$.—A strengthened Present-form in epic writers is $\delta a\mu \nu \acute{a}\omega$, $\delta \acute{a}\mu \nu \eta \mu \iota$, $\delta \acute{a}\mu \nu a\sigma \theta a\iota$; but only in Pres. and Impf. § 112. 16.

δέρκομαι or Perf. 2 δέδορκα see, catch a view of, Aor. έδρακον § 96. n. 7; also έδράκην and έδέρχθην, all Active.

δέχομαι take, receive, Ion. δέκομαι, Fut. δέξομαι, Aor. έδεξάμην, etc. In the same tense occurs also Aor. sync. (ἐδέγμην) 3 pers. ἔδεκτο he took, Inf. δέχθαι. The Perf. δέδεγμαι in epic writers has also the signif. I expect. In this its special present sense, which the Pres. δέχομαι never has, this Perfect exhibits the peculiar anomaly of dropping the reduplication; e.g. 3 Plur. δέχαται they expect, Part. δεγμένος, also Plupf. (as Impf.) ἐδέγμην, which first pers. never occurs in the sense I took, i. e. as syncopated Aorist; see § 110. 8, and marg.—Here belongs also the epic δεδοκημένος, waiting, lurking, II. o. 730, comp. δ. 107; see § 112. 8.

δέω bind, Fut. δήσω, see † 105. n. 2. † 95. n. 4.—The Fut. 3 δεδήσομαι († 99. n. 1) takes the place of the Fut. 1 δεθήσομαι, which is not Attic.—MID.

A Present form δίδημι (§ 112. 15) is implied by the forms: 3 Plur. δέασι Xen. and δίδη, διδέντων, Hom.

δέω fail, be wanting, § 112. 6, F. δεήσω, is usually impersonal: δεῖ it is necessary, one must, il faut; Subj. δέη, Opt. δέοι, Inf. δεῖν, Part. δέον, Fut. δεήσει, etc.—The Pass. δέομαι, δέη οτ δέει (not contr.), δεῖται, is always personal, I need; δεήσομαι, ἐδεή-θην, § 113. n. 5.

The contraction intest in this verb was sometimes resolved, even by the Attics, in order to distinguish it from the preceding verb; e. g. Isocr.

^{*} Dawes, in Miscell. Crit. p 168, has shewn that the true cause of the long syllable, by which this orthography was occasioned here and in ὁποδδείσασα, ἀδδεές, lay in a misapprehended Digamma after the δ (dv).

Busir. 2 10σούτου δέεις, and in Xonophon often δέεται, δέεσθαι.—In the other hand Homer has δησεν II. σ. 100; but also another peculiar form δεύομαι, δευήσομαι, έδεύησεν.

 Δ HK-, see δάκνω. \parallel δήω, see Δ A-.

διδάσκω teach, § 112. n. 8, loses the σ in conjugation: F. διδάξω, Pf. δεδίδαχα, etc. In the poets also διδασκήσω. It comes from $\Delta A\Omega$; comp. the note under ἀλύσκω.—MID.

διδράσκω run away, § 112. 14, occurs only in composition: ἀποδιδράσκω, διαδιδράσκω. From ΔΡΑΩ comes Fut. δράσομαι, Perf. δέδρāκα—Aor. sync. ἔδρāν, ās, ā, āμεν, āτε, 3 Pl. ἔδρāσαν and ἔδράν (§ 110. 6 and n. 1), Subj. δρῶ, ậs, â, etc. Opt. δραίην, Imp. δρᾶθι, Inf. δρᾶναι, Part. δράς.

The Ionics have η throughout: διδρήσκω, δρήσομαι, ἔδρην, etc.—This verb must not be confounded with δράω, see below.

δίζημαι seek, § 112. 15, a form from a verb in μι, retaining the η in the Pas sive, § 106. n. 3; Fut. διζήσομαι Hom.

δικείν, εδικον, cast, a defective Aorist, Eurip.

διψήν, see § 105. n. 5. || δίω, δίημι, see δείσαι. || ΔΜΕ-, see δέμω. δόαται οτ δέαται (δέατο), it seems, Aor. δοάσσατο, Subj. δοάσσεται (-ηται) Hom. See Lexil. II.

δοκέω seem, appear, think, § 112. 6, from ΔΟΚΩ, F. δόξω, etc. The Perf. is from the Passive form, δέδογμαι have appeared.

The regular formation δοκήσω etc. is poetic.—The epic δεδοκημένος see under δέχομαι.

δουπέω give a heavy sound, fall, Perf. δέδουπα (§ 97. n. 4. § 112. 6), Aor. έδούπησα and έγδούπησα from a form ΓΔΟΥΠ-, which stands in the same relation to δουπέω, as κτυπέω to τύπτω.

δραμείν, δέδρομα, sea τρέχω. | ΔΡΑ-, sec διδράσκω.

δράω do, act, regular F. δράσω (ā), etc. hence Perf. δέδρακα, like Perf. of διδράσκω. Pass. sometimes with and sometimes without σ; e. g. δέδραμαι, δέδρασμαι, δρασθείς, § 112. 20.

δύναμαι can, am able, § 112. 15; Pres. and Impf. like ἴσταμαι; 2 pers. Pres. δύνασαι, poet. and later δύνη, p. 184. marg. For the Subj. and Opt. see § 107. m. 32; and for the augment, § 83. n. 5.—Fut. δυνήσομαι, Aor. ήδυνήθην (also ἐδυνάσθην), Perf. δεδύνημαι. Verb. Adj. δυνατός possible.

In Homer this verb is commonly Depon. Mid. and has δυνήσατο instead of ἐδυνήθη, § 113. n. 5.

δύω. This verb divides its forms between the immediate signification go in, enter, and the causative enwrap, immerse, § 113.

2. The Pres. Act. δύω has the latter, enwrap, immerse, and retains it in the Fut. and Aor. 1 Act. δύσω, ἔδυσα, Pass. ἐδυθην, § 95. n. 4. The MID. δύομαι wrap myself up, δύσομαι, ἐδυσάμην, passed over into the intransitive (immediate) signification, go in, sink, go down, etc. which however again takes a transitive relation, e. g. to put on sc. clothes; comp. § 135.

4. The significations thus belonging to the immediate sense, connect now with this Middle form the Active forms of the

Perf. δέδυκα and Aor. 2 († 110. 6) ἔδυν, Subj. δυω (II. ρ. 186. Plat. Cratyl. p. 413. b) Opt. δυην,* Imp. δῦθι, δῦτε, Inf. δῦναι, Part. δύς, δῦσα, δύν, G. δύντος. To these is still to be added a new Active form in the Present, δύνω go in, † 112. 10; which, together with the Aor. ἔδυν, is preferred to the form δύομαι, ἔδυσάμην, in certain connections and in compounds.

Such is the general outline of the usage in this verb; the modifications arising from the different turns and shades of the signification, especially in the compounds, are left to the lexicon and to observation.—The Aor. Mid. ἐδυσάμην has in the epic poets the secondary forms ἐδύσειο, ἐδύσειο, Ιπρεταί. δύσειο, for which see § 96. n. 9. Here belongs also the Part. δυσόμενος with Present signification, in Od. a. 24. Hesiod ε. 382.—From δύνω Herodotus forms also δυνέουσι, § 112. n. 5; and late writers an Aor. 1 ἔδυμα.

E.

έάφθη or ἐάφθη, a Homeric form, only II. ν. 543. ξ. 419; either from ἄπτω fit, adapt (comp. ἐάγην, ἐάλων); or from ἔπομαι follow (see below) for εἴφθη, comm. ἔσπετο. See Lexil. II. 87, and Spitzner Exc. 24.

έγείρω wake trans. has the regular Perf. 1 ἐγήγερκα, Pass. ἐγήγερμαι. The MID. takes the immediate sense awake intrans. and has by syncope in the Aor. ἠγρόμην (§ 110. 4); Inf. ἔγρεσθαι for ἐγρέσθαι, see Ausf. Sprachl.—The Perf. 2 ἐγρήγορα, whose anomalous reduplication was probably occasioned by the sound of ἠγρόμην, belongs, like other Perfects 2 (§ 113. n. 3), to the intransitive signification; but passes over into a new Present meaning, strictly I am awaked; hence I am awake Plupf. as Impf. ἐγρηγόρειν.

Forms of the Present, which have arisen out of ἐγρήγορα with like signification, are ἐγρηγορόω in Homer, ἐγρηγορέω in the later prose, and γρηγορέω in the New Testament, etc.—From ἐγρηγόρατε arises the Homerie form ἐγρήγορθε Il. η. 371. σ. 299 (§ 110. n. 5); and hence a corresponding Infin. ἐγρηγόρθαι Il. κ. 67, where Wolf accents it ἐγρήγορθαι after the scholiast; and by a new anomaly a 3 Plur. ἐγρηγόρθασι Il. κ. 419. But see Lobeck in Ausf. Sprachl. II. p. 25.

έδω, 800 έσθίω.

|| έδουμαι, 800 έζομαι.

έζομαι, καθέζομαι, sit, Impf. only as Aorist ἐκαθεζόμην. Fut. καθεδοῦμαι, § 95. n. 16.

The form $\epsilon \kappa a \theta \epsilon \xi \delta \mu \eta \nu$ as Aorist occurs e.g. in Plat. Meno. 26. p. 89 extr. Xen. Anab. 5. 8. 14. The Pres. $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \xi \delta \mu a \iota$ is thereby rendered suspicious, at least in the earlier Attics; yet in later writers it is found; also once in Hom. $\epsilon \xi \epsilon a \iota$ Od. κ . 378, and often in the other moods: $\epsilon \xi \epsilon o$, $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ etc. also $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \xi \delta \iota \tau a \iota$ Lys. c. Agor. 37. Comp. below $\epsilon \xi \delta \iota$, and a $\epsilon \xi \delta \iota$ of 108. II, $\epsilon \delta \iota \sigma a$ and $\delta \iota \mu a \iota$; which forms properly all belong to one root.—Later writers used instead of $\epsilon \kappa a \theta \epsilon \xi \delta \mu \eta \nu$ also the Passive form $\epsilon \kappa a \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \nu$.

ἐθέλω and Βέλω, will, \$ 112.6; F. ἐθελήσω, θελήσω, etc. Pf. ἠθέληκα.

* Comp. § 107. m. 33. Honce ἐκδῦμεν for ἐκδύημεν, like δεῖμεν for δείημεν, 11. π. 99; see Lexil. I. 17. 10.



ἔθω. From this verb only the Perf. εἴωθα am accustomed (§ 97.
 n. 2) is usual; Ion. ἔωθα.

Of the Present there remains only the Homeric Part. $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\omega\nu$ wont, accustomed.—For $\epsilon\omega\theta\epsilon\epsilon$ see § 112. n. 5.

ϵἴδω see, an old verb, from which in this signification only ϵἶδον, ἰδϵῖν, ἰδϵῖν σθαι, etc. have remained in use as Aorist forms of the verb ὁράω, which see. In the epic language, however, there is found from ϵἴδω, (which as Pres. Indic. occurs only in the later poets,) in the same signification, the Passive-Middle formation ϵἴδομαι, ϵἶσάμην, (ϵἰσάμην, ϵἰσάμενος,) for be seen and appear, videri.—See also on the signification of this verb § 113. n. 10; and for those forms which have the signification to know, οἶδα, ηκόειν, ϵἴσομαι, etc. see § 109. III.

εἴκω. In this verb the Perfect ἔοικα is employed as Present, am like, seem, Part. ἐοικώς, also εἰκώς, especially in Att. prose in the Neut. εἰκός, e. g. εἰκός ἐστι, it is likely, probable; see p. 199 sq. marg. Ion. οἶκα, οἰκώς, οἰκός, Plupf. ἐψκειν († 84. n. 9), Fut. εἴξω. The verb εἴκω yield, give way, is entirely regular.

In the same manner as εἰκώς, are found also in Attic writers a few times, for the sake of the metre, εἶκα and εἰκέναι. Comp. εἰδώς, εἰδέναι, under οἶδα, § 109. ΙΙΙ.

The Pres. εἴκω nowhere occurs; and the Impf. εἶκε (for ἐψκει) only II.
σ. 520. For the epic forms ἔἴκτον, ἐἶκτην, and ἥἴκτο, ἔἴκτο, also Att. ἔοιγμην and εἴξασι (for ἐοίκασι), see the marg. note above cited, p. 199 sq.

είλύω wrap up, enwrap, F. είλύσω; Pass. Perf. είλυμαι, 3. pers. είλύαται (ὕ), Part. είλυμένος. Mid. είλύομαι wind myself, crawl, Soph.—Also ελύω, whence ελυσθηναι to crouch Hom. On all these forms see Lexil. II. p. 163.

εἴλω roll up, press together, more comm. εἰλέω or εἰλέω, F. ἡσω etc. Aor. 1
Inf. ἔλσαι, ἐέλσαι, Part. ἔλσαs. Perf. Pass. ἔελμαι, Aor. Pass. ἐάλην, Inf.
ἀλῆναι or ἀλήμεναι, Part. ἀλείς, (all which forms fluctuate in the editions
between the rough and smooth breathings); comp. ἐστάλην, σταλῆναι, from
στέλλω. From the same root (ΕΛΩ or ΕΛΛΩ) with the simple meaning
press, impel, thrust, comes also ἐλαύνω (see in its place); and hence in the
special signification, beat, lash, occurs likewise the Aor. ἔλσαι in Homer,
e. g. Od. ε. 132.—Here belongs also (by § 112. 8) the Pluperf. ἐόλητο was
pressed, Apollon. 3. 471.—See on all these forms, Lexil. II. 88, and 76. 7.
εἴμαρται see ΜΕΙΡΟΜΑΙ.

| | εἰμί and εἶμι see § 108. IV. V.

εἰπεῖν to say, § 112. 18, an Aor. 2. Indic. εἶπον (epic ἔειπον), Imperat. εἰπέ (compound πρόειπε, see § 103. m. 4). This Aor. is more usual than the Ion. Aor. 1 εἶπα (§ 96. n. 1), Imper. εἶπον, incorrectly εἰπόν, see Excurs. I ad Plat. Meno. The Attics however use both εἶπας and εἶπες equally; and employ the forms εἴπατε, εἰπάτω, etc. by preference.

With this Aor. 2 are closely connected in usage, the Fut. $\hat{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega}$ (Ion. $\hat{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\epsilon} \omega$) from $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho \omega$, which Present is employed by the poets; and also from $PE\Omega$, the Perf. $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho \eta \kappa a$ (§ 83. n. 3), Perf. Pass. $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho \eta \mu a i$, Aor. Pass. $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho i \rho i \eta \nu$ and $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho i \rho i \rho i \nu$, (not Attic $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho i \rho i \nu$, $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho i \rho i \rho i \nu$) $\hat{\epsilon} i \rho i \rho i \rho i \nu$ as common Fut. Passive.*—Verb. Adj. $\hat{\epsilon} i \gamma i \rho i \rho i \rho i \nu$.



^{*} The Grammarians further increase the themes of this verb with $\epsilon\rho\epsilon\omega$, on account of $\epsilon\ell\rho\eta\kappa\alpha$; but this word $(\epsilon\rho\epsilon\omega)$ is either a regular Fut. from $\epsilon\ell\rho\omega$, or a Present in the sense to ask, interrogate; see $\epsilon\rho\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha$ below in its place. But since PEO unde-

As the Present of this verb the Greeks employed φημί, as mentioned above in \$ 109. I. 2; and in some phrases also ayoρεύειν (properly to speak before an assembly), e. g. κακῶς ἀγορεύειν τινά, κακῶς εἶπον. In most compounds ἀγορεύω is always employed; e. g. ἀπαγορεύω Ι forbid, ἀπεῖπον Ι forbade; in some λέγω, e. g. ἀντιλέγω, ἀντεῖπον.

The poetic Imperat. ἔσπετε comes from a secondary form with σ insert-

ed. Comp. λάσκω, είσκω, μίσγω.

Entirely anomalous is the poetic $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$, synonymous with ελπείν; to which (ήνισπον) ένισπον may be referred as Aorist; since a Pres. Indic. ἐνίσπω does not occur,* and the Inf. has the circumflex, ἐνισπείν Od. γ. 93. Fut. ενισπήσω or ενίψω.†

είργω shut out, exclude, F. είρξω, etc.—But είργνυμι with the rough breathing, shut in, include, F. είρξω, etc. § 112. 15.

The old and epic language has for both significations έργω or εέργω (ἔεργον, ἐέργνυ, ἐεργμένος). Hence 3 Plur. Perf. ἐέρχαται, and without augment ξρχαται, are shut in, Hom.

είρω, see εἰπεῖν and ἐρέσθαι.—In the signification join, connect, knit, it is a separate verb; Aor. 1 εἶρα (Hdot. 3. 87 εξείρας exserens), Pf. ε̃ερμαι (on account of the Digamma, see § 84. n. 6), Part. ε̄ερμένος Hom. εἰρμένος Herod. 4. 190.

εΐωθα, see έθω.

έλαύνω drive, † 112. 10, F. έλάσω (short a), etc. Pf. έλήλακα. Pass. Pf. ελήλαμαι, Aor. ἡλάθην, Verbal Adj. ελατός; in later writers ελήλασμαι, ήλάσθην, έλαστός. The theme $\epsilon \lambda \acute{a} \omega$ is rare in the Present; on the other hand έλω, έλως, έλως, etc. Inf. έλων, constitute in prose the Attic Future, § 95. n. 12.

See also είλω, έλσαι; and for εληλάδατο see § 103. m. 24. marg. note. -For έληλάμενος (proparoxyt. e. g. Arat. 176) see § 111. n. 2.

ΕΛΕΥΘ-, ΕΛΘ-, 800 ἔρχομαι.

έλκω drow, takes the augm. ει (§ 84. 2). Fut. έλξω and ελκύσω § 112. 7, Aor. είλξα and είλκυσα. Pass. only είλκυσμαι, είλκυσθην.—MID.

έλπω cause to hope, έλπομαι hope, (epic ἐέλπομαι), Perf. ἔολπα the same with έλπομαι, Plupf. as Impf. εώλπειν, § 84. n. 6, 9.

ΕΛ-, see είλω. ΈΛ-, see αίρέω.

∥ιέλύω, see εἰλύω.

ENEΓK-, ENEIK-, etc. see φέρω. | ένέπω, 800 είπειν.

ἐνήνοθα, an old Perfect, which presupposes a theme ENEΘΩ, ENΘΩ; ἐπενήνοθε, κατενήνοθε, is, sits, lies on any thing, Homer. See § 97. n. 2, and comp. ἀνήνοθα above.

niably belongs among the themes of this verb, on account of ἐρβήθην, βῆμα; so also είρηκα is most naturally referred to the same theme, after the analogy of είληφα, егµарта, § 83. п. 3.

* II.) 839 and Od. ι. 37 ἐνίσπω is Subj. Aor. † The σ in ἐνίσπω is here dropped in the Fut. precisely as in διδάσκω and ἀλύ-This Future consequently affords no proof that evinto, to which as to form it certainly could belong, ought also to be referred hither. On the contrary, since the Pres. ἐνίπτειν, and also the kindred forms ἡνίπαπεν and ἐνίσσω in Homer, never by themselves signify to say, but very often when standing alone signify to chide, upbraid, they must therefore all be separated from the radical verb elecir, and ex hibited separately below: see evinto. Still, a Present form evinto from evento is used by Pindar at least, Pyth. 4. 358, where evintor stands for eventor.—For a minuter investigation of both verbs, see Lexil. I. 63. p. 279 sq.

ενθείν, ήνθον, sec ερχομαι.

ένίπτω chide, upbraid, (see the last marg. note,) has in Homer a two-fold Aorist form; either ενένιπτον, more correctly ενένιπον (see Lexil. I. 63: p. 282, and comp. § 85. n. 3); or, by § 85. n. 4, with the reduplication at the end, 3 pers. ηνίπαπεν.

ένίσπω, έννέπω, 800 είπείν.

|| ἔννυμι, вое § 108. III.

έολητο, see είλω.

|| ἐπαυρεῖν, ἐπαυρίσκομαι, etc. see AYP-.

ἐπίσταμαι understand, § 112. 15; 2 pers. ἐπίστασαι, poet. ἐπίστα or ἐπίστη (see the note on p. 184, and on Soph. Philoct. 798), Impf. ἢπιστάμην, Subj. and Opt. see § 107. m. 32.—Fut. ἐπιστήσομαι, Aor. ἐπιστήθην § 113. n. 5. Verbal Adj. ἐπιστητός.

ἔπω am about something, occupied with, § 112. 17. This old verb, of which some compounds (espec. διέπω) remain also in prose, has the augment ει (διείπον), and an Aor. ἔσπον,* σπείν, σπών, as ἐπέσπον, ἐπισπείν, μετασπών, all mainly poetic.—Το avoid any confusion of forms, compare also ἔσπετε and ἐνέπω under εἰπείν.

επομαι follow, εἰπόμην, Fut. εψομαι. This very usual Middle has an Aorist which corresponds to that of the Active επω, except that in the Indic. it has the rough breathing: ἐσπόμην, σπέσθαι, σποῦ (σπέο, σπεῖο Hom.) which last forms occur chiefly in composition, ἐπίσπου, etc.

The earlier poets have likewise the $\hat{\epsilon}$ in the other moods of the Aorist; $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\omega\mu a\iota$, $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\theta a\iota$, $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\mu\epsilon\nu os$; see the marg. note. But the (later) Present $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\tau a\iota$ Od. δ . 826, is a false reading for $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota$.—For $\tilde{\epsilon}\dot{a}\phi\theta\eta$ see above in its place.

ἐράω love, poetic ἔραμαι (like ἐπίσταμαι), takes its tenses solely from the Passive form; Aor. ἡράσθην (poet. ἡρὰσάμην), F. ἐρασθήσομαι. A real Passive is the Pres. ἐρῶμαι, ἐρῶσθαι, ἐρώμενος.—Another regular form ἐράω is found only in composition, ἐξερῶσαι pour out, κατερῶσαι, etc. ΕΡΓΩ and ἔρδω, see ῥέζω.—A form ἔργω see also in εἴργω.

έρείκω has the signification tear, burst, break in pieces, as transitive; but in the epic Aor. 2 ήρικον, as intransitive. § 113. 2.

ἐρείπω cast down, has this causative sense (§ 113. 2) in the Fut. ἐρείψω, and Aor. 1 ἥρειψα, etc.—Plupf. Pass. ἐρέριπτο epic, instead of ἐρήριπτο, § 85.



n. 1.—The Aor. 2 and Perf. 2, Πριπον, ἐρήριπα, have the immediate sense, to fall down.—Epic Middle ἀνηρειψάμην, impelled upwards, hurried off.

έρέσθαι ask, interrogate, Inf. from an Aor. ἠρόμην, Subj. ἔρωμαι, Imperat. ἐροῦ. Fut. ἐρήσομαι, § 112. 6, 19.

The Ionic prose has also a Present $\epsilon i \rho o \mu a i$; but employs the Impf. $\epsilon i \rho \acute{o} \mu \eta \nu$, with $\epsilon i \rho \acute{e} \sigma \theta a i$ (so accented) and the other moods, in the Aorist sense; Fut. $\epsilon i \rho \acute{\eta} \sigma o \mu a i$.—The epic writers have also synonymous with $\epsilon \acute{e} \rho \rho \mu a i$ the form $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ (and, with ϵ inserted, $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$, $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \theta a i$) as Present; as likewise $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon \acute{e} i$ (lengthened $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon \epsilon i \nu \omega$) both in the Act. and Middle; which last must be carefully distinguished from the Fut. $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon \acute{e} \omega$ under $\epsilon i \epsilon i \nu \omega$. Subj. $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon i \rho \mu \nu \omega$ poic for $\acute{e} \rho \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$.—In prose the parts still wanting are supplied from $\acute{e} \rho \omega \tau \acute{e} \omega$.

έρεω, see είπειν and έρεσθαι.

ἐρίζω quarrel, regular; Perf. Pass. ἐρήρισμαι, with emphatic Present signification.—Another form is ἐριδαίνω, with which is to be connected (§ 112. 11) the form ἐριδήσασθαι II. ψ. 792, with long ι on account of the metre. ἔρρω go forth; erro, ἐρρήσω, ἤρρησα. § 112. 6.

In a causative sense is usually derived from this verb the Homeric απόερσε, αποέρσειε, forced, hurried away. See Lexilog. II. 92.

ἐρυγγάνω belch, eruct, § 112. 11; Fut. ἐρεύξομαι from the non-Attic Pres. ἐρεύγομαι, Αοτ. ἤρυγον, ἐρυγεῖν, later Αοτ. ἤρευξάμην. ἐρυθαίνω blush, Fut. ἐρυθήσω, etc. § 112. 11. Homer has also the theme ἐρεύθω, ἐρεύσω, etc.

έρύκω, long v, detain, impede, Aor. ηρύκακον, Inf. έρυκακέειν, see § 85. n. 4. έρύω or είρύω, draw, has the v short in flexion. Fut. also έρύω, Mid. έρύομαι Il. λ. 454; see § 95. n. 12. Hesiod, however, has (ε. 816) the Inf. εἰρύμεναι (short v), after the formation in μι.—In the epic writers the MID. ἐρύομαι passes over into the signification rescue, deliver; in which some critics, where the syllable must be long, still write the v with one σ (εἰρῦσατο), as being originally long; while on the contrary in the signification draw, they write it with double σ (ἐρύσσατο), as being originally short. But since it is also found short in the former meaning (e. g. Il. δ . 186. χ . 351), and the significations often run into one another, the lengthening of the v is in all eases more correctly marked by $\sigma\sigma$.—On the other hand, the secondary form ρύεσθαι, which signifies only to rescue, has among the Attics long υ, ἐρρύσατο; but in epic writers this also is short (ρυσάμην II. o. 29), and should consequently be written, where the syllable is long, with σσ, ερρύσσατο, ρύσσατο; which, however, is commonly neglected.—Finally, there is also a secondary syncopated form (§ 110. 5) ἔρυσθαι, εἴρυσθαι, and ρῦσθαι, usually with long υ, ἔρῦτο (once ἔρῦτο Hes. 9. 304), εἴρῦτο, εἰρύαται, ρύατο, etc. This syncopated form belongs almost exclusively to the meaning rescue, guard, except Od. χ . 90 expero drew; and must not be confounded with the Perf. and Plupf. Pass. of the thome ἐρύω, viz. εἴρτμαι, have been drawn.—See further Lexilog. I. 18, with the additions in Vol. II.

ἔρχομαι go, § 112. 18, from ΕΛΕΤΘΩ, Fut. ἐλεύσομαι, Aor. ἤλυθον, comm. ἤλθον (§ 110. 4), Subj. ἔλθω, Inf. ἐλθεῖν, Imperat. ἐλθέ, etc. see § 103. m. 4. Perf. ἐλήλυθα. Verbal Adj. ἐλευστέον.

The Perf. in epic writers has the form ελλήλουθα; for the augment son § 84. n. 1. Also 1 Plur. with syncope ελλήλουθμεν, § 110. 9.

For the Doric ηνθον, ενθείν, see § 16. n. 1. d.

Further, it has already been shewn in § 108. V, that instead of the

other moods of the Present $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi_0\mu\alpha_s$, which very rarely occur, those of $\epsilon l\mu$ are far more usual, especially in the compounds; so that in ordinary usage this verb is made up thus: Pres. ἔρχομαι, Subj. τω, Opt. τοιμι, Imp. τοι, Inf. λέναι, Part. λών; Impf. ἤειν or ἦα, Porf. ἐλήλυθα, Plupf. ἐληλύθειν, Αοτ. ἦλθον, Inf. ἐλθεῖν, Fut. εἰμι.

ἐσθημένος Ionic, ἡσθημένος Attic; a defective Part. Perf. clothed, dressed.

ἐσθίω eat, § 112. 18, from ἔδω (Hom.) Fut. ἔδομαι (§ 95. n. 18). Perf. εδήδοκα, Perf. Pass. εδήδεσμαι. Aor. Pass. ήδεσθην, Inf. έδεσθηναι.—Aor. Act. έφαγον from $\Phi A \Gamma \Omega$, Subj. φάγω, Inf. φαγείν.—Verbal Adj. έδεστός.

Part of the forms from 💑 come from the old formation with Fut. 👸σω, etc. (§ 112.6); where the e was changed in the Perf. Act. into the alternate o (comp. § 97. n. 1, 2), which in Homer is retained in the Passive, εδήδομαι, εδήδοται. Homer has also Perf. εδηδα, and Inf. Pres. εδμεras (§ 110. 5) for εδειν, εδέμεναι.—The poets have also a shorter form in the Present, τσθω.

ἔσπετε, ἔσπον, έσπόμην, 800 εἰπεῖν and ἔπω. ∥ εῦαδε 800 άνδάνω.

εύδω, καθεύδω, sleep, † 112. 6, Fut. εύδήσω, καθευδήσω. καθηύδον, καθεύδον, and ἐκάθευδον.

εύρισκω find, † 112. 14, from ΈΥΡΩ, Aor. εὐρον, Subj. εῦρω, Imp. ευρέ, Inf. ευρείν; Fut. ευρήσω, Perf. ευρηκα, Pass. Pf. ευρημαι; Aor. Pass. εὐρέθην († 95. n. 4). Verbal Adj. εὐρετός.— Augm. 184. 5.—MID.

Writers not Attic form the Aor. Mid. as Aor. 1 εύράμην, instead of εύρόμην, § 96. n. 1. marg.

έχθω hate, only in the Pres. and poetic. Hence a MID. (ἐχθάνομαι) ἀπεχθάνομαι am hated, † 112. 11; F. ἀπεχθήσομαι, Aor. $\eta_{\chi}\theta$ όμην, $d\pi\eta_{\chi}\theta$ όμην, Inf. with anom. accent $d\pi$ έχ θ εσθαι; * Pf. ἀπήχθημαι am hated.

έχω have, † 112. 17, Impf. είχου, Fut. έξω with the asper † 18. n. 4.—Aor. ἔσχον (see above in ἔπω and marg.) Subj. σχῶ σχής etc. in compounds παράσχω παράσχης; Opt. σχοίην (§ 103. m. 13) but in comp. 3 Sing. παράσχοι Plat. Imp. σχές, σχέτω, († 110. n. 2,) but in comp. παράσχες and πάρασχε; Inf. σχείν, Part. σχών. MID. Aor. εσχόμην, Inf. σχέσθαι, Imp. σχοῦ, σχέσθω, in compounds παράσχου.—Hence a new Fut. σχήσω, Perf. ἔσχηκα, Pass. Pf. ἔσχημαι, Aor. 1 ἐσχέθην.— Verbal Adj. έκτός and σχετός.

From the Aor. σχείν there has come also another secondary form of the Pres. loxo, which is preferred in certain special significations, (as to hold, check,) where also the Fut. σχήσω properly belongs with it.†—An old Perf. from έχω is δχωκα; ΙΙ. β. 218 συνοχωκότε.‡

^{*} Pres. ἀπόχθομαι is nowhere found; see Ausf. Sprachl.
† The l in the Pres. Ισχω stands in the place of a reduplication like that in μίμνω, wirre, precisely like the ι in Ιστημι, except that in Ισχω the rough breathing went over into the smooth on account of the x.

[‡] This is sometimes derived from ΟΧΟΩ, and οίχωκα (see οίχομαι) from ΟΙΧΟΩ. But the true derivation appears from a comparison of the subst. $\delta \kappa \omega \chi \dot{\eta}$. The simplest Perf. from $\xi \chi \omega$ is $\delta \chi \alpha$, and with augment $\delta \chi \alpha$; so also from OIX $\Omega - \vec{\phi} \chi \alpha$. With the Attic reduplication both would become in the usual manner $\delta \kappa \omega \chi \alpha$, oik ω -

Homer often uses a lengthened Aorist-form $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\sigma\nu$ (Inf. $\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\epsilon\epsilon\nu$) in the emphat, signif. to hold fast. But it is hardly advisable to assume a Pres. $\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\omega$; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 112. n. 15.

The following anomalous compounds of $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ are still to be noted:

ἀνέχω. When the Mid. ἀνέχεσθαι has the signification endure, it takes the double augment in the Impf. and Aor. ἠνειχόμην, ἠνεσχόμην, § 86. n. 4.

ἀμπέχω envelope, wrap around, Impf. ἀμπείχον, Fut. ἀμφέξω, Aor. ἤμπισχον, ἀμπισχεῖν.*—ΜΙΟ. ἀμπέχομαι or ἀμπισχου οῦ μαι, wear, have on, F. ἀμφέξομαι Aor. ἤμπισχόμην.*

ύπισχνοῦμαι promise, Ion. (Hom. Herod.) ὑπίσχομαι, § 112. 10. Fut. ὑποσχήσομαι. Αοτ. ὑπεσχόμην. Imperat. ὑπόσχου. Perf. ὑπέσχημαι, Inf. ὑπεσχῆσθαι.

έψω boil, § 112. 6, F. έψήσω etc. (Hdot. 1. 48 has Impf. έψεε, ib. n. 5.) Verb. Adj. έψητέος, έψητός οτ έφθός.
 έῶμεν, see in ἄω satisfy.

\boldsymbol{Z} .

ζάω live has ζῶ, ζῆς, ζῆ, etc. († 105. n. 5.) Impf. ἔζων, ἔζης, etc. Inf. ζῆν or ζῆν († 105. 4), Imperat. ζῆ. The rest is made from βιόω.

We find also (after the formation in μ) a 1 pers. Impf. $\xi \zeta \eta \nu$, and Imperat. $\zeta \tilde{\gamma} \theta \iota$, to which however the preceding forms were preferred. The tenses $\zeta \tilde{\gamma} \sigma \omega$ or $\zeta \tilde{\gamma} \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \zeta \eta \sigma a$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \zeta \eta \pi a$, occur in the earlier writers either not at all, or very rarely.—The Ionics prolonged $\zeta \tilde{\omega}$ into $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \omega$ by doubling the sound (§ 105. n. 10); and hence arose a new Ionic formation: $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \omega$, $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \epsilon \iota \epsilon$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \zeta \omega \sigma \iota$, § 105. n. 10. marg.

ζεύγνυμι yoke, unite, § 112. 15, Fut. ζεύξω etc. Aor. 2 Pass. έζύ-

ζώννυμι gird, † 112. 15, Fut. ζώσω etc. Perf. Pass. ἔζωσμαι more certain in earlier writers than ἔζωμαι (Thuc. 1. 6), Aor. ἐζώσθην.†—ΜΙD.

H

ήβάσκω come to manhood, pubescere, § 112. 14; Aor. ήβησα came to manhood, from Pres. ήβάω am in the prime of life.

ήγήτομαι lead on, suppose. The Perf. ήγημαι has sometimes the Present signification, to regard, hold as, e. g. in Herodotus. Pind. άγημαι lead on.

ημαι, see § 108. 2. || ημί, ην, sec φημί § 109. Ι. 4.

ημύω bend down, sink. Hence is best derived the Homeric ὑπεμνήμυκε (II. χ. 491); i.e. we can assume that when a verb began with a long vowel,

χα; (for the ι from οἴχομαι would naturally stand only once, as in δείδεκτο;) but since of two aspirates, the second can likewise be changed instead of the first (§ 18. n. 1), there arose also the forms δχωκα, οἴχωκα; and these were afterwards retained for the sake of perspicuity.—Also the Homeric ἐπώχατο were shut to (II. μ . 340, comp. ὀχεύs) may be explained, by transition from ਣχα, δγμαι, as 3 Plur. Plupf. Pass. of ἐπέχω.

* The t belongs therefore in the Aor. to the preposition, ημπι-σχον, inasmuch as the Aor. takes the augment at the beginning, § 86. n. 2. On the other hand, ἀμπι-μηγούμαι like ὑπ-ισγούμαι from ἴσγω: but Aor. ἡμπι-σγόμαν.

ισχνοῦμαι like ὑπ-ισχνοῦμαι from ἴσχω; but Aor. ἡμπι-σχόμην.
† So at least late writers, Part. ζωσθείς; see Lobeck ad Aj. p. 324, 316.

the reduplication shortened it; consequently $\epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \kappa a$ instead of $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \kappa a$. The metre required the first μ to be doubled; but instead of this, $\mu \nu$ was adopted, as is also the case in other words; e. g. $\dot{a}\pi \dot{a}\lambda a\mu \nu os$ from $\pi a\lambda \dot{a}\mu \eta$, νώνυμνος for νώνυμος.

ήττάομαι, ήσσάομαι, am vanquished, only Passive.—The Ionics have a form in όω, e. g. έσσοῦμαι, Αοτ. έσσώθην. § 113. n. 5.

θ.

ΘΑΝ-, see θνήσκω.

Θάομαι regard with wonder, behold. From this earliest main theme, some forms of which are preserved in Homer and in Doric writers (3ασθε, 9ήσασθαι, Dor. Θάσασθαι, Imp. Θασαι, Θασάμενος Theoer.) arose two other themes: 1) Θαέομαι Doric, Θηέομαι Ionic; 2) The common Θεάομαι, Fut. Θεάσομαι, Ion. Θεήσομαι. In Herodotus is found also the form εθηήτο (§ 105. n. 16. marg.) though commonly with the various reading εθηέτο. Verbal Adj. Θαητός, Θηητός, Θεατός. As to the signification, Homer (to whom the form Θεασθαι was unknown) has only the idea admire; but later writers use all the forms in the simpler sense behold.—This verb must not be confounded with ΘΑΩ suckle; see below.

Θάπτω bury, Aor. 2 Pass. ἐτάφην (§ 18. 2), yet Aor. 1 ἐθάφθην Hdot. Perf. Pass. τέθαμμαι, τεθάφθαι, whence 3 Plur. in Hdot. τεθάφαται; others τετάφαται.

ΘΑΦ-, Perf. as Pres. τέθηπα am astonished, where the second aspirate is changed; on the contrary in the Aor. ἔταφον, the first; § 18. 2.

ΘΑΩ, an epic defective, from which occurs Aor. 1 Act. 3ησαι to suckle, and the Mid. 3ησθαι to milk (§ 105. n. 5, 16 marg.) 3ησασθαι to suck.—For 3άομαι behold, see in its place.

θεάομαι, see θάομαι. || θέλω, see εθέλω.

Sépoμαι warm myself, a defective, from which in prose only the Pres. and Impf. occur. Homer has further Fut. Θέρσομαι (§ 101. n. 3), and Subj. Aor. Pass. (ἐθέρην) Θερέω.—Το the same root belong the defective forms: Θέρμετε trans. and Θέρμετο intrans. in Homer.

Θέσσασθαι to implore, Θέσσαντο etc. a defective Aorist. Verb. Adj. Θεστός, πολύθεστος much desired.

Θέω run, F. Θεύσομαι or Θευσοῦμαι († 95. n. 9, 17). The other tenses do not occur; see τρέχω.

9η ϵομαι, see 9άομαι. || 9η σθαι, see ΘΛΩ. || ΘΗΠ-, see ΘΛΦ-.

Suyyávω touch, § 112. 11, from ΘΙΓΩ, F. Sίξομαι, Aor. ἔθυγου.

The forms which occur, as Sίγειν, Sίγων, are probably all to be accented

BR Aprists

θανον, die, § 112. 14. § 110. 11, from ΘΑΝΩ, Aor. ἔθανον, ἀπέθανον, Fut. θανοῦμαι, ἀποθανοῦμαι, Perf. τέθνηκα. From this Perfect the following syncopated forms are in common use (§ 110. 10), Plur. τέθναμεν, -ατε, τεθνασιν, 3 Pl. Plupf. ἐτέθνασαν; Subj. not found; Opt. τεθναίην, Imp. τέθναθι, άτω, Inf. τεθνάναι, Part. τεθνεώς (τεθνεώσα τεθνεώς § 110. n. 6. c) G. ῶτος.—From τέθνηκα arises a secondary Attic form of the Fut. τεθνήξω οι τεθνήζομαι, § 111. n. 3.—Verbal Adj. θνητός mortal.

In prose we find in most of the tenses the compound ἀποθνήσκω chiefly in use; while, on the other hand, the *Perfect* with all the forms derived from it, is hardly found in composition. The regular Part. Perf. τεθνηκώς

vîa, ós, is more used than the syncopated form; since of this latter only the masc. τεθνεώς occurs in prose.—The Inf. Perf. τεθνάναι is found sometimes for Javeîv to die, Plato Crit. init.

For the Inf. τεθνάναι see § 110. n. 6: marg. The Part. Perf. Ionic is τεθνηώς, G. ότος, § 97. n. 7; and in Homer also τεθνειώς, G. ώτος.

θορείν, see θρώσκω,

|| θράσσω, see ταράσσω.

ΘΡΕΦ-, see τρέφω.

∥ ΘΡΕΧ-, see τρέχω.

θρύπτω break, Aor. 2 Pass. ἐτρύφην, 1 18. 1.

θρώσκω spring, leap, § 110. 11. § 112. 14, forms from ΘΟΡΩ the Aor. ἔθορον, Fut. Θορούμαι Ion. Θορέομαι.

ΘΥΦ-, see τύφω.

|| 9ύω, see § 18. n. 2. § 95. n. 4.

I.

ἐδρύω set, place, has in Homer (and also in the later writers, of κοινοί) Aor. 1 Pass. ἱδρύνθην, as if from 'ΙΔΡΥΝΩ. With this compare § 112. 10; also ἀμπνύνθη under πνέω and ἰθύντατα § 115. n. 6.

ζω, καθίζω, seat, seat myself; Mid. seat myself; Fut. Att. καθίῶ, Mid. καθιζήσομαι \ 112. 6. Aor. ἐκάθισα, Perf. κεκάθικα.

A secondary form is $l\zeta \acute{a}\nu \omega$ in both significations, § 112. 11.—The affinity of the three verbs $\kappa a\theta i \zeta \omega$, $\kappa a\theta \dot{\epsilon} \zeta o \mu a\iota$, and $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \eta \mu a\iota$, is manifest. We may here bring together for the current prose all the forms connected with the ideas to set and to sit, in the following manner: $\kappa a\theta i \zeta \omega$ comm. I set, seat, F. $\kappa a\theta i \dot{\zeta}$, Aor. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \acute{a}\theta i \sigma a;$ $\kappa a\theta i \dot{\zeta} o \mu a\iota$ I set or seat myself, F. $\kappa a\theta \iota \dot{\zeta} \acute{a} \sigma \iota \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \mu a\iota$, I sit, Impl. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a\theta \dot{\tau} \acute{a} \eta \iota \dot{\tau} \acute{a}

ίκνέομαι come, † 112. 10, oftener ἀφικνέομαι, Fut. ίξομαι, Aor. ἰκόμην (Imper. p. 160, marg.) Pf. Ιγμαι, ἀφῦγμαι Inf. ἀφῦχθαι.

The Pres. Ικνοῦμαι occurs in its simple form in epic writers only in the special signification to travel; in the tragic writers a very common meaning is to supplicate; in both which uses it takes an accusative. In the signif, to come, epic writers have ἵκω (whence Aor. બૅટ્રાંગ ફ 96. n. 9), while tragic writers espec. have ἱκάνω, § 112. 11, and n. 6. Further, both in form and signification there belongs here ἡκω come, am come, am here; which in its current forms has in part supplanted those of ἀφικνεῖσθαι. We may here arrange all the forms in the most common usage connected with the idea to come, in the following manner: Pres. ἀφικνοῦμαι (poet. ἵκω, ἰκάνω), Perf. ἡκω, Plupf. ἡκον, Aor. ἀφικόμην, Fut. ἡξω.

It is further to be noted, that the Pres. $l_{\kappa\omega}$ has ι long; and hence, in the epic language, all the forms belonging to this Active, (and these are solely Pres. and Impf.) occur also only as long. But the form $i\kappa\phi\mu\eta\nu$ is Aor. 2, and has therefore as to its root a short ι , which in the Indic. only is made long by the augment; while in epic writers, who can neglect the augment, it is therefore sometimes long and sometimes short; but in the other moods ($l\kappa\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $l\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\eta\nu$, etc.) it is always short. The derived form $l\kappa\bar{\alpha}\nu\omega$, on the other hand, has in the Pres. short ι .—The Part. $l\kappa\mu\nu\nu$ (Aor. sync. § 110. 8) is a doubtful reading in Soph. Phil. 495; others $l\kappa\mu\nu\nu$ or $l\kappa\bar{\alpha}\nu$ for $l\kappa\bar{\alpha}\nu$ and $l\kappa\bar{\alpha}\nu$

ίγμένοις.—For ἀπίκαται see § 103. m. 22.

λάσκομαι expiate, § 112. 14, Fut. λάσομαι (short a) from the less usual λάμαι § 112. 15, for which Homer has also λλάσμαι II. β. 550.
 —The Active has the intransitive sense be propitious; hence in the poets Imperat. ληθι and λάθι, Subj. and Opt. Perf. (as Pres.) ιλήκω, λήκωμι.

επταμαι, see πέτομαι. || ἴσημι, see § 109. III. 4. || ἴσχω, see ἔχω.

K.

ΚΑΔ-. 1) κέκασμαι, κέκαδμαι, 800 καίνυμαι. 2) κεκαδείν, Fut. ήσειν etc. 800 κήδω and χάζω.

καθέζομαι, καθεύδω, κάθημαι, καθίζω, 800 έζομαι, εύδω, ήμαι, ίζω.

καίνυμαι am distinguished, surpass all; here belongs the synonymous Perf. κέκασμαι, Dor. κέκαδμαι; with which comp. also ράινω, ράσσατε, ἐρράδαται. (Perh. from κάδνυμαι by § 112. 15. d.)

καίω burn trans. Att. κάω (long a and without contraction), F. καύσω etc. § 95. n. 9. In the Pass. the Attics have Perf. κέκαυμαι, Aor. 1 ἐκαύθην; in Hom. and late writers is found also Aor. 2 ἐκάην (short a). Verb. Adj. καυστέος, καυστός, καυτός. Comp. κλαίω.

The epic writers have also an Aor. 1 without σ , Enqa (§ 96. n. 1); and hence by shortening the η into ϵ arises the Part. $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} as$, which occurs in Attie poets, Æsch. Agam. 858. Eurip. Rhes. 97. In the epic language this ϵ is again lengthened into ϵ (comp. $\sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon} (\omega)$, $\theta \hat{\epsilon} (\omega)$, etc. § 107. m. 43) in Imperat. $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} (o\nu)$, Mid. $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} (a\nu \tau o)$, etc. and in the Subj. $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} (o\nu \mu \nu)$ (for $\kappa \hat{\gamma} (\omega \nu \nu)$ 103. m. 39) which stands instead of the Fut. II. η . 333; see § 139. m. 5.—The forms of the Present $\kappa \hat{\gamma} (\omega)$, $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} (\omega)$ (Inf. $\kappa a\tau a\kappa \hat{\epsilon} (\omega \nu \nu)$ are of doubtful authority.

καλέω call, secondary form κικλήσκω, § 112. 14, Fut. καλέσω Att. καλώ § 95. n. 12; Aor. ἐκάλεσα, Perf. κέκληκα, Aor. 1 Pass. ἐκλήθην etc. § 110. 11. Perf. Pass. κέκλημαι am called, Opt. κεκλήμην, κέκληο, etc. § 98. n. 9. Fut. 3 κεκλήσομαι shall be called.—MID.

κάμνω am weary, from KAMΩ, § 112. 10, Aor. ἔκαμον, Fut. καμοῦμαι.—Pf. κέκμηκα (as if from KMAΩ, § 110. 11), epic Part κεκμηώς Gen. ότος and ῶτος, § 97. n. 7.

καταπροίξεσθαι, Ion. καταπροίξεσθαι, a defective Fut. in the common phrase οὐ καταπροίξει, you shan't get off free, followed by a participle.

καυάξαις, see ἄγνυμι. || κείμαι, see § 109. II.

κεκαφηώs, a defect. Part. Perf. Act. from the root KAΦ- in Homer, gasping for breath, as one dying; § 97. n. 7.

κέλομαι call, command, § 112. 6, F. κελήσομαι etc.—Aor. ἐκεκλόμην (κέκλετο) § 110. 4. b.—But ἐκλέο see in κλέω.

κεντέω prick, regular. But Homer II. ψ. 237 has the Inf. Aor. 1 κένσαι § 112. 6, from the theme ΚΕΝΤΩ (whence κοντός pole).

κεράννυμι mix, or κιρνάω, κίρνημι, old and epic κεράω († 112. 15, 16), Fut. κεράσω, Aor. ἐκέρασα with short a. In the remaining forms occurs the metathesis († 110. n. 7) with long a, as Perf. κέκρακα, Perf. Pass. κέκραμαι, Aor. 1 ἐκράθην, Ion. κέκρημαι etc. Still we find also κεκέρασμαι, ἐκεράσθην,

Homer has in Aor. 1 also κρησαι Od. η. 164.—Further, the accent is to be noted in the Homeric Subj. κέρωνται II. δ. 260; which implies a form κέραμαι after the analogy of § 107. m. 32. Comp. κρεμάννυμι, κρέμαμαι, Subj. κρέμωμαι.

κερδαίνω gain, among the Attics regular (Aor. κερδαναι); in Ionic and many later writers κερδήσομαι, ἐκέρδησα, etc. Perf. κεκέρδηκα Demosth. and κεκέρδακα p. 145. marg.

κεύθω cover, hide, regular. Aor. in Hom. (ἔκευσα) ἐπικεύσης, and (ἔκυθον) κύθε, κεκύθωσι. Porf. κέκευθα as Pros. Il. χ. 118. In tragic writers both Pres. and Perf. intrans. am hid.

κέω, 800 κείμαι and καίω.

κήδω make anxious, § 112. 6, Fut. κηδήσω; κήδομαι and κέκηδα am anxious; whence the Homeric Fut. κεκαδήσομαι (II. 9. 353) with short a for η (like τέθηλα, τεθαλυΐα), Imperat. Aor. Mid. κήδεσαι for -ησαι Æschyl.

κιχάνω and κιχάνομαι, reach, attain, find, § 112. 11, Fut. κιχήσομαι, Aor. ἐκιχησάμην.—Aor. 2 ἔκιχον.—Further, it takes a secondary form of the Impf. and the dependent moods of the Pres. from KIXHMI, which in most cases leaves its η unchanged; ἐκίχημεν, ἐκιχήτην.—Subj. (κιχῶ) κιχείω, Opt. κιχείν, Inf. κιχῆναι, Part. κιχείς, κιχήμενος, etc. § 112. 15.—For the quantity see § 112. n. 6.

κίχρημι, see χράω.

κίω go, occurs seldom in the Indic. Present; but so much the oftener in the poets in the Impf. ἔκιον and the dependent moods, e. g. κίοιμι, Part. κιών, which has the accent on the last syllable without being Aorist, just as lών from εἶμι; of which verb in general the above are to be considered as secondary forms (ΙΩ, ΚΙΩ).—The epic μετεκίαθον see in § 112. 12.

κλάζω sound, cry, § 92. n. 3, F. κλάγξω etc. Pf. κέκλαγγα, the same with the Present, § 113. n. 13; hence Fut. κεκλάγξω and κεκλάγξομαι.—The poets have, without the nasal sound, Aor. ἔκλαγον Pf. κέκληγα. Part. κεκλήγοντες, § 111. 2.—But ἔκλαξα see under κλείω.

κλαίω weep, Att. κλάω (long a and without contraction), F. κλαύσομαι οτ κλαυσοῦμαι, Aor. ἔκλαυσα § 95. n. 9, 17.—Less frequent is the Fut. κλαιήσω οτ κλαήσω.—The Pass. fluctuates between the formation with and without σ: Perf. κέκλαυμαι (Æschyl. Soph. only in late writers κέκλαυσμαι), Aor. ἐκλαύσθην.—Verb. Adj. κλαυστέος, κλαυστός, κλαυτός.—MID.

κλάω break, κλάσω (short a), etc. The Passive takes σ.—Part. Aor. 2 poetic κλάς (ἀποκλάς) § 110. 6.

κλείω shut, regular.—Perf. Pass. κέκλειμαι and κέκλεισμαι, Aor. ἐκλείσθην. Ionic secondary form κληΐω (F. ισω) Att. κλήω; hence also κέκλημαι, 3 Pl. in Hdot. 9. 50 κεκλέαται, like the same person from καλέω; Aor. ἐκλήσθην. From the Fut. κληΐσω comes the Doric κλάξω (properly κλάξω), ἔκλαξα.

κλέω, κλείω, celebrate, κλέομαι am celebrated, έκλέο 2 pers. Impf. for έκλέευ § 105. n. 7.—But κέκληκα belongs to καλέω; and κέκλετο to κέλομαι.

κλύω hear, a poetic verb, of which the Impf. ἔκλυον has the signification of the Aorist, § 96. n. 3. Imperat. κλύε, κλύετε, and κλῦθι, κλῦτε (§ 110. n 2), or with the reduplication (§ 83. n. 10) κέκλῦθι, κέκλῦτε. Part. Pass. κλύμενος celebrated, § 110. 7.

KMA-, see κάμνω. || κνάω; see § 105. n. 5.

κολούω dock, cut short, takes σ in the Passive; yet κεκόλουμαι and ἐκολούθην are also found; Thuc. 7. 66.

κορέννυμι satisfy, satiate, § 112. 15, F. κορέσω etc. Perf. Pass. κεκόρεσμαι.

Ion. κεκόρημαι. Epic Part. κεκορηώς (§ 97. n. 7) with Pass. signification.—The form κορέω, έεις, is Ionic Future.—This verb must not be confounded with κορέω, ήσω, sweep.

κράζω, comm. Perf. 2 κέκραγα, cry, † 113. n. 13, Plur. κέκραγμεν.



κέκραχθε, Imp. κέκραχθι, Inf. κεκραγέναι, etc. (§ 110. 9.) Fut. κεκράξομαι, Αοτ. έκραγον.

κραίνω accomplish, admits in the epic language in all its parts the resolution into the double sound (§ 105. n. 10); ἐκραίαινεν, κρηῆναι (Aor. 1), κεκρά-ανται.

ΚΡΑ-, see κεράννυμι.

κρεμμάννυμι hang trans. (Att. secondary form κρήμνημι,) § 112. 15, 16; Fut. κρεμάσω (short a), Att. κρεμώ, ậs, ậ, etc. (epic κρεμόω); Aor. ἐκρέμασα. Pass. κρεμάννυμαι am hanged, and as Mid. hang myself; and for both significations Aor. ἐκρεμάσθην, Fut. κρεμασθήσομαι. There is too a special intransitive form, κρέμαμαι (like ἴσταμαι) hang intrans. Subj. κρέμωμαι, Opt. κρεμαίμην and κρεμοίμην.* Fut. κρεμήσομαι I shall hang, hover; Aor. again ἐκρεμάσθην.

This distribution of the forms and significations will in general be found to hold good in the Attic writers; but it must not be expected, that writers kept the analogy so constantly in view, as never to deviate from it.† $K\rho\epsilon\mu\dot{a}\omega$ as Present is used only by the later writers.

κτάομαι gain, Depon. Mid.—Perf. as Pres. κέκτημαι possess, also ἔκτημαι § 83. n. 1; Subj. and Opt. see in § 98. n. 9; and for Opt. κεκτφμην see Ausf. Sprachl. § 98. n. 17. Hence Fut. κεκτήσομαι shall possess. But Aor. ἐκτήθην is always Passive; see § 113. n. 6.

κτείνω kill, slay, (Att. secondary form κτίννυμι,) Fut. κτενῶ etc. 101. In good writers the Aor. 1 ἔκτεινα and Perf. 2 ἔκτονα are more usual than Aor. 2 ἔκτανον and the non-Attic Perf. 1 ἔκτακα and ἔκταγκα. Instead of the Passive the Active of Βνήσκω is in common use; e. g. ἀπέθανεν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ.

Homer has also a Future κτανέω (see Ausf. Sprachl.) and the Mid. of his form as Passive. Il & 481 καταντανές τθε

this form as Passive, Il. ξ. 481 κατακτανέεσθε.

Besides these there occurs the poetical Apri

Besides these there occurs the poetical Aorist (§ 110. 6, 7) ἔκταν, ας, α, 3 Plur. ἔκταν for -ασαν, Subj. κτέω for κτῶ (§ 107. m. 41), Inf. κτάμεν, κτάμεναι, for κτάναι, Part. κτάς, Pass. ἐκτάμην, κτάμενος, κτάσθαι, all with short a, by § 110. 6, 7. Homer has also Aor. Pass. ἐκτάθην and ἐκτάνθην, § 101. n. 6.

Besides the above Perfects, there is still a form ἐκτόνηκα (§ 112.8), whose Attic character is doubtful.

κτίμενος, see § 110. 7.

κτυπέω resound; poet. Aor. 2 ἔκτυπον § 96. n. 5. § 112. 7.

κυλίνδω roll, later κυλίω, Fut. κυλίσω, Aor. ἐκύλισα, Pass. Perf. κεκύλισμαι, Aor. ἐκυλίσθην. Mid. κυλίνδεσθαι Hom. also κυλινδείσθαι from Att. κυλινδέω. For the various secondary forms, e. g. (ἀλίνδω) ἐξαλίσαι to let roll sc. a horse, as also the derivatives, see Lexil. II.

κυνέω kiss, § 112. 10, from KTΩ, Fut. κύσω, Aor. ἔκυσα, with short v. The compound προσκυνέω prostrate myself, adore, is usually regular; but in the poets also προσκύσαι, etc.—Another verb κύω see in its place.

Aristoph. Vesp. 298 κρέμοισθε, see § 107. m. 34, and comp. μαρνοίμην.
 † It is just the same in English with the forms hung and hanged.

κυρέω find, hit upon, is regular; but has also a secondary form κύρω (long υ) § 112. 6, Depon. κύρομαι, Impf. ἔκυρου, F. κύροω, Aor. ἔκυρσα, § 101.

κύω or κυέω am pregnant, κυΐσκω or -ομαι conceive, § 112. 6, 14, is regular like κυέω. But the poets have also an Aor. 1 Act. ἔκῦσα impregnate, fructify, e. g. ὅμβρος ἔκυσε γαῖαν Æschyl. also Aor. 1 Mid. ἐκῦσάμην* conceived.—Comp. also κυνέω.

1.

λαγχάνω obtain, receive, by lot or fate, § 112.11, from $\Lambda HX\Omega$, F. λήξομαι, Aor. ἔλαχον, Pf. εἴληχα (§ 83. n. 3), or λέλογχα as if from $\Lambda E\Gamma X\Omega$.

The Ionics made in the Fut. λάξομαι § 27. n. 6.—The Homeric Aorist λελαχεῖν has the causative sense, to impart, cause to share.

ΛΑΚ-, εθο λάσκω.

λαμβάνω take, § 112. 11, from ΛΗΒΩ, F. λήψομαι, Aor. ἔλαβον, Imp. λάβε and λαβέ § 103. m. 4; Pf. εἴληφα § 83. n. 3; Pass. Pf. εἴλημμαι (poet. λέλημμαι), Aor. ἐλήφθην.—MID.

The Ionics formed λελάβηκα (§ 111. 3), and (from ΛΑΜΒΩ) λάμψομαι, ελάμφθην, λέλαμμαι, λαμπτέος.

λανθάνω, less often λήθω, am hid, concealed, § 112. 11, F. λήσω, Aor. ἔλαθον, Perf. 2 λέληθα.—Mid. λανθάνομαι, less often λήθομαι, forget, F. λήσομαι, Aor. ἐλαθόμην, Pf. λέλησμαι.

Homer has in the Aorist $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \theta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a i$; the former however only as a regular causative of the Middle, make forget; in which sense Homer has also the Pres. $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{a} \nu \omega$, and also Aor. 1 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Od. ν . 85.—In the Perf. Pass. the Ionics have short a_i as $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \mu a i$, § 27. n. 6.

In the signif. to forget we find further έλησάμην in late poets, λασθη-

μεν (λησθήναι) Theocr. ἐπιλέλαθα Pinder.

λάσκω make a noise, rattle, talk, (Ion. ληκέω Dor. λᾶμέω) § 112. n. 8, from ΛΑΚΩ, Aor. 2. ἔλᾶκον, and as Mid. λελακόμην (Hymn. Merc. 145), whence, according to § 111. 3, Fut. λᾶκήσομαι, Aor. 1. ἐλᾶκησα.†—Perf. as Pres. λέλᾶκα Ion. λέληκα (§ 113. n. 13); epic shortened form λελᾶκυῖα, § 97. n. 3. λάω, see λῶ.

| ΛΕΓΧ-, see λαγχάνω.

λέγω in the signification to say has no Perf. Active; in the Perf. Passive, λέλεγμαι, ἐλέχθην. In the signification to collect, in which especially several compounds occur, it has Perf. Act. εἶλοχα (συνείλοχα), and in the Perf. Pass. most commonly εἶλεγμαι (§ 83. n. 3), Aor. ἐλέγην (e. g. κατελέγησαν § 100. n. 5); together with a MID.—Moreover διαλέγομαι converse with, has also Pf. διείλεγμαι, but in the Aor. διελέχθην, Fut. διαλέξομαι, less often διαλεχθήσομαι.

Homer has also the syncop. Aor. ελέγμην joined myself, Od. ι. 385; and λέκτο counted, Od. δ. 451; see § 110. 8.

Different from this is the old poetic $\lambda \epsilon \xi a i$ to lay down, let lie down, $\lambda \epsilon \xi a \sigma \theta a i$ to lie, rest, which along with this form has also the syncopated

^{*} The common orthography κυσσαμένη rests merely on the seeming relation to ξκύσα from κυνέω.

[†] The short a is found e. g. Aristoph. Pac. 382. The passage Aristoph Nub 410 (διαλακήσασα) is different.

Aorist (§ 110. 8) ελέγμην, λέκτο, Imperat. λέξο and λέξεο by § 96. n. 9. This verb however belongs to a different roof; see Lexilog. II. 78. 9, 10.

λελειχμότεs lapping, playing with the tongue; a defective Part. in Hesiod; see Lexil. I. 1. p. 7, note. Ausf. Sprachl. § 110. n. 14.

λελίημαι strive, hasten, an epic Perf. that seems to belong to ΛΙΑΩ, but corresponds to none of the significations of that root. The suggestion is therefore probable, that it stands for λελίλημαι, dropping the last λ for the sake of euphony, from λιλάω, λιλαίομαι, desire, strive.* See Lexil. I. 21.

ΛΗΒ-, see λαμβάνω.

∦ λήθω, see λανθάνω.

ληκέω, 800 λάσκω.

∥ ΛΗΧ-, see λαγχάνω.

λίγξε twanged, a defective Aor. in Homer.

λίσσομαι, rarely λίτομαι, beseech, § 92. n. 2, F. λίσομαι, Aor. ελισάμην and ελιτόμην; comp. έπετον and έπεσω in πίπτω.

λούω wask. In the Impf. Act. and in the Pres. and Impf. Pass. the Attics shorten all the forms which have ε and o as the end-vowel and union-vowel; e. g. 3 pers. Impf. ἔλου Plur. ἐλοῦμεν, etc. Pass. λοῦμαι, (λούει) λοῦται etc. λοῦσθαι. Impf. ἐλούμην (ἐλοῦ) ἐλοῦτο etc. Perf. Att. only λέλουμαι without σ.—MID. The fuller forms are themselves contracted from the old λοέω (Hom.

The fuller forms are themselves contracted from the old $\lambda \delta \epsilon \omega$ (Hom. $\lambda \delta \epsilon \omega \nu_{\mu}$, $\lambda \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega$); the shorter forms however have not arisen from syncope (e. g. $\lambda \delta \tilde{\nu}_{\mu} \omega$ not like $\delta l_{\mu} \omega$ \(\delta 110. 5); but are in like manner contracted from the theme $\Lambda O \Omega$, whence the Homeric Aorist $\lambda \delta \epsilon$. This is shewn by the accentuation $\delta \lambda \delta \tilde{\nu}_{\mu} \epsilon \nu_{\nu}$, $\delta \lambda \delta \tilde{\nu}_{\nu} \tau_{\nu}$, (not $\delta \lambda \delta \omega \nu_{\nu} \epsilon \nu_{\nu}$, $\delta \lambda \delta \omega \tau_{\nu}$), and by the Inf. $\lambda \delta \tilde{\nu}_{\nu}$, which is also adduced.—See the Ausf. Sprachl. for the forms which actually occur.

λύω, see § 95. n. 4; and for λύτο, § 110. 7.—Opt. Perf. λέλυτο § 98. n. 9. λω will, λῆς, λῆ, 3 Pl. λωντι, a Doric defective.

M.

μαίνομαι am mad, furious, F. μανοῦμαι, Aor. ἐμάνην, Perf. μέμηνα, synonymous with the Present. But the Aor. Act. ἔμηνα (Aristoph. Thesm. 561) has the causative sense to make mad, in which the compound ἐκμαίνω is more usual, § 135. n. 1.

Theocritus (10. 31) has μεμάνημαι (§ 111. 3) with the same Present

signification as μαίνομαι.

μαίομαι, see ΜΑΩ. || ΜΑΚ-, see μηκάομαι.

μανθάνω learn, § 112. 11, from ΜΗΘΩ, Αοτ. ἔμαθον, Γ. μαθήσομαι, Ρf. μεμάθηκα, § 111. 3.

The Fut: μαθεῦμαι see in § 95. n. 16.

μαπέειν, 800 μάρπτω.

μάρναμαι strive, fight, § 112. 15, like ἴσταμαι; only in the Present, and in Impf. 2 pers. μάρναο, § 107. m. 37. Opt. μαρνοίμην, § 107. m. 32.

μάρπτω take hold of, seize, F. μάρψω, etc. Part. Perf. μεμαρπώς, Aor. 2 (ἔμαρπον) μέμαρπον, and syncopated (§ 96. n. 7) ἔμαπον, μαπέειν, 3 Pl. Opt. μεμάποιεν.

μάχομαι fight, † 112. 6, F. μαχέσομαι comm. μαχοῦμαι († 95. n.

^{*} Such sacrifices of analogy for the sake of easier pronunciation are not uncommon in the earlier formation of a language. A case similar to the above is the poetic word ξεκαγλος striking, terrible, which unquestionably comes from ἐκπλαγθνως, not however by transposition, but with the ending λος (§ 119. 13. e), for ἔκπλαγλος. In like manner πύελος stands for πλύελος from πλύε, πλύνω.

15, 16), Aor. εμαχεσάμην. Pf. μεμάχημαι. Verb. Adj. μαχετέος

and μαχητέος.

From the Fut. arose the Ion. Pres. μαχέομαι; and Homer has not only μαχειόμενος, but even μαχεούμενος, all as Present.—For the sake of the metre the epic writers have Fut. μαχήσομαι, but Aor. ἐμαχέσσατο.*—For the non-Att. Aor. ἐμαχέσθην see Ausf. Sprachl.

MAQ an old verb, which occurs chiefly in three forms, viz.

Perfect as Present, strive, (μέμαα) μεμάσσι, μεμαώς (Gen. μεμάῶτος and μεμάότος), and with syncope μέμαμεν, μέματε, 3 Pl. Plupf. μέμασαν, § 110. 10 sq.

2) Present Middle, μῶμαι, desire, seek, μώμενος, contracted from μάομαι; but the ω remains predominant, and therefore e. g. Inf. μῶσθαι and Imperat. μώεο (like μνώεο from μνάομαι, μνῶμαι); see § 105. n. 10 ult.

- 3) Pres. Mid. μαίο μαι touch, feel after, seek, § 112.9; Fut. μάσομαι, Aor. ἐμασάμην, with short a, especially in compounds; thus in Homer the Impf. ἐπεμαίετο Od. ι. 441 corresponds exactly to the Aor. ἐπιμασσάμενος ib. 446. Comp. δαίω δάσασθαι, ναίω νάσασθαι.
- μεθύω am drunk, μεθύσκομαι get drunk, § 112. 14; Aor. ἐμεθύσθην from the Pass. The other tenses of the Active, except the Imperfect, belong to μεθύσκω make drunk, as ἐμέθὕσα etc. § 112. n. 7.
- μείρομαι obtain, Aor. ἔμμορον, Pf. ἔμμορα,† § 83. n. 2. From the causative sense (§ 113. 2) of the Active ΜΕΙΡΩ divide, distribute, (whence μέρος part, portion,) comes the Perf. Pass. as impersonal and with the syllable el instead of the reduplication (§ 83. n. 3), viz. εἴμαρται it is fated, is appointed by destiny, Part. εἰμαρμένος (ἡ εἰμαρμένη sc. μοῦρα, fate.)—We find also μεμόρηται and μεμορμένος.
- μέλλω am about to, will, § 112. 6; F. μελλήσω etc. For the Augm. see § 83. n. 5.
- μέλω concern, be laid to heart, is in the Active employed mostly in the third person, μέλει, μέλουσι, F. μελήσει etc.—Pass. μέλομαι I lay to heart, am solicitous, (more commonly ἐπιμέλομαι and ἐπιμελοῦμαι,) μελήσομαι, ἐμελήθην.

The poets use the Passive in the same sense as the Active; consequently $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$ for $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$. Further, they use the Perfect in the same sense as the Present; thus Act. $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \eta \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, and Pass. $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$ (Hom. Hesiod), which is formed from $\mu \epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \tau a \iota$ by § 19. n. 1, and by shortening the η ; comp. $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\epsilon}$ in $\mu \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$, and $\hat{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\eta} \rho \hat{\epsilon} \mu a \iota$ in $\hat{\epsilon} \rho a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \omega$.

μένω remain, has in the Perf. μέμενηκα † 101. n. 9. † 112. 6.— Verb. Adj. μενετέος.

A poetic secondary form is μίμνω, § 112. 13.—From another ΜΕΝΩ, not extant in the Present, (whence μένος,) comes the Ionic and poetic Perf. μέμονα intend, purpose (comp. μενεαίνω), which is related to μέμαα; comp. γέγονα γέγαα.‡

^{*} Some critics for the sake of uniformity write also εμαχήσατο etc. contrary to the text which has come down to us.

[†] In the earlier epic writers there is found only the 3 pers. ξμμορε, and in most instances clearly as Perfect, like κέκτηται, e. g. Od. ε. 335; also in Il. α. 278 it may be taken as a Perfect. The Aorist-form (e. g. ξμμορες Ap. Rhod. 3. 4) seems therefore unknown to the early epic writers.

[†] The lyric passage, Eurip. Iph. Aul. 1495, where μέμονα is Perfect of the common μένω, can prove nothing, isolated as it is, against the otherwise invariable usage, which prevails not only throughout the Attic poets, but even in the prose of Herodotus (6.84). The two verbs must be carefully distinguished, even if it be thought advisable to arrange them under the same etymology.

ΜΕΤΙΩ, μεμετιμένος, see § 108. I. 5.

μηκάομαι bleat, low, § 112. 7. Old poetic forms are Part. Aor. μάκων, Pert. μέμηκα, whence the Homeric μεμακυΐα is derived by shortening the vowel, § 97. n. 3. Hence, since it has the signification of the Present, there is formed another Impf. ἐμέμηκον, § 111. 2.

μιαίνω stain, soil. The Aor. assumes η.

The Homeric μιάνθην II. δ. 146, is explained as the 3 pers. Plur. for μιάνθησαν, μίανθεν (Lobeck in Ausf. Sprachl. § 110. 9), but is more probably the 3 pers. Dual of the syncop. Aor. (Sing. ϵμίαν-το) 3 Dual ϵμιάν σθην, ϵμιανθην, § 110. n. 3.

μύγνυμι, also μίσγω, mix, § 112.6; F. μίξω with long ι; hence Inf. Aor. μίξαι. Pass. Aor. 1 and 2.

μινήσκω remind, § 112. 14, from MNAΩ, F. μνήσω, etc.—Pass. μιμνήσκομαι call to mind, recollect, mention, F. μνησθήσομαι, Aor. 2 ἐμνήσθην, Verb. Adj. μνηστός.—The Perf. Pass. μέμνημαι becomes Present, call to mind, i. e. am still mindful; Subj. μέμνωμαι, η, ηται, etc. see § 98. n. 9. Opt. μεμνήμην, Att. μεμνοίμην, or also μεμνώμην, μεμνώτο, contracted from the Ionic μεμνεώμην, μεμνέφτο, Π. ψ. 361; see on these the Ausf. Sprachl. § 98. n. 15–17. To this Perfect belongs the Fut. 3 μεμνήσομαι, will remain mindful.—The compound ἀπομιμνήσκομαι (χάριν) has a Fut. Mid. Thue. 1. 137.

Shortened forms are the Homeric μέμνη (μέμνεαι) for μέμνησαι, and Imperat. μέμνεο (Hdot.) for μέμνησο. Comp. above μέμβλεται in μέλω.

The simple form (μνάομαι) μνῶμαι in the above signification is merely Ionie; and μνεώμενος, μνώουτο, μνώεο, etc. (§ 105. n. 10) are Ionic lengthened forms. But in the meaning to court, woo, μνᾶσθαι belongs also to the common language.

μολείν, see βλώσκω.

μύζω murmur, grumble, whence ἐπέμυξαν in Hom.—Not to be confounded with μύζω suck, § 112. 6, F. μυζήσω; nor with μύσσω (ἀπομύττω) F. μύξω etc. wipe the nose.

μὖκάομαι bellow, roar, is to be noted on account of the epic forms ἔμὖκον, μέμὖκα, from ΜΥΚΩ. Comp. μηκάομαι.

αύω shut, e. g. the eyes, has \check{v} in flexion, as $\check{\mu}\check{v}\sigma a\check{v}$ II. ω. 637; κατα $\check{\mu}\check{v}\sigma a\check{v}$ Aristoph. Vesp. 92; Perf. $\check{\mu}\acute{e}\check{\mu}\check{v}\kappa a$ shut the lips, be silent.—But the compound κα $\check{\mu}\check{\mu}\check{v}\check{v}\check{v}$ has κα $\check{\mu}\check{\mu}\check{v}\check{v}\check{v}\check{v}$ Batr. 191.

N.

vaίω dwell, § 112. 9, takes its tenses from the Pass. and Mid. of NAΩ with short a, F. νάσομαι, Aor. ἐνάσθην or ἐνασάμην, Perf. in late writers νένασμαι. The Act. ἔνασα (ἔνασσα) has the causative sense, cause to dwell.—A secondary form is ναιετάω, ναιετάωσκον, ναιετάωσα, § 105. n. 10.

νάσσω stuff, F. νάξω, etc.—νένασμαι, ναστός. § 92. n. 2.

νέμω allot, distribute, § 112. 6, F. νεμῶ and νεμήσω, Aor. ἔνειμα, Perf. νενέμηκα, etc. Aor. Pass. ἐνεμήθην and ἐνεμέθην.—MID. νέφει, comm. συννέφει, it is cloudy, Perf. συννένοφεν.

νέω, 1) heap up, occurs in the Pres, and Impf. chiefly in the Ionic lengthened forms νηέω, νηνέω.—Fut. νήσω, Αστ. ἔνησα Ιοπ. ἐνήησα, etc. Pass. Pf. νένημαι and νένησμαι, Αστ. ἐνήθην and ἐνήσθην; Verb. Adj. νητός.

- 2) spin, also regular, F. $\nu\eta\sigma\omega$, etc. But in the Pres. the vowels ϵo , $\epsilon o \nu$ are contracted not as usual into $o \nu$, but contrary to analogy into ω , as $\nu\omega\sigma\iota$, $\nu\omega\nu\tau\sigma\varsigma$, etc. Verb. Adj. $\nu\eta\tau\sigma\varsigma$, as also $\tau\lambda$ $\nu\eta\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma$ Plat. Polit. p. 282. e.—A new Present-form is $\nu\eta\theta\omega$, § 112. 12; whence Perf. Pass. $\nu\epsilon\nu\eta\sigma\mu\omega\iota$.
 - 3) swim, F. νεύσομαι and νευσοῦμαι \ 95. n. 9, 17; Aor. ένευ-

sa. A secondary form is νήχω, νήχομαι, § 112. 17.

- 4) The poetic verb νέεσθαι to go away, turn back, has in the Indic. Pres. commonly the signification of the Future, νέομαι οτ νεῦμαι, 2 pers. νεῖαι, § 105. n. 7.
- νίζω wash, § 112. 17, takes its tenses from the less usual Pres. νίπτω; thus Fut. νίψω, etc.—MID.
- νοέω think, is contracted and accented by the Ionies like βοάω; e. g. νώσω, ἔνωσα, ἐνένωτο.
- νυστάζω nod, sleep, F. νυστάσω and νυστάξω, etc. § 92. n. 4.

Z

ξυρέω shave, § 112. 6; Middle commonly ξύρομαι, but Perf. εξύρημαι.

0.

δδύσασθαι to be wroth, Aor. ωδυσάμην, Pf. δδώδυσμαι Hom.

όζω smell, i. e. emit an odour, § 112. 6, F. ὀζήσω (Ion. ὀζέσω), etc.—Perf. δδωδα has the force of the Present.

οἴγω or οἴγνυμ, open, § 112. 15, in the epic writers separates the diphthong in the augmented forms, ωἴγνυντο, ωἵξε.—The following compound is most used:

ἀνούγω or ἀνούγνυμι, open, has the anomalous augment mentioned in § 84. n. 8; Impf. ἀνέφγον, Aor. ἀνέφξα, Inf. ἀνοῖξαι, etc. Perf. 1 ἀνέφχα. The Perf. 2 ἀνέφγα has the neuter (intrans.) signification, stand open, § 113. n. 3; for which however the Attics commonly have Perf. Pass. ἀνέφγμαι. The forms ἤνουξα, ἠνούγην, belong to late writers.

olda, see § 109. III.

οἴομαι suppose, § 110. 5. § 112. 6. § 113. n. 5; Impf. φόμην. Pres. 1 pers. Sing. also οἶμαι, Impf. φੱμην.*—Fut. οἰήσομαι, Aor. φήθην, οἰηθῆναι.

The epic writers employ also the Active forms, and moreover resolve the diphthong: δίω, δίομαι, (long ι,) whence ἀϊσάμην, ἀίσθην.

οίχομαι depart, am gone, § 112. 6, Impf. (as Aor.) ὡχόμην departed; F. οίχήσομαι, Pf. ῷχημαι οτ οίχωκα, see the marg. note under ἔχω, ὅχωκα, above.

Homer has also φχηκα, παρφχηκα II. κ. 252.—On this whole verb, see the Ausf. Sprachl.

OI-, see οίομαι and φέρω.

^{*} According to the ancient Grammarians, the forms of mu, of muy, were employed only in cases of entire conviction; where however Attic urbanity avoided, in this way, the harshness of positive assertion.

ολισθάνω (comm. -aίνω) slip, glide, § 112. 11, F. ολισθήσω, Αοτ. ώλισθον.

δλλυμι cause to perish, destroy, § 112. 15, from $OA\Omega$, F. ολώ, Aor. ὅλεσα, Inf. ολέσαι, Perf. 1 ολώλεκα.—MID. ὅλλυμαι perish, F. ολοῦμαι, Inf. ολεῖσθαι, Aor. ωλόμην, Inf. ολέσθαι; to which belongs Perf. 2 ὅλωλα, § 113. n. 3.

The poetic Part. δλόμενος, οὐλόμενος, passes over into an adjective, with the active signification destructive, fatal.—The epic secondary form δλέκω arose out of the Perfect; comp. § 111. 2.

ὄμνυμι swear, § 112. 15, Fut. ὀμοῦμαι, εῖ, εῖται, etc. Inf. ὀμεῖσθαι, from OMΩ. The further formation is as if from OMOΩ, Aor. ὅμοσα, Inf. ὀμόσαι, Perf. ὀμώμοκα, Perf. Pass. ὀμώμοσμαι, Part. ὀμωμοσμένος with euphonic σ; but the other forms, together with the Aorist, more commonly without σ, as ὀμώμοται, ὼμόθην.—MID.

ομόργνυμι wipe off, § 112. 15, Fut. ομόρξω, etc.—MID.

ὀνίνημι* am of use, profit, § 112. 15, (like ἴστημι,) has no Impf. Act. but for it employs ἀφέλουν, and takes its forms from ONAΩ, F. ὀνήσω, Aor. ἄνησα.—MID. ὀνίναμαι have profit, am benefited, F. ὀνήσομαι, Aor. 2 ἀνήμην (ησο, ητο, etc.) or ἀνάμην, Opt. ὀναίμην, Inf. ὄνασθαι.—For the redupl. see § 112. 13.

The Indic. ἀνάμην belongs to late writers. In Homer however it comes from ὅνομαι; see the next verb.—The Aor. Pass. ἀνήθην also occurs, Xen. An. 5. 5. 2.

ὄνομαι insult, § 111. 15. c; radical form ONOΩ; hence the Pres. and Impf. like δίδομαι, viz. 2 pers. Sing. ὅνοσαι, Opt. ὀνοίμην, Imp. ὅνοσο.—Fut. ὀνόσομαι. Aor. ἀνόσθην and ἀνοσάμην.—Homer has also, from the simpler form ONΩ, 2 Plur. Pres. οὕνεσθε, Aor. ἄνατο.

δράω see, § 112. 18, Impf. Ion. ὅρων, comm. ἐώρων (§ 84. n. 8), Perf. ἐώρāκα.†—Aor. εἶδον, Subj. ἴδω, Opt. ἴδοιμι, Imp. ἴδε Att. ἰδέ etc. Inf. ἰδεῖν, Part. ἰδών, Mid. εἰδόμην, ἰδέσθαι, ἰδοῦν, and as Interjection ἰδού lo! See εἴδω above.—Fut. ὄψομαι I shall see, from $O\Pi T\Omega$.—PASS. Perf. ἐώρāμαι or ὧμμαι, ὧψαι, ὧπται, etc. ὧφθαι. Aor. ὤφθην, ὀφθῆναι (in late writers also ὁραθῆναι). Verb. Adj. ὁρατέος, ὁρατός, ὀπτός.

The Perf. 2 $\Homega \pi a$ have seen, belongs to the dialects and poets.—For $\Homega \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ see § 112. n. 5; and for $\Homega \rho \eta a \iota$ (Hom.) see § 105. n. 16, with the marg. note.

From ἐπόψομαι must be carefully distinguished the antique ἐπιόψομαι choose, select, Aor. ἐπιωψάμην.

όρνυμι move, excite, § 112. 15, from OPQ, F. ὅρσω, Aor. 1 ἄρσα, § 101. n. 3.
—Mid. ὅρνυμαι arise, come into existence, Aor. ἀρόμην, 3 Sing. ἄρετο and ἄρτο (§ 110. 8), Inf. ὅρθαι Part. ὅρμενος (for ὀρέσθαι, ὀρόμενος), Imp. ὅρσο and ὅρσεο by § 96. n. 9.—The Perf. 2 ὅρωρα belongs to this intransitive

* The Inf. δυινάναι is probably to be read in Plato Rep. p. 600; Part. δυινάσα (not δυίνασα) Plato Phileb. p. 58.

† In Attic poetry the Perfect, and this only, was shortened at the beginning; and according to traces in the manuscripts, as often in the later vulgar language, was written and pronounced έδρακα. See Ausf. Sprachl. I. § 84. n. 12. p. 325.

and immediate signification, have arisen, exist; but the form $\tilde{\omega}\rho\rho\rho\epsilon\nu$ (§ 85. n. 3) is Aorist (e. g. Od. τ . 201) like $\tilde{\eta}\rho\alpha\rho\epsilon\nu$, and has also like that form more commonly the transitive and causative signification, he excited.—With the Perf. $\tilde{\delta}\rho\omega\rho\epsilon$ coincides as to sense the Passive form $\delta\rho\omega\rho\epsilon\tau a$; comp. above $\tilde{d}\rho\eta\rho a$, $\tilde{d}\rho\tilde{\eta}\rho\epsilon\mu a$, in $\tilde{d}\rho\alpha\rho(\sigma\kappa\omega)$ —Finally, Homer has also forms of the Pres. and Impf. from $\tilde{\delta}\rho\rho\mu a$; and $\tilde{d}\rho\epsilon\rho\mu a$; hurry, move about, (Od. ξ . 104. β . 398,) which however are not without difficulty; see the Ausf. Sprachl.

όσφραίνομαι smell, perceive by the smell, § 112. 11, F. όσφρήσομαι, Aor. ἀσφρόμην Ιοπ. ἀσφράμην (Hdot. 1. 80, 26) by § 96. n. 1 and marg. Later, ἀσφρησάμην and ἀσφράνθην.

οὐλόμενος, see δλλυμι.

|| οΰνεσθε, 800 δνομαι.

οὐρέω void urine, F. οὐρήσομαι, Impf. ἐούρουν etc. § 84. n. 5.

οὐτάω wound, F. οὐτήσω, etc.—Syncop. Aor. (οὖταν § 110. 6, 7,) 3 Sing. οὖτα, Inf. οὐτάμεν (for οὐτάναι), Part. Pass. οὐτάμενος.—Along with these exist also the forms οὐτάζω, οὖτασε, οὐτασμένος.

ὀφείλω, 1) owe, e. g. money; 2) ought, must; \$ 112.6.—F. ὀφειλήσω etc.

The form $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda o\nu$, ϵ s, ϵ , (comm. $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda o\nu$,) occurs only as expressive of a wish; see Syntax, δ 150. m. 20.—In Homer we find instead of $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda \omega$ also $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ (II. τ . 200), and for $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda o\nu$ on account of the metre also $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ (II. ξ . 350); which forms must not be confounded with those of $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ increase, glorify. From this last verb Homer has in the Opt. Aor. 1 by anomaly, 3 pers. Sing. $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\epsilon\nu$ II. π . 651. Od. β . 334.

ὀφλίσκανω incur, forfeit, § 112. 14, F. ὀφλήσω, Pf. ὤφληκα, Aor. ὧφλον, Inf. ὀφλεῖν, Part. ὄφλων.

Comp. πέφνων. We find also accented ὄφλειν, e. g. Plato Rep. p. 451 and often. For ὤφλεε see § 112. n. 5.

Π.

παίζω play, jest, F. παίζομαι, παιξοῦμαι. We find after this formation in late writers also ἔπαιξα, πέπαιγμαι etc. but good Attic writers always have ἔπαισα, πέπαισμαι etc. notwithstanding the similar tenses of the following verb.

παίω strike, § 112. 6, F. παίσω and παιήσω, but the other tenses come only from the first formation: ἔπαισα, πέπαικα, πέπαισμαι, ἐπαίσθην.—ΜΙD.

πάλλω swing, brandish, Aor. 1 πῆλαι, etc. Sync. Aor. Pass. πάλτο § 110. 8; Act. ἀμπεπαλών Hom. § 83. n. 10.—Here belong also the Homeric forms ἀνέπαλτο, κατέπαλτο, (not ἀνεπάλτο,) e. g. Il. 9. 85; but ἐπάλτο, κατεπάλμενος, belong to ἄλλομαι, Il. ν. 603. λ. 94. See Spitzner Exc. XVI.

ΠΑΡ-, πεπαρείν, see in πορείν.

πάσασθαι acquire, ἐπᾶσάμην, Pf. πέπᾶμαι (Xen.) possess;—different from ἐπᾶσάμην, πέπασμαι, 800 πατέομαι.

πάσχω suffer, § 112. 18, from ΠΗΘΩ, Aor. ἔπαθον;—also from ΠΕΝΘΩ, Pf. πέπονθα, Fut. πείσομαι according to the rule § 25. 4.—Verb. Adj. παθητός.

From ΠΗΘΩ comes also πεπαθυῖα (Hom.) and the doubtful forms πήσομαι, ἔπησα.—For πέποσθε instead of πεπόνθατε see § 110. n. 5. πατάσσω, see πλήσσω.

πατέομαι taste, eat, § 112. 6, Aor. ἐπασάμην, Perf. πέπασμαι; comp. δατέομαι, δάσασθαι, under δαίω, and πάσασθαι above.

παύω let cease, stop, F. παύσω, etc. Mid. cease, Perf. πέπαυμαι, with Fut. 3 πεπαύσομαι will cease. Pass. Aor. ἐπαύθην and ἐπαύσθην. Verb. Adj. always παυστεός.

The Imper. παῦε stands often for παύου cease.—In Od. δ. 659 μνηστήρας is to be read in Accus.

 $\pi \epsilon l\theta \omega$ persuade; Pass. believe, obey; to which signification belong also F. πείσομαι, Pf. πέπεισμαι. But Perf. 2 πέποιθα trust

Poetic forms are: 1 Pl. Plupf. ἐπέπιθμεν belonging to πέποιθα § 110.9, for the Imper. πέπεισθι in Æschyl. see Ausf. Sprachl. Aor. 2 ἔπιθον, ἐπίθομεν, πέπιθον, for έπεισα; and Mid. έπιθόμην for έπείσθην etc.-Hence a new formation: F. πιθήσω, Aor. ἐπίθησα (§ 111. 3), in the signif. obey, trust; πεπιθήσω persuade.

πεινήν, see § 105. n. 5. | πείσομαι, see πάσχω and πείθω.

πέκω, πεκτέω, epic πείκω, shear, comb, F. πέξω, etc.—MID.

πελάζω, old πελάω, approach, epic secondary form πίλνημι, § 112. 9, 16, and in the earlier language cause to approach, has also in the poets the Aorists formed by metathesis (πελα, πλεα, § 110. n. 7), viz. επλάθην (long a, falsely ἐπλάσθην), and ἐπλήμην, πλήτο, both in the sense approach; Part. Perf. $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$.—Another secondary form is $\pi\epsilon\lambda\dot{a}\theta\omega$ (\check{a}), or with long a, $\pi\lambda\ddot{a}\theta\omega$, § 110. 12. Whether also $\pi\lambda\dot{a}\zeta\omega$, which occurs twice in Homer $(\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\pi\lambda\alpha\zeta\epsilon, \pi\rho\sigma\sigma\pi\lambda\alpha\zeta\sigma)$ in the signification approach, while $\pi\epsilon\lambda\alpha\zeta\omega$ in Hom, is always cause to approach, is a secondary form of this verb, is doubtful; since it can also be referred to πλάζω, F. πλάγξω.

 $\pi \epsilon \lambda \omega$ or $\pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \mu a \iota$, I am. This Doric and poetic verb suffers a syncope when it takes the augment (§ 110. 4): 3 pers. Impf. έπλε or έπλετο, 2 pers. ἔπλεο, ἔπλευ. The remaining tenses do not occur. This verb moreover has the peculiarity, that the Imperf. of the Middle form very commonly has the signification of the Present, ἔπλευ thou art, etc.—To the same verb in its earlier signification move about, am occupied, versor, belong also with the same syncope the compound epic participles ἐπιπλόμενος, περιπλόμενος.

ΠΕΝΘ-, see πάσχω.

πεπαρείν, πεπορείν, πέπρωται, see πορείν. || πέπτω, see πέσσω.

Perf. Pass. $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu a \iota \uparrow 101$: περαίνω finish, Aor. έπέρανα etc. 3 Sing. πεπέρανται or because of the metre πεπείρανται Od. μ. 37.

περάω pass over, cross over, regular περάσω with long a (Ion. περήσω). But the formation περάσω etc. with short a, in the epic poets, belongs to πιπράσκω sell, which see in its place.

πέρδω, comm. πέρδομαι, Aor. ἔπαρδον, Fut. παρδήσομαι, Perf. πέπορδα.

πέρθω desolate, destroy, Aor. ἔπραθον § 96. n. 7.—Homer has also a syncopated Aor. Pass. with Inf. πέρθαι (as from ἐπέρθμην), strictly πέρθ-θαι (or πέρσθαι) like δέχ-θαι, § 110. 8. and n. 3.*

πεσείν, see πίπτω.

πέσσω, πέττω, boil, bake, cook, § 112. 17, F. πέψω etc. from $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega$, which occurs in the Present only in late writers.

πετάννυμι, secondary form $\pi\iota\tau\nu\dot{\alpha}\omega$, $\pi\iota\dot{\tau}\nu\eta\mu\iota$, § 112. 15, 16, expand,

^{*} Lobeck derives this very anomalous form by metathesis from the kindred theme $\pi \rho \epsilon \omega$ (Aor. $\epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \mu \eta \nu - \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu$), $\pi \rho \eta \theta \omega$; see Lob. in Ausf. Sprachl. II. p. 19.

F. πετάσω, Att. πετώ, etc.—Perf. Pass. πέπταμαι 1110. 4; rarely πεπέτασμαι; but Aor. Pass. again ἐπετάσθην.

πέτομαι fly, § 110. 4. § 112. 6; from this theme comes by syncope an Aorist ἐπτόμην, πτέσθαι, πτόμενος, etc. Fut. πετήσομαι comm. πτήσομαι. Along with these exists also a formation in μι, § 112. 15; Pres. ἴπταμαι, Aor. ἐπτάμην, πτάσθαι, πτάμενος, etc. and from the entirely obsolete Pres. Active of this formation, comes another Aorist ἔπτην, πτῆναι, πτάς, etc. synonymous with the two former, § 110. 6.

The forms of the Pres. πέταμαι and πετάομαι, with the Aor. ἐπετάσθην (e. g. Anacr. 40. 6), belong to the poets and the later prose. As Perfect, only $\pi \epsilon \pi \delta \tau \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ seems to have been in use. The poets employed also the Pres. ποτάομαι, πωτάομαι, § 112. 9.

ΠΕΤ-, 800 πίπτω. || πεύθομαι, 800 πυνθάνομαι.

πέφνον, ἔπεφνον, I killed, the reduplicated and at the same time syncopated Aorist, § 110. 4, from ΦΕΝΩ (whence φόνος). The participle of this Aorist, contrary to analogy, is accented on the penult, πέφνων.* Pass. Perf. πέφαμαι, Inf. πεφάσθαι, Fut. πεφήσομαι; comp. τείνω, τέταμαι, § 101. 9, and for πεφήσομαι see § 99. n. 1. See also φαίνω below.

πήγνυμι fix, make fast; in late writers also πήσσω, πήττω, § 112. 15; F. πήξω, etc. Pass. become fast, solid, with Aor. ἐπάγην (ἐπήχθην is simple Pass.) and Perf. 2 πέπηγα intrans. stand fast, § 113. n. 3.—MID. fasten together, build, etc.

πίμπλημι fill, Inf. πιμπλάναι, § 112. 15 and 12, declined in Pres. and Impf. like ἴστημι.—Fut. πλήσω, etc. Pf. Pass. πέπλησμαι, Aor. Pass. ἐπλήσθην (late also ἐπλήθην), from ΠΛΛΩ or πλήθω; which last form however has in the Pres. only the intransitive signification to be full.—MID.

When in composition μ comes to stand before the initial π , the μ in the reduplication falls away, as $\ell \mu \pi l \pi \lambda a \mu a \iota$; but it reappears so soon as the augment intervenes, as $\ell \nu \pi l \mu \pi \lambda a \sigma a \nu$.

In contradiction to this rule, the poets, for the sake of the metre, employ the form with or without the μ .—The formation in $\acute{a}\omega$ $(\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \hat{a}\nu)$ is not good Attic, except in those instances where it occurs also in $\vec{i}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$.

For the Passive Aor. ἐπλήμην, Opt. πλείμην,† Imp. πλήσο, etc. see § 110.
7. It was not unknown to the Attic language; Aristoph. ἐμπλήμενος, ἐμπλείμην.

From the intrans. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$ there occurs as a poetic Perfect, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \theta a$ with the like meaning, am full.

πίμπρημι burn trans. Inf. πιμπράναι, § 112. 15 and 12, declined in the Pres. and Impf. like ἴστημι. The rest comes from ΠΡΑΩ or πρήθω (Hom.) e. g. Perf. πέπρησμαι (more certain than πέ-

* That πέφνων is really Aorist, is clear from the connection in the two passages, II. π. 827. ρ. 539. Comp. δφλων.

† The orthography πλήμην has no analogy. Instead of ει, one might indeed have expected the diphthong αι; since the form πμπλάναι presupposes a theme ΠΛΑΩ. But in the same manner χρή, which comes from χράω (see below), has also in the Opt. χρείη; and a theme ΠΛΕΩ (Lat. compleo) is implied in the form πιμπλεύσαι of Hesiod, S. 880.

πρημαι), ἐπρήσθην.—With ἐμπίπρημι, ἐνεπίμπραμεν, the case is the same as in πlμπλημι above; and so also with the formation in άω, from which however Xen. has ἐνεπίμπρων, Hell. 6. 5. 22.

The shortened form $\tilde{\epsilon}m\rho\epsilon\sigma\epsilon$ for $\tilde{\epsilon}m\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon$ in Hesiod (3. 856) is to be remarked; since the analogy of $\epsilon m \ell \mu m \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu$ would lead us to expect here an α .—A rare secondary form is $\pi\rho\dot{\eta}\theta\omega$; II. ι . 589 $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\dot{m}\rho\eta\theta\nu$.

πίνω drink, § 112. 18, from ΠΙΩ, Fut. πίομαι (95. n. 18), Aor. ἔπιον, πιεῖν, etc. Imp. comm. πῖθι (§ 110. n. 2), poet. πίε Od. ι. 347.—All the rest is from ΠΟΩ; as Perf. πέπωκα, Perf. Pass. πέπομαι, Aor. Pass. ἐπόθην. Verb. Adj. ποτέος, ποτός.

The ι in $\pi lopa \iota$ is commonly long (see Athen. 10. p. 446); but in $\overline{\iota}$ we etc. short.—The Fut. $\pi \iota \circ \hat{\iota} \mu a \iota$ belongs to late writers, § 95. n. 16; the Pres. $\pi lopa \iota$ ($\hat{\iota}$) occurs in Pind. Ol. 6. 147.

The forms $\pi i \sigma \omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma a$, have the causative sense give to drink, and belong to the Present $\pi i \pi i \sigma \kappa \omega$, § 112. 14.

πιπράσκω sell, Ion. πιπρήσκω, epic secondary form πέρνημι, § 112.
14, 16. Fut. and Aor. wanting. The forms in use are: πέπρὰκα, πέπρὰμαι, ἐπράθην, F. 3 πεπράσομαι instead of Fut. 1
πραθήσομαι, which is not Attic; and in like manner the Perf.
πεπρὰσθαι very often stands instead of the Aor. πραθήναι.
The Ionics have all these forms with η.

The common language supplied the tenses still wanting, by means of $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu}$, $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \nu}$. The old and epic language had Fut. $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ with short a, and hence contr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$, Aor. $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \nu}$, from $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \omega$, which we have seen in its place above in a kindred signification, and with long a in flexion. From this $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$ arose afterwards the other preceding forms by the metathesis mentioned in § 110. n. 7.—An isolated form is $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma$ instead of $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma$, II. ϕ . 58.

πίπτω fall, § 112. 13, (long ι, hence Imp. πίπτε,) forms its other tenses from ΠΕΤΩ; Fut. with Doric form πεσούμαι (Ion. πεσόρμαι), Aor. ἔπεσον § 96. n. 9.—Perf. πέπτωκα.

Poetic syncopated forms of the Part. Perf. are Attic πεπτώς (comp. βεβρώτες from βέβρωκα) and epic πεπτεώς, § 110. n. 6. The latter implies an original Perf. form πέπτηκα (from ΠΕΤΩ like δέδμηκα from δέμω), whence πέπτωκα has been formed with an alternate vowel (§ 27. 1); see Lexil. I. 63. p. 295.

The regular forms of the Aor. from ΠΕΤΩ also occur; as Aor. 1 ἔπεσα Eurip. Troad. 291. Alcm. 465; Aor. 2 ἔπετον in Doric writers, e. g. Pindar. Comp. λίσσομαι.

πιτνέω fall, Aor. ἔπιτνον § 96. n. 5. § 112. 6.—But πιτνάω, πίτνημι, is the same with πετάννυμι.

πλάζω cause to wander about, Pass. wander about, rove; F. πλάγξω etc. § 92. n. 3.

ΠΛ-, see π ελω. \parallel ΠΛΑ-, π λή θ ω, see π ελάζω and π ίμ π λημι.

πλέω sail, F. πλεύσομαι, πλευσοῦμαι, § 95; Aor. ἔπλευσα, eto.
Pass. πέπλευσμαι, ἐπλεύσθην. Verb. Adj. πλευστέος (ἄπλευστος).

An Ionic form is πλώω, πέπλωκα, etc. Hence Verb. Adj. πλωτός, and the epic (syncopated) Aorist ἔπλων, ως, ω, ωμεν, etc. Part. πλώς, for which see § 110. 6. and n. 1, 3

πλήσσω, πλήττω, strike; rarer form πλήγνυμι. It retains the η in the Aor. 2 Pass. ἐπλήγην, except in those compounds which signify to terrify, as ἐξεπλάγην, κατεπλάγην, Fut. ἐκπλαγήσομαι.—In the signification to strike, the Attics never employ the Active of this verb, but instead of it πατάσσω; which latter they never use in the Passive.

The Perf. 2 πέπληγα has in later writers also a Passive signification, § 113. n. 4. Homer has likewise the Aor. 2 Act. and Mid. but with the reduplication, πέπληγον, πεπληγόμην.

πνέω blow, F. πνεύσομαι and πνευσοῦμαι, Aor. ἔπνευσα, etc. Perf. Pass. πέπνευσμαι, Aor. ἐπνεύσθην. Verb. Adj. πνευστός (βεόπνευστος).

The Perf. Pass. πέπνυμαι (§ 98. n. 4) is merely poetic, with the special signification to be animated, intelligent; hence by some it is not derived from πνέω, but compared with πινιτός. After the same analogy occurs also the syncopated Aorist ἄμπνῦτο (Hom. for ἀνέπνυτο § 110. 7); further ἀμπνῦνθη for ἀνεπνύθη, comp. ἰδρύω, ἰδρύνθην; also the Imperat. ἄμπνυε recover thyself.

ποθέω, see § 95. n. 4.

πονέω, toil, suffer, F. πονήσω; but in the signif. suffer pain, F. πονέσω, Perf. πεπόνηκα, § 95. n. 4. See also § 113. 2.

πορεῖν (Hesych.) ἔπορον gave, Part. πορών, a defective poetic Aorist. To the same theme, with the sense divide out, allot, belongs by metathesis (§ 110. 11) the Perf. Pass. πέπρωται it is appointed by destiny, Part. πεπρωμένος.

The Infin. πεπαρεῖν or πεπορεῖν in Pind. Pyth. 2. 105, is better referred to a separate theme of its own, with the meaning to shew, cause to see.

The former is the best orthography. See Böckh.

ΠΟ-, see πίνω. — πέποσθε, see πάσχω.

ΠΡΑ-, πρήθω, see πιπράσκω and πίμπρημι.

πράσσω do, fare, long a. For the Perf. see § 113. n. 3.

πρίασθαι buy, § 112. 15, a defective Aorist (like ἐπτάμην), the forms of which are used only as Aorist of the verb ἀνεῖσθαι, viz. ἐπριάμην, Subj. πρίωμαι, Opt. πριαίμην, Imp. πρίασο, πρίω, Inf. πρίασθαι, Part. πριάμενος.

ΠΤΑ-, ΠΤΟ-, see πετάννυμι, πέτομαι, πτήσσω, and πίπτω.

πτήσσω stoop down, is regular. The form καταπτακών in Æschyl. Eum. 247, implies κ as the characteristic.—In the poets there are a few forms from ΠΤΑΩ; e. g. 3 pers. Du. Aor. 2 καταπτήτην § 110. 6; Part. Perf. πεπτηώς. But πεπτεώς see in πίπτω.

πυνθάνομαι inquire, perceive by the senses, learn, § 112. 11; from the poetic πεύθομαι, Fut. πεύσομαι, Aor. ἐπυθόμην, Pf. πέπυσμαι. Verb. Adj. πευστέος.

P.

ραίνω sprinkle. For ράσσατε and ερράδαται see § 103. m. 24.

ρέζω and ἔρδω, do, § 112. 19, F. ρέξω or (from ΕΡΓΩ) ἔρξω, etc. Perf. ἔρργα. Pass. Aor. ρέχθηναι. But ἔρχθην, ἔεργμαι, belong to εἴργω.

ρέω flow, § 95. n. 9; F. ρεύσομαι, Aor. ἔρρευσα. In this Active

signification however, the only genuine Attic forms are Aor. 2 Pass. ἐρρύην, with the Fut. ρυήσομαι, and a new Perf. formed from this Aorist, viz. ἐρρύηκα, † 111. 3.

'PE-, see ελπείν.

ρήγγυμμ tear trans. § 112. 15; F. ρήξω, Aor. Pass. ἐρράγην.—Perf. 2 ἔρρωγα (§ 97. n. 2) with intrans. signification, am torn in pieces, § 113. n. 4.

ριγέω shudder, § 112. 6. § 97. n. 4; Perf. ἔρρίγα the same with the Present. ρίπτω and ρίπτέω, cast, § 112. 6; the characteristic is φ, § 92. n.
1. In the Pass. and Impf. both forms are in use; all the other

1. In the Pass, and Impl. both forms are in use; all the other parts come from the first form, as ρίψω etc. The ι is long; hence ρίπτε, ρίψαι.—Aor. Pass. ἐρρίφην (short ι) and ἐρρίφθην.

ρίπτασκον, ροίζασκε, see § 103. m. 11.

'PΥ-, see ρέω. — ρύομαι, see έρύω. || 'PΩΓ-, see ρήγνυμι.

ρώννυμι strengthen, § 112. 15, Fut. ρώσω etc. Perf. Pass. ἔρρωμαι am strong, Imper. ἔρρωσο farewell. Aor. Pass. ἐρρωσθην. But ἐρρωσάμην (Hom.) belongs to ρώομαι rush on.

Σ.

σαλπίζω sound a trumpet, Fut. σαλπίγξω, etc. § 92. n. 3. Later form σαλπίσω.

σαόω, see σώζω.

σάω an old form for σήθω sift, whence σῶσι in Herodot. I. 200. Secondary forms in the Pass. without σ (σέσημαι, σηθείς) for the sake of euphony, were derived from this form.

σβέννυμι extinguish, § 112. 15, F. σβέσω etc. Pf. Pass. ἔσβεσμαι, Aor. ἐσβέσθην.—The Perf. ἔσβηκα (with η), and the Aor. 2 ἔσβην Pl. ἔσβημεν, Infin. σβῆναι, (flexion in § 110. 6,) have the intransitive signification to go out, be extinguished, which is elsewhere expressed by the Passive σβέννυμαι; § 113. n. 3. σείω, ἀνασσείασκε, see § 103. m. 11.

σεύω move, impel, has most commonly the augment like verbs beginning with ρ (§ 83. n. 2); and takes in the Aor. 1 no σ, as ἔσσευα, ἐσσευάμην, § 96. n. 1. Perf. Pass. ἔσσυμαι, am moved, strive, long for, Part. ἐσσύμενος (proparox. § 111. n. 2); Plupf. ἐσσύμην, which form is at the same time syncopated Aorist (§ 110. 7 and n. 4), whence σύτο, σύμενος; the pers. is ἔσσυο for ἔσσυσο (§ 103. m. 17); Aor. Pass. in the same signification, ἐσσύθην Soph.—Forms with a single σ (e. g. ἐσύθην, ἐξεσύθη) are less frequent; and those without any augment (e. g. σεῦα, σύτο) belong to the Ionic-epic dialect.—We find also the Pres. Pass. syncopated (§ 110. 5), e. g. σεῦται Soph. Trach. 645; more commonly however with the alternate ου (§ 27. 1), as σοῦμαι τυπ, hasten, Imperat. σοῦσο, σούσθω, σούσθε, a familiar call or exclamation in common life.—Finally, here belongs also the Laconic form ἀπέσσουα he is off, gone, which is found in Xenoph. Hell. 1. 1. 23, and is explained as Aor. 2 Pass. for ἐσσύη.

σκεδάννυμι scatter, disperse, § 112. 15, 16, F. σκεδάσω, σκεδώ, etc. Pf. Pass. ἐσκέδασμαι. Secondary forms are σκίδνημι epic κίδνημι, κεδάννυμι.

R

σκέλλω or σκελέω, dry, make dry, † 112. 6; Pass. dry up, wither. To this immediate sense of the Passive belong the Active forms, Aor. ἔσκλην, σκλήναι, σκλαίην, † 110. 6; Pf. ἔσκληκα am dried up, with Fut. σκλήσομαι.

The Homeric σκήλειε (Aor. 1 ἔσκηλα) implies a theme σκάλλω, which elsewhere has the wholly different meaning to scrape; and hence arise, by the metathesis ΣΚΛΑ- § 110. 11, the forms σκλήναι, σκλαίην, etc.

σκοπῶ or Mid. σκοποῦμαι, look at, contemplate, used only in Pres. and Impf. The rest comes from the Depon. Mid. σκέπτομαι, σκέψομαι, etc. Perf. ἔσκεμμαι has also Passive signification.—Verb. Adj. σκεπτεός.

The Pres. and Impf. of σκέπτομαι are more poetic and late Attic.

σμάω rub, rub on, σμῆς etc. 105. n. 5. Fut. σμήσω, etc. But the Aor. Pass. is always ἐσμήχθην, 112. 17, from the form σμήχω, which in the Present is not Attic. Verb. Adj. σμηκτός. Comp. ψάω.

σούμαι etc. see σεύω.

|| σπείν, σπέσθαι, see έπω.

σπένδω pour out, F. σπέισω, Pf. Pass. ἔσπεισμαι, § 25. 4.—MID. ΣΤΑ-, see ἴστημι. | στήκετε, see p. 187, marg.

στερέω and στερίσκω bereave, deprive of, § 112. 14 and 6, is conjugated regularly after the first theme, F. στερήσω etc. Fut. Mid. στερήσομαι for Pass. στερηθήσομαι. Herewith exists in the Passive the simpler form στέρομαι, expressing a state or situation, am bereaved, deprived of, go without.

The Pres. στερώ, Pass. στερούμαι, is scarcely used except in composition. Homer has the flexion with ε, as στερέσαι. For στερηθείς the poets have also an Aor. 2 Pass. στερείς.

στεῦται, στεῦνται, στεῦτο, sec § 110. 5.

στορέννυμι, στόρνυμι and στρώννυμι, spread, strew, § 106. 8. § 110. 7. § 112. 15, form both στορέσω, ἐστόροσα, and στρώσω, ἔστρωσα. Perf. Pass. ἔστρωμαι, Aor. 1 Pass. ἐστρώθην, late and Ion. forms ἐστόρεσμαι, ἐστορέσθην. Verb. Adj. στρωτός.

στυγέω fear, hate, is regular, § 112. 6; but has in Homer still an Aor. 2 ἔστυγον (§ 96. n. 5); and also in the causative sense render terrible, the Aor. 1 ἔστυξα (Od. λ. 502), which however later poets use again in the first signification, e. g. Apollon. IV. 512.

σχείν, έσχον, etc. see έχω.

σώζω save, has in the Perf. Pass. besides σέσωομαι in the early writers, also σέσωμαι; and in the Aor. 1 Pass. always ἐσώθην, from the older form σαόω, ἐσαώθην.—MID.

From σαόω are found in epic writers: 1) The regular formation σαώσω, ἐσάωσα, etc. 2) Pres. and Impf. with a contraction of the first two vowels (σαόω, σαόεις) σώω, σώεις, etc. whence has arisen the common form σώζω; and again shortened (σόω) Subj. σόης, σόη, σόωσιν. 3) The 3 pers. Impf. (ἐσάου) and the Imperat. (σάου) again contracted would form ἐσῶ, σῶ; but the epic writers resolve these last forms into the double sound (§ 105. n. 10); yet not as usual by means of o, but with a, just as in ναιετᾶωσα (§ 105. n. 10. ult.); hence 3 pers. Impf. ἐσάω, σάω, Il. φ. 238. π. 363; Imperat. σάω, Od. ν. 230. ρ. 595; instead of ἔσωζε and σῶζε.

T.

TAT-, see TA-.

|| ταλάω, 800 τλήναι.

τανύω stretch, takes σ in the Passive, and has v short in flexion.—Fut. also τανύω, Od. φ. 174. (§ 95. u. 12.) Pass. epic τάνυμαι.

ταράσσω, ττω, disturb, has contracted secondary forms, viz. 1)
Among the Altics the Present, θράττω, where τ becomes 3, and the vowel is made long; hence Part. Neut. τὸ θρᾶττον. 2) In the epic writers the Perfect, but with an intransitive signification, τέτρηχα am disturbed, unquiet, where the Ion. η takes the place of long a.*—MID.

ταφείν and ταφήναι, see Jánτω and ΘΑΦ-.

ΓA-, the apparent stem of τείνω, τέτακα, etc. (§ 101. 9.) To a similar theme with the meaning lay hold of, take, belongs the Imper. τη take; kindred with which (from ΤΑΓΩ) is the epic Part. Aor. 2 redupl. τεταγών, taking hold of. See Lexil. I. 41. p. 162.

ΤΕΚ-, see τίκτω.

τέμνω cut, § 112. 10, F. τεμῶ, Aor. ἔτεμον.—The further formation is (by § 110. 11): τέτμηκα, τέτμημαι, ἐτμήθην. For the Subj. Perf. Pass. see § 98. n. 9.

Less frequent is the Aor. ἔταμον. The Ionics say also in the Present, τάμνω; and Homer has further the stem-form τέμω, as Il. ν. 707 τέμει; see Ausf. Sprachl. § 92. n. 13.—An epic form is τμήγω, Aor. ἔτμηξα and ἔτμαγον, Pass. ἐτμάγην.

τέρπω delight, in the Passive form τέρπομαι am delighted, satisfied, has in the epic language a threefold Aorist, ἐτέρφθην or ἐτάρφθην, and ἐτάρπην, whence by transposition (§ 96. n. 7) Subj. τραπείω for ταρπῶ,—and Aor. Mid. (ἐταρπόμην) τεταρπόμην, Subj. ταρπώμεθα and τεταρπώμεσθα.

τέρσομαι dry intrans. Inf. Aor. 2 Pass. τερσήναι and τερσήμεναι.—But τερσαίνω dry trans. dry up, έτέρσηνα, etc. is regular.

reτευχήσθαι to be armed, Od. χ. 104; a defective Perf. Pass. from τὰ τεύχεα. Comp. ἐσθημένος.

τέτμον, ετετμον, meet with, find, Subj. τέτμης, η, a defective Aorist. τετρήσω, 800 τορέω. || τετραίνω, 800 τιράω.

τεύχω. Two kindred verbs must be here carefully distinguished:
1) τεύχω make, a poetic word, regular, τεύξω, ἔτευξα, τέτυγμαι, ἐτύχθην, τυκτός οι τευκτός, § 98. n. 4.

2) τυγχάνω happen, take effect, attain, § 112. 11. § 111. 3, F. τεύξομαι, Aor. ἔτυχον (epic ἐτύχησα), Perf. τετύχηκα.

The idea of $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \acute{a}\nu \omega$ has arisen out of the Passive of $\tau \acute{e}\dot{\nu}\chi \omega$; hence in the epic writers the Passive forms $\tau \acute{e}\tau \nu \gamma \mu a\nu$, $\acute{e}\tau \acute{\nu}\chi \partial \eta \nu$, very nearly coincide in sense with $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \acute{a}\nu \omega$, $\acute{e}\tau \nu \chi c \nu$. And the Perf. $\tau \acute{e}\tau e \nu \chi a$, whose Part. in Homer has the Passive signification of $\tau e \acute{\nu}\chi \omega$ (Od. μ . 423; see § 113. n. 4), passes over wholly into the signification of the Present $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \acute{a}\nu \omega$ in Herodotus (3. 14. ult.) and in the rowoi or later writers.

The Perf. Pass. rérvyuas takes also the diphthong ev; hence in Homer

3 Plur. τετεύχαται, and Fut. 3 only τετεύξομαι, § 99. n. 1.

Το τεύχω belongs, with the Ionic change of the rough mute († 16. n. 1. e), the Aor. 2 τετυκείν, τετυκέσθαι, prepare; hence a new Present-form τιτύσκομαι, † 112. n. 8. The form τόσσαι for τυχείν see in its place.

^{*} For this metathesis see § 110. n. 7. Analogous is the Adj. μἄλᾶκος — βλάξ, βλᾶκός. For the change of τ into 3, see p. 28. marg.—Moreover from this verb is derived the Adj. τραχός, Ion. τρηχός, rough, uneven, and not the verb from the adjective. Lexil. I. 52. p. 210.

TIE-, τετίημαι, am afflicted, Part. τετιημένος, and also τετιηώς from the Active form. § 97. n. 7.

τίκτω bear, § 112. 17, from ΤΕΚΩ, Fut. τέξω, comm. τέξομαι, Αοτ. ἔτεκον (poet. ἐτεκόμην), Perf. τέτοκα.

In late writers we find also $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota$ and $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \theta \eta \nu$.—For the Fut. $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta a \iota$ see § 95. n. 16.

τίνω, вее τίω.

τιτράω bore, § 112. 13, from TPAΩ, F. τρήσω, etc. A secondary form more used by the Attics is τετραίνω, τετρανῶ, ἐτέτρηνα, later -āνα. The Perfect is always from the usual theme, τέτρηκα, τέτρημαι.

τιτρώσκω wound, § 112. 14, F. τρώσω, etc. Perf. Pass. τέτρωμαι, etc.

The simpler form τρώω, with the more general signification injure, is found in Homer. Both forms are connected with τορείν through the metathesis TOP, TPO, § 110. 11.

τίω honour, is in this signification only poetical, and is conjugated regularly. Part. Perf. Pass. τετιμένος.—In the signification to pay, atone for, it is in the Pres. and Impf. solely epic; in prose we find instead of it the following form, viz.

τίνω pay, atone for, § 112. 10, Fut. τίσω, Perf. τέτικα, Perf. Pass. τέτισμαι, Αυτ. 1 Pass. ἐτίσθην. The MID. τίνομαι (τίσομαι, ἐτισάμην, ἀπετισάμην) has the signification punish, avenge. The Ionic form of the Present is τίννυμι, τίννυμαι, § 112. 15.

The ι in τίνω is in the epic writers long; in Attic writers short, according to § 112. n. 6. The Attic poets shorten also the first syllable of τίνυμ. See the Ausf. Sprachl. § 112. n. 19.

τλήναι to bear, venture, an Inf. from sync. Aor. έτλην († 110. 6), Opt. τλαίην, Imp. τλήθι, Part. τλάς, Fut. τλήσομαι, Pf. τέτληκα.

From this Perfect are derived (by § 110. 10) the forms τέτλαμεν etc. τετλάναι, Opt. τετλαίην, Imp. τέτλαθι, and the Ionic Part. τετληώς § 97. n. 7; but all these are found only in the poets, and with a Present meaning.—An epic secondary form is the Aor. 1 ἐτάλασα.—The place of the Present is supplied by ἀνέχομαι οτ ὑπομένω.

TM-, see τέμνω and τέτμον. | τμήγω, see τέμνω.

τορείν pierce, thrust through, ἔτορον (§ 96. n. 5), a defective Aorist; comp. τιτρώσκω.—In the kindred signification, to yield a piercing sound, Aristophanes has the Fut. τετορήσω and the Pres. τορεύω.

τόσσαι an Aorist synonymous with τυχείν, from which ther coccurs in Pindar the Part. τόσσας and the compounds ἐπέτοσσε, ἐπιτόσσας.

τραπείω, 800 τέρπω. || ΤΡΑΓ-, 800 τρώγω.

τρέφω nourish, support, F. Βρέψω († 18. 2), Perf. τέτροφα, Perf. Pass. τέθραμμαι, τεθράφθαι (less correctly τετράφθαι), Aor. Pass. ἐτράφην, less often ἐθρέφθην. Verb. Adj. Βρεπτός.—MID.

In the early language τρέφω had the immediate signification to become thick, stout, large; and the Passive also adopts this signification, as Pass. am nourished, become stout, etc. Hence in Homer the Aor. 2 Act. and the Aor. 2 Pass. are used synonymously, e. g. ἔτραφε the same as ἐτράφη; τραφέμεν (τραφεῖν) the same with the common τραφῆναι. See the Ausf. Sprachl.—The Perf. τέτροφα has both significations; see § 97. n. 1. marg.

τρέχω run, † 112. 18. † 111. 3, seldom forms its tenses from itself, as Βρέξομαι, ἔθρεξα, † 18. 2; most commonly from ΔΡΕ-ΜΩ, Aor. ἔδραμον, Fut. δραμοῦμαι, Perf. δεδράμηκα, epic δέδρομα.

ΤΡΥΦ-, see θρύπτω.

τρύχω wear away, exhaust, consume, § 112. 7, forms its tenses from the less frequent τρυχόω; as ἐτρύχωσα, τετρυχωμένος, etc. τρώγω eat, § 112. 19, F. τρώξομαι. Aorist ἔτραγου from ΤΡΗΓΩ. τυγχάνω, τετυκεῖν, see under τεύχω.

τύπτω strike, § 112. 6, has in Attic writers commonly τυπτήσω, τετύπτημαι, τυπτητέος. Aor. Pass. ἐτύπην.—MID.

τύφω smoke, burn, trans. F. Θύψω etc. § 18. 2.—Aor. Pass. ετύφην.

ύπισχνέομαι, see under έχω.

Φ.

φαίνω, 1) trans. show, point out, F. φανῶ, Aor. ἔφηνα, Perf. πέφαγκα. Pass. φαίνομαι am pointed out, Aor. ἐφάνθην, Perf. πέφασμαι, § 101. 2) Intrans. shine, give light, only Pres. and Imperfect; comm. φαίνομαι § 113. n. 5. Aor. ἐφάνην, F. φανοῦμαι and φανήσομαι, Perf. again πέφασμαι, comm. Perf. 2 πέφηνα.—MID. in compounds.

Homeric forms are: the Iterat. ϕ άνεσκε appeared (from εφάνην), an Aorist from the simple theme (§ 112. 10); ϕ άε shone, appeared, (Od. ξ . 502.) with which belongs also a Fut. 3 πεφήσομαι will have appeared II. ρ . 155 (comp. also above under πέφνον); whence too the secondary form ϕ Part. ϕ αέθων § 112. 12; and the form with the double sound εφαάνθην, ϕ άανθεν, in the signif. of εφάνην.—The Fut. ϕ ανῶ has a long; see Ausf. Sprachl.

ΦΑ-, φάσκω, see φημί § 109. Ι, φαίνω, πέφνον. | ΦΑΓ-, see ἐσθίω.

φείδομαι, spare, regular. Hence the epic Aorist-forms with redupl. πεφιδόσθαι, πεφιδοίμην; and from these again by § 111. 3, the Fut. πεφιδήσομαι II. o. 215.

ΦΕΝ-, see πέφνον.

φέρω bear, carry, § 112. 18, forms its tenses from quite different roots: Fut. οἴσω, with an Aorist Imper. οἴσε, for which see § 96. n. 9. Then Aor. 1 ἤνεγκα, Subj. ἐνέγκω, Opt. ἐνέγκωιμι, Imp. (ἔνεγκον) ἐνεγκάτω etc. Aor. 2 ἤνεγκον, Opt. ἐνέγκωιμι, Imp. ἔνεγκε, Inf. ἐνεγκεῖν, Part. ἐνεγκών; from the former are espec. in use the Indic. and those endings of the Imper. which have a; from the latter espec. the Inf. and Participle. Perf. ἐνήνοχα § 97. n. 2; Perf. Pass. ἐνήνεγμαι, 3 Sing. ἐνήνεκται and εγκται, Inf. ἐνηνέχθαι, Aor. Pass. ἢνέχθην, Inf. ἐνεχθῆναι.— Fut. Pass. ἐνεχθήσομαι or οἰσθήσομαι. Verb. Adj. οἰστέος, οἰστός, poet. φερτός.—ΜΙD. Aor. 1 ἢνεγκάμην etc. Imp. Aor. 2 ἐνέγκου or ἐνεγκοῦ Soph. OC. 459.

The Ionics have an Aor. ηνεικα, ἐνείκαι, ἐνείκαι θαι, Pass. ηνείχθην. The theme ἐνείκω occurs as Present in Hesiod a. 440, συνενείκεται.—It is incorrect to consider ἐνεγκεῦ as a compound with ἐν; it has arisen by means of a reduplication, like ηγαγον, ἀλαλκεῦν, etc. (§ 85. n. 3,) from a theme ΕΓΚΩ, from which again ΕΝΕΚΩ and ΕΝΕΙΚΩ are lengthened forms, like ΑΛΚΩ, ΑΛΕΚΩ; see Lexil. I. 63. 23.—Homer has in the *Imperat*. Plur. φέρτε.—For φορεῦν see § 112. 8, and n. 1; and for φορῆναι see § 105. n. 16.

Infrequent forms coming from οίσω are Infin. Aor. 1 ἀνώσσι and Verb. Adj. ἀνώϊστος in Herodotus (1. 157. ib. 6. 66), where the ω has no grammatical basis; and the Perf. προοίσται in Lucian (Paras. 2), where the diphthong οι, which remains unaffected by the augment, is according to § 84. n. 2.

φεύγω flee, F. φεύξομαι and φευξοῦμαι, Aor. ἔφυγον, Perf. πέφευγα. Verb. Adj. φευκτέος, φευκτός. A secondary form is φυγγάνω § 112. 11.

Homer has the Part. Pf. Pass. πεφυγμένος with active sense, escaped; also the Verb. Adj. φυκτός, whence άφυκτος Attic; and a Part. Perf. πεφυζότες fugitives; comp. φυζά flight.

φθάνω am beforehand, anticipate, § 112. 10; for the quantity of the a, see § 112. n. 6. Aor. 1 έφθασα and sync. Aor. 2 έφθην, φθῶ, φθῆναι, φθάς (epic Mid. φθάμενος), § 110. 6. Fut. φθήσομαι, less often (in Xen.) and later φθάσω, Perf. έφθακα.

In Il. κ. 346, παραφθαίησι is an unusual form of the Opt. for -auη. See the Ausf. Sprachl. § 107. n. 33. marg.

φθείρω corrupt, ruin, is regular; but the Perf. 2 ἔφθορα, διέφθορα, has in Ionic (also Il. o. 128) and later writers the signification am ruined; Attic, have ruined, the same as ἔφθαρκα. 1113, n. 4.

Homer has Fut. φθέρσω.—Fut. 2 Mid. with alternate a, διαφθαρέομαι intrans. occurs in Herodotus for the comm. φθαρήσομαι οτ φθεροῦμαι.

φθίω, a verb which in this Present form is only Homeric, with both transitive and intransitive signification: consume, destroy (II. σ. 446), and perish Od. β. 368. The other forms are more usual, but still on the whole more poetic. Fut. and Aor. φθίσω, ₹φθισα, are simply transitive.—On the other hand the derived Present φθίνω, § 112. 10, (secondary form φθινίθω § 112. 12,) is commonly intransitive, and borrows its tenses from the Mid. of φθίω, viz. Fut. φθίσομα, Pf. ἔφθιμα, Plupf. ἐφθίμην, which last form is at the same time syncopated Aorist (§ 110. 7 and n. 4), and therefore has the other moods, viz. Opt. φθίμην, ίο, îτο, (Od. κ. 51. λ. 330; see § 107. m. 33. marg.) Infin. φθίσθα, Part. φθίμενος, Subj. φθίωμα, shortened φθίομα, φθίεται.—Later writers have the formation φθινήσω, etc. (Plut.)

The ι in φθίνω (§ 112. n. 6), as well as in φθίνω, etc. is in epic writers always long; in Attic writers, short; ἔφθιμαι, etc. is everywhere short—For ἀπάφθιθον see Ausf. Sprachl.

φιλέω love. Instead of the regular Aorist from this verb, Homer has the Middle form έφίλατο, Imper. φίλαι, with long ι, (a Deponent from the simpler theme ΦΙΛΩ § 112. 6,) where the long ι comes from the nature of the Aorist; see § 101. 4.

φράζω say, intimate, § 92. n. 3. § 83. n. 3, has in the earlier poets an Aorist πέφραδον, ἐπέφραδον, Ιηf. πεφραδέειν, and a Perf. Pass. πέφραδμαι, § 98. n. 5.

φρέω, used only in the compounds: ἐκφρεῖν, εἰσφρεῖν, διαφρεῖν, to let out, in, through, F. φρήσω etc.—Imp. εἴσφρες § 110. n. 2.—MID. to admit, F. εἰσφρήσομαι.



φρίσσω, φρίττω, shudder, § 92. n. 2, F. φρίξω etc. Pf. πέφρικα from $\Phi PIK\Omega$, whence also the subst. φρική, etc.

φυλάσσω watch, guard, Mid. beware. The form προφύλαχθε in Hymn. Apoll. 538, is anom. Imper. with active signification.

φύρω mix, knead, § 101. n. 3. § 112. 7; old Fut. φύρσω, ἔφυρσα, comm. φυράσω etc. Ion. φυρήσω. Perf. Pass. πέφυρμαι and πεφύραμαι.—MID.

φύω generate, produce, F. φύσω, Aor. ἔφυσα.—But the Perf. πέφυκα and sync. Aor. 2 (§ 110. 6) ἔφυν, φῦναι, Subj. φῦω (Xen. Hier. 7. 3), Opt. φύην (Theocr. 15. 94), Part. φύς, have the Passive or intransitive signification to be produced, come into existence, arise, for which in the Pres. and Fut. we find φύσμαι, φύσομαι, § 113. n. 3.

Writers not Attic employ instead of φῦναι, φύς, etc. an Aor. Pass. φυῆναι, φυείς, etc.—For the Homeric forms πεφύασι, πεφυώς, see § 97. n. 7. For the Opt. φύην, see § 107. m. 33; and for ἐπέφυκον, § 111. 2.

X.

χάζω, comm. χάζομαι, yield, give way, is regular, but has in Homer an Aor. 2 with the reduplication and a change of χ into κ , κεκαδέσθαι, § 92. n. 3. But the Act. κεκαδέν (κεκαδών), with a Fut. of its own κεκαδήσω (§ 111. 3), has in Homer the special transitive signification to rob, deprive of.—The form κεκαδήσομαι see in κήδω; comp. II. o. 574. λ. 334. 9. 353. Od. φ. 153.—For έχαδον, see in χανδάνω.

χαίνω see χάσκω.

χαίρω rejoice, § 112. 6, F. χαιρήσω, Aor. (from the Pass.) ἐχάρην, and from this again a Perfect with emphatic Present signification, κεχάρηκα οr κεχάρημαι exult, § 111. 3.

From the regular formation there is still found in the poets, Perf. Pass. κέχαρμαι, Aor. 1 Mid. ἐχηράμην, and Aor. 2 with redup. κεχαρόμην.—The Fut. χαρήσομαι belongs to late writers; Homer has κεχαρήσω and -ομαι, § 111. n. 3.

χανδάνω grasp, contain, § 112. 11, Αοτ. ἔχαδον.—Perf. κέχανδα (same with the Pres.) Fut. χείσομαι Od. σ. 17, as if from ΧΕΝΔΩ; comp. σπένδω σπείσω, and πέπονθα πείσομαι.

χάσκω open, gape, § 112. 19, forms from the Pres. χαίνω (which is not used by earlier writers) Aor. ἔχανον, F. χανοῦμαι. Pf. κέχηνα am open, gape.

χέζω, Fut. χεσοῦμαι, Αοτ. ἔχεσα and ἔχεσον. Perf. κέχοδα § 97. 4. a, c. χείσομαι, see χανδάνω.

χέω pour, epic χείω, Fut. also χέω, χεῖς, χεῖς Fut. Mid. χέομαι see § 95. n. 12; Aor. 1 ἔχεα (§ 96. n. 1), ἔχεας, ἔχεε(ν), see p. 174, marg. Inf. χέαι, İmp. χέον, χεάτω, etc. Perf. κεχὔκα, Perf. Pass. κέχὔμαι, Aor. Pass. ἐχύθην, § 98. n. 4.—MID.

The forms $\chi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \nu \sigma a$, are not usual; although they were the original ones, as is shewn by the subst. $\chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, and the forms of flexion $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \dot{\nu} - \theta \eta \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \nu a$, etc. See § 95. n. 9.

The epic language has Aor. 1 $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\nu a$, whence the Subj. $\chi\epsilon\nu \omega$ passes over into the future signification Od. β . 222; see § 139. m. 5.—Aor. Pass. sync. $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\nu\mu\eta\nu$, $\chi\nu\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s, etc. to be poured, gush, § 110. 7.

χραισμείν to help, έχραισμον, a defective Aorist; whence arose Fut. χραισμήσω, έχραῖσμησα, § 111. 3, and Lexil. I.

From this verb there exist five different forms of flexion, with their respective significations; all with the contraction into η in the common language, Ionic into \tilde{a} , contrary to the usual analogy.

1) χράω utter an oracle is regular, § 105. n. 5; F. χρήσω etc. Pass. κέχρησμαι, έχρήσθην.—MID. χράομαι consult an

The contraction in η is found Soph. El. 35. OC. 87. Herodotus has it in ā, or changes aω into έω (χρέουσα 7. 111); whence again in Homer lengthened, χρείων Od. 9. 79.

2) κίχρημι lend, § 112. 15, is declined like ἴστημι; F. χρή-

σω, Aor. ἔχρησα.—MID. κίχραμαι borrow, χρήσομαι.

3) χράομαι use, χρη (2 Sing.) χρηται, Inf. χρησθαι, etc. the rest regular, F. χρησομαι, Aor. έχρησάμην, Perf. κέχρημαι usually with Pres. signification. Verb. Adj. χρηστός, χρηστέον.

The Perf. κέχρημαι has in epic writers also the signif. I need, want; hence Part. κεχρημένος often as Adj. needy; and in Theorr. 16. 73 a special Fut. κεχρήσομαι. The Verbal Adj. implies an Aor. έχρήσομαι. Hence to also is sometimes found with a Pass. signif. e. g. Hdot. 7. 144.—Here too the Ionics contract into a, and change άω into έω, § 105. n. 8, 15.

4) χρή (with anom. accent) Impersonal, it behooves, is necessary, oportet, follows in part verbs in μι: Inf. χρήναι, Opt. χρείη, Subj. χρῆ, Part. (τὸ) χρεών,* Impf. ἐχρῆν τ οι χρῆν

(never έχρη).—Fut. χρήσει.

5) ἀπόχρη it is enough, sufficient, Impersonal; the form ἀποχρῷ is not Attic; Pl. ἀποχρῶσιν, Inf. ἀποχρῆν, Part. ἀποχρών, ώσα, ών. Impf. ἀπέχρη, F. ἀποχρήσει, Aor. ἀπέχρησε. -MID. ἀποχρῶμαι have enough, am contented, like no. 3.‡

χρώννυμι colour, 1112. 15, F. χρώσω etc. Perf. Pass. κέχρωσμαι,

Aor. ἐχρώσθην, later without σ.

χώννυμι heap up, dam, § 112. 15; in earlier writers we find the regular simple form: χόω, Inf. χοῦν; Fut. χώσω etc. Perf. Pass. κέχωσμαι, etc.

Ψ.

ψάω rub, contr. into η, § 105. n. 5.—The Pass. forms of the Perf. and Aor. were in earlier writers by preference derived from the secondary $\psi \dot{\eta} \chi \omega$, e. g. εψηκται, εψήχθην; prob. for the sake of euphony and for the same reason as in σμάω, § 112. 17.

† This anomalous accentuation, instead of έχρην (§ 12. 2. a. § 103. m. 1), is founded on ancient usage; comp. Eustath. ad Od. κ. 60.

† Herodotus has ἀπεχρέετο impers. for ἀπέχρα. The Active was used imperson.

ally only for the most part, not always; as is shown by the Plur. amoxpassus.

^{*} See further on this Particip. § 57. n. 1. This Participle also is to be explained by the Ionic change of ao into ea 9 27. n. 10; while the accent is still anomalous. And since the Ionics generally transformed verbs in $d\omega$ into $\epsilon\omega$, we can thence account for the ϵ in the Opt. $\chi\rho\epsilon\eta$; comp. the marg. note under $\pi(\mu\pi\lambda\eta\mu\iota$.

ψύχω cool, § 112. 19, forms the Aor. 2 Pass. after the analogy of ὀρύσσω (secondary form ὀρύχω, see Catal. of reg. verbs); e.g. ἐψύγην Aristoph. On the other hand in Plato Phædr. p. 242, the reading ἀποψυχῆ is now preferred, which presupposes a form ἐψύχην; see Ausf. Sprachl.

Ω.

ωθέω thrust, push, § 112. 6, has the syllabic augment (ἐώθουν) § 84. n. 5; and forms Fut. ἀθήσω and (from ΩΘΩ) ὥσω, Aor. ἔωσα, Inf. ὧσαι, Perf. Pass. ἔωσμαι, etc.—MID.

ἀνέομαι buy, † 112. 18, has also the syllabic augment (ἐωνούμην etc.) † 84. n. 5. Instead of the regular Aorist of this verb (ἐωνησάμην, ἀνησάμην), the Attics employed the forms ἐπριάμην, πρίασθαι, etc. which see above. On the other hand, ἐωνήθην was only Passive; see † 113. n. 6.—Perf. ἐώνημαι both as Act. and Passive; Demosth.

PARTICLES.

§ 115. Prepositions and Adverbs.

1. The Particles are said to be *indeclinable*, because they admit of no declension, flexion, or conjugation. Still there are among them certain minor changes, or mutual relations of one to another, (comparison and correlation,) which may here be separately exhibited.

2. Under the general idea of particles we distinguish first the

Prepositions, viz. the following eighteen:

ἀμφί, ἀνά, ἀντί, ἀπό, διά, εἰς, ἐν, ἐξ, ἐπί, κατά, μετά, παρά, περί, πρό, πρός, σύν, ὑπέρ, ὑπό.

These have always been called distinctively the Prepositions of the Greek language; we call them *Primitive Prepositions*. With these alone are verbs compounded in the simplest manner, i. e. without change (§ 121.2); which is not the case with other particles, although they may be just as much prepositions, e. g. avev, evera, eyrus, is to, etc.

3. The most common form of Adverse is the ending ω_s , which, may be regarded as a termination properly belonging to the formation of the adjective; since it is appended only to adjectives and participles. The ending ω_s takes exactly the place of the case-endings; so that it is only necessary to change the ending of the Nom. or Gen. os, into ω_s . Where the Nom. ending os has the tone, the adverbial ending retains it as a circumflex; and if the ending os (Nom. or Gen.) suffers contraction, the same is retained in the adverb. E. g.

φίλος, φίλως σοφός, σοφώς σώφρων (σώφρονος), σωφρόνως χαρίεις, εντος, χαριέντως

εὐθύς, έος, εὐθέως · Part. λυσιτελών useful, οῦντος, λυσιτελούντως. But πᾶς (παντός) πάντως, see n. 1. ἀληθής, έος contr. οῦς, ἀληθέως contr. ἀληθῶς; ἀπλόος, οῦς, ἀπλῶς · εἴνους, εἴνως.

Note 2. Adjectives of one ending, which fluctuate as it were between substantive and adjective, in order to form the adverb in ωs, assume first an ordinary adjective termination; thus νομαδικώς, βλακικώς; comp. § 63. n. 3. § 66. 4. marg.

4. Certain cases and forms of nouns, by virtue of their inherent power which will be explained in the Syntax, and also by ellipsis, often supply the place of particles; and when such a form occurs in this manner particularly often, it passes entirely for an adverb. E. g. the Dative:

κομιδή lit. with care; hence, very, very much. σπουδή lit. with zeal, with pains-taking; hence, hardly, scarcely.

Further a number of feminine adjectives, where the idea $\delta\delta\hat{\varphi}$ from $\hat{\eta}$ $\delta\delta\delta\hat{\phi}$ way, manner, lies at the basis; e. g.

πεζη on foot, κοινη in common, ίδια privately, δημοσία publicly, etc. Comp. ἄλλη and the like, § 116. n. 7.

So the Accusative:

άρχήν and τὴν ἀρχήν, lit. in the beginning, foundation, plan; hence, wholly, entirely. προῖκα gratis, from προῖξ gift. μακράν (sc. ὁδόν) far.

See also note 3.—The Neuter of an Adjective likewise forms an adverbial Accusative, when it stands, either in the Sing. or Plural, instead of an adverb. This usage nevertheless, except in the comparative and superlative, is for the most part peculiar to the poets (§ 128. n. 4); though in some few adjectives it is the common usage in prose; e. g. ταχύ swift, μικρόν or μικρά little.

Note 3. In the manner mentioned in the preceding paragraph have arisen many particles, whose radical form as a noun is either obsolete, or occurs only in the poets. Datives of this kind are then usually written without ι subscript; e. g. $\epsilon k \hat{\eta}$ in vain, $\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ twofold; comp. § 116. n. 8. Here belong also the Genitives: $\epsilon \hat{\xi} \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$ in order, successively, $\delta \gamma \chi \hat{o} \hat{n}$ near, $\delta \mu o \hat{o}$ at the same time (epic adj. $\delta \mu \delta s$); the Neuter forms $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o n$ near (poet. adj. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i s$), $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o v$ to-day, $\delta \hat{\nu} \rho o v$ to-morrow; and particularly many in a, as $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda a$ much, $\kappa \hat{\alpha} \rho \tau a$ very, $\delta i \chi a$ in two, apart, etc. Here it is to be remarked, that these

last in a are paroxytones, even when adjectives derived from the same root are oxytone; e. g. τάχα (ταχύς) swift, perhaps; σφόδρα (σφοδρός) very; σάφα (σαφής) clearly; λίγα, δκα, etc. Contra, θαμά (whence θαμέες) often.

Note 4. When, besides the neuter forms $\epsilon i \theta i$ and $i \theta i$, we find also $\epsilon i \theta i s$ and $i \theta i s$ as adverbs (§ 117. 1), it is only accidentally that this adverbial form coincides with the Nom. Masc. of the adjective; since here, as well as in $\epsilon \gamma \gamma i s$, the s belongs to the adverbial form, just as it does in $\epsilon i \gamma i s$ from $\epsilon i \gamma i s$ for $\epsilon i s$ for ϵi

NOTE 5. Some adverbs are real cases of nouns with a preceding preposition; e. g.

παραχρημα lit. 'along with the thing itself,' hence, on the spot, immediately.

καθά and καθάπερ, for καθ' å, καθ' åπερ, so as, like.

διό, for δι' δ, on account of which, wherefore; but διότι because comes from διά τοῦτο, ὅτι.

προύργου, for πρὸ ἔργου, lit. 'for the good of the thing' (§ 147 πρό), i. e. suitably, appropriately.

Here also belong some forms, of which the noun by itself is not in use; e. g. $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \hat{a} (\phi \nu \eta s \ suddenly$. Some words which have thus become compounded, exhibit slight variations in orthography and accentuation; as $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \sigma \delta \acute{\omega} \nu$ out of the way, aside, for $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \sigma \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$; $\hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \delta \acute{\omega} \nu$ in the way (which is at the same time syntactically irregular for $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \sigma \sigma \acute{\nu}$); $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \acute{\omega}$ successively, by turns, for $-\hat{\varphi}$, from a Nom. $\sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \acute{\omega}$ s.

5. In regard to Comparison, it is the almost exclusive usage that

the Neuter Sing. of the Comparative, and the Neuter Plur. of the Superlative

of adjectives, serve at the same time as forms of comparison tor the corresponding adverbs; e. g. σοφώτερον ποιεῖς 'thou actest more wisely;' αἰσχιστα διετέλεσεν 'he spent his time most infamously.'—Less frequently the degrees of the adverb are formed, by appending the termination ως to the degrees of the adjective. This last is done more especially, when the idea of manner is to be made conspicuous; e. g. καλλιόνως in a more elegant manner; hence μεγάλως in great style, compar. μειζόνως. The Superlative in -τάτως is not used.

6. An older adverbial ending is ω instead of ω; hence οὕτως and οὕτω († 26. 4). This ending is found particularly in some adverbs derived from obsolete adjectives, as ἄφνω suddenly, ὀπίσω behind; and in some formed from prepositions, e. g.

έξω without, έσω and είσω within, άνω above, κάτω below, πρόσω forwards, πόρρω far.*

These all form their degrees of comparison in the same manner, i. e. in ω, as $\dot{a}\nu\omega\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$, $\dot{a}\nu\omega\tau\dot{a}\tau\omega$. With the same ending are formed degrees from some other particles; e. g. $\ddot{a}\pi o$ far from († 117. n. 3) $\dot{a}\pi\omega\tau\dot{a}\tau\omega$ very far off; $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\delta o\nu$ within, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta o\tau\dot{a}\tau\omega$; $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{a}\varsigma$ far, $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa a\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$; $\dot{a}\gamma\chi o\hat{\nu}$ near, $\dot{a}\gamma\chi o\tau\dot{a}\tau\omega$; $\mu a\kappa\rho\dot{a}\nu$ far, $\mu a\kappa\rho o\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$.

^{*} These last two particles, with the Doric $\pi \delta \rho \sigma \omega$ which lies between them, are strictly synonymous; but in usage $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega$ signifies forwards, and $\pi \delta \rho \delta \omega$ ($\pi \delta \rho \sigma \omega$), far.

7. All particles which take the degrees of comparison, without being derived from adjectives in actual use, observe the analogy of the adjective in forming their degrees; as ἐγγύς near, ἐγγυτέρω or ἐγγύτερον, etc. or also ἔγγίον, ἔγγιστα. Here too the same peculiarities and anomalies occur as in adjectives; see note 6. Compare especially the following with the forms in § 67. 3, and § 68.

άγχι near, ἀσσον ἄγχιστα μάλα very, μάλλον μάλιστα,

and the adverbial forms which belong to the comparative ήσσων, viz.

ήσσον, ήττον, less, ήκιστα least, § 68. 2.

Note 6. As peculiarities of the forms of comparison, we may notice also the following:

πέρα (§ 117. 1) — περαιτέρω οτ περαίτέρον πλησίου — πλησιαίτερου οτ -έστερου νύκτωρ — νυκτιαίτερου προύργου — προυργιαίτερου.

Further, from $l\theta \hat{\nu}$ straight forwards, the Homeric $l\theta \hat{\nu}\nu\tau a\tau a$ instead of $l\theta \hat{\nu}\tau a\tau a$; comp. the marg. note to anom. $l\delta \rho \hat{\nu}\omega$, § 114.—That some such adverbs, in their forms of comparison, actually become adjectives, has already been remarked, § 69, 2, and marg.

Note 7. Some forms of verbs have, in common usage, become particles, and chiefly Interjections. We have already mentioned $\epsilon l \epsilon \nu$, p. 193. marg. note; $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$, in $\delta \phi \epsilon l \lambda \omega$, § 114; $\tau \hat{\eta}$, in anom. TA-; $l \delta o \hat{\nu}$ lo! in anom. $\delta \rho \delta \omega$. An old Imperat. of the same meaning is $\hat{\eta} \nu l \delta \epsilon$, by apoc. $\hat{\eta} \nu \ell \delta \omega$ and $\hat{\eta} \nu \ell \delta \omega$. An old Imperat. all signify well! come on! See also $\delta \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$ § 150. m. 20.—All such Imperatives retain commonly the form of the Sing. even when addressed to several persons; with the exception of $\ell \nu \epsilon$ and $\ell \nu \nu \epsilon \nu$ for $\ell \nu \epsilon$.

Note 8. The adverb $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$ hither, stands also as Imperative for come hither. In this case it has a Plural when applied to several persons, $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$, which is explained as an abbreviation from $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho$ Tr. This last phrase is sometimes found fully written, e. g. Aristoph. Eccles. 882.

116. Correlative Particles.

(Compare the correlative Adjectives, §§ 78, 79.)

1. Several of the relations of *place* are marked by annexing syllables or syllabic endings to words. So the following, viz. in answer to the question

Whence? — Θεν e. g. ἄλλοθεν from another place Whither?—σε — ἄλλοσε to another place Where? — Θι — ἄλλοθι in another place.

The vowel before these endings has some variations, and can best be learned by observation; e. g. 'Αθήνηθεν, οὐρανόθεν, ἀγρόθι in the field, ποτέρωθι on which of the two sides? ποτέρωσε to which of the two sides? ἔτέρωθι on the other side. The accent is commonly retained on the syllable where the radical word has it, or

as near it as possible; except that words with o before the ending, are chiefly paroxytone, e. g. πόντος ποντόθεν, κύκλος κυκλόσε.

Note 1. But those from οἶκος, πῶς, ἄλλος, ἔνδον, ἐκτός, follow the general rule; as οἴκοθεν, πάντοσε, ἄλλοθι, ἔκτοθεν.

2. In answer to the question whither? the

Enclitic Se

is also appended; and always upon the form of the Accusative without change; e. g. οὐρανόνδε to heaven, ἄλαδε (from ἄλς) to or into the sea, ἔρεβόσθε from τὸ ἔρεβος, etc.

Note 2. The forms οἴκαδε home from οἶκος, and φύγαδε to flight from φυγή, are deviations, probably for easier pronunciation.—In

' Αθήναζε, Θήβαζε

the δ (in $\delta\epsilon$) has passed over with the σ of the Acc. Plur. into ζ , by § 22. n. 2. Still some words have assumed the ζ without being in the Plural, as $\vartheta i \rho a \zeta \epsilon$, $\widetilde{\epsilon} \rho a \zeta \epsilon$, $\chi a \mu \widehat{a} \zeta \epsilon$, O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi i a \zeta \epsilon$; so that the ending $\zeta \epsilon$ may best be regarded as a special local-ending, like $\delta\epsilon$.

- Note 3. Homer sometimes joins to the Accus. in this form still an adjective; e. g. Kówrð εὐναιομένην II. ξ. 255; and he even repeats this local ending like an ordinary case-ending in $\delta \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \delta \mu o \nu \delta \epsilon$ to his house, from $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \mu o \nu \delta \epsilon$. When however Homer in $\tilde{a} \tilde{t} \delta \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon$ appends this $\delta \epsilon$ to the Genitive, it arises from the fact that this Genitive commonly stands in an ellipsis; εἰs $\tilde{a} \tilde{t} \tilde{d} \tilde{o} \tilde{o} s$ so. $\delta \delta \mu o \nu$, § 132. n. 30.
- 3. In answer to the question where? the ending $\sigma \iota \nu$ or $\sigma \iota$ is appended to many names of cities; so that it becomes $\eta \sigma \iota$ after a consonant, and $\bar{a}\sigma \iota$ after a vowel; the accent of the radical word being retained; e. g.

'Αθήνησι, Πλαταιᾶσιν, 'Ολυμπίασι,

from ' $A\theta\hat{\eta}\nu a\iota$, $\Pi\lambda a\tau a\iota a\iota$, ' $O\lambda\nu\mu\pi la.*$ —Some other like names receive $o\iota$, as

'Ισθμοῖ, Πυθοῖ, Μεγαροῖ,

from $I\sigma\theta\mu\dot{o}s$, $\Pi\nu\theta\dot{\omega}$, $\tau\dot{a}$ Méyapa. This ending always has the circumflex, except in of $\kappa o t$ at home.

4. To the three preceding relations of place, the three following common interrogatives likewise have reference; but only in the earlier language and the poets:

 $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$; whence? $\pi \delta \sigma \epsilon$; whither? $\pi \delta \theta \iota$; where?



^{*} The ending $\eta\sigma\iota$ very often has the ι subscript; and 'Addingor is then explained as the Ion. Dative. But the ending $a\sigma\iota$ shows that this orthography is false. Nevertheless, these endings come strictly from the Dative Plural, comp. § 133. n. 8; and then, like $a(\epsilon)$, passed over to names in the Singular. See the reverse of this in the next marg. note.—'Odunator with short a is from $\dot{\eta}$ 'Odunator.

[†] This form is the actual Dative of Πυθθ, and in the other instances it is the Dative of Dec. II, with the ending somewhat changed; which then was appended to Plural names (Μέγαρα), and to other words, as ἐνταυθοῖ from ἐνταῦθα (see Text 8). We must therefore not consider these forms as correlatives of the following interrogative ποῖ whither; although this very ἐνταυθοῖ sometimes actually stands in answer to the question whither; e. g. Aristoph. Lys. 568. Plut. 608. Such interchanges of the correlatives, however, not unfrequently occur; see the note on p. 271, and on Soph. Philoct. 481. On ἐνταυθοῖ see espec. the Ausf. Sprachl. 4 116 n. 28.

όπημος) when.

In the common language they read thus:

 $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$; whence? $\pi \circ \hat{i}$; whither? $\pi \circ \hat{i}$; where?

These and some other interrogatives—of which the more common are $\pi \acute{o}\tau \epsilon$ and $\pi \eta \nu k \alpha$ where? $\pi \acute{\omega}_s$ how? $\pi \acute{\eta}$ which way? how?—stand with their immediate correlatives (indefinite, demonstrative, relative) in the same analogy as the correlative Adjectives in § 79. E. g.

•			
Interrog.	Indefin.	Demonstr.	Relat.
	all enclitic.		simple. compound.
πότε; when?	ποτέ	τότ€	ότε — όπότε
ποῦ; where?	πού		ού — όπου
ποî; whither?	ποί		οξ — ὅποι
πόθεν; whence?	ποθέν	τόθεν	δθεν — δπόθεν
πῶs; how?	2001	τώς, see 5.	ώς — δπως
πη̂; how?	πή	πĵ	η επη#
πηνίκα; when?		τηνίκα	ήνίκα — όπηνίκα

The significations follow the analogy of § 79. Thus e. g. $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ at some time, once; $\pi \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ from some place, etc.—Further, as the postpositive article δs , besides the compound $\delta \sigma \tau \nu s$, is also strengthened by $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ($\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ etc.) so the same thing occurs with several of the relatives which belong here; as $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$, od $\pi \epsilon \rho$. There are also two minor and defective sets of correlatives:

Note 4. Of poetic forms we further adduce the following; viz. for $\pi \circ \hat{i}$ etc. the complete series:

πόθι; where? ποθί τόθι δθι and ὁπόθι.
So for ποι and ὅποι,—πόσε, ὁπόσε. There belongs here, further, the old epic adverb of time: τῆμος (τημοῦτος Hes.) then, relat. ἡμος (strengthened

- 5. The demonstratives in the above table are the original simple ones; like δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\sigma}$ among the correlative adjectives, § 78. 1. But of these, only $\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$ then, at that time, is in common use; the others occur only in certain phrases (see § 149. m. 14), or in the poets. Moreover, instead of the poetic $\tau \dot{\omega}$ s we sometimes find $\dot{\omega}$ s as a less frequent demonstrative; and as such it takes the acute accent, to distinguish it from the relative form $\dot{\omega}$ s. In this form it is usual also in prose especially in these phrases: $\kappa a \lambda \dot{\omega}$ s even so; où $\dot{\omega}$ s, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\omega}$ s, not even so, in no way.
- 6. There are some other demonstratives, which instead of having the initial τ , come from an entirely different root, and have a more definite sense. Such are

ἐκεῖ (poet. ἐκεῖθι) there, ἐκεῖθην from thence, ἐκεῖσε thither; answering consequently to the interrogatives ποῦ; πόθεν; ποῦ;—Ionic and poetic forms are also κεῖθι, κεῖθεν, κεῖσε. δεῦρο hither, answering to ποῦ;

^{*} For the Iota subscript in this series, see note 8.

νῦν now, answering to πότε; ἔνθα here, there; ἔνθεν hence, thence;

which last two have this peculiarity, that they are at the same time relatives, synonymous with $o\tilde{v}$ and $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$, and are usual in prose.

7. Of the demonstratives hitherto adduced, five exhibit the twofold strengthened form described in \$79.4. Hence arise the common demonstratives of prose, in the following manner; for the accent see \$14. n. 3.

τηνίκα	τηνικάδ€	τηνικαῦτα	
ξνθα	€νθάδε*	ἐνθαῦτα Ιοη. ἐνταῦθα Att.	
ĕνθεν	<i>ἐνθένδε</i>	<i>ἐνθ_;</i> εῦτεν Ιοη. ἐντεῦθεν Att.	
וניד	−πĵδε	ταυτη	
τῆ Ğs	$\delta \delta \epsilon$	ούτως οτ ούτω.	

On the last two series see note 7.

8. Some of these demonstratives assume in addition the Demonstrative i, § 80.

E. g. οὐτωσί — from οὐτωσίν see \$80. n. 3. ἐντευθενί, ἐνθαδί, ώδί δευρί from δεῦρο · νυνί from νῦν.

Ένταῦθα forms in this manner not only ἐντανθί, but also more commonly ἐντανθοῦ; comp. p. 269 marg.

9. The relatives here, as in adjectives (§ 80), in order to strengthen the idea of generality, append the particles οὖν and δήποτε.

E. g. ὁπουοῦν wheresoever, ὁπωσοῦν (and with τὶ inserted, ὁπωσοῦν), ὁπουδήποτε, etc.

Note 5. Just as the corresponding adjective forms (§ 79) give rise to still other correlatives, by appending their characteristic endings to words expressing general ideas (such as $a\lambda\lambda\hat{n}iss$, marroios etc. § 79. n. 2), so likewise do the adverbs, e. g. $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\hat{n}t$ another time; $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$ (corr. to interrog. $\pi\hat{\eta}$) in another way, manner; $\pi\hat{a}\nu\tau\omega s$, $\pi\hat{a}\nu\tau\eta$ (to $\pi\hat{\omega}s$, $\pi\hat{\eta}$) in every way, wholly, $\tilde{a}\hat{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{v}$, $a\hat{v}\tau\hat{o}\theta t$ (to $\pi\hat{\omega}\hat{v}$, $\pi\hat{o}\theta t$) in the same place, there, etc.—Very commonly however the adverbs derived in this manner from $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\hat{o}s$, $\pi\hat{o}\hat{s}s$ and $\tilde{c}\kappa\hat{a}\sigma\tau\hat{o}s$, are lengthened by inserting the letters $a\chi$; e. g.

άλλαχοῦ elsewhere, πανταχοῦ everywhere, πολλαχοῦ in many places, ἐκασταχόθεν from every quarter, ἀλλαχῆ, etc.

Also from the obsol. AMOΣ (whence αμα) are derived the Homeric αμάθεν from some place or other; and in the Attic language the phrases: άμῶς γέπως, ἀμῆ γέπη, ἀμόθεν γέποθεν, ἀμοῦ γέπου, in some way or other, etc.

NOTE 6. In most of the above relations, there are also formed corresponding negatives, (e. g. from $\pi \acute{o}\tau \epsilon$ and $\pi \acute{o}s$,) just as from $\tau \acute{i}s$, mostly by composition:

οῦποτε, μήποτε, never; οῦπως, μήπως, by no means.

^{*} This demonstrative-ending $\delta\epsilon$ is of course not to be confounded with that corresponding to the question whither (Text 2 above). The two however were sometimes confounded even by the ancients, and in this very word &rdd $\delta\epsilon$; see the note to Soph. Philoct. 481. Comp. also p. 269. marg.

These latter forms in Homer drop their s before a consonant, οὖπω, μήπω, Il. γ. 306. ρ. 422. They must then not be confounded with the adverb of time, πώ, --οῦπω, μήπω, not yet.--Most commonly however the negatives are formed from the old and Ionic adjective οὐδαμός, μηδαμός, none:

οὐδαμῶς by no means; οὐδαμῆ, οὐδαμοῦ, οὐδαμόθεν, etc.

Note 7. It is evident that the simple demonstrative and relative forms come from the prepositive and postpositive articles, of which they are in part actual cases, as $\tau \hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\eta}$, où, and so also of for $\vec{\phi}$ (comp. p. 269, marg. note); and that the others are adverbial derivatives from the same articles, formed in a particular manner. Indeed, just as ώς, ως, and τώς are adverbs of quality from os, o, to, so likewise obev, ote, are formed from them with other endings.* In like manner the forms ταύτη, τῆδε, οὖτως, ἄλλη, ἄλλως, come immediately from other pronouns, and οὖτω, ὧδε (from ὅδε) have the adverbial form -ω instead of ως, § 115. 6. From εκείνος also, in answer to the questions $\pi\hat{\eta}$ and $\pi\hat{\omega}s$, the forms $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\ell\nu\eta$ and $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\ell\nu\omega s$ are used. The forms ποῦ, πῆ, πῶς, etc. and others of which no Nom. is extant, as πάντη. πανταχού, etc. are formed after the analogy of the preceding.

Note 8. The ι subscript under η is improperly written (§ 115. n. 3) in those forms of which no actual Nominative, as root, is extant; consequently $\pi\hat{\eta}$, $\delta\pi\eta$, $\pi\hat{a}\nu\tau\eta$, $\hat{a}\lambda\lambda a\chi\hat{\eta}$; on the other hand, $\hat{\eta}$, $\tau\hat{\eta}$, $\tau\hat{a}\nu\tau\eta$, $\hat{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$. Many however, for the sake of uniformity, write the former in the same manner.

Note 9. The forms rore and ore, when they stand repeated (and sometimes when they stand only once) for $\pi \circ \tau \in ... \pi \circ \tau \in s$, sometimes ... sometimes (§ 149. m. 14), take also the accentuation of ποτέ; thus τοτέ—, ότέ—.

Note 10. Dialects. a. Epic writers double the π for the sake of the metre, in ὅππως, ὁππότε, etc.
b. The Ionics have κ instead of π in all the above forms; e. g. κῶς, κου,

- őκως, ὀκόθεν, οΰκω, see ∮ 16. n. 1. c.
- c. The Dorics for πότε, ὅτε, etc. have πόκα, ὅκα, etc. ibid. For ἐκεῖ they
- have $\tau\eta\nu\epsilon\dot{\iota}$, § 74. n. 1. d. Instead of the ending $\Im\epsilon\nu$ the poets have the shorter form $\Im\epsilon$, e. g. **ἄλλοθε, ἔκτοσθε**.
- e. For $\tilde{\eta}$ an epic form is $\tilde{\eta}\chi\iota$ or $\tilde{\eta}\chi\iota$.
- f. For tews and ews there are epic forms relws, elws. Hence in Homer, when ties and is stand in the place of a trochee, one must read telos and elos; see Ausf. Sprachl. II. p. 358.
- g. Other epic particles are: τίπτε wherefore? for τί ποτε, αὖ θι for αὐτόθι there, χαμάδις for χαμάζε, άλλυδις for άλλοσε.

§ 117. Mutations of some other Particles.

A. In the Letters.

1. We have already treated of the changes in oi, oik, oix, and $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$, $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$, as also of the movable final ν or s at the end of certain particles; see § 26. We remark further here, that in some of these last, there arises by this means a difference of sense. the following:

 $\pi \in \rho a \nu$, trans, beyond, on the other side, chiefly of rivers and other waters. On the other hand $\pi \in \rho a$, ultra, over, etc. where the object is conceived

^{*} With the ending Sev compare the Genitives of some pronouns, which end in the same manner, § 72. n. 6. 5.

as a bound, limit. Both are used as prepositions and as adverbs. See Lexil. II. 69.

άντικού and αντικρυς, with different accentuation. Homer uses the first form in all the significations. But in regard to Attic usage the Grammarians give the rule, that ἀντικρύ is to be employed only in the literal local sense, straight forward, over against; and arruppus only in the metaphorical sense, straightway, without hesitation, at once. But there are many opposing examples of both kinds. See the Ausf. Sprachl. εὐθύς and εὐθύ, § 115. n. 4. In the relation of time only εὐθύς, immediately.

ately, is used; but in the relation of place, commonly εὐθύ, straight to, directly to; e. g. εὐθὺ Λυκείου, εὐθὺ Ἐφέσου, straight to the Lyceum, to Ephesus, and seldom, for the metre or to avoid hiatus, εὐθύς, Eurip. Hippol. 1197.—The Ionic forms ίθύς, ίθύ, are used without any difference, and solely in the local sense.

2. The following differences of form are employed without any difference of sense; and either belong to the Attic poets, or as Ionisms are also not unknown to the Attics:

ểἀν, ἢν, ἄν, if, (see § 139. m. 24,) in the first and third forms with long a. σήμερον, Att. τήμερον, to day ; χθές and έχθές yesterday. σύν, old ξύν, with ;—els, Ion. es, in.

έν, Ion. ένί, in; see further in n. 1.

dei, Ion. and poet. alei and alev, ever.

ένεκα or ένεκεν (and this even before consonants, e. g. Xen. Hier. 3. 4. ib.

5. 1), Ion. elveka, elvekev, on account of. ἔπειτα, Ion. ἔπειτεν, afterwards.

ότι because, in common language also ότιή (Aristoph.) comp. § 77. n. 2. For of no, not, and val yes, the Attics use for the sake of emphasis ouxl (Ion. οὐκί), ναίχι, † 11. n. 2.

Note 1. Other differences of dialect are the following:

For πρός to, old προτί, Dor. ποτί.—For μετά with, Æol. πεδά.

For our therefore, Dor. and Ion. &v.

For avois again, Ion. avris.

For κέ, κέν, an enclitic particle used by the epic writers for αν (§ 139. m. 10), Dor. κā. Hence ὅκκα instead of ὅταν.

For ye at least, Dor. ya.

For el if, Dor. al, which form is used also by the epic writers, but only in al κε, al γάρ, and alθε, § 139. m. 7, 8, 66.

Other cpic forms are he for hor, as; enein for eneigh since, because; είν, είνί, for έν and ένί; μάν, μέν, for μήν, truly; αὐτάρ and ἀτάρ but.

Some prepositions, especially $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$ and $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{o}$, take in the earlier poetry, instead of a and o, the ending aι; as παραί, ὑπαί.

Some other differences of dialect see in § 116. n. 10.

Note 2. The conjunction doa, and the prepositions mapá and dvá, often drop the final vowel among the Dorics and in the epic language, even be fore consonants, as $\tilde{a}\rho$, $\pi \acute{a}\rho$, $\tilde{a}\nu$ (or $\vec{a}\nu$); e. g.

ουτ' αρ φρένας, παρ θεφ, αν δέ.

When in this case av comes to stand before a lingual, it is an old usage, instead of αν πέλαγος, αν μέγα, and the like, to write

άμπέλαγος, άμμέγα.

See § 25. n. 4.—The same apocope occurs also in the prep. kará; but since τ cannot stand at the end of a word (§ 4. 5), this preposition connects itself in like manner with the following word. The τ is then always assimilated to the following consonant, which consequently is written double: or.

where this latter is a rough mute, the τ becomes the kindred smooth mute before it; thus

καττόν · καδδέ, καμμέν, καγγόνυ, * καπφάλαρα,

for κατὰ τόν, κατὰ δέ, κατὰ μέν, κατὰ γόνν, κατὰ φάλαρα, etc. The Doric ποτί (for πρόs) does the same, but only before another τ, e. g. ποττόν for ποτὶ τόν.†—We add further that all these changes and modes of orthography occur also in compound words; thus:

παρθέμενοι, παρστάσα· ἀνστάντες, ἀννείμη· ἀλλέξαι, ἀγξηραίνω καττανύσαι, κατθανείν· καββάς, κακκείοντες, κάλλιπον, καμμύω, καννεύσας, κάππεσε, καβρέζω· κακχεύαι. So to avoid the concurrence of three consonants, wo find κάκτανε, κάσ χεθε, ἀμνάσει, for κάκκτανε, κάσσχεθε, ἀμμνάσει Il. λ. 702. Pind. Pyth. 4. 54.

In like manner the prepositions $d\pi\delta$ and $i\pi\delta$ are also apocopated in composition, though seldom, and only before kindred consonants, as $d\pi\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\epsilon\nu$, $i\beta\beta\delta\lambda\epsilon\nu$.

B. Changes in the Accent.

3. Most of the primitive prepositions of two syllables (§ 115. 2), which have the accent on the last syllable, draw back the tone in the following manner:

1) When they stand in the figure Anastrophe, i. e. after the

noun which they govern; c. g.

τούτου πέρι for περὶ τούτου Βεῶν ἄπο for ἀπὸ Βεῶν.

But from this rule are excepted ἀμφί, ἀντί, διά, and ἀνά.

2) When they are used alone, instead of forming compounds with the verb elva; or, more accurately, when the verb is omitted, and they stand alone as adverbs; in which case the common language also adopts the Ion. evl for ev; e.g.

έγω πάρα, for πάρειμι έπι, ένι, ΰπο, for έπεστιν, etc.

to which we must also reckon ανα for ανάστηθι, up!

Note 3. More exact critics accent the prepositions in the above manner, when in poetry they stand after their verb, e. g. λούση ἄπο, for ἀπολούση; and when also in poetry they stand as adverbs, e. g. πέρι very, before others. They write also ἄπο, when this preposition means not merely from, but apart, remote from, comp. § 115. 6. But in all this, and in the exceptions from the preceding rules, there is no uniformity in our editions.

Note 4. Another rule is, that when in the first of the above cases, (the anastrophe,) the preposition is elided, it takes no accent, as θεῶν ἀπ'—, not θεῶν ἀπ'—; but not so in the second case, e. g. οὐ γὰρ ἔπ' ἀνήρ (for ἔπεστω).

this term was ambiguous even among the ancients, since they employed it also to designate the drawing back of the tone in both the cases here mentioned.

See the Ausf. Sprachl.

^{*} In this single instance γγ is of course pronounced like gg, and not like ng.
† Recent editors mostly prefer to write âμ πέλαγος, κὰδ δέ, κὰμ μέν, κὰγ γόνν,
πὸτ τόν, by which means they separate in writing, that which is united in pronunciation. If we would be consistent, we must write âν πέλαγος, just as we divide
the EMITTPI of the ancients into ἐν πυρί. But then follows of course κὰτ δέ, which
is absurd. It is better therefore to write καδδέ, καττόν, etc. like δοἰμάτιον, οδπί,
ἐνάδα, etc.

—The same transposition of monosyllabic prepositions is mentioned § 147. n. 10. For ¿£ and ¿£, os and os, and the like, see § 13. 4.

Note 5. The Interjection & has also a twofold accent, viz. the circumflex in the sense of calling, etc. i. e. before the Vocative; but the acute or grave, when employed as an exclamation, i. e. before the other cases; e. g. Soph. Aj. 372 & δύσμορος, δε $\mu \epsilon \theta \bar{\eta} \kappa a$, O unhappy man that I am! & $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ availelas of the impudence! & $\mu \omega_i$ wo is me! and so also in the exclamation & $\pi \delta m \omega_i$. But there is as little uniformity in respect to this word, as in the former examples (note 3); see the Ausf. Sprachl.

FORMATION OF WORDS.

118. DERIVATION.

1. The formation of words, in the fullest sense of this expression, lies beyond the limits of ordinary grammar. The analogies in the older or primitive portion of a language are often changed or obscured by time and by the mixing together of roots. Hence, on the one hand, it is impossible definitely to mark these analogies; while, on the other hand, a full understanding of them presupposes an extensive and profound course of study, which, under the general name of philology, is, for practical reasons, separated from ordinary grammar.

2. Certain kinds and forms of *derivation* however,—which may for this reason be regarded as more *recent*,—have been preserved so complete, and lie within such definite limits, that they can with certainty be reduced to a systematic arrangement. Such a method of bringing them together, under a general view, so much facilitates and promotes the knowledge of a language, that the grammar can well afford a place for an exhibition of this

kind.

3. Under this general division, however, we can here include only Verbs, Substantives, Adjectives, and Adverbs; since the other parts of speech either belong to the old primitive formation above referred to, or have been already treated of in this work under other heads. The general subject of the derivation of words, we may divide into two principal parts: 1) Derivation by Endings. 2) Formation by Composition.

119. Derivation by Endings.

1. In appending derivative or formative endings, there existed two principles, viz. the tendency to express like significations by the same endings; and the tendency to adapt the endings as much as possible to the form of the primitive word. That from the collision of these two principles there arose a manifold confusion in the analogy, will be very obvious from the following specifications.

I. VERBS.

2. Of verbs, we are to consider here chiefly those which are derived from nouns, either substantives or adjectives. This derivation is commonly made by means of the following endings:

άω, έω, όω, εύω, άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω.

These endings take the place of the Nominative-ending, when the primitive word belongs to the first or second declension; and also in words of the third declension, if the Nom. ends in a vowel, or in s preceded by a vowel; e. g. τιμή τιμάω, πτερόν πτερόω, βαῦμα βανμάζω, ἀληθής ἀληθεύω. In other words of Dec. III, these endings take the place of os in the Genitive; e. g. κόλαξ κολακεύω, πῦρ (πῦρός) πῦρόω.

- Note 1. Nominatives of the third declension in a, as, as, which assume a consonant in the Genitive, can pass over only into kindred verbal endings, as a and as into άζω, αίνω,—as into ίζω; e. g. θαῦμα θαυμάζω and θαυμαίνω, δλπίς ἐλπίζω. Every ending not thus kindred is appended to the consonant of the Genitive; e. g. φύγας φυγαδεύω, χρημα χρηματίζω.
 - 3. As to the signification of these endings, we can here take into view only general usage, and specify the primary idea of the greater number of verbs under each ending.
- a. έω and εύω. These verbs are formed from nouns of almost all endings, and mostly express the state or action of that which their primitive word signifies; e. g. κοίρανος ruler, κοιρανέω rule; κοινωνός partaker, κοινωνέω partake; δούλος servant, δουλεύω serve; κολαξ flatterer, κολακεύω flatter; αληθής true, αληθεύω speak the truth; βασιλεύς βασιλεύω, etc. They are most commonly intransitive; sometimes however transitive, e. g. φίλος friend, φιλέω love.
- in general these two endings are the most common ones in derivative verbs, and serve therefore to express a multitude of relations, which are likewise partially included under the following endings; thus especially the practice of that which the radical word signifies, e. g. πολεμεῖν, ἀθλεῖν; πομπείεν, χορείεν, φονείεν, βουλείεν; or whatever else is in each case the most natural relation, e. g. αὐλός flute, αὐλεῖν play the flute; ἀγορά assembly, ἀγορείειν address an assembly; ἱππείειν ride on horseback, etc.—The ending έω more especially, as the simplest of all, is used for most of those derivatives which are first formed by composition, as εὐτυχέω, ἐπιχειρέω, οἰκοδομέω, ἐργολαβέω, μυησικακέω, etc.—In all instances these endings are most commonly intransitive.
- b. άω. These verbs arise most naturally from words of Dec. I, in a and η, but also from others. They express chiefly the posses sion of some thing or quality in a special degree, and also the performance of an action; e. g. κόμη hair, κομᾶν to have long hair; χολῆ bile, χολᾶν to have much bile, be angry; λίπος fat, λιπᾶν to have much fat, be fat; βοή cry, γόος lamentation,—βοᾶν, γοᾶν; τόλμα boldness, τολμᾶν dare. Hence, and they denote the performance of an action towards others; e. g. τιμή honour, τιμᾶν τινα to honour any one.* See also the verbs of disease in m. 13, below.



^{*} Here and in other similar cases it may appear strange, that the abstract noun should be the primitive word, from which the verb is derived. But this case is not rare; if, as is very common in all languages, the substantive is first derived from an older and simpler verb, and then again forms from itself a verb, which supplants

- c. όω. These come mostly from words of Dec. II, and express: 1) The making or transforming into that which the radical word signifies; δουλόω make a slave, δηλόω make known from δήλος known. 2) The working with or applying the thing signified by the root; χουαόω gild, μιλτόω paint with vermilion (μιλτός), πυρόω put in the fire, τορνόω form with the τόρνος, turn, ζημιόω punish from ζημία. 3) The furnishing with or imposing the thing signified by the root; στεφανόω crown, πτερόω give wings to (πτερόν), σταυρόω crusify, etc.
- d. άζω and ίζω. The first ending comes most naturally from words in a, ης, aς, etc. sometimes also, for the sake of euphony, from other endings. Both comprehend so many relations, that they cannot well be brought under definite classes; e. g. δικάζω, χειμάζω, προοιμάζω, όρίζω, μελίζω, δερίζω, λακτίζω, etc. Still it deserves to be noted, that when they are formed from the proper names of nations or persons, they mark the adoption of the manners, party, or language of the same; e. g. μηδίζειν to become a Mede in sentiment, ελληνίζειν to speak Greek, δωριάζειν to speak Doric, φιλιππίζειν to be of Philip's party. See also below in m. 14.
- e. alvω and ύνω. The latter ending comes always from adjectives, and expresses the making or causing to be such as the adjective signifies; e. g. ηδύνειν to make sweel, σεμνύνειν to make venerable, dignify. It must here be observed, that those adjectives, whose degrees of comparison in ίων, ιστος, presuppose an obsolete positive in vs. form the verbs in όνω from this last; e. g. alσχρός (αlσχίων from AIΣΧΥΣ)—αlσχύνω; so μακρός, καλός,—μηκώνω, καλλύνω, etc.—The same signification is often found in verbs in αίνω, as λευκαίνειν to make white, κοιλαίνειν to hollow out, etc. Still several of these have a neuter signification, as χαλεπαίνειν, δυσχεραίνειν, become angry, etc. They come sometimes also from substantives, especially those in μα (σημα σημαίνω, δείμα, δειμαίνω), and express various relations.
- 4. A special mode of deriving verbs from nouns, is simply to 10 change the ending of the noun into ω ; and then the preceding syllable, according to its consonants, receives one of those additions which we have noted in § 92, as giving a *strengthened* form to the Present.

Thus are formed from ποικίλος ποικίλλω, ἄγγελος ἀγγελλω, καθαρός καθαίρω, μαλακός μαλάσσω, φάρμακον φαρμάσσω, μείλιχος μειλίσσω, πυρετός πυρέσσω, χαλεπός χαλέπτω, etc. The relation of the sense to that of the root, is in every instance the most natural and obvious one.

- 5. There remain still the following more limited classes of derived forms of verbs:
- 1) Desideratives, which mark a desire, and are commonly tormed by changing the Future in -σω of the verb expressive of the thing desired, into a Present in -σωίω; e. g. γελασείω I should like to laugh, πολεμησείω I long for war, etc.

Another class of desideratives, is formed in άω or εάω, derived properly 12 from substantives, e. g. Savarāv to long for death, στρατηγιάν desire to be leader; then also from verbs, by first forming these into substantives, e. g. δυνείσθαι (ωνητής)—ωνητιάν to wish to purchase; κλαίω (κλαῦσις)—κλαυσιάν to long to weep.

This form passed over very naturally into a sort of imitative verbs, e. g. 13

the first. This is manifestly the case in $\tau(\omega, \tau, \mu h, \tau, \mu d\omega)$; and it may therefore well be assumed in others, as $\beta o h$, $\nu i \kappa \eta$, etc. although in many instances neither the one nor the other can be definitely maintained.

τυραννιᾶν to play the tyrant. But it is incorrect to reduce under this head the verbs of disease, as ὀφθαλμιᾶν, ὑδεριᾶν, ψωρᾶν, etc. which are better referred to m. 6, above.

- 14 2) Frequentatives in ζω, e. g. ριπτάζειν (from ρίπτειν) to cast hither and thither, Mid. to cast one's self hither and thither, be unquiet; στενάζειν (from στένειν) sigh deep and often; alτεῖν ask, alτίζειν beg; ἔρπειν creep, ἐρπύζειν creep slowly.
 - 3) Inchoatives in $\sigma \kappa \omega$, see § 112. 14, and **n**. 7.

II. Substantives.

6. We here begin with substantives derived immediately.

A. From Verbs.

In respect to these it must be premised in general:

- 15 1) That the endings beginning with a consonant or with a vowel necessarily accord with the corresponding forms of flexion in the verb only in those points, which rest upon the fundamental rules of the language, (§ 16 sq.) e. g. Nouns in σις with the Fut. in σω, as έξετάζω -άσω -ασις, τρίβω τρίψω (i) τρῖψις; those in μός, μα, μη, with the 1 pers. Perf. Pass. as πλέκω πέπλεγμαι πλέγμα, etc. In all others there exists indeed a frequent accordance between the similar endings of the verb and the verbal nouns, but not a necessary one; e. g. in respect to the vowel before the ending, as in δέω (δήσω, δέδεμαι) δέμα and διάδημα; but βιόω (βιώσομαι etc.) βίστος, and the like.
- 6 2) That the endings beginning with a vowel (as η, ος, ευς) are also formed from contracted verbs in έω and άω in such a manner, that ε and a fall away; except however in the shorter verbs, which cannot drop their vowel, as belonging to the root, but only change it, as ρέω, ροή.
- Note 2. Before τ and μ the letter σ is inserted, as in the Perf. and Aor. 1 Passive; and this in all nouns derived from verbs whose characteristic is a lingual, a few poetic forms excepted; § 102. n. 1, $3au\mu\alpha\tau\delta s$. Those from verbs pure, on the contrary, sometimes take the σ and sometimes not, without reference to the flexion of the verb.—Where the σ is not inserted, we can in general in all the endings safely follow the analogy of the Future; thus e. g. $3\epsilon\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}s$, $9\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha$, $3\hat{\nu}\mu\alpha$, have the vowel (a, v) long, like $3\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $3\dot{\nu}\sigma\omega$; but with this limitation, that those endings which begin with σ and τ sometimes shorten the long vowel, especially when the verb itself shortens it in the Aor. 1 Passive; see below, m. 23 and 30, also § 95. n. 4 and marg. note.—The endings beginning with μ , on the contrary, conform in this respect almost without exception to the analogy of the Fut. 1, neglecting even that of the Perf. Passive; see below, m. 19.
- 7. In order to express the action or effect of the verb, the following endings are principally employed: $\mu \delta s, \, \mu \eta, \, \mu a, \, \sigma \iota s, \, \sigma \delta a, \, \eta \, \text{ or } a, \, \sigma s \, \text{Masc. os Neut.}$
- 19 a. μ ós, $\mu\eta$ or $\mu\dot{\eta}$, μa , G. τ ós. These endings can indeed be compared with the Perf. Passive; but nouns in μ ós, when a vowel precedes in the radical form, commonly assume σ ; while on the other hand those in

Note 3. Some nouns in μ is from the more ancient language, have before 20 μ simply the vowel, without σ ; e. g. des μ is fear, kroups a being cold, frost; —or they have instead of σ a 9; e. g. drand μ is dance from drand μ in μ

Note 4. The above differences of signification it is necessary to mark as 21 a basis; but at the same time it must not be forgotten, that both in the poets and in the common language, the significations especially of the abstract and concrete, often flowed into one another. Thus e. g. $\lambda \alpha \chi \mu \phi s$ (comp. § 23 note) and $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \phi s$ mean, not the act of casting lots and of prophesying, but the lot, the oracle; on the other hand, $\phi \rho \phi \eta \eta \mu a$ the understanding, etc.

b. — $\sigma\iota s$, $\sigma\iota a$, mark the proper abstract of the verb, from which 22 signification they deviate very little; e. g. $\mu\iota\mu\eta\sigma\iota s$ imitation, $\pi\rho\tilde{a}\xi\iota s$ action, $\sigma\kappa\tilde{\eta}\psi s$, etc. Sokupavía trial, Suvia sacrifice, έξοπλισία, etc. In certain compounds the ending $\sigma\iota a$ expresses the action more as a permanent quality, e. g. $\delta\xi\nu\beta\lambda\epsilon\psi\iota a$, $\kappa\alpha\chi\epsilon\xi\iota a$; but these forms imperceptibly pass over into the similar ones derived from nouns; comp. below in m. 35.

Note 5. Some of the forms which belong here deviate in quantity from 23 the analogy of the Future of their verbs; viz. alpeques, yévesus, Hests, $\lambda \dot{\nu}_{\sigma is}$, $\delta \dot{\nu}_{\sigma is$

The following can be less definitely characterized in respect to 24 signification; though the idea of the abstract predominates.

c. — η and α , mostly oxytones, e. g. εὐχή prayer, from εὕχομαι; σφαγή slaughtering, from σφάττω; διδαχή teaching, from διδάσκω; χαρά rejoicing, from χαίρω;—and with the alternate o for ϵ , (like the Perf. 2, $\frac{1}{2}$ 97. 4. c.) τομή from τέμνω, φθορά from φθείρω, doιδή from ἀείδω, etc.—Some assume a reduplication, which corresponds to the Attic reduplication of the Perfect, and always has an ω in the second syllable; e. g. ἀγωγή from ἄγω, ἐδωδή from ἔδω (ἔδηδα), ὀκωχή from ἔχω. Comp. marg. note on p. 239, 240.

Paroxytones are e. g. βλάβη injury, from βλάπτω, βλάβω; μάχη battle, from μάχομαι; νίκη victory, from νικάω.—Here too are to be referred those in

— ela, which are formed solely from verbs in εύω by changing 25 ευ into ει; ε. g. παιδεία from παιδεύω. These have always the final a long, and therefore the acute accent on ει.

26 Note 6. In regard to the tone of all nouns in εια, the following are the general rules; compare also § 34. n. II. 3.

Properispomena are the feminines of exytone adjectives in ús, e. g. ήδύε,

ήδεῖα.

Proparoxytone are: 1) Abstract nouns in ηs and os, e. g. αλήθεια (see m. 35), βοήθεια from βοηθός. 2) Feminines from masculines in ευς, e. g. lépeia priestess, see m. 47. 3) Feminines from masc. baryt. in υς, e. g. 9ελεια from 9ῆλυς.

Paraxytone are abstracts from verbs in εύω, just adduced.

27 d. — os Masc. The far greater part of these have o in the principal syllable, either by nature or as alternate for ε; e. g. κρότος clapping of hands, from κροτέω; φθόνος envy, from φθονέω; λόγος discourse, from λέγω; ρόος (ροῦς) from ρέω.—But also ελεγχος confutation, from ελέγχω; τύπος from τύπτω; πάλος from πάλλω, etc.

To these may be added substantives in ros, which are commonly oxytone, e. g. αμητός mowing, κωκυτός howling; sometimes with slight changes, as verός rain, from τω; παγετός frost, from πήγνυμι. Some have the tone drawn

back; e. g. βίστος life; πότος drinking, from πίνω πέπομαι.

- 28 e. os Neut. E. g. τὸ κῆδος care, from κήδω; λάχος lot, from λαγχάνω; πρᾶγος i. q. πρᾶγμα etc. These verbals never have o in the principal syllable; hence τὸ γένος race, genus; but ὁ γόνος procreation.
- 29 8. The subject of the verb, as a person or man, is marked by the following endings:
 - a. της (G. ov), τηρ, τωρ. The most common of these is the ending της, in Dec. I; and the words are partly oxytone, partly paroxytone. E. g. άδλητής wrestler, from άδλέω; μαθητής scholar, from μαθείν; θεατής sectator, from θεάφμα; δικαστής from δικάζω; κριτής from κρίσω etc. But also κυβερνήτης steersman, from κυβερνάω; πλάστης (from πλάττω, πέπλασμαι), δυνάστης, ψάλτης, etc.

— τηρ and τωρ are less frequent forms, which in the dialects and in the poets are often in use along with της; and in many words are usual in the common language; e.g. σωτήρ saviour, ρήτωρ orator, (from σαόω and 'PEΩ,)

έστιάτωρ host, etc.

- 30 Note 7. Some of these shorten the vowel before the ending (see m. 17); e. g. ἐπενδῦτης, Θύτήρ, Θέτης, αἰρέτης; and especially those from ἡγέομαι compounded with a substantive, as όδηγέτης, Μουσηγέτης or Μουσαγέτης.
- 31 b. εύς. Ε. g. γραφεύς writer, φθορεύς corrupter.
 - Note 8. The endings in lett. a, b, have in part passed over to things, which can be conceived of as the subject of an action; e. g. ἀήτης wind, ἐπενδύτης outer garment, πρηστήρ storm, ζωστήρ girdle, ἐμβολεύς piston, stamp, etc.—The poetic use of such masculine forms in connection with feminines, is a license of Syntax; see § 123. n. 1.
- 32 c. os, mostly in composition; e. g. ζωγράφος painter, πατροκτόνος patricide, etc. But (δ, ή) τροφός one who educates, doidos singer, etc. and some old words, as άρχός leader Hom.
 - d. ης and aς, G. ov. Only in some compounds, as μυροπώλης, τριηράρχης (and -os), δρυιθοθήρας, πατραλοίας, etc.
- 9. The names of *instruments* and other objects connected with an action are formed from the foregoing names of subjects; or at least presuppose such in their formation. So especially:
 - τήριον, τρον, τρα, from the subject-ending τηρ; e. g. λουτήριον, bathing-tub, λουτρόν bath, λουτρον water for bathing, ακροατήριον lecture room, ξύστρα curry-comb, ὀρχήστρα place for dancing.

- είον, from the ending εύς; e. g. κουρείον barber's shop, from κουρεύς barber, and this from κείρειν to shear; τροφείον ruges of one who educates, from τροφεύς.
- Another principal class of substantives is nyade up of those 34 derived
 - B. From Adjectives and words expressing Attributes.

These for the most part serve only to express the absiruct of the adjective, or attributive word. Here belong the following endings:

a. — ία, always with long a (Ion. η); e. g. σοφός wise, σοφία swisdom; so κακία, δειλία, etc. Also βλακία from βλάξ, εὐδαιμονία from είδαί μων, ονος; ἀνδρία from ἀνήρ ἀνδρός (for ἀνδρεία from the adj. ἀνδρείας son m. 86, also Ausf. Sprackl. and Passow); πενία from πένης, ητος; ἀμαθία from ἀμαθής, έος. But those in ης more commonly form their substantive in τως see immediately below.

Compound adjectives in τ os often change in this formation the τ into σ ;

o. g. αδάνατος αθανασία, δύσπεπτος δυσπεψία; comp. m. 49 and 67.

From the ending a have risen by contraction those in

where the a becomes short, and the accent falls upon the antepenult. The former ($\epsilon \omega$) comes from adjectives in ηs , G. $\epsilon o s$, e. g. $a\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega$ from $a\lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$; the latter ($o \omega$) from adjectives in o v s, e. g. $av \omega$ from $av \omega s$.

- Note 9. From some adjectives are formed abstract nouns in η or a simply, but always as paroxytones; e. g. from κακός (Fem. κακή) ή κάκη for κακία; from $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho\dot{\phi}s$ (Fem. $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho\dot{\alpha}$) ή $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho\alpha$ enmity. Hence from adjectives in we, e. g. δσως, άξως, αίτως, come the subst. ή όσία right, duty, àξία dignity, altía fault, guilt; all which forms, by accident, are not distinguished from the feminine adjective.
- b. της, G. τητος, Fem. E. g. ισότης equality from ίσος, πα- 37 χύτης from παχύς. All are paroxytones, with a few exceptions, as ταχυτής, δηϊοτής, G. ητος.
- c. σύνη. Ε. g. δικαιοσύνη, δουλοσύνη, most frequently from 38 adjectives in ων G. ονος, e. g. σωφροσύνη from σώφρων G. ονος. Those which have a short vowel in the syllable before the antepenult, take in the antepenult ω, like comparatives in τερος; but except lερωσύνη priesthood in Demosthenes, there are very few words of this class, and these in the later Greek. See Fischer ad Weller. II. p. 40.
- d. os Neut. especially from adjectives in υς, e. g. βάθος 39 depth, from βαθύς, τάχος from ταχύς. Hence too from such, whose degrees of comparison seem to presuppose an old positive in υς, e. g. τὸ κάλλος, τὸ αἶσχος, τὸ μῆκος, from καλός (καλλίων), αἰσχρός (αἴσχιστος), μακρός (μήκιστος). Comp. m. 9, above.
 - 11. Of the substantives which come
 - C. From other Substantives,

are first to be remarked some endings, which are formed simply after the analogy of verbals. Thus:

1) Masculines in της (of which all in bτης have long t) often denote simply a person in some relation to the object expressed by the radical word; e.g. πολίτης citizen, from πόλις city; ὁπλίτης an armed man, from ὅπλον; ἱππότης rider, from ἵππος; γενειήτης a bearded man, from γένειον; φυλήτης member of a class or tribe, from φυλή. All these pass over occasionally into the adjective signification, § 63. n. 7.



40

- 41 2) In the same manner those in εύς; e. g. iepeús priest, from leρόν temple (or from τὰ leρά sacrifice); γριπεύς and ἀλιεύς fisher, from γριπος net and ἀλς sea; γραμματεύς, etc.
- 42 12. All other substantives of this kind may be brought under the following subdivisions:
 - 1) Those which denote a place consecrated to a divinity, in ιον, αιον, ειον; e. g. Διονύσιον, 'Αφροδίσιον, 'Ήραιον, Μουσείον, 'Ήρακλειον.
- 2) Those which denote a place where there is a plurality of certain objects, in ών G. ῶνος Masc. and ωνιά Fem. E. g. ἀμπελών vineyard, ροδωνιά rose-garden, ἀνδρών men's apartment, μελετών hall for exercise.
 - 3) Female appellatives:
- 44 a. τειρα, τρια, and τρίς G. τρίδος; strictly from masculines in τηρ and τωρ, but also from those in της. Ε. g. σώτειρα female deliverer, όρχήστρια female dancer, αὐλητρίς female flute-player, from ὀρχηστής, αὐλητής.
- 45 b. ις G. ιδος is the most common ending, and comes in the place of the Masc. ης and as of Dec. I. Ε. g. δεσπότης master, δεσπότις mistress; Ικέτης Ικέτις, Σκύθης Σκύθις, μυροπώλης μυρόπωλις a female dealer in ointment. Comp. έπτέτις etc. § 70. n. 2.
- 46 c. αινα, chiefly from Masc. in ων, e. g. Δεράπων (οντος) Δεράπαινα female servant, λέων (οντος) λέαινα lioness, τέκτων (ονος) τέκταινα female artisan, Λάκων (ωνος) Λάκαινα. Also from some masculines in os, e. g. βεός βέαινα.
- 47 d. ειἄ, from two masculines in εύς, viz. ἴέρεια priestess, from lερεύς; βασίλεια queen.
- 48 e. σσα from several endings of Dec. III. Ε. g. βασίλισσα from -εύς; πένησσα from -ης; ἄνασσα from ἀναξ; Κίλισσα, Θρῆσσα (Attie Θρῆττα), from Κίλιξ and Θρῆξ or Θρῆξ.
- 49 4) Gentile nouns, or national appellatives. These are comprised in three classes: A. Masculine; B. Feminine; C. Possessive (adjectives).

A. MASCULINE.

- ιος, also αίος from Dec. I. Ε. g. Κορίνθιος, Τροιζήνιος, 'Ασσύριος, Βυζάντιος (from Βυζάντιον); 'Αθηναίος, Λαρισσαίος; sometimes with a change of the radical word, e. g. from Μίλητος, Μιλήσιος (comp. m. 35), and from names in οῦς G. οῦντος not only 'Οπούντιος, but also from 'Αμαθοῦς, Φλιοῦς, 'Αναγυροῦς—'Αμαθούσιος, Φλιάσιος, 'Αναγυράσιος.
- 50 ηνός, ανός, îνος, only from names of cities and countries out of Greece; e. g. Κυζικηνός, Σαρδιανός Ion. Σαρδιηνός from Σάρδεις, 'Ασιανός, Ταραντίνος.
- 51 Ιτης, ήτης, ἄτης,* ιώτης. Ε. g. 'Αβδηρίτης, Χεβρουησίτης, Αλγωήτης from Αίγωα; Πισάτης, Σπαρτιάτης (Ιοπ. -ιήτης), Σικελιώτης.
- 52 εύς. Ε. g. Αἰολεύς, Φωκεύς a Phocian (of Phocis), Δωριεύς, Μεγαρεύς from Μέγαρα, Μαντινεύς from Μαντίνεια, Πλαταιεύς from Πλαταιαί, Φωκαιεύς or better Φωκαεύς a Phocæan from Φώκαια, Εὐβοεύς from Εὔβοια.
- 53 B. Feminine.—Besides the usual change of the Masc. ending of into η and a, e. g. 'Ασιανή, 'Αθηναία, these either simply change (by m. 45) the ης of the Masc. endings into ις, e. g. Σπαρτιάτις, Συβαρῖτις, etc.—or they

^{*} The rule, that gentile nouns in $d\tau\eta s$ have long a, must not be extended to those which are not derived from some primitive name, as in Zappd\u00fc\u00fc\u00e4s Sarmata.

append the endings is and as, as euphony may require, to the stem itself; e. g. Alohis, $\Delta\omega\rho$ is, $M\epsilon\gamma a\rho$ is, $\Phi\omega\kappa$ is, $\Phi\omega\kappa$ ais, $\Delta\eta\lambda$ ias, Yas from "I $\omega\nu$, anc. Ya $\omega\nu$. All these names are used either of a female or of a country, according as the sense may require $\gamma\nu\nu\dot{\gamma}$ or $\gamma\dot{\eta}$ to be supplied.

- C. Possessive gentile words (κτητικά), as they are called, are 54 adjective forms derived immediately from gentile nouns, and express only a relation to these, mostly that of possession, like -ish in the words English, Spanish, etc. They have almost exclusively the ending κός, see m. 71; e. g. Συβαριτικός, Κορινθιακός, Λακεδαιμονικός.
 - 5) Patronymics, or names derived from ancestors.

A. Masculine. The endings here are:

- ίδης, άδης, ιάδης, Gen. ov. These are the most usual endings; and indeed the form in ίδης may be considered as the primary one, which is derived from names of most terminations; while on the contrary, the form in άδης comes only from names in as and ης of Dec. I. E. g. Κέκροψ Κεκροπίδης, Κρόνος Κρονίδης, 'Αλκαΐος 'Αλκαΐδης · Βορέας Βορεάδης, 'Ιππότης 'Ιπποτάδης. The ending ιάδης probably arose chiefly on account of names in ιος, where this form was occasioned by a regard to euphony; e. g. Μενοίτιος Μενοιτιάδης. But the agreeable cadence of this ending (- -), and especially the wants of hexameter verse, occasioned this form to be appended also to many names, which presented a long syllable before the patronymic-ending; e. g. Φερητιάδης from Φέρης, ητος, Τελαμωνιάδης, 'Αβαντιάδης, etc. On the other hand the dramatic Iambic verse favoured the common form, which therefore also occurs from similar names, e. g. Παλλαντίδης, 'Αλκμαιωνίδης, Πελοπίδης, 'Ομηρίδης.
- ιων G. ωνος (rarely ονος) is an infrequent form existing along 56 with the other, but only in the poets; e. g. Κρονίων, 'Ακτορίων. The quantity of the ι is determined by the metre; e. g. Κρονίωνος, Κρονίονος.

Note 10. Patronymics from names in $\epsilon \acute{\nu} s$ and in $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ have originally 57 $\epsilon \acute{t} \delta \eta s$; and thence in the common language by contraction $\epsilon \acute{t} \delta \eta s$; e.g. $\Pi \eta - \lambda \epsilon \acute{t} \delta \eta s$, Tude $\acute{t} \delta \eta s$, from $\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu} s$, Tude $\acute{\nu} s$; 'Hrakheidhas from 'Hrakhûs;—and so in the ending $\iota \omega \nu$, e.g. $\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \omega \nu$.—The Dorics retained the uncontracted form; e.g. $K \rho \eta \theta \epsilon \acute{\nu} s$ $K \rho \eta \theta \epsilon \acute{\nu} s$ From the Ionic flexion $\epsilon \acute{\nu} s$ G. $\hat{\eta} o s$, comes the epic form $\Pi \eta \lambda \eta \acute{u} \delta \eta s$, etc.

Note 11. In like manner o is contracted with ι, in Πανθοίδηs, Λητοίδηs, 58 from Πάνθουs (Πάνθουs), Λητώ G. (όοs) οῦς Latona.

Note 12. Not unfrequently the proper name of a man has in itself the 59 patronymic form, e. g. Μιλτιάδης, Σιμωνίδης, Δευκαλίων. Sometimes the same name appears in both forms; e. g. Εὐρυτος and Εὐρυτίων. This gave occasion to the epic writers, in such names as did not commonly end in ων, to presuppose such a form, and thence to derive a patronymic suitable to their metre; e. g. from ᾿Ακρίστως—᾿Ακριστωνιάδης, from Ἰαπετός—Ἰαπετιονίδης. But, for like reasons, they sometimes omitted in the patronymic the ων of such words as really had it; e. g. Δευκαλίων—Δευκαλίδης.

- B. Feminine. These correspond in general to the masculines; 60 viz. to those in ίδης, άδης, the feminines in ίς and άς, e. g. Τανταλίς, Άτλαντίς, Θεστιάς;—to those in είδης, the feminines in ηΐς, e. g. Νηρηΐς;—to those in ίων, the feminines in ιώνη and ίνη, e. g. ᾿Ακρισιώνη, ᾿Αδρηστίνη.
- 6) Diminutives, ὑποκοριστικά. Of these there are various 61 endings:
- a. ιον (τό) is the chief ending; e. g. παιδίον a small child, σωμάτιον a small body, ράκιον from το ράκον, etc. In order to render the diminutive more emphatic, this ending is often made a syllable longer in the



following ways: ίδιον, άριον, ύλλιον, ύδριον, ύφιον. Ε. g. πινακίδιον from πίναξ, παιδάριον from παΐς, μειρακύλλιον from μεῖραξ, μελύδριον from το μέλος, ζωύφιον from ζώον.

- 62 Note 13. Of these words, all which have four or more syllables, are proparoxytone, (to which belong also the contracts, as βοίδιον for βοίδιον,) and likewise most of those which have three short syllables. Those of three syllables which form a dactyl, are with few exceptions paroxytone.
- 63 Note 14. The ending ίδιον is contracted with several vowels, as βοίδιον, γήδιον, strictly γήδιον. With ν and ι, the contraction is into ν and ι; e. g. ιχθύδιον, ύδιον, from ιχθύς, δε; ίματίδιον from ιμάτιον. Words in ις and υς (G. εως) contract into είδιον, as ρησείδιον from ρῆσις, ἀμφορείδιον from ἀμφορεύς. The form ιδιον in those in ις G. εως is in Attic writers doubtful.— The ending άριον has always short a.
- Note 15. Many words in ων have entirely lost their diminutive sense;
 e. g. θηρίον beast from δ θήρ, βιβλίον book from βίβλος.
 - b. Ισκος, Ισκη. Ε. g. στεφανίσκος, παιδίσκη.
- 65 c. ίς (ή) G. ίδος and ίδος. Ε. g. Δεραπαινίς from Δεράπαινα; πινακίς from δ πίναξ; σχοινίς, ίδος, from σχοίνος, etc.
 - d ύλος Dorie. E. g. Έρωτύλος from Έρως.
 - e. ιδεύς, only of the young of animals; e. g. ἀετιδεύς from ἀετός. This form to a certain extent passes over to the patronymic signification; e. g. νίιδεύς son's son Isocr. Ep. 8. init.

Some peculiar forms, as πολίχνη from πόλις, πιθάκνη from πίθος, are best learned from observation.

III. Adjectives.

- 66 13. Of the Adjectives which exhibit evident marks of analogous derivation, by far the greater part end in os. Here however the next preceding letters must always be taken into the account.
 - a. ως is one of the most usual endings; of which we can only say, that it is immediately derived only from nouns, and mostly from primitives; and that it signifies what belongs or relates to, or is derived from the object denoted by the noun; e. g. οὐράνιος, ποτάμιος, ξένιος, φόνιος, ἐσπέριος, etc.—This ending is also particularly used, when from an adjective in or a new adjective is derived; e. g. ἐλεύθερος free, ἐλευθέριος liberal; καθαρός clean, καθάριος cleanly, etc.
- Note 16. When the ending we is appended to a word which has τ, this is sometimes changed into σ; e. g. ἐνιαντός year, ἐνιαύσως yearling, yearly; ἐκών, όντος—ἐκούσως. See also above, m. 49 and 35.
- From this ως arise, strictly speaking, by the union of the ι with a preceding vowel, the particular endings

atos, etos, otos, wos.

- E. g. dyopaios from dyopá, 'Adyvaios from 'Adyvai; aldoios, hisos, from aldis -dos, his -dos; omovdeios from omovdh (instead of omovdhios). Still, usage has sometimes regarded one of these endings (ϕ os) as more definite and emphatic; e. g. π arpios generally, 'what relates to one's forefathers, native country,' π arpios specially, 'what relates to one's father;' and so then also the forms $\mu\eta\tau$ pios, π annios.—More especially is the ending
- 69 ειος in use, as a derivative from words denoting living beings; e. g. ἀνθρώπειος human, λύκειος of a wolf, ἀνδρεῖος, γωναικεῖος, etc. (On the contrary of lifeless objects, οἰκεῖος οπε's own.) This is the most

common form of derivation from proper names of persons, the ending of which in any way admits it; e. g. Ομήρειος, Ἐπικούρειος, Ηνθαγόρειος, Εὐ-ριπίδειος, etc.

- b. cos denotes chiefly the material from which anything is 70 made, and is contracted into our; see § 60. 6.
- c. κός is to be taken in a sense quite as general as ιος, and 71 extends itself also to verbs, (as γραφικός belonging to painting, ἀρχικός fit to rule, etc.) The most usual form is -ικός, and when at precedes, there commonly arises the form -αϊκός, e. g. τροχαϊκός from τροχαΐος. From words in us is formed -υκός, e. g. 3ηλυκός; and -ακός from endings which have ι before them, e. g. 'Ολυμπία, 'Ίλιος—'Ολυμπίακός, 'Ίλιακός· μανία, μανιακός · σπονδείος, σπονδείος, σπονδείος, κουρείου, κουρεικός. Instead of the simpler -ικός however, the ending -ιακός is often preferred, on account of its better cadence, although it is strictly a double derivation, e. g. Κόρινθος,—Κορίνθιος, α Corinthian, Κορινθιακός Corinthian. Comp. above the ending -ιάδης.
- d. νός an old Passive ending (like τός, τέος); hence δεινός 72 terrible, σέμνος (from σέβομαι) venerable, στυγνός hateful, etc.
- ἴνος as proparoxytone, denotes almost exclusively the material, e. g. ξύλινος vooden, λίθινος, etc. A single exception is ἀνθρώπινος i. q. ἀνθρώπειος human, etc.—As oxytone, it forms adjectives of time, e. g. ἡμερινός, χθεσινός of yesterday, from χθές; seldom with long ι, as in ὀπωρινός in Hom.

The word πεδίνός and those in εινός indicate a fullness or something entire, etc. πεδινός entirely level, ὀρεινός mountainous, εὐδιεινός entirely cheerful, etc.

- îvos, avos, ηνός, belong only to gentile words; see m. 50.
- e. λος, an old Active ending; hence δειλός one who fears, 73 timid; εππαγλος one who makes others fear, formidable, see p. 247. marg. Most common are the lengthened endings ηλός and ωλός, which indicate propensity and habit, as ἀπατηλός deceitful, ὁμαρτωλός accustomed to sin, a sinner, etc.
- f. ιμος is confined almost wholly to verbals; it marks fitness 74 both Active and Passive, and is appended after various analogies; e. g. χρήσιμος useful from χράομαι, τρόφιμος nourishing, Javáσιμος deadly, πότιμος drinkable. This ending is also sometimes lengthened by αίσς, as ὑποβολιμαῖος.
- g. ρός, ερός, ηρός, express mostly the idea of fullness, e. g. 75 okerpos full of grief, φθονερός full of envy, νοσηρός and νοσερός sickly.
- h. αλέος signifies nearly the same; e. g. βαβραλέος (from θάβρος), ρωμαλέος, δειμαλέος, ψωραλέος, etc.
 - i. $\tau \circ s$ and $\tau \in s$, see § 102.
 - 14. Other adjective endings are the following:
- a. εις, G. εντος, with preceding ι, η, or o, denoting a fullness; e. g. χαρίεις full of grace, υλήεις full of woods, πυρόεις full of fire.—For the contraction of these adjectives, see § 41. n. 5. § 62. n. 3.
- b. ηs , ϵs , G. ous, serves for derivation only in composition 77 (§ 121. 9. a); still there arises from it the special ending
- dons, ωdes, G. ous, with a shifting of the accent, from -aeidis (stem eldos form, manner); e. g. σφηκώδης wasp-like, γυναικώδης womanly; but

most commonly denoting a fullness, multitude, and especially frequent in a sense of censure; e. g. ψαμμώδης full of sand, αίματώδης full of blood, bloody, λυώδης full of mire.

78 c. — μων, G. ονος, belongs to verbals after the analogy of substantives in μa, and in part first formed from these. The signification for the most part follows the active quality denoted by the verb. E. g. νοήμων intelligent, from νοείν; πολυπράγμων busy, busily occupied, from πολύς and πράγμα or πράττειν; ἐπιλήσμων forgetful, etc.

Finally, a multitude of adjectives arise simply through composition, of which we shall treat in the following sections.

IV. ADVERBS.

- 79 15. Besides the simple mode of forming adverbs by changing the flexible ending of adjectives into ως, which has already been treated of in § 115, there are still to be noted the following adverbial endings:
 - a. $\delta\eta\nu$. These are solely verbals, and express the manner of the verbal action. The ending is appended partly in the manner of the endings $\tau \acute{e}os$, $\tau \acute{o}s$; but with the necessary change of the verbal characteristic, and never with σ . E. g. $\sigma\nu\lambda\lambda\dot{\eta}\beta\delta\eta\nu$ taking all together, i. e. on the whole, in general; $\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\beta\delta\eta\nu$ secretly; $\beta \acute{a}\delta\eta\nu$ step for step, slowly; $\dot{a}\nu\acute{e}\delta\eta\nu$ unrestrained, fearlessly, from $\dot{a}\nu\acute{e}\eta\mu$, $\dot{a}\nu\acute{e}\tau\acute{o}s$.—Partly it is also appended in the form $-\dot{a}\delta\eta\nu$ to the stem itself, with the alternate vowel o; e. g. $\sigma\pio\rho\acute{a}\delta\eta\nu$ scattered, $\pi\rhoo\tau\rhoo\pi\acute{a}\delta\eta\nu$ ($\dot{\phi}e\acute{\nu}\gamma\dot{e}\iota\nu$, to fly) turned forwards, i. e. without looking back.
- 80 b. δόν, ηδόν. These come mostly from nouns, and relate chiefly to external form and nature; e. g. ἀγεληδόν in droves; βοτρυδόν grape-like; πλινθηδόν (from πλίνθος) laid like tiles; κυνηδόν dog-like.—When they come from verbs, they coincide with those in δην; e. g. ἀναφανδόν visibly, before the world.
- 81 c. i or el. These mark some circumstance connected with the action expressed in the sentence. The genuineness of the one or the other ending is to be decided by euphony; in the poets perhaps too by the metre, since i can also be short; and by the tradition in the manuscripts.— Verbals especially terminate in
- 82 τί or τεί, which endings are appended entirely in the manner of the ending τός; e. g. δνομαστί by name; εγρηγορτί waking. So especially in words compounded with a negative; e. g. ἀγελαστί without laughing; ἀνιδρωτί without sweating, without difficulty; ἀμαχητεί without fighting; ἀκηρυκτεί or -ί without proclamation.—Hence, and from what was said above (in m. 8) of verbs in ἰζω, comes the signification of the adverbs in -ιστί, after the manner, custom, language, of a nation, class, individual, etc. E. g. λληνιστί in the Greek manner, in the Greek language; γυναικιστί in the manner of women; so ἀνδραποδιστί, βοϊστί, etc.
- 83 Those formed from nouns have simply \(\ell \) or \(\ell \) in the place of the ending of flexion; so that in \(\ell \) κοντί willingly, \(\alpha \) are \(\ell \) without injury, from \(\alpha \) τη, the \(\ta \) belongs to the radical form. The most are compounds; e. g. πανδημεί as a whole people, with united strength, etc. αὐτονυχί in the same night,



this very night (from an old flexion, $vi\xi$, $-\chi \delta s$); duagei without battle; advocated with one's own hand; duagei without wages; phasivel unpunished, with the negation $v\eta$ - § 120. n. 12.

d. — ξ, an infrequent form, which commonly takes the palatal 84 already existing in the radical word; the signification is very general. E. g. ἀναμίξ mixed together, pell-mell; παραλλάξ alternately; ὀκλάξ (from ὀκλάζω) cowering, squatting; ὀδάξ with the teeth, from ὀδούς.

FORMATION BY COMPOSITION.

1 120. First Part of Compound Words.

- 1. The first component part of every compound word is either a noun, a verb, or an indeclinable word.
- 2. When the first word is a *Noun*, its ending of flexion is commonly changed into o; which however is regularly elided, when the last word begins with a vowel. E. g.

λογοποιος, παιδοτρίβης, σωματοφύλαξ, ἰχθυοπώλης from ἰχθύς, ύος ; δικογράφος from δίκη.

νομάρχης from νόμος and ἄρχω; παιδαγωγός from ἄγω, ἀγωγή; καχεξία from κακός and έχω.

In most cases nevertheless, where the ending of the first noun has ν or ι , the o is not assumed. E. g.

εὐθύδικος, πολυφάγος, πολίπορθος, from εὐθύς, πολύς, πόλις. In the same manner after ou and au, e.g.

βουφορβός, ναυμαχία, from βοῦς, ναῦς, and often after ν , e. g.

μελαγχολία, μελάμπεπλος, from μέλας, ανος ; παμφάγος from πας, παυτός.

Note 1. The o remains sometimes before vowels, especially before those of which it can be assumed (§ 6. n. 3), that in the earlier language they had the digamma; e. g. $\mu\eta\nu \sigma\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\eta}s$, $\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon\iota\kappa\dot{\eta}s$, $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\sigma\epsilon\rho\gamma\dot{\sigma}s$. But in compounds with $\bar{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\sigma\nu$ or EPPQ, the o is commonly contracted with the ϵ ; as $\delta\eta\mu\iota\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\dot{\sigma}s$, $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\dot{\sigma}s$, $\kappa\alpha\kappa\sigma\dot{\nu}\rho\gamma\sigma s$.

Note 2. An ω in place of this o, comes either from the Attics, or from the contracted forms of declension; e. g. $\nu\epsilon\omega\kappa\delta\rho\sigma$ s from $\nu\epsilon\omega s$; $\delta\rho\epsilon\omega\kappa\delta\mu\sigma$ s from $\delta\rho\epsilon\omega s$; $\delta\rho\epsilon\omega s$; $\kappa\rho\epsilon\omega\phi\delta\mu\sigma$ s from $\kappa\rho\epsilon\alpha s$ G. aos, ωs . The word $\gamma\hat{\eta}$ earth becomes in all compounds $\gamma\epsilon\omega$, e. g. $\gamma\epsilon\omega\gamma\rho\delta\phi\sigma$ s, instead of $\gamma\alpha\sigma$, from the old form TAA; see § 27. n. 10.

Note 3. Some primitives in μα, G. ματος, simply change their a into o, or cast it off; e. g. αlμοσταγής, στομαλγία; from αίμα, στόμα.

NOTE 4. In some compounds, especially in poetical ones, the form of the Oat. Sing. or Dat. Plur. is assumed in composition: e. g. πυρίπνους. νυκτι-

πόρος, γαστρίμαργος, ὀρεινόμος (from ὄρος, εος), ναυσιπόρος, ἐγχεσίμωρος.*—A shortening of this last (the Dat. Plur.) is the very common form in εσ (from os G. εος), e. g. τελεσφόρος, σακέσπαλος, from τὸ τέλος, σάκος.

- Note 5. There are still some peculiar single forms, which must be left to observation; e. g. μεσαιπόλιος from μέσος; όδοιπόρος from δδός; ἀργίπους from ἀργής οτ ἀργός; ποδανιπτήρ from ποῦς, ποδός; ἀκράχολος from ἀκρος; Θηβαγενής, μοιρηγενής, from Θηβη, μοῖρα; ἐλαφηβόλος, λαμπαδηφόρος, from ἔλαφος, λαμπάς;—and the apparently retained or of the Nominative in Θεόσδοτος, λαοσσόος, comp. marg. note.
- 3. When the first word is a Verb, its ending is commonly changed into ϵ without change of the characteristic, or else into $\sigma \iota$. E. g.

ἀρχέκακος from ἄρχειν, δακέθυμος from δάκνω, ἔδακον. λυσίπονος from λύω, τρεψίχρως from τρέπω, ἐγερσέχορος from ἐγείρω.

Here too the vowel can be elided; e.g.

φέρασπις, ρίψασπις.

- Note 6. The examples are less frequent where ι stands without σ , as in repaixépauvos and in many from $\tilde{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$, e. g. $\tilde{a}\rho\chi\iota\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\sigma$; or where the verb takes σ , as in $\phi a\iota\nu \rho\mu\eta\rho$ is, and in almost all compounds with $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi\omega$, e. g. $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi\sigma\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota\nu$.—The learner will note the forms $\tau a\mu\epsilon\sigma\iota\chi\rho\omega$ s from $\tau\epsilon\mu\nu\omega$, $\epsilon\tau a\mu\sigma\nu$; $\lambda\iota\pi\epsilon\sigma\dot{\gamma}\nu\omega\rho$ from $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi\omega$, $\epsilon\iota\pi\omega$; and the form (shortened from the former) $\phi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\beta\iota\sigma$ for $\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\beta\iota\sigma$.
- 4. Indeclinable words remain unchanged in composition, with the exception of such changes as are effected by general rules, and, in prepositions, by elision. Ε. g. ἀγχίαλος from ἄγχι and ἄλς; παλαιγενής from πάλαι; ἀναβαίνω, ἀνέρχομαι, from ἀνά; ἐξέρχομαι, ἐκβαίνω, from ἐξ; προάγω, περιάγω; see § 30. 2. Compare also § 25, and § 70. n. 2.
- NOTE 7. The preposition $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ makes sometimes a crasis; e. g. $\pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$, $\pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \sigma$ s, for $\pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$, $\pi \rho \dot{o} \sigma \tau \tau \sigma$ s; especially with the augment, see § 86. n. 1. —For the shortened forms $\kappa \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \nu$, etc. see § 117. n. 2.
- Note 8. That $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ does not lose the i in composition, follows of course from § 30.2. But $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$ likewise often retains it; e.g. in $\dot{a}\mu\phi ia\lambda os$, $\dot{a}\mu\phi i\epsilon eres$, from $\ddot{a}\lambda s$, $\ddot{\epsilon}ros$. The other prepositions retain their vowel only in the Ionic dialect, especially the old Ionic of the epic writers, in some compounds, where the second word originally had the digamma; in the Attic dialect this takes place only in $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota o\rho\kappa \epsilon i\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \epsilon \sigma a\sigma\theta ai$ (§ 108. III), and the adjective $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa f_{i}$.
- Note 9. In respect to the division into syllables the common rule is, that when the preposition by itself ends in a consonant, this consonant remains with the first syllable; as $\epsilon l\sigma \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a u$, $\pi \rho \sigma \dot{\sigma} \gamma \omega$, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \partial \rho \sigma s$, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a u$. But when the consonant regularly begins in the preposition itself the second syllable, it continues to do the same in the compound, even when its own vowel is elided; e. g. $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$, $\dot{d} \pi a u \tau \dot{\epsilon} u$.



^{*} Of course in these compounds real Datives are as little to be sought, as real Nominatives in Schoolors, Acordos, in n. 5. The coincidence with these cases is only accidental.

5. Of the Inseparable Particles the principal are: 1) ήμι-, half, e. g. ἡμίπους half a foot, ἡμίεφθος half-cooked, ἡμίονος a mule; 2) δυσ-, which signifies difficulty, adversity, etc. e. g. δύσ-βατος difficult of passage, δυσδαιμονία adverse fate; 3) The negative a, called

a privative,

which marks a direct negative, like the Latin in-, and the English in-, un-; e. g. $\mathring{a}\beta a \tau os$ impassable, $\mathring{a}\pi a \iota s$ childless. Before a vowel this a commonly assumes ν , e. g. $\mathring{a}\nu a \iota \tau \iota s$ innocent, $\mathring{a}\nu \acute{\eta} \iota s$ cos deaf.

NOTE 11. Wholly different from this a is another, which from its inherent augmentative power is called by many grammarians, in antithesis to the former,

a intensive.

With it we here connect also the a which has a copulative or uniting power. But the nature of this a in both these senses differs essentially from that of the preceding, in that it cannot be put arbitrarily before every word, the idea of which is susceptible of amplification; but is limited rather to a comparatively small number of words, which therefore must be noted each by itself. The following examples are among the most decisive:

- 1) Intensive: e. g. ἀτενής very intent, ἀχανής wide-gaping, ἀσπερχές and ἀσκελές very violently, ἀκήδεια deep sorrow; prob. also in ἄξυλος very woody, ἄβρομος very noisy.
- 2) COPULATIVE: e. g. ἀκοίτης fem. ἄκοιτις and ἡ ἄλοχος (from κοίτη and λέχος) bedfellow; ἀγάλακτες suckled together; ἀγάστορες from one womb, kinsmen, also ἀδελφός, τη, brother, sister; ἀτάλαντος of one weight, equal; ἀκόλουθος (from κέλευθος) a follower, companion; ἀβολεῖν to meet together. In all these examples the ἀ probably has its origin from the asperated ἀ in ἀπλοῦς, ἄπας, ἄμα.

There remain still some instances, where the a is superfluous, or is of uncertain and difficult origin; e. g. $aaa \chi \epsilon \tau os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, $aaa \chi \rho os$, etc.

Note 12. An infrequent form of negation is the inseparable νη-, e. g. νή-ποινος unpunished; νηστις fasting, from νη- and ἔδω; νώνυμος from νη- and δνομα.

Note 13. We may further note as inseparable particles,

άρι, έρι, and ζα,

all intensive; e. g. ἀριπρεπής very distinguished; ἐρίβρομος loud sounding; ζαμενής very bold.

6. In all compounds, where the second word begins with ρ, and a short vowel comes to stand before it, the ρ is regularly doubled (§ 21.2); e. g. ἰσορρεπής, from ἴσος and ρέπω; περιβρέω, ἀπόρρητος, ἄρρητος from ἀ and ρητός.

- 121. Second Part of Compound Words.—Two kinds of Composition.
- 1. The form of the *last* part of a compound determines the character of the whole word; which accordingly is either a Verb, a Noun, or a Particle.
- 2. The most usual method with Verbs is the loose composition so called, παράθεσις; in which the verb remains unchanged, and retains its own peculiar flexion with both augment and endings. But, strictly speaking, this occurs only with the eighteen primitive prepositions (§ 115. 2); and is in fact no real composition. The prepositions in this case can properly be considered only as adverbs closely connected with the verb; in the sense of upwards, inwards, forwards, away, etc. Every similar connection of an unaltered verb with ordinary adverbs and other words, is always regarded as a simple juxtaposition, and the two are therefore for the most part separately written; e. g. εὐ πράττευ, κακώς ποιείν.
- Note 1. In the earlier poetry it was sometimes customary to write in one word certain verbs, especially participles, with a preceding adverb intimately connected with them; e. g. αὐέρυσαν for αὖ ἔρυσαν they bent back, i. e. the neck of the victim; παλιμπλαγχθύντας, etc. So too even with an Acc. governed by the verb; as δακρυχέων. Such double compounds also, as ἀντευποιείν, ἀντευπείσεται, (Plato, Demosth.) can well be written only as one word. See Wolf. Præf. ad Iliad. LXI.
- Note 2. For the very reason that the usual composition of verbs with prepositions is to be regarded in this same manner, such compounds admit in poetry the figure called *Tmesis*; see § 147. n. 7.
- 3. The proper or close composition (σύνθεσις) on the contrary, in which the first word unites itself completely with the following, (which is true also of the inseparable particles,) can be admitted by verbs only when they undergo some change in their That is to say, there thus arise peculiar compound forms of verbs with a derivative ending, most commonly in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$; where, for the most part, a noun compounded in the manner shewn below (4-7) lies at the basis. E. g. from έργον and λαμβάνω comes έργολάβος, and hence έργολαβεῖν; from εὖ and ἔρδω (ΕΡΓΩ) comes everyetys benefactor, and hence everyeteiv to do good: from δυσ- and ἀρέσκω comes δυσάρεστος displeased, δυσαρεστείν to be displeased, etc. So when instead of φείδεσθαι to spare, the negative idea of not to spare, to neglect, was to be expressed with a privative, there arose from the Adj. ἀφειδής the verb ἀφειδεῖν.—In the same manner there exist some instances of close composition even with prepositions; see § 86. 2.
- Note 3. When in this kind of composition the verb sometimes appears unchanged, it arises from an accidental coincidence of the derivative end-

ing with that of the verbal root; e. g. ποιέω make, μελοποιός, μελοποιέω make verses. So μυροπωλέω comes not from μύρον and πωλέω, but from μυροπώλης; ἀφρονέω not from α- and φρονέω, but from ἄφρων, etc. In like manner ατιμάω is not formed from τιμάω, but is a secondary form from ατιμάζω, which comes from ατιμος.

- 4. In compound Nouns, only the close composition can properly have place; although the last part is often an unaltered But even when both parts remain unchanged, they are still regarded as expressing a single compound idea; and are consequently never separated by Tmesis. It is here the less usual case, that the last noun continues to express the principal idea, which then is modified or defined by the preceding part; and the instances which do occur, are for the most part words compounded with prepositions; e.g. πρόξενος the public or state guest; σύνοδος a coming together; ομόδουλος fellow-servant. Only Adjective's usually have their simple signification modified by means of this kind of composition; e. g. πιστός credible, άπιστος not to be credited; φίλος loved, υπέρφιλος immoderately loved. But when e. g. an abstract substantive, as τιμή honour, is to receive a negative form by means of a privative (dishonour), there is first formed in this manner (no. 5) an adjective άτιμος, and thence a new substantive, ἀτιμία.—In such compounds, adjectives in ύς mostly adopt the ending ής; e. g. ήδύς, pleasant, ἀηδής unpleasant; βαρύς heavy, οἰνοβαρής heavy with wine, etc.
- 5. But in most nouns compounded in this manner, the second part does not express the principal idea or *subject* of the thought which lies at the basis of the compound; but only its *object*. This last part of the compound is very often an *unaltered* noun; and is indeed always so, whenever the simple noun has an ending not incompatible with the nature and gender of the compound to be formed. Thus:

ἄποικος, δεισιδαίμων, do not denote an οίκος, a δαίμων, which are then rendered determinate by the first part of the compound; but the former means one who is ἄπο τοῦ οίκου absent from his house or home; the latter, one δείσας τοὺς δαίμονας fearing the gods. So ἄπαις is one who has no child, childless; μακρόχειρ one who has a long hand; ἔνθεος inspired from God; ἐπιχαιρέκακος one who ἐπιχαίρει τοῖς κακοῖς rejoices in evil, makicious.

When however the original ending of the noun is not compatible with the intended compound, the latter assumes the simplest kindred ending of declension, i. e. consequently either a simple s, or some one of the endings os, ws G. ω , η s G. ω s, ω s G. δ os, or of those which arise from the alternation of vowels mentioned ϕ 63. 2, viz. $\omega \nu$ and $\omega \rho$; e. g.

adarpus (from dárpu) tearless; τρεχέδειπνος (from τρέχω and δείπνον) one who runs after suppers; εὐθύδικος one who exercises exact right (δίκη);

ἄτιμος deprived of honour (τιμή), dishonoured; φιλοχρήματος one who loves money (χρήματα); εὕγεως (from εὖ and γῆ) of a good soil (γῆ, γεω-), fertile; λιπόνεως leaving his ship; κακοήθης of evil disposition (ῆθος); ἄναλκις G. ιδος without courage (ἀλκή); σώφρων one who has sound sense (σῶς and φρήν), sensible; εὐπάτωρ noble.

Compare for all these forms \ 63. In this way arise a great part of all compound adjectives, as also of substantives which imply an attribute.

- 6. Most frequently, however, when a compound noun is to be formed by the help of a verb, the verb stands last and takes the ending of a noun. In this case the preceding word or first part contains either the limitation or the object of the verbal action; e. g. $\epsilon \rho \gamma o \lambda \alpha \beta o s$ one who undertakes a work, $i\pi \pi o \tau \rho \phi \phi s$ one who raises horses, etc. The simple ending os is the most common in this sort of composition. Besides this there are, for substantives, the endings ηs and as of Dec. I, see the examples \$ 119. m. 32; and for adjectives the endings ηs of Dec. III; e. g. $\epsilon \nu \mu a \theta \eta s$ Neut. ϵs , one who learns well. There are further the other noun-endings mentioned \$ 119. 8; e. g. $\nu o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \eta s$ from $\nu \delta \mu o s$ and $\tau \delta \eta \mu u$, etc.
- 7. From all such primary compounds, there are found again other derivative compounds, like $\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \iota \mu o \iota \alpha$, $\nu o \mu o \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$, $\nu o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \delta$, etc. So likewise the compound verbs mentioned in no. 3 above; as $i \pi \pi \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \epsilon \omega$ from $i \pi \pi \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \delta \sigma$, $\epsilon \iota \pi a \theta \epsilon \omega$ from $\epsilon \iota \pi a \theta \sigma \delta \sigma$, etc.
- 8. Among the changes which sometimes occur in composition in the *second* word or *last* part, it is to be particularly noted, that words beginning with short α or with ϵ and o, very commonly assume η or ω . This is never the case with verbs compounded with prepositions in the manner exhibited in no. 2 above; but it can have place in attributive words derived from such verbs, and consequently in compound verbs of the second class (no. 3 above); e. g.

υπήκοος obedient, from υπακούω; στρατηγός leader, commander, from στρατός and ἄγω, (but see p. 14 for those with a derived from ἄγω and ἄγυνμι); κατήγορος accuser, κατηγορέω, from κατά and ἀγορά, ἀγορεύω; εὐήνεμος with fair wind, from ἄνεμος; δυσήλατος from ελαύνω; ἀνώμοτος unsworn, from ὅμνυμι, etc.

In the compounds from ὄνομα, the second o is also changed into υ, as ἀνώνυμος, εὐώνυμος, εἰώνυμος, ετc.

9. In respect to the ACCENT, the general rule is, that in composition the accent of the simple word (according to the analogy laid down in § 12. 2. a) is drawn back as far as the nature of the accent permits. Thus, e. g. from τέκνον, Θεός, come φιλότεκνος, φιλόθεος; from δδός σύνοδος; from παις, παιδός, comes ἄπαις, ἄπαιδος; from τιμή ἄτιμος; from ἐταιρος, παρθένος, come φιλέταιρος, εὐπάρθενος; from αἰόλος changeable, παναίολος wholly

changeable; from παιδευτός come ἀπαίδευτος, δυσπαίδευτος, etc. Here however the following exceptions to the general rule are to be noted:

- a) The adjective-ending ης, ες, has more commonly in composition the accent upon the ending; e. g. φιλομειδής, προσφιλής, ἀπαθής. Still, many of these compounds, as those with ήθος, μῆκος, τεῖχος, ἀρκέω, draw back the accent, e. g. εὐήθης, εὕηθες, αὐτάρκης, etc. So too those in -ώδης § 119. 14; eomp. the Ausf. Sprachl.
- b) Verbals in $\acute{\eta}$, $\acute{\alpha}$, $\acute{\eta}$ s, $\acute{\eta}$ p, $\epsilon\acute{v}$ s, and \acute{e} os, which in their simple form have the tone on the ending, retain it there in composition; e. g. $\acute{e}\pi\iota\tau \iota\mu\eta$, $\sigma\iota\mu\phi \iota\rho\dot{\alpha}$, $\mu\iota\sigma\theta \iota\rho\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}$, $\sigma\iota\nu\delta\iota\kappa \iota\alpha\sigma\tau$, $\sigma\iota\nu\gamma\rho\rho\alpha\dot{\phi}\epsilon\dot{v}$ s, $\acute{e}\pi\iota\iota\iota\eta\eta\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ os. So substantives in $\mu\acute{o}$ s, as $\delta\iota\iota\alpha\sigma\iota\rho\mu\acute{o}$ s, $\pi\alpha\rho \iota\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$ c, etc. with the exception of those in $-\delta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\mu$ os, as $\sigma\dot{\iota}\nu\delta\epsilon\sigma\mu$ os, etc.—Compound adjectives in τ os (comp. § 60) have commonly τ os, τ o ν , with the accent drawn back; less frequently $\tau\acute{o}$ s, $\tau\acute{\eta}$, $\tau\acute{o}\nu$, where however no certain rule can be given; e. g. $\mathring{a}\pi\acute{o}\beta\lambda\eta\tau$ os, $\acute{\epsilon}\xi al\rho\epsilon\tau$ os, etc. or $\kappa\alpha\theta\epsilon\kappa\tau\acute{o}$ s, $\tau\acute{\eta}$, $\tau\acute{o}\nu$.
- c) Words, which are not themselves first compounded, but are derived from other compounds (παρασύνθετα), follow in respect to accent the general analogy of their endings; e. g. abstract verbals in ή and ά, as συλλογή, προσφορά, from συλλέγω, προσφέρω. Also ἀδικητικός from ἀδικεῖν, παροξυσμός from παροξύνω, προσδοκητός from προσδοκᾶν. But see note 7. So soon however as such words are again compounded, they draw back the accent, e. g. ἀπροσδόκητος.
- d) Those compounds, whose first part is formed from a noun or adverb, and the last part from a transitive verb, with the simple ending os, (not τ os, ν os, etc.) take the accent in the active signification regularly on the penult, if that syllable be short; but in the passive sense, on the antepenult; e.g.

λιθοβόλος throwing stones λιθόβολος thrown at with stones.

Orestes is a μητροκτόνος, but the children of Medea are μητρόκτονοι. So δικογράφος one who writes accusations, λεπτόγραφος written neatly; and thus throughout, even where only the Active signification can have place, as in οἰκονόμος, οἰνοχόος, τοιχωρύχος from ὀρύσσω, ἀδηφάγος, etc.—When however the penult is long; the accent goes to the final syllable; e. g. ψυχοπομπός, σκυτοδεψός, ἱπποβοσκός, λιθουλκός from ἔλκω, μελοποιός, δεινωπός from ΟΠΤΩ, ὁδηγός, παιδαγωγός, ἀργυραμοιβός.

Note 4. Compounds of this kind, which contrary to the rule here given are proparoxytone, are few, with the exception of some epic adjectives ($i\pi$ - π 0 δ a μ 0s), σ a κ 6 σ a λ 0s, π 7 σ 0 δ 0s); and are found only from some few verbs beginning with a vowel, as $\hat{\eta}\nu$ 10 χ 0s0 (from $\hat{\eta}\nu$ 10v1 ϵ 2 χ 0), ν 2 ϵ 2 χ 0, ν 2 ϵ 2 ϵ 3. This



accentuation lies also at the basis in the properispomena, as $\partial a \partial o \hat{v} \chi \sigma$ (from $\partial \hat{a} \partial a \tilde{e} \chi \omega$), κακοῦργος, πανοῦργος, from EPFQ. The other compounds of the same verb, which fall under this head, follow the rule; as $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \sigma \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta c$, $\lambda \iota \theta \sigma \nu \rho \gamma \delta c$, etc.

- Note 5. When the verb is intransitive, the compound remains subject to the general rule. Thus we find indeed αὐτοκτόνος (from ἐμαυτὸν κτείνω), but αὐτόμολος (from αὐτὸς ἔμολον); and likewise ἰσόρροπος, βαρύβρομος, etc. So too αἰμόρρους, πυρίπνους, etc. because in these the verbs ρεῖν, πνεῖν, are ntransitive, and the nouns are to be taken only as Datives.
- NOTE 6. Some compounds became axytone contrary to the general rule, because their derivation was less obvious; e. g. ἀτραπός, ἀδελφός, βουλυτός. See also ἀργός § 120. n. 10.
- Note 7. The few single instances, where words compounded with prepositions do not draw back the accent, e. g. ἀντίος, ἐναντίος, or where a word derived from a compound nevertheless draws back the accent, as especially many in τος, e. g. ἐξαίρετος, ἐπίληπτος, ὕποπτος, περίβρυτος, may be best learned from observation.

PART III.

SYNTAX.

122. Definition.

1. Syntax teaches the proper use of those forms, the origin and derivation of which have been shewn in the preceding parts of the grammar. For this purpose it follows the same general division of the Parts of Speech, which we have given in § 31.

2. We therefore here treat of the several parts of discourse as follows: 1) The Noun by itself, or as connected with other nouns and like words; 2) The Noun in construction, or as dependent on verbs and other words; 3) The Verb; 4) The Particles; 5) Various compound *Phrases* and *Figures of Construction*.

THE NOUN.

123. Substantives and Adjectives.

1. A substantive is rendered more definite by attributive adjuncts in apposition with it. These again may be: 1) A Substantive, or apposition in the strict sense; 2) Adjectives, to which are also reckoned the Article, Pronouns, and Participles.

2. When one substantive is put in Apposition with another, it must always stand in the same case, and usually in the same

number; e. g. Πλάτων, ὁ φιλόσοφος, etc.

Note 1. When the subst. added has special endings for the Masc. and Fem. e. g. βασιλεύς and βασιλισσα, (as in Lat. victor and victrix,) then of course it conforms to the first subst. in gender also. Hence derived substantives with a special ending of gender are not put in apposition with a subst. of different gender. Still the poets sometimes take the liberty of connecting with feminine words, nouns expressing attributes, which as to form are only masculine (§ 119. 8); e. g. Μοῦσαι ἴστορες ψδῆς, Ἑρινῦες λωβητῆρες, παμβώτορα γαῖαν, φίλων διαφθορεῦ addressed to a woman, Eurip. Hipp. 682; see Valck.

Note 2. More on this subject see among the rules for the Article, § 124. 3; also in § 129. n. 15; and for adjuncts in the Accusative, see § 131. n. 13.

3. Every word joined to a substantive in the nature or quality of an *Adjective* (no. 1 above), must agree with the same in gender, number, and case.

- NOTE 3. A deviation from the general rule is the constructio ad sensum (κατὰ σύνεσιν), so common in Greek. This is found already in the Homeric τέκνον φίλε (§ 32. n. 1); and is more fully treated of in § 129. 11.
- 4. It is an apparent exception to the above rule, and one very common among the Attics, when with the Feminine Dual are joined adjectives and the like with a masculine ending; e.g. with the art. τὼ θεώ and τοῦν θεοῦν (Ceres and Persephone) from η θεός; τὰ χεῦρε Xen. As now we have seen above († 60. 3, 4, comp. † 79. n. 4), that adjectives in oς, especially among the Attics, are often of common gender; so here we need only to make the supposition, that in the Dual this is commonly the case with all adjectives and other like words.

Examples: Xon. Cyr. 1. 2: 11 μίαν ἄμφω το ύτω τὸ ἡμέρα λογίζονται they regard them as one. Plat. Phædr. p. 237. d, ἡμῶν ἐν ἐκάστω δύο τινέ ἐστον Ιδέα ἄρχοντε καὶ ἄγοντε, οἶν ἐπόμεθα. So too τούτοιν τοῖν κινησέοις Plat. etc.

- Note 4. The mixing of *Dual* and *Plural* forms occurs for the most part only in the construction of the subject and predicate; see § 129. 6. But when Homer often connects $\delta\sigma\sigma\epsilon$ $\phi a\epsilon \nu \dot{a}$, $ai\mu a\tau \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a$, $\delta\alpha\nu\mu a$ $\delta\alpha\nu\rho\epsilon$, this is quite analogous to the construction $\delta\sigma\sigma\epsilon$ $\delta ai\epsilon\tau a$ Od. ζ . 131, see § 129. 3; from which it is evident that he takes $\delta\sigma\sigma\epsilon$ and $\delta\alpha\nu\rho\epsilon$ as Plurals of the neuter gender.
- 5. An Adjective can stand without a substantive, not only in reference to a substantive expressed in the same connection or sentence, but also very often without any such reference. In this latter case a substantive is either actually omitted where it might stand, or at least the idea of such a substantive is always implied; as man, woman, thing, etc. Such an adjective then acquires entirely the nature of a substantive; e. g. δ σοφός the wise man, οἱ πολλοἱ the many, the people, τὰ ἐμά my things.
- NOTE 5. Such omissions of substantives, by which the adjective and its appropriate article come to stand alone, (with which is to be compared the usage in § 125. 5, 7,) have in many instances become established by usage. Thus, besides the above, we may note the following:

ήμέρα, e. g. ἡ ἐπιοῦσα, ἡ ὑστεραία, ἡ προτεραία. γῆ, χώρα, e. g. ἡ ἄνυδρος the desert, ἡ ἡμετέρα, etc. χείρ, e. g. ἡ δεξία, ἡ ἀριστερά. γνώμη, e. g. κατὰ τὴν ἐμήν Plato.

From this obvious tendency, for the sake of brevity, merely to indicate those substantives which may easily be supplied from the connection, has arisen the very frequent usage, that in a sentence the substantive implied in the idea of the verb is omitted, and the adjective alone remains; e. g. τὴν αὐτὴν ἰέναι sc. ὁδόν· ὡς βαθὺν ἐκοιμήθης sc. ὕπνον· ἐς μίαν βουλεύειν sc. βουλήν· τοῦτον ὁλίγας ἔπαισε sc. πληγάς.

Note 6. Vice versa, the Greeks often connect with those personal appellations, which denote an occupation or character, (as herdsman, judge, etc.) the words ἀνήρ and ἄνθρωπος in the manner of adjectives, whenever those terms are to be taken as referring to personal individuals, and not as mere appellatives. Thus e.g. ποιμήν herdsman stands alone only in actual reference to his herd; but ἀνήρ ποιμήν stands, where we indeed commonly say a herdsman, but where the more exact idea is, a man who is a herdsman. So Hom.

Σν ἐπιβουκόλον ἄνδρα. Plato ἀνδρὶ στρατηγῷ. Lys. p. 186 ᾿Αθηναῖοι νομο-

θέτας ήγοῦντο Τισαμενὸν κὰι ἐτέρους, ἀνθρώπους ὑπογραμματέας. When directed to more than one it is a form of respectful address; e. g. ἄνδρες δικασταί, ἄνδρες στρατιώται.

Note 7. It is a poetic usage worthy of notice, when an adjective, instead of being referred to a Genitive, is referred to the noun on which that Genitive depends; e. g. Soph. OT. 1400 τοὐμὸν αίμα πατρός. Ant. 793 τόδε μεῖκος ἀνδρῶν ξύναιμον. Eurip. Herc. F. 445 οὐ δύναμαι κατέχειν γραίας δοσων πηγάς.

EXAMPLES: οἱ Ἑλληνες εἶδον ἄσμενοι τοὺς γηλόφους—ἦλθὸν δὲ ἐκταῖοι εἰς Χρυσόπολιν Χοn. An. 6. 4. 38.—ἐφέστιοι ἐζόμεθα Soph. OT. 32.—τὸ δὲ μὴ φεύγειν τοὺς πόνους, ἀλλὰ ἐθελοντὴν ὑπομένειν, τῷ ἄρχειν παιδευομένῳ ἄν προσθείημεν Χοn. Mom. 2. 1. 3.

7. The Comparative degree, when the idea with which comparison is made is omitted, has as in Latin the force of our Positive with too, quite too, very.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΣΒ: Hdot. 6. 108 ήμεῖς έκαστέρω οἰκέομεν. 1. 116 ἐδόκεε ἡ ἀπόκριστις ἐλευθερωτέρη εἶναι. Τhuc. 8. 84 ὁ δε αὐθαδέστερόν τι ἀπεκρίνατο.

Note 8. The comparative is strengthened by ἔτι, πολό, and even (pleonastically) by μᾶλλον; e. g. II. ω. 243 ῥητεροι μᾶλλον. Hdot. 1. 32 μᾶλλον δλβιώτερος. Plat. Legg. p. 781 τὸ θῆλυ γένος λαθραιότερον μᾶλλον καὶ ἐτικλοπώτερον ἔφυ διὰ τὸ ἀσθενές. Comp. also with the Dat. § 134. 4. d. For the comparative in construction with the Genitive, and also before ἤ, ἡ κατά, with whole clauses, see § 132. 11, and n. 22, 23.

8. When a comparative refers to another quality of the same object, this latter stands, as in Latin, not in the positive, but also in the comparative.

Examples: Aristoph. Ach. 1078 Ιω στρατηγοί πλείονες ή βελτίονες. Hdot. 3. 65 δείσας μή ἀπαιρεθέω τὴν ἀρχήν, ἐποίησα ταχύτερα ή σοφώτερα. Eur. Mod. 490 ἰκόμην πρόθυμος μάλλον ή σοφωτέρα.

124. THE PREPOSITIVE ARTICLE.

1. The Prepositive Article, δ, ή, τό, being in itself originally a demonstrative Pronoun, possesses a demonstrative power; since it brings an object, whether abstract or concrete, distinctly before the mind of the hearer, as one well known or already definitely mentioned. It serves therefore, first, to individualize, or point out one object as a definite individual from among the rest; and, secondly, to mark also a class or genus, where the speaker takes for granted, that he may refer to a generic idea as one familiar to all. E. g. οἱ Ṣeοὶ ἐκόλασαν τὴν τοῦ ἀνδρὸς ὕβριν.—αἱ ἡδοναὶ πείθουσι τὴν ψυχὴν μὴ σωφρονεῖν.—ὁ ἐλέφας τὸν δράκοντα ὀῥρῶδεῖ.

2. The indefinite article of modern languages is in Greek never expressed; and it is only when that which is indefinite, is at the same time to be clearly marked as an individual, that the pronoun τὶς, τὶ, in some measure takes its place. Ε. g. γυνή τις ὅρνιν εἰχεν. Ηdot. 7. 57 ἕππος ἔτεκε λαγών. Εur. Or. 716 πιστὸς ἐν

κακοις άνηρ κρείσσων γαλήνης ναυτίλοισιν είσοραν.

3. Proper names, from their very nature, do not need the article. It is however inserted, when the name has been already mentioned, or is well known; so that the article then implies: 'the one before spoken of,' or 'whom we all know.' It is, however, regularly omitted, when a name is first introduced in the narrative; and also often when the name is followed by a more definite attribute with the article; e. g. Σωκράτης ὁ φιλόσοφος, Πρόξενος ὁ Βοιώτιος the Βαοτίαη; but Θουκιδίδης 'Αθηναίος Th. an Athenian. Compare Krüger on Xen. An. 6. 2. 13.—The names of rivers are often put between the article and the subst. ποταμός, as ὁ Εὐφράτης ποταμός; but, on the other hand, Σελινοῦς ποταμός a river named Selinus.

Note 1. The Greek article corresponds in many respects to the English the; but more nearly to the German der, die, das. It may indeed be assumed in general, that where in English or German the article is or may be omitted, there the Greek usually omits it. But the learner should remember, that, both in Greek and in modern languages, it often depends on the taste and choice of the writer, whether to conceive of an object as definite or indefinite; comp. n. 7.—We have therefore now to point out particularly those cases, in which the Greek usage really differs from our own.

Note 2. The Greek language employs the article in connection with many Pronouns, where the English omits it; especially with the demonstratives, which with us include the definite article in themselves; e. g. obvos v. ἐκεῖνος ὁ ἀνήρ; see more in § 127.—With demonstratives which include the idea so, such, (as τοιοῦτος, τοτοῦτος) where we use sometimes the indefinite article (such a man) and sometimes none at all, there is also in Greek a twofold usage, with and without the article, according as it is either the object so qualified or the quality as such, that is to be made prominent. E. g. after a general description, it is said, ὁ τοιοῦτος ἀνήρ οὐκ ἄν μοι ἀρέσκος, it. the such man, Engl. such a man. On the other hand, Dem. Ol. p. 35 ol ᾿Αθηναῖοι οἰκοδήματα καὶ κάλλη τοιαῦτα καὶ τοσαῦτα κατεσκεύασαν such and so beautiful, etc.

Note 8 The article is found also in Greek, but not in English, before interrogative Pronouns; that is, when they refer to something before mentioned. So in seenic dialogue: Eur. Phæn. 718 τὸ ποῖον; τὰ ποῖα ταῦτα; So in familiar discourse: Απίτορh. Ρας. 696 πάσχει δὲ θαυμαστόν. Τὸ τί; also in Plato, as Gorg. p 521 ἐπὶ ποτέραν οὖν με παρακαλεῖε τὴν θεραπείαν, referring to the θεραπεία before mentioned.

Note 4. Before *Possessives* the article is essential in Greek; because these in general are used of definite objects. E. g. δ ods doûlor thy slave; but ods doûlor a slave of thine; comp. § 127. 7. If the phrase with the possessive stands as a predicate, it takes no article; § 129. 2.

Note 5. The article usually stands with cardinal numerals, when of a whole only certain parts are named, or when the number mentioned is one generally known. Ε. g. τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων αἶ μὲν ἔξ φύλαι κατὰ τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους ἐγένοντο, αἶ δὲ τέτταρες κατὰ Τεγεάτας. Hdot. 4. 28 ἔνθα τοὺς ὀκτὰ τῶν μηνῶν ἀφόρητος οἶος γίνεται κρυμός. Comp. Plato Rep. p. 460. c. Xen. An. 2. 6. 15 ἦν δέ, ὅτε ἐτελεύτα, ἀμφὶ τὰ πεντήκοντα ἔτη, as a round number.

Note 6. The learner must however guard himself against the impression, that in certain cases the Greek article could stand directly like our indefinite one. The true view depends rather on a right estimate of the manner of conception, which lies at the basis (n. 1); as is manifest from the following examples. Xen. (Ec. 15. 7 εῖ μοι αὐτίκα δόξειε γεωργεῖν, ὅμοιος ἄν μοι δοκῶ εἶναι τῷ περιώντι ἰατρ ῷ, εἰδότι δὲ οὐδὲν ὅ,τι συμφέρει τοῖς κάμνουσιν, where we commonly say, to a physician; here the object is in itself indeed indefinite, but it appears in this connection and because of the epithets περιώντι and εἰδότι as distinctly marked and almost individualized. Plate Lach. p. 184 καὶ γὰρ ἔτι τοῦ διακρινοῦντος δοκεῖ μοι δεῖν ἡμῖν ἡ βουλή, Engl. there is need of a man, of some one; but also: of the man to decide, etc. This usage of the article is common with Participles, see § 144. 1, and n. 1, 2. Plate Rep. p. 329 τὸ τοῦ Θεμιστοκλέους εὖ ἔχει, δς τῷ Σεριφίφ λοιδορουμένφ... ἀπεκρίνατο, where we commonly translate, but inexactly: to a certain Seriphion; so too Cic. de Sen. 3, Seriphio cuidam. But in Plat. Charm. 7 is now read: δς ἐπὶ καλοῦ λέγων παιδός κτλ.

Note 7. On the other hand, it is far more common, that the Greeks, even when speaking of entirely definite relations or things, could omit the article; where we either must or at least do usually insert it. But this must not be understood, as if sometimes an indefinite mode of expression might stand instead of a definite one. It appears rather as a license, which in the earlier language, and especially in the poets, was without much lim. itation; while in common usage it was gradually contracted to some particular instances. Thus the article is omitted: 1) Before words implying a general idea in sententious expressions; e.g. Plato Legg. p. 727 θεΐον γὰρ αγαθόν που τιμή. Charm. 18 οὐκ ἄρα σωφροσύνη αν είη αίδώς; Theæt. 13 αΐσθησις, φής, επιστήμη; Xen. Mem. 4. 3. 14 ανθρώπου ψυχή βασιλεύει εν ήμιν. But also in more concrete instances: Xen. An. 6. 3. 14 οὐ γὰρ δόξης όρῶ δεομένους ύμας els ανδρειότητα, άλλα σωτηρίας. 2) In cortain adverbial adjuncts, where we also often omit it, as lέναι ἐπὶ Θήραν, ἐπὶ λείαν, εls προβολήν, δρόμφ. Of time, as χειμώνος άρχομένου, διμα ήμέρα, μέχρι δείλης, έπελ ήμέρα ήν πέμπτη Thuc. Of place, as έν αλγιαλφ, έν λιμένι, πρὸς πόλιν q.d. cityward. 3) With such words as are usually sufficiently individualized by the connection or context; e. g. πόλις, μήτηρ, πατήρ, γονείς, παίς, θεός, and the like; especially when they stand in an oblique case. 4) With such appellatives as approach the nature of a proper name, e. g. ήλιος, σελήνη, γη, θάλασσα, οὐρανός; also βασιλεύς said of the king of Persia, see Heindorf on Plat. Euthyd 8.—But observation will soon teach, that all these precepts are not settled, and that in most instances the article may also be employed. E. g. we find in Xen. An. 6. 3. 2 θύεω ἐπὶ ἐξόδφ, and in the like phrase ib. 4. 35 θύειν επί τη πορεία. 6. 4. 20 είς κρίσιν, 26 πρός την κρίσιν. So too

έπλ θάλατταν, ἐν γῆ, are continually interchanged with ἐπλ τὴν θάλατταν, ἐν τῷ γῷ, e. g. 6. 4. 13 Λακεδαιμόνιοι ἄρχουσιν ἐν τῷ γῷ παὶ ἐν θαλάττη, by land and by water. Very often however the insertion of the article in such cases has its specific ground, which the learner ought early to accustom himself to attend to in reading.

NOTE 8. In Homer there is strictly no example of the genuine article; see § 126. n. 7. His example was followed more or less by other poets; least of all by Attic poets.

§ 125. Further Usage of the Article.

1. Between the article and its substantive there are often inserted, not only Adjectives (ὁ καλὸς παῖς, οἱ ὑπάρχοντες νόμοι the existing laws) and Genitives depending on the substantive (ἡ τοῦ βασιλέως στρατιά), but also adverbial adjuncts belonging to the substantive; e. g. ἐμέμνητο τῆς ἐν μανία διατριβῆς he recollected the time passed in madness. In such instances a participle (γενομένη or the like) may often be supplied; as ἡ πρὸς Γαλάτας μάχη, ὁ ἔπειτα χρόνος; and this too even when this adjunct is a phrase, as ἡ πρὶν ἄρξαι αὐτὸν ἀρετή, the virtue shown by him before he reigned, Xen. Ages. 1. 5. See more in no. 6 below.

NOTE 1. The pronoun τis is inserted by the Ionics even between the Genitive which depends on it and the article belonging to that Genitive; e. g. τῶν τις ἰερέων for τῶν ἰερέων τις.

2. When the adjunct thus inserted has also the article, it can happen, that two or even three articles may come to stand one after another; but only when they differ from each other in form. E. g. τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς κάλλος the beauty of virtue; ὁ τὰ τῆς πόλεως πράγματα πράττων he who manages the affairs of the state; Æschin. Tim. 2. 36 ἔνοχος ἔστω τῷ τῆς τῶν ἐλευθέρων Φθορᾶς νόμω.

3. But the adjuncts of the substantive can also, for the sake of emphasis or perspicuity, be placed after it; and then the article must always be repeated before adjective expressions; e. g. ὁ ἀνὴρ ό ἀγαθός, τὸν παίδα τὸν σόν, ὁ χιλίαρχος ὁ τὰς ἀγγελίας εἰσκομίζων. With other (adverbial) adjuncts belonging to the substantive the same holds good, at least as the rule; e. g. ή μάχη ή πρὸς The position of the Genitive is the freest; since it may stand either after the substantive with or without the article repeated, or also before it; hence not only $\dot{\eta}$ εσβολ $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ των Πελοποννησίων, but also ή έσβολή των Π . and των Π . ή έσβολή, or finally by no. 1 above, $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta}$.—But in every instance, where the adjunct with the article repeated follows the substantive, and the substantive is one of those which are sufficiently individualized without the article, or in general can stand without the article by 124. n. 7, then the article before the substantive can be omitted; e. g. σύνειμι ἀνθρώποις τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς · βασιλεὺς ὁ μέγας Plato Eryx. p. 393, comp. Pl. Soph. p. 230; γάμος δ ἐκ μειζόνων Xen. Hier. 1. 27; κατά έχθος το 'Ρηγίνων Thuc. 4. 1.

Note 3. With the attributive participle the repetition of the article is particularly necessary; because otherwise there arises the participial construction so common in Greek; for which see § 144, and Index.

Note 3 a. When to a substantive with the article two adjectives are joined without καί, they commonly stand without the article repeated between them; e.g. Xen. Cyr. 2. 2. 9 ό ᾶλλος πᾶς λόχος. Plat. Phædr. p. 255 οἱ ξύμπαντες ᾶλλοι φίλοι, ὁ καλὸς διὰ τῶν ὀμμάτων ἰός. Comp. ἡ στενὴ αὖτη ὁδός in n. 2. Still, the article is sometimes repeated with the second adjective, both before and after the substantive, in this manner: ἐν τῆ ἀρχαία τῆ ἡμετέρα φωνῆ Plat. Cratyl. p. 398; ἐν τῆ τοῦ Διὰς τῆ μεγίστη ἐορτῆ Thuc. 1. 126; τὰ τείχη τὰ ἐαυτῶν τὰ μακρὰ ἐπετέλεσαν ib. 1. 108. Adjuncts other than adjectives, when put after the substantive, can also stand without the article repeated, by no. 3 above; e.g. ἡ μεγάλη στρατεία ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων Thuc. 1. 110.

Note 4. When an adjective without the article stands either before or after a substantive which has the article, but not between the two, the adjective takes the place of a minor clause, of which it would be the predicate. E. g. ήδετο έπὶ πλουσίοις τοῖς πολίταις does not mean, 'he rejoiced on account of the wealthy citizens,' but, 'he rejoiced on account of the extizens, that they were wealthy;' Luc. D. Deor. 8. 1 ἔχει τὸν πέλεκυν ὀξύτατον, 'he has an axe, that is very sharp.' Eurip. IA. 305 καλόν γέ μοι τοῦνειδος ἐξωνείδισας. Isocr. p. 212 Ἡρακλῆς καὶ Θησεὺς ἐξ ἀδελφῶν γεγονότες, ἀδελφῶν καὶ τὰς ἐπιθυμίας ἔσχον.

Note 5. Several adjectives, like όλος, μέσος, ἔσχατος, ἄκρος, ημισυς, stand by rule in the position just mentioned (n. 4); although they also admit the other, but with a difference of meaning. Thus ἐσχάτη ἡ νῆσος is the island where it is uttermost, the end of the island; but ἡ ἐσχάτη νῆσος the uttermost island, the last of several; also ἐν μέση τῆ ἀγορᾶ in the midst of the form but τὸ μέσον στίφος the middle column Xen. An. 1. 8. 13; ὅλην τὴν νύκτα all the night, τὰ ὅλα πράγματα the public matters Dem. Ol. 1. p. 10; ἐπ ἄκροις τοῦς ὅρεσιν on the mountains where highest, on the summit of the mountains.

- 4. Where the substantive is readily understood from the connection, it is very commonly omitted; and then the article stands alone before the adjunct; e. g. ὁ ἐμὸς πατὴρ καὶ ὁ τοῦ φίλου my father and the (father) of my friend.
- 5. There are here also certain omissions established by usage; as in the case of adjectives, § 123. 5, and n. 5. E. g.

υίος, παις, θυγάτηρ, e. g. 'Αλέξανδρος ο Φιλίππου. Or also alone, δ Σωφρονίσκου the son of Sophroniscus, i. e. Socrates.

χώρα, γη, e. g. els την Φιλίππου into the country of Philip; ev τη πολεμία in the enemy's land.

οίκος οτ οίκία, but oftener also with the omission of the article, e. g. εἰς Πλάτωνος, εἰς ἄδου, see § 132. n. 30.

ανθρωποι, e. g. of εν αστει the inhabitants; of κατ' εμέ my cotemporaries.

So it is said of friends, associates, of περί τ. ἀμφί τωα, § 150. m. 25; of σύν τωι, etc.

χρήματα or πράγματα, e. g. τὰ τῆς πόλεως the affairs of the city, τὰ (or τὸ) τῆς ἀρετῆς, i. e. virtue itself; see n. 6.

Note 6. Ανθρωποι and χρήματα. These two omissions are so common, that we may treat of them together as a special idiom of the language. That is to say, all those ideas which are sufficiently clear from the connection, or from the subsequent mode of expression, the Greek is very fond of simply indicating by means of the article alone. These omitted ideas are of two kinds, persons or things. For the first, the article naturally stands in the mase, or feminine; for the second, in the neuter. 1) When the omitted idea refers to persons, the article usually takes an adverbial adjunct; e. g. of εν doτει, of εκ της πόλεως, of μετ' aυτού companions, allies, of μεθ' ήμας successors, δ έπὶ τῶν Ιππέων leader, οἱ παρὰ τοῦ βασιλέως envoys; comp. ὁ πάνυ, ol τότε, in n. 7 below. 2) When the omitted idea is a thing or things, the neut. τό or τά takes with it: a) A Genilive, in order to express in a general way something which refers or belongs to an object, which proceeds or is derived from it; or it may serve as a periphrase for the simple substantive itself; e. g. τὰ τῆς πόλεως. Dem. p. 772 την Δίκην 'Ορφεύς φησι πάντα τὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἐφορῷν.—τὸ δὲ τῶν χρημάτων ποθεῖτε ἀκοῦσαι, πόθεν ἔσται, what concerns the money, Dem.—τὸ τῶν Θεῶν φέρειν δεῖ. Dem. Ol. 1. p. 15 τὰ τῶν Θεσαι) δεῖ. Θεσσαλών ἀπιστά ἐστι φύσει, as if οἱ Θεσσαλοί. Plat. Menex. p. 245 τὸ τῆς πόλεως (as if ἡ πόλις) γενναίον καὶ ἐλεύθερον ἐστι. Phædr. init. πάντων δὲ κομψότατον τὸ τῆς πόας, i. e. the grass. b) Or some kind of adverbial expression; the great variety of which will best appear from a number of examples; e. g. τὰ πρὸς τω, i. e. lands, regions; τὰ κατὰ γῆς the under-world; τὰ εἰς τὸν πόλεμον ἀσκεῖν Χοη.—τὰ πρὸ τῶν ποδῶν things present, the present. So Plato Phæd. p. 75 τὰ ἐκ τῶν αἰσθήσεων the perceptions of the senses. Thuc. 8. 48 τὰ ἀπὸ τοῦ ᾿Αλκιβιάδου the promises of Alcibiades. Hdot. 1. 51 τὰ ἀπὸ τῆς δειρῆς the neck-ornaments. 8. 15 τὸ ἀπὸ Ξέρξεω the punishment of Xerxes. Thuc. 1. 110 τὰ κατὰ τὴν στρατείαν ἐτελεύτησαν, i. q. ἡ στρατεία. Xen. Cyr. 3. 1. 30 τὰ ἐνθάδε εὖ ἔχει the affairs here; τὰ τότε Plat. etc.

6. Every adjunct in itself indeclinable, can be declined by the aid of the article, that is, can take the appearance of a noun. Hence adverbs are without further change converted into adjectives by simply prefixing the article; especially those of place, time, and measure. E. g. from μεταξύ between, ὁ μεταξὺ τόπος the intervening place. Or the adverb follows with the article repeated, as above in no. 3.

Examples: al πέλας v. al πλησίον κώμαι the neighbouring villages; ol τότε, νῦν, ἐνθάδε ἄνθρωποι; ol πάλαι σοφοί ἄνδρες; ἡ ἄνω πόλις the upper city; εἰς τὸν ἀνωτάτω τόπον (see § 115. 6); ἡ ἐξαίφνης μετάστασις the sudden removal, etc. * Here belong also ἡ οὐ διάλνσις, and the like; see § 148. n. 3.—Also ὅταν ἐγείρησθε ἐκ τῆς ἀμελείας ταὐτης τῆς ἄγαν, ' when ye awake out of this excessive carelessness,' Dem.

7. If the substantive is here omitted, the adverb then has the appearance of a substantive.

ĒXAMPLES: From αδριον to-morrow comes, by omitting ἡμέρα day, ἡ αδριον the next day; ὁ πάνυ the famous man; ἡ Λυδιστί the Lydian measure (άρμονία being omitted); οι τότε the men then living; ἐς τοὐπίσω (for τὸ ὁπίσω what is behind) backwards. So Anacr. τὸ σήμερον μέλει μοι, to-day only troubles me, i. e. what is or occurs to-day.

^{*} The Latins, who have no article, can imitate this only in some comic expressions by a sort of composition, as here semper lentas in Terence.

8. Further, by the addition of the article in this manner, the

following take the appearance of substantives:

1) Infinitives; e. g. τὸ πράττειν the doing, τὸ κακῶς λέγειν the speaking evil, ἥδομαι τῷ περιπατεῖν I delight in walking about. But the learner must be on his guard against the impression, as if in this way the verb assumed also the nature of a substantive, so as to change the subject or object into a genitive, or adverbs into adjectives; as is the case with the English participle, e. g. 'the loud crying of the children,' 'the falling of the trees.' Instead of this the Infinitive retains its full verbal power; as is shown below in § 140.

2) Every word and every phrase, which is to be regarded as an independent object; e. g. τὸ λέγω i. e. the word λέγω. Plat. Prot. p. 345. e, περὶ ἐαυτοῦ λέγει τοῦτο τὸ ἐκών. Phædr. p. 273, καταχρήσασθαι δεῖ αὐτὸν τῷ Πῶς δ' ἀν ἐγὼ τοιόσδε τοιῷδε ἐπεχείρησα, 'he must make use of this language: How could I, such

as I am, have laid hands on such an one!' See n. 9.

Note 7. In ordinary cases every word thus regarded as an independent object, is made neuter. In grammatical language, however, it is customary to give to every such word the gender which belongs to the name of that part of speech; e. g. because we have $\hat{\eta}$ arrayvalia the pronoun, we therefore find also $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\hat{\omega}$ i. e. the pronoun $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\hat{\omega}$; and so $\hat{\delta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\hat{l}$, the conjunction $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\hat{l}$, because of $\hat{\delta}$ oviv $\hat{\delta}\epsilon\sigma\mu\sigma$ the conjunction.

Note 9. The article stands sometimes in an elliptical manner even before relative clauses, which is to be explained from no. 8. 2, above. E. g. Plat. Rep. p. 510. a, τὸ ὁμοιωθὲν πρὸς τὸ ῷ ὡμοιώθη, that which is compared, against that with which it is compared, where for τό the Pron. ἐκεῖνο could also stand. Hdot. 3. 133 οὐδὲν τῶν ὅσα αἰσχύνην ἐστὶ ψέροντα. Plat. Phædr. p. 329 τῆς (συνουσίαs) ὅθεν ἃν ψρονιμώτατος ἔη. Pollux 7. 75 τὸ ισπερ κάρυον that nutlike thing; hence, by attraction, τοῖς οῖοις ἡμῖν, etc. § 143. 16.—It sometimes stands in like manner before other words which govern a clause; e. g. τὸ πότε δεῖ λέγειν δίδασκέ με, literally, teach me the 'when it is necessary to speak;' ἐν ἔτι λείπεται, τὸ ῆν πείσωμεν ὑμᾶς, i. e. one thing still remains, viz. this, 'if we could persuade you,' Plat. Rep. 1. p. 327. e.

9. The smaller particles, δέ, τέ, γέ, δή, γάρ, μέν, μὲν δή, τοίνυν, are usually put between the article and the substantive or word standing for it; e. g. ὁ γὰρ ἄνθρωπος, ἡ μὲν γὰρ τέχνη, etc.

10. When several substantives are connected by conjunctions, if they are of different gender or number, the article must be repeated before each; as $\delta \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \kappa a \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$. If they are alike in these respects, the article sometimes stands but once with

copulative conjunctions; but with adversatives and disjunctives, and even very commonly with καί, the article is repeated; especially when the clauses are in a certain degree antithetic or independent; e. g. οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι καὶ οἱ σύμμαχοι, etc.

Note 10. The poets of course can omit the article at their pleasure in the first or second place; e. g. Soph. Aj. 1250 οὐ γὰρ οἱ πλατεῖς, οὐδ' εὐρύνωτοι φῶτες ἀσφαλέστατοι. Eur. Phæn. 506 εἶπον καὶ σοφοῖς καὶ τοῖσι φαύλοις ἔνδικα.

§ 126. The Articles δ, ή, τό, and δς, η, δ, as Demonstratives.

- 1. Both the Prepositive Article δ , $\hat{\eta}$, $\tau \hat{\phi}$, and the Postpositive $\delta \hat{\eta}$, $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tilde{\eta}$, were in the earliest language demonstrative pronouns for over $\delta \hat{\eta}$. As the language became more copious and cultivated, these words gradually assumed their later and more limited usage; but still, in many particular cases, they both retained, even in common prose, the power of real demonstratives.
- 2. The most frequent case is in the distinction and distribution of objects; where δ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ commonly stands first, and then δ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ follows, either once or oftener, as the case may require. This takes place properly only in respect to definite objects, where in English we employ this...that. Still, it occurs also with indefinite objects, the one...the other...another; and so through all the genders and numbers.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: τὸν μὲν ἐτίμα, τὸν δὲ οὕ, the one he honoured, the other not; τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἀνόητον, τὸ δὲ μανικόν; τῶν πολεμίων (or also ol πολέμιοι § 132. n. 4) οἱ μὲν ἐθαύμαζον τὰ γιγνόμενα, οἱ δ᾽ ἐβόων, οἱ δὲ συνεσκευάζοντο, Χεη. καλῶς πένεσθαι κρείσσον ἢ κακῶς πλουτεῖν · τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἔλεον, τὸ δ᾽ ἐπτίμησιν φέρει, Stob. III. p. 259; τῶν ζώων τὰ μὲν ἔχει πόδας, τὰ δ᾽ ἐστὶν ἄποδα.—So also οἱ μὲν αὐτῶν . . . οἱ δέ, some of them . . . others. For δ μέν, ὁ δ᾽ οὕ, see § 149. m. 14.

- 3. The postpositive article stands in the same manner, $\delta_s \mu \acute{e}\nu$, $\delta_s \delta \acute{e}$, etc. à $\mu \acute{e}\nu$, à $\delta \acute{e}$, etc. but less frequently among the genuine Attics. It occurs very often however in the later writers, as Plutarch.
- Note 1. An example from Demosthenes is in pro Corona p. 248 Reisk. πόλεις Έλληνίδας, ας μὲν ἀναιρῶν, εἰς ας δὲ τοὺς φυγάδας κατάγων. But τάς is also read in both places.
- 4. In the narrative style \dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{o}$ often stands only once and with δέ alone, in reference to an object already named; e. g. Xen. An. 5. 6. 21 Σινωπεῖς δὲ πέμπουσι πρὸς Τιμασίωνα · ὁ δὲ λέγει τάδε.
- 5. When this demonstrative article is the subject of a clause and stands for persons, it can stand also in a clause connected by καί with what precedes, and then its place is next to the conjunction. In such instances, for the Nominative, the forms of the postpositive δς, η, οι, αι, are used; for the Accus. (with Infin.) those of the prepositive, τόν, etc. Ε. g. Xen. An. 3. 4. 48 καὶ ὅς, ἀκούσας ταῦτα, ἀθεῖται αὐτὸν ἐκ τῆς τάξεως and he (that one) hav-

ing heurd this, thrust him out of the ranks. Hdot. 8.56 καὶ οἴ, διαλυθέντες ἐκ τοῦ συνεδρίου, ἐσέβαινον ἐς τὰς νῆας. Xen. Cyr. 1. 3. 9. καὶ τὸν κελεῦσαι δοῦναι.

Note 2. Here belong also the usual formulas in relating a conversation. \hbar 8° 58, said he (§ 109. I. 4); and the elliptic kal 55 (sc. $\epsilon\phi\eta$) then he replied.—For the connection of a demonstrative clause by means of the relative, a construction so common in Latin, see § 143. 6.

Note 3. When a preposition belongs to the clause, μέν and δέ often stand immediately after it; e. g. έν μέν τοῖς συμφωνοῦμεν, έν δὲ τοῖς οῦ, 'in some things we agree, in others not.' Isocr. Paneg. 41 εἰς μὲν τοὺς ὑβρίζοντες, τοῖς δὲ δουλεύοντες. See Reiz de Accent. p. 13. 69.

Note 4. The forms in distributive propositions of this kind, do not always so regularly correspond to each other, as they are above exhibited. Very often e. g. of $\mu \epsilon \nu$ is followed in the succeeding clause by $\tau \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} s$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, $\delta \nu \iota \iota \iota \dot{\epsilon}$, or by a name or some other mode of distinction.

Note 5. From the old signification of the article come also the phrases $\tau \delta \nu$ rai $\tau \delta \nu$, $\tau \delta$ rai $\tau \delta$, etc. which correspond precisely to our this and this, that and that, etc. This occurs only in the forms beginning with τ , and is particularly frequent in Demosthenes.

Note 6. Finally, in the same manner is to be explained the adverbial use of the poetic Dative τφ, on that account, therefore. Il. ε. 816 Γιγνώσκω σε, θεά,... Τῷ τοι προφρονέως ἐρέω ἔπος, 'therefore will I frankly tell thee.' The same can also be expressed by the Accus. (§ 128. n. 5,) Il. γ. 176 'Αλλὰ τάγ' οὐκ ἐγένοντο · τὸ καὶ κλαίουσα τέτηκα, 'therefore am I dissolved in tears.'

Note 7. In poetry, and especially epic poetry, the use of both articles as demonstratives is far more general; and in Homer δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\delta}$, is almost everywhere to be so taken; those cases excepted where τό, τόν, etc. stand for ő, ου, etc. by § 75. n. 2. In order to make this perfectly clear, one needs only to consider the following passages in Homer; II. a. 340. d. 399. e. 715. ζ . 407. Od. c. 106. These at first view seem to present only the ordinary article of prose; while to the attentive observer, to whom such an article in Homer is unknown, the context easily shews, that in all these and many similar passages the article is really demonstrative; but that the demonstrative force is there not absolutely essential, and is for this reason apparently weakened. The passages are few, where this word expresses an object merely as well known and distinguished, or as particularly present to the mind of the poet; or where the demonstrative force is really so much weakened, that the transition into the true Attic article becomes apparent; e. g. Il. a. 167. η. 412. μ. 289.—Especially must we be upon our guard, not to take this form as an article, where it is separated from the substantive by the verb or a whole clause, as H μὲν ἄρ ὡς εἰποῦσ ἀπέβη πόνας ἀκέα Ἰρις. Here the ἡ, as demonstr. Pron. is to be taken for our she, for the article cannot be separated in this manner from its substantive: She then thus speaking departed, the swift-footed Iris.' This is rendered evident by those passages, where the substantive is in like manner placed after the real pronoun of (to him), e.g. Od. v. 106.—From this substantive nature of ό, ή, τό, arises also in Homer the case, that an adjective is thereby apparently converted into a substantive; e.g. Il. ρ. 80 τον ἄριστον the bravest; a. 33 ὁ γέρων the old man, elder; and often οἱ ἄλλοι, τάλλα. In all these instances ό, ή, τό, as Subst. has its adjective; and the shape of the thought is strictly this: 'him the bravest,' 'he the elder,' 'they the others' etc.

127. Pronouns.

1. The Demonstratives. a) Of these οὖτος and ὅδε sometimes stand instead of adverbs of place; e. g. Plat. Rep. 1 init. ἠρόμην ὅπου εἴη· οὖτος, ἔφη, ὅπισθεν προσέρχεται, 'I asked where he might be? Here he comes, said he, behind thee.' The demonstr. ὅδε occurs in this manner chiefly in the poets, (e. g. Od. a. 185. χ. 367,) and particularly often in the tragic poets; see Schæf. Meletem. p. 77.

b) As between themselves, οὖτος and ὅδε are in so far different, that οὖτος refers mainly (not always) to what precedes, and ὅδε to what follows. So too with τοιοῦτος and τοιόσδε, the adverbs οὖτως and ὧδε, etc. A relative clause is by rule preceded

by οὐτος, unless where a special emphasis requires ὅδε.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Χen. An. 2. 1. 21 ὁ δὲ εἶπεν ταῦτα μὲν δὴ ἀπαγγελοῦμεν ἀλλὰ καὶ τάδε ἐκέλευσεν εἰπεῖν βασιλεύς. Isæ. ad Nic. p. 18 τῶν πολιτειῶν αῦται πλεῖστον χρόνον διαμένουσιν, αἶτινες ἄν ἄριστα τὸ πλῆθος θεραπεύωσιν. Οτ with a relative clause preceding; Id. Demon. p. 5 ἀ ποιεῖν αἰσχρόν, ταῦτα νόμιζε μηδὲ λέγειν εἶναι καλόν. Contra: Soph. OT. 645 πίστευον τάδε, τόνδ ὅρκον αἰδεσθεὶς θεῶν, ἔπειτα καμέ, τούσδε θ', οὶ πάρεισί σοι. Plat. Lach. p. 191 ἀνδρεῖός που οῦτος δν καὶ σὰ λέγεις ἀλλὰ τί αῦ δὸε, δς ἄν φείγων μάχηται τοῖς πολεμίοις.—Poetic is αὐτός before the relative; e. g. Eur. Tro. 684 ἀπέπτυσ' αὐτήν, ἢτις ἄνδρα . . . ἀποβαλοῦσ' ἄλλον φιλεῖ. Οτ it stands for the strengthened αὐτὸς οὖτος (lett. c); e. g. Plat. Rep. p. 362 αὐτὸ οὐκ εἶρηται, ὁ μάλιστα ἔδει ῥηθῆναι.

- c) Several demonstratives strengthen each other; thus αὐτὸ τοῦτο this very thing, id ipsum; τοῦτ' ἐκεῖνο, ὅδ' ἐκεῖνος, etc. Ε. g. τοῦτ' ἐκεῖν' οὐγὤλεγον Aristoph. and still stronger: τοῦτ' ἔστι τοῦτο τὸ κακὸν αὕθ' οὐγὤλεγον ib.
- d) The demonstratives are often *omitted*, as in Latin, before *relatives*; whether in the same or in a different case, and whether the relative precedes or follows.

Examples: Plato Gorg. p. 485 άμελεῖς ὧν δεῖ σε ἐπιμελεῖσθαι. Soph. Ant. 582 εὐδαίμονες, οἶσι κακῶν ἄγευστος αἰών. Οd. π. 383 οἰκία κείνου μητέρι δοῖμεν ἔχειν, ἠδ' ὅστις ἀπυίοι. Xen. Symp. 4. 42 οἶς μάλιστα τὰ παρόντα ἀρκεῖ, ἤκιστα τῶν ἀλλοτρίων ὀρέγονται.

In this way the two clauses often flow together into one; especially when the demonstrative was governed by a preposition, and this now comes to stand before the relative; but also without a preposition. The relative clause then stands as a substantive; the relation of which to the whole clause (its case) appears from the connection. Comp. also the attraction in § 143. 8.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Χen. Mem. 2. 6. 35 ἐγγίγνεταί μοι εὔνοια πρὸς οὖς ἄν ὑπολάβω εὖνοϊκῶς ἔχειν πρὸς ἐμέ. Plat. Prot. p. 359 ἐπὶ ἄ γε θαρροῦσι πάντες ἔρχονται καὶ δειλοὶ καὶ ἀνδρεῖοι. Phæd. p. 116 ἔπιον ἄνοι (sc. the cup of poison), ξυγγενόμενοι (sc. τούτοις) ὧν ἄν τύχωσιν ἐπιθυμοῦντες. Cyr. 7. 5. 72 νῦν ἔχομεν καὶ γῆν πολλὴν καὶ ἀγαθὴν καὶ οἶτινες ταύτην ἐργαζόμενοι θρέψουσιν ἡμᾶς.—For ἄστιν οἴ see § 150. m. 21; and for the elliptical phrases σημεῖον δέ, τεκμήριον δέ, see § 151. IV. 10.

e) On the other hand, the demonstratives are often in a meas-

ure redundant, when they merely introduce a following Infinitive, or a whole clause; comp. § 132. n. 22.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Phæd. p. 75 το είδεναι τοῦτ' ἔστι, λαβόντα του ἐπιστήμην ἔχειν. Eur. Suppl. 310 το συνέχον ἀνθρώπων πόλεις τοῦτ' ἔσθ', ὅταν τις τοὺς νόμους σώζη καλῶς. So with a relative: Thuc. 5. 6 ὅπερ προσεδέχετο ποιῆσειν αὐτόν, . . . ἐπὶ τὴν 'Αμφίπολιν ἀναβήσεσθαι.

The three principal significations of the Pron. αὐτός (§ 74.
 must be carefully distinguished, as follows:

I. It means self, viz.

a. When joined to another noun so as to stand as if in apposition with it, i. e. either after the noun, or before both the noun and its article. Ε. g. μᾶλλον τοῦτο φοβοῦμαι ἡ τὸν Βάνατον αὐτόν, 'than death itself;' αὐτὸν τὸν βασιλέα ἀποκτεῖναι ἐβούλετο, 'the king himself.' Also separated: Xen. An. 7. 7. 19 ὁ δὲ ἐκέλευσεν αὐτὰ ἐλθεῖν τὰ Λάκωνε παρὰ Σκεύθην, themselves, the Lacedemonians.

b. When it stands alone, the personal pronoun being omitted or implied, for I myself, he himself, etc. In such case the Nominative is chiefly employed; e. g. Plat. Phæd. init. αὐτός, ὧ Φαίδων, παραγένου Σωκράτει... ἡ ἄλλου του ἤκουσας; ... παρεγενόμην αὐτός, I was myself present.—The oblique cases are so employed only when for special emphasis they begin the construction; e. g. αὐτὸν γὰρ εἶδον, 'for himself have I seen.' Or also when they stand in manifest antithesis to other objects; e. g. λαμβάνουσιν αὐτὸν καὶ γυναῖκα. Comp. Xen. Œc. 12, 17, etc.

II. It stands instead of the personal pronoun of the third person in its simple form, but only in the oblique cases; consequently like the English him, her, it, etc. Lat. eum, eam, id, etc. In this signification it can only stand after other words in a clause. Ε. g. ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς τὸ πῦρ he gave them the fire; οὐχ ἑώρακας αὐτοῦ; hast thou not seen him? Plat. Charm. p. 161 Κριτίου ἀκήκοας αὐτὸ ἡ ἄλλου του τῶν σοφῶν. See also in no. 7. 2, below.

III. It means: the same, when it has the article immediately before it; e. g. δ αὐτὸς ἀνήρ the same man. Dem, p. 1132 ὁ νόμος οὐτοσὶ τοῖς αὐτοῖς νόμοις πολιτεύεσθαι ἡμᾶς κελεύει.—Or when it is prefixed to a demonstrative pronoun (no. 1. c); as Xen. Cyr. 2. 3. 4 νῦν οὖν λεγέτω τις ἀναστὰς περὶ αὐτοῦ τούτου, this same thing, the topic of discourse; comp. An. 1. 9. 20.

Note 1. It follows from the above statement that the Nom. αὐτός, etc. can never mean simply he, she, it. To no. II, however, belong the instances, where according to the Greek construction the subject appears as an oblique case, viz. as Genitive absolute, or as Accus, with an Infinitive; in which instances therefore the oblique cases of αὐτός may be translated by he, she, it. E. g. ἐπικειμένων δ' αὐτῶν 'but they pressing on;' μετὰ ταῦτα ἀπελθεῖν αὐτῶν 'that upon this he went away.' Soph. Phil. 777 (pray) μή σοι γενέσθαι πολύπονα αὐτά sc. τὰ τόξα, the bow.

- Note 2. Epic writers use αὐτός without the article for δ αὐτός; so Od. 3. 107 ἦρχε δὲ τῷ αὐτὴν ὁδόν, ἤνπερ οἱ ἄλλοι.—It stands sometimes also, even in prose, for μόνος alone; e. g. Plat. Legg. p. 836 αὐτοὶ γάρ ἐσμεν for we are alone. Xen. Lac. 3. 4 Λυκοῦργος ἐπέταξε τοῖς νεανίαις ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς περιβλέπειν μηδαμοῖ, ἀλλ' αὐτὰ τὰ πρὸ τῶν ποδῶν ὁρᾶν.—Also with ordinal numbers, self; e. g. αὐτὸς πέμπτος himself the fifth, himself and four others.
- 3. In the Reflexive pronouns ἐμαυτόν, σεαυτόν, etc. (§ 74. 3,) the pronoun αὐτός loses its emphasis; αὐτὸν σέ signifies thee thyself, but σεαυτόν only thyself, as reflexive, e. g. εθιζε σαυτόν accustom thyself. So also the reflexive of the 3 pers. ἐαυτόν or αὐτόν corresponds to the Engl. himself. But this latter is likewise used, like the Latin se, not only where it refers to the subject of the same clause, but often also where it refers to the first subject of two connected clauses, and where we consequently employ only him, etc. Ε. g. νομίζει τους πολίτας ύπηρετείν έαυτώ, 'he supposes that his fellow-citizens serve him; see other examples in n. 3. Still in this last instance the Greek usage is in so far freer, that it can employ either the reflexive ξαυτόν, etc. or also the simple αὐτόν, etc. according as the writer would make the chief or the secondary subject prominent; see examples in n. 3. In like manner also, the simple $\tilde{\epsilon}$, $\sigma\phi\hat{a}s$, etc. can be thus used, though rarely; see n. 4.
- Νοτε 3. Examples of the reflexive form έαυτόν, etc. used in the above manner are: Xen. Hell. 5. 1. 31 'Αρταξέρξης νομίζει δίκαιον, τὰς ἐν τῆ 'Ασία πόλεις ἐαυτοῦ εἶναι, 'should belong to him.'—Isocr. Paneg. p. 49 ἐκάτεροι ἔχουσιν ἐφ' οἶς φιλοτιμηθῶσιν, οἱ μὲν (sc. οἱ θεαταὶ) ὅταν ἴδωσι τοὺς ἀθλητὰς αὐτῶν ἕνεκα (sc. τῶν θεατῶν) πονοῦντας, οἱ δ' (sc. οἱ ἀθληταὶ) ὅταν ἐνθυμηθῶσιν, ὅτι πάντες ἐπὶ τὴν σφετέραν (sc. τῶν ἀθλητῶν) θεωρίαν ῆκουσιν. Further, Mem. 1. 2. 52. Phædr. p. 259. a. Eur. Hipp. 977.—Examples of the other form αὐτοῦ are: Dem. Ol. 2. p. 20 οὐδεὶς ἔστιν ὅντιν οὐ πεφενάκικεν ὁ Φίλιππος τῶν αὐτῷ χρησαμένων. Xen. Ages. 6. 4 'Αγησίλαος τοὺς στρατιώτας ἄμα πειθομένους καὶ φιλοῦντας αὐτὸν παρεῖχε. Further, Dem. Phil. I. p. 42 ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ, and others in Exc. X. ad Dem. Mid.
- Note 4. As to the use of the simple forms of the third personal Pron. of, ε, and especially the Plur. σφεῖς, σφᾶς, etc. it may be noted, that Homer first, and after him other poets, employed them not only as reflexives, but also in a transitive sense instead of the oblique cases of αὐτός; e.g. Il. β. 197 φιλεῖ δὲ ἐ (βασιλῆα) μετίετα Ζεύς. α. 104 ὅσσε δὲ οἱ πυρὶ λαμπετόωντι εἰκτην. In Attic writers they are employed principally as follows: 1) When no emphasis is to be laid upon them, and where in the 1 pers. the enclitic μέ would be used; e.g. Plat. Rep. 1. init. κατιδῶν ἡμᾶς ὁ Πολέμαρχος ἐκέλευσε δραμώντα τὸν παίδα περιμεῖναῖ ἐ κελεῦσαι, 'he commanded the slave to tell us, that we should wait for him;' so espec. Dat. οῖ, Xen. An. 3. 1.5 Plat. Phædo p. 117. c. Protag. p. 316. c; and σφίσω, Xen. Hell. 5. 4. 11. etc. 2) But when in quoting the words of a person in indirect discourse, the speaker himself is introduced, this pronoun is often employed in an antithesis etc. precisely like ἐμέ. See e.g. Plat. Rep. 10. p. 617. d. e, where σφᾶς, οῦ, ἔ, thus occur.—Further, the Singular of this form (οὖ and ἔ) is on the whole everywhere rare in Attic writers; and the Plural was more frequent in both the cases above stated. Even the Nominative thus occurs, when in a discourse or opinion so quoted in sermone obliquo the speakers or thinkers are themselves the subject, but only in a direct antithesis to others; e.g. Xen. An. 7. 5. 5 'Ηρακλείδης λέγεω ἐκέλευε τοὺς στρατηγούς πρὸς

Σεύθην, ὅτι οὐδὲν ἄν ἦττον σφεῖς ἀγάγοιεν τὴν στρατιὰν ἢ Ξενοφῶν, 'he directed to say, that they might just as well lead the host as Xenophon.' In such a case αὐτοί could indeed be used, but would mean rather they themselves; and so Sing. αὐτός. Without a reference to others, no pronoun would be expressed. See too the example, Thuc. 8. 76, in § 142. n. 3.

Note 5. The reflexive of the third person acquires sometimes the power of a general reflexive, which may stand also for the first and second persons; consequently έαυτοῦ or αὐτοῦ also for έμαυτοῦ and σαυτοῦ. This remark is certain; is recognised by the ancient Grammarians (vid. Tim. c. not. Ruhnk. p. 92); and extends itself also to prose. But the prosaic passages by which it is supported, still require for the most part some critical investigation. As an example for the first person: Soph. Œd. T. 138 οὐχ ὑπὲρ τῶν φίλων, ἀλλ' αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ, τοῦτ' ἀποσκεδῶ μύσος, 'on account of myself.' For the second person: Trach. 451 εἰ δ' αὐτὸς αὐτὸν ὧδε παιδεύεις, ... οφθήσει κακός, thyself.—In other poets this usage goes much further, so that e. g. σφέτερος stands without distinction of person or number for the general idea of own; and also e, ol, eos, serve e.g. in Apollonius, partly (like the Lat. se) even for the Plural, and partly as reflexives for the first and second persons. Some of the more common passages of this kind in epic writers, though in part still assailed or otherwise explained, are the following: Od. ν. 320 άλλ' ἀεὶ φρεσὶν ήσιν έχων δεδαϊγμένον ήτορ ηλώμην, instead of έμαις. α. 402 κτήματα δ' αυτός έχοις και δώμασιν ο ίσιν ανάσσοις, instead of σοίς. Hes. ε. 58 άπαντες τέρπονται έδν κακον αμφαγαπώντες, instead of σφέτερον. Scut. 90 δε προλιπών σφέτερον τε δόμον σφετέρους τε τοκηας Φχετο, instead of εόν. See Wolf Proleg. ad Hom. p. 247. Nitzsch on Od. a. 402.

Note 6. As αὐτός in the reflexives has lost all its emphasis (no. 3 above), in order to make the idea self more prominent, the same pronoun αὐτός is further joined with the subject; e. g. ἐαυτὸν ἔκτεινε he killed himself; but stronger, αὐτὸς ἑαυτὸν ἔκτεινε, 'se ipse interfecit.' At the same time may be noted the peculiar position of αὐτός, between unlike cases, or even between a preposition and its case; Æschyl. Agam. 845 τοῖς αὐτὸς αὐτος πήμασιν βαρύνεται. Plat Alc. II. p. 144 οὐ τὴν ότοιοῦν μητέρα διενοεῖτο ἀποκτεῖναι, ἀλλὰ τὴν αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ. Æschyl. Prom. 920 τοῖον παλαιστὴν νῦν παρασκευάζεται ἐπ' αὐτὸς αὐτὸς -For Gen. αὐτῶν after Possessives, see n. 13, below.

4. The Indefinite pronoun τ is stands also for our indefinite one, some one, Fr. on, Germ. man; e. g. ἄνθρωπον ἀναιδέστερον οὐκ ἄν τις εὔροι, 'a more shameless person one cannot find.' So even when a whole assembly is understood; e. g. ἤδη τις ἐπιδεικνύτω ἑαυτόν, 'now one must shew himself,' i. e. each one of you.*—Other modes of expressing the indef. idea one, some one, see in § 129, 19.

Note 7. After adjectives and adjective pronouns, this pronoun has commonly the signif, of somewhat, in some degree; Plat. Rep. p. 432 δύσβατός τις ό τόπος φαίνεται καὶ ἐπίσκιος. p. 358 ἐγώ τις, ὡς ἔοικε, δυσμαθής. So too ποιός τις, πόσον τι, τοιαῦτ' ἄττα διελέχθησαν, etc.

5. The *Interrogative* pronoun τl_s , as also all direct interrogatives, whether pronouns or adverbs, $(\pi o \hat{v}; \pi o \hat{l} o s; \pi o \tau \epsilon;$ etc.) in the lively tone of free conversation, are written not only at the beginning of an interrogative clause, but also in *the middle* of other

* Hence it may often be translated many a one, II. ϕ . 126. Hence too the apparently inconsistent junction $\pi \hat{a} s \tau \iota s$, Soph. Phil. 174. Aristoph. Av. 526; see too Eurip. Rhes. 683, in § 129. n. 13.

clauses, whether relative, participial, or even interrogative; in which last case more than one question may be included in one clause.

Examples: Plat. Gorg. p. 448. c, νῦν ἐπειδή τίνος τέχνης ἐπιστήμων ἐστί, τίνα ἄν καλοῦντες αὐτὸν ὀρθῶς καλοῦμεν; Rep. p. 322. c, ἡ δὲ τίσι τί ἀποδιδοῦσα τέχνη ἰατρική καλεῖται; Theag. p. 125 τῶν τί σοφῶν λέγεις αὐτούς;

NOTE 8. So too in indirect interrogative clauses: Plat. Rep. p. 569 γνώσσεται τότ ὁ δῆμος, οἶος οἶον βρέμμα γεννᾶ. Soph. Ant. 940 λεύσσετε, οἶα πρὸς οἵων ἀνδρῶν πάσχω. Such sentences are often for us very hard to translate: e. g. Xen. Cyr. 4. 5. 29 σκέψαι, οῖω ὅντι μοι περὶ σὲ οἶος ὧν περὶ ἐμὲ ἔπειτά μοι μέμφη.—That however in indirect questions the direct interrogatives are also employed, see in § 139. m. 63.

6. The Relative pronoun \tilde{o}_5 , $\tilde{\eta}$, \tilde{o}_5 , stands in such a relation to the compound $\tilde{o}\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$, that the former refers to an object already mentioned or definite, while the latter is general. The same is true of olos, $\tilde{o}\sigma\sigma\varsigma$, and adv. $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, as compared with $\dot{o}\pi\sigma\tilde{o}s$, $\dot{o}\pi\dot{o}\sigma\varsigma$, $\ddot{o}\pi\omega\varsigma$, etc. Hence the compound forms are used also in indirect interrogative clauses; § 139. m. 63. But the simple relative with $\tilde{a}\nu$ becomes also general; § 139. m. 32.

Note 9. Homer commonly lets the relative be followed by the particle $\tau\epsilon$, see § 149. m. 8. The relative is also strengthened by $\pi\epsilon\rho$, e. g. $\Pi\epsilon\lambda\lambda a$, $\eta\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\mu\epsilon\gamma$ iστη $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ è ν Μακεδονία πόλεων Xen.—For relative clauses in general, which in Greek are of such manifold application, see § 143 and § 139. B.

Note 10. The passages in which δστις refers to a definite noun, are for the most part susceptible of special explanation; c. g. Eur. Hipp. 1063 & Θεοί, τί δητα τοὺμὸν ρὸ λύω στόμα, ὅστις γ' ὑφ' ὑμῶν διόλλυμαι, perh. instead of ὅς εἰμι τοιούτων οἵτινες ἀπολλυνται. Comp. Soph. Aj. 1055 ὅστις στρατῷ ξύμπαντι κτλ. 1299 ἐκ πατρὸς ὅστις ἀριστεύσας κτλ. In Homer alone it sometimes seems to stand directly for ὅς; as Il. ψ. 43 Οὐ μὰ Ζῆν', ὅστις τε Θεῶν ὕπατος καὶ ἄριστος.

Note 11. The idea of generalness in δοτις is still further strengthened by appending to it the particles οδν, δή, δήποτε; which moreover very commonly impart to the relative the force of a general Indefinite, so that these forms then stand without a verb; e. g. Plat. Rep. p. 335 ἔστιν ὅρα δικαίου ἀνδρὸς βλάπτειν ὁτινοῦν ἀνθρώπων; So too ἡ ὁτουοῦν μήτηρ κτλ. Sometimes this occurs even with δοτις alone; Plat. Hipp. Maj. p. 282 πλέον ἀργύρουν εἴργασται ἡ ἄλλος δημιουργὸς ἀφ' ἡ στινος τέχνης, and often.

7. The place of the *Possessives* is often supplied by the Genitive of the personal and reflexive pronouns. The following is the

general usage:

- 1) Instead of the possessives of the first and second persons Sing. are used: a) The enclitic forms μου and σου; but so that they either precede the article or follow the noun; thus μου (σου) ὁ φίλος, οr ὁ φίλος μου, σου. b) The reflexives ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ, ῆς, when the possessive word refers to the subject of the clause; and then these are put either between the article and noun, or after the noun with the article repeated. Thus, ὁ ἐμαυτοῦ (σεαυτοῦ) φίλος, οr ὁ φίλος ὁ ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ.
- 2) Instead of the possessives of the third person, which are



scarcely used in prose, we find: a) The Genitives $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$, $\hat{\eta}s$, $a\dot{\nu}\tau \hat{\omega}\nu$; but so again (as in 1. a) that they either precede the article or follow the noun, when the possessive word does not refer to the subject of the clause; thus $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$ $\dot{\nu}$ $\phi l\lambda os$ or $\dot{\nu}$ $\phi l\lambda os$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$. b) The reflexives $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau o\hat{\nu}$, $\hat{\eta}s$, $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau \hat{\omega}\nu$, in the same position as above (1. b), when the possessive word refers to the subject of the clause; thus $\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau o\hat{\nu}$ $\phi l\lambda os$ and $\dot{\nu}$ $\phi l\lambda os$ $\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{$

3) The possessives of the 1 and 2 pers. Plural are far more usual than the periphrase with $\eta\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ and $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$. For their connection with the article, see \(\frac{1}{24}\) n. 4.

Examples are found abundantly in reading. A few may here suffice. Xen. Cyr. 5. 1. 24 όρωμεν τοὺς φίλους σου πάντας ἐθελουσίους ἐπομένους. 1. 6. 10 ἀναίτιος ἔση παρὰ τοῖς σαυτοῦ στρατιώταις. Hell. 2. 4. 17 οἱ ἱππεῖς καὶ ληστὰς ἐχειροῦντο καὶ τὴν φάλαγγα αὐτῶν ἐκακούργουν περιέτυχον δὲ καί τισιν ἐς τοὺς αὐτῶν ἀγροὺς πορευομένοις. Sometimes also words may intervene: Cyr. 2. 1. 21 εὐθὺς αὐτῶν παρεσκεύασε τὰς γνώμας, etc.

Note 12. Other examples for this usage, which in general is closely observed by the Attics, may be seen in Krüger on Rost's Grammar § 99. The non-enclitic form of the 1 pers. $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\rho\hat{\nu}$ stands in this connection, by rule, only when the substantive is omitted; as $\eta\kappa\epsilon\tau'$ ov ϵls $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\rho\hat{\nu}$ Aristoph. Lys. 1065, 1211.—The place of the possessives $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\delta s$, $\sigma\delta s$, $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma s$, etc. is that of adjectives; thus δ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\delta s$ $\phi\hat{\iota}\lambda\sigma s$ or $\dot{\delta}$ $\phi\hat{\iota}\lambda\sigma s$ or $\dot{\delta}$ $\phi\hat{\iota}\lambda\sigma s$.

Note 13. In a manner corresponding to the Latin idiom, the two modes of expression, with the adjective and with the substantive, may be combined together; when, for instance, for the sake of emphasis (Engl. my own), to the possessive adjective there is joined the subst. pron. $a\partial \tau \delta s$, and each remains in its appropriate case, $a\partial \tau \delta s$ of course in the Gen. Thus

Hom. Od. a. 7 αὐτῶν γάρ σφετέρησιν ἀτασθαλίησιν ὅλοντο, Lat. sua ipsorum temeritate perierunt;

for which stands in v. 33, αὐτοὶ σφησιν ἀτ. etc.—In prose this usage is not frequent in the Singular, because there the possessives are mostly expressed by the personal pronouns; but so much the oftener in the Plural possessives of the 1 and 2 person. Thus, τὰ ὑμέτερ ἀντῶν ἀπηλίσκετε, also Genit. τῶν ὑμετέρων αὐτῶν κτημάτων, Dem. Ol. p. 25. Hdot. 6. 97. Instead of αὐτοῦ a Subst. in the Gen. can be joined with the possessives; e. g. διαρπάζουσι τὰ ἐμά, τοῦ κακοδαίμονος; or a Participle, Xen. Cyr. 8. 7. 26 εἴ τις ὅμμα τοὐμὸν ζῶντος ἔτε προσιδεῖν ἐθέλει, προσίτω.*

8. But more commonly, in the expression of such ideas as always stand in some necessary relation, as son, father, friend, master, hand, foot, etc. the possessive is not inserted, and its place is supplied by the article alone.

9. In regard to position with the article, the demonstrative pronouns, viz. οὖτος, ὅδε, ἐκεῖνος, (and so αὐτός, see above no. 2,) also the kindred adjectives ἔκαστος and ἐκάτερος, ἄμφω and ἀμφό-

τεροι, πᾶς and ἄπας, all stand in good prose either before the article, or after the substantive; e. g. τούτων τῶν ἀνδρῶν of these men, ὁ ἀνηρ οὖτος this man, ἄμφω τὼ πόλεε both cities, πάντες οι Έλληνες all the Greeks, τῷ δήμῳ ἄπαντι to the whole people. Less frequently and with emphasis πᾶς stands between the article and substantive; as οἱ πάντες βόες τε καὶ ἵπποι Plato; τοὺς πάντας ᾿Αργείους the Argives all together.—Without article πᾶς in the Sing. commonly stands for ἔκαστος; e. g. πᾶς ἀνήρ every man.

Note 14. The same position is assigned to several adjectives, as $\delta \lambda os$, $\mu \acute{e} \sigma os$, etc. for which see § 125. n. 5.

Note 15. The article is by rule omitted with the pronouns specified in no. 9, when the latter are not connected with their substantives as adjectives, but the noun as predicate refers back to them. Thus altiau pèr aŭrau foar these were the causes (but aŭrau al altiau these causes); τούτω παραδείγματι χρῶνται this they use as an example. But the article can also again stand, when the substantive is the subject, to which the pronoun as predicate refers; e. g. Plat. Rep. p. 338. b, αὖτη ἡ Σωκράτους σοφία, αὐτὸν μὲν μὴ ἐθέλειν διδάσκειν, παρὰ δὲ τῶν ἄλλων περιώντα μανθάνειν, i. e. not 'this is the wisdom,' but 'the wisdom of Socrates consists in this, that,' etc. This is different e. g. from Dem. Ol. I. p. 10 ἔστι τοῦτο δέος, μὴ ὁ πανοῦργος τρέψηταί τι τῶν πραγμάτων, i. e. not 'the fear is this,' but 'there is this fear,' metuendum est. Comp. Dawes' Miscell. p. 300. Reisig. Conj. p. 177.

Note 16. Real exceptions to the rule in no. 9 above, are only those examples, where ideas are connected with the words in question, which strictly taken do not require the article; e. g. δδε 'Αρίσταρχος, αὐτὸς βασιλεύς, πάντες διθρωποι i. e. 'all who are men;' πάντας θεοὺς δεῖ ἐπαινεῖν Plato; πασῶν πόλεων 'Αθὴναι μάλιστα πεφύκασιν ἐν εἰρήνη αὕξεσθαι Xen. Vect. 5. 2. Comp. § 124. n. 7. 3.

10. "Allos without the article is the Lat. alius, another; expression of difference; δ exercises on the other hand is only used with reference to two, and is the Lat. alter, the other; see § 78. 4.—In the Plural, ällow is others, and of ällow the others, ceteri; of exercise presupposes a more distinct separation into two parts, the other party.—The Sing. δ ällos expresses a whole, exclusive of and opposed to a definite part of the same, e.g. η älloy χ and the whole remaining land.

128. Neuter Adjectives.

1. The Neuter of all adjective words stands without a substantive, or itself as a substantive, in various relations. In accordance with its nature, the Neuter serves appropriately to express:

1) General ideas, or those including a plurality of objects. 2) Abstract ideas. In the first of these cases the Neuter Plural is very commonly employed, as in Latin, when in English we from necessity use the Singular; e. g. εἶπε ταῦτα he said this; τὰ καλά the beautiful, i. e. all that is beautiful; τὰ ἐμά my affairs, all that concerns me (n. 2); πολλὰ καὶ ἀγαθά many and good things, multa bona. Xen. Œc. 20.1 οὐδὲ τὰ ἀναγκαῖα δύνανται πορίζεσθαι.

2. The Neuter Singular on the contrary expresses more the abstract idea of the object; e. g. τὸ καλόν the beautiful; τὸ θεῖον the divinity; τὸ τῆς γυναικὸς δοῦλον καὶ θεραπευτικόν the submissive and obliging nature of woman; ἐρᾶν τοῦ ἀληθοῦς to love the truth, Plato. Hence consequently the neuter of the Participle can also stand as an abstract, e. g. τὸ συγκεχωρηκός that which yields, i. e. pliability of mind; τὸ λυποῦν, τὸ συμφέρον. Το be distinguished are: τὸ παρόν, τὸ μέλλον, and τὰ παρόντα, τὰ μέλλοντα.

Note 1. In the language of philosophy, in order to mark an abstract idea still more definitely, the pronoun αὐτό is further prefixed to the neuter adjective; e. g. αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν the beautiful itself, considered in itself alone. Plato Rep. p. 479 οί δὲ πολλὰ καλὰ θεώμενοι, αὐτὸ τὸ καλὸν οὐχ ὁρῶσι.

3. Further, the Neuter Singular of Adjectives, and especially of those in μός, commonly as taken substantively with the art. τό, serves also to express a plurality of concrete objects in their union and mutual coherence; or, it shews, that the possible multitude of particulars are taken together as a complete whole; e. g. οἱ Δωρικὸς the Dorians, but τὸ Δωρικὸς the whole Doric tribe. Further, τὸ ὑπήκοον, not the abstract 'what is subject,' but the mass of individual subjects taken together; hence e. g. Thucydides puts the corresponding predicate in the Plural, comp. § 129. 11. So too τὸ ἵππικον, τὸ ὁπλιτικόν, τὸ βαρβαρικόν. Participles also can be thus used.

Examples: Thuc. 6. 69 τὸ ὑπήκοον τῶν ξυμμάχων τὸ πρόθυμον εἶχον, shewed a readiness. Hdot. 7. 209 εἰ τούτους τε καὶ τὸ ὑπομένον (instead of τοὺς ὑπομένοντας) ἐν Σπάρτη καταστρέψεαι, οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἔθνος σε ὑπομενέει. Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 43 τὸ κρατοῦν τῆς πόλεως. Without article: Xen. Ages. 1. 15, 23 ἐππικὸν οὖν εἶχεν ᾿Αγησίλαος, comp. Thuc. 1. 4. etc.

Note 2. The periphrase of substantive ideas by means of the Neut. of the article (τό or τά) before a Genitive, etc. has already been treated of, § 125. n. 6. Analogous to this is the case, where substantive ideas are expressed by the Neuter Plur. of Adjectives, commonly also with the article; e. g. τὰ Πελοποννησιακά the Peloponnesian war; ἐπὶ τῶν Πελοποννησιακῶν at the time of the Peloponnesian war; τὰ πολεμικά the same as τὰ εἶs ν. περὶ τὸν πόλεμον in § 125. n. 6. b. It is further to be remarked, that also the Neut. of the possessive with the art. τό serves as a periphrase for the personal pronoun; e. g. τὸ ἐμόν for ἐγώ, strictly that which concerns me, my person. Plato Rep. p. 533 τό γ' ἐμὸν οὐδὲν ᾶν προθυμίας ἀπολίποι. Hdot. 8. 140 τὸ ὑμέτερον.

Note 3. The Greeks use the Plur. πάντα, as we use the word all, to express the idea of every one; e. g. Eurip. Hel. 276 τῶν βαρβάρων γὰρ δοῦλα πάντα πλὴν ἐνός, 'among the barbarians all is slave, save one;' in full, τὰ τῶν βαρβάρων πάντα, i. e. ol βάρβαροι πάντες.

Note 4. The Neuter of the adjective used instead of the adverb (§ 115. 4) is rare in prose; but in the poets it is very frequent; e. g. δεινὸν βοᾶν, ἔκπαγλα φιλεῖν, 'to cry out fearfully, to love immoderately.' In prose this usage is chiefly limited to the comparative and superlative; see § 115. 4. Examples of the positive in prose writers may for the most part be otherwise explained; as e. g. Xen. An. 5. 9. 5 πλλοντο ύψηλά τε καὶ κεύφως, i. e. ύψηλὰ πηδήματα, according to § 131. 4; so too Soph. OT. 1300 ο πηδήσας μείζονα. For πολύ, etc. see § 133. 4. d.

Note 5. On the other hand, the Neuter of the Pronouns, both Sing. and Plur. is very often used adverbially both in poetry and prose. E. g. Hom. II. ε. 185 οὐχ δγ' ἄνευθε θεῶν τάδε μαίνεται, 'in such a way,' instead of τήνδε μανίαν see § 131. 4. Thus too δ, τοῦτο, ταῦτα, often express the relation of cause, wherefore, therefore; e. g. Eur. Her. 13 δ καί με γῆς ὑπεξέπεμψεν. Plato Prot. p. 310 ἀλλ' αὐτὰ ταῦτα νῦν ῆκω παρὰ σέ.—In prose we may further note: τὸ πῶν wholly; τὰ πολλά much, mostly; τοῦτο μέν... τοῦτο δέ, also τὰ μέν... τὰ δέ, on the one hand ... on the other hand, partly ... partly; e. g. Demosth. Lept. p. 474. 25 τοῦτο μέν τούνυν, Θασίους πῶς οὐκ ἀδικήσετε, ἐὰν αφέλησθε τὴν ἀτέλειαν; τοῦτο δέ, ᾿Αρχέβιον καὶ Ἡρακλείδην; Χεη. Μεμη. 1. 3. 1 Σωκράτης ἀφελεῖν δοκεῖ μοι τοὺς ξυνόντας, τὰ μὲν ἔργφ δεικνύων ἐαυτὸν οἶος ἦν, τὰ δὲ καὶ διαλεγόμενος.

NOTE 6. The Neuter Sing, of the ordinal numerals, with or without the article (comp. § 125. n. 8), stands in like manner adverbially, as in Lattertium, quartum; e. g. τρίτον, τέταρτον, τὸ τρίτον, thirdly, fourthly, etc.

THE NOUN IN CONSTRUCTION.

§ 129. Subject and Predicate.

- 1. The Subject of a clause or sentence is that of which something is affirmed; the Predicate expresses that which is affirmed of the subject; the Copula shows that the subject and predicate stand thus in relation to each other. The subject is always a substantive or some word standing in the place of a substantive; the predicate, when independent, is usually an adjective or some equivalent word; and the simple copula is the verb to be, in Greek elva, etc. More commonly, however, the idea of the predicate and that of the copula are united in one word, viz. the verb.—The general rules for the correspondence of the subject and predicate, which are common to all languages, hold good also in the Greek. The following remarks therefore are limited to the peculiarities of the Greek idiom.
- 2. When the *Predicate* is a substantive, it commonly expresses a general affirmation, and therefore omits the *article*. If the subject has no article, the predicate usually stands first.

Examples: νὺξ ἡ ἡμέρα ἐγένετο Hdot. Xen. An. 2. 5. 41 Πρόξενος καὶ Μένων εἰσὶν ὑμέτεροι μὲν εὐεργέται, ἡμέτεροι δὲ στρατηγοί, comp. § 124. n. 4. Plato Theæt. p. 152. a, the saying of Protagoras: πάντων χρημάτων μέτρον ἄνθρωπος. See other examples in § 124. n. 7.—Contra, Isocr. Nic. p. 28 λόγος ἀληθὴς ψυχῆς ἀγαθῆς εἴδωλόν ἐστι.

- Note 1. The predicate however can affirm, and yet at the same time refer to something well known or already mentioned; in which case the predicate also takes the article; e. g. Luc. D. Mort. 18. 1 τουτὶ τὸ κρανίον ἡ Ἑλ ἐνη ἐστί. Such examples, however, must always be taken in connection with the context on which they depend; and it may therefore suffice to cite here a few: Xen. An. 6. 4.7. Cyr. 3. 3. 4 ἀνακαλοῦντες αὐτὸν τὸ ν εὐεργέτην, τὸν προδέτην. Plato Phæd. p. 64. c, ἡγούμεθα τὸν βάνατον εἶναι τὴν ἀπαλλαγήν, etc. So too with adjectives as substantives: Plato Phæd. p. 78 ταῦτ ἐστὶ τὰ ἀξύνθετα. Hipp. Maj. p. 296 τὸ ἀφέλιμόν ἐστι τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ ποιοῦν ἀγαθόν. We must distinguish between εἰρήνη ἐστὶν ἀγαθόν and τὰγαθόν.
 - 3. The predicate agrees with the subject in number and per-

son. But, when the subject is a Neuter Plural, the verb by rule, whether predicate or copula, is put in the Singular.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: τὰ ζῶα τρέχει animals run; τῶν ὅντων τὰ μέν ἐστιν ἐφ' ἡμῖν, τὰ δ' οὐκ ἐφ' ἡμῖν, in our power. Xen. Conv. 1. 15 ἐπεὶ γέλως ἐξ ἀνθρώπων ἀπόλωλεν, ἔρρει τὰ ἐμὰ πράγματα.—τὰ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐστὶ καλά.

Note 2. Yet both in poetry and prose the Plural is sometimes found in such constructions, when the subjects denote rational beings: Thue. 7. 57 τοσάδε μετὰ λθηναίων ἔθνη ἐστράτευον. Iscer. p. 280 τὰ μειράκια τὴν αὐτὴν ἐμοὶ γνόμην ἔσχον. Or where the idea of individuality and plurality is to be made specially prominent: Xen. An. 1.7. 17 φανερὰ ἢσαν ἀρθρώπων καὶ ἵππων ἵχνη πολλά, comp. 4. 1. 13. Thue. ἐγένοντο εἴκοσι καὶ ἐκατὸν τάλαντα. In like manner also <math>Participles connected with a Neuter Plural prefer the constructio ad sensum (κατὰ σύνεσιν); in which case too the predicate follows the same; see examples in no. 11, below.—The poets sometimes write the Plural without any such grounds; Hom. II. β. 135. Od. β. 156.

4. Where there is more than one subject, the predicate by rule must be in the Plural. Here too, as in Latin, the *first* person is preferred before the second, and the *second* before the third.

Note 3. Here, of course, if all the subjects are in the Neuter Plural, the predicate remains in the Singular. The same takes place, though rarely, when the subjects are merely abstract or impersonal objects; e.g. Il. ρ. 386 γούνατά τε κνήμαί τε πόδες τε . . . χεῖρές τέ ὀφθαλμοί τε παλάσσετο. Plato Symp. p. 188 πάχναι καὶ χάλαζαι καὶ ἐρυσίβαι (mildew) γίγνεται. Comp. n. 4. below.

5. Where there are several subjects, it is often the ease, that the predicate agrees both in person and number with only *one* of them, and that *the nearest*; and consequently is in the Singular if this is in the Singular. This takes place especially when the predicate stands *first*; see in no. 10, below.

Examples: Instead of 1 pers. Plural: Eur. Med. 1010 ταῦτα γὰρ Θεοὶ κὰγὼ κακῶς φρονοῦσ' ἐμηχανησάμην.—Instead of 2 Plural: Xen. Mem. 4. 4. 7 οὕτε σὰ οῦτ' ᾶν ἄλλος οὐδεὶς δύναιτ' ἀντειπεῖν.—Instead of 3 Plural: Eur. Supp. 155 Τυδεὺς μάχην ξυνηψε Πολυνείκης 3' ἄμα. Thue. 1. 29 ἐστρατήγει δὲ τῶν νεῶν 'Αριστεὺς καὶ Καλλικράτης καὶ Τιμάνωρ. Π. π. 844. etc. Comp. also § 143. 3, on the relative.

Note 4. When the predicate (or copula) stands first, it is not unusual, that even when the nearest substantive is a Plural, or only Plurals follow, the verb nevertheless stands in the Singular; e. g. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ καὶ $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ ταῖς ἄλλαις πόλεσιν ἄρχοντές τε καὶ δημος, where if ἄρχοντές stood alone the verb must have been $\epsilon i\sigma\iota$.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Xen. An. 5. 10. 10 ἦν δὲ ὑπὲρ ημισυ τοῦ στρατεύματος ᾿Αρκάδες καὶ ᾿Αχαιοί. Plato Rep. p. 363 παρακελεύονται οἱ πατέρες υἱέσιν, ὡς χρὴ δίκαιον εἶναι, Γνα γίγνηται αὐτοῖς ἀρχαί τε καὶ γάμοι καὶ ἄλλα τοιαῦτα.

Note 5. Wholly poetic is the usage called, after the poet Aleman, the Schema Alemanicum; in which the predicate stands in the Plural (or Dual) when it belongs in sense to several subjects, but by its position refers expressly to one, and that in the Singular.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Οd. κ. 513 ἔνθα μὲν εἰς ᾿Αχέροντα Πυριφλεγέθων τε ῥέουσιν Κώκυτός τε. ΙΙ. ε. 774 ἦχι ῥοὰς Σιμόεις συμβάλλετον ἦδὲ Σκάμανδρος. υ.

138 εἰ δέ κ' "Αρης ἄρ χωσι μάχης ἡ Φοίβος 'Απόλλων.

Note 6. Some dialects connect also a verb Singular in particular instances with masculine and feminine Plurals which relate to things. This peculiarity is called from the usage of Pindar, Schema Pindaricum; e. g. Ol. 10. 5 μελιγάρυες υμνοι . . . τελλεται. The construction is somewhat more

natural, though still always rare, when the verb precedes; as in της δ' ην τρεῖς κεφαλαί mentioned in the second marg. note to § 108. IV. 4; also Hdot. 1. 26 ἔστι δὲ μεταξὺ τῆς πόλως καὶ τοῦ υηοῦ ἐπτὰ στάδω. In this form of the construction even proper names occur. See on this subject Herm. ad Pind. Ol. 8. 10, and 11. 5. Valck. ad Hdot. 5. 12. Here then belongs too the common phrase ἔστιν οῖ, étc. see § 150. m. 21.

6. As to the Greek *Dual*, every clause which speaks of two can be put either wholly in the Plural, or it may have the subject in the Dual and the verb in the Plural; comp. § 33. 2. When there are several predicates, these can vary between the two forms as euphony may require.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Hom. II. ε. 275 τω δε τάχ' έγγύθεν ἢλθον, ελαύνοντ' ωκέας ιππους. Χεπ. Μεπ. 1. 2. 18 Σωκράτει συνήστην, οὐ φοβουμένω, μὴ ζημιοίντο ὑπ' αὐτοῦ. Hom. II. η. 279 μηκέτι, παίδε φίλω, πολεμίζετε, μηδε μάχεσθον. Soph. OC. 1435 οῦ μοι ζωντί γ' αὐθις ἔξετον. μέθεσθε δ' ἤδη, χαίρετόν τ'. οὐ γάρ μ' ἔτι ἐσόψεσθ' κτλ.

Note 7. Those forms of nouns, adjectives, etc. which belong immediately together, observe here the conformity required by § 123; except: 1) The numerals $\delta \dot{\nu} o$ and $\delta \mu \phi \omega$ sometimes have Plural forms connected with them, e. g. $\delta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \mu \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \mu \rho \dot{\omega}$. 2) The construction of the Participle shares the license of the verb; e. g. Eurip. Alc. 903 $\delta \dot{\nu} o \delta^{\circ} \dot{d} \nu \dot{\tau} \dot{\nu} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega}^{\circ} \dot{\omega}^{\circ} \dot{\nu} \dot{\sigma}^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \dot{\nu} \dot{\omega}^{\circ} \dot{\omega}^{\circ} \dot{\omega}^{\circ} \dot{\nu} \dot{\omega}^{\circ}

7. When the predicate is an Adjective, it of course agrees also in gender with the subject; e. g. ὁ παῖς ἐστι καλός.

S. From this rule it is a very common exception, that when the subject, whether it be mase or fem. whether Sing or Plural, is conceived of as a thing, or when the idea thing $(\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\mu a, \kappa\tau\hat{\eta}-\mu a)$ or something (τl) can be subjoined to it, the adjective is put in the Neuter Singular.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: ή ἀρετή ἐστιν ἐπαινετόν nirtue is (something) praiseworthy.—
II. β. 204 οὐκ ἀ γαθὸν πολυκοιρανίη· εἶs κοίρανος ἔστω. Eur. Med. 1083 οῖ γὰ ἄτεκνοι, εἶθ' ἡδὺ βροτοῖς, εἶτ' ἀνιαρὸν παῖδες τελέθουσ' (ἄπειροι).—χαλ επὸν ἀκροατὴς ἀσύνετος. Αrist. Eccl. 236 χρήματα πορίζειν εὐπορώτατον γυνή.

Note 8. In a manner wholly similar the Neuters σὐδέν and μηδέν are often connected with masc or fem. subjects; e. g. Eur. Androm. 1066 σὐδέν εἰμι, i. e. ἀπωλόμην. Plato Rep. p. 556 ἄνδρες οἱ ἡμέτεροι πλούσιοὶ εἰσιν σὐδέν, are of no use.* So too the Neuters πλέον, πλεῖν, μεῖον, etc. are employed in attributive relations as wholly indeclinable; e. g. Xen. Hell. 7. 1. 20 τριήρεις πλέον ἡ εἴκοσιν. Cyr. 2. 1. 6 ἰππάας (ἄγεις) μεῖον ἡ τὸ τρίτον μέρος τοῦ τῶν πολεμίων ἰππικοῦ. Thuc. 6. 95 ἐπραθη ταλίντων οὐκ ἔλαττον πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι. Contra, regular in Xen. Cyr. 2. 1. 5. etc.

NOTE 9. When the subject to which an adjective refers as predicate, is a whole clause or a thought, the adjective is put in the Neuter Singular; as,

* Even in connections which required a different case, the Neuter remained unchanged, as if indeclinable: Eur. Herael. 168 γέροντος οδνεκα, τὸ μηδὲν δυτος.

καλόν ἐστι θανεῖν ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος. But the usage is not rare, that the adjective stands in the Neut. Plural. Comp. the Verbal Adj. § 134.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Hdot. 1. 91 τὴν πεπρωμένην μοῖραν ἀδύνατά ἐστι ἀποφυγέειν. Thuc. 1. 125 ἀδύνατα ἦν ἐπιχειρεῖν ἀπαρασκεύοις οὖσιν. Eur. Hec. 1222 ἀχθεινὰ μέν μοι, τάλλότρια κρίνειν κακά.

9. The rule, that an adjective-pronoun as subject must agree in gender with the noun-predicate, (Lat. hic est pater meus,) holds also in Greek: οὖτός ἐστιν ἐμὸς πατήρ. Yet it follows from Text 8, that, in speaking of things and abstract objects, expressions like the following may also have place: τοῦτό ἐστι πηγὴ καὶ ἀρχὴ γενέσεως, though still in Lat. hic fons est, etc.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Crat. p. 428 ὀνόματος ὀρθότης ἐστὶν αὖτη, ἥτις ἐνδείξεται οδον ἐστι τὸ πρᾶγμα. Euthyphr. init. οδτοι δὲ ᾿Αθηναῖοι δίκην α ὖτὴν καλοῦσιν, ἀλλὰ γραφήν. Rep. 1. p. 336 το ῦτο ἐφάνη ἡ δικαιοσύνη ὄν.

Note 10. On the other hand, the Neut. Plural of a demonstrative sometimes refers to persons, yet not without a certain ethic shade; e. g. Eur. Andr. 168 οὐ γάρ ἐσθ' Ἔκτωρ τάδε. Ττο. 99 οὐκέτι Τροία τάδε. Τhuc. 6. 77 οὐκ Ἰωνες τάδε εἰσὶν οὐδ' Ἑλλησπόντιοι καὶ νησιῶται, ἀλλὰ Δωριῆς.

10. When there are several subjects, and the predicate is an adjective, the same rules hold good in respect to the *gender* of the latter, as in Latin. Here too, as above (no. 5) in respect to number, the adjective may *in form* refer to only one of the subjects.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 3. 1. 7 ώς είδε τον πατέρα τε καὶ μητέρα αἰχμαλώτους γε γενημένους, ἐδάκρυσε. So in the Gen. absol. Hdot. 3. 119 πατρὸς καὶ μητρὸς οὐκέτι μευ ζωόντων. Il. ε. 891 αἰεὶ γάρ τοι ἔρις τε φίλη πύλεμοί τε μαχαί τε. Sometimes the reference is to the remoter subject, when the sense accords better with this: Thuc. 8. 63 ἐπύθετο Στρομβιχίδην καὶ τὰς ναῦς ἀπεληλυθότα.

Note 11. After several subjects singly enumerated, which are all or in part things, the predicate commonly stands in the Neuter Plural. E. g. Hdot. 2. 132. τον αὐχένα καὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν φαίνει κεχρυσωμένα. Χεπ. Μεπ. 3. 1. 7 λίθοι τε καὶ πλίνθοι καὶ ξύλα καὶ κέραμος ἀτάκτως ἐρριμένα οὐδὲι χρήσιμά ἐστιν.

11. The grammatical accord between the subject and predicate is further disturbed: a) When with a collective noun in the Sing. the predicate is put in the Plural. b) When the predicate follows, not the grammatical, but the natural gender of the subject.—These instances form what is called

constructio ad sensum v. κατά σύνεσιν.

an important feature of the Greek Syntax; which applies also to other portions of it, and especially to relative and participial clauses.

Examples: a) Hom, ὡς φάσαν ἡ πληθύς, thus spake the multitude. Xen. An. 1. 7. 4 τὸ πλήθος ἐπίασιν. Thue. 5. 60 τὸ στρατόπεδον ἀπεχώρουν καὶ διελύθησαν ἐπ' οἴκου.* So too with pronouns; see examples in § 143. 5. b. b) τὸ μειράκιὸν ἐστι καλός... Plato Phædr. p. 240 ἄγαμον, ἄοικον τὰ παιδικὰ ἐραστὴς εὕξαιτ' ἄν γενέσθαι. Hence too in like manner with an attributive: φίλε τέκνον, § 123. n. 3. This occurs most frequently in the



^{*} So even as Gen. absol. τοῦ στόλου...πλεόντων, Demosth. Mid. § 45.

participial construction: Od. ζ. 156 σφίσι θυμὸς laίνεται, λευσσόντων (Gen. absol. instead of λεύσσουσι) τοιόνδε θάλος (Nαυσικάαν) χορὸν εἶσοιχνεῦσαν. Thue 4. 15 ἔδοξεν, τὰ τέλη καταβάντας ἐς τὸ στρατόπεδον βουλεύειν παραχρῆμα. Plato Lach. p. 180 τὰ μειράκια πρὸς ἀλλήλους διαλεγόμενοι θαμὰ ἐπτιμέμνη τα Σωκράτους καὶ σφόδρα ἐπαινούσιν. Also in periphrases with the Genitive, where then the predicate takes the gender of the main idea as contained in the Genitive: Plato Rep. p. 563 τὸ τῶν θηρίων (i. e. τὰ θηρία) ἐλενθερώτερὰ ἐστιν. Il. β. 459 ὁρνίθων ἔθνεα πολλά...ἀγαλλόμεναι πτερύγεσσιν.—So too with the relative: βίη Ἡρακληείη, ὅς κτλ. § 143.

Note 12. Out of a Plural subject there is often evolved a collective pronominal idea, like ἐκαστος, ἄλλοθεν ἄλλος, etc. which then, where the subject is not further expressed, stands without change along with the Plural predicate; e.g. Od. a. 424 δη τότε κακκείοντες ἔβαν οἰκονδε ἔκαστος. Il. ε. 311 ώς μη μοι τρύζητε παρήμενοι ἄλλοθεν ἄλλος. Plato Charm. p. 153 ηρώτων δὲ ᾶλλος ἄλλος ἀλλος.

- NOTE 13. In addressing several, it is often the case that only the chief person is named, and yet the verb is in the Plural: Soph. Phil. 466 ήδη, τέκνον, στέλλεσθε. Vice versa, when several are actually named and addressed, the Sing. of an Imperat is often used, as εἰπέ, ἄγε, φέρε, ἰδέ, § 115. n. 7; so Arist. Pac. 383 εἰπέ μοι, τί πάσχετ', δυδρες. Dem. Phil. 1. p. 43.—Further, according to the analogy of the preceding note, there may be joined to this Imperative a pronoun, like τὶς, πᾶς, πᾶς τις, without any change of person, especially in scenic dialogue; e. g. Arist. Av. 1186 χώρει δεῦρο πᾶς ὑπηρέτης ἄθρει δὲ πᾶς κύκλφ σκοπῶν. Eur. Rhes. 683 ἴσχε πᾶς τις. Comp. Eur. Bacch. 327, where the two persons alternate.
- Note 14. As in Latin, so in Greek sometimes, and in tragedy often, a single person speaks of himself in the Plural. Here it is to be noted, that, even in the case of a female person, the predicate or other declinable adjunct is them put in the masculine Plural. Thus Electra says in Soph. El. 391 πεσούμεθ, εἰ χρή, πατρὶ τιμωρούμενοι. Clytemmestra in Eur. IA. 818 οἰ βαῦμά σ' ἡμᾶς ἀγνοεῖν, οὐ s μὴ πάρος κατεῖδες. On the other hand, when these adjuncts stand in the Singular, they take the natural gender: Eur. Here. F. 858 ῆλιον μαρτυρόμεσθα δρῶσ', δ δρᾶν οὐ βούλομαι. IA. 980 οἰκτρὰ πεπόνθαμεν, ἡ...οἰη θεῖσα...κενήν κατέσχον ἐλπίδα.
- 12. When the copula (είναι, γίνεσθαι, etc.) stands nearer to the predicate than to the subject, it takes also the number of the latter; comp. § 143. 4.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: Hdot. 6.112 ή σαν δὲ στάδιοι οὐκ ελάσσονες τὸ μεταίχμιον αὐτῶν ή ὀκτώ. Thue. 4. 102 οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἔκτισαν τὸ χωρίον, ὅπερ πρότερον Ἐννέα ὁδοὶ ἐκαλοῦντο. Hdot. 2. 15 τὸ πάλαι αἰ Θῆβαι Αἴγυπτος ἐκαλέετο.

13. The predicate can also be expressed by an Adverb; either when no adjective belonging to the adverbial idea exists in the language (comp. † 125. 7); or when the adverb combines with the copula into one idea; or when elvai has the more emphatic sense of being, existence. Such adverbs are: $\tilde{a}\lambda\iota_s$, $\sigma \tilde{v}\gamma a$, $\dot{a}\kappa\dot{\eta}\nu$ Hom. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\alpha}s$, $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\dot{\nu}s$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\dot{\nu}\theta\epsilon\nu$, $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\dot{\iota}o\nu$, $\delta\dot{\iota}\chi a$, $\chi\omega\rho\dot{\iota}s$, $\pi a\rho a-\pio\lambda\dot{\nu}$ Plato Apol. p. 36; and others.

Examples: οἱ πολέμιοι ἐγγύς, χωρὶς ἦσαν, ἐγγύτερον ἐγίγνοντο Xen. αἱ γνῶμιι ἐγίνοντο δίχα Hdot. Eur. Hec. 527 σῖγα πᾶς ἔστω λεώς. Further, the predicate ideas καλῶς, κακῶς, χαλεπῶς εἶναι; e. g. ib. 716 εἶ τι τῶνδ' ἐστὶν καλῶς.

14. The subject corresponding to our substantive pronouns I, thou, he, she, it, etc. whenever it is sufficiently obvious from the

verbal ending or from the context, and when no emphasis rests upon it, is commonly (as in Latin) not expressed.

Note 15. Yet, with the omitted pronoun thus implied in the ending of the verb, an adjunct may be connected by apposition; e. g. δ δε Μαίας τῆς "Ατλαντος διακονοῦμαι αὐτοῖς, i. e. and I, the son of Maia, etc. Lucian. Here it is to be noted, that when to a personal pronoun, even though only implied in the verb, there is subjoined the noun or idea for which it stands, the Greeks put the latter with the article; e. g. Xen. An. 4. 4. 16 ἐγὰ ὑμᾶς ἀκούω το ὺς ᾿Αθηναίους δεινοὺς εἶναι κλέπτειν τὰ δημόσια. Comp. Krüger on An. 1. 7. 7.

15. When the subject of a minor clause (whether co-ordinate or subordinate) is the same with that of the main sentence, it is commonly not again expressed in the minor clause. If however it stood in the main sentence in an oblique case, there must be, in the minor clause, at least a corresponding pronoun as subject. But even this is sometimes neglected, where no want of clearness can arise, in order to avoid the verbosity of such constructions; e. g. Plato Rep. p. 533 ταύτας τὰς τέχνας ἐπιστήμας μὲν πολλάκις προσείπομεν, δέονται δὲ ὀνόματος ἄλλου. So too in several connected relative clauses; see § 143. 7, 8.—Comp. the special syntactical usage in dependent clauses, the subject of which is contained in the main sentence as the object, either near or remote, in § 151. I. 6.

Note 16. Sometimes the subject of the minor clause must first be supplied out of some other word in the main sentence; e. g. Hdot. 9. 8 τον Ισθμον ἐτείχεον· καί σφι ἢν πρὸς τέλεῖ, sc. τὸ τεῖχος.

16. The subject-word is also omitted, when the verb itself expresses the customary action of that subject. Such verbs are: σαλπίζει οτ σημαίνει sc. ὁ σαλπιγκτής; βύει sc. ὁ θυτήρ; ἐκήρυξε sc. ὁ κήρυξ; οἰνοχοεύει sc. ὁ οἰνοχόος; also ἀναγνώσεται ὑμῶν sc. ὁ ἀναγνώστης Dem. In Herodotus this occurs likewise of other ordinary actions connected with sacrifice, hunting, etc.

Examples: Xen. An. 3. 4. 36. ib. 1. 2. 17. Dem. Lept. p. 465. Hom. Od. φ. 142. Hdot. 2. 47, 70; see also n. 17, below.

17. The same takes place where in English we use it, and thus indicate an operation of nature or of circumstances; e. g. υει it rains, where we are not to suppose an omission of Zευς, although the Greeks often said Zευς υει.

EXAMPLES: προσημαίνει it announces itself, e. g. in the air; συσκοτάζει εc. ήμέρα it grows dark Xen. πρόσω τῆς νυκτὸς προελήλατο Hdot. 9. 44; ἐδήλωσε δέ and so it showed itself, Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 32.

18. Impersonal Verbs, as they are usually called, i. e. such as never have a person or even a noun as subject, are of another kind. In them the subject is not left in doubt, as in those just mentioned, but the action to which they refer, whether expressed by an Infinitive or by another dependent clause, is the real subject. E. g. ἔξεστί μοι ἀπιέναι, it is permitted to me to depart, i. q. τὸ ἀπιέναι ἔξεστί μοι, lit. 'to depart is permitted to

Of this kind are δεί, χρή, ἀπόχρη, δοκεί, πρέπει it becomes, is proper, ενδέχεται it is possible; or also whole phrases, as έχει λόγεν, consentaneum est, and the like. These verbs admit in part also the personal construction; as ὀρθότατα νῦν μοι δοκεῖς clonκέναι Plato; see further on this point in § 151. I. 7.

19. The English indefinite subject one, some one, (Fr. on, Germ. man,) is very commonly expressed in Greek by the indef, pron. 713, § 127. 4. It is also made, as in Latin and English, either by the 3 Plur. Act. as φασί they say, καλοῦσι, ὀνομάζουσι; or by the 3 Sing. Pass. as λέγεται it is said, and so other verbs;

or also by the 2 pers. Sing. as pains as you might say.

Examples: Soph. Trach. 2 οὐκ αν αἰων ἐκμάθοις βροτών, πρὶν αν θάνη τις, ουτ' εί χρηστός, ουτ' εί τω κακός sc. ό αίων. Xen. Mem. 2. 2. 1 τους ευ παθόντας, όταν χάριν μη ἀποδώσιν, ἀχαρίστους καλοῦσι. Is. Demon. p. 10 μηδεν χρω πονηρώ ων γάρ αν έκείνος άμάρτη, σοι τὰς αἰτίας ἀναθήσουσιν. Plut. Apophth. p. 185 'Αδειμάντου εἰπόντος 'Ω Θεμιστόκλεις, τοὺς ἐν τοῖς ἀγωσι προεξανισταμένους μαστιγούσι. Ναί, είπεν ὁ Θεμ., τοὺς δὲ λειπομένους οὐ στεφανούσιν.

NOTE 17. The word τ 's can be omitted, and consequently the verb stand alone in the 3 pers. Sing. when under the idea of one, some one, we understand either: 1) The person on whom the action is incumbent (comp. no. 16 above), e. g. τον λαμπτήρα προσενεγκάτω, 'let some one bring hither the lantern, 'Xen. Symp. 5. 2; or 2) The indefinite subject of a preceding verb, c. g. οὐκ ἔστιν ὀρθῶς ἡγεῖσθαι ἐὰν μὴ φρόνιμος ἢ, 'it is not possible to be a good leader, unless one has capacity,' Plat. Meno. 37. p. 97; comp. Theæt.

20. The Copula can also be omitted; most frequently when it would stand in the Pres. Indicative, and in the third pers. Sing. or Plural. This occurs in clauses of a general nature; and elsewhere when no want of clearness can arise.

Examples: Ελλην έγω I am a Greek. Plato Rep. 331 Σιμωνίδη οὐ ράδιον απιστείν· σοφὸς γὰρ και θείος ὁ ἀνήρ. Eur. Or. 724 κοινὰ τὰ τῶν φίλων. ib. 780 σιγᾶν ἄμεινον.—An example of the omission of the Imperat. is Soph. OC. 1480 ίλαος, & δαίμων. For that of the Subjunct. in relative clauses, see

Note 18. Some words are scarcely found construed otherwise than in this elliptical manner. So e. g. the substantives ἀνάγκη, χρεών, θέμις, καιρός, ὥρα; the adjectives ἔτοιμος, φροῦδος, αἴτιος, ράδιος, χαλεπός, etc. So too the phrases, Υαυμαστὸν ὅσον, ἀμήχανον ὅσον, § 150. m. 8; also the Verb.

Adj. in τέον or Plur. τέα.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: τοις δρχουσι πείθεσθαι ανάγκη Xen. οὐ τὸ μὴ λαβείν τα αγαθα ούτω χαλεπόν, ώσπερ το λαβόντα στερηθήναι λυπηρόν Xen. Cyr. 7. 5. 82. κάγω πάσχειν ότιοῦν έτοιμος Demosth. and so even without έγω Plato Parm. p. 137. Luc. Cat. 10. Verb. Adj. τοῦτο ποιητέον; also in relative and other like clauses: Plato Rep. p. 392 τοῦτο νῦν σκεπτέον, καὶ ἡμῖν ἄ τε λεκτέον καὶ ὡς λεκτέον ἐσκέψεται. See Heind. ad Parm. l. c. Valck. ad Phæn. 976.

THE CASES.

129 a. Nominative and Vocative.

1. The Nominative names the persons or things spoken of; and is therefore naturally the case of the subject in ordinary discourse. —The Vocative differs from the Nominative only in that it serves to call to or address any one; hence likewise in respect to form it varies very little from the Nominative, and often not at all. It commonly takes before it the interjection $\hat{\omega}$; but not necessarily.

NOTE 1. Sometimes in the poets, when a subst. in the Voc. is connected with an adjective, the & is put between the two, or is even put twice; e. g. Il. ρ. 716 ἀγακλεές & Μενέλαε. Eur. Or. 1245 Μυκηνίδες & φίλαι. Soph. Phil. 799 & τέκνον & γενναΐον.

2. Adjuncts in apposition with the Vocative, whether expressed by a noun, or by an adjective or participle as a noun, take the article. The same rule holds good, when in like manner an adjunct is put in apposition with the person addressed with $\sigma\dot{\nu}$ or $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\nu}$, or also with the person implied in the verb, but not directly expressed.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plato Hipp. maj. init. «Ιππιας, ό καλός τε καὶ σοφός, ὡς διὰ χρόνου ἡμῖν καθῆρας εἰς ᾿Αθήνας. Χεπ. Cyr. 6. 3. 33 σὰ δέ, ὁ ἄρ χων τῶν ἀνδρῶν, ὅπισθεν ἐκτάττου · ἡμεῖς δέ, οἱ ἡ γ ε μόνες κτλ. Μεπ. 3. 14. 4 παρατη ρεῖτε τοῦτον, οἱ πλη σίον. Plato Symp. p. 172 ὁ Φαληρεὰς οδτος ᾿Απολλόδωρος, οἰ παραμενεῖς. So too even with adjuncts connected by καί, as Cyr. 3. 3. 20 δ Κῦρε καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι Πέρσαι, ἐγὰ ἄχθομαι κτλ.—On the other hand examples like the following are not apposition, but a repetition of the Vocative; Soph. OC. 1700 δ πάτερ, δ φίλος, δ τὸν ἀεὶ κατὰ γᾶς σκότον εἰμένος. Id. Aj. 977 δ φίλτατ Αΐας, δ ξύναιμον ὅμμ᾽ ἐμοί.

Note 2. By a very peculiar attraction there is sometimes found in the poets, in the clause immediately following the Vocative, a predicate-adjunct in the Vocative instead of the Nominative; so that this clause and the Vocative entirely coalesce. E. g. Soph. Philoct. 760 ιω δύστηνε σύ, δύστηνε δήτα δια πόνων πάντων φανείς. Αj. 695 δ Παν αλ ίπλα γκτε Κυλλανίας ἀπδ δειράδος φάνηθι. Comp. Eur. Tro. 1229. Horat. Serm. 2. 6. 20.

Note 3. Vice versa, that after a Vocative the following clause is often apparently separated by $\delta\epsilon$ and other adversative particles, see in § 149.

\$ 130. The Object.—Oblique Cases. Omission of the Object.

1. That on which an action is exerted, or to which it refers, is called the *Object*; and always stands in one of the three cases, Genitive, Dative, or Accusative. These are hence called *dependent* or *oblique* cases, *Casus obliqui*.

2. The immediate object, upon which the action of a transitive verb is directed, and without which we cannot conceive of such a verb, commonly stands in the Accusative; e. g. λαμβάνω τὴν ἀσπίδα I take the shield. The remote object, which may stand along with the Accusative, or with an intransitive verb, often has with it a preposition; e. g. λαμβάνω τὴν ἀσπίδα ἀπὸ τοῦ πασσάλου 'I take the shield from the nail;' ἔστηκα ἐν τῷ ἐδάφει 'I stand upon the ground.'

3. But of the relations and adjuncts which may thus constitute a remote object, those which occur most frequently are for the most part expressed by a case alone, i. e. without a preposition. For this purpose, two cases are specially employed in those European languages which do this, viz. the Genitive and Dative.

E. g. in German; 'Ich gebe das Geld dem Manne,' I give the money to the man, or I give the man the money. 'Er versicherte mich seines Wohlwollens,' he assured me of his good-will.*

- 4. But when we come to particulars, we find that languages differ much in this respect; and what is expressed in one language by one case, is given in another by a different one. Very often one language employs a preposition, where another needs merely the simple case; and not unfrequently both modes are usual in a language at the same time; e. g. he told it me or to me; I will write him a letter or a letter to him. When therefore in Greek a simple case stands where other languages employ a preposition, we must take care not to explain the case in Greek by means of a preposition perhaps omitted; but must rather assume, that the relation which we endeavour to make clear in such examples by the help of a preposition, is in Greek already included in the case itself.
- 5. We may assume it as a principle in the ancient languages, than which nothing is more common in respect to the object, whether immediate or remote, that, so soon as a person or thing has been once mentioned, and the reference to the same is sufficiently clear from the verb itself, the object is not expressed; just as in the case of the subject and the possessive pronouns, 129. 14. In this way the multiplication of pronouns so common in modern languages is avoided. We wish here only to call the learner's attention to this characteristic of the ancient languages; subjoining a few examples.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Χen. Cyr. 1. 2. 12 ἐν ἢ δ' ἀν τῶν φυλῶν πλεῖστοι ὁσιν ἀνδρικώτατοι, ἐπαινοῦσιν οἱ πολίται, here supply in mind ταύτην before ἐπαινοῦσιν. Hell. 3. 4. 3 ἐπαγγειλαμένου τοῦ ᾿Αγησιλάου τὴν στρατείαν Agesilaus offering himself as leader of the expedition, διδόασιν οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι (sc. αὐτῷ) ὅσαπερ ἤτησεν. Athen. 8. p. 399 ὁν ἢν ἴδη, τὰς χεῖρας οὐκ ἀφέξεται sc. αὐτοῦ. Plato Rep. p. 465 πρεσβυτέρω νεωτέρων πάντων ἄρχειν τε καὶ κολάζειν (sc. αὐτοὺς) προστετάξεται. Soph. Antig. 901 θανόντας ἐγὼ ἔλουσα, κἀκόσμησα, κἀπιτυμβίους χοὰς ἔδωκα. Comp. ib. 537. Dem. de fals. Legat. p. 426 οἱ δὲ πολλοὶ οὐχ ὅπως ἀρχίζοντο ἡ κολάζειν ἡξίουν τοὺς ταῦτα ποιοῦντας, ἀλλὶ ἐπέβλεπον, ἐζῆλουν, ἔτίμων, ἄνδρας ἡγοῦντο.

Note 1. When two connected verbs, and especially a participle with its finite verb, have an object in common, the Greek inserts the latter only once, even when the two verbs govern different cases; and then it is commonly put in the case required by the nearest verb.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Il. a. 356 έλων γὰρ ἔχει γέρας, αὐτὸς ἀπούρας. π. 406 ἔλκε δὲ δουρὸς έλων. Χεη. Μεμ. 3. 4. 1 ὁ δὲ τὰς οὐλὰς τῶν τραυμάτων ἀπογυμνούμενος επεδείκνυεν. Hos. ε. 166 τοῖς δὲ (ἡμθέοις) δίχ ἀνθρώπων βίστον καὶ ἤθε ἀπάσσας, Ζεὺς Κρονίδης κατένασσε πατὴρ ἐς πείρατα γαίης (sc. αὐτούς). Cyr. 2. 3. 17 Κῦρος εἶπε τοῖς ἐτέροις, ὅτι βάλλειν δέησοι ἀναιρουμένους ταῖς βώλοις, Where ταῖς β. belongs to βάλλειν. Plato Crat. p. 404 λέγεται ὁ Ζεὺς τῆς Ἡρας ἐρασθεὶς ἔχειν. See still other examples in Krüger on Dionys. Hist. p. 119.

Note 2. There is further quite a number of verbs, in which the omission

^{*} It is hardly necessary to remark, that the English language can in general mark these relations only by the help of prepositions.—Tr.

of the object has become almost established by custom. This remark holds true in all languages (comp. § 113. 2, and marg. note); and therefore the origin of the usage may indeed be explained by the frequent omission of the object-noun or of the reflexive pronouns ἐαυτόν, ἐμαυτόν, etc. Ε. g. ἄγεων εc. τὸ στράτευμα· προσάγεων εc. ἐαυτόν. But, as this occurs in many verbs, and in others not, it is better to assume, that the verbs themselves have by degrees come to include as it were the objective relation in themselves. Hence it comes that so many Active verbs have both a transitive and intransitive signification; and the number of these was constantly increased in consequence of the peculiar treatment of the language in the case of individual writers, whether in prose or poetry.* We give here examples of some of the verbs most commonly so used.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: ἄγειν and its compounds, e. g. ἐξεχώρησε τῆς όδοῦ, προσάγοντος τοῦ τυράνου. Χεη. Απ. 4. 2. 15 ἐγγὺς ἦγον οἱ Ἑλληνες.—αῖρειν and its compounds ἀπαίρειν, ἀνταίρειν, εtc. Τημε. 4. 103 ὁ Βρασίδας ἄρας ἐξ Αρνῶν, ἐπορεύετο.—βάλλειν, e. g. in the phrase βάλλ ἐἰς κόρακας, and in compounds like εἰσβάλλειν spoken of a river (comp. II. λ. 722 εἰς ἄλα βάλλων), προσβάλλειν of an attack.—ἐλαύνειν, νελο and νελογ. Cyr. 1. 4. 20 ἐγὼ δὲ ἐπὶ τούσδε ἐλῶ· οὕτω δὴ ὁ Κυαξάρης προσελαύνει.—ἔχειν in the signif. to hold, e. g. ἔχε δή, καλῶς ἔχειν, and so in many compounds, e. g. ἀνέχειν to rise up, to jut out.—Also many other verbs, as ὁρμῷν, τελευτῷν, οἰκεῖν, δηνοῦν, ἀρμόζειν, λείπειν, κλίνειν, καθίζειν, κατορθοῦν, and in the poets λήγειν, παύειν, etc. The principle holds good also, as appears from the marginal note, in a great number of compounds, even when the simple verb is not so found; e. g. ἀπαλλάσσειν, as οἱ ᾿λθηναῖοι εὐθὺς ἀπήλλαξαν Τημε. 1.90; προσμίσγειν, as οἱ ᾿λθηναῖοι προσέμισγον τῷ ζείγματι id. 7. 70; also those from διδόναι and ἰέναι, e. g. ἐκδιδόναι and ἐξιέναι, spoken of rivers, ἐπιδιδόναι to increase, etc.

NOTE 3. The case governed by any verb, can properly be further governed in the same manner only by the participle of that verb. The substantives and adjectives derived from the same verb, usually change the case into the Genitive, or render a periphrase necessary. We find however not unfrequently in Attic writers, not only the Accusative but also the Dative of a verb, joined with a peculiar force and conciseness to the verbal noun or adjective. E. g. ἀκολουθητικός τινι addicted to something; ἡ ἐκάστφ δια-



^{*} When we further consider, that intransitive verbs just as often become transitive by taking an object (§ 131), we perceive that a strict line of separation between verbs transitive and intransitive is impossible. This is one of the cases in which we see how language unfolds itself continually more and more; and hence the topic in its full extent is fitted rather for discussion in another form; and the mass of particulars must be left to the Lexicons. We note here only the general principle. We may conceive, in the multitude of words expressing action (verbs), that a portion of them would be employed absolutely, i. e. without reference to any object. e. g. to go; these would be intransitives, and become fixed in this character; while another portion would be used chiefly with an object, e. g. to take; and these would be transitives. But a large number would still remain, which could every where be employed in both relations, e. g. to move; or in which, although general usage might already have decided for one or the other signification, yet the further development of the language, or the peculiarity of an individual writer, had seen fit to depart again from the common usage. This would show itself on the one hand, in transitive verbs, by omitting the object, as being already sufficiently implied in the verb (e. g. to ride); and on the other hand, in intransitives, by subjoining an objective adjunct, so as to mark the person or thing to which the action of the verb so extends as to bring it into the relation of an object; see § 131. 1, and the examples in § 131. 3. It may also be noted, that, in all languages, by means of composition, transitive verbs often become intransitive, e. g. to take, to partake; and vice versa intransitives become transitive, e. g. to come, to overcome; see the examples under note 2, above.

governs (precisely like ἀρνείσθαι) not only the Infinitive (ἔξαρνός εἰμι ποιῆ-

σαι), but also the Accus. c. g. δπως μὴ ἔξαρνος ἔσει ἀ νῦν λέγεις, Plat. Euthyd. p. 283. c; also id. Charm. p. 158 ἔξαρνός εἰμι τὰ ἐρωτωμένα.

Note 4. Many verbs can have not only a proper object of their own, but by means of a conjunction can likewise have with them another dependent clause. We sometimes find both modes of construction at the same time in one verb; e. g. καὶ χρήματα παρασκευάζονται καὶ φίλους, καὶ ὅπως ἄν ὧσιν ὡς πιθανώτατοι λέγειν, Plat. Gorg. 77. p. 479.—τῶν πολλῶν ἰκανῶς ἰδόντες τὴι

μανίαν, καὶ ὅτι οὐδείς αὐτῶν οὐδεν ὑγιες πράττει, id. Rep. 6. p. 496. c.

§ 131. The Accusative Case.

- 1. The Accusative is the case of the passive (suffering) relation; that is, it marks the object, upon which the action of the operating subject is directed, and which therefore appears as subjected to that action, or suffering from it. Hence in all languages it is the case of the (immediate) object with transitive verbs: τύπτω σε, ἀγαπῶ τὸν παίδα. But in Greek, we often find that as the immediate object, which in other languages is expressed by a case (Gen. or Dat.) of the remoter object; and, just as often, ideas are expressed transitively, which in other languages can take no object. We also see clearly, in what way many ideas originally intransitive have become transitive, by connecting with them an accusative of object (see the last marg. note, and no. 3 below); that is, by conceiving the action of the verb as so extending to some person or thing, as to bring it into the relation of an object. Hence, whenever the language connects with any verb, be its signification and form whatever they may, the idea of efficient action, it can govern the Accusative.
- Note 1. Hence it is already obvious, that many verbs might be variously construed; since the choice of the case so often depended on the mode an which an individual writer conceived the action of the verb. In many verbs usage had settled down upon a particular case; in many others not; see marg. note to § 133. 4. c. A complete specification of syntactical usage under particular verbs is not to be expected here. This belongs to the Lexicons; to which, once for all, the student is referred, for the construction of every individual verb. The Grammar, especially in this department, can only follow out the more general principles; and avails itself of particular examples mainly in order to set forth clearly the nature and true conception of the case, or when other practical reasons require it; which here, as every where, must prescribe the limits.
- 2. Thus in Greek the following verbs especially all take the object in the Accusative; unlike the English and German usage,



in which they are often translated by verbs requiring a different case or a preposition, viz.

'Ονινάναι, ὡφελεῖν to be useful, βλάπτειν do injury, ἀδικεῖν do wrong, εὐεργετεῖν, κακουργεῖν, ὑβρίζειν, λυμαίνεσθαι, λωβᾶσθαι, αlso κακῶς v. εὖ ποιεῖν, κακῶς v. εὖ λέγειν, to do or speak ill or well;—λατρεύειν (oftener c. dat.), θεραπεύειν wait on;—θώπτειν, θωπεύειν, κολακεύειν to fawn upon, flatter;—μιμείσθαι, ζηλοῦν, copy afler;—φθάνειν come before, λανθάνειν be hid, φεύγειν, ἐκφεύγειν, to flee away, ἀποδιδράσκειν run away, λείπειν, ἐπιλείπειν to be in want;— ὁμνύναι to svear, e. g. τοὺς θεοὺς by the gods, ἐπιορκεῖν, ἀσεβεῖν, ἀλιτεῖν to sin against.—Also the Impers. δεῖ and χρή with Acc. of pers. see note 4. ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΣ: Μεm. 2. 1. 28 εἴτε τοὺς θεοὺς ῖλεως εἶναί σοι βούλει, θεραπευ-

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 2. 1. 28 εἶτε τοὺς Ϳεοὺς ῖλεως εἰναί σοι βούλει, Ӌεραπευτέον τοὺς Λεούς · εἶτε ὑπὸ φίλων ἐθέλεις ἀγαπασθαι, τοὺς φίλους εὐ εργετητέον · εἶτε ὑπὸ τινος πόλεως ἐπιθυμεῖς τιμᾶσθαι, τὴν πόλιν ὡ φελητέον · εἶτε ὑπὸ τῆς Ἑλλάδος πάσης ἀξεοῖς ἐπ' ἀρετῆ βαυμάζεσθαι, τὴν Ἑλλάδα πειρατέον εὖ ποιεῖν. Cyr. 1. 4. 13 βουλεύομαι ὅπως σε ἀποδρῶ. Eur. Hel. 940 μιμοῦ τρόπους πατρὸς δικαίου. Il. τ. 265 Θεοὶ ἄλγεα διδοῦσιν, ὅτις σφ' ἀλίτηται ὁ μό σ σ α s.— Passive, Xen. Hell. 7. 4. 4 ἐκήρυξαν οὶ Κορίνθιοι, εἶ τις ἀδικοῖτο ᾿λθηναίων, ἀπογράφεσθαι, that he should bring an action, sc. against τὸν ἀδικοῦντα, by § 130. 5.

Note 2. In order to bring to view some of the variations of usage (see note 1), we further note, that many verbs belonging to the ideas of profit or detriment are construed only with the Dative (Dat. commodi); espec. λυσιελεῖν, ἀρήγειν, βοηθεῖν, ἐπικουρεῖν. Further, among those above cited, these are construed also with the Dative: ὡφελεῖν Eur. Or. 658; βλάπτειν Æschyl. Eum. 658; λυμαίνεσθαι Hdot. 9. 79; λωβᾶσθαι, as ῷ τὸ ἄδικον λωβᾶται Plat. Crit. p. 47. e; λατρεύειν Xen. Ag. 7. 2; others with a preposition, as ὑβρίζειν εἴs τινα Isoor. etc. see the Lexicons. Others can take at the same time the Dative (of person) and an Accusative (of thing), as ἀρήγειν; and here belong ἀμύνειν, ἀλέξειν, and others.—Soo too ἐκφεύγειν is construed in Homer with the Gentive, because of its composition; e. g. βέλος ἔκφυγε χειρός.

Note 3. Verbs signifying an emotion of the mind, as pain, joy, indignation, etc. (ἄχθεσθαι, ἀγανακτεῖν, δυσανασχετεῖν, δυσχεραίνειν, χαίρειν, ἐπιχαίρειν, ἤδεσθαι, and in the poets ἀλγεῖν, ἀδίνειν, γηθεῖν, τέρπεσθαι,) take indeed the object towards which this emotion is directed, in the Accusative; but yet only when the object is either a neuter or a thing; as ἀγανακτῶ αὐτὸ τοῦτο Dem. πρᾶξιν ἡν ἡλγησ' ἐγώ Soph. Some also, by the rule in no. 3, both of persons and things; as δυσχεραίνειν θεούς, τὸν ἕνα μόναρχον Plat. ἔρὸιγα μάχην Hom. Or in connection with the participial construction, § 144. 6. b; e. g. χαίρω σε εὖ ἔχοντα.—More commonly these verbs are construed either with the Dative (§ 133); or, especially as to things, with ἐπί and the Dative; see ἐπί, § 147.

Note 4. The Impersonals $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ and $\chi \rho \acute{\eta}$ are construed so variously, and occur so often, that it is worth while here to bring together the whole usage into one view. When the person or thing is expressed by a noun, $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ commonly takes the Dat. of pers. and Gen. of thing, as $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\mu \acute{\alpha}$ twos; far less often the Acc. of person, as $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\mu \acute{\epsilon}$ twos. But if the thing be represented by an Infinitive ($\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\mu \acute{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \delta a \iota$), the person appears in the Accusative (Acc. c. Inf.) $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\sigma \epsilon$ $\mu \acute{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \delta a \iota$; or the Dat. can remain, although seldom: $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\sigma \alpha \iota$ $\mu \acute{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \delta a \iota$.—On the other hand, $\chi \rho \acute{\eta}$, when both the adjuncts are nouns, is connected only with the Acc. of pers. and Gen. of thing: $\chi \rho \acute{\eta}$ $\mu \acute{\epsilon}$ twos; but it prefers in most instances the verbal construction, Acc. c. Inf. $\chi \rho \acute{\eta}$ $\sigma \epsilon$ $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. The person is very rarely found in the Dative, and rather gives to $\chi \rho \acute{\eta}$ the signification it is fit, proper.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Xen. Cyr. 7. 5. 9 δεί ἡμῖν τῶν φυλάκων.—Eur. Rhes. 834 μακροῦ δεῖ σε καὶ σοφοῦ λόγου.—Cyr. 1. 4. 5 τί δεῖ σε θηρία ζητοῦντα πράγματα ἔχειν;—An. 3. 4. 35 ἐάν τις θόρυβος γένηται, δεῖ ἐπισάξαι τὸν ἵππον Πέρση 3. But although a strict line of division between transitive and intransitive verbs is impossible, († 130. n. 2, marg.) yet it is easy to perceive, that the Greek language, more than most others, has the power of imparting to Neuter, Middle, and even Passive ideas a transitive signification by referring them to an object; and this without any change of form in the verb, as is usual in other languages, e. g. Engl. fall, befall; go, forego; Germ. folgen, befolgen; streben, erstreben. This is a very prevalent idiom, as the following instances clearly shew.

Examples: Thus θαρρείν pr. take courage; but in θαρρείν τινα this active idea reaches to a definite object, and thus means. to take courage as to any one, to trust him; e. g. Dem. Ol. p. 30 οΰτε Φίλιππος εθάρρει τοὺς 'Ολυνθίους, οὔθ' οὖτοι Φίλιππον. So too δορυφορεῖν to be a bodyguard, τινά to attend any one as a guard; προσκυνείν to fall prostrate, τινά to do homage to any one by prostration. Further, αρέσκειν τινά to win over, έπιτροπεύειν τινά to be guardian over, αντιάζειν to go against, to attack, σπεύδειν to hasten, quicken, ὑποστήναι to promise. The same holds also in respect to many Middle and Passive verbs, as will be shewn in §§ 134. 135; as τιμωρείσθαι, αἰσχύνεσθαι, κόπτεσθαι, περαιοῦσθαι, εκπλήττεσθαι, etc. In the same manner may be explained all such constructions as these: πλείν θάλασσαν, as in Engl. to sail the sea, Dryden; αι πηγαὶ ρέουσι γάλα καὶ μέλι. To these may be added many other like examples, espec. from the pocts, who by means of this idiom could introduce new turns without number; e. g. εξαναζείν χόλον, φόνον βλέπων looking slaughter, μένεα πνείοντες, etc. Soph. Aj. 845 συ δ', δ τον αιπύν ουρανόν διφρηλατών, "Ηλιε. Pind Isthm. 1. init. τον ακειρεκόμαν Φοίβον χορεύω.

Note 5. On the very same principle the poets put the Accus. after verbs of motion, in order to mark the direction; since the object of direction may be strictly regarded as the object of the verbal action. E. g. II. a. 317 κνίσση οὐρανον ἷκεν went up to heaven, reached the heaven. Soph. El. 893 ἦλθον πατρὸς τάφον.—Soo too with verbs of rest (καθίζειν, θάσσειν, κείσθαι) in commark the place: Eur. Or. 943 οὐδέν σ' ἐπωφέλησεν ὁ Πίθιος τρίποδο καθίζων. Soph. Phil. 145 νῦν γὰρ προσιδεῖν ἐθέλεις, ὅντινα τόπον κείται.

4. With the preceding usage is connected another, not wholly unknown in English, by which an intransitive verb takes the Acc. of the kindred abstract noun; that is, kindred in etymology or signification. But this is never done, except to give more definiteness to the idea of the verb. So in Eng. to sleep a DEEP sleep, to die a glorious death, to go the SAME way.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: κινδυνεύσω το ῦτο ν τὸν κίνδυνον Î will expose myself to this danger; ζη βίον ήδισ το ν he lives a very pleasant life; φανερως τὸν πόλεμον πολεμήσομεν.—ή ἀδικία ἡν ἠδίκουν σε (comp. 5); γλυκὺν ὕπνον κοιμῶσθαι.—οἶον πάδος πέπονθας.—βασιλείαν πασων δικαιοτάτην βασιλεύομαι.—ἐξηλθον ᾶλλας ἐξόδους.—ἐπιμελοῦνται πῶσαν ἐπιμέλειαν. So ὑβρίζειν ὕβριν, μάχην μάχεσθαι, ἀγωνίζεσθαι ἀγωνα, θεῶσθαι ἀγωνα, θεῶσθαι ἀγωνα, θεῶσθαι ἀγωνα, θεῶσθαι ἀνοικ τρέχειν, πομεύεσθαι ὁδόν, and many others. In this way the Greek language avoids the feeble accumulation of such words as our make, do, have, lead, etc.—For the like construction with the Passive, see § 134. n. 2.

Note 6. Sometimes even an adjective with the verb είναι has such a kindred Accus. connected with it; e. g. δοῦλός ἐστι τὰς μεγίστας δουλείας,

'he is the slave of a very great slavery,' ἄδικος ἐκάστην ἀδικίαν, σοφός τὴν ἐκείνων σοφίαν, κακὸς πᾶσαν κακίαν. The advantage of this mode of expression will be apparent, from the vain attempt to give it with equal force in English.

Note 7. When a verb is already connected with an object, but so that the two ideas have combined into one new simple idea, this simple idea may take a new object in the Accus. without further change. Thus λείαν ποιείσθαι to make booty, to plunder; hence Thuc. 8. 41 τ η ν χώραν καταδρομαῖς λείαν ἐποιείτο. Other examples are: Thuc. 4. 15 ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς, σπονδὰς ποιησαμένους τὰ περὶ Πύλον, ἀποστείλαι πρέσβεις. Hdot. 1. 68 τυγχάνεις Θώνμα ποιεύμενος τὴν ἐργασίαν τοῦ σιδήρου. The poets often make use of this freedom to introduce new turns: Soph. Aj. 1107 ἀλλ' ὧνπερ ἄρχεις ἄρχε, καὶ τὰ σέμν' ἔπη κόλαζ' ἐκείνους, where τὰ σέμν' ἔπη κόλ. unite as it were into one idea, and there is no need of supplying λέγων etc. comp. OT. 339. Il. 9. 171 κτύπε Ζεύς, σῆμα τιθεὶς (i. e. σημαίνων) Τρώεσσι μάχης ἐτεραλκέα νίκην. Æsch. Ag. 824 θεοὶ ἀνδροθνῆτας Ἰλίου φθοράς ψήφους ἔθεντο. Eur. Or. 1075 ἔν σοι μομφὴν ἔγω.

5. The construction with the double Accusative, so called, is where the idea of action in the verb extends at the same time to two objects, of which one is usually a person and the other a thing; in such a way that both may be regarded as the immediate objects of the action; e. g. ἐνδύω τὸν παίδα, and ἐνδύω τὸν This construction occurs often in Greek; is less frequent in Latin; and in English is strictly found only in the verb to teach and perhaps a few others. In Greek it is most frequent with verbs of doing, ποιείν, δράν, ἐργάζεσθαί τινά τι; of speaking, λέγειν, εἰπεῖν, ἀγορεύειν; of asking, ἔρεσθαι, ἐρωταν, ἐξετάζειν, ίστορείν, ἀνιστορείν, 'to inquire out;' of teaching, διδάσκειν, as in Lat. and English; of demanding, αἰτεῖν, ἀπαιτεῖν, πράττεσθαι, προκαλείσθαι 'to challenge;' of clothing and unclothing, ενδύειν, αμφιεννύναι, εκδύειν, υποδείν; of taking away, αφαιρείσθαι, ἀπαυρᾶν, ἀπορραίειν, ἐναρίζειν, συλᾶν, ἀποστερεῖν; of distributing, κατανέμειν, δάσασθαι; of concealing, ἀποκρύπτειν, κεύ-Further, ἀναμιμνήσκειν τινά τι 'to remind one of a thing, πείθειν τινά τι 'to persuade one of any thing;' and still many others, especially in the poets.—In the Passive, one Acc. remains; see § 134. 6.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Hdot. 8. 61 τότε δὴ ὁ Θεμιστοκλέης τοὺς Κορινθίους πολλά τε καὶ κακὰ ἔλεγεν.—Ευτ. Hec. 967 τὰ ἄλλα δεύτερόν σ' ἐρήσομαι.—Pind. Ol. 6. 82 ἄπαντας εἴρετο παίδα, after the boy.—Cyr. 1. 2. 8 διδάσκουσι τοὺς παίδας σωφροσύνην. ib. 3. 17 παῖς τις, ἔτερον παίδα ἐκδύσας χιτῶνα, τὸν μὲν ἐαυτοὶ ἐκεῖνον ἡ μφίεσεν, τὸν δ' ἐκείνου αὐτὸς ἀνέδυ.—Hell. 7. 1. 26 οἰ Ἡλεῖοι ἀπήτουν τὰς πόλεις τοὺς ᾿Αρκάδας.—Ατ. Arch. 625 διὰ ταῦθ' ὑμᾶς Λακεδαιμόνιοι τὴν εἰρήνην προκαλοῦνται.—Iph. Τ. 158 ἰὰ δαίμων, δς τὸν μοῦνόν μὲ κασύγνητον συλᾶς.—Hec. 282 τὸν πάντα δ' ὅλβον ἡμαρ ἔν μ' ἀφείλετο.—Hdot. 7. 121 τρεῖς μοίρας ὁ Ξέρξης δασάμενος πάντα τὸν πεζὸν στρατὸν, (ἐπορεύετο).—Ευτ. Hippol. 912 οὐ μὴν φίλους γε κρύπτειν δίκαιον σάς, πάτερ, δυσπραξίας.—Anab. 3. 2. 11 ἀναμνήσω ὑμᾶς τοὺς τῶν προγόνων κινδύνους.—So Homer says, Od. β. 203 οὐδέ ποτ' ἰσα ἔσσετα, ὅφρα κεν ἡγε διατρίβηστω ᾿Αχαίους δν γάμον;—and so too are naturally explained: ἀποξυρεῖν τινα τὴν κεφαλήν Hdot. Πάτροκλον ἔλουσαν ἄπο βρότον αίματόεντα, αίμα κάθηρον Σαρπηδόνα Hom. etc.

Note 8. The number of verbs with a double Acc. might easily be still further enlarged; (e. g. νικᾶν, Æschin. p. 79. 35 Μιλτιάδης τὴν ἐν Μαραθῶνι μάχην τοὺς βαρβάρους ἐνίκησεν;) but on the other hand also many of the verbs above cited admit other constructions. Hence we see, that this construction does not necessarily belong to the verbs; and we may here apply what is said above in note 1. So e. g. ἀφαιρεῖσθαι with the Dat. Od. a. 9 αὐτὰρ ὁ τοῖσιν ἀφείλετο νόστιμον ἡμαρ. The Act. ἀφαιρεῖν seems never to be construed with a double Acc. Cyr. 7. 2. 26 μάχας δέ σοι καὶ πολέμους άφαιρῶ; comp. also § 132. 4, where it appears that verbs of taking away are just as often construed with a Genitive.—Further also, ποιεῖν, as An. 5. 8. 28 τοῦτῷ τἀναντία ποιήσετε ἡ τοὺς κύνας ποιοῦσι.—Like ἀναμιμνήσκειν, so ὑπομιμνήσκειν τινά τι, e. g. Dem. p. 704 ἀνάγκη ὑπομνῆσαι τοὺς χρόνους ὑμᾶς; yet also (by § 132. 10. d) with the thing, of which one is reminded, in the Gen. e. g. Thuc. 7. 69; also ἀναμιμνήσκειν Plat. Menex. p. 246. For the Pass. ἀναμιμνήσκεσθαι etc. see § 132. 10. d.—In like manner αἰτεῖν often has the person with παρά c. Gen. e. g. πλοῖα, ἡγεμόνα αἰτεῖν παρά τινος Χεη. etc.

Note 9. With verbs of distributing, the whole can also stand in the Genitive; that is, the whole as a partitive Gen. is made to depend on the noun expressing a part, and not on the verb. E. g. Hdot. 1. 94 δ βασιλεύν δύο μοίρας διείλε $\Lambda v δ \hat{ω} v π άντων$. Xen. Lac. 11. 4 Λυκοῦργος μόρας διείλεν ξξ καὶ l π π έων καὶ $δ π λιτ \hat{ω} ν$. Also Passive: Cyr. 1. 2. 5 δώδεκα $Π ερσ \hat{ω} ν$ φυλαὶ διήρηνται.

6. Different is the construction of the double Accusative (found also in Latin) with verbs signifying to name, choose, make, hold for any thing; where then an Acc. stands in the relation of predicate to the proper Acc. of object.—In the Passive the Nom. is double, as in Latin.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΕ : σοφιστὴν ὀνομάζουσι τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦτον.—τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους είλοντο συμμάχους.—Soph. Ο C. 919 καί τοι σε Θῆβαί γ' οὐκ ἐπαίδευσαν κακόν.—Суг. 6. 2. 19 Κροῖσος ἦρηται τῶν πολεμίων στρατηγός.

- Note 11. When with verbs of naming this predicate adjunct is merely a pronoun or the like (τί, τοῦτο, etc.) it is usual to insert ὅνομα. E. g. Eur. Ion. 269 ὅνομα τί σε καλεῖν ἡμᾶς χρεών.—Μεm. 2. 2. 1 τοὺς τί ποιοῦντας τὸ ὅνομα τοῦτο (se. ἀχαρίστους) ἀποκαλοῦσιν. Likewise when the thing is named, δνομα can still remain; but then, by virtue of a special syntactical inexactness, the thing named is put in the Dative; as Plato Polit. p. 279 τουτοισί δὴ τοῖς σκεπάσμασι τὸ μὲν ὅνομα ἰμάτια ἐκαλέσαμεν, we have given (to) them the name. Other examples see in Heind. ad Crat. 6.
- 7. The Accusative expresses further the *remote* object in connection with *intransitive* verbs or other predicates, especially *adjectives*, when it specifies the part, circumstance, or definite object, to which the general idea contained in those predicates extends, or by which it is limited. This construction is known under the name of the Greek Accusative; and is often imitated by Latin poets, e. g. os humerosque deo similis. That the Acc. does not here come from an omitted preposition ($\kappa a \tau a$), follows from § 130. 4.



EXAMPLES: καλός ἐστι τὸ σῶμα he is handsome as to body; πόδας ὡκύς swift-footed; πονεῖν τὰ σκέλη to have pain in the legs; ἀλγεῖ τὰς γνάθους. Also Jaupaστὸς τὰ τοῦ πολέμου admirable in the things of war; Σύρος ἦν τὴν πατρίδα he was a Syrian as to country; Σωκράτης τοῦνομα Socrates by name; Διὶ μῆτιν ἀτάλαντος. Eurip. Bacch. 1301 (46) ὀργὰς πρέπει Θεούς οὐς ὁμοιοῦσθαι βροτοῖς.

Note 11 a. In like manner the names of games, contests, sacrifices, are subjoined in the Acc. to the acts of contest, sacrificing, etc. as δραμεῖν τὸ στά-διον, νικᾶν 'Ολύμπια, ἐστεφανῶσθα Πύθια, εὐαγγέλια (in the Pythian games, on account of the good tidings), δαινύναι γάμον, θύειν ἐπινίκια, etc.

8. Whenever in place of this substantive-object there comes a pronoun or adjective in the Neut. Sing. or Plural, this last can stand in the Accusative even with such verbs as are elsewhere construed with a different case or with a preposition. E. g. δεισθαι τοῦ ἀργυρίου to be in need of money; but generally, ήν τι δέωνται if they need something.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: τοῦτο ἀπορῶ in this I hesitate; τί χρῶμαι αὐτῷ; οὐκ οἶδα ὅ,τι χρῶμαι αὐτῷ for what (how) I can use it. Plato Philob. p. 36 τἢ σκέψει τόδε χρησώμεθα.—Plat. Apol. p. 17 τοῦτο ὑμῶν δέομαι.—Xen. Cyr. 7. 2. 22 οὐκ αἰτιῶμαι τάδε τὸν θεόν. Hell. 7. 5. 12 τὸ ἐντεῦθεν γενόμενον ἔξεστι τὸ θείον αἰτιᾶσθαι.—Cyr. 1. 6. 5 τί γὰρ μέμνησαι ἐκεῖνα;—Hdot. 7. 139 ταῦτα λέγων οὐκ ἃν άμαρτάνοι τάλη θές. Cyr. 2. 2. 3 ὁ δὲ μάλα τοῦτό γε εὐτάκτων ὑπήκουσεν.—Soph. OC. 1106 αἰτεῖς ἃ τεύξει. Eur. Suppl. 266 γραῦς τυχοῦσαι οὐδὲν ὧν αὐτὰς ἐχρῆν. Conp. Xen. An. 6. 4. 32.—Plato Crit. p. 45 οὐ δίκαιόν μοι δοκεῖς ἐπιχειρεῖν πρᾶγμα, § 133. 2. b.

Note 12. This usage has been the occasion of very greatly and unnecessarily extending the number of verbs with a double Accusative; since from clauses like these: τὰ μέγιστα ἀφελήσετε τὴν πόλιν, πόλλά με ἢδίκηκεν, τοῦτό με ἀναγκάζει, βιάζεται, νουθετῶ σε τοῦτο, it by no means follows, that ἀφελεῖν, ἀδικεῖν, etc. would therefore be connected with two nouns in the Accusative; except indeed in the construction in no. 4 above: πολλὴν ἀδικίαν ἢδίκησεν ἐμέ.

9. The Accusative marks, as with us, the length or duration of time; and also the measure of distance.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Xen. Vect. 5. 2 εὐδαιμονέσταται πόλεις, αὶ ἄν πλεῖστον χρόνον εν εἰρήνη διατελῶσι.—Dem. Cor. p. 235 οἱ χρηστοὶ πρέσβεις οὖτοι καθήντο εν Μακεδονία τρεῖς ὅλους μῆνας.—So too with ordinals, where we commonly put for or since: Eur. Rhes. 444 δέκατον ἤδη αἰχμάζεις ἔτος, comp. Anab. 4. 5. 24.—Thuc. 2. 5 ἀπέχει ἡ Πλάταια τῶν Θηβῶν σταδίους ἐβδομήκοντα.

10. Finally, the Accusative is often employed as an adverbial adjunct; and some examples in the neuter gender have been already given in † 128. n. 4. So too τίνα τρόπον; in what way? κυνὸς δίκην in the manner of a dog; ἐμην χάριν for my sake († 146); τὴν ὥραν the right time; τὴν ταχίστην, εὐθείαν, μακράν so. ὁδόν, etc.

Note 13. An Accusative is sometimes subjoined (especially by the poets) to a clause, as if in apposition with the action therein expressed. E. g. II. ω. 735 ή τις 'Αχαιῶν βίψει (αὐτὸν) ἀπὸ πύργου, λυγρὸν ὅλεθρον, 'which is a dreadful death:' Eurip. Orest. 1105 'Ελένην κτάνωμεν, Μενέλεω λύπην πικράν, Helen we will slay, a bitter grief to Menelaus. Id. El. 231 εἰδαιμονοίης, μισ θὸν ἡδίστων λόγων.

Note 14. A proverbial phrase is usually denoted by inserting immediately before it the words τὸ λεγόμενον. Ε. g. Plat. Gorg. init. ἀλλ ἢ, τὸ λεγό-

μένον, κατόπιν έορτῆς ἥκομεν; 'do we come then, as they say, after the feast?' So also τὸ τοῦ ποιητοῦ, as the poet says, before a quotation from a poet, etc. e. g. Plato Theæt. p. 183 Παρμενίδης μοι φαίνεται, τὸ τοῦ 'Ομήρου, αἰδοῖός τέ μοι ἄμα δεινός τε; Also το ὑναντίον, on the contrary, e. g. οὖτος δέ, πᾶν τοὑναντίον, ηβούλετο μέν, οὐκ ηδύνατο δέ. Further, τα ὖτὸ τοῦτο, in the very same way.

Note 15. As a similar abridgment of a like inserted clause or phrase must the Accus. be regarded, which marks time by means of the ordinal numbers; e. g. Dem. Ol. 3. p. 29 μέμνησθε, ὅτε ἀπηγγέλθη Φίλιππος ὑμῖν, τρίτον ἡ τέταρτον ἔτος τουτί, Ἡραῖον τεῖχος πολιορκῶν, this third or fourth year, three or four years ago.

Note 16. In Greek the Accusative does not strictly occur in exclamations, as in Latin; the Greek prefers here rather the Genitive (§ 132. n. 31). Still, in the poets, through the omission of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, the Accus. sometimes has the force of an exclamation; as Soph. Ant. 441 ση δή, σὲ την νεύουσαν ἐς πέδον κάρα, φης η καταρνή μη δεδρακέναι τάδε; Comp. also the Acc. c. Inf. in exclamations, § 141. n. 7.

132. The Genitive Case.

1. The use of the Genitive, both *subjective* and *objective*, with another substantive, belongs to the Greek in common with other languages. Both these kinds of Genitive can also be dependent on one substantive at the same time; and when this occurs, the subjective usually precedes, and the objective follows, the substantive.

Examples of the objective Genitive, which is frequent in the poets, are these: πόθος νίοῦ a longing for one's son; εὔνοια 'Αθηναίων goodwill towards the Athenians; ἡ τοῦ θεοῦ λατρεία the worship of God; εΰγματα Παλλάδος, λεταί θεῶν prayers to the gods; ἡ τῶν Πλαταιέων ἐπιστρατεία, against the Platæans; σωτὴρ κακῶν, from evils; μελεδήματα πατρός, etc.—Both Genitives: τῶν 'Ιώνων ἡ ἡγμονίη τοῦ πρός Δαρεῖον πολέμου Hdot. ἡ ἐκείνων μέλλησις τῶν εἰς ἡμᾶς δεινῶν Thuc. 3. 12.

Note 1. It is to be noted, that instead of the objective Gen. of the pers. pronoun after a substantive, the possessive pronoun (as in Latin) may be used in the same case with the substantive. Thus in Hom. Od. λ . 202 σδς πόθος longing for thee; also Æschin. $\dot{\eta}$ έμη alδώς, and Thuc. τὸ ἡμέτερον δέος.—Xen. An. 7. 7. 29 οἱ νῦν σοι ὑπήκοοι γενόμενοι οὐ φιλία τῆ σῆ ἐπείσθησαν, ἀλλὶ ἀνάγκη.

2. In the mention of cities with the countries in which they are situated, where we employ the Prep. in, the Greek naturally uses the Genitive. Thus, τῆς ᾿Αττικῆς ἐς Οἰνόην Thuc. ἐν Κορήσσω τῆς Εφεσίης Hdot.

3. In order to comprehend the Genitive in its full syntactical relations, especially with verbs, we must premise, that the fundamental idea of the Genitive is that of Separation, a going forth, whether out of the interior of any thing or from its exterior; and hat therefore the idea of the Prepositions $\epsilon \kappa$ out of the interior, and $a\pi \delta$ from the exterior or side of an object, lie primarily in the Genitive case itself. When, therefore, in constructions where the common language employs only the simple Genitive, we occasionally find one of these prepositions inserted, this

is only to be regarded as an addition for the sake of clearness; 130.4. And even where in prose the construction with the preposition is the common one, the poets again can employ the simple Genitive.

Examples of such Genitives in the poets, where the more precise lan guage of prose inserts the preposition, are these: ἀναδῦναι ἀλός Hom. βάλλειν ἰῷ τείχεος id. δόμων τι φέρειν Soph. ὅσσων ἀφιέναι αἰγάς Eur. Ὁλύμπου πτάμενος id. ποδὸς ἐς πόδα τείνει Arat.—So too in prose, instead of the usual γενέσθαι ἔκ τινος to be descended from any one, we find: Xen. Cyr. 1. 2. 1 πατρὸς μὲν δὴ λέγεται Κῦρος γενέσθαι Καμβυσέω, μητρὸς δὲ ὁμολογείται Μανδάνης γενέσθαι. So too ἄρχειν, ἄρχεσθαι, in the signif. to begin, are found sometimes with ἐκ or ἀπό, and sometimes with the simple Genitive: μύθων, μάχης, etc.

4. Hence, the Genitive of the person or thing separated, that is, out of or from which a separation is made, is put with all verbs, transitive or intransitive, in which the idea of separation is implied. E. g. verbs of separating, restraining, νοσφίζειν, χωρίζειν, κωλύειν, ἐρητύειν, εἰργειν, τινά τινος.—Of depriving, στερίσκειν, ἀφαιρεῖν, ἀποστερεῖν.—Of freeing, averting, ἐλευθεροῦν, λύειν, ἀφέναι, ἀπαλλάττειν, σώζειν, ἀμύνειν, ἀλέξειν.—Of escaping, ἐξανιστάναι, χωρεῖν, ὑποχωρεῖν, εἴκειν.—Of distance, difference, ἀπέχειν, διέχειν, διαφέρειν, comp. 12.—Of failing, missing, ἀμαρτάνειν, ἀμπλακίσκειν, σφάλλεσθαι, ψεύδεσθαι.—Of neglecting, leaving off, μεθίεσθαι, ἀφίεσθαι, μεθιέναι, ὑφιέναι, παύειν, παύεσθαι, λήγειν, ἐπέχειν.—So too the kindred adjectives, as γυμνός, ἐλεύθερος, διάφορος; also adverbs, as νόσφι, χωρίς, ἐκάς.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Eur. Phæn. 1016 νόσου τήνδ' ἀπαλλάξω χθόνα.—Anab. 1. 10. 4 διέσ χον ἀλλήλων βασιλεύς τε καὶ "Ελληνες ὡς τριάκοντα στάδια.—Il. ζ. 107 'Αργεῖοι λῆξαν φόνοιο.—Od. δ. 659 μνηστῆρας ἔπαυσαν ἀέθλων, see παύω § 114.—Il. δ. 234 μεθίετε θούριδος ἀλκῆς, comp. Od. φ. 377.—Eur. Phæn. 388 τὸ στέρεσθαι πατρίδος κακὸν μέγα.—Andr. 381 ἀλλ' ἐξανίστω τῶνδ' ἀνακτόρων (temples) θεᾶς.—ib. 374 γυνὴ ἀνδρὸς άμαρτάνου σ' ἀμαρτάνει βίου.—Mem. 4. 2. 26 οἱ ἄνθρωποι διὰ τὸ ἐψεῦσθαι ἐαυτῶν πάσχουσι πλεῖστα κακά.—Hec. 852 ἐγώ σε θήσω τοῦδ' ἐλεύθερον φόβου.—Hence we may explain Od. α. 69 ὀφθαλμοῦ ἀλάωσεν he blinded him of his eye; φρενῶν κεκομμένος, etc.

5. From the above principles is readily derived the usage of the Genitive, when it expresses a whole from which some part is taken, whether this part be regarded as separated or as still connected with the whole. This is the partitive Genitive, so called; and is employed as follows:

a) Not only after substantives, by no. 1 above; but also after

adjectives (participles) and pronouns, when these serve to make one object prominent above others of the same kind. Hence with all numerals, and with adjectives expressing number or multitude; as πολύς, ὀλύγος, οἱ μέν and οἱ δέ, οὐδείς, μόνος, ὁ ἔτερος, and the other demonstrative and relative pronouns. And as the degrees of comparison presuppose a certain number, in which the difference of degree is found, so too this Genitive stands after comparatives and superlatives, to mark this greater number. For its position, see § 125. n. 2.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: οὐδεὶς τῶν Ἑλλήνων, μόνος ἀνθρώπων, τῶν στρατιωτῶν τοῖς μὲν ἐδόκει, τοῖς δ' οῦ · αὖται τῶν πόλεων · οὐς τῶν πολιτῶν δεδίασιν, ἀποκτείνουσιν οἱ τύραννοι Χen. Hier. 6. 15. Also οἱ φρόνιμοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων i. e. genr. clever persons; τῶν ἀνδρῶν τοῖς καλοῖς κἀγαθοῖς αἰρετώτερόν ἐστι θανεῖν ἡ δουλεύειν.
—τῶν πολεμίων τοῦν προσμίξαντας μάχη ἐκράτησαν.—ὁ μείζων τοῖν δυοῖν παίσοιν · ἡ μεγίστη τῶν νόσων ἀναἰδεια · κτημάτων τιμιώτατόν ἐστιν ἀνὴρ φίλος συνετός τε καὶ εὕνους.

Note 3. When any thing in the Sing is to be expressed as being a part of some whole which is also in the Singular, the Greeks are accustomed to put the part, not in the neuter, but in the same gender with the Gen. of the whole; just as in the Plur. phrase of φρόνιμοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων above. E. g. ή πολλή τῆς Παλοποννήσου the great (greater) part of the Peloponnesus; ὁ ἡμισυν τοῦ χρόνου half of the time. Thuc. 1. 2 τῆς γῆς ἡ ἀρίστη ἀεὶ τὰς μεταβολὰς τῶν οἰκητόρων εἶχεν.—Also in superlatives, e. g. ἡ ὀρθοτάτη τῆς σκέψεως the most correct mode of investigation, Plat. Cratyl. 18.

Νοτε 4. With οἱ μέν . . . οἱ δέ, the whole is also sometimes put in the same case with these pronouns. Ε. g. Οd. μ. 73. 101 οἱ δὲ δύω σκόπελοι, ὁ μὲν οὐρανὸν εδρὺν Ικάνει — τὸν δ' ἔτερον σκόπελον χθαμαλώτερον ὄψει, 'Οδυσσεῦ. — Τhuc. 7. 13 καὶ οἱ ξένοι οἱ μὲν κατὰ τὰς πόλεις ἀποχωροῦσιν, οἱ δὲ ῶς ἔκαστοι δύνανται. — In like manner, An. 5. 5. 11 ἀκούομεν ὑ μᾶς . . . ἐνίους σκηνοῦν ἐν ταῖς οἰκίαις. Ιὶ. λ. 11 'Αχαιοῖσιν σθένος ἔμβαλ' ἐκάστω. Comp. § 131. n. 10, and § 133. 5.

Note 5. The usage so frequent in Latin, when the Gen. of a substantive stands after a neuter pron. or adjective, (as quantum hostium, parum diligentiæ,) is indeed found also in Greek; but for the most part only in phrases which actually relate to quantity, (e. g. πολὺ τῶν πολεμίων, δσον ἀργυρίον); and even here the mode of expression with an adjective (not neuter) is more common; as πολλοὶ τῶν πολεμίων. The same Latin idiom occurs also in marking extent or degree; see in n. 6.—The extension of this usage to phrases relating to quality, belongs almost exclusively to the poets: Soph. Ant. 1229 ἐν τῷ ξυμφορᾶς διεφθάρης; El. 169 τί ἀγγελίας ἔρχεται; Eurip. βοστρυχώδεος άβρὰ παρηίδος. On the other hand, Thue. 4. 130 ἦν τι στασιασμοῦ ἐν τῷ πόλει, is to be taken as relating to quantity, comp. 7. 69. Still less frequent is the construction with the genitive, when the dependent idea is also a neuter adjective, (Lat. quid novi, nihil boni,) e. g. Greek τί καινόν; οὐδὲν καλόν. Yet with the art. we find: οὐδὲν τοῦ καλοῦ, from subst. τὸ καλόν.

b) With words which mark *time* and *place*, when they denote *parts* of some greater extent. Hence consequently after *adverbs* of time and place.

EXAMPLES: τρὶς τῆς ἡμέρας three times a day; ὁπότε τοῦ ἔτους in what part of the year; πανταχοῦ τῆς ἀγορᾶς everywhere in the market; πόρρω τῆς ἡλικίας far advanced in years; ποῦ γῆς ἀφικόμην; to what part of the earth have I come? like ubi terrarum?

Note 6. Hence there arose in the tragic writers frequent turns of construction like these: $οὐχ δρ\^as$, τ̃ν εἰ κακοῦ; ποῦ ποτ εἶ φρενῶν; τί λέξα, ποῦ φρενῶν ἔλθω, πάτερ; So too in prose, in phrases marking extent or degree; as εἶs τοῦτο ἀναισχυντίας προβέβηκε, to this degree of shamelessness; πρὸς τοῦτο καιροῦ πάρεστι τὰ πράγματα, to this (decisive) point of time. An. 1. 7, 5 ἐν τοιούτω ἡσαν τοῦ κινδύνου. In like manner, Eur. Alc. 9 τόνδ' ἔσωζον οἶκον ἐς τόδ' ἡμέρας.

c) The Genitive of the whole is often put with verbs which imply or refer to a part; especially with elvar. For explanation

it is usual to supply $\tau i \varsigma$, τi .

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Thuc. 1. 65 'Αριστεὺς ἤθελε τῶν μενόντων εἶναι. 3.70 ὁ Πειθίας ἐτύγχανε τῆς βουλῆς ὧν.—Χεπ. Hell. 6. 3. 5 εἰ ὁμογνωμονοῖμεν, οὐκ ἄν τῶν θαυμαστῶν (sc. τὶ, i. q. θανμαστόν, see in lett. a) εἴη, μὴ εἰρἡνην ποιείσθαι.—Further, ἔδωκα σοι τῶν χρημάτων, I gave thee some money; κατέαγα τῆς κεφαλῆς am broken as to a part of my head, have a hole in my head. So too especially the poets in many turns of construction; e. g. πάσσε δ' άλὸς θείοιο, ὀπτῆσαι κρεῶν, χαριζομένη παρεόντων Hom. etc.

d) With all verbs and adjectives which include the idea of a part; e.g. of taking part, partaking, as μετεῖναι, μετέχειν (Adj. μέτοχος), μεταιτεῖν, μεταδιδόναι, μεταλαμβάνειν, ἀντιλαμβάνεσθαι, κοινωνεῖν (Adj. κοινωνός); also of sharing, obtaining, as τυγχάνειν, λαγχάνειν, ἀντιᾶν (ἀντιᾶζειν, ἀντᾶν), κυρεῖν, κληρονομεῖν.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 3. 4. 14 ή ψυχὴ τοῦ θείου μετέχει.—Isoer. p. 22 ἐπειδὴ θυητοῦ σώματος ἔτυχες, πειρῶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀθάνατον τὴν γνώμην καταλιπεῖν.—Dem. p. 690 οὖτοι κληρονομοῦσι τῆς ὑμετέρας δόξης καὶ τῶν ὑμετέρων ἀγαθῶν.—Soph. El. 869 ('Ορέστης) κέκευθεν, οὔτε τοῦ τάφου ἀντιάσας, οὔτε γόων παρ' ἡμῶν.

Νοτε 7. With the most of these verbs the Accusative is also not unusual, (and so with μετεῖναι the Nom.) because it is easy to connect with them the transitive ideas to have, to get, to possess, to give. E. g. Thuc. 2. 37 μέτεστι πᾶσι τὸ ἴσον.—Aristoph. Plut. 1144 οὐ γὰρ μετεῖχες τὰς ἴσας πληγὰς έμοί.—Xen. An. 4. 5. 5 μετέδοσαν αὐτοῖς πυρούς ἡ ἄλλο τι, comp. Hdot. 8. 5. —II. 5. 580 βάλε χερμαδίω ἀγκῶνα τυχών μέσον. But ἐντυγχάνω on the contrary commonly with the Dative, because of its composition.—Æschyl. Sept. 684 κακὸς οὐ κεκλήση βίον εὖ κυρήσας.—With κληρονομεῖν, both the thing inherited and the person from whom are put in the Genitive; only in later writers the thing is put in the Acc. as Luc. D. Mort. 1. 3 οὐκ ἐπεθύμεις κληρονομεῖν ἀποθανόντος ἐμοῦ τὰ κτήματα καὶ τὸν πίθον καὶ τὴν πήραν.

Note 8. As $\~ovo\mu a$ above (§ 131. n. 11), so here, with verbs of partaking, the subst. $\mu \acute{e} \rho os$ is often added in prose, to mark extent or quantity (much, little, etc.) so that then the Gen. seems to depend on $\mu \acute{e} \rho os$.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Æsch. Ag. 518 οὐκ ηὕχουν θανὼν μεθέξειν φιλτάτου τάφου μέρος.—Is. Nic. p. 35. d, τῶν ἀρετῶν οὐδὲν μέρος τοῖς πονηροῖς μέτεστι.—

Cyr. 7. 5. 44 μικρόν τι υμίν μέρος έμου μετέσεται.

e) Finally, this partitive Genitive is put with verbs which include the closely kindred ideas to hold fast, to lay hold of, to touch, and the like, viz. ἄπτεσθαι, λαμβάνεσθαι with its compounds espec. ἀντιλαμβάνεσθαι, ἔχεσθαι, ψαύειν, θιγγάνειν, δράττεσθαι, καθικνεῖσθαι, and the like; comp. also no. 10. h, below.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Soph. OC. 955 θανόντων οὐδὲν ἄλγος ἄπτεται.—An. 7. 6. 41 ἡν σωφρονῶμεν, ἐξόμεθα αὐτοῦ, we shall hold him fast.—Eur. Or. 780 δυσχερὲς ψαύειν νοσοῦντος ἀνδρός.—Dem. Ol. p. 15 ἔως ἐστὶ καιρός, ἀντιλάβεσθε τῶν πραγμάτων.

- Note 9. Some examples of varying construction occur, as in n.7. E.g. with the Accus. Od. a. 342 μάλιστά με καθίκετο πένθος άλαστον. With the Dat. Pind. Pyth. 4. 527 ἀσυχία θιγέμεν, and often.
- Note 10. To the same principle are to be referred constructions like the following: τῆς χειρὸς ἄγειν τινά to lead one by the (his) hand; ἐπισπάσας τινὰ κομῆς to drag one by the hair; τὸν λύκον τῶν ὤτων κρατῶ to seize the wolf by the ears. Here too the prep. ἐκ can stand; see § 147. n. 1.—This of course must not be confounded with: λαβεῖν τινα χειρί, to seize one WITH the hand. ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΣ: II. γ. 369 ῆ, καὶ ἐπαίξας κόρυθος λάβεν ἱπποδασείης.—Χεπ. Απ. 1. 6. 10 ἐλάβοντο τῆς ζωνης τὸν 'Ορόντην.
- Note 11. From the custom of regarding a suppliant as one who embraces the knees of the divinity or of the person entreated, it has come to pass that verbs of entreating or supplicating, otherwise purely transitive, are construed with the Genitive, espec. λίσσεσθαι, ἰκετεύειν, γουνάζεσθαι, ἰκειδσθαι. So fully in Homer, (II. ζ. 45. φ. 71) λαβών, ελών, ἀψάμενος λίσσετο γούνων; with Accus. and Genit. χ. 345 μή με, κύον, γούνων γουνάζεο, μηδὲ τοκήων. Εur. Or. 660 ταύτης (τῆς δάμαρτος) ἰκνοῦμαί σε. Hec. 752 ἰκετεύω σε τῶνδε γουνάτων καὶ σοῦ γενείου δεξιᾶς τ' εὐδαίμονος; and hence also finally, Od. β. 68 λίσσομαι ἡμὲν Ζηνὸς 'Ολυμπίου ἡδὲ Θέμιστος. Comp. δείσθαι in n. 14, and πρός § 147. n. 1; also εὕχεσθαι c. Dat. § 133. 2. c.
- 6. But as the part may be conceived as still connected with the whole, and all the parts together form the whole, so that out of which any thing consists or is made may be expressed by the Genitive relation, the Genitive of material.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΕ : στέφανος ὑακίνθων a garland of hyacinths; Hdot. στήλη λίθου a column of stone; id. 7. 63 ῥόπαλα ξύλων. So with verbs: Cyr. 7. 5. 22 φοίνικός (είσιν) ai θύραι πεποιημέναι. Hdot. 2. 138 ἐστρωμένη ἐστι όδὸς λίθου.

7. The Genitive serves naturally to express, not only the outward material (no. 6), but also the internal relations of an attribute or quality connected with the object, i. e. Genitive of quality; as also the more personal relations of possession and property, i. e. the possessive Genitive. Hence arises the most common signification of the Genitive after substantives; e. g. οἰ τοῦ πατρὸς παίδες · τὸ τοῦ χρυσίου σέλας · τῆς ἀρετῆς τὸ κάλλος · δένδρον πολλῶν ἐτῶν. But the same is also conceivable after adjectives (e. g. οἰκεῖος), and with such verbs as εἶναι, γύγνεσθαι, τιθέναι, etc. See n. 13.

EXAMPLE: Isocr. Nic. p. 19 άπαντα τὰ τῶν οἰκούντων τὴν πόλιν οἰκεία τῶν καλῶς βασιλευόντων ἐστίν.

Note 13. The connection of the Genitive with είναι, (also γίγνεσθαι, and with predicates where είναι can be supplied, as ἡγεῖσθαι, τιθέναι,) does not

correspond entirely to the Latin construction of esse c. Genit. (v. Abl.) and therefore needs to be here more fully considered. Thus

I. Where the subject is a thing, an abstract, or something conceived by the mind, that to which it belongs (the person) is put in the Genitive. Such a clause may always be rendered: is a thing of, etc. or more exactly: a) The property of; e. g. πᾶσα ἡ γῆ ἐστι βασιλέως is the property of the king, belongs to him; ποτέρων τίθης (sc. εἶναι) τὴν οὐσίαν, Plato Theæt. p. 186. b) The wont, part, duty of; e. g. τῶν μάχη νικώντων καὶ τὸ ἄρχειν ἐστίν Απ. 2. 1. 4; ἀνδρός ἐστι φρονίμου ὡφελεῖν τοῦς ἀνθρώπους, comp. πρός § 147. n. 1. In this case instead of the person in the Gen. the corresponding abstract noun can be used, as in Lat. est stulti v. stultitiæ; e. g. Soph. El. 1054 πολλῆς ἀνοίας (ἐστὶ) καὶ τὸ θηρᾶσθαι κενά. Dem. Ol. p. 12 τὰ πολλὰ ἀπολωλεκέναι τῆς ὑμετέρας ἀμελείας ἄν τις θείη δικαίως. c) The power, ability of, i. e. in the power of; as in the proverb: οὐ παντὸς ἀνδρὸς εἶς Κόρινθός ἐσθ' ὁ πλοῦς Soph. OT. 393 τὸ αἴνιγμ' οὐχὶ τοὐπιόντος (i. e. τοῦ τυχόντος) ἦν ἀνδρὸς διειπεῖν, ἀλλὰ μαντείας ἔδει.

II. Where the subject is a person, the Latins usually express any permanent quantity belonging to it by the Genitive (or Ablative), as Casar erat magna prudentia, etc. In Greek this is very rare; e. g. Hdot. 1. 107 Καμβύσης ολκήης μεν ην άγαθης, τρόπου δὲ ἡσυχίου. More commonly the Greeks employ the adjective, as σώφρων ἐστῖν ὁ ἀνήρ; especially with an Accus. subjoined, as Θαυμαστὸς ην τὴν εὐεπίαν, admirable as to eloquence, of singular eloquence; μέγας ην τὸ σῶμα, he was great of stature. On the other hand, the Greek language employs the construction in question for other genitive relations; e. g. of partaking, no. 5 c; of the material, no. 6;

and also of a transient quality, ην έτων τριάκοντα.

8. From the idea of going forth out of the interior of an object and of yet remaining connected with the same, there readily arises a relation of dependence, either external or intrinsic; that is, a causal relation. Hence, not only prepositions like περί, ἔνεκα, ὑπό, etc. take the Genitive, but also many of the adjectives and verbs, which follow below, are construed with it, in order to express the cause by reason of which a quality, an action, a state or condition, exists or takes place; e. g. τόπος δασὺς δένδρων because of the many trees; ἄγαμαί σε τῆς ἀνδρείας because of thy valour. This is the causal Genitive.

- 9. But the cause of an action can at the same time be the object upon which that action is directed; since the two may often coincide. In such case the Genitive takes a sense seemingly opposite to its fundamental idea, viz. that of direction upon an object; e. g. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\theta\nu\mu\hat{\omega}$ $\tau\hat{\eta}s$ $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}s$ I strive on account of (after) virtue, where virtue is at the same time the cause and the object of my effort. Hence the preposition $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{l}$, and many of the following adjectives and verbs, are construed with the Genitive; which then is the Genitive of object.
- 10. The following are the more important Adjectives and verbs, which, according to nos. 8 and 9 above, (we purposely do not separate the two,) more commonly take the complement of their idea in the Genitive:
- a) All words signifying *plenty* or *want* take the object by which this condition is *caused*, or in relation to which it exists, in the Genitive; viz. πληροῦν, πιμπλάναι, εὐπορεῖν, κορέννυσθαι, ἀσαι,



γέμειν, βρίθειν, δεῖ, χρή, προσήκει, δεῖσθαι, χρήζειν, ἀπορεῖν, σπανίζειν, χηροῦσθαι, and the like; with the adjectives πλέως, πλήρης, μεστός, κενός, ἐπιδεής, ἔρημος, ψιλός, and the like; also the adverbs ἄλις, ἄδην, epic ἄδην.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plato Rep. p. 557 ἴσως οὖν οὖκ ἀν ἀποροῖς παραδειγμάτων.—Soph. OT. init. πόλις θυμιαμάτων γέμει.—Cyr. 1. 2. 9 ἡ τῶν ἐφήβων ἡλικία μάλιστα ἐπιμελείας δεῖται.—Eur. Hec. 228 παρέστηκεν ἀγὼν πλ ἡρης στεναγμῶν οὐδὲ δακρύων κενός.—Hdot. 4. 21 ἡ τῶν Σαυροματέων γῆ ψιλ ἡ ἐστι καὶ ἀγρίων καὶ ἡμέρων δενδρέων.—II. τ. 423 οὐ λήξω, πρὶν Τρῶας ἄδην ἐλάσαι πολέμοιο.

Note 14. On the construction of $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, $\chi \rho \hat{\eta}$, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$, see also in § 131. n. 4, and § 133. 2. e.—From the idea of need it likewise comes, that $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a$ and $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \xi \epsilon \nu$ also in the signif. to ask for, entreat, take the Gen. of pers. as Cyr. 5. 5. 35 σ\hat{\text{\$\sigma}} \nu \nu \nu \eta \eta \eta \sigma \sigma \text{\$\text{\$\sigma}} \nu \sigma \nu \text{\$\text{\$\sigma}} \nu \text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\sigma}}} \nu \text{\$

b) Words signifying value or want of value: ἄξιος, ἀνάξιος, ἀξιοῦσθαι; ἀξιοῦν, προτίειν, ἀτιμάζειν τίνα τινος.

ΕΧΑΜΡLES: Xen. Ag. 10 έγκωμίων τί ἀξιώτερον ἡ νῖκαι.—Cyr. 2. 2. 17 οὐδὲν ἀνισώτερον τοῦ τῶν ἴσων τόν τε κακὸν καὶ τὸν ἀγαθὸν ἀξιοῦσθαι.—Soph. Ant. 22 τάφου Κρέων τὸν μὲν προτίσας, τὸν δ' ἀτιμάσας ἔχει.

c) Especially is the relation of value expressed by the Genitive after verbs of estimating, buying and selling, etc. There is here a twofold construction, viz. 1) The value itself or price is put in the Genitive, Gen. of price. 2) Also the goods or wares bought or sold, Gen. mercis; this last, however, only in certain connections with the verbs διδόναι, λαμβάνειν, κατατιθέναι, and the like.—In both these constructions (b and c) the prep. ἀντί is also found before the Genitive; see ἀντι § 147. n. 1.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: 1) δραχμη s αγοράζειν τι. Mein. 3. 7. 6 οἱ εν τἢ αγορά φροντι ζουσιν, $\ddot{6}$,τι ελάττονος πριάμενοι πλείονος αποδώνται. 2. 1. 20 τῶν πόνων πω λοῦσιν ἡμῖν πάντα ταγάθ' οἱ θεοί.—2) Dem. p. 529 χρήματα οὐ προσήκει τῶν τοιούτων λαμβάνειν. Cyr. 3. 1. 37 ἀπάγου τοὺς παῖδας, μηδὲν αὐτῶν καταθείς.— τρεῖς μνᾶς κατέθηκε τοῦ ῗππου. See on Plat. Meno. 28.

d) The Genitive stands also after the ideas of being skillful, capable, experienced, mindful, and the contrary: ἔμπειρος, ἐπιστήμων, ἴδρις, μνήμων, ἄπειρος, ἰδιώτης, ἀδαής. Hence also in the poets with participles, as Il. β. 720 τόξων εὖ εἶδώς. π. 811 διδασκόμενος πολέμοιο. Further, with the verb πειρ â σθαι to try, prove, with all its derivatives and compounds. Also with verbs signifying to remember and forget: μιμνήσκεσθαι with its compounds, μνημονεύειν, ἐπιλανθάνεσθαι. But these last admit also other constructions, espec. with the Acc. of thing and περί c. gen. See n. 14 a.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Dem. p. 1414 τῆς γεωμετρίας καὶ τῆς ἄλλης τοιαύτης παιδείας ἀπείρως ἔχειν αἰσχρόν.—Μem. 1. 2. 21 ὅταν τῶν νουθετικῶν λόγωι

έπιλάθηταί τις, τοῦτον οὐδεν θαυμαστὸν καὶ τῆς σωφροσύνης ἐπιλαθέσθαι.—
Phædr. p. 234 σὺ τῶν εἰρημένων μέμνησο.—Π. φ. 580 (᾿Αγήνωρ) οὐκ ἔθελεν
φεύγειν, πρὶν πειρήσαιτ ᾿Αχιλῆος.—Οd. φ. 180 τόξου πειρώμεσθα.—Plat.
Prot. p. 311 ἀποπειρώμενος τοῦ Ἱπποκράτους τῆς ῥώμης ἤρώτων αὐτόν. Comp.
An. 3. 5. 7.

Note 14 a. Where the Acc. is found with these verbs, it is always a neuter pronoun or a thing (see examples in § 131. 8); or it gives to the verb a different sense, as in $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\hat{a}\sigma\theta ai$ that to attempt a woman. So too $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\hat{a}\sigma\theta ai$ with a Dative signifies to make trial in or with any thing; as έγων $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\sigma\iota\nu$, $\hat{\eta}\gamma\chi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\eta$ πειρήσομαι, πόδεσσιν έπειρήσουνο Hom.—That αναμίμνησκω and ὑπομμνήσκω take a double Acc. see in § 131. 5.—ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS Of the Acc. and also $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$: Cyr. 6. 1. 25 (Κῦρος ἔπραττε ταῦτα), ὅπως ἐν ταῖς ἀγωγαῖς τὰς τάξεις ὑπομμνήσκουντο.—Ευι. Hel. 265 τὰς τύχας... Έλληνες ἐπελάθοντα, comp. Od. δ. 119.—With $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$: Xen. Hell. 4. 5. 9 οἱ $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigmaβ\epsilon\iota$ ς $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$ τῆς εἰρ ἡνης οὐκέτι ἐμέμνηντο, comp. 4. 4. 15.—Andoc. p. 73 μη $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$ τῶν $\pi\epsilon\pi\rho\alpha\gamma$ μένων αὐτοῖς ἐπιλάθησθε,

e) Verbs signifying to care for, to be anxious, and the contrary, take the object (or cause) of such care, etc. in the Genitive; as ἐπιμέλεσθαι, κήδεσθαι, φροντίζειν, μέλει μοί τινος, ἀμελεῖν, ἀλεγίζειν, ὀλυγωρεῖν. Also verbs signifying to admire, to pity, to contemn; as ἄγασθαι, Βαυμάζειν, εὐδαιμονίζειν, μακαρίζειν, φθονεῖν, οἰκτείρειν, καταφρονεῖν, καταγελᾶν. So too φείδεσθαι to spare

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 5. 3. 40 οἱ ἄρχοντες ἐπιμελείσθων πάντων.—Soph. OT 1060 μή, πρὸς θεῶν, εἴπερ τι τοῦ σαυτοῦ βίου κήδει, ματεύσης.—Eur. Med 1046 φεῖσαι τέκνων.—Dem. p. 472 μηδενὸς καταφρόνει.—Cyr. 5. 4. 32 οἰκτείρω σε τοῦ πάθους.—Lys. p. 198 ἐγὼ μὲν τοὺς ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ τετελευτηκότας μακαρίζω τοῦ θανάτου.

Note 15. Verbs of admiring have commonly: 1) The Accus. of pers. and Gen. of thing, as ἄγαμαί σε τῆς ἀνδρείας, comp. no. 8 above; or 2) The Gen. of pers. especially when the object of admiration has with it a participle or a clause with ὅτι, εἰ, etc. e. g. Cyr. 3. 1. 15 ἄγασαι τοῦ πατρός, ὅσα βεβούλευται. Hdot. 6. 76 ἄγασθαι ἔφη Ἐρασίνου οὐ προδιδόντος τοὺς πολίητας, comp. Plato Crit. init. Also the Accus. of thing, ἄγαμαι τὴν τούτου φύσιν Plat.—The verb φθονεῖν follows the first construction, except that it takes the Dat. of pers. instead of the Accus. e. g. Eur. Ion. 1024 φθονεῖν γάρ φασι μητρυιάς τέκνοις. Plat. Hipp. p. 228 Ἱππαρχος οὐκ ῷετο δεῖν οὐ δενὶ σοφίας φθονεῖν, comp. Cyr. 8. 4. 16.—Verbs of contemning, making light of, as καταφρονεῖν, ὑπερορᾶν, mostly take both the person and thing in the Genitive; yet there are also instances where they have the Accus. like ἄγαμαι; e. g. Xen. Ag. 8. 4 ἐπαινῶ ἀγησιλάου τὸ ὑπεριδεῖν τὴν βασιλέως ξενίαν. Eur. Bacch. 495 καταφρονεῖ με καὶ Θήβας δδε. Comp. Thuc. 8. 8.—Still other and various constructions are found with the above verbs; so e. g. περί c. gen. as τούτου σφι ἔμελε πέρι Hdot. ὑπέρ c. gen. as φροντίζειν ὑπέρ τινος Dem. ἐπί c. dat. as ἀμελεῖν Soph. also the simple Dative, as Θανμάζων, ἀγασθέντες τῷ ἔργφ Thuc. Plato, etc.

f) The Genitive is also put with verbs signifying to desire, as ἐπιθυμεῖν, ὀρέγεσθαι, ἐφίεσθαι, ἀντιποιεῖσθαι, ἐρᾶν,* ἔρασθαι; also to aim at, as στοχάζεσθαι, τιτύσκεσθαι, and hence likewise in the poets τοξεύειν, ἀκοντίζειν to sling, ἐπαΐσσειν to rush upon, and other like verbs.

^{*} In έρᾶν to love lies the primary idea of desire. On the other hand, in φιλεῖν, στέργειν, ἀγαπᾶν, the primary idea is inclination, good-will; and these are therefore construed only with the Accusative.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Anab. 3. 2. 39 εί τις χρημάτων ἐπιθυμεῖ, κρατείν πειράσθω. Cyr. 8. 2. 22 ὀρέγομαι ἀεὶ πλειόνων.—Εur. Hec. 976 μὴ ἔρα τῶν πλησίον.—Π. δ. 100 ὀΐστευσον Μενελάου. 9. 118 τοῦ δ' ἰθὺς μεμαῶτος ἀκόντισε. ε. 263. etc.

g) Verbs signifying to accuse, to condemn, as κατηγορεῖν, κατγυγνώσκειν, καταδικάζειν, take the Gen. of pers. and Acc. of crime. Vice versa, the verbs γράφεσθαι, κρίνειν, διώκειν (Pass. φεύγειν), αἰτιᾶσθαι, αἰρεῖν (Pass. ἀλίσκεσθαι), take the Acc. of pers. and Gen. of thing.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Dem. p. 1319 τίς ὑμῶν ἀν καταγνοίη μου τοσαύτην μανίαν; Cyr. 5. 5. 19 ἔχεις τινὰ πλεονεξίαν μου κατηγορῆσαι;—Ar. Eq. 367 διώξο μαί σε δειλίας. Pl. Apol. p. 35 (Σωκρ.) ἀσεβείας ἔφευγε ὑπὸ Μελίτου. Μεm. 1. 2. 49 οὐκ ἔξεστι (τῷ υἰεῖ) παρανοίας ἐλόντι τὸν πατέρα δῆσαι. So too κλοπῆς, δώρων ἀλῶναι Aristoph.—See further on the construction with the Passive, § 134. n. 2 a.

Note 16. With γράφεσθαι there is also commonly found (by § 131.4) the Acc. of the noun γραφή or δίκη, so that then the Gen. depends on this word; thus, γράφεσθαί τινα γραφὴν φόνου, also without Genit. Dem. p. 311 οὐδεμίαν πώποτ' ἐγράψατό με δίκην.—Other verbs, like ἐγκαλεῖν, ἐπεξιέναι, are also connected with the Genitive; but by reason of their composition take also the Dative of person; so Plat. Legg. p. 873 οἱ προσήκοντες ἐπεξίτωσαν τοῦ φόνου τῷ κτείναντι. The Adj. ἔνοχος is put sometimes with the Dative, and sometimes with the Genitive of the crime.—With the Gen. of thing, prepositions are sometimes employed; e. g. περί, as περὶ προδοσίας ἔκρινε Isocr.

Note 17. The punishment to which the person accused or condemned is exposed, is usually put in the Accus. e. g. καταδικάζειν τινὸς βάνατον, ζημίαν δφλείν τάλαντα δέκα; hence also δφλισκάνειν γέλωτα, αlσχύνην, to incurlaughter, shame, etc. i. e. the penalty of scorn, etc. But the Genitive is also found, espec. in the phrase βανάτου κρίνειν to accuse capitally, of a capital offence; Cyr. 1. 2. 14 βανάτου οἶτοι κρίνουσι. Comp. Hdot. 6. 136 βανάτου ὑπαγαγών Μιλτιάδεα. Thuc. 3. 57. etc.

h) Verbs of the outward senses take the object (cause) in the Genitive, except those of seeing; as ὀσφραίνεσθαι to smell (also δζειν, πνεῖν, in the neuter signif. to smell, give forth odour); γεύειν, γεύεσθαι, to taste; ἀκούειν* to hear; ψαύειν, ἄπτεσθαι, to touch, comp. no. 5. e. Further, also verbs of inward perception; as αἰσθάνεσθαι, πυνθάνεσθαι, μανθάνειν, συνιέναι, τυγχάνειν, ἐπατειν.—But all these verbs just as often take the Accusative; with this main difference, that the immediate perception requires usually the Genitive; while the mediate, or that received through others or from circumstances, prefers the Accusative.—'Ακούειν and other verbs of perceiving are often construed at the same time with the Gen. of pers. and Aco. of thing.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: δίειν V. πνείν μύρων to smell of ointment. Hdot. 1. 80 ol ίπποι δ σ φραντο των καμήλων.—Dem. p. 782 τους γενομένους κύνας των προβάτων κατακόπτειν φασὶ δείν.—Mem. 2. 4. 1 ήκουσά ποτε του Σωκράτους δια-

^{*} The verb δκούειν and its compounds, c. genit. often have the special signif. to listen, to obey; e. g. Cyr. 8. 6. 1 τοὺς χιλιάρχους οὺκ ἄλλου ἡ ἐαυτοῦ ἐβούλετο ἀκούειν. Hence also ἀνηκουστεῖν and the adjectives κατήκους, ὑπήκους, ἐὐπειθής, are in like manner construed with the Genitive.—The Dative is also found, espec. with ὑπακούειν.

λεγομένου. Cyr. 6. 2. 13 προσίσταται ἀκουσόμενος τῶν λόγων. 1. 4. 3 ἐπεθύμει ἄν τις ἔτι πλείω ἀκούειν αὐτοῦ. ib. Καμβύντης, ἐπεὶ ἤκουσεν ἀνδρός ἤδη ἔργα διαχειριζόμενον τὸν Κῦρον, ἀπεκάλει.—Η lat. Hipp. maj. p. 291 τοῦ ἀνδρὸς οὐ τυγχάνομεν.—Legg. p. 791 τὰ μήπω φωνῆς συνιέντα παιδία, comp. Hdot. 1. 47.—For the construction of these verbs with a Participle and Infin. see § 144. 6, marg.

i) The following verbs, which are kindred with the above and also with the idea of partaking (no. 5. d), also take the object (cause) in the Genitive, viz. verbs signifying to enjoy, to profit, as ἀπολαύειν, ἐπαυρίσκεσθαι, ὀυίνασθαι, γεύειν to let taste, γεύεσθαι (see in lett. h), κορέννυσθαι, poetic ἄσασθαι, ἀσαι see 10. a. Also verbs signifying to eat and drink, when the idea of enjoyment or of desire predominates; since otherwise they are purely transitive.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: Eur. El. 1079 & τλήμων έγώ, &s ἄρτι πένθους τοῦδε γεύο μαι πικροῦ.—Iph. Τ. 1047 ὅναισθε μύθων καὶ γένοισθ' εὐδαίμονες.—Il. λ. 562 ἐκορέσσατο φορβῆς. Il. ο. 317 δοῦρα λιλαιόμενα χροὸς ἄσαι. Οd. ω. 717 ἄσεσθε κλαυθμοῖο.—Χen. Hell. 3. 3. 6 οὐκ ἐδύναντο κρύπτειν τὸ μὴ οὐχ ἡδέως ἃν καὶ ἀμῶν ἐσθίειν αὐτῶν, i. e. out of hatred.

Note 18. The natural prepositions with these verbs are ἐκ and ἀπό, which are often found before the Genitive; e. g. Plat. Apol. p. 31 εἰ ἀπὸ τούτων ἀπέλαυον καὶ μισθὸν ἐλάμβανον, εἶχον ἄν κινα λόγον. Comp. Rep. p. 395. c.

11. From no. 9 above it is to be explained, that with all Comparatives

the object with which comparison is made, is put in the Genitive; Lat. in the Ablative. E. g. σοφώτερός έστι τοῦ διδασκάλου he is wiser in comparison of (with) his teacher, i. e. THAN his teacher; κάλλιον ἐμοῦ ἄδεις thou singest better than I; ἀρετῆς οὐδὲν κτῆμά ἐστι σεμνότερον.

Note 19. Prepositions which explain this Genitive of comparison, are παρά and πρός c. Acc. with both of which examples actually occur; see § 147. n. 1.—The rule that this Genitive is only put, where it can be resolved by η, than, quam, with the Nom. or sometimes the Accusative, is not rigidly observed in Greek; since it often occurs instead of η with the Dative; e. g. μείζων τῷ εἰπόντι γίγνεται βλάβη τοῦ πεποιηκότος i. e. ἡ τῷ πεποιηκότο. Thuc. 7. 63 ταῦτα τοῦς ὁπλίταις οὐχ ἡσσον τῶν ναυτῶν παρακελεύομαι, comp. 1. 85. ib. 2. 60.

Note 20. In such examples as κάλλιον έμοῦ ἄδεις, μείζονα ἔργα ἐμοῦ διέπραξεν, the Gen. strictly stands for a whole clause: ἡ ἐγὰ ἄδω, διέπραξα. The ambiguity which sometimes thus arises, can be cleared up only by the context; e. g. Hdot. 2. 134 πυραμίδα ἀπελίπετο πολλὸν ἐλάσσω τοῦ πατρός, i. e. ἡ ὁ πατὴρ ἀπελίπετο. Aristoph. Plut. 558 τοῦ Πλούτου παρέχω βελτίονας ἄνδρας, i. e. ἡ ὁ Π. παρέχει. Especially, the Greek language could express such minor clauses by means of certain abstract nouns; and this usage the Latin imitates.

EXAMPLES: Hdot. 2. 35 ἔργα λόγου μέζω. Thuc. 2. 50 κρείσσον λόγου τὸ είδος τῆς νόσου, greater than language, beyond the power of speech. 2. 64 ἐλπίδος κρείσσον spe melius Hell. 2. 3. 24 πλέονες τοῦ καιροῦ. Plato Gorg. p. 484 περαιτέρω τοῦ δέοντος i. e. ἢ δεῖ. So too is to be explained Thuc. 1. 84 οἱ Λακεδ. ἀμαθέστερον τῶν νόμων τῆς ὑπεροψίας παιδεύονται, i. e. ʿare educated with too little learning to despise the laws.'

NOTE 21. When $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0\nu}$ or $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda_{a\tau\tau_{0\nu}}$ is followed by a numeral, the $\tilde{\eta}$ (as in Lat. quam) may be omitted without any change of case.

Examples: Plat. Logg. p. 856 μὴ ἔλαττον δέκα ἔτη γεγονότες.—Paus. 8. 21 οὐ πλέον ἀπέχει σταδίους έπτά.—Thuo. 6. 95 ἡ λεία ἐπράθη ταλάντων οὐκ ἔλαττον πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι.

Note 22. Sometimes by pleonasm there is subjoined to the comparative the Gen. neut. of a demonstrative pronoun (τοῦδε, τούτου), in order to direct attention to an annexed clause with ή; e. g. Eur. Heracl. 298 οὐκ ἔστι τοῦδε παισὶ κάλλιον γέρας, ἡ πατρὸς ἐσθλοῦ κάγαθοῦ πεφυκέναι. Il. ο, 509 ἡρῶν δ' οὖτις τοῦδε νόος καὶ μῆτις ἀμείνων ἡ μῆξαι χεῖρας. Comp. § 127. 1. e.—This ή can also be omitted before a following Infin. as Plat. Gorg. p. 519 τούτου τοῦ λόγου τί ἄν ἀλογώτερον είη πρᾶγμα, ἀνθρώπους ἀγαθοὺς ἀδικεῖν. Still shorter, Eur. Alc. 900 τί γὰρ ἀνδρὶ κακὸν μεῖζον, άμαρτεῖν πιστῆς ἀλόχου. Comp. § 140. n. 3.

Note 23. This Genitive of comparison is also found with the superlative; that is, when to the superl. there is subjoined the Gen. of the reflexive pronoun, in order to express the highest possible degree; e. g. Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 46 δεινότατος σαυτοῦ ἦσθα thou didst surpass thyself. Hdot. 1. 193 (ἡ γῆ) ἐπεὰν ἄριστα αὐτὴ ἐωυτῆς ἐνείκη, ἐπὶ τριηκόσια ἐκφέρει, comp. Plut. Ages. 26.—Just so with the comparative: ἐαυτῶν ἐὐμαθέστεροι γίγνονται, i. e. more than common. Plat. Prot. p. 350 ἐαυτῶν Βαββαλεώτεροι. Note 24. The words ἄλλος and ἔτερος sometimes intate the comparative of π. Σλος ἀντῶν σερίες συσίτες τουν these σίξες τουν these dif

parative, e.g. δλλος έμου another than I, ετερα τούτων other than these, different from these. Mem. 4.4.25 πότερον τους θεους ήγη τα δίκαια νομοθετείν, η άλλα των δικαίων;

12. Hence all verbs implying a comparison, or including as it were the idea of a comparative or superlative, are construed with the Genitive; e. g. προτιμᾶν (τὶ τινός) to honour more, to esteem higher, and many others compounded with πρό; also πλεονεκτεῖν to take advantage over, μειονεκτεῖν to be worse off. Likewise verbs signifying to surpass or excel, as περιγίγνεσθαι, περιεῖναι, διαφέρειν, ὑπερβάλλειν, ἀριστεύειν, καλλιστεύειν, διαπρεπεῖν; to be inferior, as ἡττᾶσθαι, ὑστερεῖν; also to rule, as ἄρχειν,* βασιλεύειν, ἀνάσσειν, κρατεῖν (see marg. note \ 133. 4. c), ἡγεῖσθαι, ἐπιστατεῖν, and the like. In like manner the adjectives ἐγκρατής, ἀκρατής, διάφορος, διαπρεπής, as also διπλάσιος, πολλαπλάσιος, περιττός, in all which there lies the idea of a comparative. Further, ἡττω εἶναί τινος has the special signif. to be subject, given over to; κρείττω εἶναί τινος, to be better, superior.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Dem. p. 792 όταν τὸ δίκαιον ἡττᾶται τοῦ Φθόνου, πάντα χρὴ νομίζειν ἐστράφθαι.—Χεη. Ages. 5 πολὺ πλέονες τῶν πολεμίων ἡ τῶν ἡδονῶν δύνανται κρατεῖν.—Cyr. 8. 2. 21 οἱ μέν, ἐπειδὰν τῶν ἀρκούντων περιττὰ κτήσωνται, κατορύττουσι.—Mem. 1. 5. 1 ἥττω εἶναι τῆς γαστρός, given to debauch.—Dem. Ol. p. 35 δόξα κρείττων τῶν φθονούντων.

Note 25. Words compounded with $\pi \rho \delta$ often repeat the Prep. $\pi \rho \delta$ before the Genitive. Others are frequently construed with $\epsilon \nu$ c. Dat. Verbs of ruling espec. in the poets often take the simple Dative; e. g. II. a. 288 πάντων μέν κρατέειν ἐθέλει, πάντεσσι δ' ἀνάσσειν, comp. 816, 864 Τρώεσσι ἡγεμονεύειν, ἡγεῖσθαι; so too ἐπιστατεῖν τινι by reason of the composition. Still others take the Accusative; as ὑπερβάλλειν.

13. That so many adjectives, as we have seen, (strictly indeed

^{*} For αρχειν, αρχεσθαι, in the signif. to begin, see no. 3, above.

all adjectives,) can be construed with the Genitive, arises from the circumstance, that the Genitive is the natural case of the object to the noun in general, whether substantive or adjective. Hence the objective case of the verb (the Accusative) passes over into the Genitive, so soon as an adjective is formed from the verb. Thus from ἐπίστασθαί τι comes ἐπιστήμων τινός; from κακουργεῖν τινα comes κακοῦργός τινος. Especially does this occur with the numerous derivative adjectives in ικός. But that adjectives may also take the Dative, and even the Accusative, arises in like manner from their inherent verbal nature, which in some is more prominent, in others less so.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Soph. OT. 1436 ρίψον με γης εκ τησδ', όπου θνητών φανοῦμαι μηδενός προσήγορος, from προσαγορεύω.—Hdot. 2. 74 δφιες, ανθρώπων οὐδαμώς δηλήμονες, from δηλείσθαι injure.—Mem. 1. 5. 3 (ό ἀκρατής) κακοῦργος μεν τών άλλων, έαντοῦ δε πολύ κακουργότερος.—3. 1. 6 τον στρατηγόν είναι χρή παρασκευαστικόν τών είς τον πόλεμον, και ποριστικόν τών επιτηδείων τοῦς στρατώταις.—Thuc. 1. 126 ἀλιτηριοι τῆς θεοῦ.

Note 26. In like manner all adjectives, which thus have the Dative, can take the Genitive so soon as their substantive nature becomes prominent; e.g. τὰ ψυχῆς ξυγγενῆ Plat. ὁ ἀηδοῖ, ὅρνεων ξύννομε, τῶν ἐμῶν ὕμνων ξύντροφε Aristoph. ὅσα τούτων ἀδελφά, τὰ ἐναντία τούτων Plat. ἡ ξύνοικος τῶν κάτω θεῶν δίκη Soph. ἡ πορεία ὁμοῖα ψυχῆς ἐγένετο An. 4. 1. 17. So too with Participles: τὸ τοῦ κρείττονος ξυμφέρον instead of τῷ κρείττονοι Plat. πρέπον δαίμονος ταῦ 'μοῦ τόδε Soph.

NOTE 27. In other examples we might easily regard this Genitive with adjectives as identical with the Accusative-adjunct treated of in § 131. 7. It is however essentially different. That Accus. is rather an adverbial addition, by which a declaration already complete in itself, though general, is modified and limited to some particular thing: καλός τὸ σῶμα. The Genitive, on the other hand, marks the object from which as it were the quality first proceeds; and is therefore the necessary complement of the attribute not yet fully expressed by the adjective; as e. g. πόλις εὐώνυμος (sc. Κάδμου) receives its essential and complete sense when the Gen. Κάδμου is The following examples may serve to illustrate what is here said; among which are several from the poets, who in this way can adopt many new turns of construction. Ε. g. εὐδαίμων τοῦ τρόπου καὶ τῶν λόγων Plat. ύπεύθυνος ἀρχής Æsch. Το σχετλία τόλμης, δε τάλαιν έγω σέθεν, δύστηνε κακών, δύστηνος φρενών Soph, Eurip. ξένος τοῦ λόγου τοῦδε Soph. τέλειος τῆς ἀρετης Plat. συγγνώμων των άμαρτημάτων Χοη. παις ώραίη γάμου Hdot. έπιστεφης οίνου Hom. κατηρεφης παντοίων άγαθων Anact. κακων οὐδεις δυσά-Acros Soph.—Hence also the Genitive stands especially with adjectives compounded with a privative; comp. the Genit. in no. 10. a. Ε. g. ἄπαις ἀρ-ρένων παίδων Hdot. Περικλης εγένετο χρημάτων ἀδωρότατος Thuc. τιμής ἄτιμος έστω Plat. φίλων ἄκλαυστος, αλαμπές ήλίου, ἄνατος κακῶν, etc.

Note 28. To the above is closely related the Genitive with the phrases $\dot{\omega}s$, $\pi \dot{\omega}s$, $\delta \pi \dot{\omega}s$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi \epsilon \iota$; $\kappa a\lambda \dot{\omega}s$, $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \dot{\omega}s$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, etc. E. g. $\dot{\omega}s$ $\pi o \delta \dot{\omega}\nu$ $\epsilon l \chi o \nu$ Hdot. $\dot{\omega}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\epsilon \tau e \rho \dot{\epsilon}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \rho \iota \dot{\alpha}s$ $\dot{\delta}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\chi o \iota$ Thuc. $\kappa a\lambda \dot{\omega}s$ $\kappa a\theta \iota \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \iota \tau$ $\dot{\epsilon}u \dot{\epsilon}u \dot{\epsilon}u$ oi. Also generally with very many verbs, which are not adduced in the above specifications.*

^{*} Indeed, to specify all the verbs which happen any where, and especially in the poets, to be construed with the Genitive, and thus increase the number of divisions without end, would be just as fruitless as it would be without object. Here too the same remarks hold good, which have already been made in § 130. ñ. 2. § 131. n. 1. The above divisions and specifications serve only to shew, how the

- 14. As in general the cases often serve to express adverbial relations († 115. 4 and n. 3), so the Genitive especially is employed for general specifications of time and place; in distinction from the Dative, which is the case of definite specification. Thus:
- a) The Genitive is put in answer to the question when? but only of indefinite and continued time; e. g. νυκτός, ἡμέρας, by night or by day; δείλης, χειμῶνος, ἔαρος, βέρους; also τῆς αὐτῆς ἡμέρας, ταύτης τῆς νυκτός, in the course of the same day or of this night; but ταύτη τῆ νυκτί in this night. Comp. in § 147. n. 1 ἐπί c. gen.

Examples: Xen. Ec. 9. 4 ή οἰκία χειμῶνος μὲν εὐήλιος ἔστω, τοῦ δὴ θέρους εὕσκιος.—Plut. Mor. p. 181 πολλῶν ἡμερῶν οὐ μεμελέτηκα for many days.—Hell. 7. 5. 18 ὀλίγων ἡμερῶν ἀνάγκη ἀπιέναι in (after) a few days.—Plat. Phædr. p. 248 ἐκεῖσε οὐκ ἀφικνεῖται ἐτῶν μυρίων in ten thousand years, as an indefinitely long period.

b) That the Genitive is also the case for general specifications of place, is apparent from the form of the most common adverbs of place, ποῦ, ὅπου, οῦ; and from poetic usage, as Ἦργεος Ἦχαιικοῦ, Πύλου ἱερῆς, Μυκήνης, Ιθάκης, Οd. γ. 251. φ. 108. But this usage never passed over into prose; except perhaps in the phrase δεξιᾶς ν. ἀριστερᾶς χειρός Hdot.* Prose writers employed the prepositions, especially κατά; see § 147. n. 1.

Note 29. Sometimes the Gen. stands nearly absolute at the beginning of a sentence, in order to shew beforehand, that something is about to be said respecting that object. Here it is customary to supply in mind the Prep. περί about, as to; which also can actually be so written. Ε. g. Xen. Εc. 3. 11 της δὲ γυναικός, εἰ διδασκομένη ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς τάγαθὰ κακοποιεῖ, δικαίως ἀν ἡ γυνὴ τὴν αἰτίαν ἔχοι, comp. Plat. Legg. p. 794. a. Comp. also the relative clauses in § 143. 11. In like manner in Homer, Od. λ. 174 εἶπὲ δέ μοι πατρός τε καὶ υἰέος, ἡ ἔτι πὰρ κείνοισι ἐμὸν γέρας, ἡὲ κτλ. See note on Soph. Phil. 439.

Note 30. A very common omission of the word on which the Gen. depends, is that of olicos house, before the Gen. of the possessor; e.g. εἰσῆμεν

Genitive case, in consequence of the mode of view which the language connects with it, associates itself by preference with certain classes of ideas. It cannot well be, but that after all there should remain quite a number of single examples; which however are just as much founded in the general view of the Genitive case, as these former. So e. g. edudacent two abtou ktellings Theogn. Has thought both kal ferron Hessalso the Homeric energyedu oboio, Seen nedico, Badanten turk nelection, hours notamoio, privat nelections, and the prosaic iéval toû proom. All these are causal relations; which later usage expressed differently, or with the help of a preposition.

* This whole use of the cases to express adverbial relations obviously belongs less to syntax, than it does to the formation of words. At least we find here, as everywhere in the progress of language, a mutual influence and action. At first, in order to mark such relations, they made use of certain final syllables appended to nouns, as \$\phi_1, &\phi_2, &\phi_2\$. But inasmuch as such forms often approached in signification the forms of the cases (\(\frac{1}{2}\) 56. n. 9), it happened by degrees, that the real case-endings were employed for the same purpose; as \(\text{purpose}\), \(\text{baix} \times \text{purpos}\), \(\text{whethins}\), \(\cong \text{comp.}\) Lat. \(\text{nocte}\), \(\text{mari}\), and the names of cities; Germ. \(\text{eines}\) Tages, \(\text{linker}\) Hand, etc. In respect to many adverbial forms, however, which manifestly according to this analogy have genitive-endings, very often no corresponding Nominative can be found in use; and such forms, therefore, have become fully adverbs; e. g. \(\pi_0\), \(\frac{2}{2}\), \(\frac

eis 'Αλκιβιάδου, into the house of Alcibiades. Hence too ἐν ἄδου, els ἄδου, in or into the house of Hades, the infernal regions. Comp. § 125. 5.—A special anomaly is ἐν ἡμετέρου Hdot. 1. 35. ib. 7. 8 [14.]

Note 31. From no. 10. e, above, and the similar usage in other languages, may be explained the very common use of the Genitive in exclamations of wonder or complaint. Thus sometimes with an interjection, e. g. οἶμοι τῶν κακῶν alas what misfortunes! οἶ μοι ταλαίνης Antig. 82; ὧ Ζεῦ, τῆς πανουργίας, Ο Jupiter, what cunning! Sometimes also alone, as τῆς τύχης, τὸ ἐμὲ νῦν δεῦρο ἐλθεῖν Cyr. 2. 2. 3; τῆς παχύτητος, Ο the stupidity!

133. The Dative Case.

1. The fundamental idea of the Dative is strictly the opposite of that of the Genitive; since in the Dative the idea of Approach, a coming or being near, an intervening, lies at the basis; and hence such prepositions as ἐν and σύν always govern the Dative. In the present section we pass over all such examples as directly accord with the English usage of to and for with their case, and are therefore readily understood; e. g. δοῦναὶ τινι to give to any one, ἐχθρός τινι hostile to any one, πείθεσθαι τοῖς νόμοις to obey the laws, or be obedient to the laws, ἔπεσθαὶ τινι,* and the like.—But many of the relations thus expressed in Greek by the Dative, are with us more clearly marked by other prepositions; as towards, at, on, with, against, etc. see e. g. no. 2. a.

2. The relations of the Dative are chiefly of two kinds, according as the action or condition expressed by the verb refers to an object which is personal (or personally conceived), or which is a thing. In the first case, called the Dative of person or of the object affected, it corresponds in general with the Dative of other languages; in the other, it supplies in Greek most of the relations of the Lat. Ablative.—We here treat of the Dative of person or of the object affected, which is found with the following

classes of words:

a) With all words the signification of which can be referred to the ideas of junction, coming together, approach; where we for the most part use prepositions. Ε. g. ὁμιλεῖν to have intercourse with, μίγνυσθαι, μάχεσθαι, ὁμαρτεῖν, ἐρίζειν, ἁμιλλᾶσθαι, πολεμεῖν τινι, and the like. For ἀντῷν, ἀντιάζειν, see marg. note to no. 4. c.

EXAMPLES: ἀγαθοῖς όμιλεῖ. Mem. 3. 9. 2 οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι οὐκ ἄν ἐθέλοιεν Σκύθαις ἐν τόξοις διαγωνίζεσθαι.

b) With verbs compounded with prepositions implying approach, junction; or such as by composition receive this signification; as προσελθεῖν, εἰσελθεῖν, ἐπιστρατεύεσθαι, συγγίγνεσθαι, συντυγχάνειν, καταλλάττεσθαι to reconcile oneself with, διαλέγεσθαι. Especially with verbs signifying to set upon, to assail, as προσβάλλειν, ἐπιτίθεσθαι, ἐπικεῖσθαι, ἐπιχειρεῖν to put one's hand to, ἐπιβουλεύειν, ἐπέρχεσθαι.

^{*} With ἔπεσθαι, ὁπηδεῶν, etc. a preposition is often used; as σύν, ἄμα, or μετά c. gen. They thus signify rather a going with, accompanying; see Passow.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Xon. An. 5. 9. 23 τὰ ὅρνεα μάλιστα ἐπιτίθενται τῷ αἰετῷ καθημένω. Mem. 2. 3. 5 τίς ἃν ἐπιχειροίη τοῖς ἀδυνάτοις.

- Note 1. So soon however as the object appears merely passive and subjected to the action of the verb, many of these verbs take the Accusative. E. g. Eur. Suppl. 648 Αδραστος ἐπεστράτευσε Καδμείων πόλιν. Plat. Phæd. p. 88 αὐτόν με νῦν ἐπέρχεται. Aristoph. Pac. 180 πόθεν βροτοῦ με προσέβαλε. An. 1. 6. δο ὅτος ἐπολέμησεν ἐμοί ἐγὰ δὲ αὐτόν προσπολεμῶν, ἐποίησα ὥστε δόξαι τούτω παύσασθαι.
- c) With verbs of commanding, exhorting, entreating, most of which also are compounded with a preposition; as παραινεῦν, παρεγγυῷν, ἐπιτέλλειν, ὑποτίθεσθαι, προστάσσειν, ἐπιτρέπειν, εὕ-χεσθαι, προσεύχεσθαι, ἀρᾶσθαι. Others, like νουθετεῖν, and those in which the idea of exciting is prominent, like ἐποτρύνειν, παροξύνειν, take the Accusative. Further, κελεύειν, Lat. jubere, prefers in Greek also the construction with the Accus. c. Infin. and only in late writers does it take the Dative with the Infin. But Homer uses the same construction in the signif. to call to.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 3. 2. 8 Κύρος παρηγγύησε το îs Πέρσαις συσκευάζεσθαι.
—νουθετῶ σε ὡς ἐταῖρον Plat.—κελεύω σε ἀπιέναι.—Π. β. 151 τοὶ δ' ἀλλήλοισι κέλευον ἄπτεσθαι νηῶν. Comp. Plut. Mor. p. 229. c.

- Note 2. Generally speaking, most of the above verbs are more or less also construed with the Accusative; and therefore the lexicon should be consulted under each verb.—Especially, for the Gen. and Acc. with other verbs of beseeching, entreating, as δείσθαι, λίσσομαι, προσκυνείν, etc. see § 132. n. 11, 14. § 131. 3.
- d) With verbs signifying censure and reproach; espec. μέμφεσθαι and its compounds, ἐπιτιμᾶν, ἐπιπλήσσειν, ἐγκαλεῖν, λοιδορεῖν and -εῖσθαι. The thing with which one is reproached is put either in the Accus. or with a preposition.—For φθονεῖν see § 132. 10. e, and n. 15.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Xen. Hier. 5. 3 ή τυραννὶς ἀναγκάζει καὶ ταῖς ἐαυτῶν πατρίσιν ἐγκαλεῖν.—Il. μ. 211 ἀεὶ μέν πώς μοι ἐπιπλήσσεις ἀγορῆσιν. Cyr. 1. 4. 9 ὁ θεῖος αὐτῷ ἐλοιδορεῖτο.

Note 3. The Accusative is also in use along with all these verbs, just as in notes 1 and 2. E. g. Cyr. 1. 4. 8 οί δὲ φύλακες ἐλοιδόρουν αὐτόν. An. 2. 6. 30 τούτων οὐδεὶς κατεγέλα οὕτ' αὐτοὺς ἐμέμφετο.

e) With the verbs πρέπει, προσήκει decet, ἔξεστι licet; which can also be construed with the Acc. c. Inf. see § 142. n. 2.—For the construction of the impersonals δεί and γρή, see § 131. n. 4.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Aristoph. Αν. 970 τί προσήκει δητ' έμοι Κορινθίων;—An. 3: 2. 11 αγαθοίς ύμιν προσήκει είναι, see § 142. 2. b.—Cyr. 7. 5. 83 οὐ τὸν ἄρχοντα τῶν ἀρχομένων πονηρότερον προσήκει είναι.—Soph. El. 612 ποίας δ' έμοι δεί πρός γε τήνδε φροντίδος.

f) With words signifying likeness or unlikeness; as δμοιος, ἴσος, παραπλήσιος, ἐναντίος. This holds good, not only where we put the Dative (to with its case) in a direct comparison, but also where we introduce the object compared by as, like as; consequently for ώς with the corresponding case, similar to the Gen. after comparatives.—Hence finally the Dative stands also with ὁ αὐτός the same (adv. ὡσαύτως); e.g. οὐτός ἐστιν ὁ αὐτὸς

èκείνω the same as that or with that; τὰ αὐτὰ πάσχω σοί I experience the same things with thee or as thou.

Examples: Xon. Cyr. 2. 1. 15 ἄνδρες Πέρσαι, ὑμεῖς καὶ ἔφυτε ἐν τῆ αὐτῆ ἡμῖν χώρα · ἐν μὲν τῆ πατρίδι οὐ μετείχετε τῶν ἴσων ἡμῖν · νῦν δ' ἔξεστι ὑμῖν εἰς τὸν αὐτὸν ἡμῖν κίνδυνον ἐμβαίνειν καὶ τῶν ὁμοίων ἡμῖν ἀξιοῦσθαι.—Θησεὺς κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον Ἡρακλεῖ ἐγένετο.

Note 4. The adjective $\tilde{o}\mu o \iota o s$ and the like can also be construed with the Genitive (§ 132. n. 26); but only in a direct comparison.—As above in respect to the Gen. of comparison (§ 132. n. 20), so here with δ advos and the Dative, ambiguity may sometimes arise; as $\tau \dot{a}$ adva $\dot{a}\lambda \dot{c}\gamma \omega$ $\dot{c}\kappa \dot{c}\iota \nu \omega$, I say the same to him, or as he.—This use of the Dative with δ advos is imitated in Latin: Ov. Am. 1. 4 'Vir tuus est epulas nobis aditurus easdem.' As too in Lat. the object compared can be introduced by ac after aque, idem, so likewise in Greek by $\kappa a \dot{i}$, see § 149. m. 8 ult.

g) With every action which tends to the advantage or disadvantage of any one. This is the Dat. commodi et incommodi so called; the use of which in Greek is far more extensive and peculiar than in Latin.

Examples, see in n. 5-9.

h) Peculiar is also the use of the Dative with such substantives, as are either derived from verbs governing the Dative, or imply a relation of advantage or disadvantage.

Examples: Hes. 9. 93 Μουσάων ἱερὴ δόσις ἀνθρώποισιν.—Æsch. Pr. 617 πυρὸς βροτοῖς δοτῆρ' ὁρậς Προμηθέα.—Plat. Alc. I. p. 116 τὴν τοῖς φίλοις βοήθειαν λέγω καλὴν εἶναι.—Rep. p. 332. c, τοῖς σώμασι τὰ ἡδύσματα.—Thuc. 5. 5 φιλία τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις.—In the poets this usage of the Dative is extended still more widely.

Note 5. Under the Dative of advantage and disadvantage (Dat. commodiet incommodi) is included, first, that relation of this kind which is common to almost all languages; which exists e. g. in the Homeric πείσεται, ἄσσα οἱ Αἶσα γεινομένω ἐπένησε λίνω, 'what Fate has spun for him at his birth (of good or evil),' Il. v. 127; Μενελάω τόνδε πλοῦν ἐστείλαμεν 'for Menelaus (for his benefit) have we undertaken this voyage,' Soph. Aj. 1045. -On this is founded the less direct Dative of advantage or disadvantage, which is subjoined with reference to the purpose or wishes of a person; e. g. έπειδαν τάχιστα αὐτοῖς οἱ παίδες τὰ λεγόμενα ξυνιωσιν, where αὐτοῖς refers to the expectation of the parents. In like manner this Dative refers to the emotions excited by an action; e. g. $\hat{\eta}$ μήτηρ έ \hat{a} σε ποιείν \hat{b} , τι \hat{a} ν βούλη, \hat{i} ν \hat{a} ν $\hat{\tau}$ η μακάριος \hat{y} s Plat. Lys. p. 208. d. So also in the case of disadvantage, οἱ πατέρες τοὺς νίεῖς παραμυθοῦνται, ὅταν αὐτοῖς έξαμαρτάνωσι Plat. Soph. p. 230, where we are not to seek in autois the persons against whom the transgression is directly aimed (to their disadvantage), but simply a minor reference to the feelings of the fathers.-From such passages we can now advance further and easily comprehend those instances, where in a manner quite common in Greek, the Datives μοί, σοί, etc. are inserted, merely in order to give to the discourse a touch of feeling and sentiment; e.g. Od. 8. 569, where it is said to Menelaus, that the gods (564) would send him to Elysium, οῦνεκ' ἔχεις Ελένην καί σφιν γαμβρός Διός ἐσσι.

ποις τό φως εγίγνετο. This construction is usual with είναι and γίγνεσθαι, and especially with βουλομένω; e.g. εί αὐτῷ γέ σοι βουλομένω έστιν ἀποκρίνεσθαι, briefly, when thou wilt thyself answer. So too with ελπομένω, θέλουτι, ποθοῦντι, ἄκοντι, ἀχθομένω, προσδεχομένω. Od. γ. 228 οὐκ ἄν ἔμοιγε ελπομένω τὰ γένοιτ', οὐδ' εἰ θεοὶ ὡς ἐθέλοιεν. Comp. also § 145. 5.

Note 7. Kindred with this is the Dat. of person, by means of which an assertion appears as the subjective judgment of the person thus put in the Dative. Thus the naked averment: Xen. Mem. init. ἀξιός ἐστι θανάτου, becomes a personal judgment by means of the Datives: ἐμοί, τῆ πόλει ἀξιός ἐστι θανάτου, in my judgment, in the eyes of the citizens, he is worthy of death. Soph. OC. 1446 ἀνάξιαι γὰρ πᾶσίν ἐστι δυστυχεῖν. Ant. 904 καίτοι σ' ἐγὸ τίμησα τοῖς φρονοῦσιν εὖ.—Hence we may explain the frequent parenthesis of a Dative with ὡς, as ὡς ἐμοί, ὡς ἐμῆ δόξη, Soph. Plat. Comp. § 143. 16.

Note 8. Not only intrinsic and ethic relations, but also those of time as affecting a person, may be thus expressed by means of the Dat. of person, when there is connected with it a participle or a clause with a conjunction of time. E. g. Soph. Phil. 354 ην δ' ημαρ ήδη δεύτερον πλέοντί μοι. Il. φ. 155 ήδε δέ μοι νῦν ηὰς ἐνδεκάτη, ὅτ' ἐς Ἰλιον εἰλήλουθα, comp. ω. 765. Freer, Thuc. 3. 29 ἡμέραι δὲ ἦσαν τῆ Μιτυλήνη ἐαλωκυία ἐπτά, ὅτ' ἐς τὰ Ἔμβατον κατέπλευσαν. Comp. § 145. 5, and n. 3.

Note 9. Out of this same Dat. commodi has arisen the usage of joining to a whole clause or proposition the Dative, instead of the Gentive belonging to a substantive; e. g. Hdot, 1.31 ἐπὶ τῆς ἀμάξης δέ στρι δχέετο ἡ μήτηρ 'upon the wagon sat to them the mother,' instead of 'sat their mother.' Hence such a Dative is sometimes, by a sort of negligence, followed by a real Gentitive; e. g. Od. ρ. 231, 232.—A Dative which cannot thus be referred to the whole clause, stands sometimes instead of the Gentitive after words expressing relations, as kindred, friend, guest, etc. e. g. τοῦ ξένου ἡμῖι ἡδέως ἀν πυνθανοίμην, Plat. Soph. p. 216. ult.

Note 10. Yet sometimes, vice versa, the Genitive of a pers. pron. which belongs to a following substantive, is put instead of the Dat. commodi; e.g. Plat. Phæd. p. 117. b, ἐἀν σου βάρος ἐν τοῖς σκέλεσι γένηται, where σοῦ, which belongs to σκέλεσι, stands with the verb instead of σοί. So too: διὰ τί μου ἀνδριὰς οὐ κεῖται πυνθάνονται, where indeed μοῦ has its full meaning, but is thus placed first, because it stands at the same time for μοί. See further the Index to Plat. Meno, under Genitivus.

3. Not only in the case of personal objects, but also in general a Dative can always stand after compound verbs, where we commonly employ a preposition with its case. This actually takes place, however, only under two conditions, viz. 1) When by means of this Dative there is really expressed a relation of approach, a tendency to any thing. This usage is much less extensive than in Latin; since with objects not personal the Greeks prefer the prepositions, as $\epsilon i s$, $\pi \rho i s$, $\epsilon \pi l$, c. Acc. 2) When the verb is compounded with a preposition, which in itself already governs the Dative, as $\sigma i \nu$, $\epsilon \nu$, $\epsilon \pi l$; so that consequently the preposition and the verb have as it were a like power over the following Dative; as $\sigma \nu \nu a \pi o \theta \nu i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \nu \nu l$. See more in § 147. n. 9.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: Pind. Isthm. 6. 60 ό δ' ἀνατείνας ο ὑρανῷ χεῖρας αὔδασε. Plat. Legg. p. 783 προσέχουσιν αὐτοῖς τε καὶ τῆ πράξει τὸν νοῦν. Hdot. 1. 1 Φοίνικες τῆ τε ἄλλη χώρη ἐσαπικνέοντο καὶ δὴ καὶ ἐς "Αργος. Soph. OC.

372 εἰσηλθε τοῖν τρισαθλίοιν ἔρις κακή. Isocr. Paneg. p. 67 περιβάλλει τὰς πόλεις ταῖς μεγίσταις συμφοραῖς. De Pac. 176 πλείοσι καὶ μείζοσι κακοῖς περιέπεσον, malis inciderunt. So Eurip. τῆ πόλει εἰσκομίζειν, but Thucyd. always ἐς τὴν πόλιν.

Note 11. This idea of approach belongs so peculiarly to the Dative, that the poets even with verbs not compounded employ the simple Dative instead of a preposition, to express motion towards, to, into any thing; e. g. Il. ϵ . 82 $\chi \epsilon l \rho$ $\pi \epsilon \delta l \varphi$ $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon$. η . 187 $\kappa \lambda \mathring{\eta} \rho o \nu$ $\kappa \nu \nu \acute{\epsilon} \eta$ $\beta \acute{a} \lambda \epsilon$. Soph. El. 747 $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \eth \omega$. Trach. 597 o $\mathring{v} \pi \sigma \acute{e} \iota \iota$ $\mathring{v} \iota \nu \eta$ $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \acute{\epsilon} \iota$. Comp. the Prep. $\acute{\epsilon} \nu$, § 147. n. 1; also $\acute{\epsilon} \nu \theta \acute{a} \eth \epsilon$ etc. § 116.

4. The Dative of thing expresses the following relations; most

of which are given in Latin by the Ablative.

a) The instrument, or in general that with which and by means of which any thing is done, Dat. instrumenti. Thus it is said in general: $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\theta ai$ τivi * to make use of a thing; and also in particular: $\pi a\tau \dot{a}\sigma\sigma\epsilon w$ $\dot{\rho}\dot{a}\beta\delta \phi$ to strike with a rod; and so too, as in Latin, with the Passive: $\sigma\mu i\lambda\eta$ $\pi\epsilon\pi$ oinµ $\dot{\epsilon}v$ ov made with the graving tool.

Example: Isocr. p. 215 Θησεύς διετέλεσε τὸν βίον οὐκ ἐπακτῷ (artificial, affected) δυνάμει τὴν ἀρχὴν διαφυλάττων, ἀλλὰ τῆ τῶν πολιτῶν εὐνοία δορυφορούμενος, τῆ μὲν ἐξουσία τυραννῶν, ταῖς δ' εὐεργεσίαις δημαγωγῶν.

Note 12. If the instrument or means be a person, then the prep. $\delta t \dot{a}$ c. gen. is used as in Latin. The same prep. is often found with things, just as in Latin, urbs vi capta or per vim capta; but, as there, with a certain difference, see Zumpt § 301, and below in § 147. n. 1, under $\pi \rho \dot{o}s$ c. gen. Sometimes also $\dot{e}v$ stands with this Dative; see § 147. n. 1, under $\dot{e}v$.

Note 13. In like manner, in cases where we use with, as in Latin cum, so the Greek also employs the prepositions $(\sigma'\nu)$ or $\mu'\epsilon\tau a$; namely, where the objects are personal, or also with things, when the idea of being together, accompanying, is prominent.—From this it is an exception, that the words $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau'(a)$, $\sigma\tau\delta\lambda$ os, $\nu\eta\hat{\epsilon}$ s, and those which signify a kind of troops, as $\delta\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\tau a$, $\pi\epsilon\xi o$ i, etc. are commonly put in the Dative without $\sigma'\nu$, as in Lat. copiis without cum, in clauses like these: $d\phi'$ ikouto ε[†]kooti ναυσίν. Thue, 1. 107 ε[†]βοήθησαν εαυτών τε πεντακοσίοις καὶ χίλιοις ὁπλίταις καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων μυρίοις.

Note 14. The place of the prep. σύν is often supplied, by joining with the Dative the pron. αὐτός in the same case; and the being together then appears as something inseparable and immediate in respect to place and time. Xen. An. 1. 3. 17 φοβοῦμαι, μὴ ἡμᾶς αὐταῖς ταῖς τριήρεσι καταδύση.. Comp. Elmsl. ad Eur. Med. 160.

- b) The mode or manner; e. g. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \hat{e} \gamma \hat{e} v \epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\phi} \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\phi} \tau \rho \delta \pi \phi$ this occurred in this manner; $\delta \rho \delta \mu \phi \pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon v$ he came running; $\mu \epsilon \gamma \hat{a} \lambda \eta \sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{a} v \tau a \hat{e} \pi \rho \hat{a} \tau \tau \epsilon \tau o$.—Instead of this Dative also, we often find prepositions, as $\delta \iota \hat{a}$, $\kappa a \tau \hat{a}$, $\pi \rho \delta s$, etc. see $\delta 147$. n. 1.
- c) The cause, or rather that on which an action or condition is founded, from which it springs; where we employ the prepositions from, of, out of, for, at, by, etc. and the Greeks especially διά and ἐπί. Ε. g. οὐκ ἀγροικία τοῦτο ποιῶ, out of boorishness;

^{*} So too χρησθαι in the signif. to ask counsel, to consult (e. g. the gods, an oracle), has the Dative in Homer, Herodotus, and the late writers, e. g. Plut. Vit. Alex. 14.

τέθνηκεν ἀποπληξία he died of apoplexy.—This Dative is most frequent with verbs signifying an emotion of mind (§ 131. n. 3), as χαίρειν, ἀλγεῖν, ἄχθεσθαί τινι, χαλεπῶς φέρειν, δυσφορεῖν, ἀπειρηκέναι, ἀθυμεῖν πράγμασι to be disheartened at matters, and many others, as Saνμάζειν, ἄγασθαι, γελᾶν, etc. Many of these we have already seen connected with the Genitive (§ 132. n. 15), which thus might be easily regarded as identical with this Dative; and indeed in translation the two are often not at all distinguished. But this Dative is strictly and essentially identical with that in lett. a and b, above; and therefore differs essentially from that Genitive, as also from the Accus. in § 131. 7.*

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 3. 1. 28 οἱ μὲν εὐνοία καὶ φιλία τἢ ἐμἢ τὸ δέον συλλαμβάνουσιν, οἱ δὲ ἀνάγκῃ πάντα ἐκπονοῦνται. Dem. Phil. I, πρῶτον μὲν οὐκ ἀθυμητέον τοῖς παροῦσι πράγμασιν, comp. Ol. 3. p. 30. Thuc. 4. 85 θαυμάζω τἢ ἀποκλήσει μου τῶν πυλῶν.—But ἐπί is often inserted, see ἐπί § 147.

d) With comparatives, and similar verbs (as διαφέρειν), the Dative expresses by how much any thing is more or greater, or wherein one thing surpasses another; e. g. πολλῷ ἀμείνων, ὀλίγω μείζων.

Examples: Xen. Hell. init. οὐ πολλαῖς ἡμέραις ὕστερον. Mem. 3. 3. 13 οὕτε εὐφωνία τοσοῦτον διαφέρουσιν ᾿Αθηναῖοι τῶν ἄλλων οὕτε ῥώμη, ὅσον φιλοτιμία.—But very often, instead of this Dative, we find the adverbial Acc. πολύ, ὀλίγον, etc.

e) The definite time when (comp. § 132. 14); e. g. παρῆν τῆ τρίτη ἡμέρα he was here on the third day; τῆ ὑστεραία τὴν βουλὴν ἐκάλουν the next day they called together the senate.

f) The definite *place where*; see n. 15. Yet here by degrees usage has decided in almost all cases for the preposition.

NOTE 15. Some names of cities received a special Dative-form in answer to the question where? see § 116. 3. In the poets the usual Dative is more frequent; e. g. of cities: 'Ολυμπία Pind. Ol. 7. 16; Μυκήναις Eur. Phæn. 621; 'Ελευσῖνι, Μαραθῶνι Ατίστρη. also Thuc. 1. 73. So in other specifications of place, as οῦρεσιν ἔτρεφε Hes. 9. 1001. ε. 232; comp. Theoer. 3. 16; ἀγροῖσι τυγχάνει Soph. El. 312.



^{*} Such examples, so instructive for the right understanding of the cases, where the same word is connected with two and even all three of the cases, are the following; e. g. παιδος οὐκ ἀλγεῖκ δοκεῖς· τὸ σὸν μὲν ἀλγῶ, Κάδμε· εὐγενῶς ἄλγεῖκακοῖς, Eur. Hec. 1232. Bacch. 1280. Tro. 729.—Further: δασὸς δένδρων et δένδρων; ἐγγῶς, ἐναντίος, δμοιος ἡμῖν et ἡμῶν; κρατεῖν (ονειγουνει) τινὰ et τινός, and in Hom. in the signif. to rule, with the Dative, Od. π. 265.—The following are to be distinguished: εἰμὶ τὸ γένος ᾿Ασσύριος Χεπ. Cyr. 4. 6. 2; γένει προσήκων βασιλεῖ Απ. 1. 6. 1; γένους μὲν ἤκεις ὅδε τοῖσδε Heracl. 213; although in each instance we may translate: by descent.—'Αντιᾶν (ἀντᾶν, ἀντιᾶς μὰ τοὶ τοι αποτικού τοι πουστικού τοι πουστικού τοι πουστικού τοι πουστικού τοι πουστικού ἀνέρος εἰμί. And Hdot. 4. 118 ἀντιάς μοι τον ἐπιόντα, i. e. go against him for combat.—'Αγασθαι and δανμάζειν with persons take τινά and τινός; with things, τὶ, τινός, and τινί.—'Ἐπιβαίνειν τὴ un the signif. to tread, to mount, has the Gentitve, as ἐπιβαίνειν τῆς χώρας, τῆς νεώς (as βαίνω ἐπὶ τῆς νεώς (147. n. 1, ἐπί); also in a hostile sense, by 132. 10. f, as Τροίης ἐπιβημεναι Οd. ξ. 229. In the signif. to set upon, attack, it takes the Dative, by no. 2. b, above; Χεπ. Cyr. 5. 2. 26. And finally, especially in the poets, it takes an Acc. of the object; as Πιερίην, λειμῶνα ἐπιβάς Hom. Soph. comp. Hdot. 7. 50.

5. Finally, a verb may be accompanied by two Datives; yet not so, as in Latin with certain verbs, that one Dative marks the person to or for whom, and the other the thing or object for which; but rather, in a manner similar to the double Accus. treated of in § 131. 5 and n. 10 (καθ' όλον καὶ μέρος); or so that one Dative is rather subjoined epexegetically to the other. usage is for the most part poetical.

Examples: Il. λ. 11 'Αχαιοίσιν δέ μέγα σθένος ξμβαλ' ξκάστφ καρδίη. Eur. Iph. A. 1589 εμοί δε τ΄ άλγος οὐ μικρὸν εἰσήτε φρενί. Heracl. 63 βούλει πόνον μοι τῆ δε προσθείναι χερί; Pind. Ol. 8. 110 κόσμον ὅν σφι Ζεὺς γένει ὅπασεν. 2. 27 Ζεῦ, ἄρουραν ἔτι πατρίαν σφίσιν κόμισον λοιπ ῷ y ένει. For 'Aχαιοίσιν έκάστω and the like, comp. also § 132. n. 4, and § 129.

VERBS.

§ 134. THE PASSIVE.

1. The Passive, from its nature, takes as its subject in the Nominative, that which in the Active stood as immediate object The subject or Nominative of the Active, on in the Accusative. the contrary, becomes now the object from, by, or through which When this is a person, it is most com-I suffer or am affected. monly expressed in Greek by means of the preposition $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{o}$ with the Genitive; e. g. Act. ο 'Αχιλλεύς κτείνει τον "Εκτορα; Pass. ο "Εκτωρ κτείνεται ύπο τοῦ Αχιλλέως, Hector is killed by Achilles.

2. Many verbs of the Active form, in which the idea of suffering, being affected, is predominant (e. g. πάσχω itself), may be construed wholly like Passives, i. e. with $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$ c. gen. They then serve to supply the place of less usual Passives. Ε. g. ἀπέθανεν ύπ' αὐτοῦ, instead of the unused ἐκτάθη. See these verbs and

the examples in § 147. n. 1, under $b\pi \acute{o}$ c. gen.

3. Instead of $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\phi}$, the preposition $\pi\rho\dot{\phi}$, with the Genitive is likewise used; e. g. προς άπάντων βεραπεύεσθαι to be honoured or or by all.—Sometimes also παρά; e.g. οίμαι γάρ με παρά σοῦ σοφίας πληρωθήσεσθαι, Plat. Symp. p. 175. c.—Further, and by the Ionics especially, έξ; e. g. εί τί σοι κεχαρισμένον έξ έμοῦ έδωρήθη 'if any thing agreeable is presented thee from or by me,' Hdot.—Homer uses especially ὑπό c, dat. e. g. ὑπὸ Τρώεσσι δαμηναι.—See more on this whole subject under the prepositions, § 147. n. 1.

4. Not unfrequently the Dative of person alone, without any preposition, is put with the Passive to mark the author. occurs most frequently with the Perf. Passive, as a periphrase for the less usual Perf. Act. see § 97. n. 6.

Examples: Dem. Ol. p. 35 οὐκ είς περιουσίαν επράττετο αὐτοίς τὰ τῆς πόλεωs, not for their own advantage was the state administered BY them. Soph. El. 621 αισχροῖς γὰρ αισχρὰ πράγματ' ἐκδιδάσκεται.—καλῶς λέλεκταί σοι well has it been said by thee, thou hast well said. Xen. Cyr. 3. 2. 16 â ύπισχνοῦ ποιήσειν ἀγαθὰ ἡμᾶς, ἀποτετέλεσταί σοι ἤδη.

Note 1. It is not to be denied, that ambiguity may often arise out of this



idiom; e. g. πάντα σοι λέλεκται all has been said by thee, or also to thee. But this will usually disappear by attention to the context. Comp. also the Latin idiom: mihi laudatus, etc.

5. According to the general rule (in no. 1), only the immediate object, which with the Active stands in the Accusative, would become the subject of the Passive; and this rule is strictly observed by the Latin, English, and other languages. But since many an object, which in Greek is put in the Genitive or Dative, may be in its nature a near object, or may at least be so conceived, (and is in other languages actually put as an immediate object in the Accusative,) the Greeks allow themselves sometimes to convert such a Genitive or Dative into the subject of the Passive. Thus a Gen. and Dative: Act. αμελεῖν τινος to be neglectful of any one, or in Engl. as Acc. to neglect any one; πιστεύειν τινί to trust to any one, or Engl. as Acc. to believe any one; and hence the Greeks can say: τὰ τούτου πράγματα ἀμελεῖται ὑπὸ τῶν θεῶν are neglected by the gods, Plat. Apol. p. 41; ο ψεύστης οὐ πιστεύεται the liar is not believed.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Thuc. 5. 75 'Αθηναίοι, δυπερ προσετάχθησαν, τὸ 'Ηραδον εξειργάσαντο.—Αn. 5. 7. 12 δρα ήμιν βουλεύεσθαι περί ήμων αὐτων, μὴ καταφρονηθωμεν.—Hell. 3. 5. extr. κατηγορουμένου Παυσανίου καὶ οὐ παρόντος, βάνατος αὐτοῦ κατεγνώσθη, comp. 4. 4. 2, also the person in the Nom. with καταγιγνώσκεικ, in n. 2 a.—Μοπ. 4. 2. 35 πολλοὶ διὰ τὸν πλοῦτον ἐπιβουλευόμενοι ἀπόλλυνται.—So τὸ ἀπορούμενον, quod in controversia est, Plat. Soph. p. 243. etc.

6. The Passive in Greek can also have an Accusative. That is, when the Active governs two Accusatives († 131. 5), and the Accus. of person becomes the subject of the Passive, the Accus. of the thing remains as object of the Passive; e. g. οἱ παίδες διδάσκονται σωφροσύνην the boys are taught a proper behaviour, Lat. docentur modestiam.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΝ: Dem. p. 217 ol Μακεδόνες παρ' ελάχιστον (almost) ἀφηρέθησαν την κατά θαλατταν ήγεμονίαν.—Χen. Apol. 17 τl αἴτιον τοῦ ἐμέ (Socr.) μηδ' ὑφ' ἐνὸς ἀπαιτεῖσθαι εὐεργεσίαν;

Note 2. For the Accus, with Passive verbs, see further in § 131. 1, 3. § 136. n. 1.—The other idiom treated of in § 131. 4, namely, that Active (and mostly intransitive) verbs take the Accus, of the kindred abstract noun in order to give more definiteness to the idea of the verb, has place also where the verbal idea is a passive one; and consequently is found with Passive verbs. E.g. τύπτεται πληγάς πολλάς he is beaten many blows. Eur. Hipp, 1227 ο τλήμων (Hippolytus) δεσμόν δυσεξήνυστον τλκεται δεσείς. Plat. Legg. 3. p. 695 παιδείαν τὴν Μηδικὴν περιείδεν παιδευθέντας αυτοῦ τοὺς νίεῖς. Hence also is to be explained: Πυθαγόρας πάσας εμυήθη τελετάς, Diog. L. 8. init.

7. The two constructions last mentioned can also be united in one clause; namely, so that the *Dative* of person, which stood as the remote object of the Active, becomes the Nom. of the Passive; while the Accus. of the Active remains as object of the Passive. E. g. from ἐπιτρέπειν τῷ Σωκράτει τὴν δίαιταν, comes ὁ Σωκράτης ἐπιτρέπεται τὴν δίαιταν.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Eur. Andr. 654 τήνδ' έκ χερών άρπάζομαι.—Soph. Tr. 157 δέλτος έγγεγραμμένη ξυνθήματα.—An. 2. 6. 1 οἱ στατηγοὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀποτμηθέντες τὰς κεφαλὰς έτελεύτησαν.—ὑπὸ πόλεως τὴν ἡγεμονίαν πεπίστευτο.—Προμηθεὺς ὑπ' ἀετοῦ ἐκείρετο τὸ ἦπαρ.—Dem. p. 247 ἐώρων Φίλιππον τὸν ὀφθαλμὸν ἐκκεκομμένον, τὴν κλεῖν κατεαγότα, τὴν χεῖρα, τὸ σκέλος πεπηρωμένον.

Note 2 a. Those constructions, where the verb in the Active is connected with a Genitive of person and an Accus. of thing, as καταγινώσκειν and the like, are by late writers changed to the Passive in precisely the same manner; e. g. Diog. L. 2. 51 Εκισφῶν φυγὴν ὑπ' ᾿Αθηναίων κατεγινώσθη. App. 2. 3 φυγὴν καταδικασθείς. Dion Cass. 68. 1 πολλοί Θάνατον κατεδικάσθησαι Contra, Xen. Hell. 4. 4. 2 ἐὰν καταγνωσθῆ τις, but yet with the thing (ib. 3. extr.) Θάνατος αὐτοῦ κατεγνώσθη, comp. ib. 7. 3. 7. Dem. p. 721 ἔως ἀν ἐκτίση ὅ, τι ἀν καταγνωσθῆ αὐτοῦ. Comp. Hdot. 7. 146.

8. The Verbal Adjectives in τέος and τός are in their nature

Passive; for their signification, see § 102. n. 2.

9. In consequence of their passive nature they are also construed like the Passive; (that in τός, however, only when it expresses possibility, see n. 6 below;) and hence the subject of the Active is put with them in the Dative by no. 4 above, while the object of the Active appears with them as the Nominative. E. g. η πόλις ἀφελητέα σοι ἐστι the state must be benefited by thee, i. e. thou must be useful to the state; τοῦτο οὐ ρητόν ἐστί μοι, this must not be said by me, I must not say this. Very often however this reference to the person is omitted, and then the sense is to be expressed by must, can, or the like; e. g. λυτέος ὁ τοιοῦτος νόμος καὶ οὐκ ἐατέος κύριος εἶναι, 'such a law must be repealed, and not be suffered to remain valid.'

Examples: Plat. Rep. p. 459. e, δμνοι ποιητέοι τοῖς ἡμετέροις ποιηταῖς πρέποντες τοῖς γάμοις.—id. Conv. p. 189. b, καί μοι ἔστω ἄρρητα τὰ εἰρημένα.—Cyr. 5. 3. 43 ἐν τῆ πορεία ἤ τε σιωπὴ ἀσκητέα, καὶ ἡ τάξις διαφυλακτέα.

10. When the Neut. in τέον stands in this manner, with or without ἐστίν, it corresponds to the Latin Nom. neuter in dum, e. g. λεκτέον ἐστίν, or simply λεκτέον, 'one must speak.' But it differs essentially from the Latin phrase, in that it can then take all the constructions of its verb with an object, as in the Active, unchanged; e. g. ἀρετὴν ἔχειν πειρατέον 'one must strive to have virtue;' τοῖς λόγοις προσεκτέον τὸν νοῦν 'one must give attention to the words;' ταῦτα πάντα ποιητέον μοι 'all this I must do.'—The Neut. in τόν is used only by itself, without such verbal constructions; e. g. βιωτόν ἐστι 'one can live.'

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mom. 2. 1. 28 τὰ s πολεμικὰς τέχνας παρὰ τῶν ἐπισταμένων μαθητέον καὶ ἀσκητέον. Hos. 9. 732 ἔνθα Τιτῆνες κεκρύφαται, τοῖς οὐκ ἐξιτόν ἐστι, 'who cannot go out.'

NOTE 3. The Attics use also the Plural in τ έα (of the Neut. in τέον) in the same signification. E. g. βαδιστέα 'one must go;' Aristoph. Plút. 1085 συνεκποτέ' ἐστὶ τὴν τρύγα 'one must drink up even the dregs.' Comp. § 129, n. 9.

Note 4. By another Attic peculiarity the form in τέον, as if in consequence of the idea δεί which lies in it, sometimes takes the subject of the Active in the Accusative instead of the Dative; e. g. Plat. Gorg. 507. d, τδν βουλόμενον εὐδαίμονα εἶναι σωφροσύνην διωκτέον καὶ ἀσκητέον. Χεπ. Hipp

5 το ὑ s ἱππ ϵ a s ἀσκητϵον, ὅπω s ἐπὶ τοὺ s ἵππου s ἀναπηδᾶν δύνωνται. Other examples see in Plat. Crit. p. 49. a. Dem. Ol. p. 21. Heind. ad Plat. Phæd. 128.

Note 5. Since Deponents are also used passively in some of their forms (§ 113. n. 6), the verbal adjectives are formed from them in a like sense; just as elsewhere from regular Actives; e. g. εργάζομαι I work, εργαστών what can be or is accomplished by labour, εργαστών one must work. Indeed, in certain verbs whose Passive or Middle receives a signification, which may be considered as a new Active, the verbal adjectives in some current instances have both significations, viz. that which comes from the proper Active, and that from the Passive or Middle. E. g. πειστέον 'one must convince,' from πείθω, and 'one must obey,' from πείθωμαι. Just so συνεθιστέον, παρασκευαστέον, 'one must accustom himself,' one must prepare himself,' without έαυτόν, Plat. Gorg. p. 507. Rep. p. 520; ἡ όδος πορευτέα Soph. Phil. 994.—On the other hand, from τρέπομαι I turn myself away, go away, there is formed in order to express this sense the very unusual verbal adjective τραπητέον, from Aor. 2 ἐτράπην.

NOTE 5. Verbals in τόs sometimes take the signification (but not the construction) of the Particip. Perf. Pass. and then stand only as adjectives; e. g. ποιητός made, πλεκτός plaited, στρεπτός twisted.

135. THE MIDDLE.

- 1. In treating of the Middle we may exclude all strictly Deponent verbs; since in their signification they belong wholly to the Active. Not to be excluded, on the other hand, are those Middles which take their Aorist from the Passive; see the list, § 113. n. 5.
- 2. The fundamental idea of the Middle is the reflexive. The strict and complete reflexive signification is, when the subject of the verb is at the same time its immediate object, and stands with the Active in the Accusative. Hence the Mid. λοῦμαι is the same as ἐγὰ λούω ἐμέ v. ἐμαυτόν, I wash myself, I bathe. It is however to be observed, that only a very limited number of verbs actually have the Middle in this its first and appropriate signification; and indeed such only as occur very commonly in this wholly reflexive meaning. In most verbs, therefore, when this sense is to be expressed, it can be done only by means of the reflexive Pron. ἐμαυτόν, ἐαυτόν, etc.

Examples of this Middle proper are: ἀπάγχεσθαι to strangle oneself, ἀπέτχεσθαι to withhold oneself, ἀμύνεσθαι to defend oneself, φυλάττεσθαι to guard oneself, ἐγγνᾶσθαι to pledge oneself, αἰσχύνεσθαι to shame oneself, παρασκευάζεσθαι to prepare oneself, κρεμάννυσθαι to hang oneself. and its compounds. So of other actions which take place on one's own body, and where instead of the person in the Acc. we can supply τὸ σῶμα with the Active; c. g. λοῦσθαι, χρίεσθαι, γυμνάζεσθαι, ἐνδύσασθαι, ἀπομόργνυσθαι, κείρεσθαι, στεφανούσθαι.

3. In many verbs this original reflexive meaning of the Middle recedes more from view, while they either become *real intransitives*, or at least are translated by us as intransitives, for want of a suitable reflexive mode of expression.

Examples: στέλλειν to send, Mid. οτέλλεσθαι to send oneself, to journey,

δαίνυσθαι to feast oneself, to banquet. So too πορεύεσθαι to go, to journey; φαίνεσθαι to shine, παύεσθαι to cease, πλάζεσθαι to roam about, εὐωχεῖσθαι to fare sumptuously, κοιμᾶσθαι to go to bed, to rest.

- Note 1. These Middles obviously correspond to their Actives, precisely as immediates to their causatives, § 113. 2. So further yeéomai to taste, ohrowai to decay, rol, thromai to hope; of which the infrequent Actives can be expressed in English only by means of a periphrase with cause, make, let, etc. as yeéo to cause to taste, ohrow to cause to rot, throw to cause to hope. See also the Anom. mairomai, § 114.
- 4. But the Middle can also readily become transitive; and thus in most instances can have with it an object in the Accusative. The simplest instance of this is where the Active is construed with two Accusatives, one of which then remains with the Middle; e. g. ἐνδύειν τινὰ χιτῶνα to put on one's coat for him, Mid. ἐνδύσασθαι χιτῶνα to put on one's own coat. Of this kind are most of the actions done to one's own body; which in Greek are for the most part referred to the construction with a double Accusative.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: ἔσσασθαι (from ἔννυμι) to put on, κείρεσθαι to shear oneself (e. g. κείρομαι τὴν κεφαλήν i. q. κείρω ἐμαυτὸν τὴν κεφαλήν), στεφανοῦσθαι, ἀπομόργνυσθαι, λοῦσθαι (e. g. τὰς χεῖρας one's own hands), ὑποδήσασθαι and ὑπολύεσθαι to put on and off sc. one's shoes, ἐγκαλύπτεσθαι to veil oneself, etc.—Μεπ. 1. 6. 2 Σωκράτης Ιμάτιον ἡμφίεστο οὐ μόνον φαιλον, ἀλλα τὸ ἀιτὸ Θέρους καὶ χειμῶνος.—Οd. σ. 200 ἡ δ' ἀπομόρξατο χερσὶ παρειὰς φώνησέν τε.—II. ψ. 739. οἱ δ', ἀπομορξαμένω κονίην, δύσαντο χιτῶνας.

5. The Middle can also take an object of its own, whenever there arises out of the reflexive action (as described in no. 2 above) a new simple sense, which can be regarded as transitive. E. g. περαιοῦν τινα to set one over a river, Mid. περαιοῦσθαι to set oneself over, i. e. to pass over; and then it takes the river in the Accusative, περαιοῦσθαι τὸν Τίγριν to pass over the Tigris.

Examples: φοβεῖν τινα to frighten another, φοβεῖσθαι to frighten oneself, i. e. to fear, e. g. τοὺς θεούς. So τίλλειν to pluck, κόπτειν, τύπτειν, to beat; but τίλλεσθαι, κόπτεσθαι, τύπτεσθαί τινα, to moun, to bewail, sc. by plucking out one's own hair, beating the breast, etc. Also αἰσχύνω to shame, put to shame, algχύνεσθαί τινα to feel ashamed before any one; φυλάσσεσθαί τινα to guard oneself against, to beware of; ἀμύνεσθαί τινα to defend oneself against. That all these verbs have in the Middle received a new transitive sense, appears clearly from the fact, that e. g. for αἰσχύνομαί σε we can no longer put αἰσχύνω ἐμαυτὸν σέ, as in no. 4.—Hdot. 2. 42 οἱ περὶ τὸν ἰρὸν τύπτονται τὸν κριὸν, καὶ ἔπειτα θάπτουσι.—Cyr. 1. 4. 7 ᾿Αστυάγης συμπέμπει (σὸν τῷ Κύρφ) πρεσβυτέρους, ὅπως ἀπὸ τῶν δυσχωριῶν φυλάττοιεν αὐτόν· οἱ δὲ ἔλεγον (τῷ Κ.) τὰς δυσχωρίας ὅτι δέοι φυλάττεσθαι οὐδὲν ἡττον ἡ τὰ θηρία.

6. In all the preceding instances, the Mid. sense arises out of the usual transitive construction of the Active with the Accusative, viz. $\phi \circ \beta \circ \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \hat{\omega} \phi \circ \beta \hat{\omega} \dot{\epsilon} \mu a \nu \tau \acute{\nu} \nu$. But as the subject of the Passive († 134. 5, 7) sometimes comes from the construction with the remoter object, viz. the Dative, so this sometimes takes place also with the Middle; and then the other object, which stood with the Active in the Accusative, stands in the same manner with the Middle. E. g. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \circ \iota \gamma \circ \iota \iota$ to annex something

to any one, i. e. make it his own, as a territory to a state, etc. $\pi \rho o \sigma$. ποιήσασθαί τι to appropriate something to oneself, sibi. Here we may reckon a large number of verbs Middle; in which the simple reflexive meaning recedes more and more, and the Middle form signifies only, that the action takes place in some connection with the subject, for his advantage or disadvantage; in short. that it stands in some close relation to the subject. Thus Seivas νόμους is to give laws e.g. to a subjugated country; but Βέσθαι νόμους to give laws for oneself and one's own country; στήσασθαι τρόπαιον v. εικόνα, to set up a trophy or column for oneself. in one's own cause. And although writers often apparently put the Active for the Middle, i. e. leave out of view the intrinsic reference of the action back upon the subject (e. g. τρόπαιον στησάντων Thuc. 7. 5); yet they are very exact, at least the good prose writers, never to employ the Middle, where some such reference to the subject does not exist. Comp. below no. 9 and n. 3.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΣS: πορίζεσθαί τι to obtain for oneself, to get; κλαίεσθαι to bewail e.g. τὰ πάθη οπε's own sufferings, but κλαίειν τὰ πάθη τινός to bewail the sufferings of another; σύμμαχον ποιεῖσθαί τινα to make one my ally; καταστήσασθαι ψύλακας to set guards for oneself; αἴρεσθαι to take up for oneself, e.g. πόλεμον to undertake a war; συναίρεσθαί τινι πόλεμον to take upon oneself war along with another, i. e. to aid him in war; εὐρίσκομαι to find for oneself, nanciscor; ἄγεσθαι γυναίκα to take a wife; κληρώσασθαι to obtain by lot; καταπράττεσθαι to achieve for oneself; εἰσκομίζεσθαι to import for oneself or friends, and so ἐκκομίζεσθαι to export; διαθέσθαι τὰ τῆς πόλεως.—Hence too the ideas of repelling from oneself, rejecting, despising, commonly have the Middle form; as ἀπώσασθαι, ἀποκρούσασθαι, ἀποθέσθαι, and many others compounded with ἀπό; alsο προέσθαι, προβάλλεσθαι, and the like.—Mem. 4. 4. 19 τοὺς νόμους ἔχοις ᾶν εἰπεῖν, ὅτι οἱ ἄνθρωποι αὐτοὺς ἔθεντο; ἐγὰ μέν, ἔφη, θεοὺς οἶμαι τοὺς νόμους τούτους τοῖς ἀνθρώποις θεῖναι.—Thuc. 2. 78 οἱ Πλαταίῆς παῖδας καὶ γυναίκας ἐκκεκομισμένοι ἤσαν ἐς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας.

- Note 2. Hence it comes, that when, in a verb which in the Active has two Accusatives, the reference back upon the subject is also to be expressed, the verb is put in the Middle and can retain both the Accusatives; e.g. alτῶ σε τοῦτο I ask this of thee, leaving it indefinite whether for myself or another; but alτοῦμαί σε τοῦτο can only be definitely: I ask this of thee for myself. But this construction is rare; though it is the common one with adapecīσθαι, see § 131. 5.—Yet where the Mid. has received a new transitive signification of its own, it can take the construction with the double Accus. just as well as Active verbs; see in § 131. 5 πράττεσθαι, προκαλείσθαι; alsο τίσασθαι to avenge, Od. o. 236 ἐτίσατο ἔργον ἀεικὲς ἀντίθεον Νηλῆα. See also Schneid. ad Xen. An. 1. 1. 10.
- 7. The Middle expresses further a mutual action; as διανέμεσθαι to divide among themselves, διαλέγεσθαι to converse with one another. Dem. p. 1317 κοινή διανειμάμενοι πέντε δραγμάς ξκαστος προσεδέξαντο.

Examples: βουλεύεσθαι, διαλλάττεσθαι, σπένδεσθαι, σπονδοποιείσθαι, διαλύσασθαι; further, all verbs signifying strife, disunion, as διαφέρεσθαι, κρίνεσθαι, διακοντίζεσθαι, διαδορατίζεσθαι, ἀκροβολίζεσθαι, φιλοτιμείσθαι, ἀγωνίζεσθαι, most of which, like μάχεσθαι, have become Deponents.

8. Another kind of reflexive action is expressed, when anything is said to take place about my person by my order; which

we express in English by cause, let, etc. Thus κείρομαι means I cut my own hair, but also I let another cut it; while the Passive καρῆναι is used only of an entirely passive relation, e. g. of the shearing of sheep.—Here too the more remote reference has place; e. g. παρατίθεμαι τράπεζαν 'I let a table be spread for me.'

Examples: μισθόω I hire out, μισθοῦμαι I let hire out to myself, i. q. I hire; διδάξασθαι υίόν to let teach one's own son; καταδικάζειν τινός to condemn any one, said of a judge, but καταδικάζεσθαί τινος to let condemn any one, procure his condemnation, and καταδικάζεσθαί δίκηντίνος to gain one's cause against; πρεσβεύειν to go as ambassador, πρεσβεύεσθαι to send an embassy.—Hell. 7. 4. 33 οἱ ἄρχοντες κατεδίκασαν αὐτῶν, comp. An. 5. 8. 21. But Dem. p. 114. τὴν δίκην ὑμῖν διηγήσομαι, ἵν' εἰδῆτε, ὅτι ἀδίκως ἐμοῦ κατεδικάσατο.—Cyr. 5. in. πρὸς βασιλέα πρεσβεύων ῷχετο. But Ages. 2. 21 εἰρήνης ἐπιθυμήσαντες οἱ πολέμιοι ἐπρεσβεύοντο.—Od. o. 475 οἱ μὲν ἀναβάντες ἐπέπλεον ὑγρὰ κελευθα, νὼ (Acc.) ἀναβησάμενοι, taking us up also to them into the ship.

9. We find also the Middle, in this manner, without any apparent reference whatever to the subject; and it is then consequently to be translated simply by the Infin. Act. with cause, let; e. g. Xen. Cyr. 1. 4. 18, where it is said that young Cyrus put on the arms à ὁ πάππος ἐπεποίητο ' which his grandfather had let be made.'

Note 3. Finally, in many examples, though perhaps only poetical, the reference to the subject has entirely disappeared; e. g. in ιδείν and ιδέσθαι. Such examples are indeed to be noted, like other peculiarities and anomalies of idiom; yet this mode of explanation must be adopted with caution, especially in prose writers, in whose style the use of the Middle, as of other grammatical forms, had by custom become more fixed. As therefore even we can distinguish between alpείν to take and alpείσθαι to choose, so doubtless some like distinction had place between ἀποφαίνειν and ἀποφαίνευν and παρέχεσθαι to present, θύειν and θύεσθαι, το πορείν and σκοπείσθαι, etc.

Note 4. Where, for the sake of emphasis or clearness, a remoter reference to the subject is expressed by means of a pronoun, as ἐμαυτοῦ, ἐμός, etc. the form of the Middle is still retained (where it can have place), although it is then not required. Thus Demosthenes says: Mid. p. 557 γέγραμμαι ἐμαυτῶ ταῦτα, I have written this down for myself. Mem. 2. 1. 22 τὴν δὲ γυναῖκα κατασκοπεῖσθαι θαμὰ ἐαυτῆν, ἐπισκοπεῖν δὲ καὶ εἶ τις ἄλλος αὐτὴν θεᾶται. Plut. Prot. p. 349 σαυτὸν ὑποκηρυξάμενος.

\$ 136. Distinction between the Passive and Middle Form.

- 1. The number of those Middle verbs, which may be taken as more or less Passive († 113. 4 and n. 5), might be still further increased, were it possible to draw a strict line of distinction between the Passive and Middle. For, manifestly, the tenses, as the grammar has distributed them under the Passive and Middle, belong, in respect to usage, only predominantly to the one or the other.
- 2. Least of all can the construction with an Acc. of the object decide as to what verbs are Passive and what Middle; since both forms admit this construction. E. g. in κατεπλάγη τὸν Φίλυππον he dreaded (terrified himself at) Philip, ἡσκήθην τὴν



τέχνην I trained myself in the art, we may indeed translate as Middle; but yet in Greek both may just as well be taken passively.

Note 1. In respect to this Acc. of object, it may be remarked in general, that all verbs Middle and Passive, which take such an Accus. of their own, may be regarded as receiving a new intransitive signification; and then their construction accords with that of intransitives in the Active form, which are so often construed with an Accusative, § 131. 1, 3. But when verbs, which in the Active are already construed with two objects, in the Passive or Middle retain one of these in the Accusative (§ 134. 6, 7. § 135. 4), this is to be explained by considering, that the idea of these verbs, independently of the (Passive or Middle) form, carries with it its real object (Acc. of thing), and as it were combines with the same into one idea; while the personal object, by rule, passes over into the Nominative. See also § 131. n. 7.

NOTE 2. In some verbs the Passive form has a special signification, e.g. Pass. στέλλεσθαι to travel, σταληναι; Mid. στέλλεσθαι to dress, also to send for, στείλασθαι.

- Note 3. When the Aor. Mid. is in use, the Aor. Pass. can also be used as Passive of a special signification of the Middle. Thus γραφείν written, from γράφειν; but also sued at law, from γράφειθαι, γράψασθαι, to sue at law. Also $\hat{\eta} \rho \in \theta \eta \nu$ Aor. Pass. both of alρείν to take and alρείνσθαι to choose, e. g. Mem. 3. 1. 3; indeed this verb even in the Present is both to choose and to be chosen, as Mem. 3. 2. 3 βασιλεύν αίρείται, and directly thereafter: στρατηγούν αίροῦνται.—Further, $\hat{\epsilon} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ belongs as well to $\chi \rho \acute{\alpha} \omega$ to give response, as to $\chi \rho \acute{\alpha} \rho \mu \omega$ to use, but in each in the Pass. signif. e. g. Hdot. 1. 49 τὰ ἐκ Δελφῶν οὖτω τῷ Κροίσφ ἐχρήσθη; 7. 144 al νῆες, ἐς τὸ μὲν ἐποιήθη σαν, οὖκ ἐχρήσθησαν.
- 3. That the Perf. and Plupf. Pass. are at the same time (like the Present Pass.) the real Perf. and Plupf. Middle, is placed beyond doubt by many examples. We have already adduced two, in the preceding section (§ 135), viz. ἐπεποίητο in no. 9, and γέγραμμαι in n. 4. Xen. Cyr. 7. 3. 14 ἀκινάκην πάλαι παρεσκευασμένη σφάττει ἐαυτήν 'having long before furnished herself with a sword, she stabbed herself.' 7. 2. 12 διαπέπραγμαι I have effected. Xen. Symp. 8. 25 μεμισθωμένος χῶρον having hired a piece of land, eto.

§ 137. OF THE TENSES.

The Greek language has two Tenses peculiar to itself, the Aorist and Fut. 3. The latter is treated of in § 138. In order to become acquainted with the Aorist, we must compare the other Preterites.

1. From the series of Preterites is to be separated the Perfect, which never narrates, but from its nature stands in connection with the Present. It differs from the Present only in this, viz. that the latter marks an action at present not completed and still going on; the former, an action at present completed and finished. It consequently presents that which has taken place, as al-

ready past, but in connection with the present time. E.g. 'I know it, for I have seen it,' i. e. am one who saw it.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 2. 2. 22 τοῦτό γε ψευδόμενος ἐάλωκα, different from οὐκ ὀρθῶς ἔλεξα.—Plat. Euthyd. p. 272 Εὐθύδημος καὶ Διονυσόδωρος πρὸ τοῦ μὲν ταῦτα δεινὰ ἢστην μόνον, νῦν δὲ τέλος ἐπιτεθείκατον τῆ τέχνη· ἡ γὰρ ἢν λοιπὴ αὐτοῦν μάχη ἀργός (a mode of combat with which they were not familiar), ταύτην νῦν ἐξείργασθον.—οῦτω δεινὰ γεγόνατον ἐν τοῖς λόγοις κτλ.—Dem. p. 845 οῦτος Μεγαράδ' ἐξώκηκε, κἀκεῖ μετοίκιον (protection-tax) τέθεικεν.

2. The narrative (historical) tenses are the Aorist, Imperfect, and Pluperfect. Of these, the Imperfect and Pluperfect in narrating presuppose a definite reference to another time; the Aorist on the contrary narrates without any such presupposition or reference to any other time. That is to say, a narrative carried on by means of the Aorist, transports us into the past, and so lets the events pass on before us one after another, without bringing out to view the relations of time existing among them, but leaving all these to the hearer or reader. On the other hand, so soon as the circumstances, under which the thing took place at the time, are to be mentioned; or, in other words, when it is to be expressed, that an event took place at the same time with another; this is done by the Imperfect. And when that which was then already past is to be referred to in the narrative, this is done by the Pluperfect.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plut. Mor. p. 969 Πύρρος δ βασιλεύς όδεύων ενέτυχε κυνί φρουροῦντι νεκρόν... καὶ ἐκέλευσε μεθ' ἐαυτοῦ κομίζειν· ὀλίγαις δὲ ὖστερον ημέραις ἐξέτασις ἢν καὶ παρῆν ὁ κύων· ἰδών δὲ τούς φονέας ἐξέδραμε κτλ.—Thuc. 2. 103 οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι ἄμα ἢρι κατ έπλευσαν ἐς τὰς 'Αθηνας καὶ ὁ χειμών ἐτελεύτα οὖτος.—3. 34 ἐάλω δὲ μάλιστα ἡ πόλις, ὅτε ἡ δευτέρα Πελοπονησίων ἐσβολὴ ἐγίγνετο.—36 καταστάσης τῆς ἐκκλησίας ἄλλαι τε γνώμαι ἐλέγοντο, καὶ Κλέων, ὅσπερ καὶ τὴν προτέραν (γνώμην) ἐνενικήκει, ἔλεγε τοιάδε.

3. When however this reference or relation of time is sufficiently clear from the context, the Aorist can be employed instead of the *Perfect*, and in narrative instead of the *Pluperfect*; see note 1. Especially in every discourse where the past is much mentioned, and so mentioned that the mind always remains in the present, the Aorist is often employed in Greek; contrary to the usage of our language, where the Perfect alone would in such a case be used. It is indeed, for the most part, only when the speaker wishes particularly to express the exact relation of time, that the Perfect, and in narration the Pluperfect, are employed; where nevertheless a regard to euphony has always great influence on the choice.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 1. 6. 14 τοὺς θησαυροὺς τῶν πάλαι σοφῶν, οὐς ἐκεῖνοι κατέλιπον (have left behind) ἐν βιβλίοις γράψαντες, διέρχομαι.—Thuc. 4. 17 (beginning of the address, comp. 1. 73) ἔπεμψαν ἡμᾶς Λακεδαιμόνιοι, περὶ τῶν ἐν τῆ νήσφ ἀνδρῶν πράξοντας, ὅ,τι ἀν πείδωμεν, Subjunctive, see § 139. m. 9.—7. 77 εἴ τῷ θεῶν ἐπίφθονοι ἐστρατεύσαμεν, ἀποχρώντως ἦδη τετιμωρήμεθα.—4. 92 ἡμεῖς νικήσαντες πολλὴν ἄδειαν τῆ Βοιωτία μέχρι τοῦδεκατεστήσαιμεν.—In like manner of course in the poets; e. g. Od. a. 170

τίς, πόθεν είς ανδρών, όπποίης δ' έπι νηὸς αφίκεο.—182 νῦν δ' διδε ξύν νηὶ κατή λυθον, etc.

- Note 1. The less pliant form of the Pluperfect was avoided in prose, as well as in poetry. It may indeed be noted, that in the dependent clauses of narrative with a conjunction of time (ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὡς, etc.), where consequently the relation of time is sufficiently apparent from the context, the place of our Pluperfect is very commonly supplied by the Aorist; and, where duration or repetition is to be expressed, even by the Imperfect; comp. Krüger ad Anab. 1. 1. 6. E. g. Thuc. 7. 1 Γύλιππος καὶ Πυθήν, ἐπεὶ έπεσκεύ ασαν τὰς ναῦς, παρέπλευσαν ἐς Λοκρούς. Cyr. 6. 2. 21 ἐπεὶ δὲ ταῦτα είπεν ὁ Κῦρος, ἀνέστη Χρυσάντας κτλ. So too in relative clauses. Less frequent is it in clauses not dependent; e. g. Cyr. 5. 1. 1 δ ἀνὴρ αὐτῆς πρεσβεύων ῷχετο· ἔπεμψε δὲ αὐτὸν δ ᾿Ασσύριος περὶ συμμαχίας.—Only in Homer and Herodotus are found many Pluperfects. Homer indeed uses the Pluperfect of a certain number of verbs in a wholly aorist sense; e. g. Il. c. 696 τὸν δ' ἔλιπε ψυχή, κατὰ δ' ὀφθαλμῶν κέχυτ' ἀχλύς, and so ἐβεβήκει, βεβλήκει, (see βαίνω, βάλλω, § 114,) δειδέχατο, έλέλικτο, ὀρωρέχατο, πεπόνητο, ελήλατο. Herodotus also, whose style of narrative approaches nearest to that of the epic poets, inasmuch as he prefers (like them) to make his clauses coordinate rather than subordinate, employs therefore the Pluperfect more frequently than does the Attic prose; e. g. 1. 84 αὐτός τε ἀναβεβήκεε καὶ κατ' αὐτὸν άλλοι Περσέων ἀνέβαινον οὖτω δή Σάρδιες ήλώκε σαν καὶ πᾶν τὸ ἄστυ ἐπορθέετο. Comp. 8. 38, 114, and often.
- 4. With the idea of sameness of time there very naturally connects itself also that of duration. By this is not meant, that what takes place at the same time must necessarily and always be something having duration; but only, that what thus takes place, and because it takes place along with and during the occurrence of something else, may be considered as having some duration; even though the action requires but the interval of a moment. E. g. 'all slept; then arose a cry;' or, 'I opened my mouth to call him, as he came in.'—Hence there arises a second usage, by virtue of which, without any reference at all to the relation of time, the Imperfect is connected with the idea of duration; and the Aorist, on the contrary, with the idea of something momentary.—Thus it also comes, that the Imperfect is always used, when any thing in the past is to be represented as customary, or as having often occurred; differing however from the Aorist, see note 5.

Examples: Xen. An. 5. 4. 24 τοὺς μὲν οὖν πελταστὰς ἐδέξαντο οἱ βάρβαροι (received them, momentary) καὶ ἐμάχοντο (and fought with them, continued); ἐπεὶ δ' ἐγγὺς ἦσαν οἱ ὁπλῖται (as they approached, natural Imperfect) ἐτράσοντο (they turned to flight, momentary); καὶ οἱ πελτασταὶ εὐθὺς εἴποντο (pursued them, continued). In the example from Plut. in no. 2 above, it is further said: ὁ κύων ἐξέδραμε, καὶ καθυλάκτει αὐτούς, and we must understand a continued barking; had it been καθυλάκτησε, it would have meant but a single bark.—Μίλων ὁ Κροτωνιάτης ἢ σ θιε (was wont to eat) μνᾶς κρεῶν εἴκοσι. Æl. V. H. 1. 25 ᾿λλέξανδρος Φωκίωνι μόνφ τῷ στρατηγῷ γράφων προσετίθει τὸ χαίρειν.

5. Although the distinction between the continued and the momentary exists just as well in the Present and the Future, yet the language has no double form to mark this in the Indicative.

But in the dependent moods, the Subjunctive, Optative, Imperative, and Infinitive, the Greek language can always distinguish That is to say, the moods of the Perfect and between the two. Future have the same relation of time as their Indicatives; while, on the other hand, the moods of the Present and Aorist mark no time whatever, like the Present Infin. in English.* In these latter, consequently, there arises a double form without difference in respect to time, e. g. τύπτειν or τύψαι, φιλής or φιλήσης, etc. and of this the Greek language avails itself in such a way, that it employs chiefly the moods of the Present to mark a continued action, and the moods of the Aorist to mark a momentary one. Here however it must be noted, that this difference in many respects depends entirely on the view of the speaker or writer; and that therefore, in numberless instances, it is really indifferent, whether λέγειν or λέξαι, λέγε or λέξον, is written; since the reality of the distinction in general would not be thus at all affected.

Examples: Dem. Phil. 1. p. 44 πρώτον μèν τριήρεις πεντήκοντα παρασκενάσασθαί φημι δείν, εἶτ ἀντοὺς οὕτω τὰς γνώμας ἔχειν—, i. e. he wishes to have the galleys fitted out immediately, but the disposition of mind which he recommends by γνώμας ἔχειν should have duration. And when he says further, p. 45, ἵν ἢ διὰ τὸν φόβον... ἡσυχίαν ἔχη, ἢ παριδών τοῦτο ἀφύλακτος ληφθῆ, here ἔχη expresses duration, and ληφθῆ is momentary.—So with the Imperative, ib. p. 44. init. ἐπειδὰν ἄπαντα ἀκούσητε, κρίνατε, καὶ μὴ πρότερον προλαμβάνετε. Here κρίνατε is momentary, but the formation of a preconceived opinion is in itself something gradual, and the orator had marked for it no particular moment in his own mind.

Note 2. An action of longer duration can also in the dependent moods be expressed by the Aorist, when at the same time the final completion of it is not only before the mind, but is regarded as the end and purpose of the action. E. g. Plat. Crit. 15 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa a \beta o i \lambda \epsilon i \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, iva aitois $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \theta \rho \hat{\epsilon} - \psi \eta s \kappa a \pi \alpha i \delta \epsilon i \sigma g s$. Plat. Alc. 1. p. 111 oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$ oix ikavoi $\hat{\epsilon} i \sigma \iota \tau o i \tau o \delta \iota \delta a \xi a \iota$.

6. Participles have the same relation of time as their Indicatives. The Participle of the Aorist especially has always the express signification of the past and of something completed, not only when it stands as a noun or adjective, but particularly in the strictly participal constructions. The Participle of the Present, on the other hand, expresses either a present action, still going on and incomplete (the true Present); or, in a narrative of past events, an action taking place at the same time (the Imperfect).

EXAMPLES: Thue. 6.75 οἱ Συρακόσιοι, τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους εἰδότες ἐν τῆ Νάξω χειμάζοντας, ἐστράτευσαν πανδημεὶ ἐπὶ τὴν Κατάνην, καὶ τὸ στρατόπεδον ἐμπρήσαντες ἀνεχώρησαν ἐπ᾽ οἴκου.

^{*} Yet these moods of the Aorist have sometimes a past signification; as the Subjunct. Arist. Ran. 1416 τ dy eteron labor la

Note 3. In analogy with what is said in no. 3 above, the Part. of the Aorist sometimes passes over entirely into the sense of the Part. Perf. E. g. ἀποβαλών having lost and now not possessing; μαθών, having learned knowing; Θανών, τελευτήσας, having died, dead; οί πεσόντες the fallen, the dead. So too Demosthenes says, Mid. p. 576, 'Of a discourse full of mer ited reproaches, the true author is ὁ παρεσχηκώς τὰ ἔργα... οὐχ ὁ ἐσκεμμένου οὐδ' ὁ μεριμνήσας τὰ δίκαια λέγειν, i. e. 'he who has afforded the facts not he who with consideration and care has prepared himself to speak that which is right.' Consequently μεριμνήσας is entirely parallel with the two Participles Perf. and is manifestly used in order to avoid the less agreeable μεμεριμηγκώς.

NOTE 4. We have hitherto spoken chiefly of the Attic dialect. In Homer the Aorist, like many other forms, appears only in the beginning of its existence, i. e. the other tenses had not yet become so definitely separated from it. The Imperfect especially cannot yet in him be distinguished often from the Aorist. We leave the examples to the learner's own observation.*
—In Herodotus also the Imperfect, especially in continued narration, is often employed as Aorist, at least according to our notions, i. e. it is used to narrate actions or transient events, the occurrence of which as simultaneous with other events does not necessarily appear from the context. E. g. 3. 28 ἐκάλεε, ἐκέλευε, and very often ἡρώτα, ἀμείβετο, etc. 1. 21, 35, 36, etc. See marg. note.

Note 5. Whenever anything customary, or of ordinary occurrence in the world, is mentioned elsewhere than in narration, instead of the Present by which this is expressed in other languages and usually in Greek, we often find by a special Grecism the Aorist, which is then in the fullest sense indefinite. E. g. Dem. Ol. p. 20 μικρὸν πταῖσμα ἀνεχαίτισε καὶ διέλυσε πάντα 'a slight mistake often disturbs and destroys all again.' Id. Mid. 21 οὐ γὰρ ἡ πληγὴ παρέστησε (does not cause) τὴν ὀργήν, ἀλλ ἡ ἀτιμία· οὐδὲ τὸ τύπτεσθαι ... ἐστὶ δεινόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἐφ' ὕβρει, where the ἐστί shews how the preceding παρέστησε is to be understood. Il. a. 218 ὅς κε θεοῖς ἐπιπείθηται, μάλα τ' ἔκλυον αὐτοῦ, where ἔκλυον is Aorist, see in § 114. Isoer. Paneg. p. 50 al μὲν ᾶλλαι πανηγύρεις διὰ πολλοῦ χρόνου συλλεγείσαι ταχέως διελ ύθησαν, ἡ δὲ ἡμετέρα πόλις ἄπαντα τὸν αἰῶνα πανήγυρίς ἐστιν. Hence so often in the Homeric comparisons; e. g. Il. δ. 275 ὡς δ' ὅτ' ἀπὸ φκοπιῆς εἶδεν νέφος αἰπόλος ἀνήρ, ῥίγησέν τε ἰδών κτλ. γ. 33. etc.

Note 6. Another case, where the Aorist seems to stand instead of the Present, is the *Indic. Aorist* after the interrog. τί οὐ; Ε. g. τί οὐκ ἐποιήσαμεν; 'why have we not done it?' i. e. let us do it; τί οὐκ ἔφρασας, i. e.

^{*} We adduce here some passages, in which the Imperfect stands in the same connection with the Aorist, where however there is no such difference visible in the action as to imply any difference in the two tenses; 1l. a. 437, 438, 465. β . 43-45. Here it would be absurd to assume, that the disembarking of the mariners, the cutting up of the flesh, the throwing on of the large cloak, must have been present to the mind of the poet as actions implying duration and requiring time; while the leading out of the (many) victims, the fixing upon the spits of the (many) pieces of flesh, the girding on of the sword, appeared to the same mind as momentary. Still more decisive is Active, B. 107, comp. 106. On the other hand it will be of great use in reading Homer to observe, how the far greater number of the Imperfects mark a necessarily and manifestly continued, repeated, or simultaneous action; and also serve, in the description of important events, to let the mind of the hearer dwell longer upon each single occurrence. In the simple narrative style of Homer, moving along in short and loosely connected clauses, the representation gains by these interspersed Imperfects certain resting-points; while, if all were Aorists, the single events would be hurried over as it were in flight before the mind of the hearer. Further, it will not be easy to find in Homer the converse of this exchange, viz. the Aorist to express what is necessarily simultaneous or repeated.—Similar remarks may be connected with the reading of Herodotus.

'tell me now.' The Present is also sometimes found; Mem. 3. 1. 10 τί οὖν οὖ σκοποῦμεν; Hell. 4. 1. 11 τί οὖν οὖ πυνθάνη. See Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 5.—A similar and with Attic writers favourite periphrase for the Imperative, is the negative interrog. Put. Indic. e. g. οὐ παραμενεῖς; dost thou not wait, for Imper. wait, Plat. Hence also in a negative admonition μή is added by § 148. 3; Arist. Ran. 202 οὐ μη φλυαρήσεις; don't talk nonsense. Plat. Symp. p. 175 οὐκοῦν καλεῖς αὐτὸν καὶ μη ἀφήσεις; Comp. Soph. Aj. 75 οὐ σῖγ ἀνέξει, μηδὲ δειλίαν ἀρεῖς;

Note 7. The Greeks further had a great freedom in the choice of tenses from this circumstance, that in a narration, where the real time was obvious from the context, they could everywhere introduce the Present. This they did not merely, as we also sometimes do our historical Present, for the sake of animated discourse throughout whole paragraphs; but even in the midst of a sentence or construction. E. g. Xen. An. 1, 7, 16, where it is related, that the army of Cyrus came to a trench; then follows immediately ταύτην δέ την τάφρον βασιλεύς μέγας ποιεί άντι έρύματος, έπειδή πυνθάν εται Κύρον προσελαύνοντα. Every other language must here in both places have employed the Pluperfect .- Similar is the case with the Indicative in parenthetic clauses in sermone obliquo; see § 139. m. 69.

Note 8. There are some verbs, which in the Present seem also to include the sense of the Perfect. Thus especially ήκω I have come, I am here e. g. Plat. Crit. 1 ἄρτι ῆκεις ἡ πάλαι. Also οἴχομαι lit. I go away, comm. I am gone; whence too the Impf. acquires the appearance of the Plupf. see Ausf. Sprachl. § 114. Very commonly also verbs signifying to hear and learn (as ἀκούω, πυνθάνομαι, μανθάνω, αἰσθάνομαι) are put in the Present, where we use the Perfect; e.g. An. 4.6.17 των ἡγεμόνων πυνθάνομαι, ὅτι οὐκ ἄβα-τόν ἐστι τὸ ὅρος. Dem. Phil. 1. p. 46 συμπλεῖν κελεύω, ὅτι καὶ πρότερόν ποτ΄ άκούω ξενικόν τρέφειν έν Κορίνθω την πόλιν. Cyr. 5. 4. 11 το μέν έπ' έμοί, οίχομαι (perii), τὸ δ' ἐπὶ σοί, σέσωσμαι, i. e. thou alone hast saved me. Further, τίκτειν, τεκνοῦν, γεννᾶν τινα, besides the meaning to bear, to beget, signify also to be the father, mother, of any one; and hence the Present is often to be taken wholly as a Perfect; e. g. πολλοῦ σε θνητοῖς ἄξιον τίκτει πατήρ. Anthol, 4.83 νάσος έμα θρέπτειρα Τύρος · πάτρα δέ με τεκνοί 'Ατθίς.

Note 9. Special peculiarities of the Greek idiom are, further, the following: a) The phrase ην ἄρα, especially in dialogue, for our Present; e.g. Soph. Phil. 978 οίμοι, ἀπόλωλ' · ὅδ' ἢν ἄρα ὁ ξυλλαβών με κἀπονοσφίσας οπλων, i. e. 'it was he and is still, though I marked it not.' See other examples in Heind. on Plat. Phæd. 35. b) Further, and likewise in dialogue. certain Aorists of the first person, as $\eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma a$, instead of the Present, in order to express decided emotion or disposition of mind in any action ; e.g. Soph. Aj. 536 ἐπήνεσ' ἔργον καὶ πρόνοιαν ην ἔθου. See Herm. ad Viger. note 162. Buttm. ad Soph. Phil. 1289, 1314. Comp. the epic ἔπλετο under πέλω § 114.

Since the Present and Imperfect always imply duration, and consequently not completion, there arises the usage, that several verbs, whose action can strictly be expressed as complete only by the accession of another verb, (e. g. to give completed by to receive, to send away by to go away,) are used in these tenses merely to express this partial (incomplete) action, or as it is called, de conatu, i. e. an endeavour or purpose, though these expressions are not exact. Ε. g. Hdot. 7. 221 Λεωνίδης φανερός έστι τον μάντιν άποπέμπων, ΐνα μὴ συναπόληταί σφι · ὁ δὲ ἀποπεμπόμενος αὐτὸς μὲν οὐκ ἀπέλιπε (did not leave, did not go away), τὸν δὲ παίδα . . . ἀπέπεμψε. Id. 3. 81 τὰ μὲν 'Οτάνης εἶπε, τυραννίδα παύων, λελέχθω κάμοὶ ταῦτα. Eur. Iph. T. 350 ώστε μόσχον Δαναίδαι χειρούμενοί μ' έσφαζον. So too δίδωσι, εδίδου, is often to be translated only by to offer; πείθει is strictly only suadet, not persuadet; also κτείνει, φονεύει, εκβάλλει, espec. in the tragic writers. See examples in the Index ad Demosth. Mid. art. Præsens.

Note 11. As a periphrase for the Future, we find μέλλειν with the Infinitive; yet with this difference, that the Fut. form places the action in the future indefinitely, while the periphrase at once marks the point of time from or after which the action is regarded as future. Thus ποιήσω simply I will do; μέλλω ποιεῖν I am (now) about to do; ἔμελλον ποιεῖν I was (then) about to do.—This verb marks elsewhere also the idea of intention, might. should, could; as å ἤμελλον πάσχειν, 'what I should suffer,' what it was intended I should suffer. Cyr. 4. 3. 3 στὰς ὅπου ἔμελλεν ἀν ἐξακούεσθαι τὰ λεγόμενα εἶπεν ὁ Κῦρος.—The difference between the Present and Aorist of the subjoined Infinitive lies here too in the nature of the action, as continued or momentary; but very commonly, by a pleonastic idiom (§ 140. 1. c), the Infin. Fut. is employed; e. g. Cyr. 1. 6. 17 δεῖ στρατιάν, εἰ μελλεν πράξειν τὰ δέοντα, μηδέποτε παύεσθαι τοῖς πολεμίοις κακὰ πορσύνουσαν. Plat. Phædr. p. 260 τῷ μέλλοντι ῥήτορι ἔσεσθαι τὰ δίκαια μανθάνειν ἀνάγκη. Hence, spec. τὸ μέλλον ἔσεσθαι, that about to be, the future.

Note 12. The Perfect has also a Subjunctive and Optative, and the Future an Optative, which are actually used, where the peculiar relations of these moods coincide with the time marked by these tenses; e. g. είθε ὁ νίδι νενικήκοι Ο that my son might have conquered! Hdot. 3.75 ἔλεγε όσα ἀγαθὰ Κῦρος Πέρσας πεποιήκοι. Aristoph. Eq. 1148 ἀναγκάζω αὐτούς πάλιν ἐξεμεῖν, ἄττ τον κεκλόφωσί μοι. Plat. Rep. p. 337 ταῦτα προύλεγον, ὅτι συ ἀποκρίνεσθαι μεν οὐκ ἐθελήσοις, εἰρωνεύσοιο δὲ καὶ πάντα μᾶλλον ποιήσοις ἡ ἀποκρινοῖο, εἴ τίς τί σε ἐρωτᾶ. But since, in such cases, the moods of the Present and Aorist are generally sufficient with the help of the context; and since also the Indio. in sermone obliquo is very common (comp. § 139. m. 69); the forms in question are employed only when they specially promote clearness. But even then, instead of the proper Subj. and Opt. of the Perfect, writers prefer to use in most verbs the periphrastic form; e. g. πεφιληκώς & and εῖην.

Note 13. The Imperative of the Perfect, in its principal form, i. e. the second person, occurs for the most part only in verbs whose Perfect has the signification of the Present; as $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \chi \theta \iota$, $\kappa \epsilon \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. But the third person, chiefly in the Perf. Passive, has a conclusive sense, 'let it be done;' and often affords an energetic mode of expression; e. g. $\nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ dè $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau o$ $\tau \epsilon \tau o \lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \dot{\nu}$, let it be ventured. Arist. Vesp. 1129 $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \omega$ let it be tried, i. e. try it then.

§ 138. Third Future.

1. The Future 3, both in form and signification, is strictly compounded from the Perfect and Future. It transposes an action, viewed as completed, into the future; or anticipates a future action as completed. And when the Perfect denotes a state or condition continued in the present, as eyyéypauuu I am inscribed, I stand on the list, this also remains in the Future 3.

Examples: Plat. Rep. p. 506. a, ή πολιτεία τελέως κεκοσμήσεται, έλν ό τοιοῦτος αὐτὴν ἐπισκοπῆ φύλαξ, 'the commonwealth will be in a state of perfect order,' not will come into such a state; adornata erit, not adornabitur. Aristoph. Nub. 1436 μάτην έμοι κεκλαύσεται 'in vain I shall have wept.' Id. Eq. 1371 οὐδείς κατά σπουδάς μετεγγραφήσεται, ἀλλ', δισπερ ἢν τὰ πρῶτον, ἐγγεγράψεται, 'no one will be otherwise enrolled through favour; but as he was at first (inscribed), so will he remain inscribed.'

2. Hence this is the appropriate Future of such Perfects as take a special signification, which may be regarded as a new Present. E. g. λέλειπται it remains over and above, λελείψεται it will re-

main, but λειφθήσεται it will be left behind;—κέκτημαι possess.

μέμνημαι call to mind, κεκτήσομαι, μεμνήσομαι.

3. In many verbs in the Passive, the Attics employ the Fut. 3 as a simple Future Passive. Besides the verbs δέω and πιπράσκω (see in § 114) this is chiefly the case with πεπαύσομαι, κεκόψομαι. In other verbs, this Future is used by the Attics alternately with the ordinary Fut. Pass. and in the same signification; e. g. βεβλήσομαι, λελέξομαι, and others.

Note. In some verbs the Fut. 3 has a particular emphasis; and implies either: 1) it shall, I will; e. g. Soph. Aj. 1141, where to the words of Menelaus, $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ σοι φράσω τόνδ' $\hat{\epsilon}$ στὶν οὐχὶ $9a\pi\tau\hat{\epsilon}ο\nu$ — it is answered, σὺ δ' ἀντακούσει τοῦτον ώς τεθάψεται (comp. § 151. I. 6), where the common ταφήσεται would be far less forcible; or: 2) immediate action, haste, e. g. Φράζε, και πεπράξεται, speak, and it will have been done, i. e. immediately. Arist. Plut. 1027, comp. 1200. From such passages the old name of this tense would seem to have been derived, viz. Paulo-post Future.

4. The Active has no separate form for the Lat. Fut. exactum: and employs therefore for the Indic. Opt. and Infin. in this sense, the natural periphrasis; thus πεφιληκώς ἔσομαι, ἐσοίμην, ἔσεσθαι, I shall have loved, etc. In clauses which require the Subjunctive, this sense is expressed by the Subj. Aorist with $a\nu$, § 139. m. 16.—Those verbs which cannot form a Fut. 3 (§ 99), naturally take in the Passive the same periphrasis.

Examples: Dem. Ol. p. 30 θεάσασθε ον τρόπον ύμεις εστρατηγηκότες πάντα ἔσεσθε ὑπὲρ Φιλίππου, comp. p. 13. Xen. Hell. 7. 5. 24 Ἐπιμανών-δας ἐνόμιζεν ὅλον τὸ ἀντίπαλον νενινηκὼς ἔσεσθαι. 18 ἐνθυμούμενος ὅτι λελυμασμένος έσοιτο τη έαυτοῦ δόξη. Dem. p. 1452 τὰ δεδογμένα νῦν ύμεις έσεσθ' ήρημένοι.

§ 139. The Moods.

1. The *Indicative* as the mood of certainty in matters of fact, 1 and the Imperative as the mood of command, accord in general with the usage of other languages. But in conditional or dependent relations, expressed in Latin by the Subjunctive, the Greeks employ the two moods, Subjunctive and Optative. Their essential difference is this, viz. that the Subjunctive marks a specific relation or manner of expression, as to which experience must decide how far it is valid or not; while the Optative implies, that the expression is to be taken merely as a supposition, as something conceived in the mind and subjective, without at all considering whether experience will confirm it or not.

Note 1. Although according to their nature the Subjunctive and Optative would stand only in minor or dependent clauses, yet there are particular cases, where they are also used in simple clauses. We treat first of these, before taking up the use of these moods in dependent clauses.

I. The Subjunctive in Simple Clauses.

1) It expresses doubt and deliberation (Conjunct. dubitativus v. deliberativus); but very seldom except in the first person. Such clauses are to be regarded as in their nature dependent; since βούλει, θέλεις, οὐκ οἶδα, or the like, either stand with them or are to be supplied; e. g. $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\beta \delta \hat{\nu} \lambda \epsilon \iota \tilde{a} \rho \xi \omega$ $\mu a \iota$; 'whence wilt thou that I begin?' $\beta \delta \hat{\nu} \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$; Anacr. $\tau \iota \sigma \sigma \iota \sigma \delta \hat{\nu} \kappa \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \omega$; Subj. Aor. Or also without such words: $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \pi \omega \sigma \delta \nu \sigma \sigma \iota \tau \delta \tilde{\sigma} \delta \iota \tau \sigma \kappa \sigma \delta \tilde{\nu} \kappa \sigma \delta \tilde{\nu} \sigma \omega \tilde{\sigma} \delta \tilde{\sigma} \delta \iota \tau \sigma \delta \tilde{\nu} \sigma \omega \tilde{\sigma} \delta \tilde{\nu} \delta$

2) It serves for incitement or exhortation (Conjunct. adhortativus); but only in the first person, and especially in the Plural; e. g. τωμεν let us go, τδωμεν, συμβουλεύωμεν, etc. In the Sing. it commonly stands in connection with an Imperative (άγε, φέρε); e. g. φέρ το Ευτίρ. φέρε δη τὰς μαρτυρίας ἀναγνῶ Demosth. comp. Il. ζ. 340. In this way, though very seldom, even the second person occurs: Soph. Phil. 300 φέρ, δ τέκνον, νῦν καὶ τὸ

της νήσου μάθης.

3) For the Imperative, in the second and third persons, but only in negative entreaty or admonition with μή, μηδέ, etc. Here too, it may be noted, only the Subj. Aorist is used, § 148.3. Ε. g. μὴ τρέσης tremble not. Soph. Ant. 84 ἀλλ οὖν προμηνύσης γε τοὖτο μηδενί. Dem. Phil. p. 114 καὶ

μηδείς είπη, τί τούτων μέλει τη πόλει.

4) In epic writers the Aorists of the Subj. often stand for the Future, which indeed has in general a close affinity with the Subjunctive,* inasmuch as that which is to take place, must in any case be left to experience. In translating such passages, therefore, it is better not to use the Future directly. E. g. II. a. 262 οὐ γάρ πω τοίους ἔδον ἀνέρας οὐδὲ ἴδωμαι, nor can (shall) I ever see them. ζ. 459 καί ποτέ τις εἴπησι. Also along with the Future, Od. μ. 383 δύσομαι εἰς ᾿Αίδαο καὶ ἐν νεκύεσσι φαείνω. π. 437 οὐκ ἔσθ' οὖτος ἀνήρ, οὐδ' ἔσσεται, οὐδὲ γένηται.

- 5) In later writers also, and especially the Attics, there is a Subjunctive. which in a certain degree stands for the Future, viz. the Subj. after οὐ μή, espec. in the 3 pers. Sing. and Plural; by which the occurrence of some fact is denied by the speaker. This construction most commonly is made to depend on some omitted verb of care or solicitude; but it is better to regard such phrases, as also the very similar case of the Opt. with our av, as independent hypothetical modes of expression; and explain them from the nature of the Subjunctive alone, without recourse to such aids, which do not always meet the case. E. g. in Soph. Phil. 102, Neoptolemus asks, why he should use stratagem against Philoctetes, and Ulysses replies: où μή πίθηται · πρὸς βίαν οὐκ αν λάβοις. Id. El. 1035 αλλ' οὔποτ' έξ έμοῦγε μή μάθης τόδε, but never from me shalt thou learn this. Xen. Hi. 11. 15 εαν τούς φίλους κρατής εὖ ποιῶν, οὖ μή σοι δύνωνται ἀντέχειν οἱ πολέμιοι. Comp. Dem. Phil. 3. p. 130. etc.—Instead of the Subj. we sometimes find after these particles the Fut. Indic. in the same sense, but more emphatic; e. g. Eurip. Med. 1149 οὐ μὴ δυσμενής έσει φίλοις, παύσει δὲ θύμου καὶ πάλιν στρέψεις κάρα, i. e. be not thus disinclined towards thy friends, etc. Comp. further § 148. n. 5, and Dawes's Canon in marg. note to m. 46, below.
 - II. The OPTATIVE in Simple Clauses.
- 1) Without αν, as expressing a wish, desire; so Plat. Phædr. extr. & θεοί, δοίητέ μοι καλώ γενέσθαι τανδοθεν πλούσιον δὲ νομίζοιμι τὸν σοφόν κτλ. In this sense it is often accompanied by a particle of wishing, as εἰ (αἰ), εἴθε, εἰ γάρ, ὡς utinam, also οὖτως so (§ 149. m. 1); e. g. Xen. Hell. 4. 1. 38 εἴθ', & λώστε, φίλος ἡμῖν γένοιο. This Opt. also softens the tone of command, and thus stands for the Imperative; e. g. Hom. Od. ξ. 407 τάχιστά μοι ἔνδον ἐταῖροι εἶεν. It stands then also as the expression of one's will, commonly

^{*} This affinity is indicated in the language itself; since the forms of the Future and of the Subj. Aor. 1 Act. (Mid.) are in most verbs very much alike.

in the first person, and similar to the Subjunctive; only that the Opt. does not like the latter directly incite to action. Od. π. 383 άλλα φθέωμεν ελόντες (sc. Telemachus) . . . βίστον δ' αὐτοὶ καὶ κτήματ' έχωμεν, δασσάμενοι . . . οἰκία δ' αὖτε κείνου μητέρι δοῦμεν ἔχειν, ἢδ' ὅστις ὁπυίοι, comp. Il. υ. 119. Comp. also marg. note to m. 14 below.

2) Without a, as a continuation of an indirect clause; see m. 70 below.

3) With av, see m. 15 below.

NOTE 2. Inasmuch as the Opt. Aorist implies no definite relation of time 8 (§ 137. 5), strict analogy would require, whenever the wish is to be expressed as in the past, (e. g. O that I had done this!) that the Opt. of the Perfect should be employed. But as this form was avoided, and was indeed unusual, its place was supplied by the Indic. of a Preterite with a particle of wishing; e. g. Mem. 1. 2. 46 είθε σοι τότο συνεγενόμην, ότε δεινότατος σαντοῦ ἦσθα. Eur. Suppl. 824 είθε με Καθμείων ἔναρον στίχες ἐνκονίαισιν, comp. Andr. 1185. That is to say, inasmuch as the wish can no longer be realized, this mood (by m. 12 and 13 below) is fully adapted to this matter of fact. Hence, also, when a wish of the like kind-refers to the present, the *Indic. Imperf.* is employed with είθα etc. e.g. Eur. Herael. 733 είθ' ἡ σθα δυνατὸς δρᾶν, ὅσον πρόθυμος εί. El. 1061 είθ' είχες, ὁ τεκοῦσα, βελτίους φρένας, utinam haberes, not utinam habeas.—With this we may connect the usage, mostly poetical, of introducing such clauses of wishing by αφέλον (commonly with a particle of wishing) and the Infin. Pres. or Aor. depending on it; e. g. II. φ. 729 ως μ' δφέλ' Έκτωρ κτείναι. Plat. Crit. p. 44 εί γὰρ ἄφελον οιοίτε είναι οι πολλοί, see the rest of the example in m. 51. Comp. also m. 13.

- 2. The Subjunctive and Optative have their proper place in 9 subordinate or dependent clauses. The remark, that the Subjunctive connects itself for the most part with the primary tenses, and the Optative with the historical tenses, has its foundation in the nature of these moods; see Text 1. Thus I say: οὐκ οίδα ὅποι τράπωμαι, non habeo quo me vertam, because I take it for granted, that experience (the future) will decide in what direction I turn myself. Hence too the Subjunctive follows in like manner the Perfect (which from its nature is connected with the present) and the Future; as also the Aorist when it stands for the Perfect; see 137.3, and the examples from Thucydides. In narration, on the other hand, the mind transfers itself into the past, and consequently into the mental position of the subject as acting or speaking, without at all considering whether experience has since decided or will hereafter decide; and then the thought appears as a supposition, as something subjective, and therefore requiring the Optative; e.g. οὐκ ἤδειν ὅποι τραποίμην, non habebam quo me verterem; ὁ δὲ ἔλεγεν, ὅτι ἔλθοιεν οἱ πρέσβεις.-That however the converse of this can just as we'll have place, we shall see further on.
- 3. With the use of the moods the particle $\ddot{a}\nu$ stands in the 10 closest connection; and by it this part of Syntax is rendered in no small degree intricate and difficult. Its essential power is, to modify the specific relation expressed by the moods; and from this again has arisen a second usage, by which it is also joined with other words. It indicates, namely, that what is affirmed,



is to be viewed as dependent on certain conditions; which conditions, however, in most cases, it does not specify, but only causes them to be felt. Hence, in every instance, $\tilde{a}\nu$ strictly contains in itself a whole conditional clause.—We include here also the epic usage of $\kappa \acute{e}$, $\kappa \acute{e}\nu$, which, although more free, is for various reasons best comprised under that of $\tilde{a}\nu$.—The following are the special usages:

- 1) "Aν with the Indic. Present and Perfect, is in itself an impossible connection; since it would make the certainty so affirmed again dependent on a condition, and consequently uncertain. When therefore such a connection seems to occur, the αν belongs not to the Indicative, but to some other word; e.g. in οἶμαι αν, οὐκ αν οἶδ ὅτι—, the αν belongs to the dependent clause; see examples in m. 19 below.—On the other hand, αν can stand with the Indic. Future; because it there serves to soften the affirmation in respect to things still future, like the Subj. Aorist instead of the Future, see m. 5 and 6 above; e.g. Od. γ. 80 εἴρεαι, ὁππόθεν εἰμέν · ἐγὰ δέ κέ τοι καταλέξω. Il. α. 174 παρ ἔμοιγε καὶ ἄλλοι, οἵ κέ με τιμήσουσι. This is rare in prose writers: Xen. Cyr. 7. 5. 21 πολύ αν ἔτι μάλλον ἡ νῦν ἀχρεῖοι ἔσονται ὑπὸ τοῦ ἐκπεπλῆχθαι.
- 2 2) With the Indic. of the Historical Tenses av has the following significations.
 - a) The repetition of an action, or something customary, so far as this repetition is supposed to be dependent on certain conditions, the existence of which however is only suggested by αν; e.g. ἐποίει αν he was wont to do, sc. when circumstances permitted, as often as he pleased, etc. This usage is very common in all writers. Ε.g. Anab. 1.9. 19 Κυρος, εἴ τινα ὁρφη δεινόν ὅντα οἰκονόμον, οὐδένα ἀν πώποτε ἀφείλετο [τὴν χώραν], ἀλλὶ ἀεὶ πλείω προσεδίδου, comp. 1. 5. 2.—Hdot. 3. 119 ἡ δὲ γυνὴ φοιτέουσα ἐπὶ τὰς θύρας τοῦ βασιλέως, κλαίεσκε ἀν καὶ ἀδύρετο.—Soph. Phil. 290 sq. the sufferings of Philocetetes: πρὸς δὲ τοῦθὶ, ὅ μοι βάλοι ἄτρακτος, αὐτὸς ἀν τάλας εἰλυόμην δύστηνος · . . εἴ τ ἔδει τι καὶ ποτὸν λαβεῖν καὶ ξύλον τι θραῦσαι, ταῦτὶ ἀν ἐξέρπων τάλας ἐμηχανώμην· εἶτα πῦρ ἀν παρῆν κτλ.
 - b) Equally common is the use of aν with the historical tenses in order to signify, that, in consequence of the impossibility or non-fulfillment of certain conditions, some action or thing in like manner cannot or could not be fulfilled; and consequently, with the negative (οὐ), that something which now takes place or has taken place, would not do so or have done so, had certain conditions been realized. Here by rule the Imperf. with aν is used when the thing relates to the present; and the Pluperf. or Aorist with aν, when it is in the past. E. g. ἐποίουν αν τοῦτο I would do this (implying: ἀλλ' οὐ ποιῶ); and with the negative: οὐκ αν ἐποίησα, οὐκ αν ἐπεποίηκειν, I would not have done it (implying: ἀλλ' ἐποίησα).

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Χοη. Απ. 4. 2. 10 αὐτοὶ μεν ἀν ἐπορεύθησαν, ἤπεροί ἄλλοιτὰ δὲ ὑποζύγια οὐκ ἡν ἄλλη ἡ ταὐτη ἐκβῆναι. Dem. p. 242 διὰ τούτους ὑμεῖς ἐστε σῶοι, ἐπεὶ διά γε ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς πάλαι ἀν ἀπολώλειτε, where the unfulfilled condition is: 'if ye had been or acted for yourselves alone.' Od. β. 184 ὡς σὺ καταφθίσθαι σὺν ἐκείνω ὥφελες· οὐκ ἀν τόσσα θεοπροπέων ἀγόρευες. Other examples see in m. 28 below.

13 Note 3. This die is omitted with verbs which declare, that something must take place or was permitted; such as χρην, έδει, προσήκεν, έξην, ένην Lat. debebam, etc. E. g. Soph. El. 1505:

χρην δ' εὐθύς εἶναι τήνδε τοῖς πᾶσιν δίκην. δστις πέρα πράσσειν γε τῶν νόμων θέλει, κτείνειν · τὸ γὰρ πανοῦργον οὐκ ἀν ἦν πολύ.

The reason is, that there is not here implied: ἀλλ' οὐ χρή; since not the

necessity of the fact, but the fact itself, is denied. On the other hand, it isafterwards replied: ἀλλ' ἐστι πολύ. Ἐξῆν γὰρ ἀποφεύγειν I could have fled (implying: ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀπέφυγον). Comp. An. 7. 7. 40. So soon can rejoin: ἀλλὰ δεῖ, ἔξεστι, or οὐ δεῖ, the ἄν must resume its place. An. 5. 1. 10 εl μεν ηπιστάμεθα, ότι ήξει Χειρίσοφος—, οὐκ αν έδει λέγειν κτλ.— Also with $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda \sigma \nu$, $\delta \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu$, $\delta \phi \eta \nu$, $\delta \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \delta \mu \eta \nu$, the omission of $\delta \nu$ is common for the same reason; e. g. Il. a. 415 $\delta \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ παρὰ νηυσὶν αδάκρυτος και απήμων ήσθαι. Arist. Ran. 866, ΑΙΣΧ. έβουλόμην μεν οὐκ ερίζειν ενθάδε· οὐκ εξ ίσου γάρ έστιν ώγών. For ώφελον comp: also m. 8 above.—Another case of the omission of av see below in m. 51 and 30.

3) "Av with the Subjunctive is in itself an unnecessary connection. For, 14 since the Subjunctive represents a thing as dependent on certain conditions now or hereafter to be realized, it everywhere includes in itself the power of av. Hence av is never joined with the mood itself; and consequently there can never be, at least in good Attic, a simple clause with the Subjunctive and av.* When, on the contrary, the Subjunctive stands in dependent clauses, or such as are introduced by a conjunction or a pronoun, it is the usage of the language, that then du is evolved from the idea of the Subjunctive, and connects itself closely with the particle or pronoun; e. g. car and οπόταν (for cl av, οπότ' av), cως av, os ar, etc. For examples

see ∮ 139. A, sq.

§ 139.

- 4) "Ay with the OPTATIVE serves to express a subjective opinion depend- 15 ent on or qualified by some condition; that is, it expresses a less positive affirmation, or a merely supposed possibility, for which in English we use a periphrase with can, might, could, would, should, etc? E. g. Mem. 1. 2. 19 Ισως οὖν εἶποιεν ἄν πολλοί, ὅτι οὐκ ἄν ποτε ὁ σώφρων γένοιτο ὑβριστής. Cyr. 6. 1. 45 ἀσμένως ἄν πρὸς ἄνδρα, οἶος σὰ εἶ, ἀπαλλαγείην. Plat. Phæd. p. 81 το σωματοειδές έστιν ου τις αν άψαιτο, 'the corporeal is what one can touch' se. if he will.—This mode of expression is especially at home among the Attics; who, in consequence of the tone of moderation peculiar to them, employ it in the place of the most positive affirmations, or also to avoid the definiteness of the Future; e. g. οὐκ ἀν φύγοις, i. e. 'thou wilt not escape;' Dem. Phil. p. 44 οὐ γὰρ ἄν τάγε ήδη γεγενημένα τῆ νυνὶ βοηθεία κωλῦσαι δυνηθείημεν. Hdot. 5. 9 γένοιτο δ' ἄν πῶν ἐν τῷ μακρῷ χρόνφ.—Finally this Opt. with ἄν is also used to soften the tone of command; thus λέγοις ἄν for λέγε; also χωροίς αν είσω Soph. οὐκ αν φθάνοις see § 150. m. 37.
- 4. When the particles and pronouns to which $\tilde{a}\nu$ is subjoined 16 have with them the Subjunct. Aorist, this forms an implied Preterite; and, consequently, when the context refers to future time, a Future Preterite, Lat. Fut. exactum; see § 138. 4.

Examples: Dem. Mid. p. 525 χρη δέ, δταν μὲν τίθησθε τοὺς νόμους, ὁποῖοί τινές εἰσι σκοπεῖν ἐπειδὰν δὲ θῆσθε, φυλάττειν καὶ χρῆσθαι, i. e. 'when ye have made them.' Phil. p. 44 ἐπειδὰν ἄπαντα ἀκούσητε, κρίνατε, 'when ye shall have heard all, judge.' Xen. Cyr. 2. 3. 5 τίς ἐθελήσει μηδὲν καλου ποιών, α αν άλλοι τη αρετή κατ απράξωσι, τούτων Ισομοιρείν; ibid. εξ ων (1. Θ. έξ τούτων å) αν έγω ποιήσω, ούκ αν κριθείην ούτε πρωτος ούτε δεύτερος. Plat. Prot. p. 311 περιιόντες έν τη αὐλη διατρίψωμεν, έως αν φως γένηται.

5. Whenever the construction requires it, the Greek language 17 can change any clause made conditional by av into the Infinitive or into the Participle, leaving the av standing with the Infinitive

[.] In Heather there are such clauses; see Il. a. 184, 205. γ. 54. But this is exad by an 5 and 11 above.—The same poet writes both moods (Subj. and Opt.) in one construction: Od. 8. 692 ήτ' έστι δίκη Selwr βασιλήων, άλλον κ' έχθαί ρησι Sportly, Liker Ke \$12017, where indeed we must assume some difference in the conception, which however cannot well be given in a translation. See also II. σ. 307.

or Participle. The Greek has thus an advantage over every known language, in giving the force of an Optative or Indicative with $\tilde{a}\nu$ also to the Participle and Infinitive. But neither the Infin. nor Participle with $\tilde{a}\nu$ ever stands for the Subjunctive with $\tilde{a}\nu$; since, as we have seen above (m. 14), this $\tilde{a}\nu$ is strictly not connected with the Subjunctive, but with the accompanying particle or pronoun; and, consequently, must fall away, when the particle or pronoun falls away.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Χen. Cyr. 3. 2. 19 & ᾿Αρμένιε, βούλοιο ἄν σοι τὴν νῦν ἀργὸν οὐσαν γῆν ἐνεργὸν γενέσθαι; ἔφη ὁ ᾿Αρμένιος πολλοῦ ἄν τοῦτο πρίασθαι· πολὸ γὰρ ἄν αὐξάνεσθαι τὴν πρόσοδον, where in translating we change the indirect to the direct style. Dem. Ol. p. 36. Lept. 9 τὰλλα σιωπῶ, πόλλ ἃι ἔχων εἰπεῦν, ʿalthough I could say nuch. Hdot. 7. 139 νῦν δὲ ᾿Αθηναίου ἀν τις λέγων (whoever should say) σωτῆρας γενέσθαι τῆς Ἑλλάδος οὖκ ἄν ἔξαμάρτοι. Plat. Crito p. 48 οἱ ῥαδίως ἀποκτιννύντες καὶ ἀν αβιωσκόμενοι γ᾽ ἄν, εἰ οἶοίτ ἢσαν, 'who lightly kill, and would also perhaps bring back again to life, if they could; 'from ἀνεβιώσκοντ' ἄν, see m. 12. b.—The same holds good with the Participle, when it stands with the verb in the construction described in § 144. 6, where we often render it by a clause with that; e. g. after εὐρίσκειν, εἰδέναι, ὁρᾶν, etc. Thuc. 7. 42 ὁρᾶ τὸ παρατείχισμα ἀπλοῦν τε ὅν, καὶ εἰ ἐπικρατήσειέ τις τοῦ στρατοπέδον, ῥαδίως ἄν αὐτὸ ληφθέν. See also the example Xen. An. 7. 7. 40, and in m. 44 below.

18 After the verbs δοκεῖν, οἴεσθαι, ἐλπίζειν, οὐκ ἔστι, and the like. ἄν gives to the Infin. of the Aorist, and not seldom to that of the Present, the force of the Infin. Future.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 3. 1. 20 πόλεις άλοῦσαι, συμμάχους προσλαβοῦσαι, οἴονται ἀναμαχέσασθαι ἄν. Dem. Phil. p. 53 οἰκ ἔστιν ἔνα ἄνδρα ἀν δυνηθηνα ποτε ταῦθ' ὑμῖν πρᾶξαι ἄπαντα. ibid. p. 40 ἡγοῦμαι καὶ πρῶτος ἀναστὰς εἰκό τως ἀν συγγνώμης τυγχάνειν. Χεπ. Απ. 1. 3. 6 νομίζω ὑμᾶς ἐμοὶ εἶναι φὶ λους καὶ συμμάχους, καὶ σὺν ὑμῖν ἀν εἶναι τίμιος, ὅπου ἀν Ϫ.—The Infin. Fut without ἄν (§ 140. 1. c) presents a thisig as a supposed certainty; e. g. Cyr 2. 1. 12 οἱ δ' ἢσθησαν, νομίζοντες μετὰ πλειώνων ἀγωνιεῖσθαι. Whether also ἄν can stand with the Infin. Fut. is not entirely certain, though a supposable case; see e. g. Cyr. 1. 5. 2, and espec. Agesi. 7. 7. Matth. Gr. Gram. p. 1406.

19 Note 4. As to the position of αν, it can regularly never stand first in a clause. But, after what word it shall be placed, depends manifestly in many cases on the choice of the writer, or on the propriety of letting the uncertainty be carlier or later felt; in many cases also not. Thus it stands indeed very often immediately after the Opt. and Indicative; but never so after the Subjunctive, for the reasons above assigned (m. 14). Yet, not only in clauses with the Subjunctive, but also with the Opt. and Indicative, αν connects itself by preference with certain words, as τίς, πῶς, γάρ, the adverbs, the negatives οὐκ, οὐδείς, etc.—Of the phrases οἶμαι αν, οὐκ αν οἶδα, we have already spoken, in m. 11 above.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΝ: Plato Phæd. p. 102 σῦ δ' οἰμαι ἄν, ὡς ἐγὼ λέγω, ποιοῖς, where ἄν belongs to ποιοῖς. Τὶπ. p. 26. b, οἰκ ᾶν οἰδ' εἰ δυναίμην ἄπαντα ἐν μνήμη πάλιν λαβεῖν, i. e. εἰ δυναίμην ἄν, whether I could, i. c. if I were asked. Ἑδόκει ᾶν ἡμῖν ἡδέως πάντα διαπρᾶξαι, where ἄν belongs to the Infinitive. We may further note the position of ἄν in clauses like Dem. Ol. p. 13, τί οὖν ἄν τις εἶποι ταῦτα λέγεις ἡμῖν νῦν, where the ἄν belongs to εἴποι, hut is joined with the τί οὖν οι another clause. Plat. Phæd. p. 87. a, τί οὖν ᾶν φαίη ὁ λόγος ἔτι ἀπιστεῖς; for τί οὖν ἀπιστεῖς, φαίη ᾶν ὁ λ. Dem. p. 680 ἐκ τούτου τοῦ ψηφίσματος κυρωθέντος ἄν, εἰ μὴ δε' ἡμᾶς, ἠδίκηντο οἱ βασιλεῖς, i. e.

el τὸ ψήφισμα εκυρώθη, οἱ βασιλεῖε ἦδίκτυτ' ἄν would have been offended (800 below), εἰ μὴ δι' ἡμᾶς, had it not been for us, see § 150. m. 26.

Note 5. The part. αν, like the negative, may be twice and even thrice 20 repeated, without any addition to the sense. This happens especially in clauses, where αν is joined (by n. 4) with one of the usual words, and then the αν is repeated with the mood, of course only with the Indic. or Optative; e. g. πως αν ποτ ἀφικοίμην αν —οὐκ αν φθάνοις αν; Οτ when a clause intervenes; e. g. Soph. El. 333 το π' αν, εί σθένος λάβοιμι, δηλώσοιμ' αν οί' αὐτοῖς φρονω. See also Heind. ad Plat. Phædr. 138. Herm. Opusc. IV. p. 188.— Vice versa, where the αν ought to stand twice with different predicates, it may be in one place omitted; e. g. Mem. 2. 1. 18 δ μὲν ἐκῶν πεινῶν φάγοι αν, ὁπότε βούλοιτο, καὶ δ ἐκῶν διψῶν πίοι.

6. The subordinate or dependent clauses, in which the Subj. 21 and Opt. moods have their proper place, are of various kinds. The consideration of these moods in such clauses, however, is not to be separated from that of simple clauses; since even in the former the Subj. and Opt. are only used, when they can stand according to the general rule. It is therefore a wrong supposition, that the choice of the mood depends on the preceding particle; indeed, on the contrary, the particle is often modified by the mood. Still, it will be of use, to go through briefly with the several kinds of dependent clauses, in order to follow out the general definition in the application of the moods, and render it clear by examples; and especially in order to know what constructions are by preference employed in the different kinds of dependent clauses, and why.—We proceed therefore to treat of these clauses, under eight kinds, viz. conditional, relative, those with particles of time, causal, telic or final, echatic, transitive with or is, interrogative.

§ 139. A. Conditional Clauses.

In every conditional clause, the condition is viewed either as 22 possible or as impossible. *Possibility* is expressed either as altogether definite, or as depending on experience, or merely as a subjective supposition. Hence arise three principal cases.

1. Possibility without the expression of uncertainty: εἰ with the Indicative; e. g. εἰ ἐβρόντησε, καὶ ἤστραψεν 'if it has thundered, it has also lightened;' εἴ τι ἔχεις, δός, 'if thou hast anything, give it me.'—Plat. Symp. 188 εἴ τι ἐξέλιπον, σὰν ἔργον, ἀναπληρῶσαι.

Note 1. That which is future is always uncertain, or at least dependent 23 on certain conditions; hence the Greek in such cases prefers the next following construction with the Subjunct. Yet we find εἰ with the Indic. Future, even in the indirect style, whenever the fulfillment of the condition is either hoped or feared; because in such instances the mind of the speaker is not tranquil, but affected, and therefore anticipates the case as definitely realized in the future. E. g. Xen. An. 4. 7. 3 τῆ γὰρ στρατιᾶ οὐκ ἔστι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια, εἰ μὴ ληψ ὁμεθα τὸ χωρίον. Plat. Phæd. p. 107. c, ὁ κίνδυνος δόξειεν ᾶν δεινός εἶναι, εἰ τις αὐτῆς (τῆς ψυχῆς) ἀμελήσει. Eur. Hel. 1010 ἀδικοίημεν ἄν, εἰ μὴ ἀποδώσω. See too the example from Eur. Or. 559, in m. 30 below; also others in Krüger ad An. 7. 1. 16.

- 24 2. The condition is such, that experience will decide, whether the case happens or not. That here the Subjunctive must be used, follows from the general definition in § 139.1; and that ἄν is then joined with the particle (ἐάν, ἤν, ἄν epic εἴ κε, ὁπόταν, etc.) appears from m. 14. Ε. g. ἐάν τι ἔχωμεν, δώσομεν, ' if we should have any thing, we will give it;' ἐάν τίς τινα τῶν ὑπαρχόντων νόμων μὴ καλῶς ἔχειν ἡγῆται, γραφέσθω. Æschin. c. Tim. 4 τοῦτο ἐὰν σκοπῆτε, εὐρήσετε, ὅτι πάντων ἄριστα ἔχει.
- 25 Note 2. Yet it is not contrary to Greek usage, sometimes to omit this dv, so that εl stands with the Subjunctive. The case is essentially the same with the preceding; only the condition is then less dependent on casual circumstances, but is to be viewed as becoming definitely realized in the future. This construction is also not unknown to the Attics; e. g. Soph. OT. 873 ΰβρις, εl πολλῶν ὑπερπλησθη, ὅρουσιν εls ἀνάγκαν, a condition, the fulfillment of which is to be expected from the very nature of ΰβρις. Il. 198 τελει γὰρ εἶ τι νὺξ ἀφη, τοῦτ ἐπ ἡμαρ ἔρχεται. In epic writers the usage is less definite: Il. a. 340. ε. 257. See other examples in Herm. Opusc. IV. p. 97 sq.
- 3. The condition is only the subjective supposition of a case possible indeed in itself, but for the present leaving wholly out of view, whether it will be hereafter realized or not: εἰ with the Optative. The apodosis (see n. 5 below) has then commonly the Optative with ἄν. Ε. g. εἶ τις ταῦτα πράττοι, μέγα μ' ἄν ἀ φελήσειε, 'if any one should do this, he would render me a great service.' Mem. 3.7. 2 εἶ τις δυνατὸς ῶν τὴν πόλιν αὕξειν ὁκνοίη τοῦτο πράττειν, εἰκότως ᾶν δειλὸς νομίζοιτο.
- Note 3. For those doubtful cases, which in good Attic are very rare, where e. g. because of a preceding historical tense, ἐάν is put with the Optative, see below in m. 68. In other instances, ἐάν with the Optative (as beingsfor εἰ ἄν) would make the condition dependent again upon another condition; as is clearly the case in e. g. Xen. Hipparch. 7. 4 ἡν δὲ ἡ μὲν πόλις τρέπηται ἐπὶ τὰ ναυτικά . . . τοὺς δὲ ἐππέας ἀξιώσειε τὰ ἐκτὸς τοῦ τείχους διασώζειν, ἐνταῦθα δὴ τὸν ἵππαρχον δεῖ ἀποτετελεσμένον ἄνδρα εἶναι, where however ἀξιώση is also read. On the other hand, we oftener find εἰ ἄν separately with the Optative; and then the ἄν is to be drawn to the Optative (which for the most part is actually done, e. g. Cyr. 4. 2. 37), and thus forms the mood described above in m. 15. E. g. Dem. p. 196 εἰ δίκαιά τις ἀν φήσειε 'Ροδίους πεπονθέναι, οὐκ ἐνιτήδειος ὁ καιρὸς ἐφησθηναι. Comp. Mem. 1. 5. 3. Cyr. 3, 3. 55; also below in lett. H. no. 2.
- 4. Impossibility or disbelief, or in general the filling out of the relation specified above in m. 12. b. Here, in the protasis and apodosis there stands by rule: a) For the present time, in the protasis, εἰ with the Indic. Impf. without ἄν; in the apodosis the Impf. with ἄν; e. g. εἰ τι εἰχεν, εδίδου ἄν, if he had any thing, he would give it; where there is necessarily implied: 'but he has nothing.' b) For the past time, in the protasis, εἰ with the Indic. Aorist; in the apodosis the Aor. with ἄν; e. g. εἰ τι ἔσχεν, ἔδωκεν ἄν, if he had had any thing, he would have given it. o) Or the clauses refer to unlike times, e. g. εἰ ἐπείσθην, οὐκ ἄν ἡρρώστουν, if I had obeyed, I should not (now) be ill.—Of course,

also, where a clause not conditional would have the Perfect, it passes over as conditional into the Pluperfect, just as the Present into the Imperfect; e. g. Dem. Ol. 3. p. 32 εἰ γὰρ αὐτάρκη τὰ ψηφίσματα ἢν, οὐκ ὰν Φίλιππος τοσοῦτον ὑβρίκει χρόνον.—For the omission of ἄν, see note 5.

Examples: Mom. 2. 6. 26 ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσιν εἰ ἐξῆν τοῖς κρατίστοις συνθεμένους (all at the same time) ἐπὶ τοὺς χείρους ἱέναι, πάντας ἄν τοὺς ἀγῶνας οὖτοι ἐνικῶν. Plat. Apol. p. 31 εἰ ἐγὰ πάλαι ἐπεχείρησα πράττειν τὰ πολιτικὰ πράγματα, πάλαι ἀν ἀπολώλη (§ 103. m. 12) καὶ οὕτ' ἄν ὑμᾶς ὡφελήκη οὐδέν, οῦτ' ἄν ἐμαυτόν.

Note 4. When the apodosis is in the past, but is intended to express 29 duration, it is put also in the Impf. with αν. Ε. g. Thuc. 1.9 'Αγαμέμνων οὐκ ἀν οὖν νήσων ἔξω τῶν περιοικίδων ἡπειρώτης ῶν ἐκράτει, εἰ μή τι καὶ ναυτικὸν εἰχεν. Hdot. 7. 139 καὶ οὖτω ἀν ἐπ' ἀμφότερα ἡ Ἑλλὰς ἐγίνετο ὑπὸ Πέρσησι. Dem. Mid. p. 523 πάντ' ἀν ἔλεγεν οὖτος τότε.

5. In respect to the *apodosis* of conditional clauses in general, 30 as an independent clause it is limited to no particular or fixed a construction. Consequently in clauses not dependent, the Opt. with $\tilde{a}\nu$ may just as well follow after ϵi with the Indic. or $\epsilon \hat{a}\nu$ with the Subjunctive; and again, after ϵi with the Opt. it is conceivable that the apodosis may have the Indic. with or without $\tilde{a}\nu$.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Apol. p. 25. b, πολλή ἄν τις εὐδαιμονία εἤ περὶ τοὺς νέους, εἰ εἶς μὴν μόνος αὐτοὺς διαφθείρει, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι ὡφελοῦσιν. Comp. Hipp. Min. p. 364. a.—Eur. Orest. 559 εἰ γὰρ γυναῖκες ἐς τόδ' ἤξουσιν θράσους ἄνδρας φονεύειν, καταφυγὰς ποιούμεναι ἐς τέκνα—, παρ' οὐδὲν αὐταῖς ἢν ὰν ὁλλύναι πόσεις. ib. 1130 εἰ μὲν γὰρ εἰς γυναῖκα σωφρονεστέραν ξίφος μεθεῖμεν, δυσκλεὴς ὰν ἢν φθώνος. Plato Prot. p. 334. b, οἶον καὶ ἡ κόπρος—, εἰ εθέλοις ἐπὶ τοὺς πτόρθους καὶ τοὺς νέους κλῶνας ἐπιβάλλειν, πάντα ἀπόλλυσιν. Anab. 5. 1. 9 ἐαν οὖν κατὰ μέρος φυλάττωμεν καὶ σκοπῶμεν, ἤττον δύναιντ' ὰν ἡμᾶς θηρὰν οἱ πολέμωι, says Χεπορhon, in a discourse rich in instruction as to conditional clauses.

Note 5. Only the fourth case above (no. 4) is of such a nature, that the 30 protasis and apodosis are conditioned by each other; for were the apodosis b to have the simple Indic. without du, the first case would arise (m. 22). Hence the simple Indic. without du, can stand only in certain cases, either those specified above in m. 13, or such as can be explained from the nature of the clause. We may note c. g. the omission of du in these examples; Thuc. 3. 74 ή πόλις ἐκινδύνευσε πάσα διαφθαρήναι, εἰ ἄνεμος ἐπεγένετο, since the danger at least was ever present. Plato Symp. p. 198. c, ὑπ αἰσχύνης δλίγου ἀποδρὰς ἀχόμην, εἴ πη εἶχον, where ὀλίγου almost renders ἄν superfluous. Anab. 7. 6. 21 οδκουν αἰσχύνη ἐξαπατήθην, because of the emphatic affirmation. Other instructive examples are: Xen. Rep. Ath. 1. 6. Plat. Gorg. p. 514. c. Apol. p. 20. c. Legg. 9. p. 869. b; in all which there is found at least an approach to the first case, in m. 22 above.

B. Relative Clauses.

1. To the relatives belong naturally also the pronominal ad-31 verbs, or the relative adverbs of place, as οὐ, ὅπου, etc. of time, as ἡνικα, etc. and manner, as ώς, ὅπως, etc. The relative clauses (from which the indirect interrogative clauses are to be well distinguished, see in H below) are either construed as independent

clauses; or else as dependent, and then the very same constructions have place, which we have above developed in respect to conditional clauses.

2. We therefore only repeat here in particular: 1) That relative clauses with the *Indic*. either refer back as matter of fact to a demonstrative expressed or implied; or they may be of a general kind, with ὅστις, ὁστιοῦν, and then they correspond to the Lat. with quicunque. 2) That in relative clauses with the Subjunctive, ἄν always connects itself with the relative; and then the clause is always general. 3) That in relative clauses with the Optative, sometimes ἄν is omitted, and then they are either of a general kind, or are intermediate clauses in sermone obliquo, see m. 69 below; or sometimes ἄν is inserted, and then it forms with the Opt. the ordinary (independent) mood, m. 15 above; or it arises out of the change of construction from the Subj. to the Optative, see m. 68. 4) That in relative clauses the historical tenses in the Indic. with ἄν stand just as they do in simple clauses, m. 12. a, b.—All this will be apparent from the examples.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: Λέξω & ἤκουσα. An. 6. 5. 6 ἔθαπτον, ὁπόσους ἐπελάμβα νεν ἡ στρατία. Cyr. 3. 2. 26 δώσω, ὅσον τις δήποτε ἔδωκε.—Eur. Iph. T. 9ύω γάρ, ὅς ἀν κατ ἐλθη τήνδε γῆν Ἑλλην ἀνήρ. Id. Ττοαd. 1031 νόμον δὲ τόνδε ταῖς ἄλλαισί θὲς γυναιξί, θνησκειν, ἤτις ἀν προδῶ πόσιν.—Χεπ. An. 2. 5. 32 οἱ ἱππεῖς διὰ τοῦ πεδίου ἐλαύνοντες, ῷτινι ἐτυγχάνοιεν Ἑλληνι, πάντας ἔκτεινον (comp. m. 39). Cyr. 3. 1. 28 τοιούτοις ἔγωγε ὑπηρεταῖς, οὸς εἰδείην ἀνάγκη ὑπηρετοῦντας, ἀηδῶς ἄν μοι δοκῶ χρῆσθαι. Hell. 2. 1. 32 Λύσανδρος, Φιλοκλέα ἐρωτήσας, ὁς τοὺς ᾿Ανδρίους κατακρημνίσειε (a supposed case), τὶ εἰη ἄξιος παθεῖν, ἀπέσφαξεν Βο. τὸν Φ.—Οd. ε. 126 οὐδ ἄνδρες νηῶν ἔνι τέκτονες, οἶ κε κάμοιεν νῆας ἐῦσσελμους, αῖ κεν τελέοιεν ἔκαστα. Eur. Hel. 815 μί ἔστιν ἐλπίς, ἢ μόνη σωθεῖμεν ἄν.—Αn. 7. 6. 26 ἡμῦν δὲ ὁπλιτικὸν μὲν ἦν, δ ὅσως ἀν ἐδυνάμεθα σῖτον λαμβάνειν οὐδέν τι ἄφθονον.

- 33 Note 1. While in Attic prose, at least, the usage is constant, to connect aν with the relative in clauses with the Subjunctive, the epic writers, on the other hand, content themselves far more frequently with the simple Subject. 11.α. 229 η πολύ λώῖον ἐστι... δῶρ ἀποαιρεῖσθαι ὅστις σέθεν ἀντίον εἶπ η. This usage the tragic writers sometimes imitate, comp. m. 25; c. g. Soph. Trach. 251 τοῦ λόγου δ' οὐ χρὴ φθόνον, γυνή, προσεῖναι, Ζεὺς ὅτου πράκτωρ (doer) φανῆ. But this is not done without some ground; as here, for instance, something definite is spoken of.
- 34 Note 2. In relative (final) clauses, which at the same time express a purpose, or that something can or should take place, where in Latin the Subj. must necessarily stand, it is common in Greek to use the Indic. Future; e.g. Hell. 2. 3. 2 ἔδοξε τῷ δήμῳ τριάκοντα ἄνδρας ελέσθαι, οἱ τοὺς πατρίσους νόμους ξυγγράψουσι, καθ' οδς πολιτεύσουσι. Eur. Iph. T. 1180 καὶ πόλει πέμψον τιν', δοτις σημανεῖ. An. 7.6. 24 ἀγορᾶ δὲ ἐχρῆσθε, σπάνια ἔχοντες, ὅτων ἀνήσεσθε. So too the Indic. often stands after negative clauses, where in Lat. the Subj. is necessary; e.g. Hell. 6. 1. 5 παρ' ἐμοὶ οὐδεὶς μισθοφορεῖ, ὅστις μὴ ἰκανός ἐστιν ἵσα πονεῖν ἐμοί; and so in general often in the indirect style, see m. 69.—The further construction of relative clauses, so far as they mark a purpose, see below in E.
- 35 Note 3. But relative clauses may have not only a final, but also a causal a signification. The most natural mood in such clauses is the Indicative; see below in D. In such clauses, consequently, δs, οι, stand for δτι ἀγώ,



σύ, ήμεις, etc. that or because I, thou, etc. οίος for ότι τοιούτος, όσος for

ότι τοσούτος, ώς for ότι ούτως, etc.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 2. 7. 13 θαυμαστὸν ποιεῖς, δε ἡμῖν οὐδὲν δίδως. Hdot. 1. 33 (Σόλων Κροίσω) κάρτα ἔδοξε ἀμαθὴς εἶναι, δε τὰ παρεόντα ἀγαθὰ μετεῖς τὴν τελευτὴν παντὸς χρήματος δραν ἐκέλευε. 1. 31 αὶ λργεῖαι ἐμακὰριζον τὴν μητέρα, οἴων τέκνων ἐκύρησε. Εur. Hel. 74 θεοί σ', ὅσον μίμημὶ ἔχεις Ἑλένης, ἀποπτύσαιεν. Plato Phæd. p. 58. e, εὐδαίμων μοι Σωκράτης ἐφαίνετο, κόδεῶς καὶ γενναίως ἐτελεύτα.—Similar is the usage of ὅσος and οἶος in Homer, in clauses like II. σ. 95 ὡκύμορος δή μοι, τέκος, ἔσσεαι, οῖ' ἀγορεύεις. Here the relative approaches nearly to the demonstrative in exclamations; comp. Il. χ. 347 οἶά μ' ἔοργας. Od. δ. 611. II. ρ. 471, 587. Æsch. Prom. 915.

Note 4. After a preceding demonstrative, as o $\delta\tau\omega s$ etc. the relatives have 35 an echatic power, implying result or consequence; and stand then for $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$; b see below in F. Such clauses also are construed only with the Indic. or other moods which stand for it. But the usage is not frequent.—For o δs

and δσος c. inf. instead of δστε, see in m. 57 below.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: An. 2. 5. 12 τίς οὖτω μαίνεται, ὅστις οὖ σοι βούλεται φίλος εἶναι. Soph. Antig. 220 οὐκ ἔστιν οὖτως μῶρος, ὃς θανεῖν ἐρᾶ. Plat. Rep. p. 360 οὐδεὶς ἀν γένοιτο οὖτως ἀδαμάντινος, ὃς ἀν μείνειεν ἐν τῆ δικαιοσύνη.

Note 5. In certain phrases, in consequence of sudden transition to a direct address, the *Imperative* also can stand after relatives; e.g. in tragic writers, in the phrases: ological ologica

NOTE 6. For the simple Subjunct. and other moods in indirect questions, see in m. 63.—For the Opt. with $\tilde{a}\nu$ after an historical tense in the main clause, and for the Opt. and Indic. in intermediate clauses in serm. obliquo, see m. 68, 69.—For the Future with $\kappa \epsilon$ in epic writers after the relative, see m. 11.

C. Clauses with Particles of Time.

Particles of time are $\dot{\omega}_S$, $\ddot{\sigma}\tau \dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\delta}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\tau}\dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\delta}\dot{\eta}$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\omega_S$, $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ $o\mathring{v}$, $\pi\rho\dot{v}$, 37 $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$, the epic $\mathring{\eta}\mu\sigma_S$, $\ddot{\sigma}\phi\rho\alpha$, $\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{v}\tau\dot{\epsilon}$, and the like. As they are themselves mostly relatives, their construction accords essentially with that of relative clauses. We therefore limit ourselves here to what is special; and give, for the general usage, only the examples. The construction with the Subjunct. again connects $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$ with the particle, as $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\alpha\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\alpha}\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\omega_S$ $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$, etc. with the exception of $\dot{\omega}_S$, which in this construction signifies as, or else is final; see below.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Οἱ πολέμιοι, ὡς εἶδον τοὺς Μήδους, ἔστησαν. An. 3. 1. 9 εἶπε δέ, ὅτι, ἐπειδὰν τάχιστα ἡ στρατεία λήξη, εὐθὺς ἀποπέμψει αὐτόν. ib. 3. 5. 18 παρήγγειλαν, ἐπειδὴ δειπνήσειαν συνεσκευασμένους πάντας ἀναπαύεσθαι, καὶ ἔπεσθαι ἡνίκ' ἄν τις παραγγείλη. Plat. Phæd. p. 101. d, εἰ δέτις αὐτῆς τῆς ὑποθέσεως ἔχοιτο, χαίρειν ἐψής ἃν καὶ οὐκ ἀποκρίναιο, ἔως ἃν τὰ ἀπ' ἐκείνης ὁρμηθέντα σκέψαιο.

Note 1. Here too the remark holds true, as in the case of the relatives 38 and conditional particles, that Homer more commonly omits $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ or $\kappa\epsilon$; e. g. $\tilde{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ $\tilde{\sigma}\epsilon$ $\gamma\eta\rho\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\kappa\omega\sigma$ $\pi\delta\lambda\nu$ $\kappa\tilde{\alpha}\tau$ $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\nu}\lambda'$ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu\tilde{\nu}\rho\tilde{\nu}\tilde{\nu}\omega\nu$ Od. o. 408, and often. See examples from later writers in Herm. Op. IV. p. 103; e. g. Soph. Aj. 1182 $\tilde{\alpha}\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu\epsilon^{\gamma}$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau'$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\tilde{\omega}$ $\mu\tilde{\omega}\lambda\omega$, where the mind is abstracted from every possibility of hindrance; ib. 554.

Note 2. After particles which imply a repetition, e.g. $\delta \pi \delta \tau \epsilon$, the regular mood is the Optative, even when the reference is to definite facts which

have already taken place; less frequent is the Indicative. With the Subjunct. again ὁποτάν is used; except that Homer sometimes puts ὁπότε with the Subjunctive, see note 1. The reason of this lies in the nature of the moods; since, e.g. in ὁπότε οἱ πολέμιοι ἐπίθοιντο, ἀπεχώρουν, the approach of the enemics is viewed as the subjective motive of repeated retreat, although it is a fact already specified. When, on the other hand, it is said: ὁπόταν στρατοπεδεύωνται, τάφρον περιβάλλονται, the whole is presented as a matter of experience, and every allusion to a subjective motive in the clause is excluded. The far less frequent construction of ὁπόταν with the Opt. may be explained from the nature of the particular examples: Cyr. 1. 3. 11 εἶθ ὁπόταν ἢκοι ἐπὶ τὸ δείπνον, λέγοιμ ἄν, ὅτι λοῦται; or else from transition, see m. 68.—In like manner, other particles, as εἰ, ὡς (ὅκως in Hot.) and especially relative clauses, receive by means of this Opt. the sense of repetition. E.g. Thuc. 7. 79 εἰ μὲν ἐπίοιεν οἱ λθηναῖοι, ὑπεχώρουν, εἰ δ ἀναχωροῖεν, ἐπέκεεντο. Hdot. 7. 119 δκως δὲ ἀπίκοιτο ἡ στρατίη, σκηνή μὲν ἔσκε πεπηγυῖα ἐτοίμη ὡς δὲ δείπνου γίνοιτο ὅρη, οἱ μὲν ἔχεσκον πόνον ὅκως δὲ νύκτα ἀγάγοιεν, ἀπελαύνεσκον, κτλ. II. β. 188. 198 ὅντινα μὲν βασιλῆα κιχείη, τὸν δ . . . ἐρητύσασκε· δν δ αὐ δήμου τ' ἄνδρα ῖδοι, βοόωντά τ' ἐφεύροι, τὸν σκήπτρφ ἐλάσασκεν κτλ. Comp. also § 103. m. 11.

- Note 3. The particle ξως (epic ὅφρα) with the Indic. expresses the time during which any thing takes place, and may be then rendered as long as, or in momentary actions, until, i. e. 'as long as until;' e. g. Dem. Ol. p. 15 ξως ἐστὶ καιρός, ἀντιλάβεσθαι τῶν πραγμάτων.—But ἔως ἄν with the Subj. (also ἔστ' ἄν, epic εἰσόκε or εἰς ὅτε κε,) signifies until; and is distinguished from the simple Optative, in that in the former the occurrence of another action or of some event sets a limit to the main action, while in the latter this is done by the acting subject himself in the mode of presentation. E. g. Mem. 4. 8. 2 ὁ νόμος οὐκ ἐᾶ δημοσία τινὰ ἀποθνήσκειν, ἔως ἄν ἡ Θεωρία ἐκ Δήλου ἐπανέλθη. Il. a. 509 τόφρα δ' ἐπὶ Τρώεσσι τίθει κράτος, ὄφρ' ἄν 'Αχαιοὶ υίὸν ἐμὸν τίσωσιν. An. 2. 1. 2 ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς προιέναι, ἔως Κύρφ συμμίξειαν. Od. ε. 385, of Æolus: ὧρσε δ' ἐπὶ κραπνὸν βορέην, ἔως ὄγε Φαιήκεσσι μιγείη.—For the Opt. with ἄν after ἔως, see the example from Plato in m. 37 above, also in m. 68; and for the Subjunct. without ἄν, see above in m. 38.
- Note 4. The particle mpiv or mpiv of, before, sooner than, is construed with the Infinitive; but admits also the construction with the finite moods. Thus, where the reference is to definite facts which have already taken place, it is put with the Indicative; where something merely possible, supposed, or future, is spoken of, it takes the Infinitive, Subjunctive (with av), and Optative; but with this difference, that the Subj. with au (in the poets likewise without av, m. 38), and by rule also the Opt. without av (after historical tenses), are only used, when the main clause expresses a negative, 'not ... before or until;' while after a positive clause the Infinitive is employed, 'before, sooner than.' But the usage of the Infinitive is wider, since it can stand also after negative clauses; and hence Homer uses both constructions, viz. that with the Subj. or Opt. and that with the Infin. without essential difference and even united into one clause, Il. ρ . 504. Od. β . 373. The same poet inserts $\pi \rho i \nu$ also in the first clause; so that it then stands The epic πάρος before, wherever it is a conjunction, is put with the Infinitive; except II. π . 629.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS.—INDIC. Soph. OT. ηγόμην δ' ἀνὴρ ἀστῶν μέγιστος τῶν ἐκεί, πρίν μοι τύχη τοιάδ' ἐπέστη. An. 3. 2. 29 οὐ πρόσθεν ἐξενεγκεῖν ἐτόλμησαν πρὸς ἡμᾶς πόλεμον, πρὶν τοὺς στρατηγοὺς ἡμῶν συν ἔλαβον.—Subj. with ἄν : Χοn. Hier. 7. 13 ὅ,τι ἄν τις λάβη παρὰ τοῦ τυράννου, οὐδεὶς οὐδεν αὐτοῦ νομίζει, πρὶν ἄν ἔξω τῆς τούτου ἐπικρατείας γένηται, comp. Eur. Med. 277, 677, etc. Without ἄν : Soph. Aj. 741 (ἀπηύδα) μ) ἔξω παρήκειν, πρὶν παρῶν αὐτὸς τύχη, comp. Eur. Or. 1351.—Opt. II. Φ. 580 οὐκ ἔθελεν φείγειν, πρὶν πειρήσαις

'Αχιλήσος, comp. Cyr. 1. 4. 14. (With ἄν Hell. 2. 3. 48, and comp. below in m. 68.)—Ινγιν. Plat. Prot. p. 350 (οἱ ἄνθρωποι) 9αρβαλεώτεροί εἰσιν ἐπειδὰν μάθωσιν, ἢ πρὶν μα θεῖν. After a negat. Il. ξ. 19. Double : Il. η. 480 οὐδέ τις ἔτλη πρὶν πιέειν, πρὶν λεῖψαι ὑπερμενεῖ Κρονίωνι. So with πάρος: Od. a. 20 ὁ δ' ἀσπερχὲς μενέαινεν 'Οδυσῆι, πάρος ἢν γαῖαν ἰκέσθαι.

D. Causal Clauses.

1. Causal particles are ὅτι, διότι, οὕνεκα; and several particles 42 of time can also have a causal signification, as ἐπεί, ἐπειδή. They are construed either with the *Indic*. when the cause is something external or objective; or with the *Optative*, when the cause is spoken of as subjective, that is, something in the mind of the subject acting or speaking; consequently only in narration.

2. The Subjunctive cannot be connected with these particles. 43 Inasmuch as every causal clause (even when it refers to things future) specifies the cause of the action expressed in the main clause, it stands to this main clause in such a relation, that experience has already decided in respect to it. Thus, e.g. I write now, because he will soon come, is equivalent to, 'because I know that he will soon come.'

3. The Optative with $\tilde{a}\nu$, and the historical tenses with $\tilde{a}\nu$, are 44 only modifications of the Indicative relation; and are sufficiently explained from the general definition in § 139. 3, or m. 10 sq.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 1. 4. 19 Σωκράτης έμοι τους συνόντας έδόκει ποιεῖν ἀπέχεσθαι τῶν ἀνοσίων, οὐ μόνον ὁπότε ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὁρῷντο (m. 39), ἀλλὰ καὶ ὁπότε ἐν ἐρημία εἶεν, ἐπείπερ ἡ γή σαιντο, μηθὲν ἄν ποτε (m. 17), ὧν πράττοιεν (m. 32), θεοὺς διαλαθεῖν. Other examples will occur in reading.—An example of the Indic. Aor. with ἄν, see in II. o. 228; of the Opt. with ἄν, in Aristoph. Plut. 120. Xen. Mem. 3. 2. 2.—For relative clauses with causal signification, see above in m. 35 a.

E. Telic or Final Clauses.

1. Conjunctions expressing end $(\tau \in \lambda_0 s)$ and purpose are $\omega_0 s$, 45 $\delta\pi\omega_s$, wa (epic $\delta\phi\rho a$), and $\mu\tilde{\eta}$; with which we may also reckon $\tilde{o}\pi\omega_{S}$, when it is to be rendered that, after certain verbs implying exhortation, care, endeavour, effort, etc. as παρακαλεῖν, φροντίζειν, φυλάττεσθαι, οράν, επιμέλεσθαι, μέλει μοι, πράττειν, παρασκευάζεσθαι, and the like. And although the specification of end and purpose must always appear as the thought of the acting subject and wholly founded therein, yet the most natural and frequent construction, often even after historical tenses, is that with the Subjunctive; since for the most part this specification is not meant to be merely something supposed or possible, but the acting subject is at the same time eager and striving, that his purpose may be realized,—that his thought may come forth into life. It is only where the end or purpose is expressly to be understood as a subjective thought, that the Optative is employed; and this even after the primary tenses, although seldom. Still, nothing is more common, (just as with relative clauses implying purpose, see m. 34,) than for this Subjunctive to alternate with the *Indic. Future*; see no. 2 below.

376

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 3. 1. 8 ἐν μέσφ δεῖ τοὺς χειρίστους τάττειν, ἵνα ὑπὸ μὲν τῶν ἄγωνται, ὑπὸ δ' αὖ τῶν ὁ θῶνται. Τhuc. 3. 4 οἱ πρέσβεις τῶν Μυτιληναίων ἔπρασσον, ὅπως τις βοήθεια ἢ ξει. 1. 56 Περδίκκας ἐς τὴν Λακεδαίμονα πέμπων ἔπρασσεν, ὅπως πόλεμος γένηται τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις πρὸς Πελοπονησίους. 1. 65 ᾿Αριστεὺς συνεβούλενε τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐκπλεῦσαι, ὅπως ὁ σῖτος ἀντίσχη. Χen. Cyr. 4. 1. 18 ὅρα, μὴ πολλῶν ἐκάστω ἡμῶν καὶ ὀφθαλμῶν καὶ χειρῶν δεήσει. Χen. Hipparch. 9. 2 κράτιστόν ἐστι τὸ ὅσα ἄν γνῷ (§ 129. n. 17) ἀγαθὰ εἴναι ἐπιμελεῖσθαι ὡς ἃν πραχθῆ. Π. η. 339 πύλας ποιήσομεν, ὅφρα δ' αὐτάων ὁδὸς εἴη. Αn. 1. 6. 9 συμβουλεύω τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦτον ἐκποδὼν ποιεῖσθαι ὡς τάχιστα, ὡς μηκέτι δέοι τοῦτον φυλάττεσθαι, ἀλλὰ σχολὴ εἴη ἡμῶν (al. δέη and ἢ). Comp. Plat. Rep. p. 410. b. Aristoph. Ban. 24.

- Note 1. Here is to be noted the elliptical construction of ὅπως, where the verb implying exhortation etc. is to be supplied, and the clause with ὅπως is equivalent to an emphatic Imperative. In such cases also ὅπως is construed either with the Indic. Fut. or the Subjunct. E. g. An. 1.7. 3 ὅπως ἔσεσθε ἄνδρες ἄξιωι τῆς ἐλευθερίας, 'see that ye are men,' i. e. be men, etc. Æsch. Prom. 68 ὅπως μὴ σαυτὸν οἰκτιεῖς ποτε. Cyr. 4. 1. 16 ὅπως μὴ ἀναγκάσωμεν αὐτούς, ἀγαθοὺς γενέσθαι. Comp. Plat. Prot. p. 313. c.* With ἄγε preceding, Diog. L. 6, 2, 36.
- 47 2. As to the usage of ὅπως, ὡς, and ἵνα, it is further to be noted, that in construction with the Subjunctive, ὡς and ὅπως often take the particle ἄν; but ἵνα, in the signif. in order that, is always without ἄν, so that ἵν ἄν can only signify ubicunque. Nor, in the signif. in order that, can ἵνα ever be put with the Indic. Future.

Examples: Æsch. Suppl. 937 ἀλλ' ὡς ἀν εἰδης, ἐννέπω σαφέστερον. Aristoph. Plut. 1151 πατρὶς γάρ ἐστι πῶσ', ἵν' ἀν πράττη τις εὐ. See Herm. Op. IV. p. 121, 132.

- 48 3. But all these conjunctions, after historical tenses (as also after the hist. Pres.), can be construed with the Optative; see m. 9.
 - ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Xen. Lac. 2. 2 ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ μαστιγοφόρους, ὅπως τιμωροίη (τοὺς παίδας), εἰ δέοι. Plat. Rep. p. 393 ἀπιέναι δὲ ἐκέλευε (τὸν Χρύσην) καὶ μὴ ἐρεθίζειν, ἵνα σῶς οἴκαδε ἔλθοι. Cyr. 1. 4. 25 Κῦρος ἔλεγε, ὅτι ἀπιέναι βοῦλοιτο, μὴ ὁ πατήρ τι ἄχθοιτο, καὶ ἡ πόλις μέμφοιτο αὐτῷ. Comp. II. ε. 1. τ. 351, 347.
- NOTE 2. When to ὅπως and ὡς with the Opt. the particle ἄν is subjoined, there is formed again the mood specified above in m. 15. Thus, e.g. An. 1.9. 21 φίλων ῷετο δεῖσθαι, ὡς συνεργοὺς ἔχοι, he thought himself to need friends, that he might have helpers; but Cyr. 4.2. 29 Κροῖσος τὰς γυναῖκας προαπεπέμψατο τῆς νυκτός, ὡς ἄν ρῷρον πορεύοιντο, in order that they might (perhaps) travel more easily. 1.2. 10 αὐτός τε θηρᾶ, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιμελεῖται,
 - * Dawes, in consequence of too limited observation, proposed the rule, that in good Attic writers the particles δπως and οὐ μή never have the Subjunctive of the first Aor. Act. and Mid. but always instead of this the Fut. Indicative. This rule was found to be often confirmed by manuscripts; and on this ground in modern editions a multitude of passages have been altered even without the authority of manuscripts; since for the most part this required only small changes like σης into συμε, cue. But it was soon found, that there would be no end of such corrections; and also that some passages occur which cannot be easily so corrected; as Eurip. Troad. 445 δπως γημώμεθα. Plat. Rep. p. 609. b, οὐ γὰρ τόγε ἀγαθὸν μήποτέ τι ἀπολέση. The canon of Dawes is therefore now given up.

όπως åν θηρώς», in order that they could hunt. Comp. Cyr. 3.1.1. Il. τ. 331. Eur. IT. 1025, etc. also m. 68 below.

Note 3. Here too belong most naturally such clauses as express fear, anxiety, after δέδοικα etc. where μή, also ὅπως μή, is rendered by our that, lest, (like Lat. ne,) and consequently μὴ οὐ by that not; see § 148. 4, and n. 7. The construction is wholly like that of final clauses; viz. the Subj. Opt. and especially the Indic. Fut. e. g. Soph. OT. 1075 δέδοιχ' ὅπως μὴ 'κ τῆς σιωπῆς τῆσδ' ἀναρρήξει κακά. But the Greek has this advantage over the Latin, that it can also use the Indic. of past tenses in connection with these particles, in order to mark the object of fear as already existing; e. g. Plat. Lys. p. 218 φοβοῦμαι μὴ λόγοις τισὶ ψευδέσιν ἐντετυχήκαμεν, vereor ne inciderimus. The Greek has more freedom in this respect also, that other particles and constructions can in like manner stand after these verbs, as the context in each case may require; as εἰ, ὅτι, and even ὅστε and the Infin. E. g. Cyr. 3. 1. 1 ἐφοβεῖτο ὅτι ὀφθήσεσθαι ἔμελλε τὰ βασίλεια οἰκοδομῶν. Eur. IT. 1391 φόβος δ' ἢν, ὥστε μὴ τέγξαι πόδα. Hec. 756 πατήρ νιν ἐξέπεμψεν ὀρρῶωδον 3 ανεῖν, ne periret.

4. When, finally, it is to be expressed, that a purpose was attained or had been attained, when something else took place or had taken place, in this case the Indic. of an historical tense is employed, but without av.

Examples: Soph. OT. 1386 sq. where the blind Œdipus complains, and would gladly deprive himself also of hearing: "ν" ἢν τυφλός τε καὶ κλύων μηδέν, that I were, etc. And further on: ἰὼ Κιθαιρών, τί μ' οὐ λαβὼν ἔκτεινας εὐθύς, ὡς ἔδειξα μήποτε, ἔνθεν ἢν γεγώς. So too in Plato, Crit. p. 44 εἰ γὰρ ὤφελον οἶοί τε εἶναι οἱ πολλοὶ τὰ μέγιστα κακὰ ἐξεργάζεσθαι, τνα οἷοίτε ήσαν αὖ καὶ ἀγαθὰ τὰ μέγιστα · νῦν δὲ οὐδέτερα οἶοίτε. Id. Meno p. 89 τῶν νέων τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς ἄν ἐφυλάττομεν..., ἵνα μηδεὶς αὐτοὺς διέφθειρεν.—Only when a conditional clause is also inserted, is ἄν retained; e. g. An. 7. 6. 23 ἔδει τὰ ἐνέχυρα τότε λαβεῖν, ὡς μηδέ, εἰ ἐβούλετο, ἐδύνατο ᾶν ταῦτα ἐξαπατᾶν.

F. Echatic or Consequential Clauses.

1. These express a result ($\check{\epsilon}\kappa\beta a\sigma\iota s$) or consequence. The ec-52 batic particle $\check{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ is compounded from $\check{\omega}s$ and $\tau\check{\epsilon}$, literally and so; in which sense it begins a clause, and corresponds fully to the Lat. itaque; e.g. Anab. 1.7.7. In the signification so that, it is put with the Indic. of all the tenses, when it can be interchanged with and so; and likewise, in general, when the result or consequence is presented as one not actually purposed, but only as de facto existing, and mainly external.

2. On the other hand, $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (for which also we find δs) is construed with the *Infinitive*, when the result or consequence is to be regarded as in itself necessary, either as a matter of purpose, or as implied in the main clause. See m. 56, and § 140, 4.

3. Instead of the Indicative, $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ can naturally also be put 54 with the Opt. and $\omega\nu$, and with the historical tenses and $\omega\nu$; for which the Infin. with $\omega\nu$ can likewise stand, see m. 17 above. These constructions are sufficiently explained by the general definition, § 139. 3.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: An. 2. 2. 17 οἱ δὲ κραυγὴν πολλὴν ἐποίουν καλοῦντες ἀλλήλους ὅστε καὶ τοὺς πολεμίους ἀκούειν, a purposed consequence; ὅστε οἱ μὲν ἐγγύ-

τατα τῶν πολεμίων καὶ ἔφυγον ἐκ τῶν σκηνωμάτων, a consequence arising only out of the preceding purposed one. 3.3.14 τοῖς θεοῖς χάριν, ὅτι οὐ σὺν πολλῆ ρώμη, ἀλλὰ σὺν ὀλίγοις ἢλθον (sc. οἱ πολέμιοι) : ὥστε βλάψαι μὲν μὴ μεγάλα, δηλῶσαι δέ, ὧν δεόμεθα. Μεπ. 3.1.9 ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐδίδαξεν : ὧστε αὐτοὺς ἃν ἡμᾶς δέοι τούς τε ἀγαθοὺς καὶ τοὺς κακοὺς κρίνειν. Agesi. 1.26 πάντες πολεμκὰ ὅπλα κατεσκεύαζον, ὥστε τὴν πόλιν ὅντως ἡγήσω ἄν πολέμου ἐργαστήριον εἶναι. Τhuc. 2.49 τὰ δὲ ἐντὸς οὕτως ἐκάετο, ὥστε μηδὲ γυμνοὶ ἀνέχεσθαι, ἢδιστά τε ἄν ἐς ὕδωρ ψυχρὸν σφᾶς αὐτοὺς ρίπτειν. Comp. An. 5.9.31.

4. After a preceding demonstrative, as οὖτως etc. we find ὧοτε followed sometimes by the Indicative (or other forms expressing the same relation), and sometimes by the Infinitive; according to the mode of presentation which the writer connects with the clause.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 1. 4. 15 'Αστυάγης οὖτως ἦσθη τῆ τότε θήρα, ὥστε ἀεὶ συνεξήει τῷ Κύρφ.—Μεπ. 4. 4. 1 (Σωκρ. ἀπεδείκυντο τοῖς ἄρχουσι) πειθόμενος οὖτως, ὥστε διάδηλος εἶναι παρὰ τοὺς ἄλλους εὐτακτῶν.

- NOTE 1. The Subjunctive is never used in connection with &στε; because the relation of cause and effect is not an uncertain one, as to which experience has still to decide (comp. m. 43)—not even when the ecbatic clause contains a Future; which again is to be explained in the manner specified in m. 43. Still more would the relation of cause and effect be done away, were we to connect āν immediately with &στε; since just this relation must ever be regarded as remote from all accidental conditions.* For the like reason the simple Optative is also not admissible; nor can an example like Xen. Œc. 1. 13: εἶ τις χρώτο τῷ ἀργυρίῳ, &στε κάκιον τὸ σῶμα ἔχοι...πῶς ἀν ἔτι τὸ ἀργύριον αὐτῷ ἀφέλιμον εἶη, contradict the truth of this remark; since here the Opt. still belongs as it were within the conditional clause expressed by means of the Optative.
- 56 Note 2. In like manner η δστε (less often η ως) after comparatives, is construed most commonly with the Infinitive; e. g. νεωτεροί είσιν η δστε είδεναι οίων πατέρων ἐστέρηνται, they are younger than that they could know, Engl. 'they are too young to know.' Also with the Indic. and αν; e. g. An. 1. 5. 8 θαττον η δς τις αν φέτο. Here it is to be noted, that, instead of the comparative, the positive may be employed, and consequently the η falls away before δστε, and this without any change in the sense; since the negative meaning of the whole necessarily follows in every case from the context. E. g. Plat. Prot. p. 314 νέοι ἔτι ἐσμέν, δστε τοσούτον πράγμα διελέσθαι, i. e. 'we are too young to be able;' not: 'we are so young, that we can,' etc. Cyr. 4. 5. 15 ολίγοι ἐσμέν, δστε ἐγκρατεῖς εἶναι αὐτῶν. Μem. 3. 13. 3 ψυχρὸν δστε λούσασθαι ἐστίν. Eur. Androm. 80 γέρων κεῖνος, δστε σ' ἀφελεῖν παρών.

Note 3. In the same manner with ωστε, is construed also εφ' ωτε, see \$ 150. m. 9.

57 5. When an echatic clause is preceded by the demonstrative adj. pronouns τοιοῦτος and τοσοῦτος, the corresponding relative pronouns οἶος and ὄσος are commonly put instead of ιστε, and like it are usually construed with the *Infinitive*; in such a way, however, that the relative always stands in the same gender,

^{*} In Plato Crit. p. 45. b, ξένοι οδτοι ἐνθάδε ἔτοιμοι ἀναλίσκειν · ὅστε, ὅπερ λέγω, μήτε ταῦτα φοβούμενος ἀποκάμης σαυτόν σῶσαι, the Subj. fills the place of the Imperative; just as in Soph. El. 1171 ὅστε μὴ λίαν στένε.

number, and case, with the preceding demonstrative; that is, an attraction takes place. Comp. the relative after ούτως, m. 35. b.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Charm. p. 156. b, ἔστι γὰρ τοιαύτη (ἡ δύναμις), οἶα μὴ δύνασθαι τὴν κεφαλὴν ὑγιὰ ποιεῖν. Mem. 2. 1. 15 δοῦλος τοιοῦτος, οἶος μηδενὶ δεσπότη λυσιτελεῖν. Hdot. 6, 137 ἐωυτοὺς δὲ φασι γενέσθαι τοσούτφ ἐκεῖνων ἄνδρας ἀμείνονας, ὅσφ, παρεὸν ἀποκτεῖναι, οὐκ ἐθελῆσαι sc. ἀποκτεῖναι. Also with the preceding demonstr. omitted: Plato Theag. p. 127. c, φοβοῦμαι ὑπὲρ τούτον, μή τινι ἄλλφ (sc. τοιούτφ) ἐντύχη, οῖφ τοῦτον διαφθεῖραι. Thuc. 1. 2 νενόμενοι τὰ αὐτῶν ἔκαστοι, ὅσον ἀποζῆν.—In this way οἶος with the Infin. becomes fully an adjective, such as, 'so constituted as,' like δυνατός; e. g. Mem. 1. 4. 6 οἱ πρόσθεν ὀδόντες πῶσι ζώοις οἷοι τέμνειν εἰσί, οἱ δὲ γόμφιοι (the back teeth) οἷοι παρὰ τούτων δεξάμενοι λεαίνειν, i. e. such as . . . to crush.

G. Transitive Clauses with ὅτι, ὡς, after Verbs of saying, etc.

1. The English that after verbs of saying and the like, is expressed in Greek, sometimes by means of the Infinit. with the Acc. or Nom. § 142. 2, in many instances also by means of the participle, § 144; and sometimes by means of the conjunctions ὅτι, ὅς, poet. οὕνεκα, ὁθούνεκα. These particles are followed by the simple Optative, when the idea introduced by ὅτι etc. is to be regarded expressly as the supposition of the subject speaking or thinking; otherwise, they are followed throughout by the Indicative, or the moods which come in place of it, viz. the Opt. with ἄν, and the historical tenses with ἄν.—The Subjunctive cannot follow these conjunctions; for the same reason as above under causal clauses, m. 43.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Πάντες όμολογοῦσιν, ὡς ἡ ἀρετὴ κράτιστόν ἐστι. An. 4. 5. 10 αὖται ἡρώτων αὐτούς, τίνες εἶεν · ὁ δὲ ἐρμενεὺς εἶπεν, ὅτι παρὰ βασιλέως πορ ε ὑοιντο πρὸς τὸν σατράπην · αἱ δὲ ἀπεκρίναντο, ὅτι οὐκ ἐνταῦθη εἴη κτλ. Or alternately the Indic. and Opt. ib. 2. 1. 3 οὖτοι ἔλεγον, ὅτι Κῦρος μὲν τέθνηκεν, ᾿Αριαῖος δὲ πεφευγὼς εἴη κτλ. Also the Opt. with ἄν; ibid. καὶ λέγοι, ὅτι περιμείνειεν ἃν αὐτούς κτλ. Comp. Cyr. 1. 6. 3. ib. 1. 3. 13.

Note 1. For the personal construction of certain phrases, as λέγεται, φανερόν ἐστι, with ὅτι etc. and for other like matters, see § 151. I. 6. 7.

NOTE 2. The English that can also be given in Greek by ὅτϵ, when, as, 59 after verbs signifying to remember, know by experience, and the like; e. g. Thuc. 2. 21 μεμνημένοι καὶ Πλειστοάνακτα, ὅτϵ εἰσβαλὼν ἀπεχώρησε πάλιν, lit. remembering also Pl. when he, etc. comp. Il. o. 18, and the example in § 131. n. 15.

Note 3. The English that can also be expressed by εἰ, if, after the verbs 60 $\Im av \mu \acute{a} \xi \omega$ to wonder, $\mathring{a} \gamma a\pi \acute{a} \omega$ to be content, $\mathring{a} \iota \sigma \chi \acute{v} v \iota \mu a \iota$ to be ashamed, and some other verbs implying an emotion of mind; since the Attics preferred to avoid a decided tone, even in things quite certain (m. 15). Yet this εἰ can properly be translated by that, only when it is construed with the Indicative; Cyr. 4. 5. 20 οὐδὲν $\Im av μ \acute{a} \xi \omega$, εἰ Κυαξάρης ὁκνεῖ περὶ ἡμῶν. Dem. Mid. 29 οὐκ ἢσχύνθη, εἰ τοιοῦτο κακὸν ἐπάγει τῳ, that he brought such evil upon any one. Æschin. p. 537 οὐκ ἀγαπᾶ, εἰ μὴ δίκην ἔδωκεν, lit. that he was not punished. So too after χαλεπῶς φέρω Cyr. 5. 5. 12.—But ὅτι can also be used, e. g. Dem. Ol. p. 37.

Note 4. We also find ὅτι even before the words of a speaker quoted 61 without change, and therefore wholly redundant; Cyr. 5. 2. 9 ὁ Κῦρος

απεκρίνατο, ὅτι, τόρη, ὡ Γωβρύα, οἴομαι κτλ.—When minor clauses intervene immediately after ὅτι and ὡς, the conjunction may be repeated: An. 5. 6. 19 λέγουσιν ὅτι, εἰ μὴ ἐκποριοῦσι (m. 23) τῆ στρατιᾶ μισθόν, ὅτι κινδυνεύσει μεῖναι τοσαύτη δύναμις. Or the two conjunctions may alternate; Cyr. 5. 3. 30. Hdot. 3. 71. Or further, in the like case, after the intervening clause, the construction of the Acc. c. Inf. or that with the Participle, may be introduced; and then ὅτι again is redundant; Cyr. 1. 6. 18 λέγεις σύ, ὅτι, ὥσπερ οὐδὲ γεωργοῦ ἀργοῦ οὐδὲν ὅφελος, οὕτως οὐδὲ στρατηγοῦ ἀργοῦ οὐδὲν ὅφελος εἶναι. Comp. Thuc. 4. 37 (Particip.) and § 141. n. 2; see also above in m. 36.

H. Interrogative Clauses, direct and indirect.

- 1. A direct interrogation is usually introduced by an interrogative particle, especially by åρa; also by ἄλλο τι ἤ, åρ' οὐ, οὐκοῦν, or simply οὐ, Lat. nonne, all implying usually an affirmative answer; by åρa μή or simply μή, μῶν, μῶν μή, οὔκουν, Lat. num, implying mostly a negative answer; and in a double interrogation by πότερον...ἤ; see all these in §149. m. 18. Further, sometimes by interrogative pronouns; to which belong also the adverbial interrog. pronouns ποῦ; ποῦ; etc. Such clauses are in their own nature independent; and are consequently so construed. Yet the Greek is especially fond of employing in questions the subjective form of the Opt. with ἄν (m. 15); as τὶ εἴπους ἄν; πῶς ᾶν οἴουτο;—For the Subjunct. in questions of doubt, see § 139. m. 2.
- 2. Indirect interrogations are introduced, either by the particles ϵi (ην) whether, ϵi μη or simply μη whether not, and in double interrogations $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon \dots \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$, $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \dots \eta$, $\epsilon i \dots \eta$, $i \dots \eta$; or also by the indirect interrogative pronouns and adverbial pronouns, $\delta \sigma \tau \nu s$, $\delta \pi \rho \nu$, $\delta \pi \rho \nu$, $\delta \pi \rho \nu$, in place of which also the direct interrogative pronouns, $\tau i s$, $\tau o \hat{\nu}$, etc. are just as often employed. Their construction is that of dependent clauses, and has been therefore already explained in the preceding discussion; see the examples below in n. 1.—We further remark here only, that in the construction with the Subjunctive, the particle $\delta \nu$ does not connect itself with the interrogative particle, as it does with the relatives. Indeed, this construction is scarcely found except after negative or doubtful assertions (see n. 2); so that in this Subjunctive we may again recognize the Conjunct. dubitativus described above in m. 2, as will appear from the examples.

Examples: Soph. OT. 1367 οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως σε φῶ βεβουλεῦσθαι καλῶς. Cyr. 8. 4. 16 τὰ δὲ ἐκπώματα, ἔφη, οὐκ οἶδ', εἰ Χρυσάντα τουτῷ δῶ. Eur. Or. 713 κοὐκέτ' εἰσὶν ἐλπίδες, ὅπη τραπόμενος θάνατον φύγω.

64 Note 1. After affirmative clauses therefore, we find either the Indicative or forms standing in place of it); or, especially after historical tenses, the Optative. E. g. Xen. An. 7. 3. 37 σκέψαι τοίνυν, εἰ ὁ Ἑλληνικὸς νόμος κάλλιον ἔχει. Soph. Ant. 38 δείξεις τάχα, εἶτ' εὐγενής π έψνκας, εἴτ' ἐσθλῶν κακή. Mem. 3. 12. 8 αἰσχρὸν γηρῶσαι, πρὶν ἰδεῖν ἐαυτόν, ποῖος ἄν κάλλιστος γ ένοιτο.—An. 4. 8. 7 ἡρώτων εἰ δοῖεν ἄν τούτων τὰ πιστά. 2. 28 ἐρωτῶσιν εἴ τις ἐθέλοι συμπορεύεσθαι. Other examples will occur in reading. See also for the Indicative the general remarks below, m. 67 sq.



Note 2. That the Subjunctive also is found after affirmative clauses (no. 65 2 above), is to be explained from the circumstance, that there are certain affirmative modes of expression, in which the Conjunct. dubitativus is supposable; e.g. in double questions: Æsch. Prom. 779 έλου γάρ, η τὰ λοιπά σοι φράσω, ἡ τὸν ἐκλύσοντ' ἐμέ. Comp. II. ν. 742. ι. 701; or alternately with Subj. and Opt. II. σ. 307. Or, as in Hdot. 1. 53 (comp. 2. 52) Κροΐσος ἐνετέλλετο ἐπειρωτᾶν τὰ χρηστήρια, εὶ στρατεύηται ἐπὶ Πέρσας Κροΐσος, 'whether he might venture to make war against the Persians;' and yet it is then said further: καὶ εἴ τινα στρατὸν προσθέοιτο σύμμαχον, i.e. a single question, and whether, if so, he might bètter secure allies.

Note 3. To such indirect interrogative clauses, even when they are in 66 the Subjunctive, Homer adds the particle $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$, e. g. II. χ . 244; especially in the apparently independent at $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$ or $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \kappa \dot{\epsilon}$ with the Subjunct. e. g. II. ϵ . 279. a. 420 at $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$ τύχωμι, πίθηται. Od. a. 379 θεούς ἐπιβώσομαι, at $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$ ποθι Ζεύς δῶσι παλίντιτα ἔργα γενέσθαι.—In close connection with this is the prosaic usage of ἐάν after verbs implying to examine, see to, as σκοπείν, όρᾶν. E. g. Plat. Gorg. p. 510. b, σκόπει δή, ἐάν σοι δοκῶ εὖ λέγειν, comp. Theæt. p. 192. e. So too elliptically, like the Homeric εἴκε; e. g. Alcib. I. p. 122 μηδὲ τοῦτο ἡμῖν ἄρδητον ἔστω, ἐάν πως αἴσθη οὖ εἶ.

Note 4. For other remarks upon the interrogative clauses, see § 127. 5. § 151. I. 6, 7.

GENERAL REMARKS

on the use of the Moods in dependent Clauses.

Note 1. The rule that the Subjunctive is used after primary tenses, and 67 the Optative after historical tenses, we have found to be confirmed; but only in general. Accordingly, the change of a primary tense in the main clause for an historical tense, must have an influence upon the mood of the dependent clause; and particularly, in all cases where the Grammar makes a distinction in the double construction with the Subj. and Optative, the construction with the Subj. connects itself by preference with a primary tense, and that with the Opt. with an historical tense. E.g. the clause: καί, ἐάν τι έχωμεν, δώσομεν, if made dependent on εκέλευσεν would read: καὶ ήμας, εί τι έχοιμεν, εκελευσεν δούναι. Or, the example in Dem. Phil. 3. p. 122, οί 'Αθηναΐοι ἐκόλαζον καὶ ἐτιμωροῦντο, οθε αἴσθοιντο δωροδοκοῦντας, if referred to the present time would read: οἱ 'A9. κολάζουσι καὶ τιμωροῦνται, οῦς αν αισθωνται δωροδοκοῦντας; and so vice versa. So too Isæus de Arist. Her. 17 οί μέν, ὅταν περὶ χρημάτων δυστυχῶσι, τοὺς σφετέρους αὐτῶν παίδας εἰς έτέρους οίκους είσποιοθσιν, ίνα μή μετάσχωσι τής του πατρός ατιμίας. ούτοι δὲ ἄρα εἰς ὑπόχρεων οὐσίαν καὶ οἰκόθεν εἰσεποίουν σφᾶς αὐτούς, ἵνα καὶ τὰ ὑπάρχοντα προσαπολέσειαν. Still, as both the Opt. and Subjunct. depend wholly on the supposition which the writer in every case connects with the clause, and not alone on the preceding tense, there are examples enough of departure from the above rule; e.g. 1) Where the Subjunctive follows an historical tense; thus after the final particles ("iva etc. m. 45), and with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ after verbs of fearing, etc. see m. 50. We find also, just as often, ἐάν, ὅστις ἄν, ὅπου ἄν, ἐπειδάν, with the Subj. in narration, especially in the indirect style, when the same construction would have place in the direct style; e.g. Xen. Hell. 2. 1. 24 Λύσανδρος τὰς ναῦς ἐκέλευσεν ἔπεσθαι · ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἐκβῶσιν, ἀποπλεῖν. 2) Where the Optative follows a primary tense; e.g. after final particles, and after μή implying fear, anxiety. But also elsewhere; e.g. Dem. Ol. 3. p. 38 την αὐτην σύνταξιν άπάντων (sc. λέγω v. βούλομαι), ίνα των κοινών έκαστος τὸ μέρος λαμβάνων, ότου δέοιτο ή πόλις. εls τοῦθ' ἔτοιμος ὑπάρχη. See other examples in m. 64, 65.

Note 2. In respect to the particle av, we have seen, that it often stands 68

in dependent clauses expressed by the Subjunctive, while the Optative rejects it. Nevertheless, the case is not infrequent, (although the learner will do well at first to regard such cases rather as exceptions from the rule, that, since in clauses with the Subjunctive the do is always closely united with the conjunction or pronoun, when the construction passes over into the Optative, this latter retains the av with the conjunction or pronoun, without forming the mood described above in m. 15. Hence examples are not seldom of δστις αν, επειδάν, εως αν, πρίν αν, οπόταν, δπως αν, even δταν and εάν, connected with the Optative; e.g. Xen. Cyr. 5. 5. in. ἐπέστελλεν αὐτῷ ῆκειν, ὅπως σύμβουλος γίγνοιτο, ὅ,τι ἄν δοκοίη πράττειν. An. 7. 5. 8 ἐμέμνητο, ὡς, ἐπειδὰν ἐπὶ βάλατταν ἀπέλθοι, παραδώσοι αὐτῷ Βισάνθην. ib. 7. 7. 57 έδεοντο μὴ ἀπελθεῖν, πρὶν ἄν ἀπαγάγοι τὸ στράτευμα καὶ Θίμβρωνι παρα-Comp. Hell. 2. 4. 18, ib. 5. 4. 47 'Αγησίλαος ἐκέλευε φυλάττειν, εως αν αύτος Τλθοι. Dem. Mid. 5 την παρασκευήν, ην αν πορίσαιτό τις, ούκ ώεσθε χρήναι ύβρίζεσθαι. So after όταν Æschyl, Pers. 448; after έαν Thuc. 8. 27. Still, many of these instances are not sufficiently settled by the manuscripts; so that the editions often vary between the Subjunctive and Optative. See also Thuc. 2. 93 μη αν. Anab. 7. 4. 2 οπως αν.

- Note 3. The usual mood in sermone obliquo, especially in narration, is the Optative; and hence also the Optative is commonly found in interjected clauses in the indirect style; e.g. Xen. Agesi. 1. 10 Τισσαφέρνης ώμοσεν, εί σπείσαιτο, εως ελθοιεν, οθς πέμπσειε πρός βασιλέα αγγέλους, διαπράξεσθαι κτλ. But as the Greek language, in dependent clauses in general, employs the Indicative far oftener than the Latin, (e. g. after final particles and those marking consequence, comp. m. 34,) it also does the same in interjected (parenthetic) clauses in sermone obliquo. For as Greek writers in general are fond of a sudden transition from the indirect style to the direct, (e. g. Hell. 2.1. 25 'Αλκιβιάδης οὐκ ἐν καλῷ ἔφη αὐτοὺς όρμεῖν, ἀλλὰ μεθορμίσαι ἐς Σηστὸν παρήνει· οὖ ὅντες ναυμαχήσετε, ἔφη, ὅταν βούλησθε. Comp. Cyr. 1. 4. 28 extr. 5. 3. 54. ib. 8. 3. 3,) so too the Indicative is not seldom abruptly introduced, where according to all the laws of construction we should expect a dependent mood, and where too the Latin regularly employs the Subjunctive. We give here a single example from Xen. An. 1. 3. 14: Είς δε δή είπε, στρατηγούς μεν ελέσθαι άλλους ώς τάχιστα, εί μή βούλεται Κλέαρχος ἀπάγειν - έλθόντας δε Κυρον αίτειν πλοία, ως ἀποπλέοιεν εάν δὲ μὴ διδῷ ταῦτα (see m. 67), ἡγεμόνα αἰτεῖν Κῦρον, ὅστις διὰ φιλίας τῆς χώρας ἀπάξει —πέμψαι δέ, καὶ προκαταληψομένους τὰ ἄκρα, ὅπως μὴ φθάσωσί (808 m. 45) μήτε ο Κύρος μήτε οι Κίλικες καταλαβόντες, ων πολλούς και πολλά χρήματα έχομεν ήρπακότες. Comp. Cyr. 4. 2. 36. Dem. Ol. 1. p. 12, etc.
- 70 Note 4. Here also is to be noted, though not of frequent occurrence, the Optative without αν in the indirect style, instead of the Indicative. This corresponds to the German Subjunctive in the indirect style; and is found only when it forms the continuation of an indirect clause introduced by στι, ώς, or the Infinit. e.g. An. 7. 3. 13 ἔλεγον πολλοί, στι παντὸς άξια λέγει Σεύ θης· χειμών γὰρ εῖη καὶ οῖκαθε ἀποπλείν οὐ δυνατὸν εῖη. Also Soph. Phil. 615. Plat. Rep. p. 420. c, etc.
- 71 Note 5. In conclusion of this whole discussion respecting the moods, it may be well to note here some especially instructive passages from the books most frequently read; e. g. Demosth. Phil. 3. p. 128. (14 ἐκεῖνό γε αἰσχρόν, ὕστερόν ποτ' ἐἰπεῖν κτλ.) Xen. Cyr. 5. 3. 26–28. Mem. 1. 5. ib. 1. 2. 55 and espec. 59 sq. ib. 3. 6. 16–18; also the continuation of the example in m. 69, An. 1. 3, 15 sq.
- 72 Note 6. The syntax of the Imperative does not require a separate discussion. The single passages which treat of it are fully given in the Index.

THE INFINITIVE.

140. General Construction.

1. The *Infinitive* is that form of the verb, which merely expresses the idea of the verb indefinitely, that is, without any relation of person or mood. In this way, on the one hand, it approaches the nature of a *substantive*; as is shewn by its receiving the article (no. 5), and by its position as subject or object in a clause. On the other hand, it retains its *verbal* character, in that it admits the distinction of tenses and the various verbal constructions. It is used wherever not only the Latin, but also the English, requires the Infinitive, either simply or with to; and frequently likewise where both these languages employ the Subjunctive. Thus it is found:

a) As the subject of a clause, as in Latin, after the impersonal words and phrases δεῖ, προσήκει, καλόν ἐστι, ἀγαθόν ἐστι, and

many others; e.g. δεί λέγειν ήδυ θηράν.

b) As the complement of an incomplete verbal idea; and this, not only as in Latin after verbs signifying to be wont, to be able, to think of, to endeavour, etc. but also where in Latin a conjunction is employed (ut, quin, quominus), as after verbs of entreating, commanding, exhorting, doubting, etc. E.g. οἰόστ' εἰμὶ ποιεῖν · δέομαί σου παραμένειν · παραινῶ λέγειν, etc.

c) After verbs which imply a reference to the future, (as μέλλειν, ἐλπίζειν, ἀναβάλλεσθαι, ὑπισχνεῖσθαι, and the like,) the Greek language fills out the idea by subjoining the *Infinitive* Future; where in English we do it less perfectly by means of

the Infin. Present.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Dem. Ol. 3. p. 31 εί τις ύμων ἀναβάλλεται ποιήσειν τὰ δέοντα, ίδειν ἐγγύθεν βούλεται τὰ δεινά. Cyr. 1. 6. 4 ελπίζεις τε ύξεσθαι ων ἀν δέη. Comp. also § 137. n. 11.

Note 1. That instead of the Inf. Fut. we often find the Inf. Aor. and Pres. with āv, has already been noted, § 139. m. 18. Still, not unfrequently the simple Inf. Aor. as an indefinite tense, fills the place of the Future; e. g. Plato Phædo p. 67. e, ἐκεῖσε ἔρχονται, οἱ ἀφικομένοις ἐλπίς ἐστιν, οἱ διὰ βίου ῆρων, τυχεῖν. So too with acc. e. infin. Cyr. 2. 4. 15 ἐλπίζω, ἐκείνους ἐλθεῖν πρὸς σὲ μᾶλλον. See Heind. ad Plat. Phæd. 32.

- d) For the Infin. with $\mu\dot{\eta}$, after certain verbs, see § 148. n. 9.
- 2. Kindred with this is the Infinitive, which is put after an adjective (or also a substantive), either for completeness or for greater definiteness, like the Acc. described in § 131.7. Here the *Infinit. Active* is employed, not only where the relation is active, but also where it is of a passive kind, where the Latins put the Supine in u. Still, in such cases, the Infin. *Passive* is not unusual.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: ἐπιτήδειος ποιεῖν τι.—οὐ δεινός ἐστι λέγειν, ἀλλ' ἀδύνατος σεγᾶν.
—Εur. IT. 1002 δειναλ γυναῖκες εὐρίσκειν τέχνας.—ἡδὺ ἀκούειν, suave auditu.
— Βαῦμα ἰδέσθαι, mirabile visu, Hom.—ῥάδιος νοῆσαι.—πόλις χαλεπή λαβεῖν.—

τὰ δίοντα εἰπεῖν, dicenda, Dem.—Plut. Thes. 23 νεανίσκος θηλυφανής ὀφθῆναι, as in Horace niveus videri.—ἄξιος μισεῖσθαι Æschin. p. 67.

Note 2. By means of a certain syntactical license, this Infin. of complement is sometimes put epenthetically after a word, on which other nounadjuncts already depend; or, these noun-adjuncts are made to refer to the other word rather than to the Infinitive. E. g. Plat. Crit. p. 52. b, οὐκ ἐπινυμία σε ἄλλης πόλεως οὐδ' ἄλλων νόμων ἔλαβεν εἰδέναι. Legg. 1. p. 626 δοκεῖς μοι τῆς θεοῦ ἐπωνυμίας ἄξως εἶναι μάλλον ἐπονομάζεσθαι. Soph. Phil. 536 πρός τι μενοῦμεν πράσσειν. Comp. II. ω. 35 τὸν νῦν οὐκ ἔτλητε σαῶσαι ἢ τ' ἄλόχω ἰδέειν καὶ μητέρι κτλ.

3. The Infinitive is put also after a *complete* predicate-idea, to express purpose or result; where the Latin employs either conjunctions, or the construction with the Gerund or Particip. Fut. Passive

Examples: ἔδωκεν αὐτὸ δούλφ φορῆσαι.—ὁ ἄνθρωπος πέφυκε φιλεῖν.—Plat. Apol. p. 33. b, παρέχω ἐμαυτὸν ἐρωτᾶν, 'that they should ask me.'—ἵππον παρεῖχε τῷ ἀνδρὶ ἀναβῆναι.—Χεπ. Ages. 4. 3 'Αγ. τὰς αὐτῷ χάριτας (gifts) ὀφειλομένας τῆ πατρίδι καρποῦσθαι παρεδίδου.—Anab. 2. 2. 3 ἐροὶ θνουμένω ἰέναι ἐπὶ τὸν βασιλέα οὐκ ἐγίγνετο τὰ ἰερὰ, as I sacrificed in order to go against the king, the sacred rites were not favourable; and further on: ἰέναι δὲ παρὰ τοὺς Κύρου φίλους, πάνυ καλὰ ἡμῖν τὰ ἰερὰ ἢν, but to go with the friends of Cyrus the sacred rites were altogether favourable; taken from the common phrase ἐκαλλιέρεε ταῦτα ποιεῖν ο, g. Hdot. 6. 76.—Mem. 3. 6. 15 'Αθηναίους πάντας νομίζεις δυνήσεσθαι ποιῆσαι πείθεσθαί σοι;—Plat. Prot. p. 329 σμικροῦ τινος ἐνδεῆς εἰμι πάντ ἔγειν.

4. When the Infinitive expresses a result or consequence, it usually takes ὅστε before it, and less often ὡς, as has been already noted; see in § 139. F. This occurs always in prose, when a demonstrative of degree (οὕτως, ἐς τοσοῦτο, etc.) precedes; often likewise after certain verbs, as ποιεῖν, διαπράττεσθαι, πείθειν, ψηφίζεσθαι, συμφέρειν, συμβῆναι, and the like; also after adjectives, as ἰκανός, ἀδύνατος, etc.—For the Infin. after οἶος, ὅσος, see § 139. m. 57; and for the use of this ὥστε with finite tenses, see § 139. F.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 1. 2. 1 Σωκράτης ην πεπαιδευμένος ο ὖτως, ὥστε πάνυ ράδιως ἔχειν ἀρκοῦντα.—Cyr. 3. 2. 29 φησὶν πειράσεσθαι ποιησαι, ὥστε σε νομίζειν καλῶς βεβουλεῦσθαι.—Hdot. 6. 5 'Ιστιαίος οὐκ ἔπειθε τοὺς Χίους ὥστε έωυτῷ δοῦναι νέας.—Plat. Gorg. 478 'Αρχέλαος διεπράξατο ὥστε μήτε κολάζεσθαι μήτε διδόναι δίκην.—Thuc. 5. 14 ξυνέβη ὧστε πολέμου μηδεν ἔτι ἄψασθαι μηδετέρους.—Plat. Prot. p. 338 ἀδύνατον ὑμῦν ὧστε Πρωταγόροι σοφώτερόν τιν ἐλέσθαι.

Note 3. The omission of & στε before the Infin. after a preceding demonstrative of degree, is poetic; e.g. Æschyl. Ag. 490 τίς δδε φρενῶν κεκομμένος, ἀλλαγᾶ λόγου καμεῖν. See the example from Eur. Or. 559, in § 139. m. 30 a. So too the omission of σίος (ib. m. 57): Il. ζ. 463 χήτεῖ τοιοῦδ ἀνδρός, (sc. σίου) ἀμύνειν δούλων ῆμαρ. Compare the use of the demonstrative before the Infin. in § 127. 1. e, and § 132. n. 22. And following the same analogy, οὖτως is found sometimes, though not often, in prose, as redundant before the Infinitive, or preparing the way for it; e.g. Cyr. 8. 7. 10 ἐπαιδεύθην οὖτως ὑπό τῆς πατρίδος, τοῦς πρεσβυτέροις ὑπείκειν καὶ ὑμᾶς δὲ οὖτως ἐπαίδευον, τοὺς μὲν γεραιτέρους προτιμᾶν, τῶν δὲ νεωτέρων προτετιμῆ σθαι.

NOTE 4. The Infin. of some short parenthetic clauses may be referred to the preceding constructions; e. g. δπλως εἰπεῖν to speak briefly, in short. So too ἐμοὶ δοκεῖν it seems to me, in my opinion; δλίγου ν. μικροῦ δεῖν, it

rants little, almost, nearly; πολλοῦ δεῖν, it wants much, not by a great deal. For the personal construction of such verbs, see in § 151. I. 7. So too the Infin. ofign stands after ὡς, ὅσον, in parenthetic clauses; e. g. ὡς συντόμε εἰπεῖν (for which also simply συνελόντι can stand, comp. § 145. I. 3), so to speak briefly; ὅσον γ' ἐμὲ εἰδέναι so far as I know; ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν so to speak; ὡς εἰκάσαι, etc. See Heind. ad Plat. Hipp. maj. 11.

- 5. The Infinitive also takes the article τό, and thus is capable of declension; as has already been noted, in § 125. 8. It admits likewise therewith the nearer adverbial and objective adjuncts; as τὸ καλῶς ἀποθανεῖν, τὸ τοὺς φίλους ἀδικεῖν, τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν φίλων ἀδικεῖοθαι, etc. The article is commonly prefixed, whenever the Infinitive fills the place of a substantive and can readily be exchanged for one. This occurs in a threefold way:
- a) When the Infin. is the subject of a clause, and the clause contains a general sentiment; e. g. χαλεπὸν τὸ ποιεῖν, τὸ δὲ κελεῦσαι ῥάδιον.
- NOTE 5. If the clause contain no general sentiment, the article is not inserted; e. g. Hdot. 3. 71 ἐπεὶ δὲ ἐς Δαρεῖον ἀπίκετο γνώμην ἀποφαίνεσθαι, ἔλεγε τοιάδε. We must distinguish between ἡδὲ τὸ βηρᾶν and ἡδὲ βηρᾶν. Also after a preparatory demonstrative in the main clause (§ 127. 1. e), the article is commonly omitted; since the former supplies its place; e. g. Eur. Hipp. 470 ἐν σοφοῖσι γὰρ τάδ' ἐστὶ θνητῶν, λανθάνειν τὰ μὴ καλά. Eur. Or. 1161 βάρος τι κὰν τῷδ' ἐστίν, αἰνεῖσθαι λίαν. Comp. § 132. n. 22.
- Νοτε 6. But when the Infin. stands as if in apposition with the subject-noun, the article is inserted; e. g. Thuc. 1. 41 $\hat{\eta}$ εὖεργεσία $\hat{\eta}$ ε΄ς Σαμίους, τὸ δι $\hat{\eta}$ μᾶς Πελοποννησίους τοῖς Σαμίοις μ $\hat{\eta}$ βοη θ $\hat{\eta}$ σαι, παρέσχεν ὑμῖν τὴν Σαμίων κόλασιν. Cyr. 7. 5. 52 $\hat{\eta}$ λθεν $\hat{\eta}$ δειν $\hat{\eta}$ ἀγγελία, τὸ πάντας ἀνθρώπους ἐφ' $\hat{\eta}$ μᾶς συλλέγεσθαι.
- b) When the Infin. is the *object* of a clause; and consequently depends on verbs which govern the Accusative, or also the Genitive of object. Here the Infin. must be regarded as the real object of the action expressed by the principal verb, and consequently as a substantive.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 1. 4. 21 ώσπερ κύων γενναίος φέρεταιι πρὸς κάπρον, οὕτως ὁ Κῦρος ἐφέρετο, μόνον ὁρῶν τὸ παίειν τὸν άλισκόμενον. Dem. Phil. p. 41 σκοπῶν τό τε πληθος της δυνάμεως καὶ τὸ τὰ χωρία πάντα ἀπολωλέναι τῆ πόλει. Mem. 4. 3. 1 τὸ μὲν οὖν λεκτικοὺς καὶ πρακτικοὺς γίγνεσθαι τοὺς συνόντας οὐκ ἔσπευδεν. Comp. Hdot. 9. 79.

Note 7. So soon however as the Infinitive is only the complement of the idea expressed by the governing verb, and consequently combines with this into one predicate, it admits no article; since it then is taken merely in a verbal sense. Ε. g. βούλομαι λέγειν, alsο ἐπιθυμῶ, παραινῶ, κελεύω λέγειν, ctc. Here again we must distinguish between Hell. 1. 6. 10 Κῦρος ἀεὶ ἀνεβάλλετό μοι διαλεχθῆναι, and Mem. 3. 6. 6 τὸ μὲν πλουσιωτέραν τὴν πόλιν ποιεῖν ἀναβαλούμεθα.

c) More especially the article stands before the Infinitive, when the latter depends on a *Preposition*, or the construction requires it to be in the *Genitive* or *Dative*. By means of this mode of construction, which is especially frequent in Greek prose, the Infinitive was used to express, not only very many adjuncts, which in other languages require a whole clause with a conjunc-

tion; but also abstract nouns. But here, any adjunct which might stand in the Genitive with such a noun, must with the Infin. be put in that case which the verb requires.

ΕΧΑΜΡLES: 'Αθηνα ἔρριψε τοὺς αὐλοὺς διὰ τὸ τὴν δψιν αὐτῆς ποιεῖν ἄμορφον, because, etc.—τὸ μεν οὖν ἐπίορκον καλεῖν τινα ἄνευ τοῦ τὰ πεπραγμένα δεικνύναι, λοιδορία ἐστίν, without shewing, etc.—τὸ πλουτεῖν ἐστιν ἐν τῷ χρῆσθαι μαλλον ἢ ἐν τῷ κεκτῆσθαι.—Dem. Ol. p. 16 τὸ γὰρ εὖ πράττειν παρὰ τὴν ἀξίαν ἀφορμὴ τοῦ κακῶς φρονεῖν τοῖς ἀνοήτοις γίγνεται, διόπερ πολλάκις δοκεῖ τὸ φυλάξαι τὰγαθὰ τοῦ κτήσασθαι χαλεπώτερον εἶναι, ì. e. to keep property is more difficult than to acquire it.

Note 8. On the other hand, the article is not inserted, even after a substantive, wherever the Infin. expresses only a filling out or complement of the idea on which it depends (see no. 2. b, and n. 7), or the governing noun with its verb combine into one predicate-idea. This may be seen in the following examples: Isoer. p. 349 of ρήτορες τοὺς ἄλλους διδάσκειν τέχηνν ἔχουσι, equiv. to ἐπίστανται. Thuc. 1. 16 ἐπεγένετο ἄλλους τε ἄλλοθι κωλύματα μὴ αὐξηθῆναι, i. q. ἐκωλύοντο. Comp. Xen. Mem. 2. 1. 25. So after adjectives: Soph. Ant. 1173 τεθνᾶσιν οί δὲ ζῶντες αἴτιοι θανεῖν; see above in no. 2.—But when the article is wanting after a preposition, or, generally, wherever the Infinitive is taken substantively, this is a freer or poetic usage; e. g. Eur. Alc. 11 παῖς Φέρητος, δυ θανεῖν ἐρξυσάμην, i. e. from death. Hdot. 1. 158 ᾿Αριστόδικος ἔσχε μὴ ποιῆσαι ταῦτα Κυμαίους. (Contra, An. 3. 5. 11 ὁ ἀσκὸς δὺο ἄνδρας ἔξει τοῦ μὴ καταδῦναι, i. e. from sinking, so as not to sink.) Hdot. 1. 210 σύ, & βασίλεῦ, ἀντὶ μὲν δούλων ἐποίησας ἐλευθέρους Πέρσας εἶναι ἀντὶ δὲ ἄρχεσθαι ὑπ' ἄλλων, ἄρχειν ἀπάντων.

Note 9. Sometimes the Infin. with $\tau \delta$ (Acc.) is found, where the construction strictly requires the Genitive. E.g. Thuc. 3. 1 $\tau \delta \nu \delta \mu \lambda \sigma \epsilon \tilde{t} \rho \gamma \sigma \nu$ $\tau \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \tilde{\alpha} \epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{\nu} \tilde{s} \tau \tilde{n} \tilde{s} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s \kappa \kappa \kappa \kappa \sigma \nu \rho \gamma \tilde{\iota} \epsilon \nu$. Comp. 2. 53. Plat. Lach. p. 190. e. See also the next note.

Note 10. The Infinitive with the article in the Genitive sometimes expresses a purpose; but commonly only in entire clauses; e. g. Thuc. 1. 23 ξυνίγραψα τὰς διαφοράς, τοῦ μή τινα ζητήσαί ποτε, ἐξ ὅτου τοσοῦτος πόλεμος κατέστη, that no one may ever have to inquire; comp. Cyr. 1. 3. 9. It is usual here to assume an omission of ἕνεκα; § 132.8.—The poets in this sense can even put τό before the Infinitive: Soph. OT. 1416 πάρεσθ' ὅδε Κρέων, τὸ πράσσειν καὶ τὸ βουλεύειν. Eur. Ale. 710 σύ γ' οὖν ἀναιδῶς διεμάχου τὸ μὴ θανεῖν. See Exc. 11 ad Dem. Mid.

Note 11. There is still another Infinitive with $\tau \circ \hat{v}$, the explanatory or epexegetical Infinitive, so called, which serves to explain an abstract noun. where we should expect rather the case of the preceding noun. E. g. Dem. Pac. p. 62 λαβείν ἡβούλετο τἡν δόξαν τοῦ πολέμου, τοῦ δοκεῖν δι' αὐτὸν κρίσιν εἰληφέναι. Plat. Legg. 2. p. 657 ἡ τῆς ἡδονῆς καὶ λύπης ζήτησις τοῦ ζητεῖν ἀεὶ καινῆ μουσικῆ χρῆσθαι κτλ. Phæd. p. 97 ἡ ξύνοδος τοῦ πλησίον ἀλλήλων τεθῆναι.

6. Between the article and the Infinitive, there may be interposed not only near adjuncts of the Infinitive, but also entire subordinate clauses.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕ: Mem. 1. 2.• 4 (Σωκράτης) το μεν οὖν ὑπερεσθίοντα ὑπερπονεῖν ἀπεδοκίμαζε (he disupproved), το δέ, ὅσα γ' ἡδέως ἡ ψυχὴ δέχεται, ταῦτα ἰκανῶς ἐκπονεῖν, ἐδοκίμαζε. Comp. Œo. 13. 6.

7. The Infinitive often stands wholly independent, instead of the Imperative of the second person. In this case, the subject (if expressed), and all the declinable adjuncts belonging to it, re-

main in the *Nominative*; comp. § 141. n. 6. Here it is usual (though unnecessary) to supply $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma o$ or the like.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: II. ρ. 692 ἀλλὰ σύγ' αζψ' 'Αχιλῆι, Θέων ἐπὶ νῆας 'Αχαιῶν, εἰπεῖν.—Plat. Soph. p. 218. a, ᾶν δ' ἄρα τι τῷ μήκει πονῶν ἄχθη, μὴ ἐμἐ αἰτιᾶσθαι τούτων. See Heind. ad Plat. Lys. 18.

141. The Infinitive with its Subject.

1. When the Infinitive has a subject of its own, that is, a different one from that of the main sentence, the fundamental rule is, that this subject, with all its declinable adjuncts, whether substantives, adjectives, or participles, is then put in the Accusative. Thus with the Infin. introduced by the article, $\tau \acute{o}$, $\tau o\acute{v}$, $\tau o\acute{v}$.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Soph. Trach. 65 τὸ σὲ πατρὸς μὴ πυθέσθαι ποῦ 'στιν, αἰσχύνην φέρει so. σοι. Plat. Symp. p. 218 ἐμοὶ οὐδέν ἐστι πρεσβύτερον τοῦ ὡς βέλτιστον ἐμὲ γενέσθαι. Dem. Ol. p. 29 ἐκ τοῦ πρὸς χάριν δημηγορείν ἐνίους εἰς πῶν προελήλυθε μοχθηρίας τὰ πράγματα. Χεπ. Αροί. 14 ἀπιστοῦσι τῷ ἐμἐ τετιμῆσθαι ὑπὸ δαιμόνων. Plut. Mor. p. 223 Κλεομένης "Αργους ἀπέπεσε, διὰ τὸ τὰς γυναίκας ὅπλοις αὐτὸν ἀμύνεσθαι.

When also the subject of the Infinitive is not separately expressed, or is left indefinite, the declinable adjuncts belonging to it are still put in the Accusative; provided always, as before, that this implied subject is different from that of the main sentence. Thus again with the Infin. introduced by the article:

Examples: Plat. Crit. p. 49 οὐδέποτε ὀρθῶς ἔχει τὸ κακῶς πάσχοντα ἀμύνεσθαι ἀντιδρῶντα κακῶς, that one suffering evil should avenge himself by returning evil.—Cyr. 5. 4. 19 τὸ άμαρτάνειν ἀνθρώπους ὅντας οὐδὲν θαυμαστόν.—Dem. Ol. p. 20 οὐκ ἔστιν ἀδικοῦντα καὶ ἐπιορκοῦντα δύναμιν βεβαίαν κτήσασθαι.

2. In Greek, as in Latin, we find especially what is called the construction of the

Accusative with the Infinitive, Accus. cum Infin.

This occurs when after verbs, and particularly verbs with the general idea to say, to believe, there follows a dependent Infinitive-clause having its own subject in the Accusative, as above in no. 1. E. g. οἱ μυθολόγοι φασί, τὸν Οὐρανὸν δυναστεῦσαι πρῶτον τοῦ παντός, mythologists say, Uranus first ruled over the universe. This construction is used especially, when whole sentences are expressed in sermone obliquo; where in English we employ the simple Indicative as above, with or without the conjunction that

NOTE 1. As in narrative the historical Present often takes the place of the Aorist, so in the oblique style the Infin. Present does the same. E. g. Plat. Symp. p. 175 μετὰ ταῦτα ἔφη σφᾶς μὲν δειπνεῖν, τὸν δὲ Σωκράτη οὐκεἰσιέναι. Comp. Hdot. 6. 137. Xen. Mem. 2. 6. 31.

Note 2. Along with the construction of the Accus. c. Infin. there alternate, without any difference of signification, clauses with Conjunctions (ότι, ώς, etc. § 139. G), often in one and the same sentence; e. g. Xen. Hell. 4. 3. 1 ἀγγέλλει Δερκυλλίδας, ὅτι νικφέν τε Λακεδαιμόνιοι, καὶ αὐτῶν μὲν τεθνάναι ὀκτώ, τῶν δὲ πολεμίων παμπληθεῖς. Indeed, by means of a certain anacoluthon,

the two constructions pass over the one into the other; see an example above, § 139. m. 61. Xen. Hell. 6. 5. 42 ελπίζειν χρή, ως ἄνδρας ἀγαθούς μᾶλλον ἢ κακούς αὐτούς γενήσεσθαι. Comp. Cyr. 2. 1. 23. Hdot. 7. 226.— From the converse of this relation has probably arisen the very extensive usage, that the subject of a dependent clause introduced by a conjunction, is put with the verb of the main sentence in the Accusative; e. g. ἀκούω αὐτόν, ως ἀπώλετο. See more on this construction in § 151. I. 6.

§ 141.

- Note 3. Through a want of syntactical exactness, we sometimes find, oven in the direct style, main clauses with the Accus. c. Infin. This arises from an almost involuntary attraction towards some preceding verb of speaking, etc. and the clause appears grammatically incomplete. E. g. Hdot. 4. 5 ώς δὲ Σκύθαι λέγουσι, νεώτατον ἀπάντων ἐθνέων εἶναι τὸ σφέτερον (αs if preceded by: Σκ. λέγουσι). 95 ὡς ἐγὼ πυνθάνομαι τούτων, τὸν Ζάλμοξιν δουλ εῦσαι ἐν Σάμω. Comp. also Xen. An. 6. 4 (2). 18 ὡς ἐγὼ ἤκουσά τινος, ὅτι Κλέανδρος μέλλει ἤξειν.
- 3. When the subject of the Infinitive is one and the same with that of the main sentence, it is not expressed; not even after verbs which are usually followed by the $Acc.\ c.\ Infin.\ e.\ g.\ \xi\phi\eta$ $\sigma\pi o\nu\delta\acute{a}\zeta\epsilon\nu\nu$, dixit se festinare. The learner in such cases must not be misled by the Latin idiom, to supply the Lat. se $(me,\ te)$ of the subject by $\acute{e}a\nu\tau\acute{o}\nu$ etc.—The same rule holds good, when the Infinitive-clause depends on a conjunction (as $\pi\rho\acute{\nu}\nu$, $\acute{\omega}\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$), and the subject remains the same.

Examples: An. 1. 3. 1 οἱ στρατιῶται οὐκ ἔφασαν ἰέναι τοῦ πρόσω · ὑπώπτευον γὰρ ἐπὶ βασιλέα ἰέναι · μισθωθῆναι δὲ οὐκ ἐπὶ τούτῳ ἔφασαν κτλ. Суг. 2. 2. 10 πιθανοὶ οὕτως εἰσί τινες, ὥστε, πρὶν εἰδέναι τὸ προσταττόμενον πρότερον πείθεσθαι.

Note 4. A deviation from this rule is rare, at least in good prose; e. g. Plato Charm. p. 173 olmat $\mu \approx \nu \lambda \eta \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mu \epsilon$, and often after olmat, Legg. p. 860. c. Soph. p. 234. e. In strictness such a deviation can only have place:
1) When some special emphasis requires the express repetition of the subject in the dependent clause; see the examples. 2) When still other subjects are introduced in the dependent clause; but then the Nominative also can stand with the Infinitive. On the second point see more in § 142. n. 3, and comp. § 144. n. 8.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS under 1. Hdot. 2. 2 οἱ Αἰγύπτιοι ἐνόμιζον ἐωυτοὺς πρώτους γενέσθαι πάντων ἀνθρώπων, that they themselves, etc. 1. 34 Κροῖσος ἐνόμιζε ἐωυτοὺν εἰναι ἀνθρώπων ἀπάντων ὁλβιώτατον, comp. 1. 171.—Plat. Apol. p. 36 ἡγησάμενος ἐμαυτοὺν τῷ ὅντι ἐπιεικέστερον εἶναι ἡ ὥστε εἰς ταῦτ ἱόντα σώζεσθαι. Isocr. Paneg. p. 58 (οἱ πρόγονοι) ἐφιλονίκησαν, οὐκ ἐχθροὺς ἀλλ' ἀνταγωνιστὰς σφᾶς αὐτοὺς εἶναι νομίζοντες. Comp. Il. η. 198. ν. 269.— For examples under 2, see § 142. n. 3.

Note 5. In the use of the Infinitive for quoting the language of another, or in any other dependent discourse, the Greeks go further than the Latina, in this respect, viz. that they more frequently employ this construction with relative and other minor clauses. E. g. Plat. Alcib. I. p. 123 ξφη παρελθείν χώραν... ην καλεῖν τοὺς ἐπιχωρίους ζώνην, 'he said he had passed by a country, which the inhabitants call the zone.' Hdot. 1. 86 ώς δὲ ἄρα μιν προστηναι τοῦτο, ἀναστενάξαντα ἐς τρὶς ὀνομάσαι ΣΟΛΩΝ, 'as this presented itself to his mind, he groaned three times and pronounced the name Solon;' comp. 6. 137. Thue. 2. 102. Xen. Mem. 1. 1. 13 Σωκράτης ἐθαύμασεν, εἰ μὴ φανερὸν αὐτοῖς ἐστιν, ὅτι ταῦτα οὐ δυνατόν ἐστιν ἀνθρώποις εὐρεῖν · ἐπεὶ καὶ τοὺς μέγνοτον φρονοῦντας ἐπὶ τῷ περὶ τούτων λέγειν οὐ ταὐτὰ δοξάζειν ἀλλήλοις. This takes place less frequently in conditional clauses, and not at all in final clauses: Hdot. 3. 105 εἰναι δὲ ταχύτητα οὐδενὶ ἐτέρφ ὁμοῦσον.

οὖτω ὥστε, εἰ μὴ προλαμβάνειν τῆς όδοῦ τοὺς Ἰνδούς, ἐν ὧ τοὺς μύρμηκας συλλέγεσθαι, οὐδένα ἄν σφεων ἀποσώζεσθαι.

 For the personal construction so called after λέγεται, δοκεί, δῆλόν ἐστι, πρέπει, and the like, see fully in § 151. I. 7.

NOTE 6. Finally, the Infinitive with the Accus. can stand entirely independent, to express wish, entreaty, command; where we may supply some verb, as κελεύω. Such a clause stands in the place of an Imperat. 3 pers. Comp. § 140. 7.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: II. γ . 285 εὶ μέν κεν Μενέλαον ᾿Αλέξανδρος καταπέφνη, αὐτὸς ἔπειθ΄ Ἑλένην ἐχέτω etc. εἰ δέ κ' ᾿Αλέξανδρος κτείνη ξανθὸς Μενέλαος, Τρῶας ἔπειθ΄ Ἑλένην ἀποδοῦναι. Comp. also II. η . 79 with ζ . 92. Hes. ε. 391 γυμνὸν σπείρειν, γυμνὸν δὲ βοωτεῖν, nudus ara, sere nudus, Virg. where the subject is left indefinite, and the indef. τὶς may be assumed. Or impersonal, e. g. Hdot. 5. 105 ὧ Ζεῦ, ἐκγενέσθαι μοι ᾿Αθηναίους τίσασθαι, ʿmay it be permitted me,' etc.

Note 7. The same construction, with and without $\tau \delta$, sometimes serves as an exclamation of wonder, surprise: $\sigma \epsilon \tau a \delta \tau a \delta \rho a \sigma a$, that thou couldst do such things! Arist. Nub. 816 $\tau \delta \Delta (a \nu \rho \mu (\zeta \epsilon \nu, \delta \nu \tau a \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa o \nu \tau \sigma)$, that thou at such an age canst believe on Jupiter! Comp. Xen. Cyr. 2. 2. 3. Æsch. Eum. 801 $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \epsilon \nu \tau a \delta \epsilon$, $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau a \delta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \delta \epsilon$.

§ 142. The Infinitive with Adjuncts. Attraction.

1. When the subject of the Infin. has other adjuncts, whether attributes or predicates, in the form either of substantives or of adjectives, it follows of course, that where the Accusative of the subject is expressed, these adjuncts are also put in the Accus.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: "Απαντες νομίζομεν την γην σφαιραν είναι.—Od. a. 173 οὔ σε πεζον οΐομαι ενθάδ' ἰκέσθαι.

2. Whenever the subject of the Infinitive is not directly expressed, but the same has been already mentioned in some preceding clause, there takes place a species of

Attraction.

peculiar to the Greek language; that is to say, these adjuncts are not put in the Accusative, but, in the absence of the subjectword, are in a certain sense *attracted* by the next preceding verb. This takes place in two ways:

a) When the omitted subject of the Infinitive is at the same time the *subject* (Nominative) of the preceding finite verb on which the Infin. depends, the adjuncts connected with the Infin. must in like manner stand in the *Nominative*. E. g.

ό 'Αλέξανδρος έφασκεν είναι Διὸς υίός,

Lat. dicebat se esse Jovis filium. This holds true also when the subject is not expressed even with the first verb.

Examples: ἔφασκες εἶναι δεσπότης.—ἔπεισα αὐτοὺς, εἶναι θεός, I persuaded them, that I was a god.—ἐνομίζοντο οὐδ' αὐτοὶ σωθήσεσθαι.—II. δ. 101 εὕχεο ᾿Απόλλωνι ῥέξειν ἐκατόμβην οἴκαδε νοστήσας.—Χen. An. 3. 2. 39 ὅστις ὑμῶν τοὺς οἰκείους ἐπιθυμεῖ ἰδεῖν, μεμνήσθω ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς εἶναι.

b) When the omitted subject of the Infin. stands with the preceding verb only as an immediate or remote *object*, the adjuncts connected with the Infinitive must in like manner stand in that oblique case in which their subject thus stands as object. Thus in the Genitive:

έδέοντο αὐτοῦ είναι προθύμου, they besought him to be of good courage, Xen. Hell. 1. 5. 2.

Or in the Dative; e.g.

ἔξεστί μοι γενέσθαι εὐδαίμονι, Dem. Ol. p. 35,

as also in Latin, licet illis esse beatis.—Or finally in the Accusative, where it again coincides with the general rule; e.g.

κελεύω σε είναι πρόθυμον.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕ : Isocr. Pan. p. 60 ταις πόλεσι λυσιτελεί μαλλον έξ ανθρώπων αφανισθήναι, ή δούλαις όφθήναι γενομέναις.—Thuc. 7. 77 αναγκαιόν έστιν ύμιν ανδράσιν άγαθοις γίγνεσθαι.—Hdot. 1. 176 των Λυκίων φαμένων Σανθίων είναι οι πολλοί είσι ἐπήλυδες.—Plat. Apol. p. 21 βλθον ἐπί τινα των δοκούντων σοφων είναι.

NOTE 1. That however this attraction is not absolutely necessary, is shewn by the many examples where it is neglected, even after a Genitive or Dative expressed; and where consequently the adjuncts of the dependent clause, both predicates and participles, stand in the Accusative; comp. § 144. n. 12.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Hdot. 6. 100 'Ερετριέες 'Αθηναίων έδεήθησάν σφισι βοηθούς γενέσθαι.—Isocr. p. 297 δέομαι ύμῶν, ἀκροάσασθαι τῶν λεγομένων, ἐνθυμηθέντας ὅτι κτλ.—An. 3. 2. 1 ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς προφύλακας καταστήσαντας συγκαλεῖν τοὺς στρατιώτας.—Mem. 1. 1. 9 ἔφη δεῖν, ἀ μὲν μαθόντας ποιεῖν ἔδωκαν οί θεοὶ, μανθάνειν. Here after ἔδωκαν we must supply τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, and yet the Acc. μαθόντας appears; but comp. below, in § 144. n. 12, the similar clause from the same passage, where ἀνθρώποις is expressed, and the attraction (μαθοῦσι) takes place.

NOTE 2. In like manner after πρέπει, προσήκει, ἔξεστι, συμβαίνει, and the like, the predicate-adjunct often stands in the Accusative by the side of the preceding Dative, especially after ἔξεστι. More commonly however the person follows after, and passes over in like manner into the Accusative; so that such verbs are then construed simply with the Acc. c. Infin.

ΕΧΑΜΡLES: Xen. Lac. 13. 9 ξέστι τῷ νέω καὶ φαιδρὸν είναι καὶ εὐδόκιμον; see the example in § 139. m. 28.—Plat. Ion. p. 539. e, οὖκ ἄν πρέποι ἐπιλήσμονα είναι ἄνδρα ῥαψφδόν.—Cyr. 1. 6. 17 οὅποτε ἄργεῖν προσήκει στρατιάν. 7. 5. 83 οὖ τὸν ἄρχοντα τῶν ἀρχομένων πονηρότερον προσήκει είναι.

3. The same attraction takes place, when the clause with the Infinitive has the article $(\tau \acute{o}, \tau o \acute{v}, \tau \acute{\varphi})$ before it.

Examples: πρός το συμφέρον ζώσι διὰ τὸ φίλαυτοι είναι, they live only for profit, because they are selfish. Dom. Cor. p. 262 Δημοσθένης σεμνύνεται τῷ γραφείς ἀποφυγεῖν, Demosthenes is proud, that being accused he was acquitted.—οὐ γὰρ ἐκπεμπονται ἐπὶ τῷ δοῦλοι, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τῷ ὅμοιοι τοῖς λειπομένως είναι. Aristot. Eth. 3. 5 ἐφ' ἡμῖν ἐστι τὸ ἐπιεικέσι καὶ φαύλους είναι.—So too the Accusative, referring to a preceding like case: Isoor. Ar. p. 154 ἐπέδειξε τὰς καλώς πολιτευομένας πολιτείας προεχούσας τῷ δικαιοτέρας είναι. Comp. Æschyl. Prom. 235.

4. In like manner, in the construction with ωστε, the Nominative stands with the Infinitive, when the preceding clause requires it.

Examples: Dem. Ol. p. 34 οὐ γὰρ οὕτως ἄφρων εἰμὶ έγώ, ὥστε ἀπεχθάνε-

σθαι βούλεσθαι μηδὲν ὡφελεῖν νο μίζων, i.e. that I should be willing to incur hatred, not expecting to do any good. Also the clause ib. p. 32, made independent, would read: μηδεὶς τηλικοῦτος ἔστω παρ' ὑμῖν, ὥστε τοὺς νόμους παραβὰς μὴ δοῦναι δίκην, let no one be so powerful among you, that having transgressed the laws he cannot be punished. See note 3.

Note 3. According to § 141.3 and n. 4, when the subject remains the same in the dependent clause, it either must not be expressed in the dependent clause, or must be put in the Accusative. Hence in the phrase ὑπέσχετο αὐτὸς παίησειν, the pronoun αὐτός is not the subject, but only a qualifying adjunct belonging to the omitted subject: se ipsum facturum. But when, in a dependent clause, there are introduced other subjects besides that of the main sentence, and consequently for the sake of antithesis a repetition of the subject in the dependent clause seems necessary, a twofold construction may take place, viz. either all the subjects are put in the Accusative; or the repeated subject stands alone in the Nominative antithetic to the

others in the Accusative.

Examples: a) With Accus. Xen. Hell. 2. 1. 26 οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἀπιέναι αὐτὸν έκελευσαν· αὐτοὺς γὰρ νῦν στρατηγείν οὐκ ἐκείνον. Plat. Hipp. M. p. 282 οίμαι έμὲ πλείω χρήματα εἰργάσθαι ἡ ἄλλους σύνδυο. Comp. Charm. p. 195. e. Euthyd. p. 305. d. Amat. p. 133. b. Gorg. p. 452 τί ἐστι τοῦτο, δ φŷs σὰ μέ-γιστον ἀγαθὸν εἶναι καὶ σὲ δημιουργὸν εἶναι αὖτοῦ; b) With the Nominative: Dem. Mid. p. 579 ἐμὲ οἴεσθ' ὑμῖν εἶσοίσειν, ὑμεῖς δὲ νεμεῖσθαι; do ye suppose that I shall always contribute, and ye always consume? and further on: νομίζεις ήμας μεν ἀποψηφιείσθαι, σὰ δε οὐ παύσεσθαι.—Hdot. 7. 136 (Ξέρξης έφη) Λακεδαιμονίους συγχέαι τὰ πάντων ἀνθρώπων νόμιμα, αὐτὸς δὲ ταῦτα οὐ ποιήσειν. Thue. 8.76 in the indirect style: τοὺς μέν ήμαρτηκέναι, τοὺς πατρίους νόμους καταλύσαντας, αὐτοὶ δὲ σώζειν (sc. τους νόμους); also ante: δυνατώτεροι είναι σφείς έχοντες τὰς ναθς πορίζεσθαι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει, i. e. than those in the city. Comp. also Xen. Mem. 2. 3. 17. Cyr. 2. 4. 25. Plat. Agesi. 10. So likewise after δστε: Thuc. 7. 6 (ἔφθασαν) δστε μήτε αὐτοὶ κωλύεσθαι, ἐκείνους τε ἀπεστερηκέναι κτλ. Cyr. 6. 1. 14 (ἀνακομίζονται τὰ ἐπιτήδεια), ὥστε αὐτοὶ μὲν ἔχειν, ἡμᾶς δὲ μὴ δύνασθαι λαμβάνειν.—Also in later writers: Muson. ap. Stob. p. 167 Σωκρ. έφη τούς μέν πολλούς ζην ίνα έσθίωσιν, αὐτὸς δὲ ἐσθίειν ΐνα ζη. (Contra, Diog. L. 2. 34 αὐτὸν δὲ ἐσθίειν.) - Comp. further § 144. n. 8.

Note 4. When after the verbs $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\tau\iota\nu\iota$, $\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\dot{\iota}\tau\iota\nu\iota$, there follows an Infinitive-clause, the subject of which is the remote object (Dative) of those verbs, the pronoun $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{o}s$ being omitted, the simple Infinitive is put instead of the $Acc. c.\ Inf.$ E. g. Plat. Charm. p. 161 ' $0\,\mu\dot{\eta}\rho\,\varphi$ $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota$ s salas $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$. Phadr. p. 271 $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\theta$ ' $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\ddot{\iota}s$, $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\eta$ $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\epsilon\iota\nu$.—Whatever belongs to the omitted subject is likewise put in the attraction; e. g. Xen. Cyr. 3. 3. 55 $o\dot{\iota}s$ a $\tau\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\iota}\sigma\dot{\iota}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}\nu\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\mu\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\nu}\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\alpha}a$.

143. Construction of Relative Clauses.

1. Of all minor clauses, those with *Relatives* present the greatest variety of construction and idiom; and thus form a very important portion of the Greek Syntax. It will be the object of the present section, to bring these together and exhibit them in their proper connection. For the relative pronoun (δs , $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tilde{\delta}$) separately, see § 126. § 127. 6.

The nature of the construction with the relative properly requires, that with the verb of the main sentence there should stand a noun or pronoun (the Antecedent), and with the verb of the dependent clause the corresponding relative, each in that case which

its own clause demands. Ε. g. οὐτός ἐστιν ὁ ἀνήρ, δν εἶδες — μετέδωκεν ἡμῶν πάντων, ὅσα παρῆν — φίλον οὐκ ἔχω, ῷτινι πιστεῦσαι ἀν δυναίμην.—For the exceptions and departures from this rule, by attraction etc. see below, Text 12 sq.

2. In relative clauses which refer back to pronouns of the *first* and *second* person, the verb must stand in the same person, as in Latin.

Examples: Eur. Suppl. 1094 οὐκ ἄν ποτ' εἰς τόδ' ἢλθον, εἰς δ νῦν κακόν, ὅστις (τοῦ νἰοῦ) στερίσκομαι. Οd. β. 41 οὐχ έκὰς οὖτος ἀνήρ (i. e. ἐγώ), δε λαὸν ἢγειρα. Her. 2. 115 ἐγὼ ἄν σε ἐτισάμην δε ἔργον ἀνοσιώτατον ἐργάσαο, § 139. m. 35 a. Here belongs also Eur. IA. 991 οἰκτρὰ πεπόνθαμεν, ἢ κενὴν κατέσχον ἐλπίδα, by § 129. n. 14.

- Note 1. When the relative clause refers to a Vocative, it must of course stand in the second person; as II. κ. 278 κλυθί μοι, Διος τέκος, ήτε μοι alel παρίστασαι. The following passage can be regarded only as a very rare exception: II. ρ. 248 & φίλοι, 'Αργείων ἡγήτορες ἡδὲ μέδοντες, οἶτε παρ' 'Ατρείδης δήμια πίνουσιν, καὶ σημαίνουσιν λαοῖς.
- 3. When a relative refers to several single objects, the same rules hold good in respect to it, as in § 129. 4, 5, 10.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Isocr. Panath. p. 278 ταῦτα δ' εἶπον, οὐ πρὸς τὴν εὐσέβειαν οὐδὲ πρὸς τὴν δικαιοσύνην οὐδὲ πρὸς τὴν φρώνησιν ἀποβλέψας, ἀ σὰ διῆλθες. De Pac. init. ἤκομεν ἐκκλησιάσοντες περὶ πολέμου καὶ εἰρήνης, ὰ μεγίστην ἔχει δύναμι. ἐν τῷ βίφ. Od. β. 283 οὐδὲ τι ἴσασιν βάνατον καὶ κῆρα μέλαιναν, δε δή σφι σχεδόν ἐστιν. Dem. p. 274 καλῶ τοὺς Θεοὺς πάντας, καὶ πάσας, ὅσοι ἔχουσιτὴν ᾿Αττικήν. Is. p. 163 τὴν πόλω οἰκήσομην, ἀπαλλαγέντες πολέμων καὶ κινδύνων καὶ ταραχῆς, εἰς ἡν νῦν καθέσταμεν.

4. Further, according to the analogy of § 129. 12, when in a relative clause the predicate is expressed by a *substantive*, the relative agrees with this latter, and not with the antecedent noun to which it strictly refers.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Legg. p. 699 ὁ φόβος, ἢν αἰδῶ ἐν τοῖς ἄνω εἔπομεν.— Crat. p. 405 τὸν οὐρανόν, ο ὖς δὴ πόλους καλοῦσιν.—Phileb. p. 40 λόγοι μήν εἰσιν ἐν ἐκάστοις ἡμῶν, ἄς ἐλπίδας ὀνομάζομεν.—Contra, Legg. p. 629. d, τὸ μέν, ὁ καλοῦμεν στάσιν.

- 5. The construction $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, ad sensum, (§ 129. 11,) is also here very frequent, as follows:
- a) The general indefin. relative (ὅστις, δς ἄν) in the Singular often refers to a Plural antecedent; since the idea of the Plural is already implied in the unlimited nature of this relative.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: ΙΙ. τ. 260 (θεοί) ανθρώπους τίνυνται, ὅτις κ' ἐπίορκον ὀμόσση. Plat. Rep. p. 566 προσγελά καὶ ἀσπάζεται πάντας, ὧ αν περιτυγκάνη.

b) Vice versa, the relative can stand in the Plural, when the antecedent idea is a collective.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: II. π. 368 (ΓΕκτωρ) λείπε λαὸν Τρωικόν, οὖς ἀέκοντας τάφρος Ερυκεν. Plat. Phædr. p. 260 (ὁ ῥήτωρ μανθάνει) τὰ δόξαντα ἄν πλήθει, οἵπ ερ δικάσουσι.—Freer or more poetical are the following: Od. μ. 97 κῆτος, ἀ μυρία βόσκει ἀγάστονος ᾿Αμφιτρίτη, comp. II. ξ. 410. Dem. Cor. p. 328 ἀνδρὶ καλῷ τε κάγαθῷ, ἐν οἶς οὐδαμοῦ σὺ φανήσει γεγονώς.

c) The relative often accords with the natural gender of its antecedent.

Examples: II. ε. 638 ο \tilde{l} όν τινά φασι βίην Ήρακληείην (i. e. Hercules himself), δς κτλ. ib. χ. 87 φίλον \tilde{s} άλος (Hector), δν τέκον αὐτή. Comp. the example Od. ζ. 156, in § 129. 11.

d) The relative sometimes refers to an antecedent, which is only implied in the sense of the main sentence.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Thuc. 6. 80 ἀπὸ Πελοποννήσου παρέσται ὡφελία, οἱ (sc. οἰ ὡφελειαν φέροντες) τῶνδε κρείσσους εἰσὶ τὰ πολέμια. Ευτ. Hec. 420 ἄνυμφος, ἀνυμέναιος, ὧν (sc. ὑμεναίων) μ' ἐχρῆν τυχεῖν. So too the pron. demonstr. Thuc. 1. 36 ὁ Θεμιστοκλῆς φεύγει ἐς Κέρκυραν, ὧν αὐτῶν (sc. Κερκυραίων) εὐεργέτης.

6. That the postpositive article sometimes retains its original demonstrative power, has already been shewn in § 126. On the other hand, the usage so common in Latin, that a relative clause comes in place of a subjoined demonstrative clause and consequently stands independent, is far less frequent in Greek; and has for the most part a colouring of emotion, or belongs to the poetic language and especially to the dramatic dialogue, or falls within a later Latinizing period.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙ.ΕS: Plat. Apol. p. 35 εἰ οὖν οἱ δοκοῦντες διαφέρειν τοιοῦτοι ἔσονται, αἰσχρὸν ἄν εἴη. . . . ο ἱ ἐμοὶ δοκοῦσιν αἰσχύνην τῆ πόλει περιάπτειν. Soph. OT. 723 τοιαῦτα φῆμαι μαντικαὶ διώρισαν. ὧν ἐντρέπου σὺ μηδέν. Eur. Or. 424 (in dialogue) ΜΕΝ. Παλαμήδους σε τιμωρεῖ φόνος ΟΡ. οὖ γ' οὐ μετῆν μοι διὰ τριῶν δ' ἀπόλλυμαι.—Αpollod. 1. 1. 3 Κρόνος πρώτην μὲν Ἑστίαν κατέπιεν, εἴτα Δήμητραν καὶ Ἡραν, μὲ θ' δς Πλούτωνα καὶ Ποσειδῶνα.

7. When two successive co-ordinate relative clauses both refer to one and the same antecedent, but each of them requires a different case of the relative, there arises a twofold construction, viz. a) Either the relative stands only in the *first* clause, in the case required by it; and then serves at the same time for the following clause. b) Or instead of the relative in the second clause the *demonstrative* ($a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}v$, $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\phi}$, Hom. $\ddot{\epsilon}$, $o\ddot{\iota}$, $\mu\dot{\nu}$, etc.) is introduced, in the required case.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: a) Plat. Alcib. I. p. 134. e, ῷ γὰρ ἃν ἐξουσία μὲν ἢ ποιεῖν δ βούλεται, νοῦν δὲ μὴ ἔχη, τί εἰκὸς συμβαίνειν; Phæd. p. 82. d, ἐκεῖνοι οἶς τι μέλει τῆς ἐαυτῶν ψυχῆς, ἀλλὰ μὴ σώματα πλάττοντες ζῶσι, ταύτη τρέπονται. Il. a. 162 ῷ ἔπι πόλλὶ ἐμόγησα, δόσαν δὲ μοι υἶες ᾿Αχαιῶν. Plat. Prot. p. 313. b, Πρωταγόρας, δν οὕτε γιγνώσκεις, οὕτε διείλεξαι οὐδεπώποτε. b) Il. a. 79 ᾿Αγαμέμνων, δς μέγα πάντων ᾿Αργείων κρατέει, καί οἱ πείθονται ᾿Αχαιοί. Plat. Meno. p. 90. e, παρὰ τούτων, οἱ μήτε προσποιοῦνται διδάσκαλοι εἶναι, μήτ ἐστὶν αὐτῶν μαθητής μηδείς, βεθ ἡ 148. 2. e. Dem. Ol. p. 35 οἱ πρόγονοι, οἷς οὐκ ἐχαρίζονθ᾽ οἱ λέγοντες, οὐδ᾽ ἐφίλουν αὐτο ὺ ς ὧσπερ ὑμᾶς οὕτοι νῦν, τῶν Ἑλλῆνων ἦρξαν. Comp. Thuc. 2. 74. Od. a. 70. β. 54, 113.

8. When to a relative clause another minor clause is subordinate, in which latter there would naturally be a demonstrative referring to the main antecedent, but in a different case from the relative, the two clauses flow together into one relative clause, in which the relative takes the case of the demonstrative, and the demonstrative falls away.* See also \$ 127. 1. d.

^{*} We find the like construction in clauses with γάρ, especially in Horodotus; e. g. Hdot. 2. 101 τῶν ἄλλων βασιλέων οὐ γὰρ ἔλεγον οὐδεμίαν ἔργων ἀπόδεξιν,

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: Isocr. de Pac. p. 168 αὐτόμολοί εἰσι, οἶς, ὁπόταν τις διδῷ πλείω μισθόν, μετ' ἐκείνου ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ἀκολουθήσουσιν, instead of οῖ, ὁπόταν αὐτοῖς κτλ.—Dem. Phil. 3. p. 128 πολλὰ ἀν εἰπεῖν ἔχοιεν 'Ολύνθιοι νῦν, ἀ τότ' εἰ προείδοντο, οὐκ ἀν ἀπώλοντο.—Plat. Rep. p. 466 οἱ φύλακες, οἷς ἐξὸν πάντα ἔχειν τὰ τῶν πολιτῶν, οὐδὲν ἔχοιεν, see § 145. n. 10. 2.

9. Every relative clause, in order to be complete, must have in it a finite verb. Yet the omission of the *copula* is not unusual. Comp. § 129. 20.

Examples. II. η. 50 προκάλεσσαι 'Αχαιῶν ὅστις ἄριστος. Eur. Phœn. 745 ελοῦ προκρίνας οἵπερ ἀλκιμώτατοι. Plat. Rep. p. 466 ἄξουσι τῶν παίδων εἰς τὸν πόλεμον ὅσοι ἀδροί. Sometimes, though very seldom, the Subjunctive copula is thus omitted: ib. p. 370. e, κομίζονται, ὧν ἄν αὐτοῖς χρεία.

- Note 2. A like omission of the copula occurs also in the very common attraction with the relatives olos, $\delta\sigma$ os, $\dot{\eta}\lambda\dot{\kappa}$ os, etc. for which see below, no. 16, and $\dot{\eta}$ 151. I. 5.
- Note 3. When the predicate of a relative clause would be the same with that of the main clause, the predicate may be omitted in the relative clause, in order to avoid repetition; e.g. Od. λ. 413 κτείνοντο σύες δε ἀγριόδοντες, οι ρά τ'... ἡ γάμφ ἡ ἐράνφ ες. κτείνονται. For this elliptical usage, which occurs also in other minor clauses, (e.g. Xen. Hell. 1. 7. extr. κατελθών, δτε καὶ οἱ ἐκ Πειραιῶς ες. κατῆλθον,) see more in § 151. IV. 5, and comp. § 150. m. 8.
- 10. As to position, the relative clause may naturally also stand first; e. g. Cyr. 1. 6. 11 ὅ,τι δ' ἀν λαμβάνη τις, χάριν τούτων εἰκὸς εἰδέναι τῷ διδόντι.—For the frequent omission of the corresponding (antecedent) demonstrative, see § 127. 1. d.
- 11. When the relative clause thus stands first, it is a very common and peculiar usage in Greek, (as also partially in Latin,) that the following clause does not grammatically fully correspond to the preceding relative clause, but takes some other turn. Such clauses are of many and various kinds in Greek writers, who so often sacrifice strict grammatical accuracy to other considerations, e.g. to symmetry, ease, and vivacity. All this will be seen in the examples.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 2. 2. 6 Å μεν ἃν αὐτοὶ ἔχωσιν οἱ γονεῖς ἀγαθὰ πρὸς τὸν βίον, διδάσκουσι τοὺς παίδας · Å δ' ἃν οἴωνται ἄλλον ἰκανώτερον εἶναι διδάξαι, πέμπουσι πρὸς τοῦτον. Plat. Euthyd. init. δ δὲ σὰ ἐρωτᾶς, τὴν σοφίαν αὐτοῦν, ὧ Κρίτων, πάνσοφοι ἀτεχνῶς. Χεπ. Απ. 6. 1. 29 δ δ' ὑμεῖς ἐννοεῖτε, ὅτι ἤττον ἃν στάσις εἴη ἐνὸς ἄρχοντος ἡ πολλῶν, εὖ ἴστε ὅτι κτλ. Hell. 2. 3. 45 δ δ' αὖ εἶπεν, ὡς ἐγώ εἰμι οἶος μεταβάλλεσθαι, κατανοήσατε κτλ. Luc. Deor. Conc. 5 καὶ δ πάντων γελοιότατον, καὶ τὸν κύνα τῆς Ἡριγόνς ἀνήγαγεν. In this last case a clause with ὅτι οτ γάρ can immediately follow: Plat. Rep. p. 491 δ πάντων θαυμαστότατον ἀκοῦσαι, ὅτι ἐν ἕκαστον ὧν ἐπηνέσαμεν τῆς φύσεως ἀπόλλυσι τὴν ψυχήν. Dem. Mid. 2 ἀ δ' ἐν ὑμῖν ἐστιν ὑπόλοιπα, ὅσφ γὰρ πλείοσιν οὖτος ἡνώχλησε, τοσούτφ μᾶλλον ἐλπίζω τὸ δίκαιον ἔξειν. Comp. also

12. In Greek, as well as in Latin, it is very common, that the noun (antecedent) to which the relative refers, is omitted in its

κατ' ούδεν είναι λαμπρότητος, instead of τούς άλλους βασιλέας, ού γὰρ αὐτῶν έλ. κτλ.—Thuc. 8, 30 τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις προσαφιγμέναι γὰρ ቭσαν άλλαι ιῆες, πάσας ξυναγαγόντες εβούλοντο εφορμεῖν, instead of οί 'Αθ. ቭσαν γὰρ αὐτοῖς κτλ.

own (the main) clause; but is then inserted in the relative clause and in the same case with the relative. E.g. instead of οὖτός ἐστιν ὁ ἀνήρ, ὃν εἶδες, we find:

οὖτός ἐστιν, ὃν εἶδες ἄνδρα.

In such a construction it is to be noted, that the substantive thus transferred often loses the article, and is not put *immediately* after the relative. And not unfrequently, for the sake of emphasis, the latter clause thus formed is placed first:

ον είδες άνδρα, οὐτός ἐστιν.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: οὐκ ἔστιν, ἥντινα οὐκ ἦρξεν ἀρχήν, there is no public office, which he has not administered. II. ι. 131 τὰς μέν οἱ δώσω, μετὰ δ' ἔσσεται, ἢν τότ' ἀπηύρων κούρην Βρισῆος. Plat. Phæd. p. 61 οὖς προχείρους εἶχον μύθους τοὺς Αἰσώπου, τούτων ἐποίησα (versified) οἶς πρώτοις ἐνέτυχον. Απ. 1. 9. 19 Κῦρος, εἴ τινα ὁρώη κατασκευάζοντα, ἦς ἄρχοι χώρας, οὐκ ἄν ἀφείλετο.

13. Here also there occurs an Attraction, similar to that in the construction with the Infinitive, § 142. 2. When the relative, in respect to its own verb, would stand in the Accusative, but the antecedent stands in the Genitive or Dative, and has with it no demonstrative pronoun (as οὖτος, ἐκεῖνος), the relative is then attracted by the antecedent, and takes the same case, instead of the Accusative. E. g.

μεταδίδως αὐτῷ τοῦ σίτου, οὖπερ αὐτὸς ἔχεις, thou sharest with him the food, which thou thyself hast;

where $o\tilde{v}\pi\epsilon\rho$, because of the Gen. $\sigma l\tau ov$ to which it refers, stands also in the Genitive, instead of the Accus. $\tilde{o}\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$ which the verb $\tilde{e}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ requires. So likewise

εὖ προσφέρεται τοῖς φίλοις, οἶς ἔχει, he meets with kindness the friends, which he has.

So when the proper Accusative would strictly express only a remote object; e. g. Dem. Mid. 35 δίκην ὧν ἀν ἀδικηθῆ τις λαμβάνειν, from the expression ὰ ἀδικοῦμαι, 'as to which I am offended.' Plut. Mor. p. 334 τὸν μισθὸν ὧν ἔτερπες ἀπελάμβανες ἀντιτερπόμενος, 'the wages for that by which thou didst delight me.'* This attraction naturally takes place only when the relative clause contains a near and almost adjective qualification of the substantive; consequently not in clauses like this: ἐπηνέθη ὑπὸ πάντων τῶν ἑαυτοῦ πολιτῶν, οῦς μεγάλα ἀφέλησεν. See § 151. I. 2.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: II. ψ. 649 οὕ σε λήθω τιμῆς, ἢ ςτέ μ' ἔοικε τετιμῆσθαι μετ' Άχαιοῖς.—Hdot. 7. 164 Κάδμος Κῶος ἐκράτησε μεγάλων χρημάτων, τῶν οἱ Γέλων ἐπετράπετο.—An. 1. 3. 16 τῷ ἡγεμόνι πιστεύσομεν, ῷ ἀν Κῦρος δῷ.—Cyr. 3. 1. 33 χρήματα σὺν τοῖς θησαυροῖς, οἶς ὁ πατὴρ κατέλιπεν, ἐστὶ τάλαντα τρισχίλια.

Note 4. The ear having once become accustomed to this construction, it became usual, even where the corresponding demonstrative was expressed in the first clause, to let the relative still follow in the same case; e. g.

^{*} An example with the Nominative is very rare: Hdot. 1. 78 ὑπεκρίναντο ταῦτα, οὐδέν κω εἰδότες τῶν ἦν περὶ Σάρδις. Comp. Thuc. 7. 67.

Plat. Gorg. p. 452. a, οἱ δημιουργοὶ το ὑτων, ὧν ἐπήνεσεν ὁ τὸ σκολιὸν ποιήσσις.* Xen. Œc. 2. 1 ὑπό γε το ὑτων, ὧν σὰ δεσποινῶν καλεῖς, οὰ κωλύομαι. This last is at the same time an example, where the Accusative-predicate, which is dependent on the second clause (δεσποίνας), is also drawn into the attraction.

14. Along with this Attraction there can also be connected the usage described in no. 12 above; so that the antecedent or noun of the first clause is transferred from that clause to the second in such a way, that, together with the relative, it remains in the case required by the word on which it depends:

μεταδίδως αὐτῷ οὖπερ αὐτὸς ἔχεις σίτου· εὖ προσφέρεται οἷς ἔχει φίλοις.

It sounds still more strangely to us, when to all this is added the inversion of the clauses:

οίς έχει φίλοις εὐ προσφέρεται.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: ἀπολαύω ὧν ἔχω ἀγαθῶν.—Dem. Ol. 3. p. 35 τότε μὲν καλῶς εἶχε τὰ πράγματα ἐκείνοις χρωμένοις, οἶς εἶπον προστάταις.—An. 1. 9. 14 Κῦρος, οὐς ἐώρα ἐθέλοντας κινδυνεύειν, ἄρχοντας ἐποίει ἡς κατεστρέφετο χώρας. Soph. OC. 333 ἦλθον ξὺν ῷπερ εἶχον οἰκετῶν πιστῷ μόνφ.

15. When the antecedent would express no definite idea, or has been already once mentioned, it is often omitted; and then the relative stands alone in a case not properly belonging to it; e. g.

μεμνημένος ὧν ἔπραξε,

instead of μεμνημένος τῶν πραγμάτων, ὧν ἔπραξεν, and this for à ἔπραξεν.—And with the inversion:

οίς έχω χρώμαι.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: δεινότερά έστιν α μελλω λέγειν ων (i. θ. τούτων α) εξρηκα.—Hell. 3. 5. 18 Λύσανδρος ξὺν οις είχεν ῆει πρὸς τὸ τείχος.—Eur. Or. 564 ἐφ' οις δ' ἀπειλείς, ὡς πετρωθῆναί με δεί, ἄκουσον κτλ.—Plat. Apol. p. 30 ἐδεήθην ὑμῶν μὴ θορυβείν ἐφ' οις αν λέγω.—Soph. ΟΤ. 862 οὐδὲν γὰρ αν πράξαιμ' αν ων οῦ σοι φίλον, i. e. τούτων α εμὲ πράξαι οῦ σοι φίλον ἐστίν.

- Note 5. The attraction extends so far as to include relative adverbs; comp. § 151. I. 8. E. g. Thuc. 1. 89 of Αθηναίοι διεκομίζοντο εὐθύς ὅθεν ὑπεξέθεντο παίδας καὶ γυναίκας, instead of ἐκείθεν, ὅπου. Comp. Soph. Trach. 703.
- 16. In one instance the Nominative of the relative also suffers this attraction, viz. where in a complete sentence, the Nominative of the relative olos (ὅσος, ἡλίκος) would stand with the verb εἶναι; e. g. Xen. Mem. 2. 9. 3 πάνν ἡδέως χαρίζονται ἀνδρὶ τοιούτφ, οἶος σὰ εἶ, 'very gladly do they gratify such a man as thou art.' Here not only the demonstrative, but also the verb εἶναι is omitted, and the relative oἶος etc. is then so attracted by the main clause, that together with its adjuncts it assumes the case

^{*} It is usual to make this form of the sentence, as being the most complete, the basis of the doctrine of attraction; but improperly. Only the omission of the demonstrative could cause the relative to be construed with (i. e. attracted to) the antecedent substantive, and thus the whole to be rounded off; comp. 8 above. For the sake of emphasis, the demonstrative could then be still further added.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Thuc, 7. 21 πρὸς ἄνδρας τολμηρούς, ο ἴους καὶ ᾿Αθηναίους, οἰκ ἀντιτολμῶσι. Cyr. 6. 2. 2 οἱ δὲ ο ἷοἱ περ ὑμεῖς ἄνδρες τὰ βουλευόμενα καταμανθάνουσιν. Hell. 2. 3. 25 γιγνώσκομεν, τοῖς ο ἴοις ἡμῖν τε καὶ ὑμῖν χαλεπὴν εἶναι δημοκρατίαν.—Other more or less varying examples of this very common attraction with οἶος, ὅσος, από ἡλίκος, ατε the following: Οd. κ. 112 τὴν δὲ γυναῖκα εὕρον ὅσην τ᾽ ὅροςς κορυφήν, κατὰ δ᾽ ἔστυγον αὐτήν.—Arist. Acharn. 703 εἰκὸς ἄνδρα κυφόν, ἡλίκον Θουκυδίδην, ἐξολέσθαι.—Hdot. 1. 160 παρεσκευάζοντο ἐπὶ μισθῷ ὅσ ῷ δή.—1. 157 Μαζάρης τοῦ στρατοῦ μοῖραν ὅσην δή κοτε ἔχων ἐλαύνει ἐπὶ Σάρδις.—Plat. Symp. p. 220 Σωκράτης, καί ποτε ὄντος πάγου οἶου δεινοτάτου καὶ πάντων οὐκ ἐξιόντων, ὅμως ἐξήει.—Apol. p. 39 φημί, δ ἄνδρες, τιμωρίαν ὑμῦν ῆξειν χαλεπωτέραν ἡ οἵαν ἐμὲ ἀπεκτόνατε.—Arist. Acharn. 601 ὁρῶν νεανίας, οἵους σύ, διαδεδρακότας. Comp. Dem. Androt. extr. Hell. 1. 4. 16.

17. When, vice versa, the antecedent is attracted by the case of the relative, (which of course remains in its own proper case,) this is a species of Anacoluthon (§ 151. II), or departure from the construction as begun. E. g. Xen. Ven. 1. 10 Meléaypos δè τàς $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} s$, ας ἔλαβε, φανεραί, instead of ai $\tau \iota \mu ai$, ας Μ. ἔλαβε, etc. This more commonly takes place, when instead of a substantive the antecedent is a general pronominal idea, (as ἄλλος, τὶς, ἔτερος, $\pi a\hat{s}$,) which may be readily connected with the relative.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Meno. p. 96. a, ἔχεις εἰπεῖν ἄλλου ότουοῦν πράγματος, οὖ οἱ φάσκοντες διδάσκαλοι εἶναι όμολογοῦνται οὐκ ἐπίστασθαι τὸ πρᾶγμα; comp. ib. c. Hdot. 2. 106 τὰς δὲ στήλας τὰς ἴστα Σέσωστρις, αἱ μὲν πλεῦνες οὐκέτι φαίνονται περιεοῦσαι. Dem. Cor. p. 230 ἐτέρω δ' ὅτω κακόν τι δώσομεν ζητοῦμεν. Hell. 1. 4. 2 Λακεδαιμόνιοι πάντων δν δέονται πεπραγότες εἶσίν. (Such examples therefore do not need to be explained by an inversion: ὅτω δ' ἐτέρω, ὧν πάντων.)—II. σ. 192 ἄλλου δ' οὔ τεν οἶδα, τεῦ ἂν κλυτὰ τεύχεα δύω. Here τεῦ (for τίνος) stands where we should expect τοῦ (οὖ), and has arisen out of the simple thought: οὐκ οἶδα, τίνος ἄλλου τεύχεα δύω.

Note 6. The same occurs again here, as in note 5, with adverbial correlatives; e. g. Plat. Crit. p. 45 πολλαχοῦ μὲν γὰρ καὶ ἄλλοσε ὅποι ἃν ἀφίκη, ἀγαπήσουσί σε, instead of ἄλλαχοῦ ὅποι, κτλ.

Note 7. The same takes place in the phrase οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ, nemo non, i.e. every one, which is declined like a simple word; see more in § 148. n. 8. —Similar is the phrase often used by Plato, δς βούλει, Lat. quivis, i.e. each, every one, which also is declined: ὅτου βούλει ευjusvis, ὧντινων βούλει, etc. Ε. g. Plat. Crat. p. 432 αὐτὰ τὰ δέκα ἢ ὅστις βούλει ἄλλος ἀριθμός. Comp. Ion. p. 533. a.

18. A relative clause becomes more complex and difficult of translation, when it stands in connection with other construc-

tions; e. g. with that of the Acc. c. Infin. as οὖτός ἐστιν, ὅν φημε εἰναι ἰκανώτατον. So with the participial construction, sec § 144. 4; with interrogative clauses, see § 127. 5, and n. 8.

NOTE 8. For relative and other minor clauses in the Acc. c. Infin. see § 141. n. 5.

19. Finally, it is to be noted, that relative clauses often take the place of other minor clauses. Thus we have already seen them as final clauses, as causal clauses, and as ecbatic clauses; see § 139. m. 34, 35 a, 35 b. We may here further remark, that as εἶ τις, ἥν τις, often stand for ὅστις (§ 149. m. 5), so also vice versa ὅστις, δς ἄν, are sometimes put where we should naturally expect clauses with εἶ τις, etc.

Examples: Cyr. 1. 5. 13 δ τι γὰρ μὴ τοιοῦτον ἀποβήσεται παρ' ὑμῶν, εἰ: ἐμὲ τὸ ἐλλεῖπον ήξει. Soph. Trach. 905 ἔκλαιε δ' ὀργάνων ὅτου ψαύσειεν. Comp. also the first example under no. 11 above, and Plat. Euthyphr. p. 3. o. Thuo. 3. 45 καὶ πολλῆς εὐηθείας, ὅστις οἶεται κτλ.

§ 144. Construction with the Participle.

1. The Participle expresses the idea of the verb adjectively. It is therefore, like an adjective, put in connection with a substantive; and then stands between the article and substantive († 125. 1), or else after the substantive with the article repeated († 125. 3). With the article it may also stand as a substantive; comp. † 123. 5. But it everywhere retains likewise its verbal nature, in the same manner as the Infinitive, in that it admits the distinction of tenses and the construction with cases.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙ.ΕS: λύκος λιμώττων · οι ὑπάρχοντες νόμοι, οτ οι νόμοι οι ὑπάρχοντες · οι διὰ τοῦτο αὐτῷ ξυγγενόμενοι φίλοι · ὁ χιλίαρχος ὁ τὰς ἀγγελίας εἰσκομίζων · ἡ εἰκὼν ἡ ὑπ' ᾿Απελλοῦ γραφεῖσα · τῶν φίλων οι παρόντες · τὰ προσήκοντα, τὰ ἐμοὶ προσήκοντα, κτλ.

In the further usage of the participles, so far namely as they are substituted for whole minor clauses, or at least are so translated by us, we may note a threefold distinction, in that they stand: 1) Instead of a relative clause. 2) Instead of a minor clause with a conjunction, e. g. as, because, after that, if, etc. 3) As the complement of another verbal idea.—In the last two cases the participle cannot take the article. The same holds also in the first case, except when the participle stands as a substantive, i. c. when it is to be translated by is qui, talis qui, he who, etc. while, on the other hand, those relative clauses for which clauses with a conjunction can be substituted, are expressed by a participle without the article.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Mem. 4. 2. 28 οἱ μὲν εἰδότες ὅ,τι ποιοῦσιν, ἐπιτυγχάνοντες (if they attain to) ὧν πράττουσιν, εὕδοξοί τε καὶ τίμιοι γίγνονται· οἱ δὲ μὴ εἰδότες ὅ,τι ποιοῦσι, οἶς ἀν ἐπιχειρήσωσιν ἀποτυγχάνοντες ἀδοξοῦσι διὰ ταῦτα, καὶ καταφρυνούμενοι καὶ ἀτιμαζόμενοι ζῶσιν.—Dem. Ol. p. 31 οὐχ εὐρήσετε τὸν γράψοντα ἀ συμφέρει, διὰ το παθεῖν τι κακὸν τὸν εἰπόντα καὶ γράψαντα. See also § 124. n. 6, and no. 3 below.

Note 1. When the participle as substantive is intended to mark an indefinite person or thing, the article must be omitted. But since it is by the article that participles become substantives, without the article they would assume again their verbal character; thus \$\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\theta\theta\tilde{\eta}\rangle \theta\

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: ἢλθέ τις λέγων, there came one saying, one who said. Here the English idiom is the same with the Greek. Hell. 7. 5. 24 χαλεπὸν εύρεῖν τοὺς ἐθελήσοντας μένειν, ἐπειδάν τινας φεύγοντας τῶν ἐαυτοῦ ὁρῶσι. Dem. Phil. 1. p. 45 εἰσὶν οἱ πάντ' ἐξαγγέλλοντες ἐκείνω πλείους τοῦ δέοντος. Is. Areop. p. 144 χαλεπώτερον ἢν ἐν ἐκείνοις τοῖς χρόνοις εὐρεῖν τοὺς βουλομένους ἄρχειν ἢ νῦν τοὺς μηδὲν δεομένους. Plat. Menex. p. 236 ἤκουσε γὰρ ὅτι μέλλοιεν ᾿Αθηναῖοι αἰρεῖσθαι τὸν ἐροῦντα, one who should

speak.

Note 2. Nevertheless the article can be omitted and yet the participle not lose its substantive character; when namely it cannot be confounded with any verbal construction, and the insertion of τ or of the article is

impracticable. This may be seen in the following

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 6. 2. 1 at the beginning: ἡλθον παρὰ τοῦ Ἰνδοῦ χρήματα ἄγοντες καὶ ἀπήγγείλαν, where there can be no subject but ἄγοντες. Isocr. p. 360 ἀφικνοῦνται ἀπαγγέλλοντες ὅτι ὁ πατὴρ ἀφείται. Hdot. 1. 42 οὐ γὰρ συμφορῆ τοιῆδε κεχρημένον οἰκός ἐστι ἐς ὁμήλικας εὖ πρήσσοντας ἰέναι, general indeed, but yet with evident reference to Adrastus, and hence the addition of τινά avoided. An. 6. 5. 9 οἱ πολέμιοι ἐμπίπτουσιν εἰς τεταγμένους καὶ ἀκεραίους. Plat. Legg. p. 795 διαφέρει πολὺ μαθὼν μὴ μαθόντος και ὁ γυμνασάμενος τοῦ μὴ γυμνασαμένου, here without any perceptible difference.

2. Where perspicuity is not thereby affected, minor clauses introduced by a conjunction may be expressed by a participle, whenever the subject of such a clause has been named in connection with the preceding verb; and the participle is then put in the same case with its subject-word. Such clauses especially may be thus expressed, which include a relation of time (as, when, while, after); a cause or reason (since, because); a condition (if); a limitation (although, see note 15); a purpose (that, in order that, see Text 3 below). The different relations of time regulate the choice among the different participial forms.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Έπεσκεψάμην τὸν έταῖρον νοσοῦντα, 'I visited my friend who was ill, or also when or because he was ill.'—τῷ μεγάλω βασιλεῖ οὐ πάτριόν έστιν ἀνδρὸς ἀκροᾶσθαι μὴ προσκυνήσαντος.—Mem. 1. 4. 8 τὸ σῶμα συνήρμοσταί σοι, μικρὸν μέρος λαβόντι ἐκάστου.—Dem. Phil. p. 44 οὕτω δεῖ τὰς γνώμας ἔχειν, ὡς, ἐὰν δέῃ, πλευστέον εἰς τὰς ναῦς ἐμβᾶσιν, that, if necessary, we ourselves must embark and set sail.

Note 3. In all participial constructions, there strictly lies at the basis a relation of time; i.e. the action of the participle, in whatever connection it may stand with that of the other verb, is almost always to be regarded either as antecedent, cotemporary, or future, in respect to that other; and is put accordingly in the required tense. But in viewing this relation, a mode of conception is often possible, different from that which is familiar to ourselves; and hence it happens, that we often find in writers the Part. Aor. where we should expect the Part. Pres. and vice versa. E. g. in the

Homeric 'Ως εξπών ὅτρυνε μένος καὶ θυμὸν ἐκάστου, we should expect the Present héyou; for in that he so speaks, by his discourse, the leader encourages his troops; but he must also ALREADY have said something persuasive, when they become moved. So also in Xen. Mem. 3. 6. 2 roide λέξας κατέσχεν αὐτόν.—But the Part. Pres. can stand, when both actions are conceived of as continued or constantly repeated; e. g. Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 61 (of Socrates) βελτίδυς γάρ ποιών τους συγγιγνομένους απέπεμπεν. Here ποιήσας would refer only to a single instance; but the meaning is, 'he made (customarily, every time) those who came to him better, and then sent them away.'—The Part. Pres. stands also for many actions which are necessarily connected with, or presupposed by, the following one, and must almost be conceived of as one with them, as to go, run, lead, bring, etc. comp. § 150. m. 33 sq. E. g. Il. a. 179 οἴκαδ' ἰων Μυρμιδόνεσσιν ἄνασσε, 'go home and rule—;' Il. ρ. 707 στῆ δὲ θέων, 'running he placed himself;' Od. a. 127 ἔγχος ἔστησε φέρων πρὸς κίονα, 'bearing the spear away he placed it by the column;' Plat. Charm. 2 καί με καθίζει ἄγων, 'he leads and seats me;' Hdot. 8. 118 τοὺς δὲ προσκυνέοντας ἐκπηδέειν—, because the genuflexion is conceived of as inseparable from the act of quitting the royal person.—Other instances are to be explained from a peculiar signification of the Aorist, by means of which it marks the beginning of an action. Thus στρατηγήσας, βασιλεύσας, ἄρξας ἐποίει, may indeed mean: as general, king, ruler, he did so and so; literally however: having become general, king, ruler, etc. See Kruger de Auth. p. 8.—Finally, it is not at all unusual to subjoin to an Aorist a cotemporary action in the aorist participle; where then by way of explanation the participle can be changed into the Indicative of the same tense and connected by Kai. E. g. Cyr. 1. 3. 8 (ἔφασαν) 'Αστυάγην σκώ ψαντα είπεῖν. See Herm. ad Vig. note 224; also below in note 6, λανθάνειν.

3. Especially do the Greeks employ the participle of the Future to express a purpose, where we employ a clause beginning with that, in order that, or the Infinitive with to, in order to.

Examples: ταῦτα μαθῶν ὁ Κῦρος ἔπεμψε τὸν Γωβρύαν ἐποψ ὁμενον, 'Cyrus sent Gobryas to see, or that he might see.' Plat. Gorg. p. 478 τὸν ἀδικοῦντα παρὰ τοὺς δικαστὰς ἄγειν δεῖ δίκην δώ σοντα, in order that he may be punished. Dem. Ol. p. 14 τοὺς συμμάχους δεῖ σώζειν, καὶ τοὺς τοῦτο ποιήσοντας στρατιώτας ἐκπέμπειν (see no. 1 above), 'and to send out soldiers in order to accomplish this.'

Note 4. As the Present likewise often implies a purpose (de conatu § 137. n. 10), the same idea can also be given by the participle of the Present, whenever the context readily suggests the sense. E. g. ἔπεμψεν αὐτὸν ἀγγέλλοντα, in order to announce. Plat. Euthyphr. p. 8. πάντα ποιοῦσι καὶ λέγουσι φεύγοντες τὴν, δίκην. Hdot. 8. 143 Θεοῖσι συμμάχοισι πίσυνοί μιν ἐπέξιμεν ἀμυνόμενοι.

4. The Greeks can interweave into one clause what in English we express in several clauses; and this without confusion, in that they connect the participial construction with that of the relative and that of the Infinitive; insert also interrogative words; and even make one participle dependent on another. In this last case the participle cannot be connected by κal .

Examples: Ἐκεῖνα μόνον διεξήει, ἀ τοὺς ἰδόντας ἡγεῖτο τεθνηκέναι, where we say: 'he narrated only those things, as to which he supposed, that they who saw them were now dead.' Dem. Mid. 42 τιμάτε αὐτῷ οὐκ ελάσσονος, ἡ ὅσον καταθεὶς παύσεται τῆς ὕβρεως, lay upon him no less a punishment, than one which having suffered, he shall cease from his insolence. II. σ. 372 τὸν δ'

εδρ' $l \, \delta \, \rho \, \omega$ οντα έλισσόμενον περὶ φύσας, where the first participle is explained or qualified by the second. Od. ε. 374 αὐτὸς δὲ πρηνής άλὶ κάππεσε, χείρε πετάσσας νηχέμεναι μεμαώς. Comp. Eur. Suppl. 231. Iph. T. 714 [696]. For interrogative clauses in the participial construction, see § 127. 5, and n. 8.

Note 5. With the participle also, the constructio ad sensum (κατὰ σύνεσων) is not unfrequent; this here takes place not only in respect to number and gender, e. g. Cyr. 7. 3. 8 & dyaθη ψυχή, οίχη δη ἀπολιπων ημάς; (other examples see in § 129. 11;) but also in respect to case, in consequence of a change of construction mentally. Thus the participle is put in the Nominative both before and after a noun or pronoun in the Dative; as Plat. Apol. 6 καὶ διαλεγόμενος αὐτῷ, ἔδοξέ μοι οὖτος ὁ ἀνηρ εἶναι σοφός, i. e. ἐνόμιζον τὸν ἄνδρα κτλ. comp. Legg. p. 811. d.—Thuc. 7. 42 καὶ τοῖς Συρακοσίοις κατάπληξις οὐκ ὀλίγη ἐγένετο . . . ὁρῶντες, i. e. κατεπλάγησαν. After the Accusative: Eur. Hec. 964 αἰδώς μ' ἔχει, ἐν τῷδε πότμφ τυγχάνουσ', τω' εἰμὶ νῦν κτλ. i. e. αἰδοῦμαι. After the Genitive: Hdot. 4. 132 Δαρείου ἡ γνώμη ἔην . . . εἶκάζων, i. e. Δαρείος τὴν γνώμην εἶχε. Comp. Eurip. IT. 680 σωθεὶς δὲ κτλ. So too the Dative after the Genitive: Thuc. 1. 61 ἢν δὲ γνώμη τοῦ ᾿Αριστέως, τὸ μὲν μεθ' ἐαντοῦ στρατόπεδον ἔχοντι, ἐπιτηρεῖν κτλ.—Comp. further, § 145. n. 1, 2, 4, 8. § 151. II.

5. By rule the main action should always be expressed by a finite verb; and the *minor circumstances* by participles. But the Greeks, and especially the poets, could sometimes reverse this construction, at least according to our ideas, in order to give more peculiarity to the expression.

Examples: Soph. OC. 1038 χωρῶν ἀπείλει νῦν, i. e. begone with thy threatening; for afterwards it is said: σὺ δ' ἡμῖν ἔκηλος αὐτοῦ μίμνε. Plat. Gorg. p. 486 τὸν τοιοῦτον ἔξεστιν ἐπὶ κόρδης τύπτοντα μὴ δίδοναι δίκην, where evidently the idea τύπτειν depends on ἔξεστι: such a fellow one may cuff without being punished. Il. ι. 540 κακὰ πόλλ ἔρδεσκεν ἔθων. This usage is imitated by the Latin poets, especially Virgil.

NOTE 6. Hence may be explained a usage, which is very current in prose also in a certain number of verbs. These, which according to our ideas would express only minor or adverbial circumstances, take in Greek the form of the main verb; while that which with us is the main action is expressed by a participle dependent on that verb. Such verbs are the following.

τυγχάνειν and in the poets κυρεῖν, happen, come to pass: ὡς δὲ ἦλθον, ἔτυχεν ἀπιών, as I came, he was by chance going away. Similar is ὑπάρχειν: Dem. Ol. p. 30 ὑπῆρχον οἱ Ὀλύνθιοι δύναμίν τινα κεκτημένοι,

they possessed at the time a force.

λανθάνειν be hid, concealed: ταῦτα ποιήσας ἔλαθεν ὑπεκφυγών, having done this he fled secretly, unperceived. Or the reference may be to the subject itself: τὸν φονέα λανθάνει βόσκων he nourishes unconsciously his murderer; ἔλαθε πεσών he fell unawares, which phrase belongs to the anomaly mentioned in note 3; because the Part. stands in the Aorist, while the verb itself is also an Aorist. So too λάθε βιώσας 'live unnoticed.' But also vice versa, ἄλτο λαθών II. μ. 390.

σas 'live unnoticed.' But also vice versa, ἄλτο λαθών Il. μ. 390. φθάνειν anticipate, come before: ἔφθην ἀφελών I took it away just be-

FORE. See further among the phrases in § 150. m. 37.

διατελείν continue: διατελεί παρών, he is continually present. Dem Lept. 4 of ᾿Αθηναίοι ὑπὲρ δόξης τὰς ἰδίας οὐσίας προσαναλίσκοντες διετελοῦν. So too διάγειν and διαγίγνεσθαι. Ε. g. Mem. 4.8.4 Σωκράτης οὐδὲν ἄλλο ποιῶν διαγεγένηται ἢ πράττων τὰ δίκαια καὶ τῶν ἀδίκων ἀπεχόμενος. Similar is: λέγων διατρίβω, I speak long or too long, Dem. 01. p. 11; also λιπαρείν in Herodotus, e. g. 3.51 ελιπάρεε ἰστορέων, he narrated perseveringly; comp. 1.94. Æs. Fab. 131.

Cc

οίχομαι, go. am gone, often serves for periphrase, in that it takes with it the main idea expressed by a participle; e. g. ωχετο φεύγων ν. ἀπίων, he is fled and gone; οίχεται θανών, he is dead and gone.

For the omission of ὧν with such verbs, see in note 7.—In like manner the verbs χαίρειν, ἀγαπᾶν, ἦδεσθαι, ἄχθεσθαι, (see Text 6,) when followed by a participle, may often be translated gladly, sadly, or the like; e. g. Eur. Hipp. 7 οί θεοὶ τιμώμενοι χαίρουσι ἀνθρώπων ὕπο. Soph. Phil. 673 οὐκ ἄχθομαί σ' ἰδών τε καὶ λαβών φίλον.—Comp. ἐθέλω in § 150. m. 36.

6. Not unfrequently a clause which is the complement of a verbal idea, and which in English we introduce by the conjunction that, is in Greek expressed by a participle. Here, as in other participial constructions, such a participle, considered as a verb, either has the same subject with the preceding verb, and consequently stands in the Nominative; or it has a different subject, and stands consequently, with the same, as a near or remote object of the preceding verb, in one of the oblique cases.

Yet this construction with the participle can only take place, when the latter can actually stand from its own nature, i.e. when it can be resolved into a clause with in that, while, as one who, etc. Where this is not the case, the Infin. is used; which indeed not unfrequently occurs in place of the participle; but never vice versa.* The difference between the two constructions may be clearly seen in the following examples: φαίνομαι ων αρρατεί me esse, φαίνομαι είναι esse videor;—μανθάνω ων I learn that I am, μανθάνω είναι I learn to be;—οὐ περιορῶ σε τοῦτο ποιήσαντα I do not overlook it that thou didst this, οὐ περιο σε τοῦτο ποιήσαν I do not allow thee to do this. In like manner, μεμνήσθαι: μέμνησο ἄνθρωπος ων. Plat. Charin. p. 156 μέμνημαι ἔγωγε Κριτία τῷδε ξυνόντα σε. Αροί. p. 27 ὑμεῖς δέ, δω ἄνδρες, μέμνησθέ μοι μὴ θορυβεῖν.—αίσχύνεσθαι: Cyr. 5. 1. 21 χάριν ὑμῖν ἀποδιδόναι οῦπω ἀξίαν δύναμιν ἔχειν μοι δοκῶ, καὶ τοῦτο μὲν οὐκ αἰσχύνομαι λέ-

* The difference between the two constructions is founded on the difference of the two verbal forms. The participle namely, as is shewn by its more definite system of endings and declension by number, gender, etc. is a verbal form susceptible of a far greater number of relations, than the Infinitive; since this latter expresses the idea of the verb only in the most indefinite manner, and admits at the most only a distinction of time. Consequently, when one action (or condition) comes to stand in a clear and definite relation towards another,—a relation limited by a reference to place, time, or other circumstances,—the participial construction will naturally be preferred and applied. E. g. An. 1. 8. 16 δ δε δορύβου ήκουσε διὰ τῶν τάξεων ἰδντος, καὶ ήρετο τίς δ δόρυβος είη, i. e. he hears the clamour (himself) and follows its course (as it were) through all the ranks. Also Xen. Œc. init. ἀκούω Σωκράτους τοιαθτα διαλεγομένου, i. c. 'I follow the discourse of Socrates.' Contra, Mem. 3. 1. 1 ἀκούω αὐτὸν είε τὴν πόλιν ἢκειν, i. e. 'I hear (from others) that he has come to the city.' But on the other hand again: Cyr. 2. 4. 12 δ 'Αρμένως καταφρονεί σου, δτι ἀκούωι τοὺς πολεμίους προσιόντας ἐψ' ἡμᾶς, where ἀκούω indeed also implies 'to learn from others;' but the approach of the enemy stands in approach of the enemy stands in the subject or respectively. emphatic relation to the subject or person hearing; comp. Cyr. 1. 3. 1. Hence it is not a mere accidental construction, when verbs of internal and external perception (espec. of seeing), of learning, of knowing, and of an emotion of mind, are connected with a participle far more frequently than with the Infinitive; while on the other hand those verbs which express mere belief, opinion, conjecture, and all those which include a reference to the future, (as those of hoping, promising, etc.) are oftener connected with the Infinitive. Hence, further, verbs of speaking and narrating could take either construction; but Φίλιππος ἀγγέλλεται τὴν "Ολυθον πολιορκών is manifestly more emphatic than τὴν "Ολ. πολιορκών. The Infin. names an action generally; the participle describes it.—Finally, we may also hence see, how the Infin. as a more general verbal form may often come in place of the participle, but not vice versu.

γοιν· τὸ δέ, ην μένητε παρ' ἐμοί, ἀποδώσω, εὖ ἴστε ὅτι τοῦτο αἰσχωνοίμην ἀν εἰπεῖν.—γιγνώσκειν: Thue. 7. 77 γρώτε (know) ἀναγκαῖον δν ὑμῖν ἀνδρὰσιν ἀγαθοῖς γίγνεσθαι. Hell 4. 5. 5 ὁ δ' (Αγησίλαος) ἔγνω (determined) παραδοῦναι αὐτοὺς τοῖς ψυγάσι, τὰ δ' ἀλλα πάντα πραθηναι. For ἀκούειν see also note 6 above.—Since therefore there is no particular class of verbs, with which this construction with the participle is specially connected, but it depends in every case simply on the nature of the whole clause; it will be sufficient here to point out with what verbs by preference this construction may be employed. These are the verbs of physical and mental perception; of an emotion of mind (either of joy or sorrow); the ideas of being and making public; of being full; of permitting, persevering, beginning, ccasing, doing wrong, and many others.

a) Examples of the Nominative, where also the proper subject of the participle can be omitted, as in the construction with the Infinitive: οδ συνίεσαν μάτην πονοῦντες.—Hdot. 3. 1 διαβεβλημένος οδ μανθάνεις;—Ευτ. Med. 347 ἐν τῷδε δείξω πρῶτα μὲν σοφὸς γεγώς, ἔπειτα σώφρων, εἶτά σοι μέγας φίλος.—Plat. Gorg. p. 470 μὴ κάμης φίλον ἄνδρα εὐεργετών. p. 480, οὐτοσὶ ἀνὴρ οὐ παύσεται φλυαρῶν.—Xen. Ec. 1. 23 αἰ ἐπιθυμίαι αἰκιζόμεναι τὰ σώματα τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς οῦποτε λήγουσι, ἔστ' ἀν ἄρχωσιν αὐτῶν.—Plat. εὖ γ' ἐποίησας ἀναμνήσας με.—Thuc. 1. 53 ἀδικεῖτε, & ἄνδρες, πολέμου ἄρχοντες καὶ σπονδὰς λύοντες.—Also with ἄν, see § 139. m. 17 · Isocr. p. 311 σκοπούμενος εὐρισκον οὐδαμῶς ἀν ᾶλλως τοῦτο διαπραξάμενος, i. e. 'I found that I could by no means accomplish this differently.'—Hence also with Passives, arising out of the next following construction in lett. b: Demosth. δ Φίλιππος ἐξελήλεγκται πάντ' ἔνεκα ἐαυτοῦ ποιῶν. So likewise with the phrases δῆλος ν. φανερός εἰμι ν. ποιῶν, etc. which have an analogous construction; see § 151. I. 7.

b) Examples of the Accusative: Cyr. 1. 2. 2 οἱ Πέρσαι διαμνημονεύουσι τὸν Κῦρον τοιαύτην ἔχοντα φύσιν κτλ.—Il. ε. 895 ἀλλ' οὐ μάν σ' ἔτι δηρὸν ἀνέξομαι ἄλγε' ἔχοντα.—Soph. Aj. 134 Τελαμώνιε παἷ, σὲ μὲν εὖ πράσσοντ' ἐπιχαίρω.—Phil. 1314 ἦσθην πατέρα τὸν ἐμὸν εὐλογοῦντά σε.—Dem. οδδα

συνοίσον τω τὰ βέλτιστα εἰπόντι. See also note 8.

c) Examples of the Genitive and Dative: Mem. 4. 4. 11 ήσθησαι πώποτέ μου ή ψευδομαρτυροῦντος ή άλλο τι άδικον πράττοντος;—Æsch. Ag. 281 εἴ γὰρ φρονοῦντος ὅμμα σου κατηγορεῖ.—οὐδέποτε μετεμέλησέ μοι σιγήσαντι, φθεγξαμένω δὲ πολλάκις, an apophthegm of Simonides.—Plat. Logg. p. 857 οὐδὲν διαφέρει τῷ κλέπτοντι, μέγα ἡ σμικρὸν ὑφελομένω, 'that (whether) he has taken much or little.'

Note 7. The participle w, ovra, etc. is sometimes omitted, so that then

the predicate-noun stands alone in the required case.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Plat. Alcib. I. p. 130 οὐδἐν ἄλλο ὁ ἄνθρωπος συμβαίνει ἡ ψυχή so. ων. Soph. OC. 1210 σῶς ἴσθι, from οἴδα. Soph. OT. 576 οὐ φονεὺς ἀλώσομαι. Eur. Hipp. 1090 τόδ' ἔργον σε μηνύει κακόν.—So too very often with the verbs in note 6; as Hell. 2. 3. 25 οἱ βέλτιστοι ἀεὶ ἀν πιστοὶ διατελοῖεν. Soph. El. 313 νῦν ἀγροῖσι τυγχάνει sc. ων.

Note 8. When the subject in the participial clause remains the same as in the main clause, it is by rule omitted. It can however be inserted, as with the Infinitive (§ 141. n. 4), for the sake of special emphasis; but the construction then immediately passes over (as there also) into that of the Accusating

EXAMPLES: Cyr. 1. 4. 4 Κύρος οὐχ, δ κρείσσων ήδει ὧν, ταῦτα προϋκαλεῖτο τοὺς συνόντας, ἀλλ' ἄπερ εὖ ήδει ἐαυτὸν ήττονα ὅντα. Soph. Trach. 708 όρω δέ μ' ἔργον δεινὸν ἐξειργασμένην.—Dom. Phil. p. 70 ἀμφότερα οίδε, καὶ ἐαυτὸν ὑμῖν ἐπιβουλεύοντα κοὶ ὑμᾶς αἰσθανομένους.

NOTE 9. After verbs which have with them a reflexive pronoun in the Dative, (e. g. σύνοιδα ἐμαντῷ I am conscious to myself,) this participle can stand in either of the two cases belonging to the verb; e. g. οὕτε μέγα οὕτε

σμικρον ξύνοιδα έμαυτῷ σοφὸς ὧν Plat. Apol. p. 21; and also: ξύνοιδα έμαυτῷ οὐδὲν ἐπισταμένῳ ib. p. 22. So too we find συγγιγνώσκειν construed with both cases; Hdot. 5. 91 συγγινώσκομεν αὐτοίσι ἡμῖν οὐ ποιήσασι ὀρθῶς. Lys. p. 164 συνέγνωσαν αὐτοί σφισιν ὡς ἦδικηκότες. Comp. Soph. Ant. 926.—An example of ὅμοιός εἰμι with the Nom. is Xen. An. 3. 5. 13 δμοιοί ἦσαν θαυμάζοντες.

7. All the declinable adjuncts of the participle naturally pass over with it into the same case in which the participle stands; and this not only with εἶναι, but also with such verbs as καλεῖτσθαι, νομίζεσθαι, etc.

Examples: ὑμῖν δὲ οὖσιν ᾿Αθηναίοις οὐ πρέπει.—Χου. Αυ. 7. 5. 12 ἐπορεύοντο διὰ τῶν Μελινο φάγων καλουμένων Θρακῶν.—Hdot. 6. 140 ἐνορέω ὑμῖν οὖκ οἴοισί τε ἐσομένοισι πολεμέειν Ξέρξη.—Cyr. 1. 6. 14 ἐκέλευσάς με τοῖς στρατηγικοῖς νομιζομένοις ἀνδράσι διαλέγεσθαι.

Note 10. The participles καλούμενος and δνομαζόμενος receive in connection with a substantive and the article the signification of our so called; they take entirely the position of an adjective, that is, between the article and substantive; and are regularly declined. E. g. al καλούμεναι δήτραι, ταις καλουμέναις δήτραις.

NOTE 11. With the participial construction is connected also the attraction with the Infinitive, § 142. 2. b. E. g. Plat. Apol. p. 22. ἢοθόμην αὐτῶν οἰομένων σοφωτάτων είναι ἀνθρώπων.

Νοτε 12. A more complex participial construction takes place, when the participle suffers attraction. Thus the clause: $d\pi a \nu \tau \delta \sigma i$ ενθάδε βουλευσόμενοι, when made dependent becomes: είρηται αὐτοῖς $d\pi a \nu \tau \delta i$ ενθάδε βουλευσόμενοι, when made dependent becomes: είρηται αὐτοῖς $d\pi a \nu \tau \delta i$ εν λευσομένοις, it has been notified to them to come together here in order to take counsel, Aristoph. Lys. 13. So too Xen. Mem. 1. 1. 9 (comp. § 142. n. 1) d τοῖς $d\nu \theta \rho \delta i$ τοῦς $d\nu \theta \rho \delta i$ τ

8. Particles are also frequently employed in connection with participles; as appears from the following notes.

Note 13. For the sake of emphasis, where the participle precedes the other verb to which it belongs, the particle οὖτως or ἔπειτα, or also εἶτα, is inserted between the two, as if to mark a resumption of the participle. E. g. Dem. Mid. p. 536 ἐχρῆν αὐτόν, τὰ ὄντα ἀναλίσκοντα, ὥσπερ ἐγώ, οὖτω με ἀφαιρεῖσθαι τὴν νίκην, it was necessary for him, in that he expended what he had, like myself, so (i. e. through this expenditure) to deprive me of the victory.*—Xen. Hier. 7. 9 ὅταν οἱ ἄνθρωποι ἄνδρα ἡγησάμενοι εὐεργετεῖν ἰκανὸν εἶναι, ἔπειτα τοῦτον ἀνὰ στόμα ἔχωσιν ἐπαινοῦντες, . . . τὸν οὖτω τιμώμενον μακαρίζω.—An. 1. 2. 25 οὐ δυνάμενοι εὐρεῖν τὰς ὁδούς, εἶτα πλανώμενοι ἀπώλοντο, not being able to find the way, they thus perished in wandering about.

—For the particles ἔπειτα and εἶτα, see further, § 149. m. 19. For μεταξύ and ἀμα, see § 150. m. 27, 29.—In like manner phrases with prepositions, as μετὰ ταῦτα, διὰ τοῦτο, ἐκ τούτου, are put after participles; see Krüger de Auth. Anab. p. 55.



^{*} In a manner entirely analogous, when the participle stands with the article, and consequently instead of an adjective (relative) clause, the pron. οδτος is in like manner inserted and in the same case with the participle; e.g. Hdot. 9. 67 of γτρ μηδίζοντες τῶν Θηβαίων, οδτοι είχον προθυμίην οὐκ λλίγην. Comp. Cyr. 4. 2. 39.

Note 14. The particles $d\tau\epsilon$, old or old, are often connected with participles, when the latter express a cause or reason as real and objective. On the other hand, os or $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ is employed, partly when a cause or reason is presented as existing in the mind of another (see more in § 145. n. 7), and partly in order to express an appearance, quasi, as if. More especially the participle of the Future with os is used to express the purpose of an action (no. 3 above) as it exists in the mind of the subject.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Cyr. 1. 3. 3 Κύρος, ἄτε παῖς ὧν καὶ φιλόκαλος καὶ φιλότιμος, ήδετο τῆ στολῆ. ib. 2, Κ. εὐθύς, οἶα δὴ παῖς φιλόστοργος ὧν φύσει, ἡσπάζετο τὸν πάππον. Plat. Rep. p. 329 ἀγανακτοῦσιν ὡς μεγάλων τινῶν ἀπεστερημένοι. Soph. OT. 955 (ἤκει) πατέρα τὸν σὸν ἀγγελῶν ὡς οὐκ ἔτ' ὅντα, ἀλλ όλωλότα. Cyr. 8. 1. 42 οἱ δὲ οὐ μετεστρέφοντο ἐπὶ θέαν οὐδενός, ὡς οὐδὲν θαυμάζοντες. An. 1. 1. 11 Κῦρος Πρόξενον ἐκέλευσε παραγενέσθαι, ὡς ἐπὶ Πεισίδας βουλόμενος στρατεύεσθαι, ὡς πράγματα παρεχόντων Πεισίδων τῆ ἐαυτοῦ χώρα (comp. the cases absol. § 145. n. 7); and thereupon, 1. 2. 1 τὴν πρόφασιν ἐποιεῖτο ὡς Πεισίδας βουλόμενος ἐκβαλεῖν ἐκ τῆς χώρας.—So too ὡς with Part. Fut. Hell. 4. 2. 5 οἱ μὲν δὴ ξυνεσκευάζοντο ὡς ἀκολουθήσοντες · ὁ δὲ 'λγησίλαος προεῖπε καὶ τοῖς ἱππάρχοις, ὅστις εὐιπποτάτην τάξιν ἀρρίστιππος αἰτεῖται Κῦρον εἰς δισχιλίους ξένους, ὡς οῦτω περιγενόμενος ἀν τῶν πολεμίων. See § 139. m. 17.

Note 15. Where a participle serves to modify or limit the sense, the particle καίπερ is by rule put before it; less often καίτοι, Plat. Prot. p. 339. c; also simply καί, or poetic περ enclitic. Here also the peculiar usage is to be noted, that the particle ὅμως tamen, which belongs to the main verb, is often placed in immediate connection with the participle: Eur. Or. 669 κἀγώ σ' ἰκνοῦμαι καὶ γυνή περ οὖσ' ὅμως.—Plat. Phæd. p. 91 δ Σιμμίας φοβείται, μὴ ἡ ψυχὴ ὅμως καὶ θειότερον ὄν τοῦ σώματος προαπολλύηται. Comp. further Reisig Enarr. ad Soph. OC. 659.

9. Finally, as in all languages, so in Greek, the participle serves for various *periphrases* of the simple tenses; as is seen in the following notes.

Note 16. This takes place mostly in connection with the verbs εἶναι, γίγνεσθαι, as auxiliaries; and in prose writers by rule only when those verbal forms are to be supplied, which are unusual or wanting; see § 98. 1, 4. § 137. n. 12. § 138. 4. The poets employ such periphrases without similar reasons, in order to introduce emphatic or peculiar turns of expression; e.g. Soph. Phil. 1217 ἐγὰ μὲν ἤδη πάλαι στείχων ἄν ἦν, εἰ μὴ . . . ἐλευσσόμην, instead of ἄστειχον. id. Aj. 588 ἰκνοῦμαί σε, μὴ προδοὺς ἡμας γένη, instead of προδῷς. Comp. Plat. Legg. p. 908. b, μισοῦντες γίγνονται τοὺς κακούς. Also in Herodotus often: ἦσαν ἰέντες, ἀπαρνεόμενός ἐστιν.

NOTE 17. Less frequent is the connection of a participle with the verbs εἶμι, ἔρχομαι, as if in order to form a new Future; compare μέλλειν c. Infin. Ε. g. Soph. Phil. 1197 οὐδέποτ' ἴσθι τόδ' ἔμπεδον, οὐδ' εἶ πυρφόρος σότεροπητὴς βροντᾶς αὐγαῖς μ' εἶσι φλογίζων. Compare also with the English and French idiom the following: Hdot. 1. 194 τὸ δὲ ἀπάντων Θώυμα μέγιστόν μοί εστι, ἔρχομαι φράσων. Comp. Plat. Theag. p. 129. a.

Note 18. An idiom very current in the tragic poets, and approaching nearer to our own usage, is the periphrase for the Perfect (i. e. completion in the present), by means of the participle of a preterite and the verb $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$. This usage has arisen out of certain turns of expression, in which the verb $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ to have yet retains its full signification; e.g. Hdot. 1. 28 τ 0½ $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda$ 0 τ 0 $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ 0 have yet retains its full signification; Mem. 2. 7. 6 $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ 0 τ 0 τ 0 τ 0 τ 0 hdo other hand, the proper signification of $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ 0 recedes more in Soph. OC. 1140 $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\lambda$ 10 τ 140 τ 20 τ 40 τ 50 τ 60 τ 70 Comp. Plat. Phædr. p. 257. c. Also with Part. Pres. Eur. Tro. 318 τὸν δανόντα πατέρα καταστένουσ' ἔχεις.

Note 19. There are still a number of participles in established use, which according to our ideas are pleonastic or redundant, and which we translate for the most part adverbially or in some other like way; such are ἀρχόμενος, τελευτῶν, ἔχων, etc. See note 3 above; and see more on these participles in § 150 m. 31 sq. 40 sq.

145. CASES ABSOLUTE.

1. In the constructions described in the preceding section, the participle is everywhere dependent on some noun connected with the principal verb; and it therefore stands in the same case with that noun. If now some other person or thing is introduced as a new subject, this is put with the participle in a case independent of the principal verb. This is called the Case Absolute.

2. The Genitive is more commonly employed in this construc-

tion; and these

Genitives Absolute

are precisely the same as the Latin Ablativi consequentiæ. Their original signification refers to time; since (by § 132.14) the Genitive serves to mark a relation of time. Hence, according as the reference is to time present, future, or past, the participle is put in the present, future, or preterite form. But here it is to be noted, that (according to § 137.6) in the narration of past events, the participle of the Present is also introduced to mark cotemporary and continued actions.—For $\epsilon \pi i$ as put with this Gen. see note 5.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Πάντων οὖν σιωπώντων εἶπε τοιάδε.—Plut. Per. 29 μετὰ ταῦτα κυμαίνοντος ἤδη τοῦ Πελοποννησιακοῦ πολέμου Περικλῆς ἔπεισε τὸν δῆμον, Κερκυραίοις ἀποστεῖλαι βοήθειαν.—Thuc. 1. 105 πολέμου καταστάντος πρὸς Αἰγισήτας ᾿Αθηναίοις ναυμαχία γίγνεται μεγάλη. id. 2. 2 οἱ Θηβαῖοι ἠβούλοντο τὴν Πλάταιαν ἔτι ἐν εἰρήνη τε καὶ τοῦ πολέμου μήπω φανεροῦ καθεστῶτος, προκαταλαβεῖν, κτλ.

3. But this construction serves also to express many other relations or connections, such as we express in English by if, when, since, because, in that, etc. or by our Nominative absolute. We may here remark in general, that in consequence of the greater number of participles Active, the instances of the Gen. absol. Pass. are much less frequent than in Latin.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Thuc. 3. 82 πῶν τὸ Ἑλληνικὸν ἐκινήθη, διαφορῶν οὐσῶν ἐκαστα-χόθεν. 5. 116 οἱ Μήλιοι εἶλον τὴν πόλιν, παρόντων οὐ πολλῶν τῶν φυλάκων. καὶ ἐλθούσης στρατιᾶς ὕστερον ἄλλης, γενομένης καὶ προδοσίας τινὸς ἀφ' ἐαυτῶν, ξυνεχώρησαν.—Μοπ. 3. 1. 3 Ολης τῆς πόλεως ἐν τοῖς πολεμικοῖς κινδύνοις ἐπιτρεπομένης τῷ στρατηγῷ, μεγάλα τά τε ἀγαθά, κατορθοῦντος αὐτοῦ, καὶ τὰ κακά, διαμαρτάνοντος, εἰκὸς γίγνεσθαι, κτλ. An example of the Part. Fut. see in note 7.

Note 1. Not unfrequently, however, even when the subject of the participial clause is already contained in the main clause, the construction of the Genitive absolute is admitted, contrary to grammatical rule. This takes place, e.g. when the participial clause precedes the main clause, and

the effect of the latter is thus less prominent; but more especially in the

participial construction introduced by &s, for which see note 8.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕΒ: Cyr. 1. 4. 20 ταῦτα εἰπόντος αὐτοῦ, ἔδοξέ τι λέγειν τῷ ᾿Αστυάγει, instead of Nom. εἰπών.—Hdot. 9. 99 οἱ Σάμιοι, ἀπικομένων ᾿Αθηναίων αἰχμαλώτων, ... τούτους λυσάμενοι πάντας ἀποπέμπουσι, instead of the Acc. ᾿Αθηναίους ἀπ. So too even when the subject of the participle precedes in another case; Thuc. 2. 8. ib. 3. 24.—Thuc. 1. 114 διαβεβηκότος ἤδη Περικλέους, ἦγγέλθη αὐτῷ, instead of the Dat. διαβεβηκότι ΙΙ.

Note 2. It is an Homeric usage, already referred to in § 133. n. 9, that when the Dative of a pers. pronoun is put instead of the Genitive, the participle follows in the Genitive; e. g. Od. ι. 458 τῷ κε οἱ ἐγκεφαλός γε... Θεινομένου ῥαίοιτο πρὸς οὔδεῖ. Comp. ζ. 156. ρ. 231.

4. When the subject is obvious from the context, the participle can stand alone in the Genitive.

Examples: παρόντα τὸν ἡγεμόνα ἦδοῦντο, ἀπόντος δὲ ἦσελγαινον, i.e. 'he being absent.' Plat. Menex. p. 243 (in this war) ἐκφανης δὲ ἐγένετο ἡ τῆς πόλεως ἀρετή·οἰομένων γὰρ ἦδη (i.e. the Lacedemonians and barbarians) τὴν πόλιν καταπεπολεμῆσθαι, οἰ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐμβάντες... ἐνίκησαν. See also Anab. 1. 2. 17. ib. 2. 1. 24.—So too all verbs which are used impersonally can pass over into a simple participle in a case absolute; for the details see below in notes 9, 10.

5. The Dative absolute is used, though seldom; partly in specifications of time, and partly in a mode of speech arising out of the Dat. Instrumenti. Comp. § 133. n. 8.

Examples: Xen. Hell. 3. 2. 25 περιιόντι τῷ ἐνιαυτῷ πάλιν φαίνουσι φρουρὰν ἐπὶ τὴν Ἡλιν 'the year drawing to a close, they again announced,' etc. Xen. Agesi. 1. 2 ἔτι καὶ νῦν τοῖς προγόνοις ὀνομαζομένοις ἀπομομυγνεύεται ὁποστὸς ἀφ' 'Ηρακλέους ἐγένετο, i. e. by naming his ancestors.

Note 3. Further, according to § 133. n. 8, the Dative of a participle apparently absolute may be put in connection with the main verb, where we translate by if or when one, etc. Here the subject implied in the participle is the indef. ris or some person not expressly named along with the main verb.

ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Thue, 1. 24 Ἐπίδαμνός ἐστι πόλις ἐν δεξιᾳ ἐσπλέοντι τὸν Ἰόνιον κόλπον, to one (if one is) sailing into the Ionian gulf. 2. 49 τὸ μὲν ἔξωθεν ἀπτομέν φ σῶμα οὕτε θερμὸν ἦν οὕτε χλωρόν. Comp. Hdot. 2. 29.

Note 4. Cases absolute, in the strict sense of the word, are properly only Nominatives absolute; for since the Nominative, in respect to the verb, can be only subject or predicate, it follows, that when a Nominative, in respect to the verb with which it stands, is neither of these, it must stand for itself alone, or absolutely. This however can take place only by an interruption of the sense; and all Nominatives absolute therefore belong more or less to the Anacolutha, § 151. II. But there is no fixed general usage in regard to them; and the particular examples are susceptible of easy explanation. E. g. Xen. Hell. 2. 3. 54 ἐκεῖνοι δὲ (οἱ ἔνδεκα) εἰσελθόντες σὺν τοῖς ὑπηρέταις, ήγουμένου αὐτῶν Σατύρου, εἶπεν ὁ Κριτίας—, where this construction is preferred, in order to avoid a double Genitive absolute. Ib. 2. 2. 3 excluns της νυκτός οὐδεὶς έκοιμήθη (i. e. all kept awake), οὐ μόνον τοὺς ἀπολωλότας πενθοῦντες, ἀλλὰ καὶ νομίζοντες κτλ. Where as Well πενθών as πενθούντων Would have been unnatural. See other examples in § 144. n. 5.—To poetry especially such constructions impart a peculiar charm, as the expression of unrestrained and vigorous nature, to which language so readily sacrifices grammatical exactness. So the Homeric phrase: Il. o. 267 ὁ δ' ἀγλαῖηψε πεποιθώς, 'Ρίμφα έ γοῦνα φέρει μετά τ' ήθεα καὶ νομὸν ἵππων, comp. ε. 135. Also with the like case in the main clause: Il. γ. 211 ἄμφω δ έζομένω

γεραρώτερος ἦεν 'Οδυσσεύς. κ. 224 σύν τε δύ' έρχομένω καί τε πρὸ ὁ τοῦ ἐνόησεν. Comp. here the usage with οἱ μέν, οἱ δέ, in § 132. n. 4.

Note 5. The other three cases can never in this sense become cases absolute. In all the above instances, they express rather, strictly considered, only remoter objects and relations of the verb with which they stand; just as (according to \$ 130. 4) the oblique cases are employed by themselves to mark not only time, but also causal and other relations. It was therefore very natural, in certain instances where the relation of time was to be made specially perceptible, that prepositions should be employed by way of distinction from those more figurative constructions. And as (according to § 147, under ent) the Gen. of an historical person with ent serves to name a period of time after that person, (e. g. ἐπὶ Κέκροπος in the time of Cecrops,) there was also often added to this expression, especially in the documentary style, a participle of the Present; thus, Dem. p. 266, 282 ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Εὐθυκλέους, 'Ηροπύθου, at the beginning of public decrees; comp. Thuc. 2.2. Further ἐπί with the Dative (§ 133. 4. e): Hdot. 2. 22 ἐπὶ χιόνι πεσούση; also μετά with the Acc. (§ 147): Hdot. 1.34 μετά Σόλωνα ολχόμενου. In like manner, in order to express an immediate succession of time (so soon as), åμα is often connected with a participial clause in the Dative; e. g. åμα τῷ ἦρι ἀρχομένω Thuc. and further μεταξύ with a Gen. absol. in order to mark what is simultaneous or parallel in time, while, as μεταξύ σοῦ λέyorτos. See further in § 150. m. 27.

Note 6. Sometimes an adjunct or supplementary qualification is expressed by means of an Accusative or Nominative absolute. E. g. Hdot. 2. 41 τοὺς βοῦς Θάπτουσι, τὰ κέρατα ὑπερέχοντα (with) the horns projecting. ib. 133 ἴνα οἱ (to him) δυώδεκα ἔτεα ἀντὶ ἔξ ἐτέων γένηται, αὶ νύκτες ἡμέραι ποιεύμεναι, the nights being made days. Comp. Cyr. 8. 3. 12 ἄρμα ἐξήγτος, φοινικίσι καταπεπταμένοι οἱ ἵπποι. The comparison of such instances shews, that strictly there is here a partial apposition; for ἡμέραι stands in the Nom. on account of γένηται, and κέρατα is to be regarded as Accusative because of Θάπτουσι.—What is elsewhere taken as Acc. absolute, (e. g. Il. ρ. 489 οὐκ ἄν, ἐφορμηθέντε γε νῶῖ, τλαῖεν ἐναντίβιον στάντες μαχέσασθαι Ἄρηῖ. Soph. OC. 1120 μὴ Θάνμαζε, τέκν εἰ φανέντ ἄελ πτα μηκύνω λόγον,) may better be regarded, according to § 131. n. 7, as real objects, dependent as to sense upon the main idea; or else as resulting from a sudden change of construction or Anacoluthon, as Cyr. 2. 1. 5 τοὺς μέντοι Ἑλληνας κτλ. Comp. § 141. n. 2.

Note 7. In one instance only is the construction of the Acc. absolute interchanged with that of the Gen. absolute without essential difference. As we have seen above in § 144. n. 14, when by means of a participial adjunct a cause or reason is presented as existing in the mind of another, the particle ωs (ωσπερ) is put before it; and this can take place with all cases, according to the nature of the main clause; e.g. the Nominative: Plat. Rep. p. 329 άγανακτοῦσιν ώς μεγάλων τινών ἀπεστερημένοι. The Genitive: Soph. Α΄ς 281 ως δδ' έχόντων τωνδ' ἐπίστασθαί σε χρή. Cyr. 1. 6. 11 ως έμου μηδέπ, τε ἀμελήσοντος (τῶν φίλων), οῦτως ἔχε την γνώμην. The Dalive: Soph. Phil. 33 στειπτή γε φυλλὰς ὡς ἐναυλίζοντί τω. Æsop. Fab. 181 ἔλαφος ἐπὶ τοῖς ποσὶν ἤχθετο ὡς λεπτοῖς οὖσι καὶ ἀσθενέσιν. The Accusative: Soph. Phil. 415 ως μικέτ' οντα κείνον εν φάει νόει. Now the same thing also takes place when the construction requires a case absolute; most naturally with Genitives, e. g. Plat. Phæd. p. 61 έπικελεύει μουσικήν ποιείν, ώς φιλοσοφίας μεγίστης ούσης μουσικής. That however so often, instead of the Genitive, Accusatives are introduced, arises only from the circumstance, that a cause or reason presented as in the mind of another seems to depend on a verb of thinking (sentiendi) implied; e.g. ἐσιώπα, ώς πάντας είδότας, he was silent, as if all knew, i.e. because he thought all knew. Mem. 1.2.20 of πατέρες είργουσι τοὺς υίεις ἀπό των πονηρών ἀνθρώπων, ώς την τούτων όμιλίαν κατάλυσα

νὖσαν τῆς ἀρετῆς, as convinced that their intercourse is the destruction of virtue. ib. 2. 3. 3 τῶν ἀδελφῶν ἀμελοῦσιν, ὥσπερ ἔκ τούτων οὐ γιγνομένους φίλους, as if of these none became friends. Of such participial clauses thus introduced by ὡς the Greeks, and especially the tragic poets, often avail themselves, as imparting to style a greater vivacity as well as brevity of expression.

NOTE 8. Here too, as in note 1, the construction with the case absolute is often employed contrary to the general rules. The occasion of this may

be seen in the particular examples, as follows:

Examples: Cyr. 6. 1. 37 οἱ φίλοι συμβουλεύουσιν ἐκποδὼν ἔχειν ἐμαυτόν, μή τι καὶ πάθω ὑπὸ σοῦ, ὡς ἠδικηκότος ἐμοῦ μεγάλα, where the participial-clause, although grammatically referring to the subject of πάθω, is yet put in the Genitive as expressing what is in the mind of the friends. Plat. Charm. p. 165 σὺ μέν, ὡς φάσκοντος ἐμοῦ εἰδέναι, προσφέρη πρός με, καὶ ὁμολογήσαντός σοι. Still more free, Hdot. 1.84 Μήλης κατηλόγησε τούτου (τοῦ τείχεος), ὡς ἐὸν ἄμαχόν τε καὶ ἀπότομον.

Note 9. Every impersonal verb, properly so called, i. e. one which has only an indefinite subject unexpressed (§ 129.17, 18) may pass over, as a case absolute, into the Genitive Sing. of the participle. E. g. σαλπίζοντος 'the trumpeter sounding;' νουτος πολλφ (from νει πολλφ sc. δμβρφ) 'it raining heavily,' Xen. Hell. 1.1.16. So Plat. Rep. p. 381 ουτως έχουτος. Aristoph. Eccl. 401 περὶ σωτηρίας προκειμένου. Soph. Ant. 1179 ώς δδ' έχοντων.

NOTE 10. Those Impersonals or impersonal constructions, where in strictness the clause dependent on the verb (commonly an Infinitive or clause with 574, etc.) is the real subject of the verb (§ 129. 10), are treated as cases

absolute in two different ways:

1) When the mere relation of time is to be expressed, the Genitive is employed. This occurs chiefly with the Passives of verbs signifying to say, announce, etc. and then it is usually the Genitive Plural, where τωνδε from τάδε can be mentally supplied. Ε. g. ὁ Περικλῆς Φχετο ἐπὶ Καύνου, ἐσαγγελθέντων οτι Φοίνισσαι νῆες ἐπιπλέουσιν, 'it (these things) having been announced,' Thuc. 1.116. So σημανθέντων Cyr. 1.4.18; δηλωθέντος Thuc.

1.74; but also in other verbs: οῧτω γιγνομένων Cyr. 5. 3. 13.

2) In all other connections the Accusative Neuter is employed. Thus εἰρημένον (from εἴρηται) it having been notified; προσταχθέν it having been commanded, Lysias; εξόν (from εἴξεστω) it being permitted; παρέχον it being in one's power Hdot. also εὖ, καλῶς παράσχον it being well in one's power, a good opportunity, Thuc. 1. 120. ib. 5. 14; χρεών, προσῆκον, δέον, it being needful; τυχόν, παρατυχόν, it happening; δοκοῦν, δόξαν,* it seeming; μεταμέλον it repenting him, Plat. Phæd. p. 113; μέλον it being for care. E.g. Aristoph. Cyr. 13 εἰρημένον αὐτοῖς παρείναι, οὐχ ῆκουσι 'it having been notified to them to be present, they yet do not come.' Cyr. 7. 1. 42 αἰροῦνται ὑμᾶς σῶσαι, ἐξὸν ἀπολέσαι, it being permitted to slay you, i. e. although they could. Epict. ap. Arr. 3. 26 ἀπειμι πάλιν, ἐκείνφ δοκοῦν (sc. ἐμὲ ἀπιέναι) 'I will go away again, since he thinks it proper.' Plat. Phæd. 235 δὶς καὶ τρὶς τὰ αὐτὰ εἴρηκεν, ὡς οὐ πάνυ εὐπορῶν, ἡ ἴσως οὐδὰν αὐτῷ μέλον τοῦ τοιούτου.—Ενεη adjectives, as δυνατόν, αἰσχρόν, ἀπόρ-ρητον, are thus found, the Participle ὅν being omitted: Plat. Rep. p. 519. d, ποιήσομεν χεῖρον ζῆν, δυνατόν αὐτοῖς ἄμεινον (sc. ζῆν) 'we shall make them live worse, it being possible for them to live better.' Sce also Cyr. 2. 2. 20. Soph. Ant. 44; and Herm. ad Vig. not. 214.



^{*} Also with a pronoun subjoined in the same case; as δόξαν ἡμῶν ταῦτα (from ταῦτ βοξε An. 4. 1. 13); also Plur. δόξαντα δὲ ταῦτα καὶ περαυθέντα Hell. 3. 2. 19. But also in the Genit. δόξαντος τούτου, δοξάντων τούτων, Hell. 1. 7. 32. ib. 1. 1. 36.

THE PARTICLES

146. Adverbs.

- 1. Adverbs derived from adjectives by means of the ending ως, have the same syntactical relations as their adjectives; e. g. ἀξίως ἡμῶν πολεμήσομεν, 'in a manner worthy of us;' ὁμοίως τυλ, etc. In like manner, adverbs which admit of comparison, even when there exists no corresponding adjective, have the connections of the Comparative and Superlative; e. g. μάλιστα πάντων most of all; οἱ πένητες τῶν εὐδαιμόνων μᾶλλον δύνανται ἐσθίειν τε καὶ καθεύδειν, i. e. better than the rich. See § 132. 10. b, 11.
- 2. We have already seen, that some adverbs serve at the same time as adjectives, e. g. $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma$ iov $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$ l, σ $\dot{\nu}\gamma\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$ l, $\dot{\nu}$ 129. 13; also that vice versa an adverbial sense is often expressed by adjectives, $\dot{\nu}$ 123. 6; and further that, by prefixing the article, particles become nouns, $\dot{\nu}$ 125. 6, 7.
- 3. To the adverbs belong strictly also the *Prepositions*; since they all are of adverbial origin. To the latter, according to the definition, ought also to belong all those primitive adverbs (i. e. not derived from adjectives) on which a substantive depends in an oblique case. Nevertheless, the Greek grammar reckons among the proper (or primitive) prepositions only such as are employed in *composition*, i. e. loose composition, † 121. 2. All the rest retain the name of *Adverbs*, even when they strictly, according to the definition, have become prepositions. These last also can only be connected with one case, the Genitive, (except $\tilde{a}\mu a$ or $\delta \mu o \tilde{b} u$ and $\tilde{b} s$, see note 1,) while the primitive prepositions are construed with one, or two, or all three of the cases.
- Note 1. Of the two adverbs just mentioned, $\delta \mu a$ ($\delta \mu o \bar{v}$) is also connected as a preposition with the Dative, and then marks more strongly than $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ a direct and close conjunction in time or place; as $\delta \mu a$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{e} \rho a$, $\delta \mu a$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta} \dot{\nu} \dot{e} \rho a$, $\delta \mu a$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta} \dot{\nu} \dot{e} \dot{e}$ with the sun; $\delta \sigma \sigma o i \delta \mu^{i}$ Atreidys $\dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v}$ Illiev $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$ Hom.—On the other hand, $\dot{\omega} s$ is put as a preposition of place with the Accusative, in answer to the question whither; but always referring to persons alone.* E. g. $\epsilon l \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta e v$ $\dot{\omega} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon}$ he entered to me; $\dot{u} \dot{v} \dot{\chi} \partial \eta \sigma a v$ $\dot{\omega} s$ $\dot{\tau} \dot{v} \dot{v}$ $\dot{\beta} a \sigma i \lambda \dot{\epsilon} a$.
- 4. Of those adverbs which are construed with the Genitive, and more or less occur only so (i. e. strictly as prepositions), are to be noted: 1) Those which are derived from the primitive prepositions by means of an adverbial ending or by composition. 2) Those which are formed in any other way; of which also many are strictly prepositions according to the definition.

Under no. 1 belong, with the endings in ω : ἄνω, εἴσω, ἔξω, κάτω, πρόσω; in ω s: ἐντός, ἐκτός; in ϑ εν, ϑ ε: πρόσθεν, ἔμπροσθεν, ὕπερθεν, καθύπερθε, ἀπό-

^{*} The instances where &s does not refer to persons, when such passages occur in good writers, are, as has been shewn by modern criticism, most probably corrupt; and instead of it, els should everywhere be read.

προθεν (also -9ι), ἀπάνευθεν, προπάροιθε ; further ἄντα, ἀντίον, ἀντία (see ἀντί), ἀντικρύ (καταντικρύ), ἀμφίς, μεταξύ.

Under no. 2 belong: $\tilde{a}\nu \in v$, $\tilde{a}^{r} \in \rho$, $\sin e$; $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, $\nu \dot{\phi} \sigma \dot{\phi} \iota \nu$, $\chi \omega \rho \dot{\iota} s$, $\delta \dot{\iota} \chi a$, $\rho r \dot{\alpha} t e r$, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \nu e \kappa a$, $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \nu e \kappa a$, $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \nu e \nu$, τ

Note 2. Of the preceding adverbs, $d\mu\phi$ is, asunder, apart, is construed with the Gen. only in epic usage; e. g. $d\mu\phi$ is odo out of the road II. ψ . 393; Διὸς ἀμφίς, 9. 444. Different from this is ἀμφίς, when in Homer especially before vowels it is only a secondary form of the preposition $a\mu\phi i$, about, round about; e. g. II. ϵ . 723. λ . 633. ξ . 374 $K\rho\delta\nu\sigma\nu$ $a\mu\phi is$.—"A τ ϵ ρ is a poetic form instead of arev, and is by rule put after its substantive, arms are ρ ; while dues very rarely has this position, and only in poets and after a relative: ὧν ἄνευ.—Πλήν is used also without any construction with a case, like our except; that is, it is put adverbially before every case at pleasure: οὐκ ἀφικυείται, πλην ή τοῦ φιλοσοφήσαντος (sc. ψυχή); παντί δήλον πλην έμοι Plat. οὐκ ἔδωκεν ἱππέας πλην μετρίους τινάς Xen. Further, it also connects whole clauses, and thus becomes a conjunction, and then stands often in connection with other conjunctions, as πλην εί.— Ένεκα (§ 117. 2) stands sometimes before and sometimes after its substantive. Originally it signified in respect to, ratione habita alicujus rei; as Hdot. 1. 42 ἀπήμονα τοῦ φυλάσσοντος είνεκεν προσδόκα τοι ἀπονοστήσειν (παίδα); comp. 3. 122. In connection with ye it receives a peculiar modifying power: Plat. Charm. p 158 εί σοι φίλου, έθελω σκοπείν, εί δε μή, έαν. 'Αλλά πάντων μάλιστα, έφη, φίλου, ώστε τούτου γε ένεκα σκόπει, as it respects this indeed, so far as respects this. Mem. 4. 3. 3 εἰ μὴ τὸ φῶς εἴχομεν, ὅμοιοι τοῖς τυφλοίς ἄν ἦμεν, ἔνεκά γε τῶν ἡμετέρων ὀφθαλμῶν. Comp. Plat. Phæd. p. 85.—Δίκην is often used by some writers, e. g. Æschylus, Plato, as a periphrase for the more usual ωs; as Agam. 3. κυνός δίκην. Phædr. p. 249 ὅρνιθος δίκην βλέπων ἄνω.— Χάριν stands almost always after its noun, and is in like manner most used by the poets. As being originally a noun, like the Lat. gratia, causa, it is put with the possessive pronoun in the same case, thus: $\epsilon\mu\eta\nu$ $\chi\acute{a}\rho\iota\nu$, $\sigma\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\chi\acute{a}\rho\iota\nu$, on my (thy) account; and in a similar way Euripides writes even $\pi a\tau \rho\acute{a}\rho\iota\nu$, i. q. $\pi a\tau \rho\acute{o}s$ $\chi\acute{a}\rho\iota\nu$, Heracl. 241.—M $\epsilon\chi\rho\iota$ is used in prose, sometimes as a preposition with the Genitive; sometimes as a conjunction, until, donec, where it strictly should read in full: μέχρις ού, An. 1. 7. 6.-"Aχρι(s) is not found in good prose; and occurs also very seldom in Homer and Hesiod.

- Note 3. When ἐγγύς, πέλας, and other adverbs, e. g. ἐκποδών, ἐμποδών, etc. are connected with the Dative, they are not so construed as prepositions, but form then with the verb (είναι, γίγνεσθαι, ἴστασθαι, etc.) a predicate-idea, to which the Dative belongs. E. g. Cyr. 2. 3. 2 ὁ μὲν ἀγὼν ἐγγὺς ἡ μῖν, sc. ἐστί. Eur. Phæn. 40 τυράννοις ἐκποδών μεθίστασο. Hel. 783 ἤκεις ἐμποδών ἐμοῖς γαμοῖς.
- 5. Other adverbs refer to verbs, and in this way connect two clauses together. So especially relative adverbs; e. g. παρέσομαι ὁπότε κελεύεις, I will be present whenever thou shalt command. This is the origin of Conjunctions; for the construction of which with the different moods, see § 139; and for their further usage, §§ 149, 150.

§ 147. Prepositions.

1. Besides the adverbial prepositions mentioned in the preceding section, the following are the ordinary primitive Prepositions († 115. 2) with their cases; expressing originally the most simple and general relations of place:

ἀντί, ἀπό, ἐξ (ἐκ), πρό, govern the Genitive; ἐν, σύν, the Dative; ἀνά, εἰς, the Accusative; διά, κατά, ὑπέρ, the Genitive and Accusative; ἀμφί, ἐπί, μετά, παρά, περί, πρός, ὑπό, the Genitive, Dative, and Accusative.

2. The use of the prepositions is very various and peculiar, for these reasons: 1) In many instances the preposition is inserted, where in English and other languages a simple case is employed. 2) Although most of the prepositions, in their primary signification, can be referred to definite relations; yet in their general application, and especially when used figuratively, these relations are so obscured, that in translating one and the same Greek preposition we often have to employ in English different ones of various significations.

NOTE 1. Let the student endeavour to obtain, under each preposition, a clear idea of the two characteristics, oneness of signification and variety of usage, by following out the investigation here indicated. The prepositions here follow in the same order as above in no. 1.

a) Prepositions with one Case.

'ANTI. The earliest signification seems to have been over against, as appears from the adverbs ἀντίον, ἀντία, ἄντα, from the compounds with ἀντί (note 6), and from single examples in the epic writers, as πῶς μέμονας ἀντὶ ἐμεῖο στήσεσθαι II. φ. 481; comp. o. 415. Hes. ε. 729, where however now-addys ἀντί', ἄντ', is everywhere written. See Spitzn. Exc. ad II. XVII.—By far the most current signification, but kindred with the preceding, is instead of, for, denoting alteration, exchange, value, etc. e. g. II. 9. 233 κενεαυχέες ἡγοράασθε, Τρώων ἀνθ' ἐκατόν τε διηκοσίων τε ἔκαστος στήσεσθ' ἐν πολέμω, νῦν οὐδ' ἐνὸς ἄξιοἱ εἰμεν. Dem. Ol. p. 33 δεῖ τὰ βέλτιστα ἀντὶ τῶν ἡδέων ᾶν μἡ συναμφότερα ἐξῆ, λαμβάνειν. Hence may be explained some peculiar idioms of expression, as II. φ. 75 ἀντί τοἱ εἰμ' ἰκέταο instead of (i. e. as) a suppliant. Mem. 2. 7. 14 ἀντὶ κυνὸς εἶ φύλαξ like a dog. ib. 12 ἱλαραὶ ἀντὶ σκυθρωπῶν ἡσαν. An. 3. 1. 17 ἐστρατεύσαμεν ἐπὶ βασιλέα ὡς δοῦλον ἀντὶ βασιλέως ποιήσοντες instead of (out of) a king. So with comparatives: ὁ χρόνος μάθησιν ἀντὶ τοῦ τάχους κρείσσω δίδωσι Ευτ. Suppl. 420. Also the frequent constructions with ἀνθ' οδ, ἀνθ' δν, το n this account that, because: Hell. 2. 4. 17 πάντες, ἀνθ' ὧν ὑβρίσθημεν, τιμωρώμεθα τοὺς ἄνδρας. An. 7. 7. 8 σὺ εὖ ποιήσας ἡμᾶς, ἀνθ' ὧν ὑβρίσθημεν, τιμωρώμεθα τοὺς ἄνδρας. An. 7. 7. 8 σὺ εὖ ποιήσας ἡμᾶς, ἀνθ' ὧν εὖ ἔπαθες, ἀποπέμπεις, comp. § 143. 13. Eur. Andr. 389 τί καίνεις μ'; ἀντὶ τοῦ;

'AΠΟ marks the going forth or away from an object, i. e. separation, removal; and in respect to time, from, after, since. The wider usage of this preposition may be seen in the particular examples. Ε. g. Έφεσος ἀπέχει ἀπὸ Σάρδεων τριῶν ἡμερῶν ὁδόν Hell. 3. 2.11; ἀπ' ἴππου θηρεύειν, μάχεσθαι, Hdot. ὁ ἀπὸ ὁ τῶν πολεμίων φόβος Χεπ. τρέφειν τὸ ναυτικὸν ἀπὸ προσόδων Thue. ζῆν ἀπὸ ἰχθύων Hdot. ἀφ' οὖ, τὸ ἀπὸ τοῦδε, ἀπὸ τοῦ πρώτου ὕπνου, since, Thue.—Peculiar phrases are: ἀπ' ἐλπίδων, ἀπὸ δόξης, apart from, i. e. against; ἀπὸ γλώσσης orally; ἀπὸ σπουδῆς diligently; ἀπὸ τύχης from accident.

'EK marks e going forth out of an object, from within it; and is thus distinguished essentially from ἀπό. Hence it serves to specify the cause with passive and neuter verbs; also an inward dependence and immediate contact both in place and time. E. g. ἐἐναι ἐκ τοῦ δόμου · τὰ ἐκ τοῦ πατρὸς προσταχθέντα · τελευτᾶν ἐκ τοῦ τρώματος Hdot. ἔθανε ἐξ ἐμῆς χερός Soph. τοῦτο ἐποίει ἐκ τοῦ χαλεπὸς εἶναι Χen. ἐκ τῆς παιδείας πολὺ διενεγκόντες ἐθαυμάσθησαν id. Cyn. 1.—ἐγέλασεν ἐκ τῶν πρόσθεν δακρύων Cyr. 1. 4. 28; ἐκ κυμάτων γὰρ αδθις αὖ γαλήν ὁρῶ Eur. Or. 269. ἐξ οὖ since.—δεῖν τι ἐκ πασσάλου, ἐκ τῶν (ωστήρων φορεῖν τι, κρεμάσαι τινὰ ἐκ τοῦ ποδός, on a nail, by the foot, etc.—Particular phrases are: ἐκ τρίτων one of three, the third, Plat. Symp. P. 213; ἐκ τύχης, ἐκ τοῦ προφανοῦς publicly Thuc. 3. 43; ἐκ βραχέος briefly 3. 92; ἐκ ποδός close behind; ἐκ πολλοῦ, ἐκ πλείστου, from far, Xen.

ΠΡΟ signifies before, both in place and time. Hence arise in a figurative sense the significations: instead of (ἀντί); for (ὑπέρ); and before, præ, marking preference. Ε. g. ol πρὸ αὐτοῦ βασιλέως τεταγμένοι· πρὸ ἡμέρας Χεη. "Αλκηστις ήθελε θανεῖν πρὸ κείνου (sc. 'Αδμήτου) Ευτ. Alc. 18. Σωκράτης φετο κάλλιον εἶναι πρὸ τοῦ φεύγειν ὑπέχειν τῆ πόλει δίκην Phæd. p. 99. διακινδυνεύειν πρὸ βασιλέως : βουλεύεσθαι πρὸ τῶν στρατιωτῶν Χεη. πρὸ πολεών χρημάτων τιμήσασθαί τι Isoor. οὐδεὶς οὕτω ἀνόητός ἐστι, ὅστις πόλεμον πρὸ εἰρήνης αἰρέεται Hdot. 1.87.—Particular phrases are: πρὸ όδοῦ εἶναι opportunum esse; πρὸ φόβοιο for fear Hom. γῆν πρὸ γῆς ελαύνομαι Æsch. Prom. 687.

'EN stands in answer to the question where; and signifies in, often also by, at, among (inter). Hence arises in respect to time the meaning in, during; and in a tropical sense, in, with, penes. E. g. ή ἐν Λεύκτροις μάχη, ἐν Μαντινεία at Mantinæa; ἐν μνηστῆροι Hom. λέγειν ἐν πᾶσι· οἰκεῖν ἐν πονηροῖς Æschin. ἐν θεῷ, ἐν ἐμοί, ἐν σοί ἐστι, compare ἐπί c. dat.—With ἐν are formed many adverbial expressions: as ἐν ὁμοίᾳ, ἐν ἐλαφρῷ, ἐν κέρδεῖ ποιεῖσαι, to regard alike, to make light of, to make gain of, Hdot. ἐν φροντίδι, ἐν ἡδονῆ ἐστί μοι Xen. ἐν δίκη by right, jure; ἐν καιρῷ seasonably; ἐν καλῷ well, conveniently, and with following Gen. ἡ Κέρκυρα κείται ἐν καλῷ τοῦ Κορινθιακοῦ κόλπου Hell. 6. 2. 9.—Further may likewise be noted the Homeric use of ἐν for εἰς with verbs of motion, as κάππεσον ἐν Λήμνῳ, ἐν πυρὶ βάλλεν; as also its seemingly pleonastic use along with the Dat. οf instrument, as ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ὁρῶ Hom. ἵππον ἐν χαλινῷ Ιππεύειν Xen. ἐν τούτοις εὕδηλον id.

ΣΥΝ, with; e. g. πειράσθαι χρή σὺν τοῖς θεοῖς ἄρχεσθαι παντὸς ἔργου Xen. Œc. 6. 1; σὺν τῷ δικαίῳ, σὺν τῷ νόμῳ, in accordance with law, etc.

'ANA signifies primarily up, upon, on; comp. ἄνω and the compounds. In this sense it governs in the poets also the Dative, II. a. 15. Od. λ. 128. In prose it is construed only with the Accusative; and is used of any all-pervading motion, strictly from bottom to top, throughout, in respect to both place and time. It often passes over likewise into a distributive sense. E. g. μνηστήρες δ' όμάδησαν ἀνὰ μέγαρα σκιόεντα Od. a. 365. οι 'Αλκμαιωνίδαι έβώσθησαν ἀνὰ τὴν Έλλάδα Hdot. 6. 131. οι "Ελληνες ἀριστήϊα ἐδίδουν τῷ ἀξιωτάτφ γενομένφ ἀνὰ τὸν πόλεμον τοῦτον id. 8. 123. ἀνὰ πᾶσαν τὴν ἡμέραν throughout the whole day. But without the art. ἀνὰ πὰσαν ἡμέραν, ἀνὰ πᾶν ἔτος, daily, yearly; and so ἐπορεύθησαν ἀνὰ τέτταραs by fours, ἀνὰ πέντε παρασάγγας τὴμέρας Cyr. 1. 2. 8. An. 4. 6. 4.—We may also note: ἀνὰ χρόνον for a time, ἀνὰ πόν ποταμόν up along the river, ἀνὰ κράτος up to full strength, i. e. strongly, vigorously.

EIS, ès, Æol. and Dor. also èv Pind. Pyth. 2. 21. ib. 5. 50; see Greg. Corinth. Dor. 159. It stands in answer to the question whither, and signifies into, often also to, as far as to, towards; and in a still more general sonse, in respect to, as to. E. g. ès μèν Μενέλαον ἐγὰ κέλομαι ἐλθεῦν Od. γ. 317; καλέει τέ μιν ès ễ ἔκαστος Il. ψ. 203; εἰς σὲ ἤκω Soph. Phil. 500;*



^{*} On this mainly epic use of els with persons after verbs of motion, see Spitzn. Exc. ad Il. 35. In Attic prose we find instead of it regularly &s (by § 146. n. 1);

έκ θαλάττης εἰς θάλατταν Χεπ. οἱ μὲν ἔδραμον εἰς τοὺς πολεμίους id. οὐ μέν τι κακῷ εἰς ὁπα ἐψκει Od. α. 411; εἰς μαλακίαν σκώπτειν τινά Dem. ἡ πόλις εἰς ἔχει εἰς τὰ πολεμικά Χεπ. ἀσκεῖν τὰ εἰς τὸν πόλεμον id. Κῦρος πολὺ διήνεγκεν εἰς τὸ ἄρχειν ἀνθρώπων Cyr. 1. 1. 6; εἶς τι to what end? wherefore? why?—In specifications of time, it marks about the point up to which any thing happens or is to happen; e. g. ὁ ᾿Αρμένιος προεῖπεν εἰς τρίτην ἡμέραν παρεῖναι Cyr. 3. 1. 42; μάχεσθαι ἀν βούλησθε, ῆκετε εἰς τὴν τριακοστὴν ἡμέραν, i. e. within 30 days, Cyr. 5. 3. 6; εἰς αὕριον, εἰς τὴν ἐπιοῦσαν, εἰς τὸν ἔπειτα χρόνον.—With numbers it signifies either about, circa, and then ὡς often stands with it: ὡς ἐς ἐπτακοσίους; or else it is distributive: εἰς ἔνα, εἰς δύο πορεύσσθαι, to go one by one, etc. Χεπ.—When εἰς is put with verbs of rest, (as νίce versa with ἐν,) the idea of previous motion must be supplied; thus often with κείμαι (for τέθειμαι); στὰς ἐς μέσον Hdot. παρείναι, φανῆναι εἰς τὴν πόλιν, etc.—Adverbial expressions are: εἰς καιρόν comp. in ἐν; ἐς κενόν ἐν ναίη, frustra; ἐς τοῦτο, ἐς τοσοῦτο (with foll. Gen.) so far, so much; εἰς δύναμιν, εἰς τὸ δυνατόν as far as possible, εἰς τάχος, etc.

b) Prepositions with two Cases.

- ΔΙΑ c. Genit. signifies: 1) through, both of place and time; and here too belong e. g. εὐδοκιμεῖν διὰ πάντων τῶν Ἑλλήνων, throughout, among; ἔπρεπε διὰ πάντων, before, Hdot. Hom. also the signif. after of time: διὰ τρίτης ἡμερας, δι' ἐτέων εἶκοσι, δι' δλίγου Hdot. Thuc. 2) through, spoken of the means or instrument, especially with persons, but also of things, which also can stand in the Dative. So too with the Passive, where in Lat. per, not a, would stand, i. e. when the person is the means, and not the efficient cause; see in ὑπό and ἐκ. Thus: ἀνερωτᾶν τινα διὰ ἐρμηνέως, ἔλεξαν δι' ἀγγγέλων, but also δι' ὅτων, δι' ὀφθαλμῶν αἰσθάνεσθαί τι Χεπ. διὰ γυμνασίων τὴν ὑγίειαν ποριζόμεθα Æl. 2. 5. τοῦτο μέγιστόν ἐστι ἐν παντὶ ἔργω, ὅπου τι δι' ἀνθρώπων γίγνεται, καὶ ἐν γεωργία δέ Χεπ. Œ.c. extr. ταῦτα διὰ τοῦ ἱπποκόμου διατελείται id.—Various peculiar turns of expression are formed by διά and the verbs εἶναι, γίγνεσθαι, ἔχειν, c. g. διὰ στόματος ἔχειν τινα to have in one's mouth, upon the lips; διὰ χειρὸς ἔχειν τι to have in hand, to be occupied with; δι' ὀργῆς, δι' ἐπιθυμίας ἔχειν, to be angry or eager;' διὰ χαρίτων, δι' ἀθυμίας, δι' ἔχθρας γίγνεσθαι, ' to be graceful, despondent, hostile;' δι' ἡσυχίας, διὰ φόβου εἶναι.—Αdverbial expressions are: διὰ τάχους, διὰ ταχείων Hell. 7. 5. 6; διὰ βραχυτάτων Dem. etc.
- c. Accus. signifies on account of, propter, (not causa,) and marks the ground or motive from which I do or suffer anything: μυρίας ἡμῶν ἀσχολίας παρέχει τὸ σῶμα διὰ τὴν ἀναγκαίαν τροφήν Plat. Phæd. p. 66. διὰ τοῦτο, διὰ σέ, διὰ τοὺς θεοὺς εὖ πράττω, etc.—In epic writers it sometimes stands for διά c. Gen. as ἀμβρωσίην διὰ νύκτα, Κρονίδεω διὰ βουλάς.
- KATA c. Genit. implies direction down, down from, as appears from the compounds, and from the adv. κάτω. Ε. g. κατὰ τῶν πετρῶν ρίπτειν τινά, to cast down from the rocks; βῆ δὲ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων Il. β. 167; καταχεῖσθαι ὕδωρ κατὰ χειρός Aristoph. Also of rest, as ol κατὰ χῆς Xen.—In a trop. sense it implies towards, upon, against, concerning (de), for the most part in respect to personal objects: λέγειν, ὀμνύναι κατά τινος, τὸ καθ' ὑμῶν ἐγκώμιον Dem. ταῦτα μὲν κατὰ (de) πάντων Περσῶν ἔχομεν λέγειν Cyr. 1. 2. 16.
- c. Accus. can be fully given by no corresponding preposition; because it either marks relations which are in fact entirely general; or else expresses definite relations in a manner so general, that the context alone decides in every case what definite relation is intended. So in the following examples: κατ' ἀγρόν in the country, ruri; οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἦρχον κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ Θάλατταν Χεη. οἱ κατὰ τὴν ᾿Ασίαν ὑπὸ βασιλεῖ ὅντες id. ὁ Ἔρμος ἐκδιδοῖ ἐς

while els is only employed of persons when it signifies among; consequently only with Plurals or collective words, e. g. els δμᾶς, els τοὺς κριτάς, els τὴν στρατιὰς ἐλθεῶν.

θάλασσαν κατά Φωκαίην πόλιν Hdot. 1. 80. (ἀνὴρ) τοῦ κλέος εὐρὺ καθ' Ελλάδα καλ μέσον "Αργος Od. a. 344.—Also of time: κατά "Αμασιν βασιλεύοντα, κατά πάντα τὸν πλόον Hdot. κατὰ τοὺς αὐτοὺς χρόνους Thuc. κατ' ἐμέ in my time. -Only seldom does kará c. Acc. express a motion forth; and that, only when the indefiniteness of the direction, or mere approximation towards it, is to be expressed; e.g. οί δε ανείλοντο τα ναυάγια τα κατα σφας εξενεχθέντα, 'into or upon their territory.' Thuc. 1.54. Also léval κατά θέαν, κατά ληίην, Thuc. Hdot, where ini would be more definite.—In a tropical sense, likewise, it marks only the most general relations towards an object; hence it is sometimes put with the Accus. treated of in § 131. 7, as ίδρις κατά γνώμην Soph. OT. 1087; and it stands especially where the Accus. alone could not stand, as with a substantive to form a periphrase for an adjective, e.g. al κατά τό σώμα ήδοναί, ἐπιθυμίαι, Plat. or for the Genitive: τὰ κατὰ Παυσανίαν, 'the deeds, fortunes of P.' Thue. 1. 138. With verbs of saying, believing, etc. it expresses of, concerning, de, as κατά την τροφήν των παίδων τοσαύτα έλεγον Hdot. while with some others it may be rendered on account of, propter: οὖτος (ὁ προδότης) τιμώμενος ἐξ ἀρχῆς, κατὰ τὸν πατέρα "Αγνωνα, Hell. 2. 3. 30. κατά τι wherefore? why? αἰτίαν, καθ' ἢντινα αἰκίζεταί με, σαφηνιῶ, Æsch. Prom. 226. In other instances it has the more definite signif. after, accordrrom. 226. In other instances it has the more definite signit. after, accoraing to, secundum; as κατὰ νόμον, κατὰ δύναμιν, κατ᾽ ἐμαυτόν after my way; κατὰ τὸ μαντεῖον, ποιήσω κατὰ τοῦ βασιλέως γράμματα. Here belongs also the distributive use, in κατὰ κώμας vicatim, κατ᾽ ἀνδρα viritim, man by man, καθ᾽ ἡμέραν daily, κατὰ μῆνα, καθ᾽ ἔνα, etc.—Το be noted is also the current phrase: αὐτὸς καθ᾽ ἑαυτόν, by or for oneself, as ἐξοπλίσθητε καθ᾽ ὑμᾶς αὐτούς, by or for yourselves alone, Xen. Cyr. 6.3.32.—Adverbial phrases are: κατὰ μικρόν by little and little, by degrees; κατὰ κράτος very; κατὰ τὸ ἰσχυρόν by force, vi · καθάτος in so far as · καθάτος ακτονίμα as · κατὰ τόνος etc. force, vi; καθόσον in so far as; καθάπερ according as; κατά τάγος, etc.

— c. Accus. expresses that over or beyond which anything goes, Lat. super; as ύπὲρ τὰ τεσσαράκοντα ἔτη over forty years; ὑπὲρ ἡμίσεις, etc. Hence: δύναμις ὑπὲρ ἄνθρωπον super-human power Hdot. 8. 140. 2; ὑπὲρ ὅρκια against the oath Il. 9. 47; ὑπὲρ θέον invito deo Il. ρ. 327; ὑπὲρ ἐλπίδα beyond hope, contra spem, Soph. Ant. 366.

c) Prepositions with three Cases.

'AMΦI and ΠΕΡΙ, about, around, round about, agree together essentially in their meaning; except that primarily ἀμφί signifies on both sides, as ἀμφίστομος; while περί implies on all sides, and is in prose far more usual. With the Dative they express the being round about a place or object (in prose only περί); e. g. τελαμῶν ἀμφὶ στήθεσσιν Hom. χρυσοῦς ἀμφὶ κρατὶ πλόκος Ευτ. Θώραξ περὶ τοῖς στέρνοις καὶ γέρρον ἐν τῆ ἀριστερᾶ Cyr. 1. 2. 13.
—In a tropical sense, about, on account of, for the sake of, (in prose only περί and this but seldom,) e. g. οὐ νέμεσις, Τρῶας τοιῆδ' ἀμφὶ γυναικὶ πολὸν χρόνον ἄλγεα πάσχειν; Il. γ. 157. Θαρρεῖν, δεῖσαι περί τινι, Plat. Thuc. comp. περί c. Gen. just below.—Wholly poetic is the signif. præ, for, by reason of, in ἀμφὶ τάρβει, περὶ φόβφ.

— c. Accus. also very commonly round about: φύλακες ἀμφὶ τὴν οἴκησινἡ περὶ τὴν χώραν θάλαττα Χοη. Also as implying direction: θώρακας περὶ τὰ
στέρνα καὶ γέρρον εἰς τὴν ἀριστεράν Cyr. 2. 1.9. Of time, about: περὶ μέσας
νύκτας, ἀμφὶ δείλην Χοη. and so of numbers: ἡν ἀμφὶ τὰ τριάκοντα ἔτη · περὶ
τέτταρα τάλαντα, Χοη.—In connection with ἔχειν and εἶναι both prepositions

imply: to be employed about, to be occupied with; e. g. of περί τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ὅντες· ὁρῶ σε ἀμφ' ἔππους ἔχοντα, Χεπ.—Finally, περί alone stands with the Acous. in many connections in a sense precisely similar to κατά c. Accus. e. g. ἐξαμαρτεῖν περὶ τοὺς Θεούς about the gods, i. e. towards, against; ἔχεειν ν. εἶναι περί τινα, 'to be disposed towards any one,' Cyr. 4. 5. 29; Σωκράτης περὶ Θεοὺς ἐπειρᾶτο σώφρονας ποιεῖν τοὺς συνόντας Μεm. 4. 3. 2.—The periphrase of περί ν. ἀμφί τινα, see in § 150. m. 25.

— c. Genit. also about, i. e. concerning, of, corresponding to the Lat. de in almost every respect. Yet here too dμφί belongs almost wholly to the poets. Thus we find: λέγειν, φοβείσθαι, φιλονεικεῖν, μάχεσθαι, ἀπολογεῖσθαι περί τινος. Further: περὶ μὲν τροφῆς, ἐπείσθην ἰκανὸν είναι ὅ,τι παρέξει Καιξάρης Cyr. 1. 6. 15; οἴκτισαι ἀμφὶ τέκνων με ἰκέταν Εur. Suppl. 278; also the phrases περὶ πολλοῦ, πλείονος, πλείστον ποιείσθαι, to make much of, etc.

'EIII c. Genit. is used: 1) Of place, in answer to the question where, and then it marks the two relations: on, upon, and at. by, i. e. near by; e.g. έφ' ἵππου* ὀχεῖσθαι, μεῖναι ἐπὶ τοῦ ποταμοῦ, etc. and in a similar sense, ἐπὶ δύο μαρτύρων before, in presence of. Especially Attic is the usage of επί c. Gen. in answer to the question whither, in order to mark the direction or aim of the motion; as πλεῖν ἐπὶ Σάμου, ἐπ᾽ οἴκου. 2) Of time, in answer to the question when; as έπ' εἰρήνης Hom. έπὶ τῶν νυνὶ καιρῶν Æschin. In prose for the most part only when the specification of time is connected with a personal idea; as ἐπὶ Κέκροπος Thuc. 2. 15; ἐπ' ἐμοῦ in my time, ἐπὶ Τιμοθέου Dem. ἐπὶ τῶν ἡμετέρων προγόνων. Also in connection with the Part. Pres. by § 145. n. 5, e. g. ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Εὐκλείδου. 3) In other relations, not referring to place or time, we often have to render ἐπί by various and different English prepositions; yet it always expresses (contrary to kará c. Accus.) a definite relation, a near and almost immediate connection and belonging together; to which then there also comes the more free poetic usage of this preposition. Hence we may explain the following examples: Φίλιππος οὐχ οἴόστ' ἐστὶν ἔχων α κατέστραπται μένειν ἐπὶ τούτων Dem. Phil. p. 42. τίς αν κωλύσαιτ' αὐτον πράττειν ταῦτα, ἐφ' ὧν ἐστὶ νῦν ib. p. 66. οί ἐπὶ τῶν πραγμάτων those over the affairs, managers, Dem. p. 309. ταχθηναι ἐπί τινος to or over anything, Hdot. Κυδίας εἶπεν, ἐπὶ καλοῦ λέγων παιδός κτλ. Plat. Charm. p. 155. Especially with verbs of shewing, perceiving, etc. by: έπλ πολλών τεκμηρίων ἀποδείξω σοι Plat. έπλ πολλών ἄν τις ιδείν δοκεί μοι την παρά των θεών εύνοιαν φανεράν γιγνομένην τη πόλει Dem. Ol. 2. init. also with verbs of naming, after: καλείσθαι, ονομα έχειν επί τινος Hdot. ή ἐπ' ᾿Ανταλκίδου καλουμένη εἰρήνη Χen.—Poetic: καθῆστο Κάδμου λαός ασπίδων έπι, Eur. Phæn. 1467. έπι προσπόλου μιας χωρείν (leaning upon) Soph. OC. 746.—Further to be noted is the distributive use: ἐφ' ἐνός, έπι τριών three and three, Xen. Thuc. And finally the frequent phrase, ἐφ έαυτοῦ by oneself, apart (comp. κατά): Σκιρίται ἀεὶ ταύτην την τάξιν (the left wing) μόνοι Λακεδαιμονίων έπὶ σφών αὐτών έχουσιν Thuc. 5. 67. Hence έφ έαυτοῦ οἰκεῖν, to be independent, 2. 63.

— c. Dat. marks in like manner, as to place, a near and immediate relation; in good prose especially the ideas: at, by, near, to, rarely on (see the marg. note): μένειν ἐπὶ τοῖς ὅπλοις· στῆναι (also γενέσθαι to come) ἐπὶ τῆ εἰσόδφ· ἐπὶ τῷ δείπνφ, ἐπὶ τελευτῆ τοῦ βιου Χοπ. ἐσθίουσι πάντες ἐπὶ τῷ σίτφ ἄρτον Mem. 3. 14. 2. ὅγχνη ἐπ' ὅγχνη γηράσκει Οd. η. 120. φόνος ἐπὶ φόνφ, ἐπὶ δ' ἄλγεσιν ἄλγεα Eur.—In time it marks immediate succession, after; as ἐπὶ τούτοις after these things, thereupon; ἡμέρα ἐπὶ τῆ νυκτὶ ταύτη.—From the same idea come readily the tropical senses: penes, i. e. in, with, in the power of (see in ἐν): ἐφ' ὑμῦν ἐστι κολάζειν αὐτούς Dem. Chers. init. γίγνεσθαι ἐπὶ βασιλεῖ under the power of the king; ἐφ' ἡμῦν ποιεῦν, etc. Also



^{*} In Cyr. 5. 2. 1, ξφ' ἵππου is now correctly read instead of ξφ' ἵππου, since in good prose ξπί c. Dat. will scarcely be found in this sense.

over, in such phrases as ἐπὶ τοῖς πράγμασιν εἶναι to be over the affairs Dem. Ol. p. 21, see in the Gen. above; ναύαρχος ἐπὶ ταῖς ναυσίν Xen.*—Peculiar for ἐπί c. Dat. are further three modes of usage very common in prose, viz. 1) When it marks an agreement under certain conditions; e. g. ἐπὶ τοῦτοις τὴν βασιλείαν παρέλαβον Xen. τὴν μνᾶν δανείζειν ἐπὶ ὀκτὰ ὀβολοῖς Dem. δοῦναί τι ἐπὶ τεσσαράκοντα μναῖς, etc. For ἐφ΄ ὧτε see in § 150. m. 9. 2) When it marks the purpose with which an action takes place, comp. in Accus. below; as ἐπὶ τοῦτφ for this purpose, e. g. Xen. Conv. init. Πρωταγόρα πολὺ ἀργύριον δέδωκα ἐπὶ σοφία, for wisdom, in order to learn wisdom; ἄγειν τινὰ ἐπὶ γάμφ, etc. 3) When it is put before the Dative described in § 133. 4. b, especially with verbs signifying an emotion of mind; e. g. ἐπὶ τινι. Also with adjectives, as εὐδόκιμος, διαβόητος, and others.—Ροείιε: Οd. η. 216 οὐ γάρ τι στυγερῆ ἐπὶ γαστέρι κύντερον ἄλλο, almost like στυγερῆς γαστέρος.

— c. Accus. marks simply approach to or towards an object; hence again πλείν ἐπὶ Σάμον, to sail for Samos, differing in the mode of conception, but not in sense, from πλείν ἐπὶ Σάμον. Also with the secondary relation of purpose, comp. ἐπὶ c. Dat. e. g. ἰέναι ἐπὶ τὴν θήραν, ἐλθείν ἐπὶ πῦρ, ἐφὶ ὕδωρ, i. e. for fire, water, in order to fetch it.—The specifications of duration of time are to be explained from the idea of the Accusative itself, where then ἐπὶ appears rather as an adverbial addition; e. g. οἰ λθηναῖοι, σχόντες τῆς Ἡλείας, ἐδήουν τὴν γῆν ἐπὶ δύο ἡμέρας Thuc. 2. 25. οὐκ ἐγίγνετο τὰ ἰερὰ ἐπὶ τρεῖς ἡμέρας, for three days, An. 6. 4. 36. So too in adverbial phrases: as ἐπὶ χρόνον for a time Hom. ἐφὶ ἡμέραν Thuc. 4. 69. Hence also ἐπὶ ἡμερεῖχον Eur. Phæn. 412. ἐπὶ ἐκατοστά, ἐπὶ τριακόσια, a hundred (three hundred) fold, Hdot. 4. 198. ἐπὶ πολύ, ἐπὶ πλεῖστον, ἐπὶ πὰν οmnino; ἐπὶ πόδα ἀναχωρῆσαι to withdraw gradually Xen. Polyb.

META c. Genit. both of persons and things, signifies with; e. g. μετὰ σοῦ with thee, with thy help; ὅστις ἐαυτὸν φιλεῖ, μετ' ἐμοῦ μαχέσθω Cyr. 7. 1. 13. μετά τινος εἶναι to be with any one, of his party; μετὰ δόλου καὶ τέχνης Isocr. μετὰ παιδιᾶς καὶ οἶνου Thuc. 6. 28. μετὰ πολλῶν κινδύνων Dem. etc.

- c. Dat. with, among, in, is everywhere poetic: μετὰ πᾶσιν ἀτιμότατος, μετὰ μνηστῆρσιν, etc. Sometimes it is put almost pleonastic with the Dativo: πηδάλιον μετὰ χερσιν ἔχειν ΙΙ. γ. 281. μῆτιν ὑφαίνειν μετὰ φρεσίν Hes. Sc. 28.
- c. Accus. after, both in time and in order or succession; as of μετ' ἐκεῖνον βασιλεῖς Χεπ. μετ' ἐλίγας ἡμέρας, etc. Sometimes, especially in the poets, it serves to express motion after or among, as ἀῖσσων ὅστ' αἰγυπώς μετὰ χῆνας. Or it marks aim or purpose, (like ἐπί c. Acc.) after, in quest of; as πλέων μετὰ χαλκόν, μετὰ πατρὸς ἀκουήν Hom.—Special is the very common μεθ' ἡμέραν interdiu, during or on the day; also μετὰ χεῖρας ἔχειν (e.g. ἐγχειρίδια) between or in the hands Xen. Agesi. 2. 14; comp. in Dat. al · · · · · ·
- ΠΑΡΑ c. Genit. from the side of, from beside, from; as ἢλθε παρὰ Κυαξάρους ἄγγελος. So espec. with the verbs μανθάνειν, πυνθάνεσθαι, ἀκούειν, etc. which also take the simple Genitive: ταῦτ ἡκουσα παρὰ Γωβρύον, etc. With passive verbs it is put in the same manner as ὑπό, but oftener when the secondary idea from the side of is implied; clearly in παρὰ πάντων ὁμολογεῖται apud omnes constat An. 1. 9. 1; less clearly in τοῦτο παρὰ σοῦ ἐπιδεικνύσθω Cyr. 5. 5. 20.—It is to be noted, that by means of the article and παρά c. Gen. various substantive and verbal ideas are often indicated, which in each instance may be easily filled out from the nature of the construction and from the context; comp. in § 125. n. 6. E. g. οἱ παρὰ Κύρου i. e. am-

^{*} Thuc. 2. 35 ἀγορεύειν ἐπὶ τοῖς Ṣαπτομένοις, lit. to speak over those buried, i. e. directly after or at the burial of the slain, as if over their grave. Comp. Plat. Menex. init. ἐρεῖ ἐπὶ τοῖς ἀποθανοῦσι. Dem. Epit. init.

bassadors; τὰ παρὰ Κύρου i. e. commands; τὰ παρὰ θεῶν, ἡ παρὰ τούτων εὔνοια, etc.

- c. Dat. signifies: by the side of, by, as παρά τῷ ποταμῷ, etc.
- c. Accus. of place, to the side of, i.e. to. espec. of persons; more commonly alongside of, near, by, both with verbs of motion and of rest, the latter in answer to the question where. E.g. of παρά βασιλέα πορευόμενοι παρά Βάλατταν πορεύεσθαι · παρά πόλιν πολεμίαν ἄγειν τον στρατόν (hy, past) Xen. τοὶ δὲ παρ' αὐτὸν ἄνδρες κοιμήσαντο Od. ξ. 523. παρὰ τὴν πόλιν ἦν πυραμὶς λιθίνη An. 3. 4.9. Also tropically: τὴν νῦν παρ' ἐμὲ ἐοῦσαν δύναμιν Hdot. 8. 140.—Used of time, it marks duration, as παρά πάντα τον χρόνον Plat. παρά τον πόλεμον, παρά την πόσιν during Hdot. 2. 121. 4.—Tropically it is used in three different senses, arising out of the primary idea: 1) aside from, against, beyond; e.g. παρ' έλπίδα, παρά τους νόμους, παρά φύσιν, παρά δόξαν, παρά το δέον, etc. hence παρ' έν πάλαισμα έδραμε νικάν Hdot. 9. 33. 2) along with, i. e. by, on account of, propter: Φίλιππος οὐ παρὰ τὴν αὐτοῦ ρώμην τοσούτον έπηύξηται, όσον παρά την ήμετέραν αμέλειαν Dem. Phil. p. 43. ή σωτηρία πολλοις ήδη παρά τουτο έγένετο Xen. Hipp. 1. 5; comp. Dem. p. 688. 3) It implies the placing together of different objects side by side for the sake of comparison; hence it is used especially after comparatives, as also after άλλος, ετερος, and the like, which often gives rise to peculiar turns; e.g. ήλίου εκλείψεις πυκνότεραι παρά τὰ μνημονευόμενα ξυνέβησαν, ας compared with, Thuc. 1. 23. παρὰ τὰ ἄλλα ζῶα ὥσπερ Θεοὶ οἱ ἄνθρωποι βιοτεύουσι Mcm. 1. 4. 14. 'Αχιλλεὺς τοῦ κινδύνου κατεφρόνησε παρὰ τὸ αἰσχρόν τι ὑπομείναι, Achilles disdained danger rather than suffer anything shameful, Plat. Apol. p. 28. 'Ληησίλαος ἐπόνει παρὰ τοῦς ἄλλους, beyond (more than) others, Xen. Ag. 5. 3.—Adverbial are: παρὰ μικρόν by a little, well-nigh, almost; παρὰ πολύ by much.—An example with all the three cases see in Xen. Mom. 1. 3. 4.

HEPI, see in ἀμφί, p. 415.

ΠΡΟΣ c. Genit. accords in its main signification with $\pi a \rho a$; yet it marks much more comprehensively not only the idea from the side of, as $\pi \rho \delta s$ $\mu \eta$ τρός v. πατρός from (on) the maternal or paternal side, ξείνος δδ' ίκετ' έμον δώ ή è προς η οίων ή έσπερίων ανθρώπων Od. 9. 28; but also alongside of, towards, against, as παρήγγειλε τους λοχαγούς πρός των Καρδούχων ιέναι, ούραγους δε καταστήσασθαι προς του ποταμού An. 4. 3. 26.—In a tropical sense it expresses what goes forth or proceeds from a person or thing; or more generally, what belongs or is appropriate to, also promotive or worthy of a person or thing; e. g. προς Διός είσιν απαντες ξείνοι τε πτωχοί τε Od. ζ. 207. ουκ ήν πρός τοῦ Κύμου τρόπου, έχοντα μὴ ἀποδιδόναι Απ. 1. 2. 11. ἄτοπα λέγεις, δ Σώκρατες, και οὐδαμῶς πρὸς σοῦ Mem. 2. 3. 15. σπονδάς ἐποιήσατο πρὸς Θηβαίων μαλλον ή προς έαυτων, for the benefit of ; δεξιού προς ανδρός έστι Aristoph. οὐ πρὸς Ιατροῦ σοφοῦ θρηνεῖν Soph. (comp. § 132. n. 13); ἄδικος, ἀσεβής πρὸς των θεων και των ανθρώπων before (in the eyes of) gods and men; τιμήν, δόξαν έχειν, ἀρέσθαι πρὸς πάντων II. π. 84; comp. Thuc. 1.71. An. 2. 5. 20. ib. 1. 6. 6. ib. 5. 7. 12.—Further, πρός stands more frequently than παρά after passive and neuter verbs to mark the author or cause, as όμολογείται πρὸς πάντων Αη. 1. 9. 20. τὰ λεχθέντα πρός τινος, ἀποθνήσκειν, ὀλέσθαι πρός τινος.* So too with verbs of hearing or learning, Hdot.—Peculiar for πρός is its use in oaths, protestations, etc. as προς των θεων, & προς σε γονάτων εc. Ικετεύω See more in § 151. III. 6.

— c. Dat. by, near by, like παρά; e. g. ol ᾿Αθηναῖοι ὥρμουν πρὸς τῆ πόλει Xen. It is also according to Homeric idiom to say: ποτὶ δὲ σκῆπτρον βάλε γαίη Il. a. 245; comp. under ἐν above.—Further, πρός also very commonly

^{*} Hence may be explained the poetic usage of πρόs in the sense, to do anything by command of, by the will of: καί κεν ἐν Αργει ἐοῦσα πρὸς ἄλλης ἱστὸν ὑφαίνοις Il. ζ. 456. Κκουσα πρὸς τοῦ Ֆηρὸς (the Centaur) ποιῶ τάδε Soph. Trach. 935.

implies addition; as πρὸς τούτοις to this; πρὸς δὲ τούτφ εΐλοντο 'Αδείμαντον to him (besides him) they chose Adimantus.

- c. Accus. Here πρόs is the appropriate preposition to express both in place and time, and also tropically, a direction or motion towards or to an object.* This comprehensive idea again shapes itself in the particular instances so variously, that here too in translating we have to use various and different English prepositions; most frequently towards, to, upon, against, as may be seen in the following examples: ἀνατείναι τὰς χείρας πρὸς τὸν οὐρανόν Χεπ. ναίειν προς ηῶ ηελιόν τε Hom.—προς έσπεραν, προς την σελήνην, marking time. - λέγειν πρὸς τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους (to), ὁ πρὸς Λεπτίνην λόγος (against), πρὸς τὸ βέλτιστον λέγειν (for); οἱ εἴλωτες πολλὰ κλέπτοντες ἐπώλεον πρός τοὺς Αἰγινήτας Hdot. 9. 80. οὐδέν πρός λόγον nothing to the purpose Plat. σκοπεῖτε τὰ ὑμέτερ' αὐτῶν πρὸς τὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἀνθρώπων Dem. πρὸς τὴν ἀξίαν ἐκάστῳ διδόναι Χen. πρὸς τί; wherefore? why? ταχθῆναι πρός τι, φρονώ τὰ πρὸς σέ, etc.—Like παρά (c. Acc. 3), it marks the placing together of objects for comparison; e.g. Mem. 1. 3. 4 Σωκράτης πάντα τάνθρώπινα ύπερεώρα πρὸς τὴν παρὰ τῶν θεῶν ξυμβουλίαν. 3. 5. 4 τεταπείνωται ἡ τῶν 'Αθηναίων δόξα πρὸς τοὺς Βοιωτούς, ἐπῆρται δὲ τὸ τῶν Θηβαίων φρόνημα πρὸς τοὺς 'Αθηναίους. Dem. p. 185 εν ταύτη τη πόλει χρήματ' ένεστιν προς άπάσας τὰς άλλας πόλεις. Id. Lept. 9 πρὸς ἄπαντα τὸν ἐκ τῶν άλλων ἐμπορίων ἀφικνούμενον, ὁ έκ τοῦ Πόντου σίτος εἰσπλέων ἐστίν, i.e. the grain from Pontus exceeds that from all other markets.-Less closely it may be rendered with, e.g. συμμαχίαν ποιείσθαι πρός τινα, έννοω πρός έμαυτόν.—Adverbial are: πρός βίαν perforce, per vim, different from βία, see § 133. n. 12; πρὸς χάριν, πρὸς καιρόν, πρὸς οὐδεν δίκαιον unlawful, πρὸς τὸ καρτερόν, etc.

'YΠΟ c. Genit, signifies in respect to place, not only under, as τὰ μετέωρα καὶ τὰ ὑπὸ γῆs Plat. Apol. init. but also from under, as αὖτις ἀναστήσονται ύπὸ ζόφου ηερόεντος Il. φ. 56. To this same signif. belongs also: λύειν ήμιόνους ὑπ' ἀπήνης, from the car, Od. η. 5, and βοῦν λαβεῖν ὑπὸ ἀμάξης An. 2. 25.—But the main use of ὑπό is: 1) With verbs passive and neuter, (which last thus become passive,) when a person and sometimes a thing is named as the author or cause of the action or condition implied in the verb; e. g. τὰ ὑπὸ Κύρου πραχθέντα, ἵππος ὑπὸ τοῦ χαλινοῦ (comm. Dat. χαλινώ) πεισθείς · ἀποθανείν V. τελευτάν ὑπό τινος, i. e. to be slain; ἀπολέσθαι ὑπό λιμοῦ · τὰ πράγματα συνίσταται ὑπ' ευνοίας Dem. Ol. p. 20; πῶς ὑμῖν ὑπὸ τῶν χρηστών τούτων (ironical) τὰ πράγματα ἔχει; p. 35. We find too the following construed in like manner: πάσχειν, φεύγειν to flee, to be banished; also as an Attic law-term: δίκην φεύγειν ὑπό τινος to be put on trial by any one; δίκην διδόναι to be punished; εδ ἀκούειν, ἔπαινον ἔχειν, ἐν ἀξιώματι ἔχειν, to be honoured, praised. 2) With verbs implying action, when things or abstract ideas are the moving cause or occasion of the action, i. e. through, for, from, out of, Lat. præ; e. g. δακρύειν ύπὸ λύπης, οὐ σιγάν ύπὸ της ήδονης, καταδύεσθαι (to sink down) ὑπὸ αἰσχύιης, ὑπ' ἀπειρίας άμαρτεῖν, ὑπὸ θήρας from love of hunting, επιλαθέσθαι τι ύπό τινος. - More poetic is the usage, when an action is not directly caused by, but yet stands in close and immediate connection with something else; e. g. χορεύειν ύπὸ φορμίγγων to dance (accompanied) by harps Hes. ὑπὸ λαμπάδων with torches Eur. and so too ὀρύσσειν ύπο μαστίγων Hdot. ύπ' οἰωνών καλών, ύπ' εὐκλείας θανείν Eurip.

[—] c. Dat. is under, both of place, and trop. of circumstances; as κώμη ἐστὶ ὑπὸ τῷ ὅρει· ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίοις εἶναι ν. γενέσθαι An. 7. 2. 2; τὴν πόλιν ὑψ' αὐτοῖς ποιήσασθαι Xen.—The poets employ ὑπό c. Dat. in most of the senses of ὑπό c. Gen. see § 134. 3.

⁻ c. Accus. signifies under, in answer to both the questions where and

^{*} Strictly towards or to the side of; which idea in $\pi\alpha\rho d$ c. Accus. is just the least frequent; on the other hand, $\epsilon\pi i$ c. Acc. is towards or to a point, etc. In rard and $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ c. Acc. the idea of motion is less prominent.

whither, comp. παρά. Ε. g. ὑπὸ γῆν sub terra; ὁποῖοί τινες ἄν ὧσιν οἱ προστάται, τοιοῦτοι καὶ οἱ ὑπ᾽ αὐτοὺς ὡς ἐπιτοπολὺ γίγνονται Cyr. 8. 8. 5. ἡγει ὑπ᾽ αὐτὰ τὰ τείχη Xen. Αἴγυπτος πάλιν ὑπὸ βασιλέα ἐγένετο.—Of time it corresponds to the Lat. sub, i. e. about, towards, near; as ὑπὸ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον Thuc. 5. 3. ὑπὸ τὴν κατάλυσιν τοῦ πολέμου.—It sometimes alternates with πρός, yet with some little difference: Xen. Conv. 6. 3 ἡ οὖν βούλεσθε, ὥσπερ Νικόστρατος τετράμετρα πρὸς τὸν αὐλὸν κατέλεγεν, οὕτω καὶ ὑπὸ τὸν αὐλὸν ὑμῖι διαλέγωμαι.—Special is ὑπ᾽ αὐγὰς ἰδεῖν, to look at by the light, Plat.

Note 2. When two or more substantives following one another are dependent on the same preposition, and are connected together by copulative conjunctions (τέ, καί, or also $\tilde{\eta}$), the preposition is usually written only once, and that before the first substantive; e. g. $\tilde{\nu}\pi\dot{\nu}$ τε $\tilde{\nu}\nu\dot{\nu}$ καὶ γυναικῶν. The poets in such instances are fond of placing the preposition only before the second noun: $\tilde{\eta}$ άλὸς $\tilde{\eta}$ ἐπὶ γῆς Οd. μ. 27. ποτὲ μὲν κακὸν ἄλλοτ' ἐπ' ἐσθλὸν ἔρπει Soph. Ant. 367.—But when in relative and other corresponding clauses, the relative or other like word is dependent on the same preposition as is the antecedent in the other clause; in such cases the preposition is by rule inserted before both words, and very rarely only once; e. g. Eur. Hipp. 474 ἐς δὲ τὴν τύχην πεσοῦσ', ὅσην σύ, πᾶς ᾶν ἐκνεῦσαι δοκεῖς; So too Od. δ. 413 λέξεται ἐν μέσσησι, νομεὺς ὡς πώε σι μήλων. In dialogue: Plat. Soph. p. 243, περὶ τοῦ μεγίστου νῦν σκεπτέον. Τίνος δή, λέγεις.

Note 4. Sometimes the case of a preposition is apparently wanting, viz. when instead of it a whole phrase or clause is inserted. E. g. Soph. Phil. 469 πρὸς πατρός, πρὸς εἶ τί σοι κατ' οἶκόν ἐστι προσφιλές, 'by thy father (I conjure thee), by—if anything is dear to thee,' i. e. by all that is dear to thee,

Note 6. In this manner have arisen all the instances of composition with prepositions. They all consist of the radical word with a preposition taken adverbially; as διαβαίνω 'I go throughout,' etc. § 121.2. In such compounds the prepositions often take all the different meanings which they have when not in composition. Hence we may refer in general to the preceding explanations; and call attention here only to some peculiarities.

άμφι- with the idea of two sides, see above in άμφί.

ava- up; but often also ava- and ano- back, re-, e.g. aναπλείν to sail back, αποδοῦναι, etc.

^{*} The passage in Aristoph. Ran. 611, is corrupted, and should read: κλέπτοντα πρόσθ' ἀλλότρια.

duri- against, contrary; also it has the idea of likeness (ω΄s), e.g. in duriθeos; see above in duri.

δια- expresses what is reciprocal, mutual, e. g. διαλέγεσθαι, διάδειν. It also takes the sense of the Lat. and Engl. dis-, in two, apart; e. g. διασπάν to pull in two, in pieces; διαζευγνύναι disjungere, to disjoin, to senarate

èv- often stands in answer to the question whither, e. g. ἐγχεῖν to pour

κατα- most commonly expresses the idea of completion; e.g. καταπράττειν perficere, to finish; καταστρέφειν to turn around; καταπιμπράναι to burn up.—Hence arises then the idea to make an end of, destroy; e.g. κατακυβεύειν τὴν οὐσίαν to gamble away one's fortune.—In both instances it corresponds to the Latin per- and to the Germ. ver-.

μετα- takes the sense of transposition, change, Lat. trans-; e. g. μεταβιβάζειν to carry to another place, to transport; μετανοείν to change one's mind.

παρα- in some compounds derives from the signif. præter, the sense to miss, fail of, etc. e. g. παραβαίνειν τοὺς νόμους to miss or mistake (purposely) the laws, i. e. to transgress; παρορᾶν not to see perfectly, to overlook; παράσπονδος truce-breaker, from σπονδαί.

περι- often expresses a high degree, like πέρι very; e. g. περικαλλής, etc. ύπερ- implies excess; as ὑπερμέγας very, too great; ὑπεραλγεῖν to grieve excessively.

ύπο- in many compounds signifies somewhat, a little; also like Lat. sub-, by little and little, underhand, secretly; e. g. ὑπόδασυς somewhat rough, etc.

NOTE 7. From the circumstance that the prepositions, as above mentioned, are in composition to be regarded strictly as adverbs, the poets are able so frequently to separate the preposition from its verb by means of other intervening words. This is called

other intervening words. This is called Tmesis.

E. g. διά τε ρήξασθαι ἐπάλξεις for καὶ διαρρήξασθαι. Homer especially can separate the preposition entirely from the verb, and even places it after the verb; so that the preposition sometimes comes to stand before a case which is not dependent on it; e. g. πόλεμον περὶ τόνδε φυγόντες, for περιφυγόντες στη περιφυγόντες του και του και του διαστικού του διασ

τες τόνδε τὸν πόλεμον — ἐκ θυμὸν ελέσθαι for ἐξελέ σθαιθυμόν — κατὰ βοῦς Υπερίονος 'Ηελίοιο ή σθιον - ενάριζον απ' έντεα (more accurately απο § 117. n. 3) for ἀπενάριζον ἔντεα, etc. The perusal of Homer therefore is very much facilitated, by assuming that he has properly no compound verbs, but merely simple verbs with adverbial prepositions standing either near or remote from, before or after, the verbs.—Hence comes the like usage in Ionic prose, especially with δν for οὐν; e. g. Hdot. 2. 39 ἀπ' δν ἔδοντο, for ἀπέσουτο οὖν. Hence too it comes, that in those emphatic repetitions to be mentioned in § 149. m. 12, instead of the compound verb, the preposition only is repeated; e. g. Hdot. 3. 126 δ δὲ κατὰ μεν ἔκτεινε Μιτροβάτεα—, κατὰ δε τον Μιτροβάτεω παίδα.—In the tragic poets the tmesis occurs only where small words thrust themselves in, as it were, between the preposition and verb; e. g. ἐκ δ' ἔπνευσε, κατὰ δ' ἔκτεινας, διά μ' ἔφθειρας, διά τ' εὐνάσαι. Eur. Phæn. 904. Hipp. 1373; and with the preposition following: Hec. 502 ἦκω 'Αγαμέμνονος πέμψαντος, δι γύναι, μέτα.—Even in Attic prose we must refer to the same usage the insertion of the qualifying 71, somewhat, between an adjective and the preposition ὑπό (sub, a little), which serves to diminish the signification of the adjective; e.g. ὑπό τι ἀσεβές somewhat impious, ὑπό τι άτοπον, etc. Heind. ad Plat. Phædr. 43.

NOTE 8. But in ordinary prose, likewise, there are some prepositions, which, though standing in compounds, are still to be taken as if separate. So especially πρός and σύν. Every verb, whether already compound or

not, could always be again compounded by the Greeks, chiefly with one of these two prepositions, merely in order to shew that the thing took place besides or in addition to something else (πρόs), or in connection with some other person (σύν τινι). Ε. g. συστρατεύομαί σοι 'I make a campaign with thee;' συνεξαιρεί αὐτοῖς Σελλασίαν 'he assists them to conquer Sellasia,' Χεη. Hell. 7. 4. 12; ἀλλὰ καὶ προσδιέβαλέ με 'but also in addition to this he has calumniated me.' So too Thucydides says, 3. 13 μη ξύν κακῶς ποιείν αὐτοὺς ἀλλὰ ξυνελευθεροῦν, and Plato Gorg. p. 520 ἀντ' εὐ πείσεται, which words strictly should all be written in one, see § 121. n. 1.—More rarely we find other prepositions used in the same manner; e. g. ἐμμελετᾶν, ἐγγυμνάζεσθαι, 'to exercise oneself in any thing,' Plat. Phædr. 5.— Εκειhin. c. Cles. p. 75 ὁ Φειδίας εἰργάσατο τὴν 'λθηνῶν ἐνεργολαβεῖν καὶ ἐνεπιορεεῖν Δημοσθένει 'Phidias has sculptured his Λthena for Demosthenes, in order that the latter may have his profit by her and perjure himself by her.' Thuc. 2. 44 τούτοις ἐνευδαιμονῆσαί τε ὁ βίος ὁμοίως καὶ ἐντελευτῆσαι ξυνεμετρήθη. Hdot. 9. 7 πεδίου ἐπιτηδεώτατον ἐμμαχέσασθαι.—So also ἀποπολεμεῖν, a compound which probably occurs nowhere else, means in Plato, on occasion of mentioning a horse, (Phædr. p. 260. b,) to fight from sc. the horse, i. e. on horseback; so ἀποζῆν to live from, see § 139. m. 57. Further, προαναρπάζειν Dem. Mid. 35; προοφείλειν, προαδικεῖν, ibid. 23.

Note 9. Not only in the compounds just mentioned, but in many others, the preposition, in certain constructions, still governs its own separate case; e.g. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ veival time to be in something (comp. § 133.3), advertant times to be distant from something, amenidynau Ewrparous they sprang away from Socrates, etc. Elsewhere, in the full construction, the preposition is usually repeated before the case. This occurs more frequently in Homer, and confirms the remark made above, that in him every compound must be regarded as separate; since in him the prepositions sometimes, as we have seen, remain in compounds what they really are, adverbs; and sometimes become actual prepositions: II. ψ . 121 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ kdeov $\tilde{\eta}$ μ $\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\omega}$ they bound (the wood) so that it hung from the mules.

Note 10. That the prepositions with a change of accent sometimes stand after their cases by anastrophe, and sometimes also for their compounds with $\epsilon \bar{l} \nu a \iota$, has been mentioned in § 117. 3. With this is to be connected the like transposition of monosyllabic prepositions, as $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ § 13. 4; Apr $\epsilon \mu a b \delta$ $\epsilon \nu \nu$ Hom.

148. Particles of Negation.

- 1. The Greeks have two simple negative particles, où and $\mu\dot{\eta}$, from which all more definite negative words are formed by composition. Every clause in which one or more of these more definite negatives occur, is for the most part rendered negative in precisely the same manner, as if the simple negative with which it is compounded stood alone in the clause. Consequently, all that we may here say of où, holds good also for oùdé, oùdels, oùdamôs, etc. and the same is also true in regard to $\mu\dot{\eta}$, $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ s, etc.
- 2. But between où and $\mu\eta$, and their respective compounds, there is an entire difference of usage, running through the whole language. To comprehend this usage fully a course of accurate study is necessary, for which we can here give only an outline of the general principles.*



^{*} It is particularly recommended to compare here the views of Hermann, which

- a) Où is the direct and full negation, which expresses the negative judgment independently and absolutely; e.g. οὐκ ἐθέλω, οὐ φιλῶ, 'I will not, I love not;' οὐκ ἀγαθόν ἐστιν, οὐδεὶς παρῆν, etc. Such a complete and direct proposition can never be denied by μή, μηδείς, etc.—As a matter of course, direct interrogations, and also clauses which are expressed in the tone of moderation (i. e. in the Opt. with ἄν, § 139. m. 15), can, as independent clauses, be made negative by means of οὐ; e.g. τί γὰρ οὐ πάρεστι;—οὐκ ᾶν βουλοίμην —τίς γὰρ οὐκ ᾶν οἴοιτο, etc.
- b) Mή on the other hand is everywhere only a dependent negative. Hence it stands in all propositions, which represent the negation not as a fact, but as something dependent on the idea or thoughts of some subject. Thus its, first of all, the necessary particle in all negative conditions and suppositions, e. g. οὐ λήψομαι, εἰ μὴ σὰ κελεύεις —εἴ τι τῶν τότε νῦν μὴ ἀξιάχρεων δοκεῖ εἰναι, ἐῶμεν, 'if any of those former things appear now not to be important, we will let them go.' Hence μή always stands with εἰ if, ἐαν, ἤν, ὅταν, ἐπειδάν, ἔως ἄν, etc. because all these serve to express a thing not as fact, but as supposition; and it stands also with ὅτε, ὁπότε, etc. so often as these are in the same circumstances. On the other hand, ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, since, inasmuch as, and ὅτι, διότι, because, have οὐ, because these always refer to actual facts; e.g. II. φ. 95 μή με κτεῖν', ἐπεὶ οὐ χ ὁμογάστριος Ἑκτορός εἰμι.**

he has so acutely developed, ad Viger. no. 267. He there lays down the principle, that our always denies the thing itself, and un only the idea of the thing; or that ou denies objectively, and un subjectively. I acknowledge, that, by assuming this theory, we can bring under it most of the actual appearances; and at all events nothing is more useful or more strengthening for the critical judgment and tact, than to follow out such a philosophical principle with all possible impartiality, or even to take some pains in order to find it confirmed. With all this, however, I cannot deny, that I have not yet been able so to reduce under this theory all which does not occurs, that I could not in the same manner have brought under it much which does not occur. It will easily be seen on comparison, that I have made use of Hermann's views. A better principle of unity than his, I could not give; but yet I did not wish to bring under a theory by force, that which according to my conviction could not come under it. Let my theory therefore stand as it may, by the side of his; or let it be thrown into the shade.

* There occur some passages, where ϵi is construed with δi . Such of these as are found in epic writers, e. g. II. δ . 162. Od. β . 274, I would not in any way endeavour to refer to the common usage; because in my opinion they are well enough accounted for by the remark, that at that period the more exact grammatical rules were not settled with entire consistency. The case is different with the examples in Attic writers. Hermann (ad Vig. not. 309, and p. 890) considers them as sufficiently explained by the remark, that in such cases ob does not stand for itself separately, but forms with the following word one idea. I acknowledge this in such passages as Soph. Ajax 1131, Εἰ τοὺς δανόντας οὐκ ἐῆς δάπτειν παράν, i. e. forbiddest. Lysias in Argoratum p. 135. 27, Εί μέν οὐ πολλοί ήσαν, καθ' ἔκαστον ὰν περί αὐτῶν ἡκούετε, 'il there were fcw;' where also belongs the οὐκ εἶναι in the comic-philosophic passage in Athen. 3. p. 99. a. But I regard this explanation as admissible only in instances, where the negative thus stands directly for the opposite idea; so that ou may be considered as forming a sort of compound with the following word. In ου φημι, ου φάσκειν, this seems to have become an established rule; so that even du is used in connection with them; see below in § 148. n. 2. In other cases we must seek in the context some perceptible ground for the choice of the unconditional οὐ instead of μή. Thus in the example from Andocides de Myst. p. 5, εἰ δὲ οὐδὲν ἡμάρτηταί μοι, καὶ τοῦτο ὑμῖν ἀποδείκνυμι σαφῶς, δέομαι ὑμῶν αυτό φανερον τοις Ελλησι πασι ποιήσαι, the purpose of the orator to assert his innocence in the most positive manner, is evident: 'since, as every one knows, I have committed no fault at all.' In Eurip. Med. 87, Εl τούσδε (his children) γ' εὐνῆς οῦνεκ' οὐ στέργει πατήρ, the form οὐ στέργει expresses this circumstance as notorious, and the εἰ refers solely to the specified cause, εὐνῆς οῦνεκα. The case is different with the three examples in Herm. ad Eur. Med. p. 344, 361. All these three belong to the construction with uév and dé (see § 149. m. 11-14), of which the last

- c) To that which exists only in idea belongs also purpose; and hence $\mu\dot{\eta}$ stands in like manner everywhere with the particles $i\nu a$, $\dot{\omega}s$, $\ddot{\omega}\pi\omega s$, $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$, whenever these actually denote a purpose or intended result. In the same manner therefore it necessarily stands with all expressions which imply wish, entreaty, prohibition. In all these instances it corresponds to the Latin ne; and it stands also, like this particle, at the beginning of a clause,—the idea of wishing, etc. being not expressed, but retained in the thought; e.g. $\mu\dot{\eta}$ yévotro, let it not be! i. e. I wish that it may not be!
- d) Further, an appearance of dependence belongs also to whatever is brought forward as the opinion, conclusion, conjecture of any one; either as introduced by στι, ός, etc. with the Indic. and Optative, or as expressed in sermone obliquo, Acc. c. Infin. Nevertheless, as this species of discourse differs only in external form from the judgment which is directly expressed, usage has here in most cases preferred the direct and independent οὐ, and we therefore find νομίζει οὐ καλὸν εἶναι —οὐκ ἐθελειν φησίν. An. 7. 2. 27 σὺ ἀπεκρίνω, στι οὐδὲν τούτου ἔνεκα δέοι τελεῖν οῦτε τοι οῦτ ἄλλω. In many such cases however μή can also stand, e.g. Xen. Hell. 3. 2. 27 ἐνόμισαν αὐτὸν μὴ Θούλεσθαι μάλλον, ἡ μὴ δύνασθαι.—The dependent or indirect question, with εἰ whether, commonly has μή.
- e) To the conditions and suppositions (in lett. b) belong also all relatives, whenever they refer not to definite antecedents, but to such as are merely implied in the thought. Thus e.g. οὐδεὶς λήψεται χρήματα, ὅστις μὴ παρέσται 'no one will receive money who is not present,' indefinite; on the other hand οὖτοί εἰσιν, οἷ οὐδ' ότιοῦν τοὺς πολεμίους βλάπτου σίχει. Cyr. 6.1. 28, definite, 'these are they, who do not injure the enemy at all.'—The relative clauses with μή are consequently for the most part of a general nature; and therefore can also be changed into conditional clauses.
- f) Hence all those shorter phrases, which can be referred back to one of the dependent constructions, have always $\mu\dot{\eta}$. Thus the prepositive article when put briefly for the relative with the verb $\epsilon l \nu a$, e.g. $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\gamma} \kappa a \lambda \dot{a}$, for ariva $\mu\dot{\gamma} \kappa a \lambda \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \nu$, whatever (i. e. all that) is not handsome. So Xenophon says (An. 4. 4. 15) of a man of veracity, that he had constantly stated $\tau \dot{a} \mu\dot{\gamma} \ddot{\delta} \nu \tau a$ is the abridged form of the dependent

half only is the proper object of the thought, while the first is merely the antithesis of the second. We give here the passage from Thuc. 1. 121, literally:

ή δεινου αν είη, εί οι μεν εκείνων ξύμμαχοι επί δουλεία τῆ αύτῶν φέροντες οὐκ ἀπεροῦσιν, ήμεις δε επί τφ τιμωρούμενοι τους έχθρους και αυτοί αμα σώζεσθαι ουκ άρα δαπανήσομεν. Here the obk in the first clause is necessary and natural, because a notorious fact is expressed. But in the second, the our appears so much the more strange, because the matter is even represented as impossible; in which case consequently μή would seem to be just as necessary, as in the similar example in § 149. m. 11, αἰσχρόν ἐστιν εἰ... μηθὲ τοὺς λόγους κτλ. The case is the same with the two passages adduced by Hermann p. 361, from entirely different writers, where similar double clauses are introduced by δεινόν εί, and οὐ stands with equal strangeness in the second half. All this seems to point to some common cause; which I find in the circumstance, that the clause with δεινον εί, which expresses surprise, after the insertion of the first clause, passes imperceptibly over, by means of ou, into the interrogative tone of surprise and censure. Consequently, the above sentence from Thueydides closes with the interrogation, οὐκ άρα δαπανήσομεν; in like manner in Hdot. 7. 9, by Ελληνας δε . . . οὐ τιμωρησόμεθα; and in Andocides de Myster. p. 13, ἐν ὑμῶν δὲ οὐ σωθήσομαι , which seems to me to be a very natural turn of the thought. In this way also other passages, which may still remain, can probably be explained by further criticism. In Hdot. 6. 9 el . . . od worh over, the manuscripts give μή. In Eurip. Cyclop. 428 είτ' οὐ χρήζετε, the εί has the signification whether, which is susceptible of both constructions. See the note to Plat. Meno. 23, and Herm. ad Eurip. Med. p. 344, where in the passage cited from Plat. Protag. 77, el οὐκ αἰσχύτομαι 'whether I am not ashamed,' the οὐκ is occasioned by the transition from the direct question, obe aloxores;

clause ἄτινα μὴ ἦν, whatever was not, i.e. 'the unreal;' but οὐκ ὅντα is the participle of the definite and direct negation οὐκ ἔστι; for with the finite verb it must necessarily stand thus: τὰ μὴ ὅντα οὐκ ἔστιν, 'what is not, is not.' So further Participles without the article, when they stand more briefly for one of the above constructions, e. g. ἢδιον ἄν ἐχρώμην τῷ ᾿Αλκιβιάδη μηδὲν κεκτημένφ 'I would rather have intercourse with Alcibiades possessing nothing,' i. e. εἰ μηδὲν ἐκέκτητο 'even if he possessed nothing;' but οὐδὲν κεκτημένφ 'rather with Alcibiades who possesses nothing.'

- g) But every negation is likewise dependent, which is governed by another verb. Hence with all Infinitives, (those excepted which are mentioned in lett. d, as belonging in sermone obliquo,) $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is by far most frequently employed. The ground of this is partly to be sought in what is said above; since most Infinitives can be referred back to such propositions as those already described, e. g. $\tau\dot{\rho}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\mu}\nu$ $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\nu\tau$ as $\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\sigma}\tau$, i. e. 'if one does not honour,' consequently a supposition. But even when the negation in question is a fact, the Infinitive still retains $\mu\dot{\eta}$, e. g. $\tau\dot{\rho}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\mu\dot{\rho}\iota$ $a\dot{\iota}\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$ σ or $\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$, i. e. the fact that thou hast not believed me. In this manner $\mu\dot{\eta}$ stands not only after all such verbs, as $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\mu\alpha\iota$, $\kappa\epsilon\dot{\kappa}\dot{\nu}\dot{\omega}$, $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\nu}\sigma\chi\nu\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$, etc. but also after $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}$, $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}\gamma\kappa\eta$, and the like, even when these words do not imply a necessity founded on the will of a person, but a physical necessity; because there is connected with them the idea of prohibition, which always requires $\mu\dot{\eta}$.
- h) To this general principle can also be referred most of those instances, according to which some Grammarians assert, that οὐ serves to render negative entire propositions, and μή only parts; e. g. Plat. Phædr. p. 258 τίς οὖν τρόπος τοῦ καλῶς τε καὶ μὴ γράφειν; Here certainly μἡ only renders negative the καλῶς; but even-if it stood alone it must also read, τίς οὖν τρόπος τοῦ μὴ καλῶς γράφειν; and the μή has consequently its ground in the dependence of the Infinitive γράφειν,—fully, τίς οὖν τρόπος, εἶ τις βοῦλεται μὴ καλῶς γράφειν; See further the similar phrases § 151. IV. 6.—So in the question ἀρα δεῖ με παραγενέσθαι, ἡ μὴ; this last means: 'or shall I not?' and the μή then renders negative merely the dependent Infinitive παρεγενέσθαι. The force of δεῖ is not thereby destroyed; it means: 'am I compelled not to be present?' Were it ἡ οὖ, then the δεῖ would become negative, ἡ οὖ δεῖ; 'or is it not necessary?' In like manner: τούτοις ἔξεστι μὲν πείθεσθαι, ἔξεστι δὲ μἡ sc. πείθεσθαι, Plat. Phædr. p. 252.
- Note 1. It is however easy to conceive, that it very often depends solely on the will of the speaker or writer, in the case of a negation in itself dependent, to treat it nevertheless, either for the sake of perspicuity, or of some distinction or emphasis, as a direct negation and only interwoven in the construction; and that vice versa many a negation which is founded on complete reality, but is nevertheless interwoven with the participial construction, is for the same reason given with μή. An example of this last is Demosth. Cor. p. 276. 6 ην δε (δ Φίλιππος) οῦτ' εν τῆ θαλάττη τότε κρείττων ύμων ούτ' είς την 'Αττικήν έλθειν δυνατός, μήτε Θετταλών ακολουθούντων, μήτε Θηβαίων διεύτων, 'the Thessalians neither following him, nor the Thebans suffering him to pass through.' This refers to actual facts, and the negation is not that of any mere idea or supposition, nor of any thing dependent; and therefore in every other such case oure would stand with these participles. But here over had already been used; and hence if over had stood here again instead of $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\epsilon$, it would necessarily (by no. 6 below) have expressed the meaning, 'Philip could not enter Attica, neither if the Thessalians followed him, nor if the Thebans let him pass through.' Consequently μήτε stands here, in a negation not indeed dependent, but still subordinate, simply for the sake of distinction from the preceding οὖτε.

Note 2. The particle of has with some words the power, not merely of rendering them negative, but of giving them the directly contrary sense.

Thus especially οὐ πάνυ is to be translated not by not wholly, but by not at all, by no means; οῦ φημι means not 'I do not say,' but I deny; οὐκ ἔφασαν ἰέναι 'they refused to go;' οὐκ ὑπισχυοῦντο συνδειπνήσειν 'they declined the invitation,' Xen. Conv. 1.7; οὖχ ὑπεδέκετο refused, Hdot. 3. 50; οὖχ ῆκιστα not least, i. e. most of all. In dependent clauses, both negatives are employed in this manner by the best writers; e. g. Plat. Gorg. p. 457 ἐὰν περί του ἀμφισβητήσωσι καὶ μὴ φῆ ὁ ἔτερον τὸν ἔτερον ὀρθῶς λέγειν, χαλεπαίνουσιν. In Plat. Apol. Socr. p. 25 (§ 12), instead of ἐάν τε — μὴ φῆτε, Bekker has adopted οὐ φῆτε out of the best manuscripts; so that οὐ stands even after ἐάν (compare the marg. note to no. 2. b, above); and in Lysias in Agor. p. 137. 3 ἐὰν δ' οὐ φάσκη has always stood.—For other examples of μή, see also Plat. Gorg. p. 481. e. Lycurg. 34. p. 152.

Note 3. Both où and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ are placed immediately before substantives, in order to render these alone negative, and thus form with them a species of compounds; comp. the same practice with the adverbs, § 125. 6. E. g. $\dot{\eta}$ où diádvois, 'the not showing, the not destroying;' $\tau\dot{a}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ eidea 'the non-species;' $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ è $\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\iota}a$ 'the not knowing, ignorance.' Both of these are abridged forms of clauses in which either où or $\mu\dot{\eta}$ occurs; e. g. $\dot{\eta}$ où diádvois $\tau\dot{a}\nu$ ye $\phi\nu\rho\dot{a}\nu$ the not breaking down of the bridges, i. e. 'the circumstance, that the bridges are not broken down,' a direct and real negation with où. So deuvér è $\sigma\tau$ iv $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ è $\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\iota}a$ 'it is a great evil, if one has no experience,' a mere assumption with $\mu\dot{\eta}$.

3. We have seen (no. 2. c), that $\mu \acute{\eta}$ stands particularly in clauses implying wish, entreaty, command. Whenever it appears as wish, it is always followed by the Optative; e. g. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ yévoito,— $\mu \grave{\eta}$ iddus toûto mayst thou never behold this! In negative entreaties and commands, according as the required action is to be expressed as continued or as momentary, which is often arbitrary, it takes the Present or the Aorist (§ 137.5); but with this limitation, viz. that it is followed

in the Present only by the *Imperative*, in the Aorist only by the *Subjunctive*.

Thus, μή με βάλλε, or μή με βάλης. To the extremely rare exceptions from this rule belong some Homeric passages, as II. δ. 410. Od. π. 301. ω. 248. The third pers. of the Imperat. Aor. in connection with μή is not unfrequent; e.g. μὴ δοκησάτω τινι Æsch. μηδεὶς νομισάτω Χεn.

4. The expression of fear or anxiety, which we make positive, 'I fear that something will happen to him,' is introduced by the Greeks, as also by the Latins, with a negative: $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \omega \kappa a \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega d\theta \eta$, vereor ne quid illi accidat, Engl. I fear lest, etc.

NOTE 4. The construction of these verbs in respect to moods (Subj. Opt. Future), and the examples, see in § 139. m. 50, comp. m. 23.

Note 5. Sometimes also with the sense of fear or anxiety, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ constitutes a clause or proposition by itself; e. g. $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\tau o \nu \tau o \delta \lambda \lambda \omega s \xi \chi p$. Il. a. 26 $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \epsilon$, $\gamma \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$, $\kappa o \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ $\delta \gamma \omega \tau \sigma \lambda \nu$ and $\delta \nu \eta \nu \sigma \lambda \nu$. The greater part of such clauses can be explained by supplying before them $\phi o \beta o \nu \mu \omega I$ fear, or $\delta \rho a$ see to it, take care. Often however this assumption would be too unwieldy; and therefore it is perhaps better to say, that the Greek language by means of this $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Subjunctive and a certain tone of emphasis, formed an independent clause expressing care or foresight; see § 139. m. 6

- 5. Often also μή (or ἄρα μή, § 149. m. 18) is merely an emphatic interrogative particle; the negative form of which has its ground in the indefinite and doubting tone of the question; and it may therefore expect in answer either yes or no, according to the context and the tone; as in Engl. perhaps, perhaps not, perhaps not indeed. E. g. μὴ δοκεῖ σοι τοῦτο εἶναι εὕηθες; seems this to thee perhaps to be foolish? Soph. OC. 1502 τἰς ἠχεῖται κτύπος; μή τις Διὸς κεραυνός, ἢ τις ὀμβρία χαλάζα; Æschyl. Pers. 314 μή σοι δοκοῦμεν τῆδε λειφθῆναι μάχη; Plut. Mor. p. 181 καὶ μή τι ἄλλο; shouldst thou wish nothing else? For μῶν μή, see § 149. m. 18.—On the other hand, οὐ (or ἄρ' ου, § 139. m. 62) is the negative interrogative, by which the inquirer lets it be understood that he expects an affirmative answer; e. g. οὐ καὶ καλόν ἐστι τὸ ἀγαθόν; is not the good also beautiful?
- 6. When to a sentence already made negative, other qualifications of a more general kind are to be added, such as sometimes, some one, somewhere, or the like, these are all commonly subjoined in words compounded with the same negative particles; e.g. οὐκ ἐποίησε τοῦτο οὐδαμοῦ οὐδείς 'no one has anywhere done this,' Plat. Parmen. extr. τᾶλλα τῶν μὴ ὄντων οὐδενὶ οὐδαμῷ οὐδαμῶς οὐδεμίαν κοινωνίαν ἔχει. And, in the same manner, to the negation of the whole is subjoined the negation of the parts; e.g. οὐ δύναται ο ὕ τ' εὖ λέγειν ο ὕ τ' εὖ ποιεῦν τοὺς φίλους, where we say 'he can neither . . . nor.' Consequently, in Greek, these co-ordinate negatives of the same kind in the same clause do not (as in Lat. non nunquam and the like, and as in modern languages) serve to destroy each other, but thus strengthen one another. Only when the simple negative stands last, does it destroy the preceding ones; see note 7 sq.

Note 6. In some phrases both the particles of and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ are united for the sake of emphasis, viz.

οὐ μή in assurances which refer to a future time (hence the construction in § 139. m. 6), and in the confiding entreaty arising from them. The two connected particles can also be separated by other words; and instead of οὐ, its compounds (οὐδέ, οὐδείς, etc.) can also stand; see the examples in § 139. m. 6.

2) μὴ οὐ, but only in the simple form of both, and not separated; most commonly before Infinitives instead of μἡ alone, e. g. ποῖον παραμύθιον ποιήσεις αὐτῷ, μὴ οὐχὶ ἀπειπεῖν; 'what consolation wilt thou give him, that he may not despair?' αἰσχύνομαι μὴ οὐ ποιεῖν τοῦτο 'I am ashamed not to do this.' Sometimes also before Participles, instead of εἰ μἡ with the verb. Schæfer Melet. p. 108. Soph. OC. 360.

NOTE 7. But from this and also from the general rule, that two or more negatives only strengthen each other, there are two principal exceptions, where the negatives actually destroy each other, as in Latin and in the modern languages:

a) When μή is not merely a negative, but also a conjunction; and consequently in clauses implying purpose, fear, anxiety, wish, etc. E. g. Il. a. 28, where Chryses is ordered to depart, with the threat μὴ νύ τοι οὐ χραίσμη σκῆπτρον καὶ στέμμα θεοῖο 'lest the sceptre and fillet of the god afford thee no aid.' So too after verbs of fear when μή is rendered

simply that; e. g. φοβοῦμαι μὴ οὐ καλὸν ἢ 'vercor ne non honestum sit,' I fear that this is not honourable. Eur. Phæn. 270 δέδοικα, μἡ με δικτύων ἔσω λαβώντες οὐ μεθῶσι. The reason why οὐ here stands in a dependent clause, is apparent; inasmuch as only a single word is rendered negative by οὐ (but a whole clause by μή), and negatives of the same kind would only strengthen each other.

b) When the two negatives belong to two different verbs, even where one is a participle; e. g. Hom. οὐδ' οὐκ ἐθέλουτα μάχεσθαι. Commonly however, for the sake of perspicuity, one of the negatives is then made by the strengthened μὴ οὐ; e. g. μὴ οὐχὶ μισεῖν αὐτὸν οὐκ ἄν δυναίμην, 'I should not be able not to hate him,' i. e. I must hate him.

Note 8. Two negatives destroy one another also in the phrase οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ, nemo non; because strictly the verb εἶναι is here always omitted after the first negative; that is to say, if fully written, it would be οὐδεὶς (ἔστιν) ὅστις μὴ ποιήσει, 'there is no one, who will not do this,' i. e. every one will do it. But this omission of ἔστι is so entirely forgotten, that not only has μή gone over into οὐ; but also in construction, except in the Nominative, οὐδείς (by a form of attraction explained in § 143. 17, and n. 7) is entirely attracted to the following principal verb; so that it stands thus: οὐδενὶ ὅτφ οὐκ ἀρέσκει, nemini non placet, it pleases every one.—Dem. p. 657 ὑμεῖς μέν, δ ἄ. 'A. οὐδένα προύδωκατε τῶν Φίλων, Θετταλοὶ δὲ οὐδένα πώποθ' ὅντινα οῦ sc. προύδωκαν, i. e. 'they have betrayed all their friends.'*

Note 9. But as the Greeks were in general so accustomed to the rule, that one negative only strengthens another, it often happens that a verb, which in itself implies a negative, is still construed with another negative. This is the case with verbs signifying to hinder, deny, beware, disbelieve, and the like; as ἐπέχειν, εἴργειν, κωλύειν, καταρνεῖσθαι, ἔξαρνον εἶναι, ἀπαγορεύειν, παύειν, λήγειν, ἀπέχεσθαι, φυλάσσεαθαι, ἀπιστεῖν, ἐκφευγειν, etc. Ε. g. Hdot. 3. 128 Δαρεῖος ἀπαγορεύει ὑμῖν μὴ δορυφορέειν 'Οροίτεα. Also ἤναντιώθην αὐτῷ μηδέν ποιεῖν παρὰ τοὺς νόμους 'I opposed myself to him, i. e. I hindered him from doing anything against the laws;' Xen. Anab. 1. 3. 2 μικρὸν ἐξέφυγε τοῦ μὴ καταπετρωθῆναι 'he but just escaped being stoned.' See the Index to Plat. Meno. v. μή. Exc. XI. ad Dem. Mid. and comp. Dem. Ol. 1. p. 15, 16, without μή.

Note 10. For the phrase $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta}$, see § 151. IV. 7.

149. VARIOUS PARTICLES.

- 1. The use of the particles in Greek is so various, and in some respects so difficult, that we select here several of the most important for particular illustration.
- ώs as a relative Adverb has the following significations: 1) as, so as.

 2) It strengthens the superlative (§ 123. n. 9), chiefly with adverbs, e. g. is τάχιστα as swiftly as possible; and with some adverbs also the positive, especially in is άληθῶs really, most certainly, is έτέρως, and some other examples; see in Heindorf ad Plat. Apol. Socr. 23. Praof. The instances where it stands after the adverb, θαυμαστῶς is, ίπερφροῦς is, are explained in § 151. I. 5. 3) about, nearly, is πεντήκοντα about fifty. 4) To the prepositions ἐπί, εἰς, πρός, in answer to the question whither, e. g. in ἐπορεύετο is ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμόν, it gives the signification towards, in the direction of, versus; lit. as if he would go to the river; leaving it undetermined whether he reaches it. Thue. 6. 61 ἀπέπλεον μετὰ τῆς Σαλαμινίας ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας is ἐς λθήνως. Hence it can everywhere be used of a journey not yet complet



^{*} The omission of sorts in this phrase in the passage Xen. Conv. 1. 9, is doubtful (see Schneider's note); but it is certain in the oracle in Hdot. 5. 56.

ed; e. g. Soph. Philoct. 58 πλεῖς δ' ώς πρὸς οἶκον, 'thou sailest for home.' 5) Before certain words or qualifying phrases, it often signifies as, as being, according as, like Lat. ut; e. g. An. 4. 3. 31 ἦσαν ὡπλισμένοι, ὡς ἐν τοῖς ὅρεσιν, 'as is customary or possible in mountains.' Thuc. 4. 84 Βρασίδας ἦν οὐδὲ ἀδύνατος, ὡς Λακεδαιμόνιος, εἰπεῖν, he was by no means unable, as being (although he was) a Lacedæmonian, etc.—ὡς ἐκ τῶν δυνατῶν ν. ὑπαρχόντων, etc. 6) In a laxer construction it sometimes stands in the place of a relative pronoun; e. g. II. η. 407 μῦθον 'Αχαιῶν ἀκούεις, ὡς τοι ὑποκρίνονται, comp. ξ. 44. ψ. 50. Soph. OC. 1124 σοι θεοὶ πόροιεν, ὡς ἐγὼ θέλω, lit. 'as I wish,' ὡς for ἄ.

&s as Conjunction signifies: 1) that, see § 139. G. 2) that, in order that, § 139. E. 3) so that, with the Infinitive, more commonly &στε, § 139. F. § 140. 4. 4) as, of time, § 139. C. 5) as, inasmuch as, because, in the participial construction, § 144. n. 14, and especially § 145. n. 7.—Hence also: 6) as, since, for, I it. quippe; e. g. κράτιστον ἔσται συγχωρῆσαι, ὡς σὐ δοκεῖς οὐκ ἀφήσειν με, FOR thou seemest not willing to let me go.

às as Preposition, see in § 146. n. 1.

ωs for οὖτως is very frequent in the poets, especially the Ionic. In prose it is mostly found only in the phrases: καὶ ως, οὐδ' ως, μηδ' ως; see § 116.5.

οῦτως and δδε, so, thus, are distinguished like the corresponding adjective pronouns, οὖτος and ὅδε.—We may here note further the use of οὖτως . . . ὡς in clauses of wishing; e. g. Luc. Philops. 27 οὖτως ὀναίμην τοὖτων, ὡς ἀληθῆ πρὸς σὲ ἐρῶ. Comp. Il. ν. 825.—In Homer δδε often occurs in a local sense; e. g. πρόμολ δδε, νῦν δ δδε ξὺν νηὶ κατήλυθον Il. σ. 392. Od. a. 182.

 $\delta\pi\omega s$ as adverb, signifies as; as a conjunction, that, in order that. For 2 its construction, see § 139. E.

ĩνα as adverb, where; as a conjunction, that, in order that, § 139. E.—Also ῖνα τί, wherefore? as if: 'in order that what? should happen.'

&στε, so as, so that, § 139. F. § 140. 4. § 142. 4.—Herodotus uses it in the manner of ατε before participles, § 144. m. 14; e. g. Hdot. 6. 44 ωστε θηριωδεστάτης εούσης της θαλάσσης ταύτης, διεφθείροντο.

οτι 1) that, § 139. G; for δηλον στι, εὖ οἶδ' στι, see § 151. IV. 4. 2) be- 3 cause, § 139. D; elliptically for διὰ τοῦτο στι, or abbreviated διότι; but in later writers διότι also often stands for στι, that. 3) It strengthens all superlatives (comp. ως), e.g. στι μέγιστος the greatest possible, στι μάλιστα, etc. But here after στι we cannot add δύνασθαι or the like, as after ως.—For οὐχ στι, στι μή, see § 150. m. 1, 3.

ο ῦνεκα, for τοῦ ἔνεκα, οδ ἔνεκα. 1) on which account. 2) because.—But in the poets further: 1) For ἔνεκα, on account of. 2) For ὅνε, that.

όθούνεκα, see § 29. n. 10; in the tragic poets i. q. ουνεκα, because, that.

- 4 εl 1) si, if, § 139. A. 2) In an indirect question, whether, § 139. m. 63. § 148. 2. b. 3) that, after Θαυμάζω, see § 139. m. 60.
 - el kal with the Indic. although. On the other hand, kal el and kāv el, even if, even supposing that; which last formula, notwithstanding the äv, takes the Indicative; since this äv (as in Someo av el in m. 1) strictly belongs to the apodosis, which however is often retained in the mind, or follows another construction. See the note to Dem. Mid. 15. Heindorf ad Plat. Soph. 69.
- 5 εἴτις, εἴτι, lit. if any one, if any thing; but this expression stands fully in place of the pronoun ὅστις, with greater emphasis: Xen. Hell. 7. 1. 20 ἔφθειρον εἴτι χρήσιμον ἦν ἐν τῷ πεδίφ, i. e. whatever, all that, etc. Comp. the converse in § 143. 19.
 - ϵ l γάρ, Lat. utinam! O that! in wishing; for which elsewhere ϵ iθε. § 139. in. 7.
 - ể πεί, ἐπειδή 1) Lat. postquam, after. 2) Lat. quoniam, since, because, § 139. C, D. 3) Before questions and before Imperatives, since, for; e. g. ἐπεὶ πῶς ἄν διακρίνοιμεν αὐτό; for how then could we distinguish it? ἐπεὶ Θέασαι αὐτός for see then thyself.—Compounds with ἄν ατο: ἐπεάν, ἐπειδάν.
- δπου 1) where, there where. 2) As conjunction, since, siquidem, Cyr.
 3. 11.

οποτε, ότε, stands likewise often for since, like Lat. quandoquidem: Dem Ol. 1. init. Soph. El. 38.—Also ότε, that, § 139. m. 59.

 $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$, $\rlap/{n}\nu$, $\rlap/{n}\nu$, also $\rlap/{o}ra\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}r\epsilon\iota \rlap/{o}\acute{a}\nu$, see in § 139; comp. Index.—For $\dot{\epsilon}\acute{a}\nu$ espec. after verbs signifying to examine, see too, see in § 139. m. 66.

η or; which signification it always retains likewise in interrogations; e. g. οὖτως ἐστίν· ἡ οὐκ οἶει; 'thus it is; or dost thou not think so?' πόθεν ηκει; ἡ δῆλον ὅτι ἐξ ἀγορᾶς; 'whence does he come? or is it plain (and therefore the question unnecessary) that he comes from the market?'—The disjunctive η΄... η΄ in epic writers that he comes from the market?'—The disjunctive η΄... η΄ in epic writers that he comes from the market?'—The disjunctive η΄... η΄ in epic writers that he comes from the market?'—The disjunctive η΄... η΄ δέ; but then commonly signifies as well ... as also; hence ηδε (ἰδε) standing alone in Homer signifies and.

In comparisons η signifies than, quam; c. g. σοὶ τοῦτο μᾶλλον ἀρέσκει η έμοί. If the first part be negative (or interrogative) then in the second part ἀλλά can stand instead of η; e. g. Thuc. 5. 99 οὐ νομίζομεν ἡμῖν τοὺς ἡπειρώτας δεινοτέρους, ἀλλὰ τοὺς νησιώτας. 1. 83 ἔστιν ὁ πόλεμος οὐχ ὅπλων τὸ πλέον ἀλλα δαπάνης. Or else in such a case the negative is repeated after η, and is then redundant, as with the Infin. § 148. n. 9; c. g. Hdot. 4. 118 ῆκει ὁ Πέρσης οὐδέν τι μᾶλλον ἐπ΄ ἡμέας ἡ οὐ καὶ ἐπὶ ὑμέας. Hell. 6. 3. 15 τί οὖν δεῖ ἀναμένειν μᾶλλον ἡ οὐχ ὡς τάχιστα εἰρήνην ποιεσθαι; Comp. Thuc. 3. 36.

Less accurately and with a certain anacoluthon, instead of \$\vec{n}\$, after comparatives we find ώs (ὅσον, οἰον); e. g. Theocr. 9. 33 οὐ γὰρ μελίσσαις ἀνθέα γλυκερώπερα, ὅσσον ἐμὶν Μοῖσαι φίλαι. Hence sometimes οὕτας likewise stands with a comparative, e. g. Plat. Apol. p. 36. d, οὐκ ἔσθ΄ ὅ,τι μᾶλλον πρέπει οὕτως, ώς τὸν τοιοῦτον ἄνδρα ἐν πρυτανείω σιτεῖσθαι. So too after οὐκ ἄλλο and the like † should follow by rule; yet we find ὡς, as with comparatives; e. g. Eurip. Fragm. 75 οὐκ ἔστι λύπης ἄλλο φάρμακον βροτοῖς, ὡς ἀνδρὸς ἐσθλοῦ καὶ φίλου παραίνεσις.—Vice versa, this comparative † can likewise be put after the positive, or generally after all those predicates, which either include in themselves the idea of comparison (as αἰρεῖσθαι), or else receive it by means of this †. Ε. g. Hdot. 9. 26 ἡμέας δίκαιον ἔχειν τὸ ἔτερον κέρας, †περ ᾿Αθηναίους. Π. a, 117 βούλομ ἐγὰ λαὸν σόον ἔμμεναι ἡ ἀπολέσθαι. Lys. p. 171 ζητοῦσι κερδαίνειν ἡ ὑμᾶς πείθειν.

When the comparative refers to a relation or proportion, it is followed by η πρός οτ η κατά; c. g. μείζων η κατ' ἄνθρωπον greater than according to man; η δόξα ἐστὶν ἐλάττων η πρὸς τὸ κατόρθωμα, the renown is less than in propor-

tion to the merit; Lat. quam pro.

 $\tilde{\eta}$ is wholly different from $\tilde{\eta}$, and originally signifies certainly. In common usage it is simply an interrogative particle, num, whether.—For $\tilde{\eta}$ $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$ see below, in. 29.—Further, $\tilde{\eta}$ $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$; in dialogue signifies always: not so? Germ. nicht wahr?

καί and τέ correspond entirely to the Lat. et and que; and καί has also 8 the significations also, even, etc.—When τέ precedes καί, the former means not only, the latter but also; e.g. αὐτός τε τύραννος ἐγένετο, καὶ τοῖς παιοὶ τὴν τυραννίδα κατέλιπεν. In other cases, both ... and. Still this double connection is often used in Greek, where we employ a single and.

 $\tau \epsilon$ moreover in epic poetry seems very often entirely superfluous. This arises from the circumstance, that in the most ancient language this particle first lent to many classes of words that connective power, which afterwards, as the language became more cultivated, they retained for themselves alone without the $\tau \epsilon$. Hence in the epic poets we find so often $\mu \epsilon \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$, $\gamma \delta \rho$, $\tau \epsilon$, and even $\kappa a i$ $\tau \epsilon$ (also), for $\mu \epsilon \nu$, $\delta \epsilon$, $\gamma \delta \rho$, $\kappa a i$, alone. Most commonly however the particle $\tau \epsilon$ follows the relatives of all kinds, because all these in the ancient language were already forms of the demonstrative, which by means of this $\tau \epsilon$ acquired a connective power (and this), and so became relatives (who, which). But so soon as the relative sense was exclusively allotted to these forms, the $\tau \epsilon$ fell away as superfluous. Hence in Homer so frequently still δs $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \sigma o \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$, etc. for δs , $\delta \sigma o \nu$, and the like. In the common language are further derived from this ancient usage the particles $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ and $\delta \tau \epsilon$, and the phrases $\delta \delta \tau \epsilon$ and $\epsilon \phi$ $\delta \tau \epsilon$, for which see § 150. m. 9, 10.

καί alone, in the signification also, is likewise in familiar discourse very often apparently superfluous; c. g. Plat. Alcib. I. 6 'I permit all thy questions $\ln \alpha$ καὶ εἰδῶ, ὅ,τι καὶ ἐρεῖς, where we should use some other particle, e.g. 'only that I may know, what thou wilt say.'

Peculiar is the usage of καί (also τὲ καί) in connecting an adjective with such ideas as πολύς, ὀλίγος, etc. where we do not use and; e.g. ξυνήδει αὐτῷ πολλὰ καὶ πονηρά πολλά τε καὶ ἀνόσια εἰργασμένος.

Before μάλα and πάνυ also καί has a peculiar emphasis; e.g. τοῦτο γὰρ καὶ μάλα ἀκριβῶς οἶδα, for I know this, and indeed very accurately.

Further, καί after ὁ αὐτός the same, ὁμοίως, ὡσαύτως, and the like, may be rendered as, like Lat. atque; e. g. Plat. Ion. p. 531 οὐχ ὁμοίως πεποιήκασι καὶ "Ομηρος. Hdot. 6. 58 νόμος δὲ τοῖσι Λακεδαιμονίοισί ἐστι ωὐτὸς καὶ τοῖσι βαρβάροισι.—For καὶ . . . δέ, see m. 10.

δέ but, is far from always having an adversative signification; in most 9 cases it is simply a particle of transition and connection, in order to introduce something else, where we either employ the conjunction and, or often also put nothing. The Greeks, whose best writers do not admit the Asyndeton, (i. e. a clause or phrase beginning in the midst of discourse and not connected with what precedes, see § 151. IX,) unless some rhetorical purpose is thus to be accomplished, always employ the particle δέ wherever the discourse is in itself connected, without however requiring one of the other more specific modes of connection or construction. In the more ancient language δέ supplied also the place of other connecting particles, viz. of γάρ for, e. g. Od. δ. 369 alei γὰρ lχθυάασκον τειρε δε γαστέρα λιμός. In Homer therefore it is necessary always to observe the context, in order to determine which of the three principal significations and, but, for, it has in each instance. Often too in the same writer, a specification of time, which is elsewhere connected by ώς, ὅτε, etc. is merely put in juxtaposition by means of δέ; e. g. Od. β. 313 (ὑμαῖς) τὸ πάροιθεν ἐκείρετε κτήματ' ἐμά· ἐγὰ δ΄ ἔτι νήπιος ἡα. This usage is often imitated by Herodotus.

Even after Vocatives, the next clause is introduced by δέ; e.g. Il. a. 282 Ατρείδη, σὺ δὲ παῦε τεὸν μένος. Eur. Or. 615 Μενέλαε, σοὶ δὲ τάδε λέγω. Μοπ. 2. 1. 26 ὧ γύναι, ἔφη, ὅνομα δέ σοι τί ἐστιν. The same takes place

also with other adversative particles, as $d\tau d\rho$, $d\lambda \lambda d$, and also not unfrequently with $\gamma d\rho$; as II. η . 328 'Ατρείδη, πολλοί γὰρ τεθνᾶσι καρηκομόωντες 'Αχαιοί, etc.

- 10 When καί and δέ come together in one sentence, καί can only have the sense of also; e. g. καὶ οὐτος δὲ παρῆν, but he also was present. But very often this junction occurs where we say and also; for since in Greek one cannot say καὶ καί, in such cases the looser connective δέ supplies the place of καί or our and. E. g. Cyr. 3. 3. 44 νῦν περὶ ψυχῶν τῶν ὑμετέρων ἐστὶν δ ἀγών, καὶ περὶ γυναικῶν δὲ καὶ τέκνων. If now we should here translate καὶ . . . δέ literally: 'but also for your wives and children,' this would give an entirely false emphasis to the construction; it means simply: 'the contest is now for your own lives, and also (and in addition) for your wives and children.' In the common language this junction of καί and δέ occurs only in such a way, that the principal word to which καί refers always stands before δέ; while in the epic language, on the contrary, the two particles always stand together, καὶ δέ; e. g. Il. t. 708 Καρπαλίμως πρὸ νεῶν ἐχέμεν λαόν τε καὶ ἵππους 'Οτρύνων, καὶ δ' αὐτὸς ἐνὶ προμάχοισι μάχεσθαι.
- 11 μέν and δέ are two particles belonging together, which have nearly the same character as δέ alone. They serve to form a connection, like our indeed...but; but are far more frequently employed than these English particles, which usually require a strong antithesis; while μέν and δέ only place two propositions or clauses in a connection, which with us is either not expressed at all, or at most by but alone. Thus very frequently a chapter or longer division of a book ends in this way: καὶ ταῦτα μὲν οὖτως ἐγένετο, these things then were so; and then the following chapter must necessarily begin something in this way; τῆ δ' ὑστεραία, but on the following day. Μέν must be given by indeed, only when the context manifestly requires it.

But μέν and δέ are often employed also to connect two clauses, of which only the second properly belongs in the connection; while the other is merely inserted in order to heighten by contrast the effect of the second. E. g. Dem. Ol. II. p. 25 ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο θανμάζω, εἰ (that) Λακεδαιμονίοιs μέν ποτε ὑπὲρ τῶν Ἑλληνικῶν δικαίων ἀντήρατε, καὶ, ἵνα οἱ ἄλλοι τύχωσι τῶν δικαίων, τὰ ὑμέτερα αὐτῶν ἀνηλίσκετε εἰσφέροντες · νυνὶ δ' ὀκνεῖτε ἐξείναι, καὶ μέλλετε (ye delay) εἰσφέρειν ὑπὲρ τῶν ὑμετέρων αὐτῶν κτημάτων. Here it is not the first conduct that Demosthenes wonders at, that the Athenians once defended the rights of all the Greeks against the Spartans; but the second, viz. that they, who once did this, should not now be ready to defend even their own possessions. Id. Cor. p. 281 αἰσχρόν ἐστιν, εἰ ἐγὼ μὲν τοὺς πόνους ὑπέμεινα, ὑμεῖς δὲ μηδὲ τοὺς λόγους αὐτῶν ἀνέξεσθε. Here one easily sees that the first part is praiseworthy, and only the second shameful; though net in itself, but from the contrast with the first. How attentive one must be to this form of connection, may be seen in the following example, which has been misunderstood by most; Eurip. Iph. Taur. 115:

Οὕτοι μακρὸν μὲν ἤλθομεν κώπη πόρον Ἐκ τερμάτων δὲ νόστον ἀροῦμεν πάλιν.

Here the negative belongs strictly only to the second clause, and the first can be made to follow as the antithesis: 'We will not again turn back from the goal, after we have once made so long a voyage;' but the sense is stronger and more emphatic, when both clauses are united into one negation: 'It shall not be said of us, that we have made so long a voyage, and at the very goal have again turned back.' The same sense remains, if we regard the whole as a question indicating displeasure. See Seidler.*

^{*} The Latins also have this mode of expression sometimes, but, in accordance with their Syntax, without such particles: Hor. Sat. 1. 2. 84, 'Quod venale habet, ostendit, nec, si quid honesti est, Jactat habetque palam, quærit, quo turpia celet.'

This $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$... $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ furnishes also an emphatic manner of connecting two 12 ideas belonging to the same clause, instead of the more usual $\tau \grave{\epsilon}$... $\kappa a \acute{\epsilon}$, viz. in such a way that some word of the clause is repeated; e.g. Xen. Mem. 2. 1. 32 $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \nu$ $\vartheta \epsilon o \hat{\epsilon} s$, $\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \iota$ $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ $\delta \acute{\nu} \rho \acute{\omega} \sigma o \iota s$ $\tau o \hat{\epsilon}$ $\delta \gamma a \vartheta o \hat{\epsilon} s$, instead of the feebler $\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \mu$ $\vartheta \epsilon o \hat{\epsilon} s$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{\epsilon} a \nu \theta$. τ . d. And in the same manner also without $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ in the first part, when the ordinary form of connection would be with $\kappa a \acute{\epsilon}$ only; so in Soph. Philoct. 827. Comp. § 147. n. 7.

In general, $\mu\acute{e}\nu$ can strictly never be used, unless $\delta\acute{e}$, or at least some 13 other particle of a similar meaning $(\grave{a}\lambda\lambda\acute{a},\,\mu\acute{e}\nu\tau o)$, corresponds to it in the succeeding clause. But nevertheless: 1) On rhetorical grounds the apodosis is sometimes omitted, or otherwise expressed; e. g. Plat. Charm. 2 $\pi a\rho$ eyévov $\mu\acute{e}\nu$, $\mathring{\eta}$ δ ' $\acute{o}s$, $\tau \mathring{\eta}$ $\mu\acute{a}\chi\eta$; $\Pi a\rho e\gamma e\nu\acute{o}\mu\eta\nu$. 2) In some common expressions, where the apodosis is to be regarded as entirely obliterated, $\mu\acute{e}\nu$ is employed (like quidem) merely to insulate some person or thing, and thus to exclude everything, which one perhaps might otherwise expect; so especially eyève $\mu\acute{e}\nu$ (equidem) Cyr. 2. 2. 10. etc. See Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 36. Theæt. 49.—For $\mu\acute{e}\nu$ instead of $\mu\acute{\eta}\nu$ in epic writers, see m. 29.

οὕτε, μήτε· οὐδέ, μηδέ. Both these forms express a negative con- 15 nection, and correspond to the Latin neque, and not. There is between them, however, this difference, that $o\tilde{v}\tau\epsilon$, $\mu\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon$, affect parts of clauses, or represent that which is denied as belonging to that with which they connect it; while οὐδέ, μηδέ, on the contrary, rather connect whole clauses and senses, partly by way of strong contrast, and partly by way of transition and in the regular progress of discourse. Ovite and white are more copulative, like the affirmative καί; οὐδέ and μηδέ more disjunctive, corresponding to δέ. The same difference is found when either particle is repeated; as οὕτε ... οὕτε, οὐδέ ... οὐδέ, μήτε . . . μήτε, etc. like Lat. neque . . . neque, i. e. neither . . . nor. Here too it must be noted, that, according to the nature of the clause-members thus connected, at one time the direct, and at another time the dependent negative may be employed; e. g. Dem. Phil. p. 138 τοῦτο μήτε γένοιτο, ο ὅτε λέγειν ἄξιον.—When one of the two members is positive, we find τέ ... οὕτε (οὐδέ), οτ οὕτε ... τέ, etc. like Lat. et ... neque, and neque ... et ; e. g. Hdot. 5. 49 οὕτε γὰρ οἱ βάρβαροι ἄλκιμοί εἰσι, ὑμεῖς τε ἐς τὰ μέγιστα ανήκετε αρετής πέρι. Soph. OC. 367 αὐτοῖς ἦν ἔρις, Κρέοντί τε θρόνους ἐασθαι, μηδὲ χραίνεσθαι πόλιν. Comp. Eurip. IT. 698 ὄνομά τ' ἐμοῦ κτλ. On the other hand, in Eur. Herc. F. 1106, ούτε Σισύφειον είσορῶ πέτρον Πλουτῶνά τ', the negative avails also for τέ, which consequently stands for ουτε.

Besides this simple connective power, moreover, the forms οὐδέ, μηδέ, correspond to the special significations of the particle καί; for as this in affirmation denotes: 1) also, 2) even; so these denote in negation: 1) also not, 2) not even; which last signification these particles always have when they stand in the middle of a clause; e. g. An. 3. 1. 15 έγὰ μὲν οὕτε καθεύδειν δύναμαι, ὥσπερ οἰμαι οὐ δ' ὑμεῖς, οὕτε κατακεῖσθαι ἔτι.

EE

Primarily οὐδέ and μηδέ signify but not; and so we must frequently still understand them in epic poetry; where also they are often written separately οὐ δέ, μὴ δέ.* In the common language this concurrence of δέ with the negative was avoided, either by placing the words differently, or by using ἀλλά or ἀτάρ.

άλλά has the strengthened sense of δέ, but, and corresponds at the same time to the Germ. sondern.—Besides this, it has in animated style a great variety of uses, which can be learned only by practice. It stands especially in an abrupt manner at the beginning of paragraphs or of whole books; where it is sometimes to be translated by well! sometimes by indeed, truly, but often also not at all. Peculiar is the connection of δλλά and γάρ; by which the adversative signification of the whole clause is united with a secondary causal relation in the clause into one conjunction, δλλά γάρ; e.g. Soph. Phil. 81 ἀλλ΄ ἡδὺ γάρ τοι κτῆμα τῆς νίκης λαβεῖν τόλμα, where no point is to be put after ἀλλά, as is shewn by Eur. Phæn. 1310 ἀλλὰ γὰρ Κρέοντα λεύσσω δεῦρο στείχοντα, παύσω τοὺς γόους. Comp. Soph. Phil. 874.

The compounds οὐ γὰρ ἀλλά, οὐ γάρ τοι ἀλλά, οὐ μέντοι ἀλλά, οὐ μὴν ἀλλά, bring out again prominently the negation of a preceding clause, and then let the antithesis follow with ἀλλά; e. g. Aristoph. Nub 230 οὐκ ἀν ποθ' εὖρον οὐ γὰρ ἀλλ' ἡ γῆ βία ελκει πρὸς αὐτὴν τὴν φροντίδα.— When no negation precedes they are only a strengthened ἀλλά, arising per haps from the thought: 'for I mean (or say) nothing else but,' etc. e. g Plat. Meno. p. 86 βούλει σκοπῶμεν; Πάνυ μὲν οὖν οὐ μέντοι ἀλλ' ἔγωγε ἐκεῖνο ἄν ἤδιστα τὸ πρῶτον σκεψαίμην, yet nevertheless I would, etc. Id.

Euthyd. p. 286. c, οὐ γάρ τοι ἀλλὰ θαυμάζω, emphatic.

17 γάρ, for, always stands in a sentence after other words, like Lat. enim.

—The use of this particle is very various and elliptical, especially in dialogue, where we must commonly supply before it in thought small phrases, like 'I believe it,' 'no wonder,' and others, which attention to the context will readily suggest. Here belongs too its use in questions; where we nevertheless in English very commonly use then; as who then? is then—? etc. Cyr. 8. 3. 4 σὐ δί, & Κῦρε, πότε κοσμήση; οὐ γὰρ νῦν, ἔφη ὁ Κ. δοκῶ ὑμῖν αὐτὸς κοσμεῖσθαι, ὑμᾶς κοσμῶν;—After a preceding demonstrative it is either not to be rendered in English at all, or at most by our namely; e.g. Xen. Mem. 1. 1. 6 ἀλλὰ μὴν ἐποίει καὶ τάδε πρὸς τοὺς ἐπιτηδείους τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἀναγκαῖα συνεβούλευε πράιτευ κτλ. i.e. 'he did for his friends all this, viz. that which was necessary he advised them.' etc. See also Plat. Lys. 14. Heind.

18 οὖν therefore, consequently, stands only after other words in a clause.— For the οὖν appended to words (ὁστισοῦν, etc.) see § 80. 1. § 116. 9.

άρα is the most general interrogative particle, Lat. -ne; e. g. ἀρα τοῦτό με ἐρωτᾶς;—The negative ἀρ' οὐ, nonne, awaits an affirmative answer: ἀρ' οὐ τοῦτο κάλλιστον;—The formula ἀρα μή gives to the question a tone of more doubt: is it then so? not surely so? comp. § 148. 5. E. g. Plat. Phæd. p. 103 ἀρα μή που καί σέ τι τούτων ἐτάραξεν ὧν ὅδε εἶπεν; and with the next following ἄλλο τι ἢ, ib. 64 ἀρα μὴ ἄλλο τι ἢ τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀπὸ τοῦ σώματος ἀπαλλαγὴν (ἡγούμεθα τὸν Θάνατον;)

άλλο τι ή, a very common particle of direct interrogation, which awaits the answer: 'nothing else,' i. e. affirmative; e. g. Plat. Gorg. p. 481 εἰ μὲν γὰρ τυγχάνει ταῦτα ἀληθή ὅντα, ἀ λέγεις, ἄλλο τι ἡ ἡμῶν ὁ βίος ἀνατετραμμένος ἀν εἶη. But ή can also be omitted; e. g. Plat. Rep. p. 369. d, ἄλλο τι γεωργός μὲν εἶς, ὁ δὲ οἰκοδόμος, anything else? i. e. not so? one is a husbandman, the other a builder?

^{*} Also even in Ionic prose: Hdot. 5. 35 πολλάς είχε ελπίδας μετήσεσθαι (of being dismissed) ἐπὶ δάλασσαν · μὴ δὲ νεωτερόν τι ποιεύσης τῆς Μιλήτου (but Miletus making no new movement), οὐδαμά κτλ.

μων, contr. for μη οὖν, an interrogative particle, strictly: but surely not? is it then? It loses for the most part its negative tone, (like interrog. μη simply, § 148. 5.) and then is either not to be rendered at all, or at most by our perhaps. The answer can be yes or no, according to circumstances.—The compounds μων οὖν and μων μη are only a strengthening of the simple particle; the latter like δρα μη. See Eur. Hec. 754. Plat. Lys. p. 208. c. e, μων μη τι ηδίκησας τὸν πατέρα; Μὰ Δί', οὐν ἔγωγε.

οὐκοῦν, οὕκουν. The particles οὐκ and οὖν express in the tone of assertion an inferential negative, consequently not, therefore not. Hence arose in daily language a manifold use of these particles, which is in general obvious from the connection, and is in part also indicated by the accentuation. 1) Put interrogatively they express the thing denied as being, in the epinion of the speaker, affirmative: Eurip. Orest. 1238 Οὐκοῦν ὀνείδη τάδε κλύων ρύσει τέκνα; 'wilt thou then, hearing these reproaches, not save thy children?' Plat. Phædr. p. 258. b, Οὐκοῦν, ἐὰν μὲν οὖτος ἐμμένη, γεγηθώς ἐπέρχεται ἐκ τοῦ Θεάτρου; 'does he not therefore, if this (his work) abides, depart from the theatre rejoicing?' 2) This interrogative form, through the habit of hearing it from those whose opinion affirmed that which was therein denied, became itself an affirmative form without interrogation: Soph. Ant. 91 Ovκοῦν, ὅταν δὴ μὴ σθένω, πεπαύσομαι, 'therefore I will cease, when I have no longer strength.' Plat. Phædr. p. 274. b, Οὐκοῦν τὸ μὲν τέχνης τε καὶ ἀτεχνίας λόγων πέρι ἰκανῶς ἐχέτω, 'let this therefore be enough said on art and want of art.' 3) Wholly different from these is obrown, when, without implying an interence, it expresses simply an emphatic negation; Soph. Aj. 1336 'Αλλ' αὐτὸν ἔμπας ὄντ' ἐγὰ τοιόνδε μοι Οὔκουν ἀτιμάσαιμ' ἄν, ' but although he was wholly such towards me, yet I would by no means dishonour him.' Soph. Philoct. 872 Οὔκουν Ατρείδαι τοῦτ ' ἔτλησαν εὐφόρως Οὕτως ἐνεγκεῖν, ὡγαθοί στρατηλάται, 'not lightly did the Atridæ bring themselves to bear this.' —This sameness of the accent in the forms under 1 and 2 (οὐκοῦν), in distinction from that in 3 (ούκουν), is given in general by tradition in the editions. With this coincides also the testimony of the ancient Grammarians; see in Hermann ad Vig. not. 261; to which may be added Apollon. de Conjunctione p. 496. 9. Phrynich. Bekk. p. 57; all of whom assume this difference only as between the illative and the negative words.* From the form in 3 it is not usual to distinguish the direct illative negation, consequently not, with the accentuation ouroup. Since however it is customary to distinguish such compound particles as retain their original signification unchanged, by writing them separately, it seems to me that this is also the most natural here, and also no violation of the tradition; e.g. Plat. Phædr. p. 275. a, where Thamus, after saying to Theuth that men would become forgetful through this security, continues: Οὔκουν (better Οὖκ οὖν) μνήμης, ἀλλ' ὑπομνήσεως φάρμακον εὖρες, 'therefore not for the memory, but for the recollection, hast thou found a medicine.' Eurip. Or. 1640: Men. Ocres βὲ τιμᾶ μητέρ' —. Or. Εὐδαίμων ἔφυ. Men. Οὔκουν (better Οὐκ οὖν) σύγε, 'consequently thou not.'

elra and επειτα both mean: 1) after, afterwards; 2) then, see Herm. 19 ad Vig. n. 239.—Both often assume a tone of censure and reproach: 1) The ground of the indignation or surprise being first stated, e. g. Ar. Ach. 311 ταῦτα δὴ τολμᾶς λέγειν —, εἶτ' ἐγώ σου φείσομαι; 'thou art so bold as to say this, and then (after all this, notwithstanding) shall I still spare thee?



^{*} In some recent editions, Hermann and others have first begun to distinguish the illative interrogation by the accentuation obscow; which I cannot approve. The forms under 1 and 2 have essentially one and the same affirmative signification. The tone of interrogation itself is an ethical accent, to distinguish which by a grammatical accent, is what can be prescribed to no language. Both species of tradition are here against it; for the Grammarians, in all the passages above referred to, do not mention the interrogative meaning in this connection.

- 2) When it stands at the beginning of a sentence, with reference to the discourse of another; where we also can say: 'thou wilt then consequently—,' or more briefly, therefore, itane; e.g. Æl. V. H. 1.34 εἶτα τολμήσεις τὸν υἶον ἀποθνήσκοντα εἶσορᾶν; 'wilt thou therefore (or then) bring thyself to see thy son die?' Xen. Mem. 1. 4. 11 ἔπειτ' οὐκ οἴει Φροντίζειν (sc. τοὺς θεοὺς τῶν ἀνθρώπων); οἶ πρῶτον μὲν ἄνθρωπον ὀρθὸν ἀνέστησαν κτλ. In all these relations both particles are also construed with participles, as we have seen in § 144. n. 13. The instances, however, where εἶτα and ἔπειτα are supposed to be dependent on the following participle (Herm. ad Vig. not. 219), all admit of being referred also to the preceding one; which is to be preferred as being the more natural construction.
- 20 av 1) again, another time; 2) on the other hand, vice versa; 3) further, and then also.
 - $\pi \rho i \nu$ before, sooner, is in its signification a comparative, and takes therefore the particle $\vec{\eta}$, than; though less often among the Attics. For its construction, see § 139. m. 41.
 - $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ $\delta\hat{\eta}$ just now, this moment; and especially with the preterites, just now, a moment since, before.
- 21 πώ and πώποτε. In these particles, the idea till now, hitherto, lies at the foundation; their usage is limited to the following cases: 1) Most commonly they are appended in this sense to negative particles, and then express the English yet, still, Lat. dum, as οῦπω, μήπω, not yet, nondum; where however they must not be confounded with the similar epic forms, for which see § 116. n. 6. The form πώποτε however is seldom appended to the simple οὐ οι μή; but we find οὐδεπώποτε, μηδεπώποτε, never yet; and indeed, in reference to the past, this form is almost solely in use, so that the form without πώ (i. e. οὐδέποτε never) is mostly used only in general, or in relation to the future. See Wolf ad Dem. Lept. 76. Lobeck ad Phryn. p. 458. Moreover both πώ and πώποτε can be separated from the negative particle, by the intervention of other words. 2) Except with a negative these particles stand only occasionally, and indeed emphatically, with interrogatives, with relatives, and with participles used instead of the construction with the relative; e.g. Thue. 3. 45 τίς πω ἐπεχείρησεν; Dem. Phil. 1. extr. ὅσα πώποτε ἡλλισαμέν τινα πράξειν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν, 'what we always hoped.' Plat. Phæd. p. 116. c, ἄριστος τῶν πώποτε δεῦρο ἀφικομένων. Very often also in conditional clauses.
- 22 ετι alone means yet, still, yet further; and with a negative οὐκέτι, μηκέτι, no more, no further.
- 23 μά and νή are particles of swearing, which are always followed by the object by which one swears, in the Accusative; e.g. νη Δία by Jove!—The oath with νή is always affirmative; that with μά, on the contrary, is subjoined both to affirmations and negations; e.g. ναὶ μὰ Δία and οὐ μὰ Δία; but when it stands alone, it serves merely as a negative; μὰ Δία, no. certainly not; nothing less.
- 24 2. These and some other particles have in Greek various other uses, which require a more extensive investigation than can be given here. This is especially true of several particles, which have formerly been called expletives, Particulæ expletivæ. In all languages there are particles, which are often employed only for the sake of completeness, or in order to produce a well-sounding fullness, yet never without their own peculiar sense; although they could also be omitted, since that which they express is often understood of itself. In estimating these particles in Greek, peculiar caution is necessary. Their full and

original meaning has in most cases become partially lost; and they now give to the discourse only a slight colouring, which we cannot properly feel except after long acquaintance and practice. For this purpose, however, a knowledge of the fundamental significations of these particles is necessary; for which the following may in part serve.

 $\gamma \epsilon$ enclitic, strictly at least, for which however $\gamma o \hat{\nu} \nu$ is more usual; while 25 $\gamma \epsilon$ is almost always employed, wherever a single object, or a part, is named with reference to the whole or to a greater number. Hence it is so often appended to the pers. pron. as $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega (\ddot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon)$ etc. by which means one always places himself as it were over against all other men; strictly, I at least, I for my part. Not unfrequently it can also be translated by certainly, truly, certe.

τοί enclitic, is strictly an ancient Dative for $τ\hat{\varphi}$, and means in consequence of, therefore certainly; which significations however are in τοί itself extinct, and therefore τοιγάρ, τοιγάρτοι, τοιγαρούν, are found as strengthened forms of them; while τοίνυν is used when one proceeds with an inference etc. as it were, 'now I further say,' but now.—The particle τοί by itself, retains only a sort of confirmatory sense, something like our words indeed, forsooth, just, also, yet, etc.

Other compounds with τοί are: καίτοι, which signifies: 1) and truly, and yet; 2) although.—Μέντοι, from μήν, epic μέν, and τοί, comp. m. 16; it signifies: 1) indeed, truly, certainly; 2) but indeed, nevertheless, a more emphatic form for δέ, especially after a preceding μέν.—"Ητοι comes either rom ἢ τοι, and then is often used by Homer as a particle of introduction or transition; e. g. II. a. 68 ἦτοι ὄγ' ὧs εἰπὼν κατ' ἄρ' ἔζετο· or else from ἢ τοι, and then it is a strengthened ἢ, used also in prose.—Also τ' ἄρα, ἢ τἄρα, τ' ἄρ, (see § 29. n. 8,) are forms of ἄρα strengthened by τοί, used by the poets.

δή strictly now, at present, for which ήδη is more usual. It serves in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R}$ various ways to increase the vivacity of discourse; e.g. $\mathring{d}\gamma\epsilon$ δή come on now; τί δή; what then?—It means also certainly, in truth.

After relatives, e. g. δστις δή, δπου δή, it serves to render them still more general, whoever now it may be, wherever now it may be, etc. or also some one or other, somewhere or other, etc.—When the strengthening ποτέ is subjoined, the whole is commonly written as one word; δστισδήποτε, see § 80. n. 1. § 116. 9.

 $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$, certainly, serves for emphasis both in declarations and in questions; où $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ certainly not.

 $\delta a i$, only in colloquial language, especially in interrogative clauses expressing wonder; commonly after τi and in unfinished clauses: τi $\delta a i$;

μήν, Dorio μάν, epic μέν and μάν, a particle strengthening affirmation: 29
1) in truth, assuredly; 2) but certainly, nevertheless; e. g. Plat. Soph. 1 καί μοι δοκεί θεὸς μὲν ἀνὴρ οὐδαμῶς εἶναι, θεῖος μήν.

† The Attic poets can nevertheless change the quantity, and use δρα for therefore and δρα as an interrogative; but their position in a sentence remains the same. See Herm. ad Soph. OC. p. xvi, sq.

^{*} When sometimes &pa or &p' obv stands at the beginning of a clause, it is in prose always to be changed to &pa, which in such cases is an interrogative supplying the place of a direct assertion. See Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 15. ed. 2.

So in questions which in dialogue follow a negative of another speaker, e. g. πότε μήν; when then? τίς μήν; who then? i. e. when or who else then? Hence τί μήν; equivalent to why not?

γὲ μήν, epic γὲ μέν, yet certainly, but truly; hence likewise a stronger δέ; see Exc. I, ad Arat.—Also καὶ μήν, and truly, yea; in contradictions, atqui, and yet, nevertheless. In the tragic poets a person is often first introduced by the chorus thus: καὶ μὴν ὁρῶ Εὐριδίκην, yea truly I behold Eurydice! Soph. Ant. 1180.

η μήν, Ionic and epic η μέν, is the usual formula of oaths and affirmations; sometimes with the Indicative, η μην έγω ἔπαθον τοῦτο, 'I swear, that I have suffered this;' and sometimes with the Infinitive, dependent from other verbs, as ὅμνυμι ἡ μην δώσειν, 'I swear to give.' Also in the third person: ὑπεδέξατο ἡ μην μη ἀπορεῖν αὐτοὺς τροφης, 'he undertook, solemnly promised, that they should not want for food.'

ο ὑ μήν, 1) yet not, assuredly not; 2) As a negative assertion corresponding to the affirmative ἢ μήν. In dependent clauses, μὴ μήν.

80 3 ην enclitic, (peculiar to the Ionic and Doric poets,) also a particle strengthening affirmation; which however gives to the discourse much the same tone, as when we say, I thought though; hence especially in a contemptuous and sarcastic sense: η 3ην, ου 3ην, but yet though, but not though.

νύ, νύν, short and enclitic; only in the Ionic dialect and in the poets:

1) Strictly the same with νῦν, for which it also sometimes stands;
2) For οῦν, therefore, now;
3) Like our expletive now, then, e. g. θνητὸς δέ νυ καὶ οῦ τέτυξαι 'and thou too now art born mortal,' Il. π. 622.

 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ enclitic (probably derived from $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ in the sense of very, § 147. n. 5), wholly, entirely.—Hence $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ lit. entirely as; $\kappa a \ell \pi \epsilon \rho$ although, with participles, § 144. n. 15.

31 πότε enclitic, once, ever, some time or other. In interrogatives it expresses surprise, e. g. τίς ποτέ έστιν οῦτος; who now can this be?

150. PARTICULAR WORDS AND PHRASES.

1 οὐχ ὅτι and οὐχ ὅπως. These two forms of expression are often regarded as synonymous, though they are in reality opposed to each other. Before each of them some verb like λέγω is to be supplied. When the form οὐχ ὅτι then follows, the clause is affirmative; e.g. Xen. Mem. 2.9.8 καὶ οὐχ ὅτι μόνος ὁ Κρίτων ἐν ἡσυχία ἦν, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ φίλοι αὐτοῦ, where μόνος belongs only to Κρίτων. Dion Cass. 42. p. 285 Δανειζόμενος οὐχ ὅτι παρὰ τῶν πόλεων, 'not only from private persons, but also from cities.' When this phrase is to introduce a negation, this must be already implied in the clause itself; and then it can be rendered still stronger by ἀλλ' οὐδέ. Demosth. c. Tim. p. 702. 2 οὐχ ὅτι τῶν ὅντων ἀπεστερήμην ἄν, ἀλλ' οὐδ΄ ἀν τζην. Thuc. 2. 97 ταύτη δὲ (Scytharum potentiae) ἀδύνατα ἐξισοῦσθαι οὐχ ὅτι τὰ ἐν τῆ Εὐρώπη, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἐν τῆ ᾿Ασία ἔθνος ἐν πρὸς ἐν οῦκ ἔστιν κτλ.—Μοτε commonly, when the negative is to be expressed, οὐχ ὅπως is used, where consequently ὅπως as, becomes equivalent to that not. E. g. Demosth. c. Pol. 1225. 12 ἡ δὲ γῆ οὐχ ὅπως τινὰ καρπὸν ἤνεγκεν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ ὕδωρ... ἐκ τῶν φρεάτων ἐπέλιπεν, 'not only the earth

bore no fruit.' Xen. Hell. 5. 4. 34 ἐδίδασκον τὸν δήμον, ὡς οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι οὐχ ὅπως τιμωρήσαιντο, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐπαινέσαιεν τὸν Σφοδρίαν, 'that the Lacedæmonians not only would not punish,' etc. ib. 2. 4. 14 οὐχ ὅπως ἀδικοῦντες ἀλλ' οὐδ ἐπιδημοῦντες ἐφυγαδεύομεθα, ἱ having not only not done them any wrong, but not having even entered the land, we were banished.' Comp. Thuc. 1. 35.

Less frequent, in the same sense, were οὐχ ὅσον and οὐχ οἶον. The 2 former stands for οὐχ ὅτι, or at least Thucydides uses it with a second οὐ subjoined for the negation: 4.62 οἱ μὲν οὐχ ὅσον οὐκ ἡμύναντο, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἐσώ-θησαν.—Οὐχ οἷον stands for οὐχ ὅπως. Polyb. οὐχ οἷον ἀφελεῖν δύναιτ' ἄν τοὺς

φίλους, άλλ' οὐδ' αὐτοὺς σώζειν.

Preceded by $\mu\dot{\eta}$, both στι and σπως must have ὑπολάβη τις or the like supplied; or they are to be taken like the Lat. ne dicam. In this way they are stronger than with οὐχ, and both have a negative sense. Xen. Cyr. 1. 3. 10 $\mu\dot{\eta}$ όπως ὀρχεῖσθαι ἐν ῥυθμῷ ἀλλ' οὐδ' ὀρθοῦσθαι ἐδύνασθε. ib. 3. 2. 21 οὐκ ἄν ἡμεῖς ἀσφαλῶς ἐργαζοίμεθα, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ὅτι τὴν τούτων, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἀν τὴν ἡμετέραν sc. χώραν. Mem. 1. 6. 11 καίτοι τόγε ἱμάτιον $\dot{\eta}$ τὴν οἰκίαν οὐδενὶ ἄν $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ὅτι προῖκα δοίης, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἀν ἔλαττον τῆς ἀξίας λαβών. All these constructions are easy to fill out and explain.

When μη ὅτι follows, the mode of expression becomes still more emphatic, and is then to be given by the Latin nedum, much less, not to say: Plat. Crat. p. 427 δοκεί σοι ράδιον είναι οῦτω ταχὺ μαθείν ότιοῦν πραγμα, μη ὅτι τοσοῦτον δ δη δοκεί ἐν τοῖς μεγίστοις μέγιστον είναι; Phædr. p. 240. d, ἀ καὶ λόγω ἐστὶν ἀκούειν οὐκ ἐπιτερπές, μη ὅτι δη ἔργω. Xen. Hell. 2. 3. 35 οὐδὶ πλείν, μη ὅτι ἀναιρεῖσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας δυνατὸν ῆν. În the same sense Lucian uses οὐ χ ὅπως, e. g. D. Mort. 27. 5 οὐδὶ ἐστάναι χαμαὶ οὐχ ὅπως βαδίζειν

∢δύνατο.

Sometimes a seeming objection is introduced by οὐχ ὅτι, which is then im- 4 mediately (commonly by means of ἀλλά) refuted; fully: 'not that it troubles me—, but—.' If no refutation follows, οὐχ ὅτι can be rendered by although, etc. See Heind. ad Plat. Lys. 37. Protag. 66.

δτι μή after negatives, except.

- τὸ δὲ—, an elliptical phrase which it is hard to fill out, and which serves to introduce a clause contrary to what has been before said, something like our since nevertheless, but since; e.g. Plat. Theæt. p. 157 οὐχ ὅτι ἡναγκάσμεθα χρῆσθαι αὐτῷ· τὸ δ' οὐ δεῖ οὕτε τι συγχωρεῖν κτλ. See Heind. ad loc. et ad Meno. 38.
- τὶ often passes over into a modifying, or also into a generalizing particle: in some measure, or also in something or other. Hence ο ὅτι, μήτι, not at all; which compounds however can be again separated, e.g. χερείων, οὕτ ἀρ φρένας, οὕτε τι ἔργα II. a. 115.—For the Tmesis with this τὶ (ὑπό τι) see § 147. n. 7.

μήτι γε, not to say then, much less then, nedum; probably derived from μη στι, which see above in m. 3.

τί, what? also how? why? wherefore? Lat. quid? serves for many short elliptical turns in colloquial discourse; e.g. τί γάρ; quid ergo? or quid enim? what then? for why? in bringing forward arguments. Also τί δί, but how? what further? as a transition to something new. Further: τί οῦν δή; how so then? τί μή; why not? quidni?—For τί μήν; see § 149. m. 29.

ο \hat{v} π $\epsilon \rho$ \hat{i} , e.g. Thuc. 4. 63 $\hat{\eta} v$ δίλλοις \hat{v} πακούσωμεν, οὐ περὶ τοῦ τιμωρήσα- 7 σθαί τινα, ἀλλὰ καὶ φίλοι τοῖς $\hat{\epsilon} \chi \theta$ ίστοις ἃν γιγνοίμεθα, 'not to speak of vengeance (as this is not to be thought of), but we should even become,' etc.

όσον οὐ, οτ όσονού, tantum non, only not, i. e. almost, e. g. τὸν μέλλοντα 8 καὶ ὁσονοὺ παρόντα πόλεμον 'the impending and only not yet present war.'
To fill out this mode of expression, we must conceive it thus: 'only so much



is wanting, as is necessary to make it not a present war.' Eur. Hec. 143 ήξει δ' 'Οδυσεὺς ὅσον οὐκ ήδη.

οσος, η, ον, stands in Θαυμαστὸν δσον and similar phrases, as in Lat. mirum quantum, 'so much that it is wonderful,' i.e. uncommonly much. In a similar manner it stands before or after superlatives of quantity; e.g. πλείστα δσα, οτ δσα πλείστα, quam plurimum, very many. For the explanation of this construction, see § 151. l. $\bar{5}$.

τοσούτω... ὅσω, with comparatives and superlatives, signify: by so much... by how much, i. e. the more... so much the more. Yet ὅσω οτ ὅσω καί often stands alone in the second member: Thuc. 2. 47 αὐτοὶ μάλιστα ἔθνησκον, ὅσω καὶ μάλιστα προσήεσαν, and so much the more as, inasmuch as.

With the neuters $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu$ and $\delta \sigma a$ also many elliptical turns are made; e.g. $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu \kappa a \theta$ ήμας so far as in our power; $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu \nu$ οργυίαν, $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu$ είκοσι, about. II. ι. 345 οὐκ ἐθέλεσκε μάχεσθαι, ἀλλ' $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu$ ἐς Σκαιάς τε πύλας καὶ φηγὸν ἵκανεν, i. e. only. Thuc. 1. 111 τῆς μὲν γῆς ἐκράτουν, $\delta \sigma a$ μὴ προϊόντες πολὺ ἐκ τῶν ὅπλων, i. e. 'so much of it as they could, without,' etc. comp. § 143. n. 3.

όσαι ἡμέραι, contr. όσημέραι, every day, daily; e. g. Plat. Charm. p. 176. So too όσος with other specifications of time.

- 9 ἐφ' ῷ, for ἐπὶ τούτῳ ὅ or ὡς, on condition that; e. g. λέξω σοι ἐφ' ῷ σιγήσει, 'I will tell thee on condition thou wilt be silent.'—Further, ἐφ' ῷτε has the same meaning, for ἐπὶ τούτῳ ὥστε, but commonly takes the Infinitive; e. g. ἡρέθησαν ἐφ' ῷτε συγγράψαι νόμους, 'they were chosen on condition or with the commission to make laws.'
- 10 ἔστε, (not ἔs τε, for it stands for ἐs ὅτε: Dor. ἐστε,) till, so long as; see § 139. C.
 - οδός τε, οδόστε, signifies, when spoken of persons, able; of things, possible; e. g. οδός τε έστι πάντ' ἀποδείξαι 'he is able to accomplish all;' ἀλλ' οὐχ οδόντε τοῦτο 'but this is not possible.'
- 11 οὐδὲν οἶον, lit. nothing such, nothing like, Fr. il n'y a rien de tel; e. g. Dem. Mid. p. 529 ἀνάγνωθι τὸν νόμον οὐδὲν γὰρ οἶον ἀκούειν αὐτοῦ τοῦ νόμον for there is nothing like hearing the law itself. Plat. Gorg. p. 481 οὐδὲν οἶον τὸ αὐτὸν ἐρωτῷν. Arist. Lys. 135 οὐδὲν γὰρ οἶον, absol.
- 12 ἄλλος often stands with its substantive in antithesis to other objects of a different kind, where in English we omit the adj. other; e. g. Plat. Gorg. 1. 473 εὐδαιμονίζεται ὑπὸ τῶν πολιτῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ξένων.—The use of ἄλλος ἄλλο, ἄλλοθεν ἄλλος etc. corresponds to the Lat. alius aliud; Engl. one this, the other that. For the construction with the Plur. see § 129. n. 12.

αλλο, else, is used with a negative or interrogatively in order to strengthen assertions; where commonly there is the omission of some verbal idea. E. g. Xen. Cyr. 1. 4. 24 ἐκείνος οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἢ τοὺς πεπτωκότας περιελαύνων ἐθεῶτο, i. e. 'he did nothing else.' Mem. 2. 3. 17 τί γὰρ ᾶλλο ἢ κινδυνεύσεις ἐπιδείξαι, σὺ μὲν χρηστὸς... εἶναι κτλ. In such connections, if ᾶλλο takes the apostrophe, it commonly also loses its accent; e. g. Plat. Apol. p. 20. d, δι' οὐδὲν ἀλλ' ἢ διὰ σοφίαν τινὰ τοῦτο τὸ ὅνομα ἔσχηκα. Phædr. p. 231. b, δστε οὐδὲν ὑπολείπεται ἀλλ' ἢ ποιεῖν προθύμως ὅ,τι ἀν αὐτοὶς δοκῆ. Meno. p. 76 ὅτι οὐδὲν ἀλλ' ἢ ἐπιτάττεις, 'because thou dost nothing else but command.' Æschin. c. Tim. p. 55 δωροδοκεῖ, ῶστε μηδὲν ἀλλ' ἢ τὰς αἰσχύνας αὐτῷ περιείναι. Yet very often we find ἄλλ' accented; see the next marg. note.

13 ἀλλ' η takes also in many connections the signif. nisi, unless, except; e. g. Aristoph. Ran. 1105 (1073) οὐκ ἡπίσταντ' ἀλλ' ἡ μάζαν καλέσαι καὶ ῥύππαπαὶ εἰπεῖν. In most cases however there occurs before this ἀλλ' ἡ an ellipsis of the thought, which cannot well be supplied by words; e. g. Isæus de Arist. Hered. p. 261 ὁ νόμος οὐκ ἐῆ τῶν τῆς ἐπικλήρου κύριον εἶναι, ἀλλ' ἢ τοὺς παῖδας . . . κρατεῖν τῶν χρημάτων. Plat. Phædr. p. 258. e, τίνος μὲν οὖρ

ένεκα κάν τις, ως είπειν, ζώη, αλλ' ή των τοιούτων ήδονων ένεκα. See also Aristoph. Acharn. 1112.**

ταλλα for τὰ ἄλλα, in other respects, otherwise; e.g. ἔστιν ἄπαις, τἆλλα 14 εὐδαιμονεῖ, 'he is childless; in other respects, happy.'—Hence τά τε ἄλλα, followed by καί in the next clause, as in other respects... so also especially; e.g. τά τε ἄλλα εὐδαιμονεῖ, καὶ παῖδας ἔχει κατηκόους αὐτῷ.—Hence too coines the elliptical mode of expression τά τε ἄλλα καί, lit. among other things; but inasmuch as we render thus prominent only that which is distinguished, it is always to be translated particularly, especially.

 $d\lambda \lambda \omega s \tau \epsilon \kappa a i$ signifies in like manner especially, and has arisen in the same manner as the preceding.

ἀμφότερον is used by the poets adverbially (or elliptically) where we 15 say both; e.g. χώσατο δ' αἰνῶς ᾿Αμφότερον νίκης τε καὶ ἔγχεος, δ ξυνίαξεν.— With this coincides the usage in prose, where the Accus. ἀμφότερα comprehends two preceding adjuncts which stand in a different case; e.g. διαφέροντες ἡ σοφία ἡ κάλλει ἡ ἀμφότερα (Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 2); and so likewise in the corresponding usage with 9 άτερα; e.g. Plat. Leg. 6. p. 765. e, ἔστω παίδων γυησίων πατὴρ μάλιστα μὲν υἰέων καὶ θυγατέρων, εἰ δὲ μή, 9 άτερα.

καὶ ταῦτα, and that too, and that indeed; e.g. Luc. D. Deor. 8. med. τη- 16 λικαύτην παρθένον ἐν κεφαλῆ ἔθρεψας, καὶ ταῦτα ἔνοπλον, 'so stately a maiden (Pallas) hast thou nourished in thy head, and that too armed!'—It is also inflected: καὶ οὖτοι, καὶ τούτων, etc. An. 2. 5. 21. Hdot. 6. 11.

αὐτὸ δείξει v. σημανεῖ, the thing itself will shew, etc. αῦτως and αὕτως, see Lexil. I. 37.

17

πρό τοῦ οι προτοῦ, before now, sooner, already, i.e. πρό τούτου V. ἐκείνου τοῦ χρόνου; see on Plat. Alcib. I. 14.

τοῦ λοιποῦ sc. χρόνου, in future, for the future, comp. § 132. 14.—Also τὸ λοιπόν, or simply λοιπόν, from now on, henceforth.

πολλοῦ δεῖ Impers. it wants much, it is far from. So also personally, 18 πολλοῦ δέω, I am far from, e.g. λέγεω τοῦτο, comp. § 151. I. 7. For πολλοῦ δεῖν see § 140. n. 4.

In the same manner, for the contrary, stands μικροῦ or ὀλίγου δεῖ, δέω, δεῖν, for non multum abest quin, i. e. almost, nearly; ὀλίγου δέω εἰπεῖν 'I could almost say.'—Often also ὀλίγου or μικροῦ stands alone in this sense.

 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon}$, when standing alone, is to be translated or rather.

μάλιστα μέν, (with reference to a following εἰ δὲ μή,) before all things, best of all, if possible, strictly indeed; e.g. καταγιγνώσκετε αὐτοῦ μάλιστα μὲν Θάνατον, εἰ δὲ μή, ἀειφυγίαν, 'condemn him, best of all, to death; but if not,

to perpetual banishment.'

With this coincides the use of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ when connected with interrogatives, where it demands a specific answer: $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \iota \ \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$; 'how many then strictly?' With actual numbers, however, it expresses their amount (e.g. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma a \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \sigma \tau a \ \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \ \dot{\eta} \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \iota s$) with the conviction indeed that they are correct, probably, surely, certainly; but yet so as to imply that it is not entirely decided; hence therefore $\pi \dot{\eta}$, $\pi o \dot{\iota}$, etc. are frequently subjoined. See Ind. ad Plat. Meno. in v. Wessel. ad Herod. 8. 65.

πώμαλα primarily but how then? also by no means. It comes from πωσ



^{*} The examples here collected, shew manifestly, that all these forms of expression belong together. The accent should consequently, according to general custom, remain on Δλλ' unchanged. But the form Δλλ' η for nisi is quite abrupt; especially as in some passages the word Δλλος occurs just before it; so that consequently the ellipsis before Δλλ' η is not clear; e. g. Plat. Apol. p. 34, Τίνα Δλλον λόγον ἔχουσι βοηθοῦντες ἐμοί, Δλλ' η ὁρθον τε καὶ δίκαιον. For this reason the ancient Grammarians also seem to have derived Δλλ' η from Δλλά.

 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda a$, and not, as the grammarians assume, from the unusual Dorism $\pi \hat{a}$ for $\pi \hat{a} \theta \epsilon \nu$.

20 ἄληθες, with the accent drawn back, an ironical interrogative reply: itane? really? is it then so? See Brunck ad Aristoph. Ran. 840.

ῶφελον (non-Attic ὅφελον) is inflected; strictly, I ought; and hence it takes the sense of wishing, partly alone, e.g. μήποτ' ὥφελον ποιεῖν had I never done this! and partly with ωs or with εἴθε or εἰ γάρ, O that, utinam; e.g. ὡς ὥφελος παρεῖναι O hadst thou been present! εἰ γάρ ὥφελον θανεῖν O that I had died! In later writers only it became an indeclinable interjection. See also § 139. m. 8, 13.

 $d\mu \in \lambda \in i$, be unconcerned; hence: 1) As an assurance, without doubt, positively, certainly; 2) In confirmation of a general proposition by a particular one, and really.

- 21 ἔστι stands before relatives of all kinds in the following manner: ἔστιν ὅτε est cum, i. e. sometimes; ἔστιν ὅς est qui, i. e. some one. So even before the Plural, e. g. καὶ ἔστιν οἱ αὐτῶν ἔτιτρώσκοντο ʿand some of them were wounded; ἔστιν οἱς οὐχος ἔδοξεν ἱτο some it did not appear so.' (Still one can also say εἰσὶν οἰ.) Χεπ. Απ.1. 5.7 ἢν δὲ τούτων τῶν σταθμῶν οὖς πάνυ μακροὺς ἢλαυνεν, 'some of these day's-journeys he made very long.'—This expression came afterwards to be regarded as one word, and was even interwoven in the midst of a clause; e. g. εἰ γὰρ ὁ τρόπος ἔστιν οἶς δυσαρεστεῖ 'for if the manner displeases some;' κλέπτειν δὲ ἐφῆκεν ἔστιν ἄ΄ δυτ he permitted to steal certain things,' Xen. Laced. 2. 7; οὖσπερ εἶδον ἔστιν ὅπου 'which I have seen somewhere or other.'—So also interrogatively, ἔστιν οὖστινας ἀνθρώπους τεθαύμακας ἐπὶ σοφία; 'hast thou perhaps admired certain men for their wisdom?' Mem. 1. 4. 2.
- 22 οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως, it is impossible, inconceivable; Dem. Ol. p. 13 ἡ φιλοπραγμοσύνη, ὑφ' ἡς οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως ἡσυχίαν σχήσει 'the love of strife, on account of which it is not possible for him to remain quiet.'
- 23 ἔστιν, ἔξεστιν, ἔνεστι, πάρεστι πράττειν, (absolutely or with a Dat. of person,) all signify, one can. But ἔνεστι refers to the physical possibility, it is possible; ἔξεστιν to the moral, it is lawful, permitted, one may; ἔστι stands indefinite between the two, it may be done; and likewise πάρεστι, except that this includes the idea of facility, it lies with him, i. e. 'he can at once, without hindrance.' When ἔξεστιν and ἔνεστι are interchanged, this takes place simply on rhetorical grounds; as we also say for the sake of strength: 'it is not possible for me' instead of 'I may not;' and 'I am permitted' instead of 'it is possible for me.'

ώς ἔνι. In this phrase ἔνι stands (by § 117. 3. 2) for ἔνεστι it is possible; hence before superlatives, ώς ἔνι μάλιστα, the most possible, in the highest degree.

24 ἐν τοῖs. When this phrase stands before a superlative, it signifies omnium, of all, among all; e. g. Thue. 1. 6 ἐν τοῖs πρῶτοι ᾿Αθηναῖοι τὸν σίδηρον κατέθεντο, 'first among all, the Athenians laid down their weapons;' τοῦτο ἐγὼ ἐν τοῖs βαρύτατα ἄν ἐνέγκαιμι 'this I of all should bear the heaviest.'—If one would resolve this construction, some participle must always be supplied after ἐν τοῖs, such as the context suggests; thus in the first example above, ἐν τοῖs παροῦσιν, in the second ἐν τοῖs βαρέως φέρουσιν αὐτό. We must therefore be upon our guard, in cases where the superlative is an adverb, as here in the second example, not to construe thus: ἐγὼ τοῦτο ἄν ἐνέγκαιμι ἐν τοῖs βαρύτατα φέρουσιν αὐτό, which would give a feebler sense ('I should be one of them who bear it most hardly'), and is incorrect, as those instances shew, where this cannot be applied; as in ἐν τοῖς πρῶτοι.—This ἐν τοῖs stands even before the Feminine, e. g. Thuc. 3. 17 ἐν τοῖς πλεῖσται νῆες ἄμ' αὐτοῖς ἐγένοντο. 3. 81 ἡ στάσις ἐν τοῖς πρῶτη ἐγένετο. It

is often put before the adv. μάλιστα for strength; e. g. Plat. Symp. p. 173 έραστης ην έν τοῖς μάλιστα τῶν τότε. Comp. Plat. Crit. p. 52. a.

ol dμφί or ol περί with an Accusative. Here e. g. ol dμφὶ "Ανυτον usually means not merely 'those who are with or about him,' but: 'Anytus with those about him, his companions,' etc. So ol dμφὶ Θαλῆν, 'Thales and other wise men of his sect,' Plat. Hipp. Maj. 2. The Attics especially avail themselves of this indefinite expression, even where they wish to speak chiefly only of the one person, leaving it from some cause or other doubtful, whether it really concerns only this one or not. Thus ol dμφὶ Εὐθύφρονα (Plat. Cratyl. p. 400) means indeed Euthyphron, but still with the implication that there may perhaps also be others belonging to his party and opinion; ol dμφὶ Θεμιστοκλέα (Plat. Meno. extr.) 'the Themistocles' as Plural; further ol περὶ Κέκροπα (Mem. 3. 5. 10) only Cecrops, where however the obscurity of the ancient fable seems to be alluded to.—Plutarch says even: ol περὶ Λέντλον ὑπατεύοντες ήδη, i.e. 'Lentulus being now consul,' Pomp. 59.

el μὴ διά with the Accusative, lit. if not on account of, i. e. were it not 2b for; hence e. g. καὶ ἀπέθανεν ἂν εἰ μὴ διὰ τὸν κύνα 'he would have died, had it not been for his dog.' See also the example in § 139. n. 4.

μεταξύ between, in the midst of. This particle as adverb usually stands 27 before a participle in this manner: μεταξύ περιπατῶν, as he was walking; μεταξύ δειπνοῦντα ἐφόνενσεν αὐτόν, he murdered him while he was at supper; i.e. inter ambulandum, inter cænandum.—As preposition it is put also before the Genit. absol. § 145. n. 5.

αμα, and in the apodosis καί; so soon as, when . . . then; e.g. αμα ἀκηκόαμέν τι καὶ τριηράρχους καθίσταμεν, 'so soon as we have heard anything (of the enemy), we will then appoint captains of the ships.' Also construed like μεταξύ, e.g. αμα ταῦτ' εἰπὼν ἀνέστη, 'as he said this he stood up.'— The construction with the participle in the Dative, see in § 145. n. 5.

πολλάκις has in suppositions and questions the meaning perhaps, per-28 chance, forte; e.g. Plat. Lach. p. 194 ζητοῦμεν, εἶ ἄρα πολλάκις αὐτὴ ἡ καρτέρησίς ἐστιν ἀνδρία. Heind. ad Phædo. 11. Index ad Meno. in voc.

δ ἀ εί. When this phrase stands before participles, ἀεί means: every time, 29 in every case, always; e. g. δ ἀεὶ ἠδικημένος, 'he who always (i. e. as often as the supposition has place) suffers injustice;' ὁ ἀεὶ ἄρχων 'the archon for the time being.' So too with substantives and adjectives.

φροῦδος is only construed as a verb, with the omission of εἶναι: he is 30 gone, has disappeared; e. g. φροῦδος γὰρ ὁ ἀνήρ for the man is off; φροῦδα πάντα all is over! Comp. § 129. n. 10.

dρξάμενος, e. g. ἀπὸ σοῦ ἀρξάμενος lit. beginning from (with) thee; 31 hence, and thou first of all, thou before all. In this mode of expression the participle is always connected with the principal object spoken of; e. g. Plat. Gorg. p. 471 ἔστιν ὅστις ᾿Αθηναίων, ἀπὸ σοῦ ἀρξάμενος, μᾶλλον δέξαιτ ἀ τὸ τοῦλος γενέσθαι ἡ δεσπότης; 'is there a single one of the Athenians, and thou most of all, who would rather be slave than master?' Rep. p. 366 πάντες οδτοι ἀπὸ τῶν ἡρώων ἀρξάμενοι οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἔψεξεν ἀδικίαν. See Ind. ad Meno. in γ. Heind. ad Plat. Alcib. I. p. 104.

αγών, φέρων, ἔχων, λαβών, are all used by poets and prose writers 33 as a sort of periphrase for an adverbial idea: in company with, or simply with. The choice of the particular participle depends on the context: Cyr. 1. 4. 17 πολλοὺς αὐτὸς ἔχων ἦκεν ἐππέας καὶ πεζούς. An. 4. 4. 16 ὁ δὲ ἄνδρα συλλαβὼν ἦκεν ἄνων, ἔχοντα τόξον Περσικὸν καὶ σάγαριν. Il. γ. 424 τῆ δ' ἄρα

δίφρον ελοῦσα φιλομμειδής 'Αφροδίτη κατέθηκε φέρουσα. Comp. further below, m. 40, 42; also § 144. n. 3.

- 34 ἐλθών, ἰών, μολών, Θέων, and the like, are often, especially in epic poetry, apparently superfluous additions along with verbs of motion; but by means of them the expression gains in vivacity and fullness; e.g. II. ε. 134 Τυδείδης ἐξαῦτις ἰὼν προμάχοισιν ἐμίχθη. Other examples see in § 144. n. 3.
- 35 μέλλειν as forming a periphrase, see in § 137. n. 11.—Out of the natural signification of the verb: to be about to do, i. e. in future, arise two others, which must not be confounded: 1) The hypothetical, conjectural, e. g. Hom. οὖτω που Διὶ μέλλει... φίλον εἶναι, where we also make use of our auxiliary will, 'thus then will it be agreeable to Jove.' 2) The signification to delay, i. e. to be always about to do, always intend.
 - τί δ' οὐ μέλλει; τί δ' οὐκ ἔμελλε; why shall he not? why should he not? i. e. most certainly, assuredly.—But also without the negative the signification comes to the same thing: τί μέλλει; lit. what (then) shall be? hence, why not? certainly. Heind. ad Plat. Hipp. Maj. 17.
- 36 ἐθέλειν (but never θέλειν) when followed by an Infin. must very often be rendered as an adverb before a finite verb: willingly, voluntarily; e.g. Xen. Hi. 7. 9 δωρείσθαι ἐθέλουσι, lit. 'they are willing to make presents' i.e. 'they willingly make presents.' Cyr. 1. 1. 3 Κύρφ ἴσμεν ἐθελήσαντας (i.e. ὅτι ἤθελον) πείθεσθαι τοὺς καὶ ἀπέχοντας παμπόλλων ἡμερῶν ὁδόν· καὶ δμως ἤθελον αἰτῷ ὑπακούειν.
- 37 $\phi \theta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \nu$, to come before, anticipate. This verb, besides its simple use, has a threefold construction and signification, which must first of all be clearly distinguished.
 - 1) In a positive clause with the participle of the main verb (§ 144. n. 6), it signifies: to do before, somer than another; or before something else can take place; e. g. ἔφθασα αὐτὸν παρελθών 'I arrived earlier than ho;' ἔφθην ἀπών 'I went away before.' Hence to do in haste; e. g. Hdot. 3. 78 φθάνει τὰ τόξα κατελόμενος.
 - 2) In a negative clause in like manner with a participle, and connected by καί with the following clause, it signifies no sooner...than; e.g. Isocr. p. 388 οὐκ ἔφθημεν ἐς Τροιζηνα ἐλθόντες καὶ νόσοις ἐλήφθημεν ʿwe had no sooner arrived, than we were seized by illness; i.e. in one and the same moment we arrived and were taken ill. Ib. p. 354 οὐκ ἔφθησαν ὑμᾶς καταδουλωσάμενοι καὶ πρώτου αὐτοῦ ψυγὴν κατέγνωσαν 'they had no sooner sub dued you, than they banished him first.' Comp. ἄμα above, m. 27.
 - 3) In a negative clause with the participle expressed or implied, but without any further necessary connection, οὐ φθάνειν is used for to be ready, not to fail; and thus imparts to the verbal action expressed by the participle the accessory idea of certainty and speediness. In this sense it never occurs except in the Optative with āν; viz. a) Instead of the Imperative: οὐκ ἀν φθάνοις λέγων ' be ready, fail not, to tell us,' i. e. tell us at once. b) As confident prediction, promise, etc. e. g. οὐκ ἀν φθάνοιμι (in answer to a challenge) 'I will not fail, I am ready;' e. g. Eur. Or. 930 οὐκ ἀν φθάνοι τις ἀποθήσκων ' none will fail to die,' i. e. will not escape death. Dem. p. 745 εἰ οὖν μὴ τιμωρήσεσθε τούτους, οὐκ ἀν φθάνοι τὸ πλῆθος τούτοις τοῖς θηρίος δουλεὖον 'if then ye do not punish these, the multitude will inevitably be in slavery to these beasts.'—It is as obvious as it is singular, that this negative form of expression coincides with the affirmative one, in no. 1 above. In order to explain this contradiction, we may probably best assume, that οὐκ ἀν φθάνοις is strictly an interrogative phrase, which was used instead of an animated Imperative (wilt thou not instantly?), and thus in daily usage by degrees lost its interrogative tone; whence also in the poets the οὐ stands last, e.g. Eurip. Herael. 721 φθάνοις δ' ἀν οὐκ ἀν τοῖοδε συγκρύπτων δέμας. So soon now as οὐκ ἀν φθάνοις became equivalent to a direct Im-

perative, it was very natural to say in the same sense: οὐκ ἀν φθάνοιμι, and οὐκ ἀν φθάνοι. In this way the sense became indeed imperceptibly turned about; but we find the same fact in all inferences beginning with οὐκοῦν, where this is not interrogative; for precisely as οὐκοῦν ἄπειμι is synonymous with ἄπειμι οῦν, so also is οὐκ ἀν φθάνοιμι ποιῶν synonymous with φθάνοιμι ἀν ποιῶν.

elvai. This Infinitive appears as superfluous in some phrases in Attic 38 writers, especially in έκων είναι, fully: 'so that I am unconstrained' i.e. if it depends on me, of my own accord, etc. It usually stands after a negative: οὐκ αν έκων είναι ψευδοίμην 'I would not intentionally lie.' Rarely without a negative, c. g. Hdot. 7. 164.

Different from this is είναι in τὸ νῦν είναι, for now, for the present; e.g. τὸ τήμερον είναι χρησόμεθ' αὐτῷ for to-day we will use, etc. See on the phrases which belong here, Reiz. ad Viger. n. 178. ed. Herm.

#χειν with an adverb means lit. to have oneself so and so, i. e. to find oneself, be circumstanced; but can generally be translated by the verb to be, e. g. καλῶς ἔχει it is well; ώς εἶχε as he was, i. e. undressed.—Its construction with the Genitive, see in § 132. n. 28; and in connection with the prepositions ἀμφί and περί, see in § 147.—In the poets ἔχειν stands sometimes in like manner before adjectives and pronouns; e. g. ἔχει ταὐτόν it is the same thing Eurip. Orest. 308; ἔχ' ἤσυχος id. Med. 550.—The periphrase of a preterite with ἔχω, see in § 144. n. 18.

ἔχων is subjoined to the second person of some verbs, as $\lambda \eta \rho \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$, $\phi \lambda \nu a \rho \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$, 40 παίζειν, in order as it were to bring them more home to the feelings; much as we would say: 'thou wilt but have thy jest,' παίζεις ἔχων — 'thou only makest thyself sport,' $\lambda \eta \rho \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \epsilon \chi \omega \nu$. The origin of this is easily recognized in the interrogative form, e.g. $\tau i \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu \delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota s$; lit. 'what hast thou then that thou so delayest?' shorter: 'why delayest thou so then?' Compare Ruhnk. ad Tim. 257. Brunck ad Arist. Thesm. 473. Herm. ad Vig. num. 228. See also m. 33 above.

 $\tau i \pi a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau i \mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ are both expressions of displeasure and censure, 41 instead of the feebler ti (why?) alone. The former is to be explained by the phrase in Aristophanes, οὐτος, τί πάσχεις; thou there, what has come over thee, what has got into thee? So then also e. g. τί παθών έλευθέρους τύπτεις; 'what has got into thee, to beat those who are free?' This rather blunt expression therefore refers originally to fits of passion approaching even to insanity.—In an analogous manner is the other also to be explained, which is more ironical: τί μαθών; 'what hast thou learnt so wise?' i. e. what hast thou taken into thy head? what has got into thy head? see Wolf ad Demosth. Lept. p. 348. Further, as we have just seen above that from the interrogative τί ἔχων has come the direct ἔχων, so likewise we here find μαθών without interrogation, but only with ότι; e. g. Plat. Apol. 26 τί ἄξιός είμι ἀποτίσαι, ὅτι μαθών ἐν τῷ βίω οὐχ ἡσυχίαν ἦγον; where in μαθών there clearly lies the idea of considerate purpose: 'what punishment do I merit, who so intentionally, in my whole life, had no rest.' If now one would supply the object omitted after $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} v$, it would perhaps be something thus: ότι, μαθών οὐκ οἶδ' ὅ,τι, ἡσυχίαν οὐκ ἡγον. But it is by no means to be supposed, that the full sense of μαθών, as here developed, was in every single instance present to the mind of the speaker; this or something similar was only the origin of the expression, and ὅτι μαθών became then only a more emphatic öri. See the other examples in Heind. ad Plat. Euthyd. 30. Comp. also in Hdot. 3. 119 τίνα έχουσα γνώμην τον άδελφεον είλευ περιείναί τοι, which is nothing more than a softer τί μαθούσα; how comest thou there-. to? what thinkest thou?*



^{*} Since it is apparent, that the three phrases of censure, τί ἔχων, τί παθών, τί μαθών, ατο essentially the same, and are to be explained in the same manner, we

2 φέρων seems also to be superfluous in some phrases; but it always expresses a free and decided propensity connected with an action, not however without censure; e. g. ὑπέβαλεν ἐαυτὸν φέρων Θηβαίοις, 'he delivered himself up at once to the Thebans,' Æschin. p. 482; εἰς τοῦτο φέρων περιέστησε τὰ πράγματα, 'to this state he has unceasingly brought affairs,' id. p. 474. Comp. Herm. ad Vig. num. 228; and see m. 33 above.

151. Idiomatic Forms of Construction.

I. Attraction.

- 1. Although we have already particularly treated of the two principal forms of attraction (\$\delta\$ 142, 143), the subject nevertheless deserves to be here presented under one point of view; since both of those forms, together with some other instances occurring in single phrases, have manifestly a common principle as the basis. There existed, especially among the Attics, a strong propensity, to which indeed they often sacrificed the strict rules of logic, for introducing everywhere a rounded conciseness. For this end they sought as much as possible, where two clauses or propositions were closely connected, not merely to let them immediately follow one another, but to concentrate both into one. Hence the frequent use of participles, even in cases where the nature of the sentence seems to require a different construction; see § 144. 2.
- 2. But participles could not everywhere be employed. The construction with the relative was also necessary, which consists of two clauses following one another. In order now to give to these the shape of one clause, the pronoun of the last was made common to both, by attaching it, as to form, to the first, while in its nature it remained a part of the second. E. g. in the sentence μεταδίδως τοῦ σίτου οῦπερ αὐτὸς ἔχεις, the Genitive οῦπερ causes the whole of the second clause to become a sort of adjective qualifying σίτου; and it is only from an indulgence of our own habits and preconceived notions, that we separate such clauses by a comma, which the Greeks so evidently drew together into one.
- 3. Whenever, further, the subject of the Infinitive has already been mentioned with the preceding verb, there thus arises a connection in the sense, which the Greek endeavoured to render perceptible also in the form. He melted down, therefore, as it were, both verbs, so far as the thought is concerned, into one compound (ὑπέσχετο ποιήσειν, ἔξεστι γενέσθαι); and by causing all that belonged to the subject of the Infinitive to be attracted to the subject of the first verb, he produced the appearance of a single clause; as δ ἀνήρ ὑπέσχετο ποιήσειν τοῦτο αὐτός,—ἔξεστι μοι γενέσθαι εὐδαίμονι. This too in like manner we most inappropriately separate by a comma.
- 4. Further, the same effort after unity lies at the basis, wherever the preceding noun or pronoun (the antecedent) is attracted by the relative; and the same analogy extends also to adverbs; see fully in § 143. 17, and n. 6.

- 5. Hence it clearly appears, that all those phrases, in which an expression of surprise or amplification seems to be strengthened by means of a subjoined relative, are to be explained solely through this attraction. Thus when it is said (comp. § 150. m. 8): θαυμαστον όσον προυχώρησε, this is strictly to be so taken: Θαυμαστον (ἐστίν) ὅσον προυχώρησεν, 'it is wonderful how far he is advanced.' But when the relative stands in any other form, the preceding word also passes over into the same form; as the Neut. 9avuaστόν into the Fem. e.g. θαυμαστή όση ή προχώρησις αὐτοῦ, where the clause can also be inverted: ἡν δὲ ἡ προχώρησις αὐτοῦ θαυμαστὴ ὅση. From this the like form was adopted into other phrases, which can no longer be so well, nor even at all, resolved; e. g. άλλ' ην περί αὐτὸν ὅχλος ὑπερφυής ὅσος, Aristoph. Plut. 750; έδωκεν αὐτῷ πλείστα όσα, etc. But when the relative word is the adverb ws, the same adjectives are attracted by it even into the adverbial form: c. g. from θαυμάσιον (ἐστίν), ὡς ἄθλιος γέγονε, comes θαυμασίως ως άθλιος γέγονεν, and in the same manner υπερφυώς ως, and the like. And this representation is confirmed by the actual occurrence of the unchanged form; e. g. Hdot. 3. 113 ἀπόζει (τὰ θυώματα) θεσπέσιον ώς ἡδύ.
- 6. An attraction of a different kind embraces the very extensive usage, that, when verbs of speaking, knowing, feeling, and the like, are followed, not by the Accus. with an Infin. or participle, but by conjunctions like &s. $\delta \pi \omega s$, $\delta \tau \iota s$, or by an indirect interrogative clause, the subject of the subordinate clause is put after such verbs as the object in the Accusative; e. g. olda $\gamma \eta \nu$, $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \eta$ $\delta \sigma \tau i$, for olda, $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \eta$ $\delta \sigma \tau i$, $\delta \tau i$ Or also thus arranged: $\gamma \eta \nu$ $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \eta$ $\delta \sigma \tau i \nu$ $\epsilon l \delta \delta \iota u \tau$ $\delta \tau i$ ov odd' ϵl $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \nu$ $\delta \tau i$ or also thus arranged: $\gamma \eta \nu$ $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \eta$ $\delta \sigma \tau i \nu$ $\epsilon l \delta \delta \iota u \tau$ $\delta \tau i$ or ΕΧΑΜΡΙΕS: Ατ. Pac. 603 εἰ βούλεσθ' ἀκοῦσαι τήνδ', ὅπως ἀπώλετο, ξυνίετε. Απ. 1. 2. 21 ἤσθετο τὸ Μένωνος στράτευμα, ὅτι ἤδη ἐν Κιλικία εἴη, for ἤδη ἐν Κ. εἶναι. Soph. Aj. 1141 σὰ δ' ἀντακούσει τοῦτον ὡς τεθάψεται. Τhuc. 3. 51 Νικίας εἴβούλετο τὴν φυλακὴν αὐτόθεν τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις εἶναι, τούς τε Πελοποννησίους, ὅπως μὴ ποιῶνται ἔκπλους αὐτόθεν, a combining of the two constructions, with the Infinitive and with a conjunction. Thuc. 1. 59 ἤλθε ἡ ἀγγελία τῶν πόλεων, ὅτι ἀφεστᾶσιν. Mem. 4. 4. 13 οὐ γὰρ αἰσθάνομαί σου ὁποῖον νόμιμον ἡ ποῖον δίκαιον λέγεις.

7. From the same propensity and effort arises further a construction, which in Greek is far more frequent and comprehensive than in other languages, viz. the personal construction, so called, in connection with certain We here give a general survey of this topic, as the most appropriate place; although it can hardly be any longer regarded as attraction. Yet its affinity with the preceding constructions is obvious. subject namely of the secondary clause, as in the preceding case the object, becomes the subject of the main clause, instead of an impersonal it or one (Germ. man), and as in Latin: diceris esse, instead of dicitur te esse. But the Greek language goes further in this respect, that the dependent clause can be put not only in the Infin. or participle (with or without is), but may also be a clause of indirect interrogation, or one introduced by the conjunctions ότι, ώς, οθνεκα, etc. Hence one can say: Κθρος λέγεται τοθτο ποιήσαι. Φίλιππος άγγελλεται πολιορκών ν. ώς πολιορκήσων δήλος ήν ότι (ώς) τοῦτο έποίησε · φανερός έστιν δ βούλεται.—The following especially are often put in the personal construction, and occur also in prose:

a) The Passives of verbs of speaking, etc. or of such verbs as in the Act. take an objective clause (no. 6 above); as λέγομαι, ἀγγέλλομαι, φαίνομαι,

ευρίσκομαι, επιδείκνυμαι, and others.

b) Many neuter verbs ; as δοκῶ, κινδυνεύω, συμβαίνω, ἀπολείπω ; also πολλοῦ v. ὀλίγου v. τοσούτου δέω, etc.

c) Also adjectives as predicates; as δήλος, φανερός, επάϊστος Hdot. επί-

δοξος, άξιος, δίκαιος, δεινός Hdot. αναγκαϊός είμι Plat.

d) Less frequent and more poetical are various other predicates; inas much as the poets very naturally would prefer this construction to the impersonal; e. g. ἀρκῶ, πρέπω, προσήκω· χαλεπός, βαρύς, κρείσσων εἰμί, and others.

In all these examples the impersonal construction is likewise in use at the same time.

ΕΝΑΜΡΙΕS: Hell. 4. 3. 13 ὁ Πείσανδρος ἡγγέλλετο τετελευτηκώς. 6. 4. 16 ζώντες ἡγγελμένοι ἡσαν. Dem. Macart. init. οὐτοι ἐπιδειχθήσονται, οἰοί εἰσων ἄνθρωποι. Mid. p. 522 ἔστι δὲ ἐκεῖνος οὐκ ἄδηλος ἐρῶν. Cyr. 4. 4. 3 δηλος ἐστε, ὡς ἄνδρες ἀγαθοὶ ἐγένεσθε. An. 1. 5. 9 δηλος ἡν ὡς σπεύδων. Dem. Cor. p. 227 τούτου τὴν αἰτίαν οὖτός ἐστε δἰκαιος ἔχειν. Cyr. 4. 1. 20 δίκαιος εἰ ἀντιχαρίζεσθαι ἡμῖν. 5. 4. 19 ἄξιοί γε μέντοι ἐσμὲν τοῦ γεγενημένου πράγματος τούτου ἀπολαῦσαί τι ἀγαθόν. Isocr. Plat. p. 297 τοσούτου δέομεν τῶν ἴσων ἀξιοῦσθαι τοῖς ἄλλοις Ἔλλησιν, ὥστε οὐδὲ τῆς κοινῆς ἐλευθερίας μετέχομεν. Thuc. 7. 70 βραχὺ γὰρ ἀπέλιπον ξυναμφότεραι νῆες διακόσιαι γενέσθαι.—Ροτίε: Soph. Ant. 547 ἀρκέσω θνήσκουσ' ἐγώ, it is enough that I die; σὰ ἐξαρκεῖς also in Plato. II. φ. 482 χαλεπή τοι ἐγὰ μένος ἀντιφέρεσθαι. Soph. Aj. 635 κρείσσων γὰρ ἄδα κεύθων ἡ νοσῶν μάταν.

II. Anacoluthon.

- 1. The Anacoluthon (ἀνακόλουθον) is a construction, of which the end does not grammatically correspond to the beginning; and which is nevertheless intentionally employed by writers. Here, however, the learner must be cautioned, not too lightly to explain a passage, as is often done, by means of the anacoluthon, so soon as it is only somewhat unusual, or where perhaps it has been corrupted by transcribers. Every anacoluthon must be regarded as suspicious, the origin of which cannot be naturally accounted for in the fact, that the speaker gains by it, either in conciseness, or in perspicuity and smoothness, or in emphasis.
- 2. The usual form of the anacoluthon appears, where the speaker commences a period in the manner naturally required by the preceding discourse; but afterwards, especially after parenthetical clauses, through which the hearer may easily have forgotten the commencement of the construction, passes over into a new construction. E. g. Plat. Apol. p. 19. e, τωίτων εκαστος οἰόστ' ἐστίν, ἰων εἰς ἐκάστην τῶν πολεων, τοὺς νέους, οἰς ἔξεστα τῶν ἐαντῶν πολιτῶν προῖκα ξυνείναι ῷ ἄν βούλωνται, τούτους πείθουσι... σφίσε ξυνείναι. Ηετε τούτων at the beginning refers to several preceding names of Sophists; and both the progress of the discourse and emphasis required that the new period should thus commence: 'Each of these has it in his power to persuade the young men, etc.' In this way consequently after

oloστ' ἐστίν the Infinitive πείθειν would have been requisite. But further on, since the mention of the youth intervenes with circumstances which the contrast made necessary, ('the youth to whom it was permitted to have free intercourse with such of their fellow-citizens as they chose,') the writer forsakes the first construction, the grammatical connection of which has now become obscure, and finds it more natural to refer back with another τούτους to the νέους, and so begin a new construction: τούτους πείθουσι—, i. e. those Sophists persuade the young men.

- 3. Another example is Plat. Phædr. p. 232, τοιαῦτα γὰρ ὁ ἔρως ἐπιδείκνυται· δυστυχοῦντας μὲν ὰ μὴ λύπην τοῖς ἀλλοις παρέχει, ἀνιαρὰ ποιεῖ νομίζειν· εὐτυχοῦντας δὲ καὶ τὰ μὴ ἡδονῆς ἄξια παρ᾽ ἐκείνων ἐπαίνου ἀναγκάζει τυγχάνειν, 'Such things are wrought by love: the unfortunate it makes regard as grievous that which causes no pain to others; the fortunate—' (but here the writer will express the antithesis forcibly) 'it necessitates that even indifferent objects should receive from them praise.' Strict logic, however, demanded that the second clause should be given thus: παρ᾽ εὐτυχούντων δὲ—, but this would have destroyed the symmetry: δυστυχοῦντας μὲν—, παρ᾽ εὐτυχούντων δὲ—. But neither symmetry nor emphasis does the Greek writer sacrifice so lightly to logic; he leaves the Accus. εὐτυχοῦντας, which the analogy of the first clause required, standing as an Accus. absolute, and then refers back through παρ᾽ ἐκείνων to the same object, in order to bring out the forcible conclusion ἐπαίνου ἀναγκάζει τυγχάνειν.
- 4. Other examples of minor anacolutha are the following: a) Where a preceding case, in respect to what follows it, is ungrammatical: Cyr. 2. 1. 5 το ψ ς Έλληνας, τοὺς ἐν τῷ ᾿Ασίᾳ οἰκοῦντας, οὐδέν πω σαφὲς λέγεται, εἰ ἔπονται. So vice versa the Nom. e. g. Œc. 1. 14 οἰ δὲ φίλοι, τί φήσομεν αὐτοὺς εἶναι. Compare further in § 141. n. 2. b) When a relative clause passes over abruptly into a demonstrative clause; comp. espec. § 143. 7; e. g. Plat. Legg. p. 944. a, ὁπόσοι κατὰ κρημνῶν ρἰφέντες ἀώλεσαν ὅπλα, ἡ μυρί ἀν ἔχοι τις τοιαῦτα παραμυθούμενος ἐπάδειν.
- 5. To the anacoluthon might also be reckoned many other examples, in which, from rhetorical or other causes, there is a departure from strict grammatical construction. But this would extend too far the idea of the anacoluthon, of which the freer poetic usage gladly avails itself, as well as the less restrained diction of prose, especially in colloquial language. Such instances, therefore, are already treated of in their appropriate places, as cases of laxer syntactical usage. We mention here, for example, the sudden transition from the indirect to the direct style, § 139. m. 69; the transition from the construction with the Infin. or participle to that with conjunctions, and vice versa, § 142. n. 2. § 139. m. 61; the instances where a participle does not agree in case with its substantive, § 144. n. 5; the construction of the Nom. and Acc. absolute with the participle, § 145. n. 4; also many turns in relative clauses, § 143. 11.

III. Inversion

.1. Inversions and displaced constructions (Hyperbata) are in general far less common in Greek writers, even in the poets, than among the Latins. In particular cases, however, the Greek inversions, even in prose writers, are stronger and more forced than the Latin. A very frequent cause of inversion was the propensity which prevailed especially among the Attics, to place together those words in a clause or in two clauses, which either resemble each other, or are opposed to each other, or in any other way have a special mutual reference. Thus one would say e.g. πάντων γὰρ πᾶσε πάντες ἔχθιστοί εἰσι Καρχηδόνιοι Ῥωμαίοις, instead of πάντες Κ. πάντων ἔχθιστοί εἰσι πᾶσι Ῥ.—Plat. Phædr. p. 277. c, ποικίλη μὲν ποικίλους ψυχῆ καὶ παναρωονίους διδοὺς λόγους, ἀπλοῦς δὲ ἀπλῆ.—In consequence of this propensity.

they even separated the article from the noun, see § 127. n. 6; and prepositions from their cases, see § 147. n. 3.

- 2. The natural tendency, moreover, to express as early as possible in a sentence the part on which the emphasis rests, also gives occasion for transpositions; e.g. Dem. Ol. III. p. 36. infr. το μέν πρώτον . . . αγαπητον ήν παρά του δήμου των άλλων έκάστω και τιμής και άρχης και άγαθου τινος μεταλαβείν. νῦν δε τουναντίου κτλ. Here the Dative εκάστφ depends on αγαπητόν ήν, formerly it was pleasant to every one of the other citizens, when he received honour from the people; but now just the contrary; but the πaρά τοῦ δήμου which is dependent on μεταλαβεῖν has the greatest emphasis, and therefore stands before that Dative.
- 3. In the same manner the emphasis sometimes removes an adverb which should stand after a relative, and causes it to stand before it; e.g. νῦν δη å ἔλεγον, 'what I said before,' Plat. Euthyd. p. 288. b. Hence ambiguity can sometimes arise, as in Theorr. 10. 17 έχεις πάλαι δυ ἐπεθύμεις, where πάλαι belongs not to έχεις, but to ἐπεθύμεις. Comp. Spald. ad Dem. Mid. § 30.
- 4. In the following example a want of perspicuity is avoided by means of inversion: Dom. Ol. III. extr. ἀξιῶ ὑμᾶς...μὴ παραχωρεῖν τῆς τάξεως, ἡν ύμῖν οἱ πρόγονοι τῆς ἀρετῆς μετὰ πολλῶν καὶ καλῶν κινδύνων κτησάμενοι κατέλιπον. Here the Genitive της άρετης depends on the other Genitive τάξεως (τάξις της άρετης series or degrees of virtue); but both standing together would have occasioned confusion.
- 5. It is often apparent, however, that a writer has gained by inversion, although it cannot be explained in the above ways. Thus in the fine passage, Plat. Phædr. p. 230. d, δοπερ γάρ οί τὰ πεινώντα θρέμματα θαλλον ή τινα καρπόν προσείοντες άγουσι, σύ έμοι λόγους ούτω προτείνων . . . φαίνει περιάξειν κτλ. Where of is the article belonging to προσείοντες, and τα πεινώντα γρέμματα is dependent on δγουσων, i. e. 'like those who, holding out boughs or fruits, lead hungry cattle,' etc. * Xen. Cyr. 6. 4. 8 ήξεω αὐτῷ σὲ πολύ 'Αράσπου ἀνδρα καὶ πιστότερον καὶ ἀμείνονα, where the πολύ strengthens the comparative, and the Gen. 'Αράσπου is governed by this latter; precisely as in Dem. Mid. p. 573 οἱ δὲ ἦτιμωμένοι διὰ πολλῷ τούτων εἰσὶν ελάττω πράγματα, instead οἱ ἦτιμωμένοι εἰσὶ διὰ πράγματα πολλῷ ἐλάττω τούτων.
- 6. In the formulas of adjuration, πρός θεών, πρός γονάτων, etc. the expression of emotion draws back the personal pronoun $\sigma \epsilon$, without however causing it to stand before the preposition; because this orthotone emphasis would announce an antithesis, which does not exist. For this reason the σέ is inserted between the prepositions and their cases: & πρός σε γονάτων, πρός σε θεών, so. Ικετεύω, which however is usually omitted. Soph. OC. 1333 Πρός νύν σε κρηνών, πρός θεών όμογνίων Αίτώ πιθέσθαι.†

IV. Ellipsis.

1. Ellipsis, or the omission of a word or words, opens a wide field, especially in the Greek Syntax, upon which we can here enter only in a very gen-This figure is used, for the most part, only when that which eral manner. is omitted is already obvious from the nature of the clause or from the con-

do ducunt), passed over to the verb dyours.

† See Matthiæ Gr. § 465. 3. Porson Adv. p. 220.—The necessity of leaving the of in such connections enclitic, is apparent from the clear relation of the sense. It

is however neglected even by very accurate editors.

[#] I leave however to the learner the option between this explanation and that by means of an anacoluthon, viz. that the writer began with of with the purpose of afterwards using the participle ayorres; but then, in consequence of the disagreeable concurrence of two participles (of-spoorelowes dyowes, Lat. ii qui-porrigen-

nection; as e. g. in all such expressions as κοιμᾶσθαι βαθὺν sc. ἔπνον; ποτέραν τραπήση sc. ὁδόν; ἐτύπτετο πολλὰς sc. πληγάς; ὁ Φιλίππου, etc.

- 2. It is also easy to supply those cases of ellipsis, where in the second half of a period one or more words are to be repeated out of the first half. This is particularly frequent in conditional clauses; e. g. Arist. Ach. 380 υπάκουσον, εἶπερ πώποτ' ἀνθρώπων τινί. An. 5. 3. 3 οἱ δὲ ἄλλοι ἀπώλοντο ὑπό τε τῶν πολεμίων καὶ τῆς χιόνος, καὶ εἴ τις νόσω. Thuc. 4. 55 ἐς τὰ πολεμικά, εἶπερ ποτέ, ὀκυπρότεροι ἐγένοντο. Also even shorter, so that only the particle remains: εἴπερ, οτ εἵπερ ἄρα, i. e. if it be so, if it should be so, etc. Plat. Euthyd. p. 296. b, οὕκουν ἡμᾶς γε σφάλλει, ἀλλ', εἵπερ, σέ, 'not us then does it deceive, but, if any one, thee.'—Vice versa also the main clause can be elliptical after a conditional clause; e. g. εἰ δή τφ σοφώτερος φαίην εἶναι, τούτφ ἄν sc. φαίην εἶναι,
- 3. In like manner frequently the conjunction $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \delta \nu \epsilon l \quad (\S 149. \text{ m. 1})$ stands without a predicate; whence also by degrees, like quasi, it came to stand almost adverbially with single words. E.g. Isocr. Paneg. p. 71 την δδον δμοίως διεπορεύθησαν, δισπερ αν εί προπεμπόμενοι. Plat. Gorg. p. 479 φοβείται δισπερ αν εί παῖς τὸ κάεσθαι καὶ τὸ τέμνεσθαι.
- 4. Customary also is the ellipsis of the thought after ὅτι, in the two phrases δ ἢλον ὅτι and εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι, so that these hence become almost adverbial. E.g. Plat. Gorg. p. 475 οὐκοῦν τὸ ἀδικεῖν κάκιον τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι; δῆλον δὴ ὅτι. So too interjected: Dem. Phil. 3. init. πολλῶν λόγων γιγνομένων καὶ πάντων οἶδ' ὅτι φηφάντων γ' ἄν, δέδοικα κτλ.
- 5. The same holds true of relative clauses, in which the sense must be completed from the main clause; see § 143. n. 3. § 150. m. 8. This often happens, when a verbal idea is connected as a participle with the relative clause; as II. 9. 306 μήκων ώς ἐτέρωσε κάρη βάλεν, ἢτ' ἐνὶ κήπω καρπῷ βριθομένη sc. κάρη βάλλει ἐτ. And like εἴπερ above, so here the relative strengthened by περ can stand in place of the whole clause; e. g. Plat. Legg. p. 710 πάντα σχεδὸν ἀπείργασται τῷ βεῷ, ἄπερ ὅταν βονληθῆ διαφερόντως εὐ πρᾶξαί τινα πόλιν, i. e. 'whatever he is wont to do, when,' etc.
- 6. In the same manner, the negative particles also stand without the word or clause which they render negative, and which is then to be supplied out of the preceding discourse. Thus especially μή often in the middle of a clause (§ 148. 2. h), so that it then comes to stand before other words to which it does not belong, and thus occasions perplexity to the learner. E. g. Plat. Phædr. p. 237 τῷ δὴ τὸν ἐρῶντά τε καὶ μὴ κρινοῦμεν, where καὶ μῷ stands for καὶ τὸν μὴ ἐρῶντα. Id. p. 258 τίς οὖν τρόπος τοῦ καλῶς καὶ μὴ γράφεν; where καλῶς is omitted after μή.—ἀγαθοὶ ἡ μὴ ἀνδρες 'good men or not good men;'—καὶ ὁπότε, καὶ μή, for καὶ ὁπότε μή, Plat. Alcib. I. p. 109. d.
- 7. The elliptical phrase εἰ δὲ μή after another hypothetical clause, has become very frequent in the colloquial style. In such case, according to our feelings, the first hypothesis must always be positive; but this expression became so generally current as qualifying what precedes, that it is used also after negative clauses, and consequently then affirms; e. g. An. 4. 3. 6 οὐκ ἐν τῷ υὐατι τὰ ὅπλα ἦν ἔχειν· εἰ δὲ μή, ἤρπαζεν ὁ ποταμός. Comp. ib. 4. 4. 17. Cyr. 3. 1. 35. Also, directly the reverse, we find the elliptical expression εἰ δὲ after positive clauses, where we should expect εἰ δὲ μή, e. g. Plat. Euthyd. p. 285 εἰ μὲν βούλεται ἐψέτω· εἰ δ', ὅ,τι βούλεται, τουτο ποιείτω. Comp. further Plat. Alcib. I. p. 114. b. Soph. Ant. 722; also the formula of encouragement, εἰ δ' ἄγε, on! come on!
- 8. Easily supplied, and current in all languages, is the omission of the Infinitive, when the verb has already been given in what precedes, or is readily suggested by the context. E. g. Od. γ. 275 ἐκτελέσας μέγα ἔργον, δ οδωστε ήλωττο θυμφ. Xen. Conv. 8. 7 πᾶσα ἡ πόλις οἶδε, πολλούς δ' οἶμαι καὶ



- των ξένων. Arist. Ran. 1275 έγω μεν ουν ές το βαλανείον βούλομαι I will to the bath. Hell. 2. 3. 54 έκελευσεν ο κήρυξ τους ενδεκα επί τον Θηραμένην. ib. 20 κελευσαι επί τὰ ὅπλα, to call to arms.
- 9. We find also not only relative clauses (as above in no. 5), but also other minor and even main clauses, which instead of a finite verb have only a participle. Here too the predicate is to be supplied from the context. E. g. Il. ω. 42 λέων ως, ὅστ΄, ἐπεὶ ἄρ μεγάλη τε βίη καὶ ἀγήνορι θυμφ εἴξας, εἶσ΄ ἐπὶ μῆλα. Hdot. 7. 23 ώρυσσον δὲ ωδε· δασάμενοι τὸν χῶρον κατὰ ἔθνεα καὶ σχοινοτενὲς ποιησάμενοι. Comp. Thuc. 1. 25.
- 10. The omission of the words τοῦτό ἐστι, or τοῦτ ἔστιν ὅτι, after relative clauses, has already been explained in § 143. 11. The same takes place also, without any such relative connection, in some short elliptical phrases; e. g. τὸ δὲ μέγιστον, πάντα ταῦτα μόνος κατειργάσατο... καὶ τὸ πάντων αἴσχιστον, προσεψηφίσασθε. Further likewise in the familiar formulas of proof: τεκμήριον δέ or σημεῖον δέ (sc. τούτων ἐστὶ τοῦτο) 'the proof of it is this,' etc. Ε. g. σημεῖον δέ, τοῖς πονηροῖς ξυνουσιάζει, i. e. 'and as a proof, he associates with bad men.'—This form of expression also usually takes γάρ; e. g. Demosth. Androt. near the end: 'the people of Athena have ever prized honour more than money,' τεκμῆριον δέ, χρήματα μὲν γὰρ πλεῖστα τῶν 'Ελλήνων ποτὲ σχῶν τὰ πάνθ' ὑπὲρ φιλοτιμίας ἀνήλωσεν. Comp. § 143. 11. ex.

V. Aposiopesis.

- 1. This is the intentional suppression of some part of a clause, so that the whole clause or sentence appears grammatically incomplete. This may be done for rhetorical or other reasons. The same figure is found in all languages, as e. g. the well-known Quos.ego of Virgil; but more especially in the colloquial style.
- 2. An aposiopesis very current in the Attic dialogue arises, when of two antithetic conditional clauses the first remains without apodosis. This takes place only when the first clause is in its nature a matter of course, and the speaker therefore hurries to the second as alone being of importance. So already in Homer, II. a. 135 ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν δώσουσι γέρας μεγάθυμοι 'Αχαιοί εἰ δὲ κε μὴ δώσουσιν, ἐγὰ δὲ κεν αὐτὸς ἔλωμαι. Plat. Protag. p. 325. d, where the exertions of a father to educate his son have been related: καὶ ἐὰν μὲν ἔκὰν πείθηται εἰ δὲ μή, ὥσπερ ξύλον διαστρεφόμενον εὐθύνουσιν ἀπειλαῖς καὶ πληγαῖς, 'and if he obeys voluntarily—(here the apodosis is a matter of course, good, it is well, or the like); but if not, they straighten him, like a crooked stick, by means of threats and blows.' Symp. p. 185 ἐὰν μέν σοι ἐθελη παύεσθαι ἡ λύγξ εἰ δὲ μή, ὕδατι ἀνακογχυλίασον, i.e. rinse the mouth. In all those examples the apodosis is indifferent: good, it is well, it needs nothing more, or the like.

VI. Pleonasm.

- 1. The figure opposite to the ellipsis is *Pleonasm*, that is, something added, either from rhetorical or other causes, and not in strict accordance with the laws of grammar or logic. We have already directed attention to some particular instances; e. g. the insertion of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ after certain verbs, § 148. n. 9; that of où after $\dot{\eta}$ of comparison, § 149. m. 7; $\tau o \dot{\nu} d \epsilon$ or $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau o \nu$ with the comparative, § 132. n. 22; the double or threefold $\dot{u}\nu$, § 139. m. 20; also some participles, as $\ddot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ Hot. 5. 36, $\lambda \eta \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} s \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$, etc. § 144. n. 19.
- Sometimes, by a pleonastic addition, single words are rendered more emphatic, both in poets and prose writers; e. g. αὐθις αὐ οι πάλιν αὐθις.

τλήθει πολλοί, Hdot. Plat. κατώρυξέν με κατά τῆς γῆς κάτω Aristoph. ἔσω ἐς τὸ Ιρὸν ἐσελκύσαι Hdot. So the Homeric οἰόθεν οἰος, αἰνόθεν αἰνῶς; the tragic ἴσος εἰν ἴσοις ἀνήρ, κοινὸς ἐν κοινοῖς; and many others. Here belongs also the mode of expression so peculiar to the tragic writers, with the double negation; e. g. ἐκόντα οὐκ ἄκοντα· γνωτὰ κοὐκ ἄγνωτα· ῥητὰ κοὐκ ἄρρητα Soph.

3. For the sake of emphasis, a qualification already contained in the main clause is sometimes again repeated; e.g. Thuc. 5. 47 βοηθοῦσι τρόπφ, όποίφ ἀν δύνωνται, Ισχυροτάτφ κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν. Hdot. 1. 79 Κροίσφ παρὰ δόξαν ἴσχε τὰ πρήγματα ἡ ὡς αὐτὸς κατεδόκεε. Compare the next paragraph.

VII. Epexegesis.

This, as the name imports, is a word or clause added by way of explanation or illustration. Hence, in respect to mere form, it often appears as apposition or pleonasm; but yet retains everywhere its own character of explanation. This takes place in a threefold way: 1) Either one word explains another; e.g. Eur. Hel. 1 Νείλος Αίγύπτου πέδον, λευκῆς τακείσης χιώνος, ὑγραίνει γύας. 2) Or a word is explained by a clause; e.g. Il. 1. 125 ἴππους ἀδλοφόρους, οἱ ἀέθλια ποσσὶν ἄροντο. Compare Od. init. 3) Or one clause explains and confirms another clause; e.g. Xen. Ag. 2.7 εἰγὰρ ταῦτα λέγοιμι, ἀργαίλαὸν τ' ἄν μοι δοκῶ ἄφρονα ἀποφαίνειν καὶ ἐμαυτόν μωρόν, εἰ ἐπαινοίην τὸν περὶ τῶν μεγίστων εἰκῆ κινδυνεύοντα.

VIII. Zeugma.

This is a figure current especially in the poets; in which, for the sake of brevity, only one predicate is put in connection grammatically with several words, while in sense it can refer to only one of them; and consequently from it the reader must infer a suitable predicate for the rest. E. g. Hes. 9. 640 νέκταρ τ' ἀμβροσίη τε, τάπερ θεοὶ αὐτοὶ ἔδουσι. Soph. OT. 371 τυφλὸς τά τ' ὅτα, τόν τε νοῦν, τά τ' ὅμματ' εl. Comp. II. γ. 327. Hdot. 4. 106. —This usage passed over into the later prose and to the Latin writers; and was by many regarded as elegant; e. g. Virg. Æn. 1. 355 crudelis aras, trajectaque pectora ferro nudavit; comp. 2. 320. Florus 4. 12. 37 his oculos, aliis manus amputabant. Even the contrary can be thus supplied from the predicate; e. g. Cic. Nat. Deor. 2. 64 nec vero supra terram (sc. patet), sed etiam in intimis ejus tenebris plurimarum rerum latet utilitas.

IX. Asyndeton.

- 1. Every regular clause in the progress of narration or other discourse, unless otherwise conjoined (e.g. by pronouns) with what precedes, must strictly have with it in Greek one of the short movable conjunctions, which serve to connect clauses. In like manner also, co-ordinate single words standing together must be connected by conjunctions. This rule is for the most part everywhere observed; so that even the larger sections and books begin with a conjunction, by which they are joined back to the preceding one. Nevertheless, there occur instances where a connection is avoided; and both clauses and single words are intentionally made to follow one another without any connecting particle. This is called Asyndeton.
- 2. Between co-ordinate words the connecting particle is thus omitted:

 a) Commonly in enumerations;
 e. g. Plat. Prot. p. 319. d, ὁμοίως δὲ χαλκεύς,
 σκυτοτόμος, πλούσιος, πένης, γενναῖος, ἀγεννής.
 b) When several epithets follow a substantive for ornament, especially in Homer;
 e. g. Il. π. 140
 ἔγχος ἔλετο, βριθύ, μέγα, στιβαρόν.
 α. 97 ὑπὸ ποσοὺν ἐδήσατο καλὰ πέδιλα.

dμβρόσια, χρύσεια.—On the other hand, for the connection of two adjectives by καί οτ τε καί, contrary to our English usage, see in § 149. m. 8.

Note. For the apparently asyndetic construction of some participles, see § 144. 4.

3. Between whole clauses the connecting particle is omitted as follows ...) In the language of emotion, where the predicate is put first, especially in Homer: II. λ. 196 βη δε ... εἰς τλιον ἰρήν· εδρ' νἰὸν Πριάμοιο κτλ. II ψ. 352 ἐν δὲ κλήρους ἐβάλοντο· πάλλ' ᾿Αχιλεύς κτλ. comp. χ. 295, 391; so in Xenophon often: ἔδοξε ταῦτα, οτ ἀνέτειναν πάντες, etc. Also when the predicate does not stand first: II. ρ. 50 δούπησεν δὲ πεσών αίματί οἱ δεύοντο κόμαι. Οd. μ. 428 ἡλθε δ' ἐπὶ νότος δκα· παννύχιος φερόμην, διμα δ' ἡελίφ κτλ. In all such cases it will be found, that by inserting the particle the clause loses in vivacity and warmth of description. b) In clauses for explanation, where we are accustomed to insert namely; especially in prose, after a preceding demonstrative which refers to what follows. Yet here γάρ can also stand. Ε. g. II. β. 217 αίσχιστος δὲ ἀνήρ ὑπὸ τίλιον ἡλθεν ἡο λκὸς ἔην, χῶλος δ' κτλ. Plat. Gorg. p. 450. a, αὶ ᾶλλαι τέχναι ούτως ἔχουσιν· ἐκάστη αὐτῶν περὶ λόγους ἐστὶ τούτους κτλ. Dem. Phil. 1. p. 44 δέσμαι ὑμῶν τοσοῦτον· ἐπειδὰν ἄπαντα ἀκούσητε, κρίνατε.

APPENDIX.

A.

On Versification.

1. For the full understanding of what follows, it is necessary to premise some remarks on the different kinds of Greek poetry, and their connection with the dialects. All the species of Greek poetry have their origin in three principal classes of poetical diction, viz.

The *Epic*, or poetry of narrative; The *Lyric*, or poetry of song; The *Dramatic*, or poetry of action.

- 2. Each of these classes of poetry, in its most complete form, became appropriated among the Greeks to particular tribes. The *Epic* was formed and cultivated among the Ionics; the *Lyric* among the Dorics and Æolics; and lastly the *Dramatic* among the Attics. Hence it arose, that each of these classes, in language, metre, and adaptation to music and song, united the character and more or less of the dialect of the tribe, in which it was chiefly cultivated, to the peculiarities of its own nature. Comp. § 1. 10 sq.
- Note 1. It must here be noted, that in speaking of dramatic and Attic poetry, we mean chiefly the iambic and trochaic parts of the drama generally, and the anapæstic parts of comedy, in which the strictly dramatic dialogue is contained. The other parts belong more or less to lyric poetry, and the choruses wholly so.
- 3. The chief influence which is hence exerted upon the metre and poetic quantity, is, that the *epic* diction has more softness, and, in order to bring the narration more into the restraints of metre, more license in the forms of words and in the pronunciation. *Dramatic* poetry, on the other hand, and particularly comedy, being derived from the language of ordinary life, confined itself more strictly to the customary forms; and of course more particularly to the language and pronunciation of the Attic people, of which it sacrificed very little to the metre. The *lyric* diction approached in this respect more nearly to the epic; from which, as the mother of all Greek poesy, it derived the greater part of its poetical language and forms; while with these it mingled also the harsher and rougher peculiarities of the Doric dialect, and thus exempted the melody of song from the monotony of narrative recitation.
- 4. The alternation of long and short syllables in discourse is called, from its impression on the ear, Rhythm. So far as this alternation fol-

^{*} In order to render this work complete in itself, the following treatise on Greek Versification is here subjoined from the author's Schul-Grammatik.—Tz.

lows certain laws, which limit it by a certain measure, it is called *Metre*. Single parts of such discourse, which can be embraced and recognized by the ear as a metrical whole, are called *Verses*.

5. Verses are subdivided into smaller sections of a few syllables each. which are called *Feet*. The most usual feet are the following

Spondee - - e. g. δούλους
Trochee - - e. g. δούλος
Iambus - e. g. λόγους
Pyrrhic - - e. g. λόγους
Dactyl - - e. g. λύγοτετε
Anapæst - - e. g. λέγεται
Tribrach - - e. g. λέγεται

NOTE 2. In the examples here adduced, each foot consists of a single word. All verses, however, are measured likewise by feet, of which the beginning and end fall in the middle of the words.

- 6. To determine the measure of the feet and verses, a short syllable is assumed as *unity*, and a long syllable as the double of this, or *two*. Every such unit is called a *time* or *interval*, *mora*.
- NOTE 3. Consequently the tribrach is equal in time to the trochee and iambus; and the spondee to the dactyl and anapæst.
- 7. The length and shortness of syllables, or their quantity, is determined by the rules and principles given in § 7. For the use of the Hiatus and Synizesis in poetry, see § 6. n. 3. § 29; and § 28. n. 6. § 29. n. 11.—It is here only necessary to remark further, that in most species of poetry the last syllable of every verse is common, i. e. a short syllable can stand instead of a long one, or a long syllable instead of a short one.
- 8. In some kinds of verse, and likewise in sections of verses, there remains, after dividing them into their feet, a single syllable over and above. This is called the *catalectic* syllable. A verse whose symmetry requires that this syllable should be counted instead of a full foot, is called a *catalectic* verse; while if the syllable is regarded as supernumerary, it is a hypercatalectic verse. The principles by which this is to be determined, must be sought in more extended treatises.
- 9. The most common kinds of verse are those made by the repetition of one and the same foot. Among these, the dactylic, iambic, trochaic, and anapæstic, are the most frequent.
- 10. The most usual dactylic verse is the *Hexameter*, which is employed in epic and heroic poetry in uninterrupted succession, without the mixture of any other species. It consists of five dactyls and a spondee.

But instead of each of the *first four* feet a *spondee* may stand; and as the last syllable of every verse is common (no. 7), instead of the *sixth*, a *trochee*, e. g.

- 1. Κλῦθί μευ, 'Αργυρότοξ', δε Χρύσην ἀμφιβέβηκας,
- 2. Κίλλαν τε ζαθέην, Τενέδοιο τε ίφι ανάσσεις,
- 3. Σμινθεῦ, εἴποτέ τοι χαρίεντ' ἐπὶ νηὰν ἔρεψα,

Note 4. Sometimes also we find a spondee inserted in the fifth place instead of the dactyl. Such a verse is called a spondaic Hexameter. E.g.

or

11. The (dactylic) *Pentameter* is composed of two parts or halves, separated by a close or cadence; each of which parts consists of two dactyls and a catalectic syllable.

For the first two dactyls, and for them alone, spondees may be substituted. The middle syllable is always long; the last, as the final syllable, may be also short. This kind of verse is commonly found only in connection with the Hexameter; so that one Hexameter and one Pentameter follow each other alternately. A poem of this kind was called "Elegis; for which at a later period the Sing. Elegia became usual. Hence an apothegm or inscription in one such double verse (δίστιχου) is called δλεγείου.

- Έλπλε ἐν ἀνθρώποισι μόνη θεὸε ἐσθλὴ ἔνεστιν, ἄλλοι δ' Οθλυμπόνδ' ἐκπρολιπόντες ἔβαν.
- Φχετο μὲν Πίστις, μεγάλη θεός, Φχετο δ' ἀνδρῶν Σωφροσύνη, Χάριτές τ', & φίλε, γῆν ἔλιπον.
- δρκοι δ' οὐκέτι πιστοὶ ἐν ἀνθρώποισι δίκαιοι, οὐδὲ θεοὺς οὐδεὶς ἄζεται ἀθανάτους.
- 4. εὐσεβέων δ² ἀνδρών γένος ἔφθιτο, οὐδὲ θέμιστας οὐκέτι γιγνώσκουσ' οὐδὲ μὲν εὐσεβίας.

12. The iambic, trochaic, and anapæstic verse, is measured by dipodes, i. e. double feet or pairs of feet. In accordance with this, verses of four

feet are called *dimeter*, those of six feet, *trimeter*, etc. The Latin names, on the contrary, *quaternius*, *senarius*, etc. refer to the number of single feet.

13. Every iambic dipode, instead of the first iambus, may also have a spondee; thus

-, - or - -, - -

Hence it follows that in every iambic verse the spondee can stand in every odd place, in sede impari, 1, 3, 5, 7.

14. In every foot also a long syllable can be resolved into two short ones. Consequently the *tribrach* may stand instead of the iambus in every place except the *last*. Inasmuch, however, as the last syllable is common, the last place can be occupied by a pyrrhic; and in all the odd places, instead of a spondee, the anapæst and dactyl may be substituted.

Note 5. Of the feet of four units or times, however, the anapæst can also stand in the even places. Still this does not hold of tragedy; which does not easily admit the anapæst even in the third and fifth places.

15. Hence arises for the *Iambic trimeter* or *Senarius* the following scheme.

-	~	~ _	~ _	~ -	- -
		~~~		~~~	
		~~-	~~-	~ ~ -	

But the other kinds of feet, especially those of three syllables, must not be so frequent as to obscure the iambic metre.

Γλώσσης μάλιστα πανταχοῦ πειρῶ κρατεῖν · δ καὶ γέροντι καὶ νέφ τιμὴν φέρει, ἡ γλῶσσα σιγὴν καιρίαν κεκτημένη.

Εί τό συνεχώς και πολλά και ταχέως λαλείν ην τοῦ φρονείν παράσημον, αι χελιδόνες ελέγοντ' αν ήμων σωφρονέστεραι πάνυ.

,	,	~ ~ -, ~ -
,	~ ~ -, ~	~ -, ~ ~
~ ~ _ , ~ _	,	-, -,

Πλοῦτος δὲ βάσανός ἐστιν ἀνθρώπου τρόπων. δς ἀν εὐπορῶν γὰρ αἰσχρὰ πράττη πράγματα, τί τοῦτον ἀπορήσαντ' ἀν οὐκ οἴει ποιεῖν;*

,	~ - , ~ -	,
- - ,	-,	,
· -, · · · ·	, - -	<i></i> ,

[#] See § 7. n. 19.

Δέσποιν', δταν τις διμνίοντος καταφρονή, δ μή ξύνοιδε πρότερον ἐπιωρκηκότι, οδτος καταφρονείν τῶν θεῶν ἐμοὶ δοκεί, καὶ πρότερον ὀμόσας αὐτὸς ἐπιωρκηκέναι.					
, - ,	,				
,	,				
, - ,	· -, · -				
,	- - , ~ -				

16. Besides the senarius, the most common species of iambic verse is the catalectic tetrameter (no. 8 above). E. g.

Εἴ μοι γένοιτο παρθένος καλή τε καὶ τέρεινα --, -- | --, -- | --, -

The rules and licenses are essentially the same in this verse as in the senarius; and the catalectic syllable is common.

17. The trochaic dipode, instead of the second trochee, may have a spondee:

Hence it follows, that in trochaic verse a spondee can stand in every even place, in sede pari, 2, 4, 6, 8.—The rule holds also here, that in every foot a long syllable can be resolved into two short ones. The tribrach can therefore stand in all the places; and the dactyl and anapæst (instead of the spondee) in all the even places.

NOTE 6. Among the feet of four units, however, the dactyl, though very seldom, is found also in the odd places.

18. The most usual trochaic verse is the catalectic tetrameter. E. g.

The catalectic syllable is common.

19. In the anapæstic verse, the spondee can stand instead of the anapæst, by uniting the two short syllables into one long one; and then again, by resolving the second long syllable of the spondee into two short ones, a dactyl can be introduced. As an example we give the catalectic tetrameter so common in the comic poets. E. g.

Ότ' έγω τὰ δίκο	ua λέγων ήνθοι	υν καὶ σωφροσ	ύνη νενόμιστο
J J _, J J	,	,~~	- , -
Φανερόν μέν έγω	γ' οἶμαι γνῶνα	ι τοῦτ' είναι π	ασιν δμοίως -
δτι τοὺς χρηστοὺ τοὺς δὲ πονηροὺς	ος τών άνθρώπ ο	ον εύ πράττειν	έστι δίκαιον,
· · -, · · · -	,	,	J J J -
· · -,	,	,	~ ~ - , ~
		,	
χάίρετε, δαίμονες	ς, οι Λεβάδειαν	, Βοιώτιον οὖθ	αρ ἀρούρας
_ ~ ~ , _ ~ ~ ~	,	, ~ ~ _	, -

20. Arsis or elevation is that part of a foot on which the emphasis of the rhythm or the Ictus, beat, falls. In order to mark it, the ordinary acute accent (') is commonly employed, the regular accents being then The remaining part of a foot is called Thesis, depression. The natural arsis is on the long syllable of a foot; and consequently the spondee (--) and tribrach (--), considered in themselves, leave the arsis undetermined. But in every verse, the original or fundamental foot (as the iambus in iambic verse, the dactyl in dactylic verse, etc.) determines the arsis for all the other feet which are substituted for it. Consequently the spondee in iambic and anapæstic verses reads thus, --'; in trochaic and dactylic thus, -'-. Thus routou, (without reference to its accent in prose,) when it stands instead of an iambus or anapæst, is read τουτού; instead of a trochee or dactyl, τούτου. since the ictus on a long syllable necessarily lies on the first of the two units or times contained in the same, it therefore, when the long syllable is resolved into two short ones, necessarily falls, in like manner, on the first of these short ones. Hence the tribrach, when it stands instead of an iambus, is read thus - -' -, instead of a trochee thus -- -; and the dactyl instead of a spondee with the arsis on the last syllable (--'), is read thus - - ; but the anapæst where it stands for the contrary spondee (-' -), thus -' - -. Consequently we must read λέγετε, λέγεται, when these words stand as trochaic or dactylic feet; and on the contrary λεγέτε, λεγέται, when these words stand as iambic or anapæstic feet. In doing this, however, the learner must be on his guard not to prolong in pronunciation the short syllables which have the arsis; since by this means they would become long, and thus destroy the metre.*

NOTE 7. The learner will do well first to exercise himself, by marking with the ictus all the preceding schemes of verse, and then pronouncing the verses themselves accordingly. To facilitate his progress, we subjoin here some examples not there adduced, with their ictus.

Hexameter.-Ground-Scheme.

^{*} Compare on the mode of effecting this, the marginal notes on p. 19. We at least cannot make this ictus audible in any other way than we do the accent; and consequently can only make it perceptible when we scan, i. e. pronounce the verse according to the metre, without reference to the grammatical accent. See p. 19.

Senarius.—Ground-Scheme.

-', -' | - -', - -' | - -', - -'

Examples.

φυσίν πονήραν μέταβαλείν ου βάδιόν

-', - -' | - -', - -' | - -', - -'

ταμιείον άρετης έστι σώφροσυνή μονή

Iambic tetram. catalect.—Ground-Scheme. \smile -', \smile -' | \smile -', \smile -' | \smile -', \smile Examples.

Trochaic tetram. catalect.—Ground-Scheme. $-' \circ, -' \circ \mid -' \circ, -' \circ \mid -' \circ, -' \circ \mid -' \circ, -$

Examples. πέριοράς μ' οὐτώς ὑπ' άνδρων βάρβαρών χειρούμενόν

Anapæstic tetram. catalect.—Ground-Scheme. $- -', - -' \mid - -', - -' \mid - -', - -' \mid - - -, -$ Examples.

αλλ' όλολυξάτε φαινόμενησίν ταις άρχαιαίσιν Αθήναις και θαύμασταίς και πόλυυμνοίς ίν' ό κλείνος δήμος ενοίκει - -' -, - -' | - -', - -' | - -', - -' | - - -', -

- 21. The Cæsura is properly the division or separation, by means of the ending of a word, of something which rhythmically or metrically belongs together. Hence there arises a Cæsura 1) of the Foot, 2) of the Rhythm, 3) of the Verse; all of which must be carefully distinguished, since the word cæsura is very commonly used without addition for each of the three.
- 22. The Casura of the Foot is where a word ends in the middle of a foot; e. g. in the first (dactyl) of $|M\hat{\eta}\nu\nu\nu|\tilde{a}-|\epsilon\iota\delta\epsilon$, and in the second (spondee) of $|O\hat{\iota}\lambda o\mu\hat{\epsilon}-|\nu\eta\nu|\hat{\eta}|$. This is the least important of all, and

s without any influence of its own on the metre; since the division into feet is in a great measure arbitrary.

23. The Cæsura of the Rhythm is where a word ends with the arsis, i. e. where the arsis falls on the last syllable of a word; by which means the arsis is separated from the thesis. Such a final syllable receives from the ictus a special emphasis; so that not unfrequently the poets place here a short final syllable, which by this means alone is made long, and fills out of itself the arsis; comp. § 7. 15. Of this lengthening by the cæsura, the epic poets particularly avail themselves; e. g.

Τηλέμαχε | ποιόν σε έπος φύγεν έρκος όδόντων; Αὐτάρ έπειτ' αὐτοισι βέλος | έχεπευκές έφιείς.*

- 24. The Verse-Cæsura is where the final syllables of words fall into such places in the verse, that a Rhythm which by this means is made full and pleasant to the ear, closes, and another begins. To judge of this requires a deeper knowledge of versification, than can be imparted here.—In a narrower sense, that is called a verse-cæsura which occurs in certain determinate places, and of which every verse must have at least one, when it is not faulty. In this sense the name is understood, when we say of a verse that it has no cæsura. This point also we cannot here exhaust; and therefore subjoin further only the following remarks:
- a) Several kinds of verse have their cæsura in one fixed place. Such are, of the above kinds, the following: 1) The pentameter, in which a word must end at the division mentioned above. This cæsura is never neglected. 2) The iambic, anapæstic, and trochaic catalectic tetrameter; all of which have their natural cæsura at the end of the fourth foot. This cæsura is sometimes neglected.
- b) Other kinds of verse have more than one place for the cæsura; and the choice is here left to the discretion of the poet. Still some one cæsura is commonly the principal one. In hexameter the predominant cæsura is that in the middle of the third foot; either directly after the arsis, e. g.

Μηνιν ἄειδε, Θεά, | Πηληϊάδεω `Αχιλήος · Οὐκ ἄρα μοῦνον ἔην | ἐρίδων γένος, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ γαΐαν ·

or in the middle of the thesis of a dactyl, e. g.

"Ανδρα μοι ἔννεπε, Μοῦσα, | πολύτροπον, δε μάλα πολλά.

The first species is called, by a modern expression, the *masculine* cæsura; the second the *feminine* (or trochaic). It rarely happens that both are wholly wanting in this verse. In such case, however, they are replaced by a cæsura in the second or in the fourth foot, which are then usually masculine, and produce the best effect when both occur together; e. g.

άλλα νέον | συνορινόμενοι | κίνυντο φάλαγγες.



^{*} This usage is most known from epic writers; and since, in hexameter verse, which always has the arsis at the beginning of the foot, this cessura and the cessura of the foot fall together, this circumstance has often occasioned the erroneous supposition, that this prolongation is effected by the cessura of the foot (no. 22). With this was connected another erroneous idea; for the name cessura was also given to the case, where a word was cut in two in the division into feet. But it is easy to see, that in all cases where any effect is produced by a cessura, it has respect alone to the ending of a word; which therefore may be a monosyllable.

Note 8. In order to guard against misapprehension and confusion, we remark here further, that writers on metre, when they speak of the cæsuras of the hexameter, often understand, in a wider sense, only those which occur in every place of the hexameter, and by the choice and alternation of which, depending as they do solely on the poet, the positive euphony not only of single verses, but also of the whole series of verses, is produced. But on account of the many final syllables in every verse, the mere ending of a word is not enough to mark a verse-cæsura in this sense; but it must be connected with some perceptible break in the sense, or with some striking position of the words. In this way the verse-cæsura then forces itself upon the ear, even over those indispensable cæsuras, which, as well as these optional ones, must not be wanting, but which also very commonly comprehend the latter in themselves.

B.

HISTORY OF THE GREEK ALPHABET, p. 5.4

It may not be superfluous to give here a short view of the history of the Greek alphabet, as derived from the ancient accounts and internal evidence.

The ancient tradition was, that Cadmus brought sixteen letters from Phænicia to Greece, to which Palamedes afterwards added four more, viz. 3, ξ , ϕ , χ , and Simonides still later four others, viz. ζ , η , ψ , ω ; Plin. 7.56. On comparing, however, the Phænician alphabet, as it has come down to us in the Hebrew, it is very apparent that this story must be thus understood; viz. that the Phænician alphabet was introduced into these western countries in a more or less perfect shape; that some tribes, perhaps those in Greece Proper, were satisfied with sixteen letters; that they were not however unacquainted with the more perfect system of other tribes, but adopted by degrees such other letters as they found convenient; and this, according to the tradition, occurred at two different epochs.

Taking away now the letters ascribed by Pliny to Palamedes and Simonides, there remain the following as the sixteen ancient letters, viz.

The same letters are also given in Schol. ad Dionys. Thr. p. 781. 1. But since this alphabet certainly ended, like the Oriental one, with τ , there can be no doubt that the ν was transferred to its present place from later alphabets; since it was originally the same with the Ba $\hat{\nu}$ i. e. Fa $\hat{\nu}$. Marius Victorinus, 2468.

If now we restore this F or Y, which was used at a later period only as a numeral, the traditional alphabet of Cadmus was unquestionably the following:

and the names were " $\lambda \lambda \phi a$, $B \hat{\eta} r a$, $\Gamma \dot{a} \mu \mu a$, $\Delta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \tau a$, $E \hat{\epsilon}$, $F a \hat{v}$, ' $\hat{\epsilon} \dot{a} \tau a$, $A \dot{a} \mu \beta \delta a$, $M \hat{v}$, $N \hat{v}$, $O \hat{s}$, $\Pi \hat{r}$, ' $P \hat{e}$, $\Sigma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu a$, $T a \hat{v}$. The letters which were afterwards adopted, so far as they belonged to the original Phænician alphabet and were in use among other tribes, viz. ζ , η , S, ξ , assumed their original places. The others, which were added later, and were formed either by a change of the old ones or in some other way, were annexed after the T. In this way T became the nineteenth letter; since the Y, which was formed from the $F a \hat{v}$ by splitting its upper part, was already placed after the T, while the F itself was omitted. Indeed the F was employed as a regular eltter of the alphabet only by a few tribes; in the others it was afterwards used, along with the $K \delta m \pi a$, only in the system of numerals, and was



^{*} From the author's Ausführliche Sprachlehre, Bd. II. Abth. ii. p. 375, Berlin 1827; or Edit. 2. Bd. I. p. 9-12, Berlin 1830.

dropped in the alphabet. If now we count these two (Fa \hat{a} and Kón πa), and also reckon $\Sigma i \gamma \mu a$ and $\Sigma a \nu$ as two, which they were originally, we obtain from A to T inclusive just the twenty-two Phænician-Hebrew letters; and,

as we shall see, also the very same letters.

The Oriental alphabet contained four sibilants, Zain, Samech, Tsade, Sin; and there were likewise four in the Greek alphabet before T, viz. Z, Z, and $\Sigma \acute{a}\nu$. The names Samech, Tsade, Sin, correspond clearly to the names $\Sigma \acute{a}\gamma\mu a$, $Z \mathring{\gamma}\tau a$, $\Sigma \acute{a}\nu$; and the name Zain is consequently merged in $\Xi \^{\iota}$. Just as clearly, however, can we recognize in the forms of the Greek letters ζ , σ , ξ , the common forms of the Hebrew Tsade, Samech, and Zain (Υ , \heartsuit , \uparrow). We see then evidently, that the four sibilants, in their wanderings from tribe to tribe, became confounded, and exchanged their places in the alphabet. But this took place in such a way, that a sibilant came to stand in the Greek alphabet in the place corresponding to each Phænician sibilant; as is evident of itself in the case of ζ , ξ , σ . The place of the old $\Sigma \acute{a}\nu$ consequently was between Π and $K\acute{o}\pi\pi a$. The Zain (Dsain), which along with ζ was as superfluous as $\Sigma \acute{a}\nu$ by the side of σ , was not however dropped like the latter; but common usage employed it as a double sound or letter; or perhaps it was retained because the original sound dsi passed over, in the dialects, into $k\pi i$

In the Oriental alphabet, several of the soft or gently-aspirated consonants were at the same time employed as vowel letters. This continued to be the case in Greek (including the Latin dialect) with Ἰῶτα and with Faῦ or Y, the Latin V. The "Αλφα was considered in Greek simply as a vowel; inasmuch as the consonant power of the Oriental Aleph was regarded by the Greeks merely as an affection of the vowel sound, or the spiritus lenis. The Phomician He and Hheth were sounded alike by the Greeks, (because they could not easily make the distinction between these two aspirates,) and furnished therefore two forms of the spiritus asper. Of these the one (E) still maintains its place in manuscripts in the form of the breathing placed over a letter $\{$ or $\}$; while the other (H) is often found on coins and in inscriptions. In the Latin alphabet this H has retained its Oriental power, and occupies nearly the same place as the η in the Greek alphabet, viz. immediately before the I,—the O having been dropped by the Latins, like all other aspirates. At the same time this double form (E and H) furnished also two forms for the vowel E, and in this shape alone they remained in the Greek alphabet, and were early employed to distinguish the quantity. This was afterwards imitated also in the case of O, by writing a double o, which still appears in the cursive ω, and had precisely the same form in the ancient cursive alphabet, as we still find it in Egyptian papyrus manuscripts.* The Greek O corresponds of course to the Oriental Ain, and has the same place in the alphabet.

Of the five letters then which were annexed after the T, the origin of Y and Ω has been already shewn. $\Phi \hat{\imath}$ and $\Psi \hat{\imath}$ are simply modifications of $\Pi \hat{\imath}$, as is evident from their names and value. $X \hat{\imath}$ in like manner is a modification of $\Xi \hat{\imath}$; since this latter in the Italic-Greek and Latin alphabets was written X, and corresponds to $X \hat{\imath}$ both as to name and value, precisely as $\Psi \hat{\imath}$ to $\Phi \hat{\imath}$. Moreover, its real transition in the dialects into the guttural

sound ch, has its parallel in the Spanish language.

Of the remaining letters of the Ionic-Attic alphabet, viz. those which were ultimately employed only as numerals, the F retained the same position in the Latin alphabet, with a more strongly aspirated pronunciation; hence the V stands there likewise after T, and in its double capacity of vowel and consonant. The $K\acute{o}\pi\pi a$ (Latin Q) has in all the ancient alphabets, the Phonician included, the same name with $K\acute{a}\pi\pi a$, except that it was

^{*} The form Ω seems to have been derived from ω by shortening the side strokes and swelling out the middle.

pronounced with the deeper vowel u or o. We see therefore that the sound u, which it requires in Latin, was also originally connected with it. In the Greek dialects which are most known, the Κόππα appears to have early lost this peculiarity, and become, as in some of the modern European languages, equivalent to a simple k, and consequently to $K\acute{a}\pi\pi a$; while it preferred nevertheless a connection with u or o, as is still to be seen on coins and in inscriptions. See Boeckh's Corpus Inscript. Græc. I. n. 166, with the note; where too may be seen the old form of the $K\delta\pi\pi a$ (Q) corresponding to the Hebrew and Latin letter; its form in the numeral system (4) being merely simplified for the convenience of writing. The Σάν, in consequence of the above-mentioned exchange of places among the sibilants. assumed the place of the Oriental Tsade, and stood accordingly between II It is therefore singular, that in the numerical system it does not stand in the same place; at any rate, we may hence draw the conclusion, that this system did not become fixed until a long time after the difference between Σάν and Σίγμα had vanished. In order to complete the numerical system, the letters last adopted were also employed; but with these the system reached only to 800; and therefore to mark 900 the sign Σαμπί was added, by an arbitrary procedure, quite at the end. In doing this, however, some reference was probably had to the usage of other tribes, who, like the Orientals, used the ancient alphabet in a complete form as a series of numerical signs, and consequently with the Σάν in its ancient place. The abbreviation Sampi n was without doubt similar to the letter Záv, (which was everywhere conspicuous as a brand upon horses,) if not entirely the same. See Scaliger ad Euseb. p. 115. Indeed it seems to me probable, that this numerical sign received the name $\sum a\mu\pi\hat{i}$, as well as this more modern form of abbreviation, only at a later period; and that earlier it had merely the name of Zár, and was the simple ancient letter; * which however had probably long since lost its original place in the alphabet, and received this new one by arbitrary convention.

[For the sake of illustration, the Hebrew alphabet, with the corresponding Greek letters, is here subjoined:

α β γ δ ε ζ η βικλ μνξ(σ) ο π ρ σ τ

It must however be borne in mind, that the present Hebrew square character is not the proper one with which to compare the Greek letters. The latter were derived from the Phænician alphabet, the characters of which are for the most part extant in the ancient Hebrew letters as found on coins. To these the Greek letters bear a close resemblance; particularly Γ , Δ , E (Phen. 3), H, Λ , O, Π , Σ , etc.

As to the four sibilants, the ancient Hebrew or Phonician forms of Zain and Samech are unknown; the power and place of the former at least have passed over to the Greek Z. Samech and Sin appear early to have been confounded by the Greeks, who finally retained the name of the former in the place and with the form of the latter; perhaps too the form of Samech (σ) was retained in the small alphabet. The place of Samech was after strong resemblance to the old Hebrew Tsade. The place of Tsade remained vacant in the Greek alphabet; though probably it was not so originally, but was filled by the ancient $\Sigma d\nu$, as supposed by the author above.

Digitized by Google

^{*} In a Greek sentence upon an Egyptian papyrus of nearly two hundred years before Christ, the Sampi appears with only one stroke in the middle, and is therefore the same as an inverted Sin. See the explanation of this papyrus by the author, p. 25.

If this last hypothesis be well founded, we can perhaps easily explain the origin and name of the later numeral sign $\Sigma a\mu\pi\hat{\imath}$. In order to find a sign for 900, the old letter $\Sigma \acute{a}\nu$ was adopted; but as both its name and form had long since been confounded with those of $\Sigma \acute{\iota}\gamma\mu a$, it became necessary to distinguish it by marking its ancient place in the alphabet, viz. 'the $\Sigma \acute{a}\nu$ which stood next to $\Pi \acute{\iota}$.' This was done by appending the $\Pi \acute{\iota}$ to its name, and combining the forms of both letters.

On the relation of the Greek letters to the Oriental alphabets, see Hug's Geschichte der Buchstabenschrift. Gesenius Geschichte der Heb. Sprache und

Schrift, § 44.

The ancient Hebrew coin-letter may be seen in Beyer de Nummis Hebr. Samaritanis, p. 224. Eckhel Doctr. Nummorum vett. T. III. p. 404. Also in the alphabets of Gesenius's Lehrgebäude der Heb. Sprache, and in his Script. Literaturæ Linguaque Phan. Monumenta.—Tr.

C.

CHARACTERS AND ABBREVIATIONS IN WRITING.

1. Besides the Abbreviations given in § 2. n. 2, which are still found in modern printing, it is necessary, in order to read the early editions, to become acquainted with a multitude of other characters. This object however would be less completely effected by giving a full catalogue of all the characters employed, which would only serve to confuse the eye, than by a selection of such as we here subjoin in a tabular view, where they can easily be committed to memory, or readily referred to. The method here adopted is, first, to give certain simple elements which everywhere occur in the compound characters; and then also certain compounds, by the analogy of which other compound characters may be recognized, wherever they are met with.

2. In this Table therefore, Series I. contains only forms of single letters which are no longer used in ordinary printing; and even not in the earlier, except as elements of more complicated characters. Thus, e. g. the two-fold form of ϵ , which is found in the characters for $\epsilon \nu$ and $\epsilon \pi i$ in Col. IV; and the form of σ , found in like manner in the characters for σa , σo , σo , in Col. V. Both these letters, however, serve also as elements in charac-

ters not here given.

3. The unusual figure of the κ in Series I. must not be confounded (1) with the η , as an element of $\eta\nu$ in Series II; nor (2) with the character for $\tau\iota$ at the end of the same series; especially when the upper part of this character is not bent far enough back, as is the case with some kinds of type.

4. Of the three forms of ν in Series I, the first was formerly very common, and the second is the same furnished with the stroke of union on the right. It is obvious, that the letter in this shape stands in the same relation to the common μ , as N to M. The third form of the ν was used as a final letter, and is common in many current editions, especially those printed at Bale, e. g. of Eustathius; and must not be confounded with the very similar character for $\sigma\sigma$ in Col. V.

5. Series II. contains several characters, the elements of which would not at first sight be obvious; and which are therefore separated from the alphabetical columns III-VI. This might indeed have been done also with some of those beginning with $\epsilon \pi$ in Col. IV; but it was thought preferable to leave these latter all standing together, for the sake of easier comparison.

6. The Celumns III-VI contain therefore only such characters, whose initial letter, with the help of Series I, may be easily ascertained. They

all stand in alphabetical order.

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS.

				I.				
•	a r	C €	€ €	le ĸ	'n	μ ν	N V	C
	η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η	4	તું કુ	lw m	CF .	\$ J	j' (4
•	iii.		IV.			v.	7	
	aθι	27)	elvai			μω		יבי דואט
dy	aλ	ر برگ	éν		wes	μών	नी	17/4
_	αλλ		έπειδή			olov		<i>ग्री</i>
du 2'a	av åp		' ἐπευ ,		જ. જા	oùĸ		тè
	αρ Ναύτὸ		હेπι हेπो		_	ούτος	T T	то ў то ў
	77	₩,	ट ेग्रो		ary Gr	тара Тео	g G	тор
-	γὰρ	ús.	લ્ મો				ידי	10 0 9
	γὰρ	L	€V		110	πо	F	
_	γελ	NO.	ката		 G	ρο	\mathcal{F}	тра
- 1	γεν	-	κεφάλα	LOV	(gi)	σa	ર્જ	
	γερ	-	μάτων		હ જ	σθαι.	•	TÊV
	γίνεται γο		μ έ υ		G G	σο		Tŵ
	γρι		μεν μέν		as a	σπ	y uv	
وعو			μεν		ø	σσ	<i>ক</i>	
98£		ulu	µеъ		G		2	
288		μ̈́j	μενος)	T666	×	χĕ
2/3		pt'	μετà		# @ &		s	۵
Ng.	δια	miss	METÀ		ÇÜ	ταῦθα	4	ep

D.

TECHNICAL GRAMMATICAL EXPRESSIONS.

I. Greek.

- 1. Letters. στοιχεία letters; φωνήεντα και σύμφωνα vowels and consonants; ἄφωνα mutes, ἡμίφωνα semivowels, ὑργά* liquids; δασέα, ψιλά, μέσα, rough, smooth, middle.—ἐπίσημον, an old letter used only as a numeral, p. 6.
- 2. Accents, etc. προσφδίαι accents; but under this name are also commonly included quantity, breathing, apostrophe, and hypodiastole (§ 15. 2, comp. § 7. 1); δξεία, βαρεία, περισπωμένη, grave, acute, circumflex.—πνεύματα breathings; δασεία καὶ ψιλή (sc. προσφδία) rough and smooth.—στιγμή, τελεία στιγμή, point, period, μέση στιγμή colon, ὑποστιγμή comma.—χρόνος quantity, συλλαβή δίχρονος (anceps) doubtful syllable.—χασμωδία † hiatus.
- 3. Parts of Speech. ὅνομα πουπ (ὅνομα προσηγορικόν substantive, ἐπιθετικόν adjective, κύριον proper name); ἀντωνυμία pronoun, ἄρθρον article (προτασσόμενον, ὑποτασσόμενον, οτ -ακτικόν, prepositive, postpositive); μετοχή participle, ῥημα verb, ἐπίρρημα adverb, πρόθεσις preposition, σύνδεσμος conjunction. The interjections are included under adverbs.
- 4. Accidents of Nouns, etc. γένος ἀρσενικόν, θηλυκόν, οὐδέτερον, Maso. Fem. Nout.—ἀριθμὸς ένικός, δυϊκός, πληθυντικός, Sing. Dual, Plural.—κλίσις declension, πτώσεις cases; ὀρθή οτ εὐθεῖα, οτ also ὀνομαστική, Nom. γενική Gen. δοτική Dat. αἰτιατική Acc. κλητική Voc. πτώσεις πλάγιαι oblique cases.

ονομα απολελυμένον οτ απόλυτον, also απλοῦν and θετικόν, positive; συγκριτικόν comparative, ὑπερθετικόν superlative.

5. Accidents of Verbs, etc. $\sigma v_{\xi}^{\prime}v_{\gamma}^{\prime}ia$ conjugation, under which however the Greeks understand different classes of verbs which are conjugated alike, e. g. the verbs λ , μ , ν , ρ . What we mean by the verb conjugate, as also by declension, is called in Greek $\kappa\lambda^{i}v\epsilon\nu$, $\kappa\lambda^{i}\sigma\iota s$, inflect, decline; inflection, declension.— $9\epsilon\mu a$ theme § 92. 6.— $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\omega\pi a$ persons.

αθέησις συλλαβική και χρονική, Augm. syllab. and temp.—ἀναδιπλασιασμός reduplication.

διάθεσις character of the verb, according to which it is a ρήμα ενεργητικόν, παθητικόν, μέσον, Active, Passive, Middle.—αὐτοπαθές intransitive, ἀλλοπαθές transitive.

έγκλίσεις Moods; όριστική Indic. ὑποτακτική Subj. εὐκτική Opt. προστακτική Imper. ἀπαρέμφατος Infinitive.

χρόνοι Tenses; ενεστώς Present, παρωχημένος Preterite, μελλων Future;— παρακείμενος Perfect, παρατατικός Imperfect, ὑπερσυντελικός Pluperf. ἀόριστος Aorist.—παράτασις duration, συντέλεια completion, momentary action.

σύνθεσις proper composition; παράθεσις loose composition, i. e. arising from mere juxtaposition; παρασύνθετα words derived from compound words.

II. Latin and English.‡

Prosody, see § 7. 1.
Pure vowels, § 28. 1.

Position, § 7. 8. Hiatus, § 29. 1.

Synaresis, the contraction of vowels.

* These are also called ἀμετάβολα, because they are not changed in declension and conjugation.

† The form χασμφδία, as it is sometimes written, is false; for there is nothing of \$\overline{\sigma}\$\text{o}\$ in this word, which comes from χασμώδης having gaps.

1 From the author's Schul-Grammatik.

```
Diaresis, the separation of two vowels, § 15. 3.
Resolution into a double sound, § 105. n. 10.
Synalaphe, the union of two syllables in one, chiefly in two words follow-
  ing one another. It includes both
Elision, § 28. 3. and
                                      || Crasis, § 29. 2.
Synizesis, Synalaphe, Synecphonesis, § 28. n. 6. § 29. n. 11. Append. A. 7.
Aphæresis, the taking away of one or more letters from the beginning of the
  usual form of a word; e.g. είβω poetic for λείβω, ή for φη or έφη, § 29.
  n. 11. § 109. I. 4.
Syncope, the same in the middle of a word; e. g. τέραος for τέρατος, πατρός
  for πατέρος, § 47. § 106. n. 6. § 110.
Apocope, the same at the end of a word, e. g. πάρ for παρά, § 117. n. 2.
Prosthesis, the addition of one or more letters at the beginning of the usual
  form of a word, e. g. σμικρός for μικρός.
Epenthesis, the same in the middle of a word, e. g. πτολεμος poetic for
Paragoge, the same at the end of a word; e. g. èvi for èv.
Metathesis, the transposition of letters, § 19. n. 2. § 110. 11.
Diastole, Hypodiastole, § 15. 2.
                                        Heteroclite, § 56. 5.
Metaplasm, § 56. 5.
                                        Genus Epicænum, § 32. n. 3.
Abundans, § 56. 4.
Characteristic, § 91. 2.
Union-vowel, § 87. 5, and n. 1.
Anastrophe, 🛊 117. 3.
Tmesis, the separation of the preposition of a compound verb from the verb,
  e. g. aπ' ων έδοντο Ionic for aπέδοντο ουν, § 147. n. 7.
Correlatives, § 78. § 116.
Verbs Transitive, those which take an immediate object in the Accus. upon
         which the action passes over, § 131. 2.
    - Intransitive or Neuter, those which take no immediate object, § 131. 2.
  --- reflexive and reciprocal, § 89. 1. § 135. 2, 7, 8; comp. § 74. 3, 4 and
        marg. note.

    causative

   _ immediate } \ 113. 2.
    - impersonal, § 129. 18.
Apposition, when one noun is joined to another in the same case, for the
  sake of explanation or further description; e. g. Κῦρος βασιλεύς, Cyrus the king; εμοί σῷ πατρί, to me thy father. 123. 1, 2.
Hypothetical or Conditional Construction, § 139. m. 22.
Attraction, § 142. 2. § 143. 3. § 151. I.
Asyndeton, § 151. IX.
Inversion or Hyperbaton, when one or more words are placed out of their
 natural order; e. g. ἐν ἄλλοτε ἄλλφ, for ἄλλοτε ἐν ἄλλφ, 'another time in
  another;' ∮ 151. III. 1.
Ellipsis, the omission of one or more words, § 151. IV.
Pleonasm, when one or more words, strictly taken, stand superfluously, in-
  asmuch as their sense is already contained in the context, § 151. VI.
```

Anacoluthon, § 151. II. Aposiopesis, § 151. V. Epexegesis, § 151. VII. Zeugma, § 151. VIII.

Digitized by Google

E.

Tables of Words for Declension.

FIRST DECLERSION, p. 47.

dyopd market Αγχίσης (long ι) ἀδολέσχης prattler **Αθηνά Minorva** Alveias Æneas äκaνθα thorn μμλλα combat ἄρουρα ploughed land ἀσχολία business γαλη weasel γη earth γλῶσσα tongue dóξa opinion exiova adder ζώνη zone ημέρα day θάλασσα sea 9ύρα (short v) door Κεκροπίδης (short ι)

κεφαλή head κλέπτης thief κόρη maiden kperie judge κτιστής fou**nder** λαύρα lane λύπη (long v) grief μαθητής scholar μέλισσα bee μέριμνα solicitude Míðas (short 1) μοίρα portion vien (long 1) victory νύμφη bride οργή wrath ορνιθοθήρας G. a, birdcatcher Hépons a Persian πλευρά side

wúktys bozer πύλη (ŭ) gate ρίζα root oviá shadow Σκύθης (ŭ) a Scythian στέγη τοοξ στοά porch σφαίρα sphere σφυρα hammer σχολή leisure σωτηρία deliverance rapias steward τεχνίτης artisan ύλη (long v) forest φιλία friendship χαρά ϳου xaaîva outer-garment χώρα country ψυχή σουί

SECOND DECLENSION, p. 49.

Feminines in os.

1. Resides trees (§ 32. 4) also the following plants: η βίβλος or βύβλος the papyrus-plant кожког the scarlet-oak; but o кожког a berry, and especially the scarlet

σχοινος bulrush

vápoos spikenard

bádavos cabbage

berry With these connect:

> βύσσος fine linen βίβλος and δέλτος book

dokós beam ράβδος rod Bahaves acorn

2. The following stones and earths:

å, ή λίθος, as Fem. chiefly of rare and precious stones. κρύσταλλος crystal; but & κρύσταλ-

ἄργιλος clay ἄσφαλτος asphalius Bágavos touchstone, trial yú√os gypsum

dos ice: μίλτος cinnabar σάπφειρος sapphire

ήλεκτρος (also τὸ ήλεκτρον) amber

σμάραγδος smaragdus τίτανος lime.

With these connect:

άμμος, ψάμμος, άμαθος, ψάμαθος, sand

ψήφος pebble, vote κόπρος filth

σποδός ashes

πλίνθος tile Bûxos clod δνθos dung ἄσβολος soot

^{*} These Tables of Words for Practice are arranged simply in alphabetical order, that the learner may be exercised in judging which of the rules given in the grammar is to be applied in each instance.

3. Belonging to the idea of vessel, receptacle, etc.

κιβωτόs ark χηλόs chest σορόs coffin ἀρριχοs basket κάρδοποs kneading-trough ἀσάμινθοs and πύελοs bathing-tub

 Belonging to the idea of way: δδός and κέλευθος way οίμος (ὁ, ἡ) path

The following single words: χέρσος and facepos continent viγσος island νόσος disease δρόσος dew γνάθος jaw-bone κέρκος tail ρίνός (ή, ό) hide ψίαθος (ή, ό) mat

and with a difference of meaning ἡ λέκιθος yolk ληνός wine-press ἄκατος (ἡ, ὁ) kind of shep στάμνος (ἡ, ὁ) jug λήκυθος oil-flask πρόχοος, πρόχους, watering-pot κάμινος stove Θόλος (ἡ, ὁ) cupola

άτραπος and τρίβος footpath τάφρος and κάπετος trench

μήρινθος twine
τήβεννος toga
βάρβενος (ἡ, ό) lyre
τάμισος runnet
γέρανος crane
κόρυδος, κορυδαλός, lark
κόχλος (ὁ, ἡ) snail

δ λέκιθος pea-soup

Words for Practice.

äyyedos messenger lov violet derós eagle καρκίνος crab **δθλ**os combat κασσίτερος tin åθλον prize ὁ κέρασος cherry-tree ή ἄμπελος vine δ κότινος wild olive-tree ἄνθρωπος man μῆλον apple ãργυρος and ή μήλος apple-tree ἀργύριον silver μόλιβος or μόλυβδος lead Epyor work μόριον part εύρος east-wind νότος south-wind **ζέφυρος west-wind** Εύλον wood lμάτιον over-garment olkos house

παιδίον child ρόδον rose σίθηρος iron σπασμός spasm στρατός army ταῦρος bull φάρμακον medicine ἡ φηγός beech-tree φορτίον burden χαλκός copper χρυσός and χρυσίον gold

THIRD DECLENSION.

Catalogue of words which have the syllable before the case-ending long • 41. n. 1.

Nouns in is and us which in flexion have δ; all Feminines.

αψίς vault
κηλίς spot, stain
βαλβίς goal
κληῖς (Ion. for κλείς) key
ψηφίς pebble

βαλβίς goal κληΐς (Ion. for καρίς sea-crab κημίς greave

κηκίς sap κρηπίς stand, base or which in flexion have 9:

ή ἄγλις clove of garlick
ή μέρμις string

ό, ή ὄρνις bird ή κώμυς bunch, tuft

δαγύς puppel

^{*} The learner must here pay particular regard to the accent, in respect both to its position and form, according to the general rules, and also the special ones referred to in § 43. 2.

```
In \iota s and \iota s which in flexion have \nu:
  ή is sinew
                        ή ρίς nose
                                                 ό, ή θίς heap, shore
   ή ἀκτίς ταγ
                                            ό δελφίς dolphin
   ή γλωχίς point
                                            ກໍ ພໍວິເຣ throe
   Έλευσίς
                 Γόρτυς
                              Σαλαμίς
                                            Τραχίς
                                                        Φόρκυς
In αν, υν, αρ:
   ό παιάν pæan
                                            ό μόσυν wooden tower
                                            δ Κάρ a Carian
   δ ψάρ starling
In αρ G. τος:
  τὸ φρέαρ well
                                            τὸ στέαρ tallow
In & G. Kos:
  βλάξ βλακός stupid
                             ό κόρδαξ sort of dance
                                                       δ σύρφαξ filth
                                                        φέναξ deceiver
Φαίαξ a Phæacian
  ο θώραξ breastplate
                             ό λάβραξ shark
  δ lépaξ kite
                             ó olak rudder
                             ἡ ἄῖξ crowd, press
ἡ βέμβιξ child's top
ὁ πέρδιξ partridge
  ό ἴξ (an insect)
ἡ φίξ sphinx
ἡ φρίξ shudder
                                                        ή páðif branch
                                                        ό σκάνδιξ chervil
                                                        ή σπάδιξ palm-branch
  δ Φοίνιξ Phanician, palm, redness
  δ βόμβυξ silkworm
                             δ κήϋξ (a sea-bird)
                                                        ή σάνδυξ vermilion
                             κήρυξ herald
  δ δοίδυξ pestle
In & G. yos:
  ἡ ῥάξ grape
                                         ό κόκκυξ cuckoo
  η μάστιξ scourge
                             ή πέμφιξ bubble, blister
                                                             ό τέττιξ cricket
In ξ G. χος: ή ψίξ crumb.
In ψ G. πos:
  ό ΐψ, κτίψ, θρίψ, names of insects 
ό δίψ bulrush ό γύψ vultu
                                                        ò γρύψ griffin, condor
                             δ γύψ vulture
```

Words for Practice, p. 57.

The letters before the case-endings, which cannot be determined by the general rules given in the grammar, are subjoined in parentheses.

All the following words have the vowels a, t, v, before the case-endings short. Those which have them long are given in the preceding lists.

1. Words having a consonant before the case-ending.

· ·	
ο ἀγκών elbow	ή ἔρις (δ) strife
ή ဂျဲဂုံစိမ်း (၀) nightingale	δ θεράπων (οντ) servant
δ ἀήρ (e) air	δ lμάs (»τ) thong
δ alθήρ (ε) ether	ή κατῆλιψ (φ) ladder
η alf (γ) goat	δ κλών bough
η $aλ ωπηξ (ε) fox$	ή κόρυς (9) helmet
ό ἀνδριάς (ντ) statue	δ κτείς (ev) comb
δ ἄξων (o) axle	ή κύλιξ (κ) cup
η αθλαξ (κ) furrow	τὸ κῦμα wave
η βήξ (χ) cough	ή λαίλαψ (π) hurricane
ο γέρων (οντ) old man	ό Λάκων
η cais (τ) feast	δ λάρυγξ (γ) larynx
η δάς (δ) torch	ό λιμήν (ε) haven
A Bodense (over) deagon	A riace (w) lame
δ δράκων (ουτ) dragon	h hiyê (k) lynx
ή Έλλάς (δ) Greece	δ μήν month
δ Ελλην a Greek	τὸ νέκταρ nectar
ή έλπίς (δ) hope	ó ὄνυξ (χ) nail, cla v

δ υρτυξ (γ) quail

τὸ οὐθαρ (τ) udder

δ πένης (τ) poor man

δ πίναξ (κ) tablet

ἡ πτέρυξ (γ) wing

ἡ πτύξ (χ) fold

ἡ σάρξ (κ) flesh

ἡ σειρήν siren

τὸ στόμα mouth

ἡ Στύξ (γ)

ἡ Τίρυνς (θ)

ό φθείρ louse
ἡ φλέψ (β) vein
ἡ φλόξ (γ) flame
ὁ φώρ thief
τὸ φῶς (τ) light
ὁ χάλυψ (β) steel
ὁ χειμών tempest, winter
ἡ χελιδών (ο) swallow
ὁ χήν goose
ἡ χθών (ο) earth
ἡ χιών (ο) snow
ἡ χλαμύς (δ) war-garment
ἡ ὧψ countenance

 Words having a vowel before the case-endings, and more or less contracted, p. 61 sq.

τὸ ἄνθος flower
δ βότρυς bunch of
grapes
τὸ γένος race
ἡ γένος jaw-bone
τὸ σκέπας cover
ἡ δρῦς ouk
δ ἱππεὺς rider

τὸ κόμμι gum
ἡ Λητώ Latona
ὁ μάντις seer
ὁ μῦς mouse
ὁ ὀρεύς mule
τὸ ὄρος mountain
ἡ ὄψις face
ἡ πειθώ persuasion

ό πέλεκυς axe (§ 51)
τὸ πέπερι pepper
ἡ πίτυς pine
ἡ ποίησις poetry
ἡ πρᾶξις action
ὁ στάχυς ear of grain
ἡ ψύσις nature

ADJECTIVES, p. 78.

Examples of adjectives in os of two and three endings, for applying the rules in § 60. 2 and 4.

All are to be considered as having three endings, which are not limited to two by some definite rule.

άγαπητός beloved άδικος unjust äθλιος unhappy αμαχος invincible agios worthy αριστερός left βαρύτονος barytone βασιλικός royal γεωργικός agricultural γυμνός naked δεξιός right (dexter) δηλος manifest διάλιθος set with stones διάφορος different dikaios just

δυνατός possible έλαφρός easy ερυθρός red εὔκαιρος opportune ζηλότυπος jealous ημίγυμνος half-naked Javuários wonderful Delos divine θερμός warm θνητός mortal idios own lepós holy καθαρός clean, pure κοινός common λάλος talkative λείος smooth λευκός white

μαλακός soft μόνος alone Eévos strange όξύθυμος wrathful ορθός right, straight πολυφάγος gluttonous πρόθυμος ready, willing σεμνός venerable σκληρός hard σοφός wise σπάνιος rare στενός narrow ταλαίπωρος miserable τυφλός blind φιλότεκνος loving children xwhós lama

F.

CATALOGUE OF REGULAR VERBS.

Preliminary Notes.

- 1. In this Catalogue, it is to be assumed of every verb in respect to which nothing is specified, that it has the Aorist and the Perfect after the first form; and that its whole conjugation is sufficiently obvious from the rules given in the grammar. This is also the case in respect to every particular tense, which is not expressly specified. Consequently, when e. g. under any verb there stands simply Aor. 2, in order to show that this verb has this form of the Aorist, it refers solely to the Aor. Act. (and Mid.) while the Aor. Pass. and Perf. Act. are to be made according to the first form.
- 2. Where the Aor. 2 Pass. is specified, the Aor. 1 Pass. must nevertheless be always formed; since it very often exists as a less frequent form together with the Aor. 2; and the verbs in which it was not used at all cannot with certainty be specified.
- 3. The whole Catalogue serves at the same time as an *Index* of all the Verbs treated of or cited as examples in respect to form, throughout the whole discussion on the Verb; if they have not already found their place in the anomalous Catalogue, § 114.
- 4. The expression—Pass. takes σ —refers everywhere to the Perf. the Aor. 1, and the Verbal Adjectives; but stands only with verbs in which this does not follow as a matter of course. § 98, 100, espec. § 112. 20.

I. BARYTONE VERBS, p. 146.

dyáλλω adorn. MID. be ostentatious. αγγέλλω announce. — MID. — Aor. 2. Act. and Mid. see § 101. 8. dyλaίζω make splendid, Fut. § 95. n. 14. ἄγχω strangle trans. MID. (ἀπάγχοµaι) strangle oneself, § 135. 2. άδω contr. from deίδω sing. Augm. § 84. 5. Fut. Mid. ἀθροίζω assemble.—MID. άθύρω play αἰκίζω abuse.-MID diσσω Act. also Pass. Depon, spring, hasten. Att. ärrw or ärrw, Aor. ήξα, άξαι, also without ι subscript. alσχύνω shame. Pass. am ashamed, § 113. n. 5. § 135. 2, 5. Perf. Pass. see § 101. n. 8. die hear, only Pres. and Impf .-Augm. (ἐπήῖσα) § 84. n. 2. ἀκοντίζω hurl. MID. in comp. § 135. ἀκούω hear, Fut. Mid. very rarely Fut. Act. § 113. n. 7.—Perf. ἀκήκοα, Plupf. ἠκηκόειν § 85. 2, 3.-Pass. takes σ ; Perf. Pass. without redupl. ἀλαλάζω shout for joy, F. ξω and ξομαι Eurip. § 92. n. 3.

άλαπάζω overcome, Fut. ξω. aλείφω anoint (i).—Perf. § 85. 2. Perf. Pass. ἀλήλιμμαι and ήλειμμαι. Aor. 1. P. rarely Aor. 2. P.—MID. ἀλλάσσω, ττω, change; Charact. § 92. n. 2. Aor. 2. P. § 104. n. 2.—MID. in comp. (Fut. 1. M. and Fut. 2. P.) § 135. 7. αμβλύνω blunt ἀμείβω exchange.—MID. comp. ἀπαμ. 113. n. 5. extr. dμέλγω milk άμύνω ward off.—Perf. wholly wanting.—MID. § 135. 2, 5. ἀντιάζω go to meet, \$ 112. 9. aνύω complete, § 95. n. 3.—Pass. takes σ.—MID. ἀπολαύω enjoy, Fut. M. see § 114. απτω kindle απτω fasten to. MID. seize, touch aράσσω smite aρδω water. Pass. only Pres. and Impf. άρμόττω, άρμόζω, adapt, § 92. n. 5.— MID. *ἀρύω draw water*, forms its tenses like ἀνύω.—MID aρχω rule.—MID. begin

ἀσπαίρω palpitate

ἀστράπνω lighten, glisten avaivo become dry. Augm. § 84. n. 2. βαδίζο go. Fut. Mid. βάπτω dip. Charact. φ, § 92. n. 1.— Pass. Aor. 2. $\beta\delta\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$ milk βήσσω, ττω, cough. Char. § 92. n. 2. βιβάζω, see βαίνω, § 114. Bλάπτω injure. Charact. β, § 92. 5, and n. i.-Pass. Aor. 2.-Redupl. § 83. n. 1. βλέπω see. Fut. Act. and Mid. Pass. Aor. 2. 100. n. 5. 6λίττω gather honey, ∮ 92. n. 2. βλύζω spring up (as water). βουλεύω counsel.—MID. 135, 7. Apadúra be slow. Perf. § 101. n. 8. marg. Βράζω or βράσσω, ττω, boil, ferment, winnow. Fut. co, ∮ 92. n. 2. βρέμω murmur, roar, only Pres. and Impf. βρέχω, wet, soak.—Pass. § 130. n. 5. γίμω am full, only Pres. and Impf. γλύφω carve, ŭ, § 7. n. 8. Redupl. ¢ 83. n. 1. γλωττίζω kiss, § 83. n. 1. γνωρίζω recognize. Redupl. § 83. n. 1. γράφω write.—Pass. Aor. 2, and Fut. 2, § 100. n. 4.—MID. § 136. n. 3. γούζω grunt, Fut. ξω. γυμνάζω practise.—MID. § 135. 2. δακρύω weep δανείζω lend on interest.-MID. borrow on interest δέρω flay.—Pass. Aor. 2 (ă). decació u rule δεύω moisten duráζω judge.—MID. § 135. 8. διστάζω doubt διώκω pursue, Fut. Act. and Mid. δουλεύω serve δρίπω pluck.—MID. δρύπτω (δρύφω) tear, § 92. 5. marg. έγκωμιάζω praise, Fut. Mid. rarely Fut. Act. Augm. § 86. n. 3. 'γχειρίζω hand over. Augm. § 86. n. 3. ėθίζω accustom. Augm. ει, § 84. 2. elκάζω conjecture. Augm. § 84. 5. eiko yield. Augm. § 84. 5. Not to be confounded with the Anom. εἴκω. ciρyω exclude. Augm. § 84. 5, and n. 4. See also in § 114. έκκλησιάζω hold an assembly. Augm. § 86. n. 3. λίγχω confute.—Att. redupl.—Perf. Pass. § 98. n. 7.

2. - Perf. Act. does not occur. Perf. Pass. είλιγμαι and έλήλιγμαι. MID. ἐλπίζω hope.—ἔλπω see Anom. § 114. ėμφανίζω make clear. Augm. § 86 n. 3. έντύω and έντύνω equip, forms tenses only from the latter éξετάζω search out. Augm. § 86. n. 2. έορτάζω celebrate. Augm. § 84. n. 9. eπείγω (not a compound) impel; Pass. hasten, § 113. n. 5. ἐπιβατεύω sail in a ship. § 86. n. 3. έπιτηδεύω be diligent. Augm. § 86. n. 3. έρείδω prop.—Att. redupl. § 85. 1.— MID. ἐρέσσω, ττω, τοω. Fut. σω, ∮ 92. n. 2. έρεύγω spit out, see anom. έρυγγάνω, § 114 ἐρέφω deck, crown.—MID. έρίζω strive, emulate.—Att. redupl. § 85. 1. έρμηνεύω interpret έρπω creep. Augm. et, § 84. 2. (Pros. and Impf.) Flexion from έρπύζω. εὐθύνω make straight εὐφραίνω rejoice. Pass. § 113. n. 5. εύω, comm. ἀφεύω, roast, singe έχθαίρω hate (poet.) Aor. § 101. n. 2. βδω delight, trans. Pass. rejoice, § 113. n. 5. ήκω come, am here. No Aor. and no Perf. § 137. n. 8. θάλλω sprout.—Perf. 2, ∮ 97. 4. b. θάλπω warm θανμάζω wonder at. Fut. Mid. θέλγω enchant θερίζω karvest θεσπίζω prophesy. Fut. Att. | 95. n. 14. θήγω whet θηρεύω hunt θλίβω crush.—Pass. Aor. 2. Spavω break in pieces.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. c. θύω and θύνω be furious. Pres. and Impf. ίδρύω set, see ∮ 114.—MID. lθύνω make straight keτεύω supplicate. Augm. § 84. 3. iμάσσω (poet.) scourge, Char. § 92. n. 2. lμείρω desire. Pass. § 113. n. 5. ίππεύω ride lσχναίνω make dry. Aor. 1. § 101. n 2.

έλίσσω, ττω, wind. Augm. ει, § 84.

iσγύω am able. Augm. § 84. 3. καθαίρω (not a compound) purify. Aor. 1. takes η , rarely \bar{a} .—MID. καίνω kill.—Aor. 2.—Perf. wholly wanting .- Pass. only Pres. and Impf. καλύπτω wrap up.—MID. § 135. 4. κάμπτω bend.—Pass. Perf. § 98. n. 8. κείρω shear.—Fut. σω in Hom. § 101. n. 3. Aor. 2. Pass.—MID. 135. 2, 4, 8. κελεύω command. - Pass takes σ, § 112. 20. c. κέλλω land. F. κέλσω, § 101. n. 3. κερδαίνω gain; Aor. 1, § 101. n. 2. κηρύσσω, ττω, proclaim κινδυνεύω be in danger κλέπτω steal. Fut. Mid.—Perf. Act. § 97. n. 1. Perf. Pass. § 98. n. 3. Aor. 2. Pass. § 100. n. 5. chivo incline, § 101. 9.—Pass. Aor. and Fut. 1 and 2.—MID. κλύζω rinse κναίω scratch. Pass. takes σ, § 113. 20. a. κνίζω itch, burn κνώσσω sleep (poet.) Fut. § 95. 6. marg. κοιλαίνω hollow out; Aor. 1, § 101. κολάζω punish. Fut. κολάσω, κολάσομαι, and κολώμαι. κομίζω bring. MID. receive, § 135. 6. κονίω bedust, κονίσω, κεκόνιμαι, later κεκόνισμαι from κονίζω. κόπτω cut. Char. § 92. n.1. Perf. 1. (Epic Perf. 2.) Pass. Aor. 2.--MID. § 135. 5. κορύσσω equip. Char. § 92. n. 2. 🕯 98. n. 5. κραίνω accomplish. Perf. § 101. n. 7. κρίνω judge, § 101.9.—MID. § 135.7. —ἀποκρίνομαι answer, § 113. n. 5, κρούω strike. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. c.—MID. § 135. 6. κρύπτω conceal. Charact. β, § 92. n. 2, and marg.—Pass. Aor. 1 and 2. -MID. κτεατίζω gain. Augm. § 83. n. 1. b. κτίζω found Perf. ĸéĸūфa κύπτω stoop. Fut. Mid. κωκύω howl. κωλύω hinder λάμπω shine. Fut. Act. and Mid.-Perf. 2 λέλαμπα λέγω say.—MID.—See in § 114. λείβω pour out λείπω leave, secondary form λιμπάνω,

§ 112. 4, 11. Aor. 2. Perf. 2.— MID. λέπω shell.—Pass. Aor. 2, § 100. n. 5. λευκαίνω make white. Aor. 1, § 101. n. 2. λεύσσω see (poet.) Fut. § 95. 6. marg. λεύω stone. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a. λήγω leave off λυγίζω bend, § 95. n. 2. marg. λυμαίνω spoil, see Depon. λυμαίνομαι. λύω loose, see Anom. § 114. μαλάσσω, ττω, soften μαραίνω cause to wither.—Aor. 1 takes ā .- Pass. wither μάσσω knead. Char. γ, § 92. n. 2.— Aor. 1. and 2. Pass.—MID. μαστίζω scourge. Fut. ξω, § 92. n. 3. μερίζω divide out.—MID. μηνύω point at μαίνω soil.—Aor. 1. takes η, § 101. n. 2, and n. 8. marg. μολύνω stain, soil, Perf. Pass. § 101. n. 8. b. νεύω nod, (ἐπινεύω assent, ἀνανεύω dissent,) Fut. Mid. in Hom. Plat. also Fut. Act. νίσσομαι go away (poet.) Fut. § 95. 6 marg. νίφω snow νομίζω suppose ξηραίνω make dry. Perf. Pass. § 101. n. 8. ξύω make smooth. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a. olaxi(w steer. Augm. § 84. n. 2. οίκτείρω bemoan olμώζω wail. Char. § 92. n. 3.—Fut. Mid. olvίζω smell of wine. Augm. § 84. n. 2. δκέλλω land, trans. and intrans. όλολύζω cry out. Fut. ξομαι. όξύνω sharpen, irritate. Perf. Pass § 101. n. 8. ὀνειδίζω reproach, revile ὖνομάζω name όπλίζω arm.—MID. ορέγω reach out. Att. redupl.—Aor. Pass. and Mid. § 113. n. 5. δρίζω bound.—MID. δργαίνω make angry. Aor. 1, § 101. n. 2. ὀρύσσω, ττω, dig, Char. § 92. n. 2.-Att. redupl. § 85. 1.—MID.—Secondary form ὀρύχω, § 92. 5. marg. § 114 in ψύχω. οὐτάζω wound, § 84. 5. § 112. 9. § 114 in οὐτάω.

παιδεύω educate.--MID. παλαίω wrestle. Pass. takes σ, § 112. πάλλω brandish.—Pass. Aor. 2, see in § 114. πάσσω bestrew. F. σω, § 92. n. 2, 3. -MID. πείρω pierce, § 101. 8.—Pass. Aor. 2. πέμπω send. Perf. § 97. n. 1.—Perf. Pass. § 98. n. 8.—MID. πεπαίνω ripen. Aor. 1, § 101. n. 2. πήσσω, see § 114 πήγνυμι πιαίνω fatten. Aor. 1, § 101. 4. πιέζω press. (πιέζευν § 112. n. 5.) πιστεύω believe πλάσσω, ττω, form, Fut. σω, § 92. n. 1. B.—MID. πλέκω braid.—Pass. Aor. 2, § 100. n. 5.—MID. πλύνω wash, § 101. 9. πνίγω suffocate trans. Fut. Mid. ξομαι.-Pass. suffocate intrans. § 113. n. 5. πορεύω bring, conduct.-Pass. journey, § 113. n. 5. § 135. 3. πορίζω procure.—MID. gain, acquire, § 135. 6. πράσσω, ττω, do, find myself (well or ill), § 113. n. 3. extr. πρέπω become; only Active πρεσβεύω am ambassador. — MID. § 135. 8. πρίω saw. - Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a. προφητεύω prophesy. Augm. § 86. πταίω stumble.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a. πτήσσω stoop down. Char. § 92. n. 2. πτίσσω stamp. Fut. σω, § 92. n. 2. B. πτύσσω fold.—MID. πτύω spit. Flexion in § 95. n. 3. § 112. 20. b. πύθω (long v) cause to rot.—Pass, am rotten ραίω smash, shiver. Pass. takes σ, § 112, 20. a. ράπτω sew, § 92. n. 1. c.—Aor. 2. Pass. ρέπω sink σαίνω wag, flatter; only Active .--Aor. 1. takes η, also ā. σαίρω sweep.—Aor. 1. takes η.—Perf. 2. has the special signif. grin, as Present σείω shake.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a.—MID. σημαίνω mark.—Aor. 1. takes η, later ā.—MID.

σήπω cause to rot.—Pass. am rotten, Aor. 2. and Perf. 2, § 113. n. 3, 5. § 135. n. 1. σκάζω limp σκάπτω exeavate. Charact. φ, § 92. n. 1. c.—Pass. Aor. 2. σκεπάζω cover, § 112. 9. σκευάζω prepare, ἐσκευάδαται § 103. m. 23.—MID. § 135. 2. σκήπτω Act. and Mid. lean upon, support myself σκιάζω overshadow, † 112. 9. σκώπτω scoff. Fut. Mid. σπείρω sow.—Pass. Aor. 2.—MID. σπεύδω hasten.—MID. σπουδάζω do with zeal.—Fut. Mid. στάζω drop, trickle, § 92. n. 3. στέγω cover στείβω tread upon.—Pass. Aor. 2. στείχω stride, proceed, Aor. 1. and 2. στέλλω send, § 101. 8.—Pass. Aor. 1. and 2.—MID. § 135. 3. § 136. n. 2. στένω sigh; only Pres. and Impf. στενάζω groan. Char. § 92. n. 3. στέργω love, am contented.—Perf. 2. στέφω stuff, crown.—MID. στηρίζω prop, support. Char. § 92. n. 3.—MID. στίζω prick. Char. § 92. n. 3. στρατεύω Act. and Mid. take the field στρέφω turn. Perf. Act. § 97. n. 1. Perf. Pass. § 98. n. 3. Pass. Aor. and 2, § 100. n. 3.—MID. συρίζω and συρίττω pipe, whistle. Fut. συρίξομαι Att. σύρω draw, drag.—Pass. Aor. 2.— MID. σφάλλω deceive.—Pass. Aor. 2. σφάττω and σφάζω slaughter, § 92. u. 5 .- Pass. Aor. 2. σφίγγω fasten, bind fast.—Pass. Perf § 98. n. 7. σφύζω beat, palpitate. Char. § 92. n. 3. σχίζω split σχολάζω have leisure τάσσω, ττω, arrange. Char. § 92. n. 2. -Pass. Aor. 1. and 2.-MID τέγγω moisten, wet τείνω extend, § 101. 9. τείρω rub away, only Pres. and Impf. -For τέρσω see Ausf. Sprachl. τεκμαίρω fix, limit.—Aor. 1. takes η. -MID. prove τεκταίνω work as carpenter, etc.—Aor. 1. takes η. τέλλω, only in compounds, e.g. ἐπιτέλλω order, give commission, ∮ 101. 8.--MID.

τετραίνω bore, \$ 101. 4. \$ 112. 13; 800 § 114 πιτράω τήκω soften, melt.—Pass. melt intrans. § 113. n. 5. Aor. 2. Perf. 2. § 113. n. 3, 5. 4 92. 9. But Aor. 1. Pass. was melted, § 113. n. 6. τίλλω pluck, pull, § 101. 4.—MID. ∮ 135. **5**. τινάσσω shake vehemently.—MID. τραχύνω make rough. Perf. Pass. § 101. n. 7. b. τρέμω tremble; has no Aor. and no Perf. τρέπω turn. Perf. Act. § 97. n. 1. Perf. Pass. | 98. n. 3. Aor. 2. Act. Pass. Mid. § 100. 4. Aor. 1. Pass. ib. n. 3.—Verbal adject. τραπητέον § 134, n. 5. τρίβω rub (i).—Pass. Aor. 2, § 100. τρίζω chirp, Fut. ξω, § 92. n. 3.—Perf. τέτριγα as Present, § 113. 7, and n. 13. τρύω rub away. Secondary form τρύχω, see § 114. τωθάζω mock. Fut. Mid. ύβρίζω insult, abuse ύποπτεύω suspect. Augm. § 86. n. 3. ύφαίνω weave. Aor. 1. takes η. νω rain. Pass. takes σ, 1112. 20. a. φαίνω, see § 114.—Perf. Pass. § 101. n. 7.

φαρμάσσω, ττω, treat with medicine φέρβω feed. Perf. 2, § 97. 4. c.—Has no Fut. nor Aor. φλέγω burn trans.—Pass. Aor. S, § 100. n. 5. φονεύω kill φράσσω, ττω, shut in, inclose. Char. § 92. n. 2.—Pass. Aor. 2.—MID. φρίσσω, ττω, shudder. Char. κ, § 92. n. 2.—Perf. 2, § 97. n. 5. φροντίζω take interest in φρύγω roast.—Pass. Aor. 2, § 100. φυλάσσω, ττω, guard.—MID. § 135. φυτεύω plant χαλεπαίνω act harshly. Aor. 1, § 101. n. 2. χορεύω dance χρήζω need, require; only Pres. and Impf.—Comp. Anom. χράω, § 114. χρίω anoint.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. c.—MID. § 135. 2. ψάλλω play the lyre, sing ψαύω touch. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a. ψέγω censure.—Aor. 2. Pass. ∮ 100. n. 5. ψεύδω belie.—MID. am false, lie ψηφίζω count, reckon.—MID. decide by vote မဲဝိ(မှယ am in travail

II. CONTRACTED VERBS, § 105, comp. p. 177.

άγαπάω love άγνοέω know not. Fut. Mid. (Fut. Act. in Demosth.) άδικέω do wrong alματόω make bloody alvéω praise, § 95. n. 4; alvéo § 105. n. 7. alρέω, see Anom. § 114. alτέω ask, demand.—MID. § 135. n. 2. απολουθέω follow ἀκριβόω know accurately.-MID. άλγέω suffer pain aλοάω thresh. Fut. άσω and ήσω, ∮ 95. n. 6. ἀμάω mow.—MID. αμφισβητέω strive, am of a different opinion. Augm. at the beginning. drede (not a compound) afflict.—Pass. with Fut. Mid. afflict myself, grieve, § 113. n. 5. dioρθόω sit upright. Augm. | 86. n. 4.

aντιβολέω meet, entreat. Augm. § 86. 3. αντιδικέω join issue. Augm. § 86. 2 άξιόω esteem worthy.—MID. doidiáe sing (poet.) § 105. n. 1. απαντάω meet. Fut. Mid. (later Act.) -Augm. § 86. n. 2. ἀπατάω (not a compound) deceive ἀπειλέω (not a compound) threaten ἀριθμέω number.—MID. dρκέω suffice, retains ε in flexion | 96. n. 3.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. Σλ. b. dρόω till, plough, retains o in flexion, § 95. n. 3. Att. redupl.—Pass without o, § 112. 20. b. ἀρτάω hang up, fasten.—MID. ἀσκέω exercise.—Pass. train myself ἀσχαλάω am unwilling, ∮ 105. n. 10. ἀτιμόω dishonour, Fut. 3, § 99. n. 2. aὐδάω talk, § 105. n. 16. αυλέω play the flute ἀφρονέω am foolish. Augm. | 86. 🕏 βοάω cry out, § 95. 5. See in § 114.

Bourodém pasture trans. § 105. n. 12. βριάω am strong, § 113. 2. βροντάω thunder yeλάω laugh, Fut. Mid.—Has a short in flexion, § 95. n. 3. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. b.—Dialects, § 110. n. 10, 14. revváω beget.—MID. δαπανάω Act. and Dep. Pass. expend, lay out, § 113. n. 5. δηϊόω, Att. δηόω, treat as an enemy, ∮ 105. n. 11. ληλέω injure.--MID. ληλόω make known διαιτάω (not a compound) am arbitrator. Augm. § 86. n. 4.—Pass. § 113. n. 5. διακονέω (not a compound) minister to, serve. Augm. § 86. n. 4.—Also MID. Depon. biκαιόω hold to be right, § 105. n. 13. διφάω am thirsty, § 105. n. 5. δουλόω enslave.—MID. δυσαρεστέω am displeased. Augm. ∮ 86. 3. δυστυχέω am unhappy. Augm. § 86. 3. δυσωπέω put out of countenance.
Augm. § 86. 3. eaw let, permit, § 95. n. 7.—Augm. €4, ∮ 84. 2. eγγυάω give as pledge, pawn. Augm. § 86. n. 3.—MID. pledge myself, § 135. 2. έγχειρέω take in hand. Augm. § 86. n. 3. êkeém compassionale ἐμέω vomit, retains ε in flexion, ∮ 95. Att. redupl.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. £0. b. έμπεδόω muke fast, firm. Augm. § 86. ±. έμπολάω traffick. Augm. § 86. n. 3. ėνοχλέω trouble, molest. Augm. § 86. n. 4. čπιθυμέω desire. Augm. ∮ 86. n. 3. επιορκέω swear falsely. Augm. in middle. Fut. Mid. ἐπιχειρέω undertake. Augm. \ 86. n. 3. έρευνάω Act. and Mid. search out, investigate έρημόω make desert. Fut. § 95. n. 13. έρυθριάω blush, ∮ 97. 2. b. ἐρωτάω ask, question έστιάω entertain. Augm. et, § 84. 2. -Pass. § 113. n. 5. έτεροιόω make otherwise, § 105. n. 1. eὐεργετέω do good to. Augm. § 86. 3.

εὐσεβέω am pious Pass. feast, revel, εὐωχέω entertain. § 113. n. 5. § 135. 3.—Augm. § 86. 3. ζέω boil intrans. retains ε in flexion, § 95. n. 3. § 112. 20. b. Secondary form ζέννυμι. ζηλόω emulate, **rival** ζημιόω punish ζητέω seek ζωγραφέω paint ήβάω am young; see § 114 ήβάσκο. ημερόω tame.—MID. ήχεω sound; ήχεσκε 🕯 105. n. 12. θαρρέω, θαρσέω, have confidence 9ηράω hunt, Fut. Mid. and Act. 9λάω crush, has a short in flexion, ∮ 95. n. 3.—Pass. takes σ, ∮ 112. 20. b. 9ρηνέω lament, bewail Ivμιάω burn incense θυμόω become angry.—Pass. § 113. n. 5. ίδρόω sweat, contr. § 105. n. 6. lμάω draw sc. water.—MID. lπποτροφέω keep horses, § 86. n. 5. Ιστορέω search into, inquire κακόω iħjure, weaken κατηγορέω accuse. Augm. § 86. n. 2. κεντέω prick, sting κινέω move κλάω break, see Anom. 🛊 114. κληρόω choose by lot.—MID. cast lots. § 135. 6. aω scrape. Flexion, § 105. n. 5. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. a.—Seeκνάω scrape. ondary form κνήθω, ∮ 112. 12. κοιμάω put to sleep. Pass. (epic Mid.) sleep, § 113. n. 5. § 135. 3. κοινόω Act. and Mid. make common, share κοινωνέω participate κολλάω glue κολυμβάω swim roμάω wear long hair, 🖠 105. n. 10. κορέω sweep; diff. from Anom. κορέννυμι κοσμέω adorn, § 105. n. 15. κοτέω Act. and Mid. excite a grudge, irritate; retains e in flexion, (95, κρατέω have power, hold fast κροτέω beat, clap κτυπέω resound, make a noise, § 114. κυβερνάω steer, guide λαλέω speak λιπαρέω implore λοιδορέω Act. and Pass. Dep. reproach, revile, § 113. n. 5.

λυπέω grieve, mortify.-Pass. § 113. πονέω labour; see Anom. § 114; also n. 5. λωφάω relax, yield μαρτυρέω testify μειδιάω smile μελοποιέω make songs. Augm. § 86. 2. μετρέω measure.—MID. μισέω hate reiκέω wrangle. Flex. § 95. n. 3. νέω, see Anom. § 144. vikáw conquer νοέω think; see Anom. § 114. νουθετέω admonish ξέω scrape, polish; retains ε in flexion, § 95. n. 3. Pass. takes σ, ∮ 112. 20. b. olδάω make swell. Pres. secondary forms -άνω, -αίνω. olκειόω make my own. Fut. § 95. n. 13. olκέω inhabit ; οlκέαται, § 105. n. 9. olκοδομέω build.—MID. olκουρέω keep the house. Augm. § 84. n. 2. olvoχοέω pour out wine. Augm. § 84. n. 8. οlνόω get drunk olów leave alone. Augm. § 84. n. 2. olστρέω make wild. Augm. § 84. n. 2. δκνέω am slothful, will not δμαρτέω accompany, § 105. n. 16. όμιλέω have intercourse with όμολογέω agree, confess οπτάω roast ορθόω set upright, erect. - MID.-Compound ανορθόω, Augm. § 86. όρμάω Act. and Dep. press forward, endeavour, § 113. n. 5. δρμέω lie at anchor οχέω drive trans.—Pass. drive intrans. παρανομέω transgress the laws. Augm. § 86. n. 3. παροινέω am enraged. Augm. § 86. n. 4. naréw tread πεινάω am hungry, contr. § 105. πειράω tempt, prove.—πειράομαι with Fut. Mid. and Aor. Pass. attempt, undertake, § 113. n. 5. πηδάω leap. Fut. Mid. πλανάω cause to wander. Pass. wander, 113. n. 5. πλεονεκτέω am greedy, covetous πληρόω fill, § 105. n. 13.

§ 113. 2. ποτάομαι, see Anom. πέτομαι, § 114. προξενέω am a public guest. Augm. § 86. n. 3. προσδοκάω expect. Augm. § 86. n. 2. πτερόω furnish with wings πτοέω frighten, § 83. n. 1. c. πωλέω sell ριγόω am cold; contr. § 105. n. 6. ριζόω cause to take root. Pass. take root ροιζέω whirr. Iterat. -ασκον § 103. m. 11. ροφέω gulp down.—MID. ρυπάω am filthy. Redupl. § 83. n. 4. σημειόω mark.—MID. σιγάω am silent. Fut. Mid. σιωπάω am silent. Fut. Mid. σκιρτάω leap about, spring σμάω, see Anom. § 114. σπάω draw, has a short in flexion, § 95. n. 3.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. b.—MID. σπειράω coil up στεφανόω crown.—MID. § 135. 2, 4. συλάω plunder συνεργέω work with. Augm. § 86. n. 3. σφριγάω swell from fullness τελέω finish; retains ε in flexion, § 95. n. 3.—Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. b.—MID. τηρέω watch τιμάω honour.—MID. τιμωρέω help, avenge.—MID. τολμάω date τρέω tremble, § 105. n. 2. Flexion in § 95, n. 3. § 112. 20. b. τρυπάω bore τρυφάω am effeminate, revel ύμνέω hymn, praise. Augm. § 84. 3 ὑπνόω sleep, § 105. n. 11. φθονέω επυγ φιλέω love φοιτάω visit φυσάω blow; φυσάντες § 105. n. 14. φωράω detect χαλάω relax, remit, yield; has a short in flexion, § 95. n. 3. Pass. takes σ, § 112. 20. b. χειρόω Act. more comm. Mid. subdue. subject to myself χολόω make angry. Pass. and Mid. am angry. κεχολώατο § 105. n. 9. χωρέω go away, yield. Fut. Mid. and in comp. Fut. Act. ψάω rub, see Anom. § 114.

III. DEPONENT VERBS.

- 1. The most of the verbs here following are Middle Deponents; since the Deponents Passive or Passive-Middle have already been enumerated in § 113. n. 5. p. 218; and need not be repeated. Yet many of these form the Perf. Passive or Aor. 1. Pass. with passive signification, according to § 113. n. 6.
- 2. As in § 113. n. 5, many are to be taken as pure Passives; so here many must be regarded as the Middle of their less usual or obsolete Active form.

ἀγοράομαι meet in debate, Homer. Double-sound, § 105. n. 10. aγωνίζομαι contend together, § 135. 7. Fut. Mid. alδέομαι feel shame, am ashamed, Dep. Pass. and Mid. § 113. n. 5. Flexion § 95. n. 3. § 112. 20. b. αἰκίζομαι maltreat αινίσσομαι speak in riddles αἰτιάομαι accuse ἀκέομαι heal. Flexion § 95. n. 3. § 112. 20. b. άκροάομαι hear. Flex. § 95. n. 6. άλαζονεύομαι boast αράομαι pray άσπάζομαι salute δεξιόομαι welcome δέχομαι receive, admit, § 113. n. 6. δηλέομαι injure δηριάομαι contend δωρέομαι present είρωνεύομαι dissemble ἐναντιόομαι am opposed, Dep. Pass. § 113. n. 5. Augm. § 86. 2. ένθυμέσμαι lay to heart, (εὐθυμ. προθυμ.) Dep. Pass. § 113. n. 5. Augm. § 86. n. 3. ἐπιμηθεύομαι, προμηθ. think of afterwards, beforehand. Augm. after, § 86. n. 3. έργάζομαι work, § 113. n. 5. Augm. €4, \$ 84. 2. εΰχομαι entreat. Augm. § 84. 5. ήγεομαι lead on, see Anom. § 114.έξηγέο § 105. n. 7. ήττάομαι am inferior, worsted, § 113. n. 5, also Text 5. Augm. § 84. 4. θεάομαι view; see Anom. θάομαι § 114.

lάομαι cure lσχυρίζομαι affirm καυχάομαι boast; καυχᾶσαι § 103. m. 16. marg. λογίζομαι reckon, conclude λυμαίνομαι cause ruin. Perf. § 101. n. 7. λωβαόμαι maltreat μαλακίζομαι am effeminate μαντεύομαι prophesy μαρτύρομαι call to witness, invoke μήδομαι devise μητίομαι devise (poet.) § 95. n. 5. marg. μηχανάομαι invent, contrive, § 105. n. 9. μιμέομαι imitate μυθέομαι tell; μυθείαι, μυθέαι, § 105. μωμάομαι find fault with νήχομαι swim; see Anom. νέω § 114. οδύρομαι lament οιωνίζομαι take omens όρχέομαι dance παρρησιάζομαι speak plainly. Augm. § 86. 2. πένομαι am poor; only Pres. and πολιτεύομαι live as a citizen, Dep. Pass. and Mid. see Passow πραγματεύομαι carry on business, § 113. n. 5. extr. προοιμιάζομαι make a preface προφασίζομαι set up as pretext. Augm. after, § 86. n. 3. πωλέομαι frequent, Lat. versari in loco; § 112. 8. σίνομαι harm. Perf. σέσιμμαι σιτέομαι eat, feed σκέπτομαι look upon, consider; see Anom. σκοπέω § 114. σπονδοποιέομαι make a truce, § 135.7. σταθμάομαι measure

στοχάζομαι aim at στρατοπεδεύομαι encamp σφαγιάζομαι slay in sacrifice ταλαιπωρέομαι endure hardship, Dep. Pass. τεκμαίρομαι prove; see τεκμαίρω τεκταίνομαι work as a carpenter; see τεκταίνω τεχνάομαι work skillfully φείδομαι spare φθέγγομαι utter a sound. Perf. like σφίγγω φοβέομαι fear; Dep. Pass. § 112. 8. § 113. n. 5. § 135. 6.—φοβέο § 105. n. 7. § 28. n. 7. χαριεντίζομαι speak with grace, Lat. festive loqui γαρίζομαι gratify, favour γεύδομαι lie, deceive, Mid.—am deceived mistaken, Pass.

ENGLISH INDEX.

NOTE. The following Index embraces only the more important principles and particular examples, which are scattered throughout the work. The general division and arrangement of the subjects must be sought in the Table of Contents, after the Preface. The figures refer to the sections and subdivisions.—Tr.

A.
Abbreviation of letters 2. n. 2, 3;
App. C. p. 466.
Ablative by the Dat. 133. 4.
Absolute, see Case absolute.
Absorption of diphth. in crasis 29. n.
4, 7.—of o in contract verbs, 105. n. 14.
Abstract into concrete 119. m. 21.—
expr. by neut. sing. 128. 2.—in
Acc. after verbs 131. n. 3; 134. n.

2.—as Inf. 140. 5. c.

Abundans 56. 4.

Accent on diphth. 6. 2.—on comp. of roos and rhoos 60. 5.—on verbs 103. m. 1 sq.—never back of augm. 84. n. 4; 103. m. 10; p. 224, marg.—on compounds with his and his 107. m. 13, comp. m. 19.—on Gen. and Dat. 33. 7.—drawn back 12; 45. n. 1; 47. n. 2; 49. n. 7; 103. m. 1 sq. 107. m. 31.—shifted 12; 14. n. 3.—on contr. forms 28. n. 9; 41. n. 7.—Rules of accent in composition 121. 9.—See also Anastrophe.

Accusative, in ν, 44.1.—as form of adverbs 115. 4; 128. n. 4; 131. 10.
—as abstract after verbs (μάχην μάχ.) 131. 4; 134. n. 2.—after adj. 130. n. 3; comp. 131. n. 6, and text 7.—without prep. with verbs of motion and rest 131. n. 5.—with eð ν. κακῶς λέγειν ν. ποιεῖν 131. 2.
—double 131. 5 sq. n. 12; 135. n. 2.—Greek 131. 7.—pronom. expressions for other cases 131. 8.—of time and distance 131. 9, and n. 15.—as Appos. to a whole clause 131. n. 13.—appar. for the Voc. 131. n. 16.—with verbs Pass. Mid. and Intrans. 131. 1, 3, 7; 135 4;

136. 2, and n. 1.—instead of a Dat. with verbal Adj. 134. n. 4.—instead of a Gen. with Infin. 140. n. 9, 10.—See also Object.

Accus. with the Inf. c. art. 141. 1, \$;
142. 2. b, sq.—Acc. c. Inf. 141. 2
sq.—for the Nom. c. Inf. 141. n.
4; 142. n. 3.—after öri, ös, see
öri.—instead of a finite verb in the
direct style 141. n. 3.—in minor
clauses of the indirect style 141.
n. 5.—as exclamation 141. n. 7.

Accus. with Particip. 144. 6. b.—instead of a Nom. c. Part. 144. n. 8. Accus. after οίδα, ἀκούω, instead of the subject of the depend. clause 151. I. 6.—for other cases with the Inf. 142. n. 1, 2.—absolute 145. n. 6, 7. 10; comp. 131. n. 13-16; with ώς 145. n. 7; instead of Gen. absol. 145. n. 8.

Active used passively 134. 2.

Acute accent on contractions 9. n. 1;

28. 6.—in elision 30. 3. Adjectives 59 sq. 119. m. 66 sq.-accent of feminines 34. III. 1, 3.—of one ending 59. 1; 63. 4 sq.—formation of the neut. 59. 4.—Synt. Adj. instead of a subst. 123. 5 sq. as adverb 123. 6.—with a subst. but without art. 125. n. 4.—referred to a diff. subst. 123. n. 7. made by the Genit. in poets 132. n. 12.—with an Acc. see Accus tive.-Adj. in Dat. 133. n. 6, 8 .in neut, plur, instead of subst. 128 n. 2.—as predicate 129. 7 ; in neut sing. 129. 8; in neut. plur. 129. n 11; comp. 134. n. 3.

Adject. Verbal in réos and rós 102; 129. n. 18; 134. 8 sq.—without copula 129. n. 18.—with Acc. in stead of Dat. 134. n. 4.—in neut. plur. 134. n. 3.—from Deponents 134. n. 5.

Adverbs 119. 15.—Synt. 146.—instead of adj. and subst. 125. 6, 7.
—Adverbial phrases with the art. 125. n. 8.—Adv. as predicate 129. 13.—made by an adj. (χθιζός etc.) 123. 6.—by the neut. of an adj. 128. n. 4, 5.—by a verb with a foll. particip. 144. n. 6.—suffers attraction 143. n. 5, 6; 151. I. 8.

Adverbial endings in ωs , a, etc. 115. 3, and n. 3.—adv. adjuncts with subst. 125. 1, 3.—with art. without subst. 125. n. 6.—Adverbial pronouns 116. 2 sq. and n. 7.

Alexandrine dialect, 1. n. 8. See also Aorist.

Alphabet, history of, App. B. p. 463.

Alternate vowel or sound (Umlaut)
27. 1; 92. 3.—η and ω in adj. 63.
2.—in Aor. 2, 96. 2, and n. 6.—o.
o., ω, in Perf. 1 and 2, 97. 4. c,
and n. 1, 2; 101. 6.—in Perf. Pass.
98. n. 3.—a in verbs λμνρ, 101. 8.
—o in first syll. of dissyll. barytones 112. 8.—in adv. 119. m. 79.
—omitted in Perf. Pass. 98. n. 3;
in Aor. 1. Pass. 100. n. 3; in Aor.
2. Pass. 100. n. 5; in verbs λμνρ
101. 8, and n. 5.

Anacoluthon 151. II. Comp. 141. n. 2, 3; 143. 17; 144. n. 5; 145. n. 4; 139. m. 61.

Anastrophe 117. 3; 147. n. 10.

Ancipites 7. 6.

Animals, names of, gender, 32. n. 3. Aorist 96; 100.—Synt. 137. 2 sq.—for the Perf. and Plupf. 137. 3.—instead of the Pres. 137. n. 9.—impl. what is customary, and in comparisons, 137. n. 5.—in hypoth. constr. 139. m. 28.—Aor. and Impf. in Homer 137. n. 4.—in clauses of wishing 139. m. 8.—relations of time in the moods of the Aor. 137. 5, marg.—See also Participle and Preterite.

Aorist, Alexandrine, in a, 96. n. 1, marg. Aor. in σa in verbs λμυρ, 101. n. 3.

Aorist 2, accentuation 103. m. 4.—
with long penult 96. n. 7.—Syncopated Act. in ην, υν, etc. 110. 6;
106. 7, and n. 4-8.—Syncop. Pass.
(λύτο, δέκτο) 110. 7, 8.—with redupl. 83. n. 10; 85. n. 4.—gives

rise to new themes 111.3.—wanting in derivative verbs 96.4; 104. 2.—Act. is rare in verbs $\lambda\mu\nu\rho$, 101. n. 4.—in $\sigma o\nu$, $\sigma \delta\mu\nu\nu$, 96. n. 9.—Aor. 2 Pass. more used than Aor. 1 100. n. 6; 101. n. 4.—without alternate vowel 100. n. 5.

Aorist Pass. as Mid. 113. 4, and n. 5.

—Aor. Mid. as Pass. 113. n. 9.—
Aor. 1 Pass. in poets 100. n. 6;
101. n. 6.

Aphæresis 29. n. 10.

Apocope 117. n. 2; see Elision.—
Apoc. forms of nouns, 56. n. 8; 57.
n. 3.—of particles 115. n. 7; in compos. 117. n. 2.

Apodosis omitted 151. V. Aposiopesis 151. V.

Apostrophe 30.—with enclitics 14.7. 2.—sign of, 15. 3.

Apposition 123. 1 sq.—with the Voc. 129 a. 2.—with an impl. subject 129. n. 15.—in the Acc. instead of a whole clause 131. n. 13.—in Gen. with a possess. 127. n. 13 and marg.—Art. with Appos. see Article.

Arsis 7. n. 15. 2. App. A. 20. Article, prepositive, in crasis 29. n. 3. -signif. 75. 1, and marg.—Synt. 124.—with pr. names 124.3.—with Appos. 124. n. 2; 129. n. 15; 129 a. 2.—with cardinal numbers 124. n. 5.—with pronouns 124. n. 2, 3, 4; 127. 9.-for our indefinite 124. n. 6; 144. n. 1.—separated from its subst. 125. 1 sq. 140. 6.—repeated 125. 3, 6, 10.—with foll. Gen. or adverbial phrase 125. 4; 147 παρά c. Gen.—with an adv. 125. 6.—redundant 125. n. 8.—with the Inf. 125.8; 140.5 sq.6; 142.3.—with a Particip. 124. n. 6; 144. 1 sq.before relat. and other clauses 125. 8.—with the predicate 129. 2.— Art. in Homer 126. n. 7.—and postpositive, as originally demonstr. 126. 1 sq.—See also δ, and τό, τά. Article omitted 124. n. 7; 125. 3.in poets 125. n. 10.—with Participles 144. 1 fin .- with the Infin. 140. n. 5, 7.-with pronouns in certain cases 127. n. 15 sq.-indefinite 124. 2, and n. 7; 144. n. 1.

Aspirates 17; 21. 3 sq.—become smooth in Ion. 27. n. 1. e.—Neglect of the aspiration by the Ion. and Att. 17. n. 1; 108. II. 3; 114 δλ-λομαι.—before 9ην 18. n. 2.

Asyndeton 149. m. 9; 151. IX. Atona 13. 3.

Attraction 142. 2; 151. I.—with the relat. 143. 13; see Relative .- with adverbs 143. n. 5, 6; 151. 8.—with participles 144. n. 12. - with the Inf. 142. 2 sq. 144. n. 11; 151. I. 3. -neglected with Inf. 142. n. 1.

Augment 82-86.—before a vowel 84. n. 5.—before the prep. of a compound 86. n. 2.—retained in the moods of the Aor. 108. II. 1.—neglected 83. n. 6-8; 84. n. 2, 3; 103. m. 2.—syllabic with the temporal 83. n. 5; 84. n. 5-9.—before or after the prep. 86. n. 2-4.—in ιστημι 107. m. 7.—Accent never back of augm. see Accent.

Authority in prosody 7. 11.

Barytones 10.2; 13.n.1. Barytone Verbs 103.—Catalogue of, App. F. Breathings, see Spiritus. Byzantine dialect 1. n. 8.

Casura, App. A. 21 sq.—lengthens a short vowel 7. 15 sq. App. A. 23. Canon of Dawes 139. m. 6, and 46

Case absolute 145. 1 sq. — apparent 145. n. 3 sq.

Cases of verbs put with derived nouns and adj. 130. n. 3.

Catalectic syllable, App. A. 8. Causal clauses, 139. m. 42 and 35 a. Causative signif. of verbs 113. 2;

Changes, see Mutations, Interchange. Characters, abbrev. in writing, 2. n. 2: App. C. p. 466.

Characteristic of Verbs 91 sq.-often diff. from that of their derivatives 92. n. 2. marg.

Circumflex in contractions 28. 6. Circumlocution, see Periphrase.

Cities, names of, gender, 32. 4 sq. as place where, 133. 4. f, and n. 15. -in Gen. 132. 14. b.

Classes of Verbs 91. 4; 100 a. 1. Clauses, whole as object, 130. n. 4. with Infin. and art. 140. 5. c.—de-.

pendent on a prep. 147. n. 4. Common gender 32. n. 2; 60. 3 sq. 62. n. 1.

Commutation, see Interchange, Mutations.

Comparison 65-69.—double 69. n. 3. The Comparative governs the Gen. 132. 11 sq.—signif. too, 123. 7.strengthened 123. n. 8.—double

Composition 120, 121.—with numerals 70. n. 3.—loose and close in verbs 121. 2, 3.—elision in compos. as καββάς etc. 117. n. 2; 120. 3. changes the signif. 130. n. 2, and

Conatus, see De conatu.

Concrete made by neut. sing. 128. 3. See Abstract.

Conditional clauses 139. m. 22.—in Acc. c. Inf. 141. n. 5 .- elliptical, 800 εΐπερ.

Conjunctions, their origin 146. 5 .clauses with, made by Infin. with art. and prep. 140. 5. c. See Particles.

Consequential (echatic) clauses 139.

m. 52 sq.

Consonants, division of, 4.—at the end of words 4.5.—simple instead of double 21 n. 2. See also Doubling, Aspirates, Tenues.

Constructio ad sensum, κατά σύνεσιν, 123. n. 3; 129. 11, and n. 2.with the relat. 143. 5.—with Particip. 144. n. 5.—Personal construction 151. I. 7.

Contraction 28.—double 53.3.—omitted 49. n. 3; 50. n. 3; in dissyl. in έω, 105. n. 2.—of adjectives 60. 5; 63. n. 3.—elision in contr. 28. n. 7.-mixed sound short, 28. n. 10; 53. n. 3.—See also Resolution. Copula agrees with predicate 129.

12 .- omitted 129. 20.

Coronis 15. 3; 29. n. 2. c. Correlatives 78, 116.—in attraction 151. I. 5.

Crasis 29. 2 sq.

Customary, wont, idea expr. by elvan and Gen. 132. n. 13.—by the Impf. 137. 4.—by the Aor. 137. n. 5.

Dative, elision of 4, 30. n. 3.—Sing. and Plur. in compounds 120. n. 4. -Synt. 133.-with subst. 130. n. 3.—for the Gen. 133. n. 9.—With verbs of approach 133. 2. a, b.of setting upon, ib. 2. b.—of command, admiration, entreaty, ib. 2. c.-of censure, reproach, ib. 2. d. -after πρέπει etc. ib. 2. e.—of like

ness, δ αὐτός, etc. ib. 2. f.—of subj. judgment and in parenth. with &s, 133. n. 7. - with compounds instead of a prep. 133.3.—with verbs of motion ib. n. 11.—double 133. 5.—Dat. of instrum. 133. 4. a. with verbs signif. emotion of mind ib. 4. c.—with compar. and superl. ib. 4. d; 123. n. 8. 9.—commodi et incommodi 133. 2. g, and n. 5-10; 131. n. 2.—without σύν for divisions of troops, and with avros, 133. n. 13, 14.—with the Pass. 134. 4. as form of adv. 115. 4.—as marking time 133. 4. e; also place where, ib. 4. f, and n. 15.—with the Inf. with or without ro, 142. 2. b; ib. 3. - with a particip. in attract. 144. 7, and n. 12.—absolute 145. 5; comp. 133. n. 6 sq.—with participles 144. 6. c .- Dat. of participles 133. n. 8; 145. n. 3.—instead of Acc. with καλείν, 131. n. 11. Dawes' canon, see Canon.

Declensions 33 sq.—their original identity 56. n. 7. marg.

De conatu, verbs, 137. n. 10; 144. n. 4.

Defective nouns 56. n. 8; 57.—def. adjectives 64. 4.

Demonstratives 76; 116. 5, 6.—Synt. 127. 1.—strengthened 79. 4; 116. 7.—several strengthen each other 127. 1. c.—in like gender with the subst. 129. 9.—in Neut. sing. and plur. 129. n. 9, 10.—with the art. 124. n. 2; 127. 9.—between art. and subst. 125. n. 2.—flow together with the relat. 127. 1. d; 143. 8.—for the relat. in second clause 143. 7; 151. II. 4.—See also Omission.

Deponents 113. 4, and n. 5.—Pass. ibid.

Derivation 118, 119.—verbs from nouns 119. 2.—of nouns 119. 6 sq.
 —of the Adj. 119. 13 sq.—of Adv.
 119. 15.—by composition 120. 1 sq.
 Desideratives 119. 5.

Desire, verbs of, construction, 132. 10. f.

Diaresis, sign of, 15. 3.

Dialects 1. 1 sq. 16. n. 1; 27. 3 sq. 28. n. 1sq. 34. IV; 35. n. 4; 116. n. 10; 117. n. 1.

Diastole 15. 2.

Digamma, 6. n. 3.—causes a position 7. n. 15 3.—special instances 84.

n. 6; 114 ἄγνυμι marg. ib. ἀνδάνω marg.

Dimeter, App. A. 12.

Diminutives 119.m.61.—gender 32.2. Diphthongs 5.—diphth. and long vowels used as short 7. 16.—improper diphth. 5; 28. 3. 2. a.—absorbed in crasis 29. n. 4.—See also Mized Sound.

Diplasiasmus 21. n. 1; 27. n. 14; 95. n. 5.

Dipode, App. A. 12.

Direct style instead of indirect, 139. m. 69.

Distribution, verbs of, c. dupl. Acc. 131. 5.—c. Gen. et Acc. 131. n. 9. Distributive usage of ἀνά 147. n. 1; els ib. κατά ib. ἐπί ib.

Double Letters 22. — interchanged with kindred single ones 16. n. 1. g.—Double Forms and Themes 56. 5; 67. n. 3 sq. 92. 1 sq. 111, 112. — Double Questions 139. m. 62; in the Subjunct, ib. m. 65.—Double sound, see Resolution. See also Doubling.

Doubling of consonants 21; 27. n. 14; 95. n. 5; 114 ἄγνυμι marg. ib. δεῖσαι marg. 116. n. 10.—not written 27. n. 15 sq.—after a long vowel 27. n. 17.—Doubling of vowels 28. n. 3. 105. n. 10; 114 κραίνω. See also Resolution.

Doubtful vowels 7. 6 sq.
Dropping of a consonant 28. n. 4;
117. n. 2.—of a vowel 28. n. 7;
see Elision.—of the vowel of the
stem 110. 4.—of σ in the 2 pers.
Sing. Pass. 103. m. 17; 107. m. 37.
Dual for Plur. 33. n. 4; 129. 6.—in
verbs, 2 pers. instead of 3d, 87. n. 7.
—Synt. 129. 6 sq. comp. 87. n. 7.—
of subst fem. with adj. mase. 123.

4.—Dual as neut. Plur. 123. n. 4.

Ecbatic or consequential clauses 139. m. 52; comp. m. 35 b.
Elision 28. 3, and n. 7; 30. 1; 34. IV. 5; 53. n. 2; 105. n. 7.—in composit. 120. 2.—not with ν, περί, Dat. sing. and plur. of 3 Decl. στι, and the like, 30. 2, and n. 3, 4.—removes the accent of Prep. 117. n. 4.—in words in κλης, 53. 3.—

in composit. καββάς etc. 117. n. 2.
—See Apostrophe, Aphæresis, Dropping, etc.

Ellipsis 151. IV. See also Omission. Emotion of mind, verbs of, 131. n. 3; 133. 4. c; 147 ἐπί c. dat. p. 417.with a Particip. 144. n. 6 fin. and text 6. Enclitics 14. Entreaty, verbs of, 132. n. 11, 14; 133. 2. c.—with μή 148. 2. c. and text 3. Epenthesis 19. n. 3; see Insertion. Epexegesis 151. VII. Epic language and dialects 1. n. 2, and text 11; 114.6. See Dialects. Epicene gender, Genus epicænum, 32. Etacism, 3. note, marg. Exclamations 132. n. 31; 141. n. 7. Expletives 149. m. 24.

F.

Fear, anxiety, etc. expr. with ὅπως, μή, ὅτι, εί, ώστε, and Infin. 139. Feminines with masc. see Dual. Final letters 4.5.—movable, v, s, etc. 26.—Final clauses 139. m. 45; compare ib. m. 35 a; 144. 2. Formation of words, see Derivation. Forms, double, 56. 2 sq.—simpler of subst. 56. n. 8.—various of adjectives 64. Fractions, ήμι-, etc. 71. n. 2. Frequentatives 119. m. 14. Future retains the short vowel 95. n. 3.—Mid. instead of Act. 113. 5; instead of Pass. 113. 6.—Synt. Fut. Indic. after el, 139. m. 23; after a relat. 139. m. 34; after ὅπως instead of Imperat. 139. m. 46; after οὐ μή, 139. m. 6, 46 marg.—with ấu 139. m. 12.—Fut. exactum 138. 4; 139. 16.—Periphrase with μέλλεω 137. n. 11. Fut. Attic 95. 7.—Doric 95. n. 17. Fut. 2, 95. 10; 114 δάω 4. marg Fut. 3, 99.—Synt. 138.

G. Gender, rules of, 32.—of 3 Decl. 38.

—of a part the same as of the whole 132. n. 3; of the predicate 129. 9-11 sq.—Genus epicanum 32. n. 3.

Genitive, Attic 51. 2, and n. 3; 52. n. 2.—as form of adv. 115 n. 3.—

Genitive, Attic 51. 2, and n. 3; 52. n. 2.—as form of adv. 115 n. 3.—
Synt. Position with the art. 125. 1,.
2, and n. 2.—Gen. with \(\tau_6\), \(\tau_6\) oi, etc. ib. 5, and n. 6.—of country with

cities 132. 2.—partitive 132. 5; in distribution 131. n. 9; position 125. n. 2.—Gen. of time and place 132. 5. b; ib. 14. b.—of separation after verbs and adj. 132. 4.—after ina, ποῦ, ποῖ, εἰς τοῦτο, τοσοῦτο, etc. 132. n. 6.—after the idea capable of, etc. 132. 10. d.—with εἶναι 132. 5. c, and n. 13.—of price, etc. 132. 10. c.—after Comparatives 132. 11.—for περί 132. n. 29.—in exclam. and protestat. ib. n. 31.—special examp. Θέειν πεδίοιοι, etc. ib. n. 28. marg.—εὖ εἶδώς τινος ib. 10. d; ἄγειν χειρός, etc. ib. n. 10.—Gen. for Dat. μου for μοι, 133. n. 10; comp. 145. n. 1. 9.

Genitive of object, after subst. 132. 1 sq. 9; after verbs and adj. 132. 10. of quantity, quality, degree, ibn. 5, and text 7.—partitive with verbs, etc. 132. 5. c, d, e.-with the idea of partaking, ib. d; and of entreating, λίσσομαι, ίκετεύω, ib. n. 11; δεῖσθαι 132. 10. a.—Gen. of material 132. 7.—possessive, ib. causal 132. 8.-With words signif. fullness or want ib. 10. a .--worth or the opp. ib. 10. b.—remembering or forgetting ib. 10. d. -care for, admire, contemn, spare, ib. 10. e.—accuse and condemn, ib. g.—with verbs of sense, perceiving, etc. ib. 10. h.—enjoy, profit, ib. 10. i.—with superlatives 132. n. 23.—surpass, rule, and opp. 132. 12.-after adj. and Particip. e. g. in ikós, with a priv. 132. 13, and n. 27.—with ως, πως ἔχει, etc. ib. n. 28.—with subst. omitted, ib. n. 30. -absolute in beginning of a clause, ib. n. 29.—double, ib. n. 14. Genitive of a Subst. with pron. possess. 127. n. 13.—in apposit. with an adj. ib. marg.—in answer to the question whence? 132. 3. - after neuter pronouns 132. n. 5.

Genitive with Particip. 144. 6. c.— Gen. of the Infin. (Evera), 405. 5. c.—with the Infin. 142. 2. b.—Gen. in main clause instead of subject in minor clause 151. I. 6.

Genitive absolute 145. 2, and n. 7. laxly instead of the particip. alone, 145. n. 1, 8.—instead of Dat. 145. n. 2; 133. n. 10.—without subject expr. 145. 4, and n. 9.—from an impers. verb, 145. n. 9 sq.—from verbs Pass. as δηλωθέντος, σημανθέντων, 145. 3, and n. 10.—with ώς, 145. n. 7.

Gentile nouns 119. m. 49 sq.

Gerund made by Infin. 140. 3.

Grave accent 9. n. 1; 13. 2, and n. 1.

H. Hearing, verbs of, constr. 132. 10. h.

Heteroclites 56. 5, and n. 4 sq.
Hexameter, App. A. 10.
Hiatus 29. with Hom. digamma 6.
n. 3.—after \(\tau_i\), \(\tilde{\ellits}\), etc. 29. n. 1;
comp. 30. n. 3.
Hyperbaton 151. III. 1; comp. App.
D. p. 469.
Hypercatalectic verse, App. A. 8.
Hypodiastole 15. 2.

Hypothetic clauses, see Conditional.

I.

Ictus 7. 15 sq. App. A. 20. Imitative verbs 119. m. 13. Immediate signification 113. 2; 135. n. 1.

Imperative 88. 6—in 94, see 91.—of the Fut. 96. n. 9 .- 3 Plur. 103. m. 15.-2 pers. for 3 pers. with πâs etc. 129. n. 13.-in Plur. instead of Sing. 129. n. 13 .- in Sing. instead of Plur. ib.—Dual 129. 6.— Perf. Act. and Pass. 137. n. 13.-Pres. and Aor. 137. 5.—in relat. clauses and after ort, 139. m. 36.after &ore, 139. m. 55 marg.-with negation 148. 3 .- Periphrases for, 103. 15.-made by ou and 2 pers. Fut. Ind. 137. n. 6.—by \(\tau i \) où; ib. -by ὅπως, 139. m. 46.—by 1 pers. Plur, and Sing. of the Subj. 139. m. 3.—by the Subj. with $\mu \dot{\eta}$, ib. m. 4.—by the Opt. and Opt. with αν, ib. m. 7, 15.—with φθάνειν, 150. m. 37.-by the Infin. 140. 7.-by the Acc. c. Inf. 141. n. 6.—omitted 129. 20.

Imperfect, Synt. 137. 2, and n. 7 marg.

—Impf. and Pres. de conatu 137.
n. 10.—impl. what is customary,
wont, 137. 4.—with dv, 139. m. 12,
28, 29.—instead of Aor. 2, 96. n. 3.

—instead of Plupf. 139. m. 29.—
in clauses of wishing 139. m. 8.
Impersonals, 129. 18.—in the Particip. 145. n. 9, 10.—in the Infin.

Inchastive verbs 112. n.7; 119. m.14. Inclination of the accent 14. 1 sq.

Indefinite pronoun 77; see 71s, 71, in Greek Index.

Indicative in the indirect style and parenthetic clauses 139. m. 23, 34, 69.—Preterite without ἄν, as χρῆν. ἔδει, etc. 139. m. 13.

Indirect style, see Oratio.

Infinitive 88.7.—of contr. verbs 105. 4.—in val, accent, 103. m. 6.—Aor. 2, 103. m. 4.—in μεν, μεναι, ib. m. 33.—in εν, ην, ειν, ib. m. 34.—in ais, ois, 105. n. 18. Infinitive with and without art. 140. 1 sq. see Article.—as subject 129. 18.—with av, 139. m. 18, 54.—after &στε, δσος, olos, 139. m. 53 sq. 140. 4.—after demonstr. without ωστε 127. 1. e; 140. n. 3, 5; comp. 132. n. 22.as neut. subst. 140. 5 sq.—Act. instead of Pass. 140. 2.—as object, ib. 5. b.—after a prep. ib. 5. c.—in oblique cases, ib. n. 9-11.-without art. after subst. adj. or prep. ib. n. 8.—instead of Imperat. 140. 7; 141. n. 6.—Pres. instead of Aor. 141. n. 1. - Aor. instead of Fut. 140. n. 1.—after őri, ús, see őri. with relat. and conjunct. 141, n. 5. -expr. entreaty, command, 141. n. 6.—instead of Acc. c. Inf. 141. 3; 142. n. 4.—in parenthetic clauses 140. n. 4.-with other subst. ad juncts, Inf. epexegeticus, 140. n. 2, 11.—in apposit. with a subst. 140. n. 6 .- after the idea of fearing, etc. 139. m. 50.

Infinitive in Gen. with τοῦ, 140. n. 10, 11.—with τό instead of τοῦ, ib. n. 9, 10.—in oaths, see ħ μήν.—absolute 140. n. 4.—Fut. and Aor. after μλλλειν, ἐλπίζειν, etc. 137. n. 11; 139. m. 18; 140. 1. c.—Attraction of cases with Infinit. 142. 2 sq.—near adjuncts with the Infin. 140. 5, 6; 141. 1.—with negatives 148. 2. g.—omitted 151. IV. 8.—with Nom. or Acc. see Nominative, Accusative. Infinitive and Particip. as complement of a verbal idea, 140. n. 7;

144. 6, and marg. Inseparable particles 120. 5.

Insertion of conson. 19. n. 1. — of a vowel, as ε, 28. n. 3.—in αὐτός, 74. n. 1.

Interchange of consonants in the dialects 16. n. 1.—of forms in declension 56. 2. See Mutations, Metaplasm, etc.

Interjections 115. n. 7. Interrogatives, direct and indir. 17.2; 78. 1-3; 79. 2-5.—Synt. 127. 5 sq. 139. m. 62 sq.—with art. 124. n. 3. -Interrog. clauses, direct and indir. 139. m. 62 sq.—several in one clause 127. 5. Intransitives 113. n. 1; 130. n. 2.with an object 131.1-3. See Verbs. Inversion 151. III; 143. 14.—of the subst. in relative constructions

143. 19 See Transposition. Ionics sometimes contract 28.5; 105.

Itacism, 3. note, marg. Iterative forms of verbs 83. n. 8; 103. m. 11; 105. n. 12; 107. m. 34.

Labials, changed into μ , 23. 1. Latin words in Greck 3. n. 1.-Lat. mode of writing Greek words 3.2; Lengthening of vowels 27. n. 15, 16; 28. 3 sq. 54. n. 2.—of the stemvowel in verbs in $\mu \iota$, 106. 7, and n. 7; 110. n. 1. See also Long. Let, expr. by Mid. 135. 8. ' Linguals before liquids, changes, 24. 1. - sometimes unchanged before μ, 24. 1; 98. n. 5. Liquids doubled 7. n. 15; 83. n. 2. Local endings σι, σιν, 26. 3.—φι, φιν, 56. n. 9. Long syllables by casura 7. n. 15. before double consonants 27. n. 17. —long by nature 7. 6.

Masculine forms with subst. fem. 123.

Macedonian dialect 1. n. 8.

n. 1; see also Dual.—Masc. Plur. with fem. Sing. 129. n. 14. Material, Gen. of, 132. 6. Metaplasm 56. 5, and n. 7 sq. Metathesis 110. 11, and n. 7. Transposition. Middle 89. 1 sq.—Synt. intrans. and transit. 135. Minor clauses in Infin. 141. n. 5. Mixed Sound or diphth. in contr. verbs 105. n. 15, 16.—in verbs in μι, 106. 5.—short 28. n. 10. Monosyllables, accent of, 11.3; 43.

2. 2.—quantity 41. n. 3; 42. n. 2. -omit contraction 50. n. 3. Moods, of the Perf. and Fut. 137. n.

12, 13.—after primary and histor.

tenses 139. m. 9 sq. comp. m. 67. -their relations of time 137.5.-See Subjunctive, Optative, etc. Movable final letters 26.

Mutations of the consonants 16.—of vowels 27.—in particles 117. Mutes before liquids; no position 7. 9, and n. 13, 15.—in reduplication .83. n. 1. a.

Names, proper in vos from vovs, 60. 5. a. marg.—with and without art. 124. 3.—Names of cities 32. 4, and n. 4.—of animals 32. n. 3.—of trees ib. 4.—of rivers, months, ib. 3. Nasal sounds 4, 4.—inserted 112. 10. Nedum 150. m. 3, 6. Negatives, see οὐ, μή, etc.—double 148.6.—accumulated, destroy each other, or also strengthen each other, ib. n. 6-8. 151. VI. 1.-after negat. verbs 148. n. 9. Neuter, of the adj. 60, 62.—supplied 63. n. 3.—Synt. 128.—as adv. 115. 4; 128. n. 4; 129. n. 5.—of the compar. and superlat. as adv. 115. 5.—of the art. 125. n. 6; 128. n. 2; see Periphrase, also τό, τά, etc. -of the adjective as predicate 129. 8.—Plur. with sing. 129. 3.—Plur. as predicate instead of sing. 129. n. 9; 134. n. 3.—Plur. referred to persons 129. n. 10; to abstracts 129. 8, and n. 11.—Plur. with several subjects 129. 4 sq.-Plur. of adj. instead of a subst. idea 128. 3. -of Pron. in Acc. instead of Gen and Dat. with verbs 131. 8. Neuter verbs and predicates con-strued personally 151. I. 7. Nominative, its formation 40-42.-Synt. 129 a.—for the Voc. in apposit. ib.—for the Voc. after καί, ib. -with the Infin. 142. 2. a.—double 131. 6.-Nom. of the relat. suffers attract. 143. 13 marg.-with Inf. instead of Acc. c. Inf. 142. n. 3.with Inf. c. τό, τοῦ, τῷ, ὥστε, 142. 3, 4.-Nom. of the Particip. 144. n. 5; ib. 6. a.—Nom. absolute 145.

Numerals 70, 71.—Numeral signs 2.

n. 4. See also Anacoluthon.

n. 3, 4.

Object, not expressed 130. n. 2.—common, expressed only once 130. n. 1; 143. 7.—remoter 130. 2; passes over into the Nom. in Pass. and Mid. 134. 7; 135. 6.—new object with the Mid. 135. 5.—with λείαν ποιεῖαθαι, etc. 131. n. 7; comp. 145. n. 6.—in main clause instead of subject in minor clause 151. I. 6.—with Intransitives, see Accusative. Objective clauses, i. e. which express an object, 130. n. 4.

Omission, of the art. see Article.—of a subst. between the art. and Gen. 125. 5 sq. 132. n. 30.—with adjectives 123. 5 sq.—of the copula 129. 20; 143. 9 sq.—of the demonstr. 127. 1. d; comp. 130. 5. 143. 7; causes attraction 143. 13, and marg.—of the object 130. 5, and n. 2.—of the subject with Acc. c. Inf. 141. 3; comp. 144. 6. a.—of the apodosis 151. V. 2.—of the predicate 143. n. 3.—of the prepositions. See also Ellipsis.

Optative, formation 88. 5.—3 pers. in os and as, accent 103. m. 7.—in οίην, φήν, 103. m. 13; 105. n. 4.—in ύην, ύμην, 107. m. 33; 110. n. 1.—Aor. in εια 103. m. 14.—Perf. 98. 4; 103. m. 13; 137. n. 12.—Synt. 139. m. 1, 7 sq.—as expr. wish, command, ib. m. 7.—with ἄν for the Fut. ib. m. 15.—after primary tenses ib. m. 48, 67.—after ἐπειδάν, ὅταν, ib. m. 68.—in orat. obl. ib. m. 67.—with the idea of repetition, ib. m. 39.—Perf. and Fut. 137. n. 12.—with μή, 148. 3, and 2. c.—instead of Inf. in orat. obl. 139. m. 69.

Oratio obliqua 141.2 sq.—passes over into the direct style 139. m. 69. Ordinal numbers in Acc. 131.9, and n. 15; 128. n. 6. Orthotone 14.7.

P.

Parathesis 121, 2,

Parenthetic clauses in orat. obl. 139. m. 69.

Part in like gender with the whole, as της γης ή ἀρίστη, 132. n. 3.—in like case with the whole 132. n. 4, comp. 131. n. 10.

Parts of speech 31.—names in Greek with art. 125. n. 7.

Participles, form 88. 8; accent 103. m. 8, 9.—in εώs, 110. n. 6. c.— Pres. oxytone 108. V. 7.—Perf. fem. in σa 110. 10.—Perf. with pres. form 111. 2, and n. 2.—Symt. Dual instead of Plur. 129. 6.—in diff. gender and number 129. 11.—with av, 139. m. 17; 144. 6. a, and n. 14.—with case changed 142. n. 1; 144. n. 5.—depend on other Particip. 144. 4.—Neuter 145. n. 10. 2; instead of abstract 128. 3.—Part. and Inf. as complement of a verbal idea 144. 6; comp. 139. m. 17.

Participles in Sing. with several subjects 129. 10.—in neut. plur. ib. n. 11.—constr. κατὰ σύνεσιν, ad sensum, 129. 11, and n. 2; 144. n. 5.—c. Gen. (συμφέρου.) 132. n. 26.—in Nom. for another case 145. n. 4.—in Nom. for Dat. 144. n. 9.—absolute, see Case absolute.

Participle of the Aorist, relation of time, 137. 6; 144. n. 3.—of the Fut. 144. 3.—of the Aor. instead of Pres. 144. n. 3.-Pres. instead of Aor. and Fut. 144. n. 3, 4.-Pres. de conatu, 137. n. 10; 144. n. 4.—Pres. continued and simultaneous 137. 6; 144. n. 3; 145. 2.-Pres. with $\epsilon \pi i$, 145. n. 5.—Lat. Fut. Pass. Part. by the Inf. 140. 3. Participles, several successive ones without rai, 144.4.—Part. contains the main action, instead of the verb 144. 5.—connected with particles 144. 8 sq.—serves in periphrases 144. 9. - has declinable adjuncts in same case 144. 7.—in the various oblique cases 144. n. 5, 8, 9.—case of the Part. attracted 144. n. 11.—Part. itself attracted 144. n. 12.—seemingly redundant 144. n. 19; 150. m. 34, 40-42.—with negatives 148. 2. f.

Participial construction in Dat. 133. n. 6, 8; 145. n. 3.— ἐμοὶ βουλομένο, etc. 133. n. 6.—Gen. absol. see Genitive.—in connection with other constructions 144. 4, and n. 5.— elliptical turns in a main clause, in relative and other minor clauses, 151. IV. 5, 9.

Particles, copulative, omitted in enumerations 151. IX. 2.—between two Particip. 144. 4.—where the predicate stands first 151. IX. 2.—Inseparable particles, 120. 5, and n. 10-13.—expletive 149. 2.—δέ, τέ, etc. between art. and subst. 125. 9.—between prep. and subst. 147

n. 3.—between prep. and art. 126.
n. 3.—modified by the mood 139.
m. 21.—with Participles 144. 8 sq.
Passive, natural 89. 2.—Synt. 134.—
personal constr. 151. I. 7.—Passive
tenses in Deponents 113. n. 6.—
Pass. signif. with Act. form 113.
n. 4.

Patronymics 119. m. 55. — Vocat. 34. 5.

Paulopost Future, see Future 3.

Pentameter, App. A. 11.

Perceiving, verbs of, 132. 10. h.—
with παρά, 147 παρά c. Gen.—in
Pres. 137. n. 7, 8.—with Particip.
144. 6.

Perfect 81. 4, 5; 97; 137. 1.—not yet aspirated in Hom. 97. n. 6.— little used by him, ib.—in yea, 102. a. 9, and marg; see also Periphrase.—with signif. of Pass. 113. 7, and n. 3. II; 107. m. 22.—takes also a pres. form and accent 111. 2; 108. II. 3, marg.—Syncopated 97. n. 7; 107. m. 23; 110. 9, 10.—gives rise to new themes 111. 1, 2.—without redupl. 83. n. 9.—moods of, 137. n. 12.

Perfect 2 of verbs in έω, άω, 97. n. 4.
—not Perf. Middle 89. n. 2; 113.
n. 3. II.

Perfect Pass. accent 103. m. 5.—without augm. 84. n. 3.—without redupl. 110. 8, and marg.—3. plur. Pass. 103. m. 20 sq.—as Perf. Mid. 136. 3

Periphrase, in numerals 70. n. 1, 3 and marg. 71. n. 1.—of the Perf. Act. 97. n. 6.—of the 3 plur. Perf. Pass. 98. 2.—of the Opt. and Subj. Perf. Pass. 98. 4.—of the Opt. and Subj. Perf. Act. 137. n. 12.—of the Fut. with μέλλειν, 137. n. 11.—of the Fut. exactum 138. 4.— with the art. 125. n. 6.—of a pr. name with περί, etc. 150. m. 25.—of a preterite with ξχω, 144. n. 18.— with the Particip. 144. 9, and n. 16-18.

Person, see the articles -σαι, -ει, -αται, -ντι, etc. in Greek Index.

Personal pronouns, omitted as subject 129. 15.—in Acc. with Inf. and Part. instead of Nom. 141. n. 4; 142. n. 3; 144. n. 8.—in Nom. instead of Acc. with the Inf. 141. n. 4; 142. n. 3.—of 3 pers. when used 127. n. 4.—periphrase 128. n. 2.

Personal construction, with δῆλος εἰμί, λέγομαι, etc. 144. 6. a; 151. I. 7. Pleonasm 151. VI.

Pluperfect Act. Ion. and Att. forms in εa and η, 103. m. 12.—3 Plur. Pass. 103. m. 22.—has in Pass. same form with Aor. 2, 110. n. 4.—with signif. of Impf. 113. 7; of Aor. 110. 8 marg. 137. n. 1.—without syllab. Augm. 83. n. 7.—made by Aor. or Impf. 137. n. 1.—with du, 139. m. 12. b; 139. m. 28.

Plural instead of Sing. in pronouns 72. n. 6. 10; ib. n. 7.—in dialogue—129. n. 13.—with collectives, ib. n. 12.—in direct address instead of Sing. ib. n. 13.—Plur. masc. with fem. Sing. ib. n. 14.

Position, 7. 8, 14—by mid. mutes before λ, μ, ν, 7. 10.—by the Digamma, 6. n. 3; 7. n. 15.—Positio debilis 7. 9.

Positive instead of Comparative 139. m. 56; 149. m. 7.

Possession expr. by the Gen. 132. 7.
Possessives 127. 7.—periphrase of, ibid.—with art. see Pronoun.—for the objective Gen. of pers. 132. n. 1.—not expressed 127. 8.—with ró for personal pron. 128. n. 2.

Predicate without art. 129. 2.—also stands first, ibid.—in Neut. of the adj. 129. 8.—in diff. gender and number from the subject 129. 11, and n. 12 sq.—in Plur. instead of Sing. 129. n. 5.—in Sing. instead of Plur. 129. 5, and n. 4.—omitted 143. n. 3; 151. IV. 3.

Prepositions 115. 2.—Synt. 147.—Accent 117. 3 sq.—stand without case 147. n. 5.—adverbial, ib.—before pron. pers. 72. n. 3.—omitted? 130. 4; 131. 7; 132. 3; 132. 10. c; 133. 2. a; 140. n. 10.—are abridged, κάγ, etc. 117. n. 2.—separated from their case, 147. n. 7; soe Tmesis.—improper, 146. 4, and n. 2 sq.—Compounds with prep. 121. 2; 147. n. 5–8; govern the cases of their prep. 133. 3; 147. n. 9.

Prepositions, put only once with two ideas 147. n. 2.—omitted before relative and like clauses, ibid.—before the participial construction 145. n. 5.—govern whole clauses 147. n. 4.—repeated alone instead of a compound verb 147. n. 7;

comp. 117. 3.—with Infin. 140. 5. c; see Infinitive.

Present, strengthened form, 92. 1 sq. 112. 1 sq. 119. 4.—synon. with Perf. 113. 7 sq.—Indic. Synt. 137. n. 7; Præs. historicum, ib.— with signif. of the Perf. as ηκω, etc. 137. n. 8.—Pres. and Impf. de conatu, ib. n. 10; comp. 144. n. 4.—instead of other tenses 137. n. 8.

Preterite, Indic. in clauses of wishing 139 m 8—with ημ 12.

Preterite, Indic. in clauses of wishing 139. m. 8.—with av, ib. m. 12, 28.—without av, ib. m. 13, 30 b, 51.

Proclitics 13. n. 3.

Prolongation, see Lengthening. Pronouns, see Demonstrative, Relative, Personal, Adverbial, etc.—As predicate, gender, 129. 10 sq.—

often have the art. 124. n. 2-4.—in Acc. instead of other cases 131. 8, and n. 12.—not inserted 130. 5.

Proper names, see Names.
Property, expr. by the Gen. 132. 7.

Prosthesis 19. n. 4. Protasis with Infin. 141. n. 6.

Protestation, forms of, 151. III. 6. Punctuation 15. 1 sq.

Punctuation 15. 1 sq.
Pure vowels 28. 1.—verbs 91. 4.
Purpose expressed by Inf. c. τοῦ et τό, 140. n. 10; comp. 132. 8.—Verbs of, with foll. Inf. 140. 1.—See Γνα, etc.

Q.

Quality, expr. by the Gen. 132.7. Quantity, 7.1.—by authority 7.11. of syllables in the poets 7.12 sq.—of the penult in Gen. 41.n.1; 42. 3 sq.—of the last syllable in Nom. 41. n. 2; 42. 2. Quivis, how made, 143. n. 7.

R.

Reciprocal pronouns 74. 4.—recipr. signif of the Mid. 135. 7.

Reduplication of the Perf. 82. 4 sq.—falls away 110. 8, marg.—of the Pres. 112. 13; 114 $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, marg.—of the Aor. 82 ult. 83. n. 10; 85. n. 4.—in verbs in $\mu\iota$, 106. 6.—Att. redupl. 85; in Aor. 2, 85. n. 3, and marg. in Pres. 112. 13; in subst. 119. m. 24.

Reflexives 74. 3.—Synt. 127. 3 sq. separated in Hom. 74. n. 3.—of 3 pers. for 1 and 2 pers. 127. n. 5. omitted 130. n. 2.—reflex. signif. of Act. forms 130. n. 2; comp. 113. 3 sq.—expr. with Mid. 135. 8.—with Acc. c. Inf. 141. 3 sq.

Relative, in crasis 29. n. 3.—Synt. 127. 6.—construction 139. B. m. 31 sq. 143; 151. I. 2, 5.—agrees with predicate of the same clause 143. 4.—with the art. 125. n. 9.—with the Inf. 141. n. 5.—instead of a demonstr. 143. 6; comp. 139. m. 35 a, οία μ' ξοργας.—for two clauses 143, 7, 8.

Relative clauses 143; 139. B.—with causal signif. 139. m. 35 a. - instead of &ore, ib. m. 35 b. - in Opt. impl. repetition, ib. m. 39 .person of the verb with the relat. 143. 2; after Vocat. ib. n. 1; after several subst. ib. 3.—constr. κατὰ σύνεσιν, ib. 5.—omission of the copula and of the verb 143.9, 16; 151. IV. 5.—Place of the relat. 143, 10.—with foll. ὅτι οτ γάρ, 143. 11. -elliptical turns in relat. clauses 143. 7; 151. IV. 5.—they take the antecedent in themselves 143. 12. -Attraction 143. 14; 151. I. 4; also with the relat. in the Nom. 143. 13 marg. and 16; and with demonstr. expr. 143. n. 4.—Attr. of the antecedent noun or pron. 143. 17.—Relat. clause with prep. 147. n. 2.—with negative 148. 2. f. instead of subst. 127. 1. d.

Repetition, particles of, and clauses, 139. m. 39.

Resolution of long sounds 28. n. 2.—
of the Subjunct. in verbs in μ, 107.
m. 40.—in δέω, 114 δέω fail.

Resolution into the double sound, 105.

n. 10.—of a vowel 28. n. 3.—of the Inf. είν into έειν, 95. n. 11; 103.

m. 4 marg. m 38.—of the Subjunct.

Aor. Pass. in έω, είω, 103. m. 38.—
of the diphth. in verbs in άω, όω, and in μι, in opic writers 105. n. 10, 11; 107. m. 40.

Rhythm, App. A. 4. Rivers, names of, gender, 32. 3.—

Synt. 124. 3. Root 39. 1, and n. 1; 91. m. 2.

S.

Sampi, figure, 2, n. 3 and marg. App. B. Schema Alemanicum 129. n. 5.—Pindaricum 129. n. 6.—καθ' δλον καὶ μέρος 131. n. 10; 133. 5. Secondary forms, short, as κρί, δώ, etc. 57. n. 3; 64. n. 3. 5.—Secondary clauses, see Minor.

Self, 127. 2.

Semivowels 4. 3 marg. 21. 1.

Senarius, App. A. 15; comp. 1. 10; 7. n. 15.

Sense, verbs of, constr. 132. 10. h. See Perceiving.

Sermo, see Oratio.

Shifting of the accent, see Accent. Short syllables used as long 7. 14,

15 sq. 27. n. 13.

Shortening of the vowel after Att. redupl. 97. n. 3.—in the Subjunct. 103. m. 39; 107. m. 44.—of 3 pers. Plur. 103. m. 27; 107. m. 39; 110. n. 1.—in Particip. fem. Perf. σεσάρνια, 97, n. 3.

Singular instead of Plur. 129. 3 sq.
—in addressing several 129. n. 13.
Smooth mutes, see Tenues.

So called 144. n. 10.

Spiritus on diphth. 6. 2.—changed in crasis 29. n. 6.—lenis for asper 6. n. 1, 2.—in the digamma makes a position 6. n. 3; 7. n. 15.—passes over into σ, 112. 17; 114 επω, marg.

Stem 33. 6 sq. 39. 1, and n. 1; 92. 2-7.—Stem-vowel in verbs in μ, 106. 2.

Stigma 2. n. 2, marg.

Strengthening of demonstratives 79. 4; 116.7. See also Superlatives.

Style, indirect, see Oratio.

Subject 129. init.—omitted 129. 14, 15; with Acc. c. Inf. and Particip. 141. 3; 142. 2; 144. n. 8; with Gen. absolute 145. 4.—several, number 129. 4, 5; gender 129. 9, 10.—Subj. in Acc. c. Inf. is put against rule in Acc. 141. n. 4; in Nom. ib. and 142. 4.—of the foll. verb as object of the preced. 151. I. 6.

Subjunctive, formation of 88.—in έω, είω, 3 p. ηη, etc. 103. m. 38.—shortens the mood-vowel 103. m. 39.—in ωμι, 103. m. 37.—Subj. and Opt. of verbs in νμι and of the Aor. in ννι 107. m. 33; 110. 6, and n. 1.—Aor. Pass. 103. m. 38.—Perf. Pass. 98. 4.—periphrase for Perf. Act. 137. n. 12.—Synt. of Subjunct. 139. m. 1 sq.—delib. v. dubitat. 139. m. 2, 63.—adhortat. 139. m. 3.—for the Fut. 139. m. 6.—after οὐ μή, ibid.

—in indirect questions ib. m. 62, 63. —with $\tilde{a}\nu$, ib. m. 14.—Aor. with $\tilde{a}\nu$ for Fut. exact. ib. m. 16.—after historical tenses ib. m. 45, 67.—with $\mu\dot{\gamma}$ ib. m. 50; 148. 4.

Subjunct. and Opt. in one clause in Hom. 139. m. 7, 14 marg.—Subjunct. and Fut. in one clause ib.

Substantives, derivation, 119. 6 sq.—
with Dat. or Acc. of verb 130. n. 3.
—stand as adj. 63. n. 7; 123. n. 1.
—are compared 69. 3.—With two
attrib. adjuncts and the art. 125.
n. 3 a.—represented by clauses
127. 1. d; 130, n. 4.—by the Inf.
140. 5 sq.—See Omission.

Superlative strengthened 123. n. 9.

Supine in u, 140. 2.

Swearing, verbs of, constr. 131. 2. Syllables, division into, 120. n. 9. See also Long and Short.

Synalæphe 28. n. 6.

Syncope 47.—in conjugat. 110. 1-10.
—as basis of the form in μ, 106. n.
6 sq. See Elision, Perfect, Aorist.
Syncephonesis 29. n. 11.
Syncis, see Constructio κατὰ σύνεσιν.
Synciss 28. n. 6: 29. n. 11.

Synizesis 28. n. 6; 29. n. 11. Synthesis 121. 3.

Т.

Technical words and names, App. D. Telic or final clauses 139. m. 45 sq. Tenses, primary and historical 81.5; 87. 3, and n. 5; 93.—with the moods 139. m. 9, 45 sq. 67.—usual and unusual 104.

Tenues for aspirates 17. n. 1; 21. 3. That, clauses with, made by στι, ως, etc. 139. m. 58-60. — by Acc. c. Inf. 141. 2, and n. 2.—by Particip. 144. 6.—by στε 139. m. 59.—by εἰ, ib. m. 60.

Themes 92. 6.—double, ib.—unusual, ib. 5.—new 111.—difference of themes 112. 1 sq.—Verbs made up from several themes 112. 18.

Thesis 7. n. 15; App. A. 20. Thessalian Genitive 35, n. 4.

Tmesis 147. n. 7; comp. 117. 3; 147. n. 3.

Transitive and intrans. in the same verb, 130. n. 2; 131. 3.

Transposition of letters 30. n. 2; 110. 11 sq.—βάρδιστος, etc. 67. n. 3.— Aor. 2, 96. n. 7.—of the accent, see Accent.

Trees, names of, gender, 32. 4 sq. Trimeter, App. A. 12.

U.

Union-vowel 87. 4 sq.—omitted in verbs in μ, 106. 3; comp. n. 6 sq.—Syncope of it 110. 5, 6.

V.

Verbs pure, contracted, liquid, perispomena (circumflex), barytone, 91. 4; 103. 2; 105.—Intrans. and transit. differ from Immediate and Causat. 113. n. 1.—Intrans. with Acc. see Accusative. See also Predicate.

Verbals in wes 132. 13; comp. 130. n. 3.—in rece. rdc. see Adjective.

Predicate.
Verbals in mós 132. 13; comp. 130.
n. 3.—in réos, rós, see Adjective.
Versification, App. A. 1 sq.
Vocative, in a from ys, 34. 5.—quan-

tity 34. n. II. 4.—like the Nom. 35. n. 2; 45. 1 sq.—of the Particip. 45. 1.—Synt. 129 a.—instead of Nom. as predicate, ib. n. 2. Vowels, doubtful, ancipites, 7. 3, and n. 2.—pure 28. 1.—long and diphth. when short 7. 16 sq.—See also Doubling, Lengthening, Shortening.

W.

Whole, see Part.
Wishing, clauses of, 139. m. 8; 141.
n. 6; 148. 2. c; ib. n. 7; 149. m.
1. ult.
Wont, see Customary.
Writing, ancient mode of, 27. n. 14;
117. n. 2, marg.

Z. Zeugma, 151. VIII.

GREEK INDEX.

NOTE. This Index is not intended to supersede the necessity of constant reference to the Catalogues of Barytone, Contracted, and Deponent Verbs, in App. F; as also to the Catalogues of Irregular Nouns and Verbs in § 58, and § 114. The forms there given and explained are for the most part not included in the present Index.—The figures refer to the sections and subdivisions.

ἀγοράζειν c. gen. 132. 10. c. a Dor. for η , 27. n. 5; 34. IV. 1. 4; *ἀγοραίος* as adv. 123. 6. φιλάσω 95. n. 8.—Ion. into ε, 27. аўры, аўрыты, 115. n. 7. n. 9; 105. n. 8.—alternate, see άγροισι τυγχάνει, 133. n. 15; 144. $m{Alternate}.$ ā for η, 27. n. 5; 34. IV. 1, 4; 95. άγυιεύς, 53. 2. n. 7.—after ρ , ϵ , ι , 34. 2; 53. 1; 60. 2, 6; 95. 5, and n. 6; 101. 4; άγχι, ἀσσον, etc. 67. n. 7; 115. 7. c. gen. 146. 4; comp. 133. 4. e. 110. n. 1, 2 ă for η, 27. n. 6; 97. n. 3 ἀρἄρυῖα. άδαής c. gen. 132. 10. d. άδελφιδέ 36. marg. ă and o before e into as and os, 27. ἄδην, ἄδην, c. gen. 132. 10. a. ἄδης, "Αιδης, 5. 2.—εls ἄδου 125. 5; a impr. diphth. 5. 2. a privative 120. 5 sq. comp. 26. n. 2. 132. n. 30. with adj. fem. 60. 4.—Adj. with άδικείν c. acc. 131. 2.—c. dupl. acc. a priv. take the gen. 132. n. 27. 131. n. 12. a intensive 120. n. 11.—copulative, άδικος άδικίαν 131. n. 6. αδύνατος, c. ώστε 140, 4. — αδύνατον -a, quantity, 34. II. 1-4; 43. n. 3; sc. őv, 145. n. 10. 2.—dőúvará éora, long in acc. sing. 52; in adj. fem. 129. n. 9. 60. 2.—As ending of acc. sing. indeί, aleί, 117. 2.—δ deί, 150. m. 29. -άζω, flexion 92. 10, and n. 3; 95. 6 stead of ν ($\beta \delta a$) 44. 2, and n. 2. of adv. 115. n. 3.-of subst. 119. sq. — derivat. and signif. 104. 3; 119.2; ib. m. 14. See -αία. m. 24. -ă in neut. plur. of words in os, τà ἀηδών 56. n. 7. δεσμά, etc. 56. 6. άθάνατος 7. n. 14. -aa in hypothet. Perf. 97. n. 7. 'Aθηνά 34. n. 1.—sec. forms 56. n. 🕽. -aθον, -άθειν, verbs, 112. 12. <u>ἀάατος</u> 114 ἀάω.—ἄατος 114 ἄω. δγαμαι constr. see θαυμάζω. άθρόος, ἄθρους, 60. n. 1, 5.—άθρόος κα ayay in compos. 25. n. 3. adv. 123. 6. άγανακτείν, see Emotion. άθυμεῖν πράγμασι, 133. 4. 6. άγαπῶν c. acc. 132. 10. f, marg.—with -at, elided 30. n. 5.—three like verbal Particip. 144. n. 6.—with el, 139. forms in -a, 103. m. 7, marg.--a: and -o: short 11.7; exceptions άγγελλομαι in pers. constr. 151. Ι. 7. ib. n. 3. aye, for plur. 115. n.7; 129. n. 13. al γάρ, αΐθε, αΐ κε, 117. n. 1; 139. m. before 1 pers. Subj. 139. m. 3 .άγε δή, 149. m. 28. αί κε πίθηται, τύχωμι, 139. m. 66. äγεω and compounds, signif. 130. n. äidos etc. 56. n. 8.—diδόσδε 116. n. 3. 2.—χειρός 132. n. 10.—äyw, with, -aiη instead of η, 56. n. 2. 150. m. 33; comp. 144. n. 3. alkīa 7. n. 10.

-aiva subst. fem. 119. m. 46. αλνύθεν αλνώς 151. VI. 2. -aίνω, verbs in, flexion 101. 4, and n. 2.—derivat. and signif. 104. 3; 119. m. 2, 9.—from verbs in άω and w, 112. 10, 11. aios, adj. in, constr. 123. 6. alρείν constr. 132. 10. g.—alρείσθαι Mid. and Pass. 135. n. 3; 136. n. 3. αίρειν and compounds, signif. 130. n. 2. -aίρω, verbs in, flexion, 101. n. 2. -ais, ending of Particip. and Inf. -aioa Part fem. 103. m. 29; 105. n. 18. alσθάνομαι in Pres. 137. n. 8.—c. gen. 132. 10. h .- with Particip. 144. 6. c. αἰσχρόν sc. δν, 145. n. 10. 2. alσχύνεσθαι c. acc. 135. 5.—c. Inf. et Part. 144. 6.—with el, 139. m. 60. aiτείν, ἀπαιτείν, c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. with παρά τινος 131. n. 8.—αἰτοῦμαί σε τοῦτο, 135. n. 2. airiâσθαι, constr. 132, 10. g. -αίω, see -άω. diω, augm. 84. n. 2. dκήν as predic. 129. 13. ἄκοντι ἢλθεν, etc. 133. n. 6; see ἐκών. ακουτίζειν c. gen. 132. 10. f. άκούειν and compounds, constr. 132. 10. h, and marg. 144. 6, marg.—in Pres. for Perf. 137. n. 8. **ἀκρατής c. gen. 132. 12.** άκρατος, ἀκρατής, compar. 65. n. 2; 66. n. 2. aκρος, position with art. 125. n. 5. άλαόω c. gen. 132. 4. άλγεῖν 131. n. 3; ib. no. 7; 133. 4. c, marg. See Emotion, etc. άλεγίζειν c. gen. 132. 10. c. άλέξειν constr. 131. n. 2; 132. 4. άληθες 150. m. 20. άλιεύς 53. n. 4. dλις c. gen. 132. 10. a.—as predic. 129. 13. άλίσκομαι, constr. 132. 10. g. άλιτείν c. acc. 131. 2. dλκί 56. n. 8. άλλά, άλλὰ γάρ, and the like, 149. m. 16.—ἀλλά instead of ή after compar. ib. m. 7. - after a Vocat. ib. m. 9: αλλήλων etc. 74. 4. άλλος, ἔτερος, 127. 10.— c. gen. 132. n. 24. — οἱ ἄλλοι, τάλλα, in Hom. 126. n. 7; see also τάλλα.—άλλος in attr. 143. 17.—äλλos redundant 150. m. 12. — ἄλλος ἄλλο, ἄλλοθεν άλλος, with plur 129. n. 12.—άλλο

η, άλλ' η, 150. m. 12, 13.—άλλο τε ή; ἄλλοτι; 139. m. 62; 149. m. 18. άλλως τε καί, 150. m. 14. άλόω as Imperat. 105. n. 10. άλς, άλες, 38. p. 52; 41. 8. άλφι 57. n. 3. ἀλώπηξ 41. 2. äλως 56. n. 6. d. äμα 146. 3.—with particip. 145. n. 5. –ãµа . . . каі, 150. m. 27. άμαρτάνειν c. gen. 132. 4. ἀμέλει, 150. m. 20. άμελείν c. gen. 132. 10. e.—with ἐπί c. dat. ib. n. 15.—ἀμελεῖσθαι Pass. 134. 5. άμήχανον δσον 129. n. 18; comp. 150. m. 8, and 151. I. 5. άμιλλᾶσθαι c. dat. 133. 2. **a.** άμός, άμός, 73. n. 7, 2. άμπέχω 18. n. 3. άμπλακίσκειν c. gen. 132. 4. αμύνειν, constr. 131. n. 2; 132. 4.ἀμύνεσθαι Mid. 135. 2, 5. άμφί not elided in compos. 120. n. 8. -ἀμφί, ἀμφίς, 115. n. 4; 146. n. 2. -Signif. 147. p. 415.—in compos. 147. n. 6.—οἱ ἀμφί v. περί τινα 150. m. 25. • άμφιεννύναι c. dupl. acc. 131. 5.— Mid. with object 135. 4. Αμφίθεε, 35. n. 2. āμφω, αμφότερος, 78. 4.—αμφότερον, -ρα, 150. m. 15.—position of ἄμφω and ἀμφότ. with art. 127. 9.—ἄμφω with plur. 129. n. 7. άμως γέπως, άμηγέπη, etc. 116. n. 5. - av 3 plur. Perf. instead of - aσι, 103. m. 27. -âv for -ậv Inf. 105. 4. ẫν, ἐάν, ἥν, 117. 2.— Synt. 139. m. αν, epic κέ, κέν, 117. n. 1.—Synt. signif. and usage 139. m. 10-20, 68, 70.—omitted, 139. m. 13, 30 b, 51; om. with Subjunct. (Hom.) 139. m. 25, 33, 38.—av appended to particles 139. m. 14, 24, 37.—with histor, tenses 139. m. 12.—with Fut. ib. m. 11.—with Inf. and Part. ib. m. 17; 144. 6. a.—after doreir, etc. with Inf. Aor. 139. m. 18.position of av, ib. m. 19.—repeated, ib. m. 20. ἀνά apocopated 117. n. 2.—Synt. 147. p. 413.—in compos. 147. n. 6. ava up! 117. 3. 2. - Vocat. 41. 5, marg. 45. n. 5. ἀναβαίνειν, -νεσθαι, 135. 8.

αναβάλλεσθαι c. Inf. fut. 140. 1. c. before an Inf. with or without τό, αναγκάζειν with two acc. 131. n. 12. αναγκαιός είμι 151. I. 7. c. ανάγκη without copula 129. n. 18. ἀναγνώσεται, sc. δ ἀναγνώστης, 129. 16. ἀνακοινέο 105. n. 7. αναμιμνήσκειν, constr. 131. 5; comp. 132. 10. d, sq. άνασσείασκε 103. m. 11. ανάσσειν c. gen. 132, 12.—c. dat. ib. ανδράποδον 32. 1; -δεσσι 56. n. 8. ανδρεία, ανδρία, 119. m. 35. สีของ 146. 4. ανήκοος c. a.cc. 130. n. 3. άνηκουστείν c. gen. 132, 10. h, marg. άνηπτον, augm. 116. n. 4. ανηρ (*) 7. n. 12.— ἄνερ 45. n. 1.— with pers. appell. 123. n. 6.— implied 125. 7. ἄνηρ, ἄνθρωπος, 29. n. 3, marg ἀνθ' ὧν, 147 ἀντί. p. 412. ανθρωποι implied 125. n. 6; see also ἀνήρ. ἀνία (i) 7. n. 10. 'Aννίβας 34. n. 4. -avos genit. quantity 41. n. 1. αντευπείσεται, 121. n. 1; 147. n. 8. αντί c. gen. 132. 10. c; 147. p. 412. —in compos. 147. a. 6. ἀντιάζειν, ἀντιᾶν, ἀντᾶν, 131. 3; 132. 5. d; 133. 4. c, marg. άντικρύ, ἄντικρυς, 117. 1; 146. 4. αντιλαμβάνεσθαι c. gen. 132. 5. d, e. dντίξους 60. n. 5. ἀντίον, ἀντία, ἄντα, 147 ἀντί. p. 412. αντιποιείσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. f. -άνω, verbs in, 112. 11; quantity, etc. ib. n. 6. ανώϊστος, 114 φέρω. ανώτερος 69. 2, marg.—ανωτέρω 115. 6. ägios 132. 10. b. — personal constr. 151. I. 7. c. άξιοῦν, -οῦσθαι, constr. 132. n. 14. ao into εω, 27. n. 10; 34. IV. 5; 105. n. 8; 114 χράω, marg.—into ā Dor. 105. n. 14. -aos, εωs, in pr. names, 27. n. 10, marg. άπαγορεύειν with foll. μή, 148. n. 9. ἀπαλλάσσεω 130. n. 2.—c. gen. 132. 4.—with ex, ib. n. 2. äπas 62. n. 2.—Synt. 127. 9. απαυράν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. απειπείν with foll. μή, 148. n. 9. απειρηκέναι c. dat. 133. 4. c.

äπειρος c. gen. 132. 10. d. $a\pi\epsilon\phi\theta$ ós 18. n. 3. ἀπέχειν c. gen. 132. 4.—ἀπέχεσθαι with foll. $\mu \dot{\eta}$, 148. n. 9. απιστείν with foll. μή, 148. n. 9. άπλῶς εἰπεῖν 140. n. 4. $a\pi \delta$ elided 117. n. 4.— $a\pi \delta$, ib. 3. 1. signif. 147. p. 412.—in attr. 151. I. 8.—in compos. 135. 6; 147. n. 6, 7.—implied 132. 3. ἀποδίδοσθαι c. gen, 132. 10. c. ἀποδιδράσκειν c. acc. 131. 2. ἀποθνήσκω, see θνήσκω. αποκρύπτειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. ἀπολαύειν c. gen. 132. 10. i. άπολείπω, pers. constr. 151. I. 7. b. Απόλλων (ā) 7. n. 14.—acc. 55. 2. vocat. 45. 2. ἀπονέεσθαι (ā) 7. n. 14. **ἀποξενοῦσαι 103. m. 16. m**arg ἀποπέμπω Pres. 137. n. 10. ἀπορείν c. gen. 132. 10. a. — ἀπορείσθαι Pass. 134. 5. αποβραίειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. ἀπόρρητον sc. ον 145. n. 10. 2. αποστερείν, constr. 131. 5; 132. 4. άπτεσθαι c. gen. 132. 5. e; ib. 10. h. ãρ, ãρα, 117. n. 2; 149. m. 26.—ãρα and $\delta \rho a$ 149. m. 26, and two marg. notes. $\tilde{a}\rho a$, $\tilde{a}\rho'$ οὐ, $\tilde{a}\rho a$ $\mu \dot{\eta}$, 139. m. 62; 148. 5; 149. m. 18.—ἀρ' οὖν, ib. m. 26, 'āρά (ep. ἀρή), also 'ἄρή, 7. n. 12. *ἀρᾶσθαι* c. dat. 133. 2. c άργός 120. n. 10. ἀρέσκειν τινά 131. 3. άρετή and "Αρης, 68. 1, marg. άρήγειν, constr. 131. n. 2. 'Aρης (a anceps) 7. n. 12; 58. άρετή. άριστεύειν c. gen. 132. 12. ãρκτος, ή, 32. n. 3. άρκῶ, pers. constr. 151. I. 7. d. άρξάμενος, Synt. 150. m. 31. ἄρχειν, ἄρχεσθαι, constr. 132. 3, 12.— ἄρχων Voc. 45. 1.— ἄρξας ἐποίει, 144. n. 3. δρχήν adv. 115. 4.—τὸ κατ' δρχάς 125. 8. -as quant. 34. II. 5; 43. n. 3; 52.-as ending of deriv. 119. m. 32.— Adj. in, 62. 3. -ás subst. fem. 63.5; 119. m. 53, 60. -as num. subst. 71. 4. άσαι, ἄσασθαι, c. gen. 132. 10. a, i. ἀσεβείν c. acc. 131. 2. -aσι, local ending, 116. 3.

Ιı

-áo: dat. plur. 47. 1; 58 viós -agrov iterative tense 103. m. 11 sq. ασμενος compar. 65. n. 4.—as adv. 123. 6.—ἀσμένφ έμοί, etc. 133. n. 6. ἄσσα, ἄσσα, 77. 2, 3. άσσον 115. 7; see άγχι. αστήρ, αστράσι, 47. n. 3. -ата, -ато, 3 plur. 103. m. 20; 105. n. 9; 107. m. 34. ἀτάρ after a Voc. 149. m. 9. dre with Particip. 144. n. 14. ἀτέοντα, 114 ἀάω, ἄτερ prep. 146. 4. άτερος 29. n. 5. ἀτιμάζειν c. gen. 132. 10. b. -aτος, -áτως, old superlat. 68 ult. 69. åτος, 114 ἀάω marg. and ἄω. ἀτρέμα, ἀτρέμας, 26. n. 2; 115. n. 4. āтта, āтта, 77. 2, 3. að 149. m. 20. αὐέρυσαν 121. n. 1. aὖθι 116. n. 10. g.—aὖθις 117. n. 1.αὐθις αὖ, πάλιν αὖθις, 151. VI. 2. αύριον, ή, 125. 7. αὐτάρκης, gen. plur. αὐτάρκων 49. n. 4. αὐτός 72. n. 1; 73. 2.—in compos. (ωυ) 27, n. 11.—Synt. 127. 2 sq.for δ αὐτός, μόνος, and with ordinals 127. n. 2.—αὐτόν and αὑτόν, ib. 3 sq. 7.—in genit. with pron. possess. ib. n. 13; as periphrase for possess. of 3 pers. ib. 7. 2.—in dat. without σύν, 133. n. 14. - δ aὐτός c. dat. 133. 2. f; with καί (atque) 149. m. 8.—αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν, etc. 128. n. 1 .- avrò deifei, 150. m. 17.—before a relat. 127. 1. d.—ἐπ' αὐτὸς αὐτῷ 127. n. 6.—αὐτὸς ἐαυτόν se ipse, ib.—αὐτὸ τοῦτο 127. 1. c. αῦτως, αὖτως, 150. m. 17 άφαιρείν, -είσθαι, constr. 131. 5, and n. 8; 132.4; 135. n. 2.—Pass. 134. 6. ἀφέωνται 108. Ι. 1, marg. ἀφιέναι c. gen. 132. 4. ἄφθονος compar. 65. n. 2. 2. ἀφύη, ή, 34. III. 1. b. άχέων, ουσα, 114 άκαχίζω, -aχη, -aχοῦ, etc. 116. n. 5. ãχθεσθαι with Particip. 144. n. 6.αχθομένφ ήλθεν, etc. 133. n. 6. See also Emotion, etc. ãχρι, ãχρις, 26. 4.—Synt. 147. n. 2. -άω, fut. -ἄσω 95. n. 3.—with alternate ϵ ($\epsilon\omega$) 105. n. 8, 13; comp. 107. m. 36, 41.—derivat. and signif. 104. 3; 119. m. 6.—from verbs in ω, 112. 7.—with alternate ω (o)

in the first syll. ib. 8— άω. άζω, αίω, sec. forms of each other, ib. 9.— desideratives 119. m. 12; sec - ιάω. - άων into έων, in 1 Decl. 34. IV. 5.

β inserted 19. n. 1; 110. 11. 2, and marg.—as characteristic 92. 8, and βάλλειν and compounds, signif. 130. βαρύς είμι, pers. constr. 151. I. 7. d. βασιλεύειν c. gen. 132. 12. - βασιλεύσας ἐποίει, etc. 144. n. 3. βασιλεύς without art. 124. n. 7. βασιλεύτερος 69. n. 2. Baî num. sign, 2. n. 3; 6. n. 3; comp. Арр. В. βέντιστος 16. n. 1. d. βία, πρός βίαν, 133. n. 12; 147 πρός c. acc. p. 419. βιάζεσθαι c. dupl. acc.? 131. n. 12. βλάξ 66. 4, marg. 110. 11. 2, marg. 114 ταράσσω, marg. βλάπτειν c. acc. et dat. 131. 2, and n. 2.—κελεύθου 132. n. 28, marg. βλέπειν φόνον 131. 3. βλήχων 16. n. 1. b. βοηθείν c. dat. 131, n. 2. βορέας, βοβρας, 34. n. 1. βούλομαι without foll. Inf. 151. IV. 8.—βούλει 103. m. 18.— εμοί βουλομένω 133. n. 6.— βουλόμην without αν, 139. m. 13. βοῦς, declined 50.—βῶς, βῶν, ib. n. 2. —al βόες 32. n. 3.—βόα 44. n. 2. βράσσων 67. n. 3. βριάω, signif. 113. 2. $\beta \rho i \theta \epsilon i \nu$ c. gen. et dat. 132. 10. a, and n. 14.

Γ.
γ nasal 3. 2; 4. n. 2; 98. n. 7, marg
—as characteristic 92. 8, and n. 2;
Doric 95. n. 2.
γάρ 149. m. 17.—place of, 125. 9;
147. n. 3.—after Voc. 149. m. 9.—
after relative clauses 143. 11; 151.
IV. 10.—as namely, omitted 151.
IX. 3.—elliptical turns with γάρ,
143. 8, marg. ib. 11; 151. IV. 10.
—ἀλλὰ γάρ 149. m. 16.
γαστήρ 47. 2, and n. 3.
γγ, characteristic 92. n. 3.—before μ
in Perf. Pass. 98. n. 7.
γέ 149. m. 25.—place of, 125. 9; 147.
n. 3.—appended to pron. 72. n. 4

---yè μέν, yè μήν, 149. m. 29

уеуа́кен 111. п. 1; 114, ГЕН-. γελάν c. dat. 133. 4. c. -yelos compound adj. 63. n. 5. γέμειν c. gen. 132. 10. a. γεννών in Pres. 137. n. 8. γένος, γένους, γένει, diff. of signif. 133. 4. c, marg. γεραιός compar. 65. n. 3. γέρας 54. 1.—γέρἄ, τά, 28. n. 10; 54. γέρων, δ, in Hom. 126. n. 7. γεύειν, γεύεσθαι, c. gen. 132. 10. h, i. γεύμεθα 110. 8, marg. γεω- from γη 120. n. 2. γη 34. n. 1.—implied 123. n. 5; 125. 5.—with and without art. 124. n. 7. γηρας 54.1. γίγνεσθαι in number of pred. 129. 12. –c. gen. πατρός 132. 3. — γίγ. and elvas c. gen. of poss. 132. 7, and n. 13. - with Part. as periphrase of tenses 144. 9, and n. 16, 17.—diá τινος 147. p. 414. - γιγνομένων absol. 145. n. 10. γιγνώσκειν c. Inf. et Part. 144. 6. γλάφυ 57. n. 3. γλήχων 16. n. 1. b; 56. n. 6. c. γνώμη impl. 123. n. 5. γνωτά κούκ άγνωτα 151. VI. 2. yoveis without art. 124. n. 7. γοργών 56. n. 6. e. γοῦν 149. m. 25. γουνάζεσθαι c. gen. 132. n. 11. ypaûs decl. 50. γράφεσθαι constr. 132. 10. g. — γραφήν ib. n. 16. γυμνός c. gen. 132. 4.

Δ.

ð as characterist. 92. 8. 3. ib. n. 3. inserted 19. n. 1. - doubled after augm. 83. n. 2; 114 δείσαι. δα for γη, 16. n. 1. b. δαερ 45. n. 1. đai 149. m. 28. ðai (ĭ) 28. n. 10. δαινύναι γάμον 131. n. 11 a. δακρυχέων 121. n. 1. δαμνάω, δάμνημι, 112. 16. -δαπός, (ἡμεδαπός, etc.) 79. n. 2. đás, gen. plur. đádov, 43. n. 4. d. δάσασθαι c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. — c. gen. part. 131. n. 9. Comp. δαίω § 114. δασύς c. gen. et dat. 133. 4. c, marg. đe enclit. 14. n. 2; 116. 2. dé, Synt. 149. m. 9. — place of, 125. 9; 126. 2-4, and n. 3, 4; 147. n. 3.

-after a Voc. 149. m. 9.—put for other particles, 149. m. 9. ðeî constr. 131. n. 4; 132. 10. a, and n. 14.—μικροῦ V. πολλοῦ δεῖν, δέω, 140. n. 4; 150. m. 18; 151. I. 7. b. -periphrase with numerals 70. n. 1.—déor part. 145. n. 10. 2. — edei without αν, 139. m. 13.—τοῦ δέοντος instead of η δεί, 132. n. 20. δείδεγμαι, δείδεκτο, 114 δείσαι, and marg. deîva 73. δεινός είμι, personal, 151. I. 7. c. δείσθαι constr. 132. 10. a, and n. 14. δελεαρ, δελητος, 41. n. 7. δέμας 57. n. 2. δεξιάς χειρός 132. 14. b. δέσποτα 34. ΙΙΙ. 2. δεῦρο, δεῦτε, δευρί, 115. n. 8; 116. 6, 8. δεύτατος 71. 1, marg. $\delta \epsilon \chi \theta a \iota 110.8$, marg. δή, Syst. 149. m. 28.—place of, 125. 9. δηθεν 149. m. 31. δηλόσ είμι 151. Ι. 7. c.—δηλον έτι 151. IV. 4. δηλοῦν, ἐδήλωσε δέ, 129. 17. Δημήτηρ, -τρα, 47. 2; 56. 2. -δην, -δον, adv. 119. m. 79, 80. δήποτε appended 80. n. 1; 116. 9; 149. m. 28. δήπου, δήπουθεν, δήθεν, 149. m. 31. δήτα 149. m. 28. δι-, δισ-, 70. n. 3, and marg. ðîa (ă) 60. n. 1. διά prep. c. gen. et acc. 147. p. 414; comp. 133. 4. c, and n. 12. - in compos. 147. n. 6.—διὰ τοῦτο after Part. 144. n. 13. διάγειν, διαγίγνεσθαι, διατελεῖν, with Part. 144. n. 6. διαθέσθαι 135. 6, ex. διαιρείν, διελείν, c. gen. part. 131. n. 9. διαλέγεσθαι c. dat. 133. 2. b.—reciprocal 135. 7. διανέμεσθαι reciprocal 135.7. διαπράττεσθαι, c. δστε, 140. 4. διαπρεπείν, διαπρεπής, c. gen. 132. 12. διατελείν with Part. 144. n. 6. διατρίβω with Part. 144. n. 6. διαφέρειν, διάφορος, c. gen. 132. 4, 12. διδάσκειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5.—διδά σκεσθαί τι 134. 6. - διδασκόμενος σολέμοιο 132. 10. d. διδόναι c. gen. 132. 5. c.— 'to offer' 137. n. 10.-in compounds, signif. 130. n.º 2 ult. διέχειν c. gen. 132. 4.

δίκαιός είμι πράττειν etc. 151. I. 7. c. δίκην, Synt. 131. 10; 146. 4, and n. 2. -διδόναι ὑπό τινος, 147 ὑπό, p. 419. δικρούς 60. n. 5. διό, διότι, 115. n. 5; 139. m. 42; 149. **δι**πλάσιος c. gen. 132. 12. **δι**σ-, see δι-. διφρηλατείν c. acc. 131. 3 ult. δίχα as pred. 129. 13.—c. gen. 146. διώκειν constr. 132. 10. g. δμώς, gen. plur. δμώων, 43. n. 4. d. δοιώ, δοιοί, 70. 2. δοκείν c. Inf. et αν 139. m. 18. -- δοκείν έμοί absol. 140. n. 4.—δοκοῦν, δόξαν, absol. 145. n. 10, and marg. δοκῶ personal 151. I. 7. b; comp. 129. 18. δορυξέ Voc. 36, marg. δορυφορείν τινα 131. 3. δούλος δουλείας 131. n. 6. δούρε as plur. neut. in Hom. 123. n. 4. -doûs (-déos) in names of kindred 36. n. 1, and marg. δραμεῖν τὸ στάδιον 131. n. 11. **a**. **δ**ρậν c. dupl. **a**cc. 131. 5. δράττεσθαι c. gen. 132. 5. e. δρομαΐος as adv. 123. 6. δύνασθαι, δυνατόν είναι, with superlat. 123. n. 9. — динато́н absol. sc. о́н 145. n. 10. 2. δύο with Plur. 129. n. 7. δυσ- augm. in compos. 86. 3. δυσφορείν c. dat. 133. 4. c. δυσχεραίνειν, soo Emotion, etc. **8** 57. n. 3. δώς, ή, 57. n. 2.

E.

e for a before liquids 27. n. 9.—Ion. in verbs in áw, 105. n. 9, 10.—inmerted 28. n. 3; 74. n. 1; 76. n. 2. -as union-vowel 87. 5.—for €1 27. n. 3.—changed into e., 25. 4; 27. n. 1.—for η in Subjunct. 103. m. 39. instead of eavrer 127. n. 4; see Reflexives. -εα for -ην 107. m. 40.—for -εια 62. n. 1. -сац, -со, 2 pers. Pass. 103. m. 17; comp. 105. n. 7. ểάν, ην, ἄν, 139. m. 14, 24.—after σκοπείν, etc. ib. m. 66.—with Opt. ib. m. 68, comp. m. 27. **ξαρ,** ήρος, 41. n. 7; 43. n. 4. c. čαρινός as adv. 123. 6.

-éaro 3 Plur. Pass. 103. m. 21; 105. n. 9. έαυτόν as subj. in acc. c. Inf. et Part. (se) 141. n. 4; 144. n. 8. aὐτόs and Reflexives. čáω retains a, 95. n. 7. ểάων gen. plur. 58 ἐὖς. έγγύς c. gen. et dat. 133. 4. c, marg. 146. 4, and n. 3.—έγγύς, έγγύθεν, έγγύτερον, as predic. 129. 13. έγκαλεῖν constr. 132. n. 16; 133. 2. ω. έγκρατής c. gen. 132. 12. έγφδα, έγφμαι, 29. n. 9. - έεω Inf. see Resolution. εερμένος, 114 εΐρω. έέσσατο 108. II. 1; ib. III. έην from εἰμί 108. IV. 4, marg. έηος and έηος, 58 έψε and marg. ins for is 75. n. 1. έθελευ, periphrase, 150. m. 36. έθελοντής as adv. 123. 6. es diphth. 5. 1, and n. 1.—resolved into ηι 27. n. 8.—contr. in dissyll. verbs 105. n. 2; see in ε. ei- augm. syllab. 83. n. 3.—temporal 84. 2, and n. 1. -ει, 2 pers. Pass. 103. m. 18; 113. n. 7, marg. el conjunct. 13. 3.—with Indic. Subj. Opt. 139. m. 23, 25, 26, 67 sq.—as particle of wishing 139. m.7.—with Indic. Fut. ib. m. 23.—with Opt. in repetition, ib. m. 39.—after verbs of fear, etc. ib. m. 50.—in indirect questions, whether, ib. m. 63-65.after θαυμάζω etc. ib. m. 60.—in double quest. $\epsilon i \dots \tilde{\eta}$, ib. m. 65. el du ib. m. 27.—el μή 148. 2. b.— el δè μή 151. IV. 7. ib. V. 2.—el δέ, el δ' dye, 151. IV. 7.—el μή διά 150. m. 26.—εὶ γάρ, εὶ καί, 139. m. 7; 149. m. 4, 5.—- ike, see alke. -eta in nouns 34. n. 3; 119. 25, 35, 47.—secondary form for $-\eta$, 56. n. 2. —Fem. from -7s, 63. n. 1. -єıа, -єıas, etc. Opt. 103. m. 14. eiaro 108. IV. 2.—eiaro ib. II. 2, and III. είδω signif. 113, n. 10. elev 108. IV. 1, and marg. είην from είμι go, 108. V. 3. είθε 11. n. 2.—Synt. 139. n. 8. elkeir c. gen. 132. 4. είκοσι, -σιν, 26. 3. elju go, see lévai. -ειν instead of -ην, 108. I. 3.—3 pers. Sing. Plupf. and Impf. 103. m. 12; 105. n. 3.

elva: 108. IV.—c. gen. 132. 5. c; ib. 7, and n. 13. — είναι διά τινος 147 διά, p. 414. — ἀμφί et περί τινα, ib. p. 415 sq.—omitted 129. 20; 143. 9; 149. n. 8; see also ‰ and Copula. -pleonast. in Inf. 150. m. 38. elvat with Particip. 144. n. 16.ети and еті 108. IV. 3.—ети ої etc. ib. and 150. m. 21. — ਵੌਰਾ।, phrases with, 150. m. 21-23.—oùk έστι with Inf. and αν 139. m. 18. elveka, 800 êveka. -είον in subst. deriv. 119. m. 33. -εως in adj. deriv. 119. m. 69. elné instead of Plur. 129. n. 13.είπειν άπλως absol. 140. n. 4.—ώς συντόμως (συνελόντι) εἰπεῖν, ὡς ἔπος είπείν, absol. 140. n. 4. είπερ ellipt. 151. IV. 2. είργειν c. gen. 132. 4.—with μή and İnf. 149. n. 9. είρημένον absol. 145. n. 10. είρύω, είρωτάω, Ion. 84 n. 1. els, es, prep. 13. 3; 117. 2. - Synt. 147. p. 413. — put for ex 151. I. 8. compounds with els, constr. 133. 2. b; ib. no. 3.— τσω εs, 151. VI. 2. elσθa in Hom. for els or el, 108. V. 1. elσκομίζειν, constr. 133. 3. εἰσόκε, εἰς ὅτε κε, 139. m. 40. eira 149. m. 19.—after Part, 144. n. 13. etre . . . eire 139, m. 63. eltis 149. m. 5.—for it ootis 143. 19. -eiw instead of & Subjunct. 103. m. 38. –in Indic. 105. n. 1. elωθα, augm. 84. n. 1; 97. n. 2. έκ prep. 4. 5; see έξ.—ἐκ unchanged before all consonants, 20.4; 22.2; comp. 86. 1.—signif. 147. p. 413. **-a**dv. 147. n. 5. érás c. gen. 132. 4. έκάτερος, έκαστος, 78. 3.— place of with art. 127. 9.— έκαστος with Plur. 129. n. 12. έκδύειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. ἐκδῦμεν Opt. 114 δύω, marg. ἐκεῖ, ἐκεῖθεν, ἐκεῖσε, 116. <math>6.—ἐκεῖθεν in attr. 151. I. 8. ἐκείνη, ἐκείνως, 116. n. 7. excivos, place of with art. 127. 9 .όδ' έκείνος, τοῦτ' έκείνο, 127. 1. c. See also ovros. έκεχειρία 18. n. 3. έκπαγλος, 114 λελίημαι, marg. έκποδών and έμποδών 115. n. 5; comp. 147 ér, p. 413. ἐκφεύγειν c. gen. et acc. 131. 2, and

n. 2.—with μή and Inf. 148. n. 9.

έκων είναι 150. m. 38.— έκόντα οθκ акорта 151. VI. 2. έλαττον, μείον, indec. 129. n. 8.—with ரீ omitted 132. n. 21. έλαύνειν, signif. 130. n. 2. ₹λαφος 32. n. 3. έλεγχέες 69. n. 1. έλειπτο 110. 8, marg. έλεύθερος, -ροῦν, constr.132. 4, and n. 2. έλθών, ἰών, etc. 150. m. 34. έλμως 25. n. 2; 41. n. 4. eios, reios, 116. n. 10. f. έλπίζειν, constr. 139. m. 18; 140. 1. c. έλπομένφ ήλθεν, etc. 133. n. 6. έμαυτοῦ and μου 127. 7. 1. έμοὶ δοκείν 140. n. 4. έμός 72. 4; 127. n. 12.—τὰ έμά 128. 1, and n. 2.—τὸ ἐμόν instead of ἐγά 128. n. 2. έμου instead of μου 127. n. 12. ἔμπειρος c. gen. 132. 10. d. έμποδών 115. n. 5. $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ prep. unchanged before ρ , σ , ζ , 25. 1.-signif. 147. p. 413.-adverbial 147. n. 5.-for els 147. p. 413.-in compounds, 86. 1, 2; Synt. 147. n. 6, 8; comp. 133. 3. - er rois with foll. superlat. 150. m. 24. έναντίος c. gen. 132. n. 26.—c. dat. 133. 2. f ; ib. 4. c, marg. έναρίζειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. ένδεής 53. 1. ένδότατος 69. 2, marg. — ένδοτάτω 115. 6. ἐνδύω τινά τι 131. 5; -ομαί τι 135. **4**. ένείχεε Hdot. 112. n. 5. е́чека, е́чекеч, Ion. еїчека, etc. 117. 2. -Synt. 132. 8; 146. n. 2. — omitted? 140. n. 10.—ἔνεκά γε 146. n. 2. ἔνι, ἔνεστι, 117. 3. 2; 150. m. 23; see also ώς ἔνι.—ἐνῆν 139. m. 13. ἔνθα, ἔνθεν, 116. 6.—ἐνθάδε, 14. n. 3; 116. 7, marg. ένοχος, constr. 132. n. 16. ἐταῦθα, ἐνταυθοῖ, 116. 3, marg. and 8. έντυγχάνω c. dat. 132. n. 7. έξ prep. 13. 3.—έξ and έκ, 26. 6.—accent in anastrophe 13. 4. - to be supplied? 132. 3.-with Pass. 134. 3; 147 ек.—signif. 147. p. 413. adverbially 147. n. 5.—in compounds 20.4; 22.2; 86.1; 120.4; 147. n. 9.—& ov of time 139. m. 37.—ἐκ τούτου 144. n. 13. έξαίφνης 115. n. 5. έξανιστάναι c. gen. 132. 4. ξέαρνος c. acc. 130. n. 3.—ξέαρνον είναι with foll. μή and Inf. 148. n. 9.

έξεστι 133. 2. e; 150. m. 23.—with acc. and Inf. 142. n. 2.—¿ξόν 145. n. 10.—ἐξῆν 139. m. 13. έξετάζειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. έξοχα with superlat. 123. n. 9. -€0, 800 -€ai. ἔοικα, ἔολπα, etc. 84. n. 6.—ἔοικα, οἶκα, 109. III. 5, marg. έός, ά, όν, pron. poss. 72. n. 7.—for 1 and 2 pers. 127. n. 5. ἔπαινον ἔχειν ὑπό τινος, 147 ὑπό, p. 419. έπαίσσειν c. gen. 132. 10. f. ėπάϊστος, personal, 151. I. 7. c. ểπαΐω, augm. 84. n. 2.— Synt. 132. έπαυρίσκομαι c. gen. 132. 10. i. έπαφή 18. n. 3. έπεί, ἐπειδή, signif. 149. m. 5.—constr. 139. m. 37, 42.—with Aor. 137. n. 1.—επειή 7. n. 16; 117. n. 1. ểπειδάν 139. m. 37; with Opt. 139. m. 68. ἐπείγεσθαι όδοῦ 132. n. 28, marg. έπειτα, Ion. έπειτεν, 117. 2.—after Part. 144. n. 13; comp. 149. m. 19. eπeξιέναι c. gen. et dat. 132. n. 16. ἐπέρχεσθαι c. dat. 133. 2. b.—c. acc. ib. n. 1. έπεσθαι, constr. 133. 1, and marg. έπέχειν c. gen. 132. 4.—with μή and Inf. 148. n. 9. ἐπήνεσα, Aor. for Pres. 137. n. 9. έπί prep. not elided in compos. 108. III; 120. n. 8.—signif. 147. p. 416; 133. 4. c, and n. 12.—c. dat. of Part. 145. n. 5.—e. gen. of Part. Pres. ibid. — compounds with ἐπί, constr. 133. 2. b, c, and 3.—ἐφ' φ, έφ' ωτε, c. Inf. 150. m. 9.—ἔπι for έπεστι 117. 3. έπιβαίνειν, constr. 133. 4. c, marg. eπιβουλεύεω c. dat. 133. 2. b.—eπιβουλεύεσθαι Pass. 134, 5. ἐπιδεής c. gen. 132, 10. a. έπιδείκνυσθαι, personal, 151. I. 7. a. ėπίδοξος, personal, 151. I. 7. c. ἐπιέσασθαι, ἐπιειμένος, 108. III. ἐπιθυμεῖν c. gen. 132. 10. f. ἐπιλανθάνεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. d. έπιμέλεσθαι c. gen. 132, 10. e.—with Öπως 139. m. 45. έπιορκείν c. acc. 131. 2. έπιπλήσσειν c. dat. 133. 2. d. ἐπισπᾶν κόμης 132. n. 10. έπιστατείν c. gen. et dat. 132. 12, and έπιστήμων c. acc. 130. n. 3.—c. gen. 132. 10. d. and 13.

ἐπιστρατεύεσθαι c. dat. et acc. 133. 2. h, and n. 1. έπισχέρω 115. n. 5. *ἐπιτέλλει*ν c. dat. 133. 2. c. *ἐπιτίθεσθαι* c. dat. 133. 2. b. έπιτιμậν c. dat, 133. 2. d. έπιτρέπειν c. dat. 133. 2. c. έπιτροπεύειν τινά 131. 3. έπιχειρείν c. dat. 133. 2. d. έποτρύνειν c. acc. 133, 2. c. ἐπώχατο, 114 ἔχω, marg. έραν, έρασθαι, and φιλείν, constr. 132. 10. f, and marg. έργάζεσθαι e. dupl. acc. 131. 5. έργον, compounds with, 120. n. 1, 121. n. 4. ἔρειο Imperat. 103. m. 19. έρημος c. gen. 132. 10. a. *ἐρητύει*ν c. gen. 132. 4. ἐρίζεω c. dat. 133. 2. a. Έρμέας, Έρμης, 34. n. 1. έρμένος, 114 είρω. έρράδαται 103. m. 24. έρρωμένος, compar. 65. n. 2. έρύομαι 95. n. 5, marg. έρυσάρματες ίπποι 64. n. 3.7. έρχαται, 114 είργω. έρχεσθαι c. Part. Fut. 144. n. 17. έρωτᾶν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. és prep. see els. -es neut. of 3 Decl. 49. 1, 3.-instead of -eis 2 pers. Sing. of verbs 103. εσ inserted 65. n. 2. 2; 120. n. 4. έσθίειν, constr. 132. 10. i. -εσι(ν), -εσσι(ν), 46. n. 2. έσσυο 103. m. 17; 114 σεύω. έστασαν and έστασαν, έστητε, έστέατε, έστέασι, 107. m. 26, 27. ἔστε 150.m.10.—constr.139.m.37,40. έστι, 800 είναι. ἔσχατος 69. 2.—place with art. 125. n. 5. έσω és 151. VI. 2. έτεροῖος 79. n. 2. έτερος 78. 2.—in crasis 29. n. 5.-Synt. 127. 10.—before a genit. 134. n. 24.—in attr. 143. 17.—9άτερα 150. m. 15. έτησίαι, οί, 34. III. 1. b. ёті 149. m. 22.—with comparat. 123. έτοιμος, without copula 129. n. 18. -εῦ and -οῖ, Voc. 11. 3; 45. 2, 5. ev for eo and eov, 28. n. 5; 95. n. 16; 102. 3, marg. 103. m. 19, 28; 105. n. 13.—augm. 84. 5.—instead of p in Fut. 95. n. 9.

ed, compounds with, 28. n. 3, marg. 86. 3. - εὖ ποιείν V. λέγειν C. acc. 131. 2. - el old' or 151. IV. 4. εὖ ἀκούειν ὑπό τινος 147 ὑπό, p. 419. εὐδαιμονίζειν c. gen. 132. 10. e. ပေီဝီးဝs, compar. 65. n. 2. εὐεργετείν c. acc. 131. 2. εὐθύ, εὐθύς, 115. n. 4 ; 117. 1 ; 146. 4. *ἐϋμμελί*ω 34. n. 5. εὐπειθής c. gen. 132. 10. h, marg. εύπνους, εύχρους, 60. n. 5. εύπορείν c. gen. 132. 10. a. εύρίσκομαι, personal, 151. I. 7. a. -εύς subst. decl. 52; 53. 2.—derivat. 119. m. 31, 52. -εῦσα Dor. Part. 105. n. 13. евте, constr. 139. m. 37. εὐφυής 53. 1. εθχεσθαι and compounds, c. dat. 133. 2. c. εύχρους 60. n. 5. -εύω, verbs in, 104. 3; 119. m. 2, 4. έφέστιος as adv. 123. 6. έφίεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. f. έφ' φ, έφ' φτε, c. Inf. 150. m. 9. έχειν 18. n. 4.—and compounds, signif. 130. n. 2.—with Part. as periphrase for preterite 144. n. 18.impers. 150. m. 39. - periphrases with, ib. - ώs, πωs, καλως έχει c. gen. 132. n. 28.—διά τινος, 147 διά. -ἀμφί ∀. περί τινα, 147 ἀμφί. έπαινον έχειν ὑπό τινος, 147 ὑπό.έχοντος (έχόντων) ούτως 145. n. 9.žχων, with, 150. m. 33.—ληρείς έχων, etc. 150. m. 40. — έχεσθαι c. gen. 132. 5. e. ew for ao, see ao. -έω, verbs in, 104. 3; 119. m. 2, 4.-Fut. - έσω 95. n. 4. — dissyl. not contr. 105. n. 2.—for -w, and vice versa 112. 6. a, b.—with alternate $o(\omega)$ in the stem-syll. 112.8.—Ion. secondary forms in Pres. and Impf. 112. n. 5.—derivat. 119. m. 4. -έω, ending of Fut. 95. 8, and n. 11; 101. 2.—of Subjunct. instead of ô, 103. m. 38; 107. m. 40, 41. εωκα 108. I. 1, marg. έφκειν, εώλπειν, etc. 84. n. 9; 109. 5, marg. έῶμεν, ἐώμεν, 114 ἄω. -εων gen. Dual, 52. n. 6. los 116. 4 ult.—also elos ib. n. 10. f. –εως and εως αν, constr. 139. m. 37, 40, 68. -cés Part. Perf. 107. m. 23; 110. n. 6. c.

ζ, 3. 2; 24. 1.—characterist. in Pres. 92. 8. 3.
-ζε, local ending, 22. n. 2; 116. n. 2. ζηλοῦν c. acc. 131. 2.
-ζω, verbs in, characterist. 92. n. 3-5.
-flexion 95. 3, 6, and n. 2.—frequentatives 119. m. 14.
ζώς 64. n. 2.

η pronunc. 3. 2.—η diphth. 5. 2, and n. 2. η for ā 27. n. 7.—in crasis 29. n. 7. in 1 Decl. 34. IV. 1.—in verbs 95. n. 7.—as mixed sound in verbs in άω and μ, 105. n. 5, 15, 16; 106. 5. η for \check{a} , 34. IV. 1; 70. p. 90; see also ă.—in compos. 121. 8. η for ε 27. n. 8. -η, subst. in, derivat. 119. m. 24. ή or 149. m. 7.— η πρός, η κατά, ib.-# after a positive, ib. — omitted after a comparat. 132. n. 21, 22; and in \$ 50τε 139. m. 56.—\$ οδ 149. m. 7.—#...# in double questions 139. m. 63. — ἡ μέν . . . ἡ δέ 149. m. 7.—See ἀλλά. η for εφη, said he, η δ' δs, 109. I. 4; comp. 126. n. 2 1, 149. m. 7 ult.—ἢ μήν 149. m. 29. n with superlat. 123. n. 9. ηγείσθαι, ηγεμονεύειν, c. gen. 132. 12, and n. 13.—c. dat. ib. n. 25. ηγερέθονται, ηερέθονται, 112. 12. ήδέ, ίδέ, 149. m. 7. ήδομαι, see Emotion, verbs of.—with Part. 144. n. 6. - ήδομένω ήλθεν etc. 133. n. 6. 180s 57. 2. $\eta \epsilon$ for η 28. n. 3; 117. n. 1. ηέλιος 6. n. 2; 28. n. 3. ερέθονται 112. 12. $\dot{\eta}\theta$ os, compounds with, 49. n. 4; 115. ηϊ for ει 27. n. 8. ητα, ηα, only Impf. 108. V. 9, and marg. я́кюта 115.7. ήκω 137. n. 8. ήλίκος in attr. 143. 16, in examp. η̃λιος without art. 124. n. 7. ήμέρα impl. 123. n. 5; 125. 7; 129. ήμετέρου, έν, 132. n. 30. -ημι, -ησι, Dor. flexion, 106. n. 9. ήμισυς, ἡμίσεα, 62. n. 1.—ἡμίση, etc.

52. n. 5.—place with art. 125. n. 5.

ημος, τήμος, etc. 116. n. 4.—Synt. 139. m. 37. -ην Dual instead of -ον 87. n. 7. ην άρα 137. n. 9.—ην δ' έγώ, for έφην, 109. I. 4. ήν, ἠνί, ἠνίδε, 115. n. 7. -nos gen. of 3 Decl. 42. n. 1; 52. n. 3; 53. n. 5. ηρεμέστερος 69. 2. -ηs in heterocl. nouns 56. 4.—in adj. and pr. names of 3 Decl. 49. 1 sq. 53. 1, 3; 56. n. 4, marg. 63. 4.-Acc. $\eta \nu$ and η , 56. n. 4.—derivat. 119. m. 32. Aσθην instead of Pres. 137. n. 9. -ησι 3 pers. Sing. 106. n. 9. — local ending 116. 3. Μσκεω 3 pers. Sing. 105. n. 3. ήσσον 115. 7.— ήσσω είναί τινος 132. ησυχος 65. n. 2.—as adv. 123. 6. #то: 11. n. 3. 3; 149. m. 27.—in crasis 29. n. 8; 149. m. 27.— ἢ τἆρα 149. m. 27. ήττᾶσθαι c. gen. 132. 12. ήττω ν. κρείττω είναί τινος, 132. 12. ηυ diphth. 5. 1, and n. 2. ήχι, ήχι, 116. n. 10. e. -ήχω secondary form 112. 17.

Θ.

9, for τ in crasis 29. n. 4, 5. — for σ before μ , 24. 1; 119. m. 20.—9 characterist. 92. n. 2. B. Sάλασσα with and without art. 124. n. 7. **θαλάσσιος as adv. 123. 6. θαλέθ**ω 112. 12. **θαμέε**ς, θαμειαί, 64. n. 3. 6. θαδρείν τινα 131. 3. θάσσειν c. acc. 131. n. 5. 9άσσων 18. 2 ult. 67. 3. θάτερου etc. 29. n. 5.— θάτερα Synt. 150. m. 15. Savμάζεω c. gen. 132. 10. e, and n. 15.—c. dat. 132. n. 15; 133. 4. c. -with the three cases, ib. marg. -with el 139. m. 60. θαυμαστόν όσον 129. n. 18; 150. m. 8; 151. I. 5. Savuarós poetic 102. n. 1. θέειν πεδίοιο 132. n. 28, marg. Jelvai and Jueir 18. n. 2. - Jelvai v. θέσθαι νόμους 135. 6. **θείομαι** 107. m. 44. θέλοντι ήλθεν etc. 133. n. 6. Sims indecl. 58.—without copula 1**29**. n. 18.

10. d. θεοίμην instead of θείμην 107. m. 46. Seos without art. 124. n. 7.—omitted 129. 17. — Voc. 35. n. 2. — τω θεώ 123. 4. θέραπα, -es, 75. n. 8. θεραπεύειν c. acc. 131. 2. θέων Part. 144. n. 3; 150. m. 34. 9ήν 149. m. 30. -9: Imperat. 103. m. 37; 106. 4; 107. m. 5; 110. n. 2; ib. 9. — as adv. ending 116. 1.—goes into -r. 18. 3, and n. 2; 107. m. 5. Sιγγάνειν c. gen. et dat. 132. 5. e, and n. 9. θνήσκω, ἀποθνήσκω, with ὑπό, 134. 2; 147. p. 419. θοιμάτιον 17. n. 2; 29. n. 4. θράσσω 17. n. 2, marg. Θρήϊξ, Θρᾶξ, etc. 41. n. 7. θυγάτηρ 47. 2 sq.—implied 125. 5. θύειν, 800 θείναι. - θύει, 80. δ θυτήρ, 129, 16.— 9ύειν c. acc. 131. n. 11 a. –ἐπὶ ἐξόδφ etc. 124. n. 7. **θυραίος as adv. 123. 6.** -96, verbs in, poetic 112. 12. θωπεύειν, θώπτειν, c. acc. 131. 2. 9ա՛s, gen. Plur. 9ա՜ատ 43. n. 2. d. θώϋμα 27. n. 11.

-Jev, -Je, 26. n. 2; 116. 1, 4; ib. n.

and v long in penult of verbs in ... 7. n. 8.—, elided 30. n. 3. 4 demonstr. 26.3; 80. 2 sq. 116.8.shortens preceding long vowel 7. n. 16. subscript, 5. 2 sq. — in contraction 28. 3. 2. b; ib. 4. — in crasis 29. n. 2.—in the loc. ending -ησι, 116. 3, marg.—in 3 sing. of the Subj. Sein, Sauein, etc. 103. m. 38; 107. m. 43, marg.—in πη, etc. 116. n. 8; comp. 115. n. 3.—in Perf. and Aor. of verbs λμνρ, false, 97. 4. b, marg. 101. n. 2, marg.—Inf. âv or âv 105. 4, and marg. ib. n. 15, marg. - adv. ending 119. m. 81. - - caseending, short, 43. n. 3. -ta, -tov, -tos, forms of nouns, short t, 7. n. 10.—long a 119. m. 35. -ιάω, desideratives and verbs of disease, 119. m. 12, 13. ldé, lδού, instead of Plur. 115. n. 7; 129. n. 13.—ἐδέ (ἦδέ) 149. m. 7. idios, comparat. 65. n. 2. ໄδιώτης c. gen. 132. 10. d.

ίδρις c. gen. 132. 10. d.

Генаі, Генаі, 108. V. 1. pen. léval with Part. as Fut. 144. n. 17 .τοῦ πρόσω 132. n. 28, marg.θηράν etc. 124. n. 7.— ζών 150. m. 34; 144. n. 3. lévai, leσθai, and compounds, 130. n. 2. ult.—c. gen. 132. 4 sq. -ίζω, verbs in, 104. 3; 119. m. 8, 14. -flexion 92. 8; 95. 9. 'Ιησοῦς decl. 56, n. 1. ίθι, ίτε, come on! 115. n. 7. *lθύ, lθύς,* 115. n. 4.—*lθύντατα* ib. n. 6. ίκανὸς ὥστε 140. 4. ίκετεύειν, ίκνεῖσθαι, c. gen. 132. n. 11. -ικόν neut. 63. n. 3; 66. 4, marg.-Synt. 128. 3. -ию́s adj. in, c. gen. 132. 13.—derivat. 119. m. 54, 71. irou Imperat. 103. m. 4, marg. -անց adv. 115. n. 2. ίλεως 27. n. 10, marg. ίμεν, ἵμεναι, 108. V. 3. ້ມ pron. 72. n. 6. 6. -w.Nom. ending 41. 4, marg. īva, constr. 139. m. 45-48. — iv' āv ubicunque, ib. m. 47.—ΐνα τί; 149. .n. 2.—iva c. gen. 132. n. 6. -wos quant. 41. n. 1. -ίνω, verbs in, quant. 112. n. 6. from -ίω 112. 10. ίομεν Subjunct. 103. m. 39. -tov subst. 119. m. 42, 61. See also in -ıa. - cos gentile subst. 119. m. 49.—adj. ib. m. 66. lós (ī) 7. n. 10. ίππος, ή, 32. n. 3. ίρός (i) Ion. for leρός 28. n. 5. -is fem. 63. 5; 119. m. 45, 53, 65.-Gen. 10s and 180s 56. n. 5; 63. n. 6. ίσαν 109. n. 5, marg. *lσθμός* 119. m. 20. loos and loos 7. n. 12; 27. n. 13.comparat. 65. n. 2.-c. dat. 133. 2. f.—"ioos elv loois 151. VI. 2. ίστημι, 107. m. 21 sq. — στήσασθαι τρόπαιον 135. 6. ίστορείν, ανιστορείν, c. dupl. acc. 131.5. ΐτε, see ἴθι. *ໄχ*ῶ 56. n. 7. 2. -ίω, verbs in, quant. 7. n. 10. -ιῶ Fut. Att. from -ίσω, 95. 9, and lών, μολών, etc. 150. m. 34.

K.

κ for π (κότε) 16. n. 1. c; 116. n. 10. b.—goes into χ in καί 29. n. 7.—

κ of Perf. 1 is dropped 97. n. 7. κ as characterist, 92. n. 2. κā Dor. for κέ 117. n. 1. καθά, καθάπερ, 115. n. 5. καθίζειν, καθίζεσθαι, 113. 2; 130. n. 2. -c. acc. 131. n. 5. καθικνείσθαι c. gen. et acc. 132. 5. e, and n. 9. καθίστασθαι καλώς πολέμου 132. n. 28. καί in crasis 29. n. 7.—Synt. 149. m. 8.—the art. to be repeated? 125. 10; after πολύς, δλιγός, etc. 149. m. 8.—after ὅμοιως etc. ib.—omit-🏍d, see Particles. — καὶ μαλά, καὶ πάνυ, 149. m. 8.—καὶ ὅς, καὶ τόν, 126. 5, and n. 2.—καὶ πρός 147. n. 5.—καὶ εἰ, κάν εἰ, 149. m. 4.—καὶ δέ, ib. m. 10.—καὶ ταῦτα 150. m. 16.—καὶ μήν 149. m. 29.—καὶ చs 116. 5; 149. m. 1. καὶ and καίπερ, although, 149. m. 30. -with Particip. 144. n. 15. - kalтог 149. m. 27; 144. n. 15. καιρός without copula 129. n. 18 καίτοι, see in καί, extr. κακὸς κακίαν 131. n. 6. κακουργείν c. acc. 131. 2. κακοῦργός τινος 132. 13. κακώς λέγειν ν. ποιείν τινα 131. 2. καλείν, καλείσθαι, constr. 131. 6, and n. 11; 143. 4; 144. n. 10.—καλεῖ- $\sigma\theta a\iota$ in number of predic. 129. 12. —ἐπί τινος, 147 ἐπί, p. 416.—ὁ καλούμενος, so called, 144. n. 10. καλ**ιά 7. n. 10.** καλλιστεύειν c. gen. 132. 12. καλός, quant. of a, 7. n. 12.—ἐν καλφ c. gen. 147 év, p. 413. κάμηλος, ή, 32. n. 3. καν 29. n. 7.—καν εί with Indic. 149. κάνεον, κανοῦν, 36. n. 2. ката́ elided 117. n. 2.—implied? 131. 7.—signif. 147. p. 414.—in compos. 147. n. 6.— п ката́, see ŋ. καταγελάν c. gen. 132. 10. e καταγιγνώσκειν, καταδικάζεσθαι, etc. constr. 132. 10. g; 135. 8.— Passive 134. 5, and n. 2 a καταείσατο 108. V. 10. καταλλάττεσθαι c. dat. 133. 2. b. κατανέμειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5; comp. ib. n. 9. καταπλήττεσθαί τινα 136. 2. καταπροίξεσθαι, 114. p. 243. καταρνείσθαι, with μή and Inf. 148. κατασχέσθαι Pass. 113. n. 9.

κατατιθέναι c. gen. 132. 10. c. καταφρονείν c. gen. 132. 10. e, and n. 15. - - είσθαι Pass. 134. 5. κατέαγα της κεφαλης 132. 5. c. κατηγορείν 132. 10. g.—Pass. 134. 2 a. κατήκοος c. gen. 132. 10. h, marg. κάτω κατά 151. VI. 2. κέ, κέν, 14. 2. 5; 26. 3; 117. n. 1. See av. κείσθαι 109. II.—c. acc. 131. n. 5. κεκομμένος φρενών 132. 4 ult. κεκορυθμένος 92. n. 2 ult. 98. n. 5. κέκρανται 101. n. 6. κελαινός, μέλας, 16. n. 2. κελεύειν constr. 133, 2. c. - without verb 151. IV. 8. κενός c. gen. 132. 10. a. κέρας 54. 1. -κερως, 800 -γελως. κεύθειν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. κεχωρίδαται 130. n. 6; 103. m. 24. κήδεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. e. κήνος 74. n. 1. κήρ, κήρος, 41. n. 7; 43. n. 4. κήρυξ, κήρυξ, 41. n. 2. κηρύσσει, ες. ό κήρυξ, 129. 16. -кь, -кь, 25. n. 2. κινδυνεύω, personal, 151. I. 7. b. κλαδί 56. n. 8. -κλέης, -κλης, 53. 3.—also -κλος 56. Κλέοβι, 28. n. 10. κλέος, κλείος, κλέα, 53. n. 3, 5. κληρονομείν constr. 132. n. 7. κοινωνός, -νείν, c. gen. 132. 5. d. κολάζειν σέμν ἔπη 131. n. 7. κολακεύειν c. acc. 131. 2. κομίεαι Hdot. 95. n. 14. κονία (i) 7. n. 10. Kόππα, num. sign, 2. n. 3; App. B. κορέννυσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. a, i. κρατείν c. gen. et dat. 132. 12, and n. 25; 133. 4. c, marg. — τῶν ὧτων 132. n, 10. κρατύς, positive, 69. n. 1. κρέας 54. 1. κρείττω είναι τινος 132. 12.--κρείσσων elvai personal 151. I. 7. d. κρί 57. n. 3. κρίνειν, constr. 132. 10. g. κρόκα 56. n. 8. κρύπτασκον 103. m. 11. κρύπτειν, ἀποκρύπτειν, c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. κρύφα 146. 4. 2. KUKEWY BCC. 55. D. κύντερος 69. n. 2. kupeir 132. 5. d .- with Part. 144. n. 6.

κύων, gender 32. n. 3. κωλύειν c. gen. 132. 4.—with μή and Inf. 148. n. 9.

Λ.

λ, dropped, 144, p. 247, and marg. doubled after augm. 83. n. 2. λαγχάνειν c. gen. 132. 5. d. λαγώς 37. n. 1, 2. λάθρα 146. 4. 2. λάλος, comparat. 65. n. 2. λαμβάνειν, -εσθαι, and compounds, c. gen. 132. 5. d, e, and n. 10; also 10. c.—λαβών, with, 150. m. 33. λανθάνειν c. acc. 131. 2.—with Part. 144. n. 6.—λανθάνεσθαι c. gen. 132. λâs, λâos, 43. n. 4; 44. n. 1. λατρεύειν constr. 131. 2, and n. 2. λέγω c. dupl. acc. 131. 5.—λέγομαι in personal constr. 151. I. 7.—τὸ λεγόμενον, 132. n. 14. λείπειν, επιλείπειν, ο. acc. 131. 2. λεοντέα, -τη, 34. n. 1. Λέσχης, Gon. Λέσχεω, 34. IV. 5. • λήγεω, 130. n. 2; 132. 4.—with Part. 144. 6. a.—with μή and Inf. 148. n. 9. λιπαρείν with Part. 144. n. 6. λίς, adj. 64. n. 3. 5. λίσσεσθαι c. gen. 132. n. 11. λόγου μείζων, etc. 132. n. 20. λοιδορείν c. dat. 133. 2. d. λοιποῦ, τοῦ, τὸ λοιπόν, 150. m. 17; 125. n. 8. λοίσθος, λοίσθιος, 69. n. 3. λοῦσαι ποταμοῖο 132. n. 28, marg. Λυδιστί, ἡ, 125. 7. λύειν and compounds, c. gen. 132. 4. λυμαίνεσθαι constr. 131. 2, and n. 2. λυσιτελείν constr. 131. n. 2. λωβᾶσθαι constr. 131. 2, and n. 2.

м

μ before β, inserted, 19. n. 1.—dropped in redupl. 114 πίμπλημι.—doubled after augm. 83. n. 2.
μά δηπί. 149. m. 23.
-μα subst. see -μος.
μαθεῦμαι 96. n. 16.
μακαρίζειν c. gen. 132. 10. e.
μακράν adv. 115. 4.—comparat. 115. 6.
μακρός, comparat. 67. n. 5.
μάλα, μάλλον, μάλιστα, 67. n. 7; 115.
7.—μάλιστα μέν, μάλλον δέ, 150.
m. 19.—μάλλον, μάλιστα, with comparat. 123.

n. 8, 9. - μάλιστα with numerals 150. m. 19.—ές τὰ μάλιστα 125. n. 8. μάλη, ὑπὸ μάλης, 57. 2. μαλκιήν 105. n. 5, marg. μᾶλλον, 800 μάλα. -μαν for -μην 103. m. 31. -- μάν, вее μήν. μανθάνω, constr. 132. 10. h; 144. 6. a. — in Pres. instead of Perf. 137. n. 8.—τί μαθών 150. m. 41.—Dor. μαθεῦμαι 95. n. 16. μάστι 56. n. 8. μάχεσθαι ο. dat. 133. 2. a.—μάχεσθαι μάχην 131. 4. μέγας 64. 1.—τὸ δὲ μέγιστον 151. IV. 10. μεΐον indecl. 129. n. 8. μειονεκτείν c. gen. 132. 12. μέλας 16. n. 2. μέλει μοι c. gen. 133. 10. e. and n. 15.—with οπως 139. m. 45.—μελον 145. n. 10. 2. μέλλειν, Synt. 137. n. 11; 139. m. 13; 140. 1. c; 150. m. 35. μέμνημαι 83. n. 1. — Opt. 98. n. 9.with Part. and Inf. 144. 6.—with *δτε* 139. m. 59. μέμφεσθαι and compounds, constr. 133. 2. d. μεν, μέν...δέ, constructions, 126. 2 sq. 149. m. 11–14. — μέν for μήν, 800 μήν.—μέν, μὲν δή, place of, 125. 9. 126. n. 3; 147. n. 3. -μεν, -μεναι, Inf. 103. m. 33; 107. m. 34 sq. μενοινήησι and -νήσειε 105. n. 10 ult. μέντοι in crasis, 29. n. 8.—Synt. 149. m. 13, 27.—μεντάν 29. n. 8. μέρος with verbs of partaking 132. n. 8. -μες for -μεν 103. m. 32. -μεσθα, -μεσθον, 103. m. 32. μεσονύκτιος as adv. 123. 6. μέσος, place of with art. 125. n. 5. comparat. 65. n. 2.—μέσατος 68. n. -μεσάτιος 69. n. 3. μεστός c. gen. 132. 10. a. μετά, signif. 147. p. 417. - c. acc. of Part. 145. n. 5.—as adv. 147. n. 5. -in composit. ib. n. 6.—in anastrophe, μέτα, 117. 3, and n. 3. —in Tmesis 147. n. 7 ult.—µета ταῦτα with Part. 144. n. 13. μεταδιδόναι, μεταιτείν, μεταλαμβάνειν, constr. 132. 5. d, and n. 7, 8. μεταμέλον 145. n. 10. 2. μεταξύ 146. 4. 1; 150. m. 27.—with Part. 145. n. 5.

μετείναι, μετέχειν, μέτοχος, constr. 132. 5. d, and n. 7, 8. μετέωρος as adv. 123. 6. μέτοχος, 800 μετείναι. μέχρι, μέχρις, 26. 4; 115. n. 4.— Synt. 146. n. 2 ult. μή, constr. 148. 1, 2 sq.—with Subj. Aor. for Imperat. 139. m. 4.—with Part. and Inf. 148. 2. f, g. - with Subj. and Opt. 139. m. 45; 148. 3, 4.—for δπως μή and after fear 139. m. 50; 148. n. 5. - whether not? 139. m. 63.-independent in clauses of fear 148. n. 5. — negatives parts of clauses 148. 2. h.—before subst. and other single words 148. n. 3; 151. IV. 6.—with Inf. after negative verbs 148. n. 9; also after η, 149. m. 7.—instead of μών in questions 139. m. 62; 148. 5; 149. m. 18.—μη οὐ 139. m. 50; 148. n. 6. — $\mu \dot{\eta} \ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \ 150$. m. 29 ult. — $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ellipt. 151. IV. 6.—μη ὅτι, μη ὅπως, 150. m. 3; ὅτι μή ib. m. 4.—τὸ μή c. Inf. 140. n. 9, 10; 148. 2. g.—той μή c. Inf. 140. n. 10. -μη subst. see -μος. μηδαμοί Ion. 70. 1. μηδέ, μηδείς, μηδεπώποτε, μηκέτι, etc. see oùdé, etc. $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu (\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu)$ 117. n. 1. — Synt. 149. m. 29. μηνιαίος as adv. 123. 6. μήτε, μήτις, 800 οῦτε, οῦτις. μήτηρ 47. 2, and n. 2.—without art. 124. n. 7. μήτι, μήτι γε, 150. m. 6. μήτρως 37. n. 1; 56. n. 6. -μι Dor. instead of -ω, 106. n. 9.— Subjunct. in epic writers 103. m. 37.—Verbs in μ , 106-109; see the list of such verbs, 112. 15. μίἄ 34. II. 2 μίγνυσθαι c. dat. 133. 2. a. μιμεῖσθαι c. acc. 131. 2. μιμνήσκειν, -εσθαι, and compounds, constr. 131. 5, and n. 8; 132. 10. d, and n. 14 a. μίν, νίν, 16. n. 1. d; 72. n. 6. 12. Mívos 37. n. 1; 56. n. 6. μν instead of μμ, 114 ἡμύω. μνάα, μνᾶ, 34. n. 1. μνημονεύειν, μνήμων, c. gen. 132. 10. d. μόγις, μόλις, 16. n. 2. μολών, 150. m. 34. μομφήν έχειν c. acc. 131. n. 7. -μός, -σμος, -μα, -μή, subst. 119. m. 19. μου and εμαυτοῦ 127. 7.-μου, σου, instead of µoi, σοι, 133. n. 10.

μοὐστίν, μοΰδωκεν, crasis, 29. n. 9. μύχατος 69. n. 2. μων, μων οὖν, μων μή, 139. m. 62; 149. m. 18.

ν έφελφυστικόν 26. 2, and n. 2; 80. n. 3; 117. 1.—in Impf. of contr. verbs 105. n. 3.

v in pronunc. changed, 25. n. 1, 4.before other consonants, 25. 1 sq. -before σ and ζ , 25. 3.—inserted, 114 ημύω—ins. before the ending of verbs in ω , 112. 10; in $\dot{a}\omega$, ib. 16.—in Perf. Pass. of verbs λμυρ, 101. n. 7. — ν before μ , ib. n. 8. $-\nu$ with a priv. 120. 5, and n. 10.

-v instead of -σav in 3 Plur. 103. m. 26; 107. m. 39; 110. n. 1. vaì μὰ Δία 149. m. 23.

ναίχι 11. n. 2; 117. 2 ult.

ναυσίν without σύν 133. n. 13. -νάω, -νημι, from άω, 112. 16.

νέατος, νείατος, 68 ult.

-νέω, verbs in, 112. 10. νη- insep. 120. n. 12.

νή, particle of swearing 149. m. 23. νήτη 68 ult.

νικάν c. acc. 'Ολύμπια, etc. 131. n. 11 a.—c. dupl. acc. 131. n. 8.

νίφα 56. n. 8.

νομίζεσθαι constr. 144. 7. -vos subst. derivat. 119. m. 50.—secondary form in pr. names from voos, 60. 5. a, marg.

νόσφι, νόσφιν, 26. 3.—c. gen. 132. 4; 146. 4. 2.

νοσφίζειν c. gen. 132. 4.

νουθετείν c. acc. 133. 2. c.—c. dupl. acc. 131. n. 12.

vous, compounds with, 60. 5.

-ит 3 pers. Plur. 87. n. 3; 103. m. 28; 107. m. 38.

νύ, νύν, enclit. 14. 2. 5; 26. 3.—Synt. 149. m. 30.

νυκτιαίτερον 115. n. 6.

-νυμι, -ννυμι, verbs in, quant. of the υ, 106. 12, and n. 4.—from -ω, 106. 12; 112. 15. d, e.

νύν, νῦν, 14. 2. 5, marg.—τὸ νῦν είναι 150. m. 38.—νῦν δή 149. m. 20. τὰ νῦν 125. n. 8.

-νω, verbs in, 112. 10, 11.—quant. ib.

£ and ψ, origin and pronunc. 22.— οἰκτείρειν c. gen. 132. 10. e.

 ξ instead of σ in Fut. and Aor. 95. n. 2.

- ξ and - ψ , endings of Nom. 41. 1, 2 sq. comp. 38. n. — - \(\xi \) and -\(\psi \) adj. 63. 4. — - £ adv. 119. m. 84. ξύν, 800 σύν.

ξυνίστωρ c. acc. 130. n. 3.

o for ŭ, 5. n. 3.—changed into ov, 25. 4; 27. n. 1.—into ω, 27. n. 4, 10. -o for ω in Subj. 103. m. 39; see ω.-o alternate in Perf. 97. 4. c; 101. 6.—inserted in Perf. 97. n. 2. -remains in composit. 120. 2, and n. 1. — o and a before a vowel, see ă.

ő ellipt. 143. 11.—adv. wherefore, 128. n. 5; comp. 126. n. 7.

δ art. 75.—τὸν καὶ τόν 126. n. 5.—δ μέν . . . δ δέ 126. 2, 4, and n. 3, 4. δ μέν... δ δε οὐ 149. m. 14.—οἰ μέν . . . ol δέ with foll. Nom. instead of Gen. 132. n. 4.—οἰ ἀμφί, ol περί, 150. m. 25.—τὰ τότε 125. n. 6 ult.—See also τό, τά, and Ar-

όδε and οὖτος 127. 1.--όδε as adv ib. -τὸ ἀπὸ τοῦδε 125. n. 8.—See also

οδτος.

δδ' έκείνος 127. 1. c. óðós implied 123. n. 5; 131. 10. δζεω c. gen. 132. 10. h.

oη contr. into ω, 114 βοάω.

όθούνεκα, crasis, 29. n. 10; 139. m. 58; 149. m. 3; see οΰνεκα.

os for o and ov 27. n. 2, 4.—alternate of ϵ_i in Perf. 2, 110. 9; into ϵ_i ib. -o. and -a., short, 11. 7; exceptions,

ib. n. 3. — -o. local ending 116. 3. -oî and -εῦ Vocat. 11. 3; 45. 2, 5. ol instead of έαυτῷ 127. n. 4.

olda 109. III.—signif. 113. n. 10.οίδά σε, ὅτι κτλ. 151. Ι. 6.—εὖ οἶδ' οτι 151. IV. 4. - εὖ εἰδώς c. gen. 132. 10. d.

οίει 103. m. 18.—οίεσθαι constr. 139 m. 18.

-οίην Opt. 103. m. 13. -our Dual 35. n. 4. d; 43. n. 2.

οίκα p. 200, marg. οϊκαδε 116. n. 2

olkelos c. gen. 132. 7.

olkía, see olkos. οίκοι and οίκοι 11. n. 3; 116. 3. olkos and olkía omitted 125. 5; 132

n. 30.

οίμοι 11. n. 3. οίνοχοεύει, εc. ό οίνοχόσε 129. 16. -oto Thessalian Genit. 35. n. 4. ολόθεν ολυς 151. VI. 2. -oîos, e. g. παντοîos, 79. n. 2. olos and όποιος 127. 6.—olos with art. e. g. τοις οίοις ήμιν, 125. n. 9; 143. 16.—for ότι τοιούτος 139. m. 35 a. -in exclam. ib. ult.—with Inf. for Бот€ 139. m. 57. — olov and ola with Part. 144. n. 14. - in attr. οίφ σοί etc. 143. 16. — οίον after comparat. instead of 7, 149. m. 7. -See also öoos. οίός τε, οιόστε, Synt. 150. m. 10. öis, ois, 50. n. 6. cous for -oûv, Æol. Inf. 105. n. 18. -οίσα and εῦσα, Part. fem. 105. n. 13. -oiga for -ovga, -oigi for -ovgi, 103. m. 28, 29. olo E Imperat. 97. n. 9. ο**ໄ**σθ' ὁ δρᾶσον 139. m. 36. οίχομαι, Synt. 137. n. 8; 144. n. 6. οκως with Opt. in repetitions 139. m. σλίγον with comparat. 133. 4. d. όλιγοστός p. 92. marg. όλιγωρείν c. gen. 132. 10. e. όλοπαθη of 1 Decl. 33.5; 34. n. 1.of 2 Decl. 36. 1.—of 3 Decl. 48. n. 2; 62. n. 3. δλος, place with art. 125. n. 5. 'Ολύμπια νικᾶν, etc. 131. n. 11 **s**. όμαρτεῖν, όμιλεῖν, c. dat. 133. 2. a. δμνυμι c. acc. 131. 2. δμοιος c. gen. 132. n. 26.—c. dat. 133. 2. f; comp. ib. 4. c, marg.—with καί, like ac, 149. m. 8 ult.—δμοιος eiui with Part. in nom. and dat. 144. n. 9. όμοῦ prep. 146. n. 1. όμως with Particip. 144. n. 15. 8r Part. absol. omitted 145. n. 10. 2; 800 ພັv. όναρ 57. 2. δνινάναι c. scc. 131. 2.—ὀνίνασθαι c. gen. 132. 10. i. όνομα with verbs of naming 131. n. ονομαζόμενος, so called, 144. n. 10. **ὄ**ου 75. n. 1. dπηδείν constr. 133. 1, and marg. δπισθεν 146. 4. 2. δπίστατος 70. n. 2. όπλίται in dat. without σύν, 133. n. 13. δπλότερος 70. n. 2. όποδαπός, 79. n. 1. όποιος, όπόσος, ὅπως, etc. 127. 6.

όποστός 79. n. 1. όπότε 149. m. 6.—όπότε τοῦ ἔτος 132. b. — ὁπότε and ὁπόταν, 139. m. 24, 37, 39. 68. οπου 149. m. 6; 139. m. 31. See ποῦ. όπτησαι κρεών 132. 5. c. orws that, in order that, 139. m. 45-49; 149. m. 2.—with Subj. or Fut. instead of Imperat. ib. m. 46.οπως αν, ib. m. 47, 48.—as relat. 139. m. 31.-in indir. quest. ib. m. 63.—after δέδοικα, etc. ib. m. 50. όρậν with ὅπως foll. 139. n. 45.—ὁρậν ểάν, ib. m. 66. ὀρέγεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. f. δρημι 105. n. 16, marg. 106. n. 9, όρνιθοθήρα, τοῦ, 34. ΙΥ. 4. -os for -ous 35. n. 4. b; in Nom. Sing. 60. 5. b, marg.—subst. masc. and neut. 49.1; 56.6; 119. m. 28, 32, 39. os pure in genit. 42. 1; 48. 1. -ós neut. Part. as έστός, 107. m. 23. marg. ör pron. poss. 3 pers. 72. 4.—instead of 1 and 2 pers. 127. n. 5. ős, ὄστις, pron. relat. 75; 126; 143; see also ὁ, Article, Relative. —δστις instead of &s 127. 6, and n. 10.οστις, δε αν, after a noun in Plur. 143. 5.—for εί τις 143. 19.—οστις αν, δς αν, with Opt. 139. m. 68.όστις, όστιςοῦν, etc. 127. n. 11; 139. m. 32.—δστις for δστιςοῦν 127. n. 11 ult. — δε βούλει quivis, inflected, 143. n. 7.—δς μέν...δς δέ, 126. 2, and n. 3, 4.—ô δὲ πάντων γελοιότατον, etc. 143. 11. -οσαν for -ον, Aor. etc. 103. m. 25. όσος and όπόσος 127. 6.—όσος (and olos) in exclam. 139. m. 35 a, ult. -for ότι τοσούτος, ib. init.—with superlat. 123. n. 9.—for ωστε c. Inf. 139. m. 57.—in parenthetic clauses 140. n. 4.—δσος with art. 125. n. 9.—δσος γ' ἐμὲ εἰδέναι 140. n. 4.-attraction with 143. 16, ex. –őσον οὐ 150. m. 8.—őσον inste**ad** of ή, 149. m. 7.—πλεῖσθ' ὅσα, etc. 150. n. 8; 151. I. 5; 129. n. 18.τοσούτφ . . . ὄσφ 150. m. 8.—elliptical turns with ooov, ooa, ib. οσαι ημέραι, ib.—οσον τε 149. m. 8. δαπερ 127. n. 9. όσσε as plur. 123. n. 4. οστε, etc. in Hom. 149. 8. δστις, όστιςοῦν, 800 δς. δσφρ είνεσθαι c. gen. 132, 10. h.

отам 139. m. 14, 24.—with Opt. ib. m. 68. öτε constr. 139. m. 37.—quandoquidem 149. m. 6.—that, after μέμνημαι etc. 139. m. 59. δτέ 116. n. 9. örι, ότιή, 117. 2. - Synt. 139. m. 42 58; 149. m. 3. - after verbs of fearing, etc. 139. m. 50. - after relative clauses 143. 11, ex. strengthens the superlat. 149. m. 3; comp. 123. n. 9.—stands twice or interchanges with &s 139. m. 61.—redundant before an Inf. or a direct quotation 139. m. 61; 141. n. 2.—δηλόν ότι, εδ οίδ' ότι, 151. IV. 4.—ὅτι μή 150. m. 3. ότις, ότεων, ότεοισι, etc. 77. n. 4. ov diphth. 5. 1, and n. 2, 3. οὐ, οὐκ, οὐχ, 4. 5; 13. 3; 26. 5. — οὐ and μή, Synt. 148.—οὐ before subst. and other single words 148. n. 2. 3. - in conditional and other dependent clauses 148. 2. b, marg. ib. n. 2.-in a direct quest. 148. 5. —redundant after #, 149. m. 7.—où μέν άλλά, οὐ μέντοι άλλά, οὐ γάρ άλλά, 149. m. 16.—οὐ μή, μή οὐ, 139. m. 6, 7; ib. m. 46, marg. 148. n. 6.—οὐ μήν 149. m. 29. οὐ πάνυ, οῦ φημι, 148. n. 2. - οὐ περί 150. m. 7.—οὐ δέ 149. m. 15 ult.-- οὐδεὶς ὄστις οὐ 148. n. 8.--See also in oux. οδ, see ε.—as local adv. 116. 4; 132. 14. b. οὐδαμῶς, -μŷ, etc. 116. n. 6.—οὐδαμοί, μηδαμοί, Ion. 70. 1. οὐδέ and μηδέ, 149. m. 15.—οὐδὲ εἶς, μηδὲ ἔν, 70. 1.—οὐδ' ὡς, μη δ' ὡς, 116. 5; 149. m. 1. pen. οὐδείς, οὐθείς, μηδείς, 70. 1; 78. 1. οὐδεὶς ὄστις οὐ 148. n. 8. - οὐδὲν οίον 150. m. 11.—οὐδεν άλλ' ή, ib. m. 12.—οὐδέν, μηδέν, nearly indeel. 129. n. 8.—οὐδέν c. gen. 132. n. 5. οὐδεπώποτε, μηδεπώποτε, 149. m. 21. OÙK, SEE OÙ. οὐκέτι, μηκέτι, Synt. 149. m. 22. οῦκουν, οὐκοῦν, 139. m. 62; 149. m. 18. ov 149. m. 18.—appended 80. 1; 116. 9. -oûr acc. sing. 44. 1; 49. n. 7. ойнека 29. n. 10.—Synt. 149. m. 3; 139. m. 42, 58. ούποτε, μήποτε; εύπω, μήπω, 116. n. 6. -Synt. 149. m. 21. oupavos without art. 124. n. 7.

οδρεσιν έτρεφε 133. n. 15. οὐρῆν 105. n. 5, marg.; see § 114 οὐρέω. -ous, words in, 44. 1; 50. 1. -οῦς, οῦντος, 41. n. 5; /62. n. 3. -οῦσσα in names of places, from όεσσα, 27. n. 17. ούτε, μήτε, Synt. 149. m. 15.—ούτε ...τε, ib. ούτις, μήτις, 78. 1. — ούτι, μήτι, 150. m. 6. οὖτος, 76.—heus! ib. n. 3.—Synt. diff. of ouros and ode 127. 1, 2 .- as adv. ib. 1. — καὶ οὖτος, καὶ ταῦτα, 15. m. 16 .- place with art. 127. 9. - omission of, see Omission.—τούτου, τοῦde, pleonast. before Inf. and before ή, 132. n. 22; and so ταῦτα 127. 1. b, ex. -- ouros after preced. Part. 144. n. 13, marg.—without art. 127. n. 15.—See also rouro, and Demonstratives. outes 26. 4. - Synt. before relat. clauses 139. m. 35 b.—before & ote, ib. m. 5; 140. 4.—for # after comparat. 149. m. 7.—after a Particip. 144. n. 13.—in clauses of wishing 139. m. 7; 149. m. 1 ult.—diff. of ούτως and &δε 127. 1. b. ούχ ότι, ούχ όπως, ούχ όσον, ούχ οίον, 150. m. 1, 2.—οὐχ ήκιστα 148. n. 2. οὐχί 117. 2. δφελον, Synt. 139. m. 8, 13; 150. m. 20. δφελος 57. 2. όφλισκάνειν c. acc. γέλωτα, etc. 132. n. 17. όφρα 116. 4 ult.—Synt. 139. m. 37, 40, 45. $\delta_{\chi a}$ with superlat. 123. n. 9. லே 2 pers. Sing. 103. m. 18. őψιος, comparat. 65. n. 2.—as adv. 123. 6. όψοφάγος, comparat. 65. n. 2. 3. οω as resolution into the double sound, in verbs in do and do, 105. n. 10, 11. -ów, verbs in, 104. 3; 105. n. 11; 119. m. 2, 7.—not contr. in 1 pers. 105. n. 1.—from verbs in ω, 112.7.

П.

π, characteristic, 92. 8, and n. 1. παιδικά, τά, 32. n. 1. παίδικό, τέ, 19 μιτ. παίδων, 43. n. 4. d.— without art. 124. n. 7. — implied 125. 5. παλαίος, comparat. 65. n. 3.

παλιμπλαγχθέντες 121. n. 1. πάλιν before conson. 25. n. 3. παμφαίνησι 106. n. 9. mar, strengthening of adj. 60. n. 4. πανημέριος as adv. 123. 6. Πάνθου Voc. p. 50, marg. πάνυ, δ, 125. 7. παρά elided 117. n. 2.—signif. 147. p. 417. - with Pass. 134. 3; 147. p. 417.—as adv. 147. n. 5.—in compos. ib. n. 6.—οί παρά, τὰ παρά, c. gen. 147. p. 417.—πάρα for πάρειμι 117. 3. - παραί 117. n. 1. τὸ παράπαν 125. n. 8. παραινείν c. dat. 133. 2. c. παρακαλείν **ό**πως 139. m. 45. παραπλήσιος c. dat. 133. 2. f. παρασκευάζεσθαι όπως 139. m. 45. παρασχόν absol. 145. n. 10. 2. παρατυχόν absol. 145. n. 10. 2. παραχρήμα 115. n. 5.— ἐκ τοῦ παραχρημα 125. n. 8. παρεγγυậν c. dat. 133. 2. c. жарісті, Synt. 150. m. 23. παρέχον, παρασχόν, absol. 145. n. 10. 2. παροίτερος 69. n. 2. παροξύνειν c. acc. 133. 2. c. πάρος, constr. 139. m. 41. πâs 43. n. 4; 62. 4; 78. 4.—and compounds 60. n. 4; 62. n. 2. - Synt. 127. 9.—πάντα every one 128. n. 3. --πâs τις 127. 4. marg. 129. n. 13. –πâs in attr. 143. 17. – τὸ πâs as adv. 128. n. 5. πάσσε δ' άλός θείοιο 132. 5. c. πάσσων, πάχιστος, 67. μ. 3. πάσχεω with υπό 134. 2.--πάσχειν εδ c. gen. 132. n. 28, marg. πατήρ 47. 1.—without art. 124. n. 7. -πάτερ Voc. 45. n. 1. Πάτροκλος 56. n. 2. πάτρως 37. n. 1; 56. n. 6. παύειν, παύεσθαι, 130. n. 2, ex. 132. 4. and n. 2.—παύεσθαι to cease, with Part. 144. 6. a. - with foll. μή and Inf. 148. n. 9. πάχιστος 67. n. 3. πεδά Æol. for μετά 117. n. 1. πεζεί in Dat. without σύν, 133. n. 13. πείθειν, c. dupl. acc. 131. 5 .- with δστε 140. 4. — πείθεσθαι with dat. c. Inf. 143. n. 4.—πειστέον 134. n. 5. Πειραιεύς 53. 2. πειρασθαι and compounds, c. gen. 132. 10. d. πειστέον 134. n. 5. πένησσα 64. n. 3. 3. πέποσθε 110. n. 5.

πέπταμαι, πεπτηώς, πέπτωκα, 83. n. 1. c. πέρ 149. m. 30; 144. n. 15.—appended, 75. 3; 116. 4; 127. n. 9. πέρα, πέραν, 117. 1; 146, 4. 2.—comparat. 69. 2; 115. n. 6. περαιούσθαι c. acc. 135. 4. περί, signif. 147. p. 415.—omitted 132. n. 29; see Prepositions.—ol περί, οἱ ἀμφί, 150. m. 25.—οὐ περί, ib. m. 7.—περὶ πολλοῦ p. 416.—πέρι in anastrophe 117. 3; as adv. 147. n. 5.—in composit. 147. n. 6. περιγίγνεσθαι, περιείναι, c. gen. 132. περιοράν with Inf. and Part. 144. 6. περυττός c. gen. 132. 12. πέρυσιν 26. 3. πη μέν...πη δέ, 149. m. 14.πιμπλάναι c. gen. 132. 10. a. πιστεύειν c. dat. et Inf. 142. n. 4.πιστεύεσθαι Pass. 131. 5. πίων, πίειρα, 64. n. 3. 4.—comparat. 68. 11. πλάγιος as adv. 123. 6. -πλάσως numeral 71. 3.—c. gen. 132. πλέες 68. 6, and marg. πλείν θάλασσαν 131. 3, ex. $\pi\lambda \acute{e}o\nu$, $\pi\lambda \acute{e}i\nu$, indeel. 129. n. 8.—with # omitted 132. n. 21. πλέως 61. 2.—c. gen. 132. 10. a. πλήν, πλὴν εἰ, 146. n. 2. πλήρης, πληροῦν, c. gen. 132. 10. a. πλησιαίτερος, etc. 69. 2; 115. n. 6. πλησίον as predic. 129. 13. πλοῦς, compounds with, 60. 5. -πλοῦς numeral 71. 3. πνείν c. aec. 131. 3, ex.—c. gen. 132. 10. h. ποδαπός 79. n. 1. ποθούντι ήλθεν, etc. 133. n. 6. ποί γης, ποί φρενών, 132. 5. b, and ποιείν τινά τι 131. 5; τινί ib. n. 8.with Sore 140. 4.— π oie $i\sigma heta$ ai λ elav, \cdot θώυμα, σπονδάς, c. acc. 131. n. 7. --περὶ πολλοῦ p. 416. ποιητοῦ, τὸ τοῦ, 131. n. 14. ποίος with art. 124. n. 3.-ποίος τις 127. n. 7. πολεμείν c. dat. 133. 2. a. — c. acc. πόλεμον 131. 4. πόλις 50. 1; 51. n. 3.—without art. 124. n. 7.—compounds with, 63. πολλάκις, Sunt. 150. m. 28. πολλοπλάσιος c. gen. 132. 12.

πολλος 64.n.1.—πολλοστός 71.1, marg. πολύαρνι 64. n. 3. 7. πολύς 64. 1, and n. 1.—πολύ, πολλώ, with comparat. 123. n. 8; 133. 4. d. –πολύ c. gen. 132. n. 5.—τὰ πολλά adv. 128. n. 5.—πολλοῦ δεῖ, δεῖν, etc. 140. n. 4; 150. m. 18; 151. I. 7. b.—περὶ πολλοῦ ποιεῖσθαι, p. 416. πόποι, ω, 117. n. 5. πόρρω 115. 6. ποσαπλάσιος 79. n. 1. πόσις 50. n. 5; 51. n. 3. πόσον τι 127. n. 7. ποσταίος, πόστος, 79. n. 1. ποτέ and πού, 149. m. 31. πότερος interrog. and indef. 78. 2, and n. 1.—πότερον . . . ή, 139. m. 63. ποτί Dor. for πρός, 117. n. 1.—ποττόν for ποτί τόν, ib. n. 2. πότνια (ă) 60. n. 1; 64. n. 3. ποῦ adv. of place 116. 4; 132. 14. b. .c. gen. 132. 5. b. and n. 6. πού, δήπου, etc. 149. m. 31. πουλύς 64. n. 1 ποῦς, compounds with, 63. n. 4. πράγματα implied 125. 5. πράος 64. 2. πράττειν ὅπως 139. m. 45. πράττεσθαι c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. πρέπει c. dat. also with acc. c. Inf. 133. 2. e; 142. n. 2.—πρέπον c. gen. 132. n. 26.— $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$ personal, 151. I. 7. d. πρέσβα for πρέσβειρα, 64. n. 3. 3, 5. πρεσβεύειν, πρεσβεύεσθαι, 135. 8, ex. πρήσαι πυρός, 132. n. 28, marg. πρίασθαι c. gen. 132, 10, c. πρίν, πρὶν ή, πρὶν αν, 139. m. 41, 68; 149. m. 20.—τὸ πρίν 125. n. 8. πρό, crasis 86. n. 1; 120. n. 7.—signif. 147. p. 413.—in composit. 147. n. 6.—compounds with, c. gen. 132. 12.— $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma}$ repeated before a genit. 132. n. 25. проїка adv. 115. 4. προκαλείσθαι c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. προκειμένου Part. absol. 145. n. 9. πρός, signif. p. 147. p. 418. — with Pass. 134. 3; also 147. p. 418.as adv. 147. n. 5.—compounds with, ib. n. 8; 133. 2. b, c; ib. 3. -πρός σε θεῶν 151. III. 6.—προτί for πρός 117. n. 1. προσβάλλειν c. dat. et acc. 133. 2. b, and n. 1. προσδεχομένω ήλθεν, etc. 133. n. 6. προσελθείν c. dat. 133. 2. b. προσήκει c. gen. 132. 10. a.—c. dat.

also with acc. c. Inf. 133, 2. e; 142. n. 2.—προσήκον, augm. 116. n. 4.—προσήκον Part. absol. 145. n. 10. 2.—προσήκω personal 151. I. d.—See also δεῖ. προσημαίνει 129. 17. προσκυνείν, constr. 131. 3, ex. προσμίσγειν intrans. 130. n. 2, ex. προσποιείσθαί τι 135. 6. προστάσσειν c. dat. 133. 2. c.-προστάσσεσθαι Pass. 134. 5. — προσταχθέν Part. absol. 145. n. 10. 2. πρόσω, 115. 6. — τοῦ πρόσω sc. lέναι, 132. n. 28, marg. προτί for πρός 117. n. 1. πρότερος, πρώτος, 69. 2; 71. 1. προτίειν, προτιμάν, c. gen. 132. 10. b; ib. 12. προτοῦ, or πρὸ τοῦ, 150. 17. προύλίγου, προύργου, 29. n. 9.—προύργου adv. 115. n. 5. - προυργιαίτερος, -ρον, 69. 2; 115. n. 6. προφέρειν όδοῦ 132. n. 28, marg.προφέρησι 3 pers. 106. n. 9, and marg. πρόφρων, πρόφρασσα, 64. n. 3. 4. πρώιος, comparat. 65. n. 2. πρώτος 69. 2; 71. 1.—τὸ πρώτον 125. n. 8. mr, characteristic 92. 8, and n. 1. πτωχός, comparat. 65. n. 2. 3. πύελος p. 247, marg. πύθευ Imperat. 103. m. 4, marg. Πύθια νικᾶν 131. n. 11 a. πύματος 69. n. 2. πυνθάνομαι 132. 10. h; 137. n. 7. $\pi\bar{\nu}\rho$ ós and $\pi\bar{\nu}\rho$ ós $(\pi\hat{\nu}\rho)$ 7. n. 8; 41. n. 3. πώ, πώποτε, 149. m. 21. πωλείν c. gen. 132, 10. c. πώμαλα 150. m. 19. πώποτε 149. m. 21.

Р.

ρ takes after it a, see ā.—is readily doubled 7. n. 15. 2; 21. 2; 120. 6. ρ, ρρ, breathing, 6. 3.—ρρ and ρσ 16. n. 3. ρά elided 30. 2. 4. ράδιος 68. 8.—no copula 129. n. 18. -ραίνω, verbs in, 101. 4; comp. n. 2. ράσσατε 103. m. 24. ρέα, ρεία, 68. 8. ρείν c. acc. 131. 3, ex. ρερυπωμένα 83. n. 4. ρητά κοὐκ ἄρρητα 151. VI. 2. ρίγεῖν c. acc. 131. n. 3. ρίπτασκον 103. m. 11. ροῦς 36. n. 1; 50. n. 7.

Σ.

σ prefixed, prosthesis, 19. n. 4.—σ for strength in Pres. σκω 112. n. 8.in compound words 120. 3, and n. 6. - in the derivat. of subst. 119. m. 19, 20.—doubled 27. n. 17; in Fut. and Aor. 1 Act. 95. n. 5.dropped in endings of Pass. σθαι etc. 19. 2; 98. 2 sq. 101. 7; 110. n. 3; also in verbal adj. as Saupeτός 102. n. 1.—See also -σαι, -σο. e cuphonic, in Perf. Acr. 1 Pass. and verbal adj. 98. 2, and n. 6; 100. 3, and n. 2; 112. 20.—See also Prosthesis, Epenthesis. s final, appended 26.4.—with change of signif. 117. 1.—as ending of Nom. in 3 Decl. 40. 1; 41. 1, 5, 6. -of the Imperat. instead of 9, 106. n. 1; 110. n. 2.—written in the middle of words 2. n. 1. -σαι, -σο, 2 pers. Pass. 103. m. 16, 17; 105. n. 7; 106. n. 2.—in verbs in μι, p. 184. marg. § 107. m. 37. σαλπίζει, sc. δ σαλπ. 129. 16. — σαλπίζοντος genit. absol. 145. n. 9. Σαμπί numeral sign 2. n. 3. App. B. -war 3 plur. Alex. instead of -r, 103. m. 25. ráos, see rûs. σδ for ζ, 16. n. 1. g. -σε adverbial ending 116. 1. σεαυτοῦ and σου instead of pron. poss. 127. 7. 1. -σείω, verbs in, 119. m. 11. σελήνη without art. 124. n. 7. σημα τιθέναι c. acc. 131. n. 7. σημαίνει, 80. ό σαλπ. 129. 16.—σημανθέντων genit. absol. 145. n. σημείον δέ, 151. ΙΥ. 10. σήμερον, τήμερον, 117. 2. $-\sigma\theta a_2$ antique ending, 103. m. 36. -σω, on names of cities 26. 3; 116. 3.—as 3 pers. Sing. 103. m. 37; 106. n. 7, 9; 107. m. 45. -σια, see -σις. σίγα as predic. 129, 13, σίγματα 57. 3. marg. -σις, -σια, abstr. subst. 119. m. 22, 35. -σκον, -σκόμην, see Iterative. σκοπείν with εάν, 139. m. 66. окотаїоs as adv. 123. 6. -σκω, verbs in, 112. 14. -σμος, -μος, subst. 119. m. 19. -σο, see -σαι. σου and σεαυτοῦ for pron. poss. 127.

7. 1.—σου, μου, instead of σοι, μοι, 133. n. 10. σοφός σοφίαν 131. n. 6. σπανίζειν c. gen. 132. 10. a. σπάνιος as adv. 123. 6. σπείο 103. m. 19; 114 έπω. σπέος, σπείι, σπέσσι, σπήεσσι, 53. n. 2, 5. σπεύδειν τι, 131, 3, ex. σπήεσσι, 800 σπέος. σσ, ττ, 16. n. 3; 128, 8, and n. 2. -σσα, subst. fem. 119. m. 48. -σσω, -ττω, verbs in, characteristic, 92. n. 2-6.—their flexion 95. 3; 104. n. 2. στάγες 56. n. 8. σταθμός, σταθμά, 56. 6. στέαρ, στητός, 41. n. 7. στέλλεσθαι 136. n. 2. στέργειν c. acc. 132, 10. f. marg. στερίσκειν c. gen. 132. 4. στητός gen. see στέαρ. στίχες 56. n. 8. στόλος in dat. without σύν, 133. n. 18. στοχάζεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. f. στρατηγήσας έποθει 144. n. 3. στρατιά in dat. without σύν, 133. n. 13. συγγεγνώσκει», constr. 144. n. 9. συλάν c. dupl. acc. 131. 5. συμβαίνει with δστε foll. 140. 4.with acc. c. Inf. 142. n. 2.—συμβαίνω personal 151. I. 7. b. συμφέρειν with δστε foll. 140. 4.συμφέρον c. gen. 132. n. 26. σύν, usage 133. n. 13, 14; 147. p. 413.—omitted 133. n. 14.—as adv. 147. n. 5.—in anastrophe, etc. ib. m. 10—changes of the ν , 25; 86. 1.—compounds with, 133. 2. b; ib. 3; 147. n. 8. — σὺν κακῶς ποιεῖν 147. n. 8. συναίρεσθαί τενι πόλεμον 135. 6, 6x. συνελόντι 140. n. 4. -σύνη subst. 119. m. 38. συνήθης, genit. Plur. 49. n. 4. συνιέναι constr. 132. 10. h. σύνοιδα constr. 144. n. 9. Συράκουσαι, Συρακόσιος, 27. n. 17. συσκοτάζει 129. 17. συσχέσθαι Pass. 113. n. 9. συχρός as adv. 123. 6. σφ-, enclitic forms, 14. 2. 2; 72. n. σφάλλεσθαι c. gen. 132. 4. σφείε, σφάε, usage, 127. 3, and m. 4; 141. n. 4; 142. n. 3, ex. σφέτερος 127. n. 3, 5. — periphrase 127. 7. 2.—their own 127. n. 13. Κĸ

σχολαΐος, comparat. 65. n. 3. σώζειν c. gen. 132. 4; c. εκ, ib. n. 2. σῶμα impl. 135. 2, ex. σῶς σάος, 64. 3, and n. 2. σῶτερ Voc. 45. n. 2, 5.

T.

r, falls away, 41. 3, 4.—characteristic 92. 10, and n. 1; 96. 6. τά, see τό.—τὰ μέν . . . τὰ δέ, 128. n. 5. –τά τε ἄλλα . . . καί, 150. m. 14. τάγε therefore 126. n. 6; comp. 128. n. 5. τάδε adv. 128. n. 5.—refers to pers. 129. n. 10. τάλλα, crasis 29. n. 3.—Synt. 150. m. 14. ταν crasis 29. n. 8.—τάν, anom. Voc. p. 76. άρα, τάρα, τ' άρα, 29. n. 8.—Synt. 149. m. 27. ταρφέες, ταρφειαί, 64. n. 3. 6. ταὐτὸ τοῦτο, the very same way, 131. ταχύς, comparat. 18. 2; 67. 3. ταώς, ταώ, ταών, 37. n. 1; 56. n. 6. d. τέ Synt. 149. m. 8.—place of, 125. 9; 147. n. 2.—τέ...οῦτε, etc. 149. m. τέθριππον 17. n. 2. τείν, τίν, 72. n. 6. 6. -тегра, subst. fem. 119. m. 44. τεκμήριον δέ 151. ΙΥ. 10. τέκνον, τέκος, 32. n. 1.—φίλε τέκνον, ib. τεκνοῦν in Pres. 137. n. 8. TÉKOS, 800 TÉKVOV. τελευταίον, τό, 125. n. 8. τελευτάν ύπο τινος, p. 419.-τελευτών 150. m. 32. τέο, τεῦ, τοῦ, for τινός, 77. n. 3. τεός, ά, όν, 72. n. 7. -repos, adj. ending, 69. n. 4. τέρπειν, τέρπεσθαι, see Emotion, verbs τέτροφα 97. n. 1.—τέτραφα, ib. marg. τη μέν . . . τη δέ, 149. m. 14. ηλικόσδε, τηλικοῦτος, 14. n. 3; 79. 5. -for the fem. 79. n. 4. τήμερον, 800 σήμερον. τημος, τημούτος, 116. 4 ult. τήνος 74. n. 1; Dor. τηνεί 116. n. 10.c. -τηρ, -της, -τωρ, subst. 119. m. 29; comp. m. 44. -τήριον, -τρον, subst. 119. m. 33. -της masc. see -τηρ.— -της subst. fem. 119. m. 37. -τι instead of -σι, 3 pers. Sing. 107. m. 38; see -9.

τὶ enclit. Synt. 150. m. 6.—inserted in composition 116. 9.—ὑπό τι in Tmesis, somewhat, 147. n. 7.—7 c. gen. 132. n. 5. τί, 150. m. 6.—τί μήν ; 149. m. 29. τί γάρ, τί δέ, τί οὖν δή, τί μή; 150. m. 6.—rí où with Aor. 137. n. 6. τί χρώμαι αὐτῷ 131. 8.—τί παθών τί μαθών, 150. m. 41.—τί δ' οὐ μέλ λει 150. m. 35. τιή; 77.-n. 2. τιθέναι c. gen. 132. n. 13.—9εῖναι v θέσθαι νόμους 135. 6.—διαθέσθαι, ib. τίκτειν, to be a father, etc. 137. n. 8. τίν, 800 τεΐν. τίπτε 116. n. 10. g ris enclit. Synt. 124. 2; 127. 4 sq. 129. 19 sq.—after adj. 127. n. 7. with Imperat. 129. n. 13. — with Part. 144. n. 1.—Ion. put between art. and subst. 125. n. 1.-omitted 129. n. 17.-in attr. 143. 17. τίς, τί, accent 14. 2.—quantity 41. n. 3.—Synt. 127. 5; 139. m. 63 sq. —τὸ τί; 124. n. 3.—τί c. gen. 132. n. 5. τιτύσκεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. f. τίειν, τίσασθαί τινά τι, 135. n. 2. τό, τά, Synt. 125. 5, and n. 6; 128. 1, 2 sq. see also Article.—as adv. 125 n. 8; 128. n. 5.—with a genit. or adverbial expression, 125. 5, and n. 6.—τό, τῷ, therefore, 126. n. 6. —τὸ μή, τὸ μὴ οὐ, τοῦ μή, 140. n. 9, 10; 148. 2. g, h; ib. n. 9.—rd δέ 150. m. 5.—τό with Inf. instead of του, after εἴργειν, etc. 140. n. 9, 10.—τὸ πᾶν, τὰ πολλά, adv. 128. n. 5.—τὰ καὶ τά, 126. n. 5.—τὸ πρῶτον, τὰ νῦν, adv. 125. n. 8. τοί, in crasis 29. n. 8. - τοί, τοίνυν, etc. 149. m. 27.—place of, 125, 9. τοῖο for τοῦ 75. n. 1; 77. n. 3. τοιόσδε, τοσόσδε, 14. n. 2, 3; 79. 4, 5; 127. 1. b. τοιούτος, τοσούτος, flexion 79. 4, 5.with genit. foll. 132. n. 6.art. 124. n. 2. — τοιούτος, οίος, c. Inf. 139. m. 57.—τοιούτος and τοιόσδε 127. 1. b.—τοιαῦτ' ἄττα 127. n. 7. τοίσδεσσι 76. n. 2. τοξεύειν c. gen. 132. 10. f. τόσος, όσος, usage 79. 4 sq. τοσόσδε, 800 τοιόσδε. τοσούτος, see τοιούτος and Joos. τοσοῦτος, ὄσος, c. Inf. 139. m. 57.

τοτέ ... ότέ, 116. n. 9.

τοθυαυτίου, on the contrary, 131. n. 14. τοῦτο c. gen. 132. n. 6.—τοῦτο, ταῦτα, therefore, 128. n. 5; comp. 126. n. 6. — τοῦτ' ἐκεῖνο 127. 1. c. — τοῦτο μέν . . . τοῦτο δέ, 128. n. 5. -τρα, -τρον, subst. 119. m. 33. τραπητέον 134. n. 5. τραῦμα, τρώϋμα, 36. n. 11. τρέχειν 114; 800 δραμείν. τριήρης, genit. Plur. 49. 3, and n. 4. τρι-, τρισ-, 70. n. 3, and marg. -тріs, -тріа, subst. 119. m. 44. τριταίος as adv. 123. 6. τριχός genit. of θρίξ, 18. 2. -троу subst. 119. m. 33. Τρώς, genit. Plur. Τρώων, 43. n. 4. d. τρώϋμα, 800 τραθμα. ττ, -ττω, 800 σσ, -σσω, etc. τυγχάνω, ἐντυγχάνω, c. gen. dat. acc. 132. d, and n. 7; ib. 10. h.—with Part. 144. n. 6. τύννος, τυννοῦτος, 79. n. 1. τύπτεσθαί τινα 135.5.—c. acc. of thing, τὶ, πληγάς, 134. n. 2. τυφώς, τυφών, 56. n. 6. d. τυχόν absol. 145. n. 10. 2. τφ, therefore, 126. n. 6. -τωρ subst. 119. m. 29. τωὐτό, crasis, 29. n. 6.

v initial aspirated 6.4.—v and ι long in penult of verbs in ω , 7. n. 8.— v instead of ϵv in Perf. Pass. 98. ύβρίζει» constr. 131. 2, and n. 2. ύβριστής, comparat. 66. n. 1. **ὑγιής 53. 1.** ύει, 129. 17.—ύοντος absol. 145. n. 9. -ύζω, verbs in, flexion, 95. 6. ve diphth. 5. 1, and n. 2 .- ve dat. Sing. 50. n. 1. viós impl. 125. 5. -υμι, verbs in, Subj. and Opt. 107. m. 33; see -νυμι. -uvos genit. in, quantity, 41. n. 1. -ύνω, verbs in, 104. 3; 119. m. 9.come from verbs in -ύω, 112. 10. their quantity, 112. n. 6. ύπαί 117. n. 1. ύπακούω, ύπήκοος, constr. 132. 10. h, marg. ὖπαρ 57.2. υπάρχειν with Part. 144. n. 6. ύπατος 69. 2. ύπεμνήμυκε, 114 ημύω. υπέρ prep. signif. 147. p. 415.—in composit. 147. n. 6.

ύπερβάλλειν c. gen. 132. 12.—c. acc. ύπεροράν constr. 132. 10. c, and n. 15. υπερπόντιος as adv. 123. 6. ύπέρτερος, ύπέρτατος, ύπατος, 69. 2. υπήκοος, constr. 132. 10. h, marg.τὸ ὑπήκοον, the subjects, 128. 3. ύπισχνείσθαι c. Inf. Fut. 140. 1. c. ύπό elided, ὑββάλλεω, 117. n. 2.—signif. 147. p. 419.—as adv. 147. n. 5. -in composit. ib. n. 6.—with Pass. 134. 2; 147. p. 419.—ὑπό τι 147. n. 7 ult.—υπο for υπεστι 117. 3, 2. ύποδείν τινά τι 131. 5.— ύποδείσθαί τι Mid. 135. 4. ύπολίζονες 68. 5. ύπομιμνήσκειν τινά τι 131. n. 8. ύπόσπονδος as adv. 123. 6. ύποστῆναί τι 131. 3, ex. ύποτίθεσθαι c. dat. 133. 2. c. -υρός (ŭ) adj. 7. n. 7. -us, Nom. in, long 42. n. 2.—Adj. 62. 1, and n. 1; 63. 2. ύσμίνι 56. n. 8. ύστερείν c. gen. 132. 12. ύστερος, ύστατος, ύστάτιος, 69. 2, and n. 3. vivois Infin. 105. n. 18. -ύω, verbs in, quantity, 7. n. 10.— Fut. τσω, 95. n. 3.—some from verbs in -w, 112. 7.

 ϕ , characteristic 92. 8, and n. 1. φαέθω 112. 12. φαίνεσθαι constr. 144. 6.—personal 151. I. 7. a. φανερός είμι 151. Ι. 7; ib. c. φάσκειν 109. I. 2, and marg. φείδεσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. e. φέρε instead of Plur. 115. n. 7; 129. n. 13. - before 1 pers. Subjunct. 139. m. 3. φέρτερος, φέρτατος, φέριστος, 69. n. 2. φέρων 150. m. 33, 42; 144. n. 3. φεύγεω and compounds, constr. 131. 2, and n. 2.—δίκην 132. 10, g; ὑπό тио р. 419. φημί 109. I.— τφην without αν, 139. φθάνειν c. acc. et Part, 131, 2; 144. n. 6; 150. m. 37.—phrases with, 150. m. 37. φθονείν constr. 132. 10. e, and n. 15. -φι, -φιν, 26. 3; 56. n. 9. φιλείν, see in *ἐρ*αν. Φιλής 56. n. 1. φίλος, comparat. 65. n. 4; 67. n. 6.

φοίνιξ, φοΐνιξ, 41. n. 2. φρέαρ, φρητός, 41. n. 7. φρίσσω, characteristic 92. n. 8. φροίμιον 17. n. 2, marg. φροντίζεν constr. 132. 10. e, and n. 15.—with ὅπως 139. m. 45. φροντιστής e. acc. 130. n. 3. φροῦδος 17. 2; 64. 4.—Synt. 129. n. 18; 150. m. 30. φνλάσσεσθαί τωα 135. 5.—with ὅπως 139. m. 45.—with μή before Infin. 148. n. 9. φύξιμος e. acc. 130. n. 3. φῶς, φώς, genit. Plur. φώτων, φώδων, 43. n. 4. d.

X.

χ before μ, 23. 2.—as characteristic 92. 8, and n. 2. χαίρειν with Part. 131. n. 3; 144. n. 6; ib. 6. b. See also Emotion, verbs of. χαλεπός without copula 129. n. 18. -χαλ. είμι personal 151. I. 7. d. χαλεπώς φέρειν c. dat. 133. 4. c.with el, 139. m. 60. χαμαί and χθαμαλός, 19. n. 3. χαρίζεσθαι c. gen. 132. 5. c. χάριν, Synt. 131. 10; 146. n. 2. χείρ implied 123. n. 5.—τὸ χείρε 123. -χειρός άγειν τινά 132. n. 10.δεξιᾶς χειρός etc. 132. 14. b. γελιδοί 56. n. 7. 1. χερείων, χέρηϊ, χέρηα, χέρηες, τὰ χέρεια, 68. 2. χέω, έχεε 3 pers. Aor. 1, not contr. 105. n. 2, marg. χηροῦσθαι c. gen. 132. 10. a. χθαμαλός 19. n. 3. χθίζος as adv. 123. 6. χορεύεω c. acc. 131. 3 ult. χρεών indecl. 57. n. 1; 114 χράω, and marg.—without copula 129. n. 18. -Part. absol. 145. n. 10. 2. χρή, 114 χράω. — constr. 131. n. 4; 132. 10. a.—χρῆν 139. m. 13. χρήζειν constr. 132. 10. a, and n. 14. χρήματα impl. 125. 5, and n. 6. χρησθαί τωι 133. 4. a, and marg.έχρήσθην Pass. 136. n. 3. χρήστης 34. III. 1. b. χώρα implied 123. n. 5; 125. 5. χωρείν, χωρίζειν, and compounds, c. gen. 132. 4. χωρίς as predic. 129. 13.—c. gen. 132. 4; 146. 4. 2.

Ψ. ψ, ξ, origin, 22; see ξ. -ψ, adj. ending, 63. 4. ψαύειν c. gen. 132. 5. e; ib. 10. h. ψεύδεσθαι c. gen. 132. 4. ψευδής, comparat. 66. n. 2. ψηφίζεσθαι, with δοτε, 140. 4. ψηφουν θέσθαι, c. acc. 131. n. 7. ψιλός c. gen. 132. 10. a.

es, as if short in Att. decl. and Ion. genit. 11. 8, and n. 4.—compared with 3 Decl. 56. n. 7. marg. co for o and ov, 27. n. 4. — for e, ib. n. 13; 121. 8.—epic for o in Part. Perf. 103. m. 30.— alternate in Perf. 2, 97. n. 2; inserted, ib. alternate in verbs in -áw and -áw, 112. 8. contracted out of cη, 114 βοάω. in compounds 120. n. 2; 121. 8. φ, diphth. 5. 2, and n. 2. -w, acc. of Att. 2 Decl. 37. 2.—neut. of adj. in -ws, 61. 1.-adv. ending for -ωs, 115. 6. -ω, -ωs, subst. fem. 49. 1, 3.— -ω genit. 56. n. 6. -ω, Fut. Att. for -άσω, -έσω, 95. 8. & and & interj. 117. n. 5.—Synt. 129 a. n. 1; 132. n. 31. -- doubled 129 a. n. 1. ઢે રે. local adv. 149. m. 1 ult. - ઢે હે and ovres 127. 1. b; 149. m. 1 uit. - opp instead of -oinv 107. m. 3; 110. n. 1. 4. ώλλοι, ώριστος, crasis, 29. n. 6. and -wria subst. ampliat. 119. m. ων, ων, Part. omitted 144. n. 6, 7; 145. n. 10. 2. ων Ion. for οὖν, causes Tmesis, 147. n. 7. &va, crasis 45. n. 5. ωναξ, ωνερ, ωνθρωπε, crasis, 29. n. 10; comp. 45. n. 5. ώνέομαι c. gen. 132, 10, c. -ωνία, see -ών. ωτινων, accent 14. n. 2; 77. 3. -wo, for -ow 2 Decl. 37. n. 3. δ πόποι 117. n. 5. -ωρ subst. 56. n. 6. aρa without copula 129. n. 18. -os Part. Perf. neut. 110. 10 ult. comp. 107. m. 23. marg. -ws, -ŵs, adv. 11. 3; 115. 3; 116. n. 7. -ws subst. 49. 1, 3; 56. n. 6. - Adj.

61; 63.4.

bs, &s, particle, 13. 3, 4; 116. 4, 5; 149. m. 1.—Synt. as relat. 139. m. 31 sq.—as particle of time 137. n. 1; 139. m. 37 sq.—in repetitions with the Opt. ib. m. 39. - final, that, ib. m. 45 sq. ws av ib. m. 47, comp. m. 37.—with Inf. for Sore 139. m. 53; 140. 4.—for ότι ούτως 139. m. 35 a.—that, like ὅτι, 139. m. 58; the two interchanged, ib. m. 61.—in parenthetic clauses, as ώς έπος είπειν, ώς έμοι, 140. n. 4; 133. n. 7.— ώς v. πως ἔχει, c. gen. 132. n. 28.—before a prep. denoting whither, 149. m. 1.—as if, about, with els, etc. p. 414; 149. m. 1.—in clauses of wishing 139. m. 7.—with a superlat. 123. n. 9; 149. m. 1.—according as, Lat. ut, 149. m. 1.—instead of a relat. pron. ib.—instead of # after comparat. or after our allo, ib. m. 7.—put after a word, ὑπερφυῶς ὡς, 151. I. 5.redundant before the Inf. etc. 141. n. 2; see also in or. — os and δοπερ with Part. 144. n. 14. - with

case absol. 145. n. 7. -- is ਵੱv. 150. m. 23.—See generally § 150. m. 1; also under ότι, όπως, ώστε. ώs prep. 146. n. 1; p. 413. marg. ώσαύτως c. dat. 133. 2. f.—with καί, Lat. ac, 149. m. 8 ult. δσπερ, 800 πέρ and ώς.--δσπερ αν εί, Soπερ el, 149. m. 1; id. ellipt. 151. IV. 3. &στε, constr. 139. m. 52 sq. 140. 4; 142. 4.—before a Part. 149. m. 2. —omitted 140. n. 3.—ἢ δστε after comparat. and posit. 139. m. 56.after verbs of fear, etc. ib. m. 50. -ώσω, Fut. shortened and contr. 95. n. 13. ov diphth. 5. 1, and n. 2; 27. n. 11; 29. n. 6; 74. n. 4. ωὐτός, τωὐτό, crasis, 29. n. 6; 27. n. 11. ώφελεῖν c. acc. et dat. 131. 2, and n. 2.-c. dupl. acc. ib. n. 12. **Δφελον** 115. n. 7.—Synt. 150. m. 20; 139. m. 8, 13. -ώω, formation in, 105. n. 10.—Subjunct. 107. m. 42, 44.

THE END:

Digitized by Google





